

A counterexample to Tian's Stabilization Conjecture

Chenzi Jin

December 4, 2024

Abstract

It was conjectured by Tian that the global log canonical threshold (known as the α -invariant) is equal to the level k log canonical threshold (known as the α_k -invariant) for all sufficiently large k . A weaker folklore conjecture has been that the invariants α_k are eventually monotone. We provide a counterexample to both conjectures.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to provide a counterexample to Tian's stabilization conjecture on α -invariants.

The global log canonical threshold, or α -invariant (Definition 2.7), was introduced by Tian to prove existence of Kähler–Einstein metrics [14]. It was also independently studied in the algebraic geometry literature by Shokurov [12]. While Tian's definition was analytic and Shokurov's definition was algebraic, it was shown by Demailly that they coincide [5]. The quantized version, namely the α_k -invariant (Definition 2.7), was also introduced by Tian [15, 16], with the observation that $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k = \alpha$. By results of Demailly [5] and Shi [11], $\alpha = \inf_k \alpha_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k$. In 1988 Tian proposed the following conjecture (refined in 2012), that α_k stabilizes for sufficiently large k [16, Question 1], [17, Conjecture 5.3].

Conjecture 1.1. *For any ample line bundle L over a projective manifold X , there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that for $k \geq k_0$, $\alpha_k(L) = \alpha(L)$.*

There is also a folklore conjecture, weaker than Conjecture 1.1, stating that the sequence of α_k -invariants is eventually monotone (see [7, §1.3] for a discussion and references).

Conjecture 1.2. *For any ample line bundle L over a projective manifold X , there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that for $k_0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2$, $\alpha_{k_1}(L) \geq \alpha_{k_2}(L)$.*

Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 were originally stated for Fano X and $L = -K_X$. That remains the most interesting case, due to the relation to Kähler–Einstein metrics. Some positive results are known for this case. The case of smooth del Pezzo surfaces can be deduced from [4]. In general, it was shown by Birkar that $\alpha_k(-K_X) = \alpha(-K_X)$ if k is sufficiently large and *divisible*, provided that

This is part of the author's PhD work. The author is grateful to his advisor Y.A. Rubinstein for suggesting this problem, and for numerous discussions and guidance. The research is supported by NSF grants DMS-1906370, 2204347, BSF grant 2020329, and Ann G. Wylie Dissertation Fellowship in 2023-24.

$\alpha(-K_X) \leq 1$ (even for $-K_X$ nef and big) [2]. Note that this result does not provide an answer to Conjecture 1.1. When the manifold is toric, Conjecture 1.1 was very recently confirmed by the author and Rubinstein for any ample line bundle who also disproved stabilization for other quantized invariants of the form $\alpha_{k,m}$ in the toric setting [7, Theorems 1.4, 1.6].

There is an even stronger version of Conjecture 1.1 [17, Conjecture 5.4], predicting how large k_0 is.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any ample line bundle L over a projective manifold X , if the section ring $R(X, L) := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, kL)$ is generated by $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{k_0} H^0(X, kL)$, then for $k \geq k_0$, $\alpha_k(L) = \alpha(L)$. In particular, if the section ring $R(X, L)$ is generated by $H^0(X, L)$, then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\alpha_k(L) = \alpha(L)$.*

A counterexample to Conjecture 1.3 was provided by Ahmadinezhad–Cheltsov–Schicho [1]. They found a smooth surface S with a very ample line bundle L such that the section ring $R(S, L)$ is generated by $H^0(S, L)$, but $\alpha_1(L) > \alpha(L)$.

The main result of this article is a counterexample to Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2.

Theorem 1.4. *There is an ample line bundle L over a smooth surface S such that α_k does not stabilize, nor is it eventually monotone.*

Note that in this counterexample $-K_S$ is nef and big, although we are not taking $L = -K_S$. It still remains open whether for Fano X and $L = -K_X$ such an example exists. Further counterexamples can be constructed if the positivity is relaxed and we explore these in [9].

This article can be considered as a sequel to the program initiated with Rubinstein [7, 8, 10] to tackle problems on stabilization of quantized algebraic invariants arising in K-stability, and in the absence of such stabilization to consider the refined problem of determining the large k asymptotics of such invariants. In this direction, Theorem 6.1 below also shows that the asymptotics $\alpha_k(L) = \alpha(L) + O(k^{-1})$ [3, Corollary 5.2] [10, Theorem 1.5] is optimal in the setting that either L is ample or $\alpha(L) = \alpha_\ell(L)$ for some ℓ . As far as we are aware, this is also the first time the sequence $\{\alpha_k\}$ is explicitly computed in any example where the sequence is non-constant.

Organization. In §3 we explain the construction of the pair (S, L) . In §4 we compute the intersection numbers necessary for the computation of α_k -invariants. As an application we show ampleness of L (Theorem 4.2). In §5 we make use of the T-variety structure and reduce the computation to a linear programming problem. In §6 we finish the computation of α_k -invariants and prove Theorem 1.4.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Log canonical thresholds and α -invariants

Definition 2.1. Let D be an effective divisor on a complex manifold X given by local defining functions $\{U_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Its *log canonical threshold* is

$$\text{lct}(D) := \sup \left\{ c \geq 0 \mid |f_i|^{-2c} \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(U_i) \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.2. *For any effective divisor D and $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $\text{lct}(kD) = \frac{1}{k} \text{lct}(D)$.*

Proof. If f is a local defining function of D , then f^k is a local defining function of kD . The result follows from Definition 2.1. \square

Using this homogeneity we can extend the notion of log canonical threshold to effective \mathbb{Q} -divisors.

Definition 2.3. Let D be an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor and suppose kD is a divisor for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Then

$$\text{lct}(D) := k \text{lct}(kD).$$

Definition 2.4. A \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on a complex manifold X is *normal crossing* if for any $p \in X$ there is a coordinate chart on some neighborhood U of p such that $\text{Supp } D \cap U$ is a union of coordinate hyperplanes, i.e., it is the vanishing locus of $z_1 \cdots z_\ell$, for some $0 \leq \ell \leq n$.

Lemma 2.5. Consider the function $f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{a_i}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $c \geq 0$, $|f|^{-2c}$ is integrable around 0 if and only if $c < (\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i)^{-1}$.

Proof. Let D denote the unit disc. We compute

$$\int_{D^n} |f(z)|^{-2c} dz = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_D |z_i|^{-2a_i c} dz_i.$$

Therefore $|f|^{-2c}$ is integrable around 0 if and only if for each i , $c < a_i^{-1}$. □

Proposition 2.6. Suppose $D = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i D_i$ is a normal crossing \mathbb{Q} -divisor, where $a_i > 0$. Then $\text{lct}(D) = (\max_{1 \leq i \leq \ell} a_i)^{-1}$.

Proof. By homogeneity (Proposition 2.2) we may assume D is a divisor, i.e., $a_i \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Recall Definition 2.4. The result follows from Lemma 2.5. □

Definition 2.7. Let L be an ample line bundle. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,

$$\alpha_k(L) := \inf_{D \sim_k L} k \text{lct}(D), \tag{1}$$

and

$$\alpha(L) := \inf_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+} \alpha_k. \tag{2}$$

In other words, $\alpha(L) = \inf_{D \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} L} \text{lct}(D)$.

2.2 T-varieties

Definition 2.8. A *T-variety of complexity k* is a normal variety X admitting an effective torus action of codimension k .

To compute α -invariants on T-varieties it suffices to look at torus-invariant divisors [13, Proposition 2.6].

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a T-variety. For any effective divisor D , there is a torus-invariant divisor $D' \sim D$ with $\text{lct}(D') \leq \text{lct}(D)$.




Figure 1: The construction of the surface S .

3 Construction of the surface and polarization

In this section we explain the construction of the pair (S, L) and fix some notations. See Figure 1 for an illustration.

Recall that the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_2 is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over \mathbb{P}^1 . Let $\pi : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ denote this projection map. We also fix two sections of this bundle, namely the -2 -curve Z_{-2} , and a smooth 2 -curve Z_2 .

Pick four points $p_1, \dots, p_4 \in Z_2$. Let F_i denote the fiber $\pi^{-1}(\pi(p_i))$, and F a general fiber on \mathbb{F}_2 , i.e., $F = \pi^{-1}(p)$ for some $p \in U$, where

$$U := \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{\pi(p_1), \dots, \pi(p_4)\}.$$

Let \bar{S} denote the blow-up of \mathbb{F}_2 at the four points p_1, \dots, p_4 . Let \bar{E}_i denote the corresponding exceptional divisor, and \bar{F}_i the proper transform of F_i .

Finally, let S denote the blow-up of \bar{S} at the two points $\bar{E}_1 \cap \bar{F}_1$ and $\bar{E}_2 \cap \bar{F}_2$. Let E_1 and E_2 denote the corresponding exceptional divisor, and \tilde{E}_i (resp. \tilde{F}_i) the proper transform of \bar{E}_i (resp. \bar{F}_i).

Let $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ denote the composition of blow-up maps, \tilde{Z}_2 (resp. $\tilde{Z}_{-2}, \tilde{F}$) the proper transform of Z_2 (resp. Z_{-2}, F). We consider the line bundle

$$L := \mathcal{O}_S(2\tilde{Z}_2 + 2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + 3\tilde{F} + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{F}_1 + E_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + \tilde{F}_2 + E_2). \quad (3)$$

4 Picard group and intersection numbers

We compute the intersection numbers on $\text{Pic}(S)$ in this section. As an application, we show that L is ample (Theorem 4.2).

Recall that $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a free abelian group of rank 2, generated by $[Z_2]$ and $[F]$, with 2-by-2 intersection matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$Z_{-2} \sim Z_2 - 2F.$$

After the six blow-ups, $\text{Pic}(S)$ is a free abelian group of rank 8, generated by $[\tilde{Z}_2]$, $[\tilde{F}]$, and the exceptional divisors $[\tilde{E}_1], \dots, [\tilde{E}_4], [E_1], [E_2]$, with 8-by-8 intersection matrix (off-diagonal zero entries are omitted)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & & & & \\ 1 & & -2 & & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & -2 & & & & 1 \\ 1 & & & & -1 & & & \\ 1 & & & & & -1 & & \\ & 1 & & & & & -1 & \\ & & 1 & & & & & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F}_1 &\sim \tilde{F} - \tilde{E}_1 - 2E_1, & \tilde{F}_2 &\sim \tilde{F} - \tilde{E}_2 - 2E_2, & \tilde{F}_3 &\sim \tilde{F} - \tilde{E}_3, & \tilde{F}_4 &\sim \tilde{F} - \tilde{E}_4, \\ \tilde{Z}_{-2} &\sim \tilde{Z}_2 - 2\tilde{F} + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + \tilde{E}_3 + \tilde{E}_4 + E_1 + E_2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

See also Figure 2.




Figure 2: Some curves with negative self-intersection on S . The thick curves have self-intersection -2 , and the thin curves have self-intersection -1 .

Recall (3). We compute the following intersection numbers.

$$\begin{aligned} L \cdot \tilde{Z}_2 &= 1, & L \cdot \tilde{Z}_{-2} &= 1, & L \cdot \tilde{F} &= 4, \\ L \cdot \tilde{E}_1 &= 1, & L \cdot \tilde{F}_1 &= 1, & L \cdot E_1 &= 1, \\ L \cdot \tilde{E}_2 &= 1, & L \cdot \tilde{F}_2 &= 1, & L \cdot E_2 &= 1, \\ L \cdot \tilde{E}_3 &= 2, & L \cdot \tilde{F}_3 &= 2, & L \cdot \tilde{E}_4 &= 2, & L \cdot \tilde{F}_4 &= 2. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

To prove ampleness we use Nakai–Moishezon criterion (see, e.g., [6, Ch. V, Theorem 1.10]).

Theorem 4.1. *A divisor D on the surface X is ample if and only if $D^2 > 0$ and $D \cdot C > 0$ for all irreducible curves C in X .*

Theorem 4.2. *The line bundle L defined by (3) is ample.*

Proof. Since L is effective, it suffices to prove $L \cdot C > 0$ for all irreducible curves C .

Let

$$D := 2\tilde{Z}_2 + 2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + 3\tilde{F} + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{F}_1 + E_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + \tilde{F}_2 + E_2 \sim L.$$

If C lies in $\text{Supp } D$, it has been shown in (6) that $L \cdot C > 0$. If C does not lie in $\text{Supp } D$, it suffices to show that C intersects $\text{Supp } D$. Recall the blow-down map $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ and the projection $\pi : \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. The image $(\pi \circ f)(C)$ is either 0-dimensional (i.e., a point $p \in U \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1$) or 1-dimensional (i.e., the whole \mathbb{P}^1). In the former case, $C = (\pi \circ f)^{-1}(p)$, so C intersects \tilde{Z}_2 and \tilde{Z}_{-2} . In the latter case, C intersects \tilde{F} . This completes the proof. \square

5 Torus-invariant divisors

In this section we discuss the T-variety structure of S , and reduce the family of divisors to be considered in computing α_k -invariants.

Recall that \mathbb{F}_2 admits a toric variety structure, with Z_2 fixed by the torus action. We regard it as a T-variety of complexity 1 by restricting the torus action to the one dimensional subgroup that leaves each fiber invariant. More specifically, recall that $\mathbb{F}_2 \setminus Z_{-2}$ is the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$. The \mathbb{C}^* -action is the scalar multiplication on each fiber of this line bundle.

This action can then be lifted to S , equipping S with the structure of T-variety of complexity 1.




Figure 3: The fixed points under the torus action.

Lemma 5.1. *The torus-invariant curves on S are $\tilde{Z}_2, \tilde{Z}_{-2}, \tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_4, \tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_4, E_1, E_2$, and $(\pi \circ f)^{-1}(p)$ for $p \in U$ (recall §3).*

Proof. Notice that the set of fixed points under the torus action consists of $\tilde{Z}_2 \cup \tilde{Z}_{-2}$ and six points $E_1 \cap \tilde{E}_1, E_1 \cap \tilde{F}_1, E_2 \cap \tilde{E}_2, E_2 \cap \tilde{F}_2, \tilde{E}_3 \cap \tilde{F}_3, \tilde{E}_4 \cap \tilde{F}_4$ (see Figure 3). Let C be a torus-invariant curve. If C is fixed by the torus action, then $C = \tilde{Z}_2$ or \tilde{Z}_{-2} . If C is not fixed by the torus action, then C contains a one-dimensional orbit. In that case, $C = \tilde{E}_1, \dots, \tilde{E}_4, \tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_4, E_1, E_2$, or $(\pi \circ f)^{-1}(p)$ for $p \in U$. \square

Lemma 5.2. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$,*

$$\alpha_k(L) = \inf_D k \text{ lct}(D),$$

where the infimum is taken over divisors of the form

$$D = a_1\tilde{Z}_2 + a_2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + a_3\tilde{E}_1 + \cdots + a_6\tilde{E}_4 + a_7\tilde{F}_1 + \cdots + a_{10}\tilde{F}_4 + a_{11}E_1 + a_{12}E_2 \sim kL.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9 and Lemma 5.1, it suffices to look at divisors of the form

$$D = a_1\tilde{Z}_2 + a_2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + a_3\tilde{E}_1 + \cdots + a_6\tilde{E}_4 + a_7\tilde{F}_1 + \cdots + a_{10}\tilde{F}_4 + a_{11}E_1 + a_{12}E_2 + \sum_{p \in U} a_p(\pi \circ f)^{-1}(p).$$

We may drop the last term. Indeed, by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} D \sim D' := & a_1\tilde{Z}_2 + a_2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + a_3\tilde{E}_1 + a_4\tilde{E}_2 + a_5\tilde{E}_3 + \left(a_6 + \sum_{p \in U} a_p \right) \tilde{E}_4 \\ & + a_7\tilde{F}_1 + a_8\tilde{F}_2 + a_9\tilde{F}_3 + \left(a_{10} + \sum_{p \in U} a_p \right) \tilde{F}_4 + a_{11}E_1 + a_{12}E_2. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.6, $\text{lct}(D') \leq \text{lct}(D)$. This completes the proof. \square

6 The computation of α_k -invariants

In this section we finish the computation of α_k -invariants, providing an explicit counterexample to Tian's stabilization conjecture.

Theorem 6.1. *Let (S, L) be as in §3. Then*

$$\alpha_k(L) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8}, & k \text{ is even;} \\ \frac{k}{8k-1}, & k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha(L) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

In particular, $\alpha_k(L) \geq \alpha(L)$ with equality if and only if k is even, and $\alpha_k(L)$ is not eventually monotone.

Proof. Let

$$D = a_1\tilde{Z}_2 + a_2\tilde{Z}_{-2} + a_3\tilde{E}_1 + \cdots + a_6\tilde{E}_4 + a_7\tilde{F}_1 + \cdots + a_{10}\tilde{F}_4 + a_{11}E_1 + a_{12}E_2 \sim kL,$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{12} \in \mathbb{N}_+$. By (4) and (5) (see also Figure 2),

$$\begin{aligned} D \cdot \tilde{F} &= a_1 + a_2, \\ D \cdot \left(-\tilde{E}_2 + \tilde{E}_3 \right) &= 2a_4 - a_5 + a_9 - a_{12}, \\ D \cdot \left(-\tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_3 \right) &= 2a_3 - a_5 + a_9 - a_{11}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D \cdot (\tilde{Z}_2 + \tilde{E}_3 + \tilde{E}_4) &= a_3 + a_4 + a_9 + a_{10}, \\
D \cdot (\tilde{Z}_{-2} + \tilde{F}_3 + \tilde{E}_4) &= a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8, \\
D \cdot (2\tilde{Z}_2 + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + 2\tilde{E}_3) &= 2a_6 + 2a_9 + a_{11} + a_{12}, \\
D \cdot (2\tilde{Z}_2 + \tilde{E}_1 + \tilde{E}_2 + 2\tilde{E}_4) &= 2a_5 + 2a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $D \sim kL$, combining with (6) we get

$$a_1 + a_2 = 4k, \quad (7)$$

$$2a_4 - a_5 + a_9 - a_{12} = k, \quad (8)$$

$$2a_3 - a_5 + a_9 - a_{11} = k, \quad (9)$$

$$a_3 + a_4 + a_9 + a_{10} = 5k, \quad (10)$$

$$a_5 + a_6 + a_7 + a_8 = 5k, \quad (11)$$

$$2a_6 + 2a_9 + a_{11} + a_{12} = 8k, \quad (12)$$

$$2a_5 + 2a_{10} + a_{11} + a_{12} = 8k. \quad (13)$$

By (7),

$$a_1, a_2 \leq 4k. \quad (14)$$

By (10) and (11),

$$a_3, \dots, a_{10} \leq 5k. \quad (15)$$

By (12) or (13),

$$a_{11}, a_{12} \leq 8k. \quad (16)$$

Combining (14), (15), and (16), by Proposition 2.6,

$$\text{lct}(D) \geq (8k)^{-1}.$$

Since D is arbitrary, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\alpha_k(L) \geq \frac{1}{8}. \quad (17)$$

If k is even, consider the divisor

$$D' := 2k\tilde{Z}_2 + 2k\tilde{Z}_{-2} + \frac{9k}{2}\tilde{E}_1 + \frac{9k}{2}\tilde{F}_1 + 8kE_1 + \frac{k}{2}\tilde{E}_2 + \frac{k}{2}\tilde{F}_2.$$

Using (5) we can check that $D' \sim kL$. By Proposition 2.6,

$$\text{lct}(D') = (8k)^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 5.2 and (17),

$$\alpha_k(L) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Finally, let k be odd. We claim that $a_{11}, a_{12} \neq 8k$. Indeed, assume $a_{11} = 8k$. By (12) and (13),

$$a_5 = a_6 = a_9 = a_{10} = a_{12} = 0.$$

Hence by (8),

$$2a_4 = k,$$

contradicting the fact that k is odd. Similarly, assume $a_{12} = 8k$. By (12) and (13),

$$a_5 = a_6 = a_9 = a_{10} = a_{11} = 0.$$

Hence by (9),

$$2a_3 = k,$$

contradicting the fact that k is odd. Therefore, (16) can be strengthened to

$$a_{11}, a_{12} \leq 8k - 1.$$

Combining with (14) and (15), by Proposition 2.6,

$$\text{lct}(D) \geq (8k - 1)^{-1}.$$

Since D is arbitrary, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\alpha_k(L) \geq \frac{k}{8k - 1}. \quad (18)$$

On the other hand, consider the divisor

$$D'' := 2k\tilde{Z}_2 + 2k\tilde{Z}_{-2} + \frac{9k-1}{2}\tilde{E}_1 + \frac{9k-1}{2}\tilde{F}_1 + (8k-1)E_1 + \frac{k+1}{2}\tilde{E}_2 + \frac{k+1}{2}\tilde{F}_2 + E_2.$$

Using (5) we can check that $D'' \sim kL$. By Proposition 2.6,

$$\text{lct}(D'') = (8k - 1)^{-1}.$$

By Lemma 5.2 and (18),

$$\alpha_k(L) = \frac{k}{8k - 1}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] H. Ahmadinezhad, I. Cheltsov, J. Schicho, *On a conjecture of Tian*, Math. Z. 288 (2018), 217–241. 1
- [2] C. Birkar, *Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) 193 (2022), 347–405. 1
- [3] H. Blum, M. Jonsson, *Thresholds, valuations, and K-stability*, Adv. Math. 365 (2020), 107062. 1

- [4] I. Cheltsov, *Log canonical thresholds of del Pezzo surfaces*, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 11 (2008), 1118–1144. 1
- [5] I.A. Cheltsov, K.A. Shramov, *Log-canonical thresholds for nonsingular Fano threefolds, with an appendix by J.-P. Demailly*, *Russian Math. Surveys* 63 (2008), 859–958. 1
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer, 1977. 4
- [7] C. Jin, Y.A. Rubinstein, *Tian’s stabilization problem for toric Fanos*, preprint, 2024, arxiv:2403.17262. 1, 1, 1
- [8] C. Jin, Y.A. Rubinstein, *Asymptotics of quantized barycenters of lattice polytopes with applications to algebraic geometry, (with an appendix by Y. Liu)*, preprint, 2024, arxiv:2406.18969. 1
- [9] C. Jin, Y.A. Rubinstein, *Stabilization and non-stabilization of log canonical thresholds*, preprint in preparation, 2024. 1
- [10] C. Jin, Y.A. Rubinstein, G. Tian, *Asymptotics of discrete Okounkov bodies and thresholds*, preprint, 2024, arxiv:2410.20694. 1
- [11] Y. Shi, *On the α -invariants of cubic surfaces with Eckardt points*, *Adv. Math.* 225 (2010), 1285–1307. 1
- [12] V. Shokurov, *Three-dimensional log perestroikas*, *Izv. Math.* 56 (1992), 105–203. 1
- [13] H. Süß, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on symmetric Fano T -varieties*, *Adv. Math.* 246 (2013), 100–113. 2.2
- [14] G. Tian, *On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$* , *Invent. Math.* 89 (1987), 225–246. 1
- [15] G. Tian, *Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1988. 1
- [16] G. Tian, *On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds*, *J. Differential Geom.* 32 (1990), 99–130. 1
- [17] G. Tian, *Existence of Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds*, in: *Metric and differential geometry*, *Progr. Math.* 297, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel, 2012, 119–159. 1, 1