

On Third-Order Evolution Systems Describing Pseudo-Spherical or Spherical Surfaces

Filipe Kelmer¹

Abstract

We consider a class of third-order evolution equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t = F(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}), \\ v_t = G(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}), \end{cases}$$

describing pseudo-spherical (**pss**) or spherical surfaces (**ss**), meaning that, their generic solutions $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ provide metrics, with coordinates (x, t) , on open subsets of the plane, with constant curvature $K = -1$ or $K = 1$. These systems can be described as the integrability conditions of \mathfrak{g} -valued linear problems, with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$, when $K = -1$, $K = 1$, respectively. We obtain characterization and also classification results. Applications of these results provide new examples and new families of such systems, which also contain systems of coupled KdV and mKdV-type equations and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. Additionally, this theory is applied to derive a Bäcklund transformation for the coupled KdV system.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35G50, 53B20, 58J60, 35Q53, 35Q55

Keywords: systems of evolution equations, pseudo-spherical surfaces, spherical surfaces, Bäcklund transformation, Korteweg-de Vries equation, nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

1 Introduction

We consider systems of evolution equations describing pseudo-spherical surfaces (**pss**) or spherical surfaces (**ss**) of type

$$\begin{cases} u_t = F(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}), \\ v_t = G(x, t, u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, v, v_x, v_{xx}, v_{xxx}), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

for F and G smooth functions. This includes systems of coupled KdV and mKdV-type equations (3.9), (3.8), and (3.7), as well as third-order nonlinear Schrödinger equations (3.2) and (3.5).

Systems of partial differential equations describing **pss** or **ss** are characterized by the fact that their generic solutions provide metrics on non-empty open subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , with Gaussian curvature $K = -1$ or $K = 1$, respectively. This concept was first introduced, in 2002, by Q. Ding e K. Tenenblat in [20] as a generalization of the notion of differential equations describing **pss** given in 1986 by S. S. Chern and K. Tenenblat in [18].

¹Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Almería, Spain, e-mail: kelmer.a.f@gmail.com. Partially supported by IMAG Grants Program, modality *Support for visits of young talented researchers* (2023 call) - Institute of Mathematics of the University of Granada (IMAG).

The definition given by Chern and Tenenblat was inspired by Sasaki's observation in 1979 [41], that a class of nonlinear differential equations, such as KdV, mKdV and SG which can be solved by the AKNS 2×2 inverse scattering method [1], was related to **pss**. Today, it is known that the class of differential equations describing **pss** is, in fact, larger than the AKNS class. Examples include [18] Ex. 2.7 a),b), [6] equation (1.2) when $\alpha \neq 0$, [25] equations (3.11),(3.13).

Besides introducing the notion of differential equations describing pseudo-spherical surfaces (**pss**), Chern and Tenenblat developed a systematic procedure for characterizing and classifying such equations. This procedure has been applied to various classes of partial differential equations, see [11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 21, 25, 26, 37, 34, 35, 36, 37, 14].

Equations describing **pss** (resp. **ss**) can be seen as a compatibility condition of an associated $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued (resp. $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued) linear problem, also referred to as a zero curvature representation. As a consequence, these equations may admit Bäcklund transformations, as demonstrated in Section 5 for a coupled KdV-type system (see also [18, 6, 38] for other examples). This characterization also suggests that these equations might exhibit additional properties, such as non-local symmetries [39, 40], an infinite number of conservation laws [16], and they are natural candidates for being solved by the Inverse Scattering Method [1, 6].

Another remarkable property of partial differential equations describing **pss** (resp. **ss**) is the theoretical existence of local transformations between generic solutions of those equations. This is due to a basic geometric fact that given two points of two Riemannian manifolds, with the same dimension and same constant sectional curvature, there is always an isometry between neighborhoods of those points. Kamran and Tenenblat, in [26], explored this property and demonstrated a local existence theorem, assuring that, given any two equations describing **pss**, then, under a technical assumption, there exists a local smooth application that maps generic solutions of one equation into solutions of the other. Some results in this direction were considered later in [38].

The properties of equations that describe **pss** or **ss** are intrinsic geometric properties of a Riemannian metric. As a result, the Bäcklund transformations derived from this geometric framework, such as the transformation for a coupled KdV-type system presented in Theorem 5.1, are not initially associated with surfaces of negative Gaussian curvature in \mathbb{R}^3 (see also [10, 9, 7] for the case of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with constant sectional curvature). This contrasts with the extrinsic geometrical approach by A. V. Bäcklund [3, 4], which was later extended to surfaces with non-zero constant Gaussian curvature in pseudo-Euclidean space [31, 33, 22, 27] and to higher-dimensional submanifolds of constant sectional curvature [44, 45, 43, 5, 17, 19]. Regardless of the intrinsic or extrinsic approach, the analytical interpretation of Bäcklund transformations, in terms of partial differential equations, enables the generation of new solutions by solving a first-order system (see, for instance, the coupled KdV system (5.1) and the first-order system (5.2)). Furthermore, if a superposition formula is established, it allows for the algebraic construction of infinitely many new solutions [8, 29].

In 2002, Ding and Tenenblat [20], besides introducing the notion of systems of partial equations describing **pss** and **ss**, they presented characterization results for systems of evolution equations of type

$$\begin{cases} u_t = F(u, u_x, v, v_x), \\ v_t = G(u, u_x, v, v_x), \end{cases}$$

for F e G smooth functions. In particular, they considered important equations such as nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Heisenberg ferromagnetic model and Landau-Lifschitz equations. They also

presented a classification result for systems of evolution equations of type

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -v_{xx} + H_{11}(u, v)u_x + H_{12}(u, v)v_x + H_{13}(u, v), \\ v_t = u_{xx} + H_{21}(u, v)u_x + H_{22}(u, v)v_x + H_{23}(u, v), \end{cases}$$

where, H_{ij} are smooth functions.

In 2022, Kelmer and Tenenblat [28] obtain characterization and classification results for systems of hyperbolic equations of type

$$\begin{cases} u_{xt} = F(u, u_x, v, v_x), \\ v_{xt} = G(u, u_x, v, v_x), \end{cases}$$

where F and G are smooth functions. By imposing certain conditions, they obtain some classification results providing new examples and new families of systems of differential equations, which contain generalizations of a Pohlmeyer-Lund-Regge type system and the Konno-Oono coupled dispersionless system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we gather some preliminaries on systems of differential equations that describe **pss** or **ss**, and provide the linear problem associated with these systems, which can be used to obtain Bäcklund transformation. In Section 3, we begin by presenting explicit examples, including systems of coupled KdV and mKdV-type equations and nonlinear Schrödinger equations. We then state our main results: a characterization result (Theorem 3.6), classification results (Theorems 3.8, 3.10, 3.13 and 3.15) and, and, as an application of the classification results, we present corollaries that provide additional examples and introduce new families of systems of differential equations describing **pss** and **ss**. Section 4 is dedicated to proving Theorems 3.6, 3.8, 3.10, 3.13 and 3.15. Finally, in Section 5, we use the associated linear problem to provide a Bäcklund transformation for the coupled KdV system (2.7) illustrated with examples.

2 Preliminaries

If (M, \mathbf{g}) is a 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\{\omega_1, \omega_2\}$ is a co-frame, dual to an orthonormal frame $\{e_1, e_2\}$, then the metric is given by $\mathbf{g} = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2$ and ω_i satisfy the structure equations: $d\omega_1 = \omega_3 \wedge \omega_2$ and $d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3$, where ω_3 denotes the connection form defined as $\omega_3(e_i) = d\omega_i(e_1, e_2)$. The Gaussian curvature of M is the function K such that $d\omega_3 = -K\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$.

Definition 2.1. A system of partial differential equations \mathcal{S} , for scalar functions $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ describes *pseudospherical surfaces (pss)*, or *spherical surfaces (ss)* if it is equivalent to the structure equations (see [42]) of a surface with Gaussian curvature $K = -\delta$, with $\delta = 1$ or $\delta = -1$, respectively, i.e.,

$$d\omega_1 = \omega_3 \wedge \omega_2, \quad d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3, \quad d\omega_3 = \delta\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$ are 1-forms

$$\omega_1 = f_{11}dx + f_{12}dt, \quad \omega_2 = f_{21}dx + f_{22}dt, \quad \omega_3 = f_{31}dx + f_{32}dt, \quad (2.2)$$

such that $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0$, i.e.,

$$f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21} \neq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

and f_{ij} are functions of $x, t, u(x, t), v(x, t)$ and it's derivatives with respect to x and t .

Locally, considering the basis $\{dx, dt\}$, the structure equations (2.1) are equivalent to the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} -f_{11,t} + f_{12,x} = f_{31}f_{22} - f_{32}f_{21}, \\ -f_{21,t} + f_{22,x} = f_{11}f_{32} - f_{12}f_{31}, \\ -f_{31,t} + f_{32,x} = \delta(f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21}), \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

and the metric is

$$ds^2 = (f_{11}^2 + f_{21}^2)dx^2 + 2(f_{11}f_{12} + f_{21}f_{22})dxdt + (f_{12}^2 + f_{22}^2)dt^2.$$

Notice that, according to the definition, given a solution u, v of a system \mathcal{S} describing **pss** (or **ss**) with associated 1-forms ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 , we consider an open connected set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, contained in the domain of u, v , where $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$ is everywhere nonzero on U . Such an open set U exists for generic solutions u, v . Then, $\mathbf{g} = \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2$ defines a Riemannian metric, on U , with Gaussian curvature $K = -\delta$. It is in this sense that one can say that a system describes, **pss** (resp. **ss**). This is an intrinsic geometric property of a Riemannian metric (not immersed in an ambient space). For example, the nonlinear Schrödinger equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + v_{xx} - 2(u^2 + v^2)v = 0, \\ -v_t + u_{xx} - 2(u^2 + v^2)u = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

describes **pss** with associated functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= 2u, & f_{21} &= -2v, & f_{31} &= 2\eta \\ f_{12} &= -4\eta u - 2v_x, & f_{22} &= 4\eta v - 2u_x, & f_{32} &= -4\eta^2 - 2(u^2 + v^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

where η is a parameter. Indeed, for the functions f_{ij} above, the structure equations (2.4), with $\delta = 1$, is satisfied modulo (2.5). In this example, for every generic solution $u(x, t), v(x, t)$, of (2.5), such that condition (2.3) holds, i.e., $-2(u^2 + v^2)_x \neq 0$, there exists a Riemannian metric \mathbf{g} , with constant Gaussian curvature $K = -1$, whose coefficients g_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g_{11} &= 4(u^2 + v^2), \\ g_{12} &= g_{21} = -16\eta(u^2 + v^2) - 8(uv_x - vu_x), \\ g_{22} &= 16\eta^2(u^2 + v^2) + 4(u_x^2 + v_x^2) + 16\eta(uv_x - vu_x). \end{aligned}$$

In the former example, the parameter η implies the existence of a one-parameter family of metrics associated with every generic solution.

The coupled KdV type system [23], is a third-order evolution system given by

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -u_{xxx} + 6uvu_x, \\ v_t = -v_{xxx} + 6vvv_x, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

that describes **pss** with associated functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= u + v, & f_{12} &= -u_{xx} - v_{xx} + 2(vu^2 + uv^2) - \eta^2(u + v) + \eta(v_x - u_x), \\ f_{21} &= \eta, & f_{22} &= -\eta^3 + 2(vu_x - uv_x) + 2\eta uv, \\ f_{31} &= v - u, & f_{32} &= u_{xx} - v_{xx} + 2(vv^2 - vu^2) + \eta^2(u - v) + \eta(u_x + v_x), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where η is a parameter.

System of differential equation describing **pss**, or **ss**, can be seen as the integrability condition

$$d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega = 0, \quad (2.9)$$

of the linear system [18],

$$d\Psi = \Omega\Psi, \quad (2.10)$$

where $\Psi = (\Psi_1 \Psi_2)^T$, with $\Psi_i(x, t)$, $i = 1, 2$, and Ω is either the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_2 & \omega_1 - \omega_3 \\ \omega_1 + \omega_3 & -\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{when } \delta = 1,$$

or the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ -valued 1-form

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i\omega_2 & \omega_1 + i\omega_3 \\ -\omega_1 + i\omega_3 & -i\omega_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{when } \delta = -1.$$

This characterization is due to the fact the structure equation (2.1) is equivalent to (2.9).

Locally, with $\Omega = A dx + B dt$, the linear problem (2.10), is given by

$$\Psi_x = A\Psi, \quad \Psi_t = B\Psi, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f_{21} & f_{11} - f_{31} \\ f_{11} + f_{31} & -f_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} f_{22} & f_{12} - f_{32} \\ f_{12} + f_{32} & -f_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.12)$$

when $\delta = 1$ or,

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} if_{21} & f_{11} + if_{31} \\ -f_{11} + if_{31} & -if_{21} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} if_{22} & f_{12} + if_{32} \\ -f_{12} + if_{32} & -if_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.13)$$

when $\delta = -1$. Moreover, the integrability condition, $\Psi_{xt} = \Psi_{tx}$, is given by

$$A_t - B_x + AB - BA = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

which is also known as $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ (or $\mathfrak{su}(2)$) *zero-curvature representation*.

By introducing a new function, known as *pseudopotential* [32], defined by

$$\Gamma = \frac{\Psi_2}{\Psi_1},$$

the linear problem (2.11) implies a Riccati type system,

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_x = \frac{1}{2}(f_{11} + f_{31}) - f_{21}\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}(-f_{11} + f_{31})\Gamma^2, \\ \Gamma_t = \frac{1}{2}(f_{12} + f_{32}) - f_{22}\Gamma + \frac{1}{2}(-f_{12} + f_{32})\Gamma^2. \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

We find that the integrability condition $\Gamma_{xt} = \Gamma_{tx}$ of the Riccati system (2.15) is equivalent to the structure equations (2.4).

For instance, since the coupled KdV system (2.7) describes **pss** it is equivalent to the integrability condition (2.14) of the linear problem (2.11), with A and B defined by (2.12) where the associates functions f_{ij} is given by (2.8). That is, for the linear problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_x &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\eta}{2} & u \\ v & -\frac{\eta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \Psi, \\ \Psi_t &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\eta^3}{2} + (\eta v - v_x)u + vu_x & -\eta^2 u - \eta u_x - u_{xx} + 2vu^2 \\ -\eta^2 v + \eta v_x - v_{xx} + 2uv^2 & \frac{\eta^3}{2} - (\eta v - v_x)u - vu_x \end{pmatrix} \Psi,\end{aligned}$$

the integrability condition, $\Psi_{xt} = \Psi_{tx}$, is satisfied if, and only if, u and v is a solution of the coupled KdV type system (2.7).

The presence of the parameter η in the linear problem suggests that the system is a natural candidate for being solved by the Inverse Scattering Method [1, 6]. Additionally, this system may exhibit other noteworthy properties such as non-local symmetries [39, 40], an infinite number of conservation laws [16] and Bäcklund transformations [18, 6, 38]. In particular, we provide a detailed example of a Bäcklund transformation for the coupled KdV system in Section 5 (see Theorem 5.1).

3 Some examples and main results

In this section, we first illustrate the class of systems of partial differential equations studied in this paper, with some examples that describes **pss** or **ss**. Then, we present the characterization and classification results. To maintain focus on the main results and for the convenience of readers primarily interested in applying the results, we will postpone the proofs to Section 4.

3.1 Examples

In this subsection, we present examples of third-order systems of evolution equations that describe **pss** or **ss**. These include a coupled KdV-type system [23] and a third-order nonlinear Schrödinger equation [30].

Example 3.1. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation type [30],

$$iq_t + \alpha(t)(q_{xx} + |q|^2 q) + i\beta(t)(q_{xxx} + 3|q|^2 q_x) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are real smooth functions of t , or equivalently in real form ($q(x, t) = u(x, t) + iv(x, t)$),

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -\alpha v_{xx} - \alpha(u^2 + v^2)v - \beta u_{xxx} - 3\beta(u^2 + v^2)u_x, \\ v_t = \alpha u_{xx} + \alpha(u^2 + v^2)u - \beta v_{xxx} - 3\beta(u^2 + v^2)v_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

is a third-order evolution system describing **pss** with associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned}f_{11} &= u + v, & f_{21} &= v - u, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\beta(v_{xx} + u_{xx}) - (\beta\eta + \alpha)(v_x - u_x) + (u + v)(\beta(\eta^2 - u^2 - v^2) + \eta\alpha), \\ f_{22} &= -\beta(v_{xx} - u_{xx}) + (\beta\eta + \alpha)(u_x + v_x) + (v - u)(\beta(\eta^2 - v^2 - u^2) + \eta\alpha), \\ f_{32} &= -2\beta(vu_x - uv_x) + (\beta\eta + \alpha)(\eta^2 - u^2 - v^2),\end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where η is a parameter.

Example 3.2. The nonlinear Schrödinger equation type,

$$iq_t + \alpha(t)(q_{xx} - |q|^2q) + i\beta(t)(q_{xxx} - 3|q|^2q_x) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ are real smooth functions of t , or equivalently in real form ($q(x, t) = u(x, t) + iv(x, t)$),

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -\alpha v_{xx} + \alpha(u^2 + v^2)v - \beta u_{xxx} + 3\beta(u^2 + v^2)u_x, \\ v_t = \alpha u_{xx} - \alpha(u^2 + v^2)u - \beta v_{xxx} + 3\beta(u^2 + v^2)v_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

is a third-order evolution system describing **ss** with associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= u + v, & f_{21} &= v - u, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\beta(v_{xx} + u_{xx}) - (\beta\eta + \alpha)(v_x - u_x) + (u + v)(\beta(\eta^2 + u^2 + v^2) + \eta\alpha), \\ f_{22} &= -\beta(v_{xx} - u_{xx}) + (\beta\eta + \alpha)(u_x + v_x) + (v - u)(\beta(\eta^2 + v^2 + u^2) + \eta\alpha), \\ f_{32} &= 2\beta(vu_x - uv_x) + (\beta\eta + \alpha)(\eta^2 + u^2 + v^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where η is a parameter.

Example 3.3. The coupled mKdV type system,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -u_{xxx} + \alpha^2(u^2 + v^2)u_x, \\ v_t &= -v_{xxx} + \alpha^2(u^2 + v^2)v_x, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a real constant, describes **pss** for associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha u, & f_{21} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha v, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(u_{xx} + \eta v_x) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha u(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 + 3\eta^2), \\ f_{22} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(v_{xx} - \eta u_x) + \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha v(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 + 3\eta^2), \\ f_{32} &= \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2(vu_x - uv_x) + \frac{\eta}{3}(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 + 3\eta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4. The coupled mKdV type system,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= -u_{xxx} - \alpha^2(u^2 + v^2)u_x, \\ v_t &= -v_{xxx} - \alpha^2(u^2 + v^2)v_x, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\alpha \neq 0$ is a real constant, describes **ss** for associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha u, & f_{21} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha v, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(u_{xx} + \eta v_x) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha u(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 - 3\eta^2), \\ f_{22} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(v_{xx} - \eta u_x) - \frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha v(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 - 3\eta^2), \\ f_{32} &= \frac{2}{3}\alpha^2(uv_x - vu_x) - \frac{\eta}{3}(\alpha^2 u^2 + \alpha^2 v^2 - 3\eta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.5. The coupled KdV type system [23],

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -u_{xxx} + 6uvu_x, \\ v_t = -v_{xxx} + 6uvv_x, \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

describes **pss** with

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= u + v, & f_{12} &= -u_{xx} - v_{xx} + 2(vu^2 + uv^2) - \eta^2(u + v) + \eta(v_x - u_x), \\ f_{21} &= \eta, & f_{22} &= -\eta^3 + 2(vu_x - uv_x) + 2\eta uv, \\ f_{31} &= v - u, & f_{32} &= u_{xx} - v_{xx} + 2(uv^2 - vu^2) + \eta^2(u - v) + \eta(u_x + v_x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where η is a parameter.

3.2 Main Results

We introduce the following notation for $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ and its derivatives,

$$u = z_0, \quad \frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} = z_i, \quad v = y_0, \quad \frac{\partial^i v}{\partial x^i} = y_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3.$$

Given a smooth function $Q(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$, we denote the total derivative of Q with respect to x by $D_x Q$, i.e.

$$D_x Q = Q_x + \sum_{i=0}^2 Q_{z_i} z_{i+1} + Q_{y_i} y_{i+1}. \quad (3.11)$$

With this notation, the system (1.1) can be expressed as:

$$\begin{cases} z_{0,t} = F(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3), \\ y_{0,t} = G(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3). \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

We now state our characterization result.

Theorem 3.6. *The necessary and sufficient conditions for the system (3.12) to describes **pss** (resp. **ss**), with associated functions $f_{ij} = f_{ij}(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)$, are*

$$f_{i1, z_j} = 0, \quad f_{i1, y_j} = 0, \quad f_{i2, z_3} = 0, \quad f_{i2, y_3} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_{11, z_0} & f_{11, y_0} \\ f_{21, z_0} & f_{21, y_0} \end{vmatrix}^2 + \begin{vmatrix} f_{21, z_0} & f_{21, y_0} \\ f_{31, z_0} & f_{31, y_0} \end{vmatrix}^2 + \begin{vmatrix} f_{11, z_0} & f_{11, y_0} \\ f_{31, z_0} & f_{31, y_0} \end{vmatrix}^2 \neq 0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$-f_{11, z_0} F - f_{11, y_0} G - f_{11, t} + D_x f_{12} - f_{31} f_{22} + f_{32} f_{21} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$-f_{21, z_0} F - f_{21, y_0} G - f_{21, t} + D_x f_{22} - f_{11} f_{32} + f_{12} f_{31} = 0, \quad (3.16)$$

$$-f_{31, z_0} F - f_{31, y_0} G - f_{31, t} + D_x f_{32} - \delta f_{11} f_{22} + \delta f_{12} f_{21} = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$f_{11} f_{22} - f_{12} f_{21} \neq 0, \quad (3.18)$$

where $\delta = 1$ (resp. $\delta = -1$).

We notice that, when F , G , and f_{ij} does not depend on (x, t) , the above theorem reduces the third-order case of Lemma 1 in [20].

The following corollary highlights that the system (3.12), according to Theorem (3.6), must be linear with respect to the higher-order derivatives z_3 and y_3 .

Corollary 3.7. *For a system (3.12), to describe **pss** or **ss** with associated functions f_{ij} , satisfying (3.13)-(3.18), it is necessary that*

$$\begin{cases} z_{1,t} = F_1 z_3 + F_2 y_3 + F_3, \\ y_{1,t} = G_1 z_3 + G_2 y_3 + G_3, \end{cases} \quad (3.19)$$

where F_k, G_k , $k = 1, 2, 3$, are smooth functions of $(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$.

Proof. By taking second order derivatives of equations (3.15)-(3.17) with respect to z_3 and y_3 , follows from equation (3.14) that F and G are linear with respect z_3 and y_3 . \square

In the following characterizations, we will assume that the system of evolution equations (1.1) can not be reduced to a second-order one, by supposing that F and G satisfy the generic condition,

$$(F_{z_3}^2 + G_{z_3}^2)(F_{y_3}^2 + G_{y_3}^2) \neq 0, \quad (3.20)$$

up to a zero-measure subset.

Theorem 3.8. *The system (3.12) satisfying (3.20), describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions f_{ij} , satisfying (3.13)-(3.18), such that $f_{21} = \ell$ or $f_{11} = \ell$, for a smooth function $\ell(x, t)$, if, and only if, it is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,t} \\ y_{0,t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W} \begin{pmatrix} h_{y_0} & -g_{y_0} \\ -h_{z_0} & g_{z_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_x P - hq + \ell H - g_t \\ D_x H - \delta qg + \delta \ell P - h_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.21)$$

where $\delta = 1$ (resp. -1), $q(x, t, z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1)$, $g(x, t, z_0, y_0)$, $h(x, t, z_0, y_0)$ and $P(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$ are smooth functions satisfying the following generic conditions: $W := g_{z_0} h_{y_0} - g_{y_0} h_{z_0} \neq 0$, $\ell P - gq \neq 0$,

$$(P_{z_3}^2 + H_{z_3}^2)(P_{y_3}^2 + H_{y_3}^2) \neq 0, \quad (3.22)$$

where,

$$H := \frac{1}{g}(Ph + D_x q - \ell_t).$$

Furthermore, for the case when $f_{21} = \ell$, the associated functions are

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= g, & f_{21} &= \ell, & f_{31} &= h, \\ f_{12} &= P, & f_{22} &= q, & f_{32} &= H. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

For $f_{11} = \ell$, the associated functions are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \ell, & f_{21} &= g, & f_{31} &= -h, \\ f_{12} &= q, & f_{22} &= P, & f_{32} &= -H. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Remark 3.9. We observe that, in Theorem (3.8) the systems describing **pss** and **ss** with associated functions satisfying $f_{21} = \ell$ or $f_{11} = \ell$ are the same. However, it is worthwhile to notice that they may have distinct associated linear problems depending on whether the associated functions f_{ij} are given by (3.23) or (3.24).

Theorem 3.10. *The system (3.12) satisfying (3.20), describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions f_{ij} , satisfying (3.13)-(3.18), such that $f_{31} = \ell$, for a smooth function $\ell(x, t)$, if, and only if, it is given by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,t} \\ y_{0,t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W} \begin{pmatrix} h_{y_0} & -g_{y_0} \\ -h_{z_0} & g_{z_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_x P + hq - \ell H - g_t \\ D_x H - qg + \ell P - h_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.25)$$

where $\delta = 1$ (resp. -1), $q(x, t, z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1)$, $g(x, t, z_0, y_0)$, $h(x, t, z_0, y_0)$ and $P(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$ are smooth functions satisfying the following generic conditions: $W := g_{z_0} h_{y_0} - g_{y_0} h_{z_0} \neq 0$, $D_x q \neq \ell_t$,

$$(P_{z_3}^2 + H_{z_3}^2)(P_{y_3}^2 + H_{y_3}^2) \neq 0, \quad (3.26)$$

where,

$$H := \frac{1}{g}(Ph + \delta D_x q - \delta \ell_t).$$

Moreover, the associated functions are

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= g, & f_{21} &= h, & f_{31} &= \ell, \\ f_{12} &= P, & f_{22} &= H, & f_{32} &= q. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Applications of the Theorems 3.8 and 3.10 provide new examples and new families of systems of evolution equations. The next corollary is an application of Theorem 3.10.

Corollary 3.11. *The family of nonlinear Schrödinger type,*

$$iq_t + \alpha(t)(q_{xx} - \delta k|q|^2q) + i\beta(t)(q_{xxx} - 3\delta k|q|^2q_x) = 0, \quad (3.28)$$

where, $k > 0$ is a constant, $\delta = 1$ (resp. $\delta = -1$), $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ are smooth functions of t , or equivalently in real form ($q = z_0 + iy_0$),

$$\begin{cases} z_{0,t} = \beta(t) (3\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)z_1 - z_3) + \alpha(t) (\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)y_0 - y_2), \\ z_{0,t} = \beta(t) (3\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)y_1 - y_3) + \alpha(t) (-\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)z_0 + z_2), \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \sqrt{k}(z_0 + y_0), & f_{21} &= \sqrt{k}(y_0 - z_0), & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\beta\sqrt{k}(y_2 + z_2) - \sqrt{k}(\beta\eta + \alpha)(y_1 - z_1) + f_{11}(\eta\alpha + \eta^2\beta + \delta\beta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)), \\ f_{22} &= -\beta\sqrt{k}(y_2 - z_2) + \sqrt{k}(\beta\eta + \alpha)(y_1 + z_1) + f_{21}(\eta\alpha + \eta^2\beta + \delta\beta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)), \\ f_{32} &= 2\delta k\beta(y_0z_1 - z_0y_1) + (\eta\beta + \alpha)(\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2) + \eta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

where η is a parameter.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.10, by setting $\ell(x, t) = \eta$, $g = \sqrt{k}(z_0 + y_0)$, $h = \sqrt{k}(y_0 - z_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} P &= -\beta\sqrt{k}(y_2 + z_2) - \sqrt{k}(\beta\eta + \alpha)(y_1 - z_1) + g(\eta\alpha + \eta^2\beta + \delta\beta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)), \\ H &= -\beta\sqrt{k}(y_2 - z_2) + \sqrt{k}(\beta\eta + \alpha)(y_1 + z_1) + h(\eta\alpha + \eta^2\beta + \delta\beta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2)), \\ Q &= 2\delta k\beta(y_0z_1 - z_0y_1) + (\eta\beta + \alpha)(\delta k(z_0^2 + y_0^2) + \eta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

□

Remark 3.12. The nonlinear Schrödinger equations given in Examples 3.1 and 3.2 are derived from Corollary 3.11 by setting $k = 1$, with $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = -1$ respectively.

Motivated by the coupled KdV system (2.7), we aim to provide characterizations for systems of the following type,

$$\begin{cases} z_{0,t} = az_3 + L_{11}(z_0, y_0)z_1 + L_{12}(z_0, y_0)y_1 + L_{13}(z_0, y_0), \\ y_{0,t} = by_3 + L_{21}(z_0, y_0)z_1 + L_{22}(z_0, y_0)y_1 + L_{23}(z_0, y_0), \end{cases} \quad (3.32)$$

where, a and b are constants, such that $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$ and L_{ij} are smooth functions of (z_0, y_0) . The characterizations will describe whether these systems describes **pss** or **ss**, under the assumption that the associated functions f_{ij} do not depend on the independent variables (x, t) .

For the remainder of this section, we assume that the associated functions f_{ij} do not depend on the independent variables x and t . The first characterization of the system (3.32) describing **pss** (resp. **ss**) considers $f_{21} = \eta$ or $f_{11} = \eta$. It demonstrates that the third-order coefficients of such systems must be equal, $a = b$. Additionally, the classification holds under transformations of the form $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (z_0 + c_1, y_0 + c_2)$, where c_i are constants. This implies that for any choice of c_1 and c_2 , the resulting system for $z_0 + c_1$ and $y_0 + c_2$ describes **pss** (resp. **ss**).

Theorem 3.13. *The system (3.32) describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions f_{ij} that are independent of (x, t) , where $f_{21} = \eta$ or $f_{11} = \eta$ if and only if, up transformations of type $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (z_0 + c_1, y_0 + c_2)$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, it is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} z_{0,t} &= az_3 + a(y_0q_{y_0} - \delta\eta^2 + z_0p_{z_0} + p)z_1 + z_0a(p_{y_0} - q_{y_0})y_1 + \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p - q)q_{y_0}, \\ y_{0,t} &= ay_3 + y_0a(p_{z_0} - q_{z_0})z_1 + a(z_0q_{z_0} - \delta\eta^2 + y_0p_{y_0} + p)y_1 - \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p - q)q_{z_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where, $\delta = 1$ (resp. -1), p is an arbitrary smooth function of (z_0, y_0) , q given by

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q_2^2 - \delta q_1^2) + c, \quad q_i = a_i z_0 + b_i y_0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

for c, a_i, b_i , $i = 1, 2$ constants such that $\gamma = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, if $f_{21} = \eta$, the associated functions f_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= q_1, & f_{21} &= \eta, & f_{31} &= q_2, \\ f_{12} &= aa_1 z_2 + ab_1 y_2 - a\eta(a_2 z_1 + b_2 y_1) + aq_1 p, \\ f_{22} &= a\gamma(z_0 y_1 - y_0 z_1) + a\eta q, \\ f_{32} &= aa_2 z_2 + ab_2 y_2 - a\delta\eta(a_1 z_1 + b_1 y_1) + aq_2 p. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if $f_{11} = \eta$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \eta, & f_{21} &= q_1, & f_{31} &= -q_2, \\ f_{12} &= a\gamma(z_0 y_1 - y_0 z_1) + a\eta q, \\ f_{22} &= aa_1 z_2 + ab_1 y_2 - a\eta(a_2 z_1 + b_2 y_1) + aq_1 p, \\ f_{32} &= -aa_2 z_2 - ab_2 y_2 + a\delta\eta(a_1 z_1 + b_1 y_1) - aq_2 p. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.14. The coupled KdV system, in Example 3.5, is derived from Theorem 3.13 by selecting the parameters $\delta = 1$, $a = a_2 = -1$, $a_1 = b_1 = b_2 = 1$, $c = \eta^2$ and $p = \eta^2 - 2z_0 y_0$.

For the subsequent characterization of system (3.32), we assume $f_{31} = \eta$. It is noteworthy that, in this scenario, the theorem guarantees $a = b$. Furthermore, the characterization remains valid under translations of the dependent variables (z_0, y_0) .

Theorem 3.15. *The system (3.32) describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions f_{ij} that are independent of (x, t) , where $f_{31} = \eta$ if and only if, up transformations of type $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (z_0 + c_1, y_0 + c_2)$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, it is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} z_{0,t} &= az_3 + a(p + z_0p_{z_0} - \delta y_0q_{y_0} + \eta^2)z_1 + z_0a(p_{y_0} + \delta q_{y_0})y_1 - \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p + \delta q)q_{y_0}, \\ y_{0,t} &= ay_3 + y_0a(p_{z_0} + \delta q_{z_0})z_1 + a(p + y_0p_{y_0} - \delta z_0q_{z_0} + \eta^2)y_1 + \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p + \delta q)q_{z_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

where, $\delta = 1$ (resp. -1), p is an arbitrary smooth function of (z_0, y_0) , q given by

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q_2^2 + q_1^2) + c, \quad q_i = a_i z_0 + b_i y_0, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

for c, a_i, b_i , $i = 1, 2$ constants such that $\gamma = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, the associates functions f_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= q_1, & f_{21} &= q_2, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= aa_1 z_2 + ab_1 y_2 + a\eta(a_2 z_1 + b_2 y_1) + aq_1 p, \\ f_{22} &= aa_2 z_2 + ab_2 y_2 - a\eta(a_1 z_1 + b_1 y_1) + aq_2 p, \\ f_{32} &= \delta a\gamma(z_0 y_1 - y_0 z_1) - \delta a\eta q. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.16. *The family of third-order evolution equations,*

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1,t} &= -z_3 + \delta\alpha^2(z_0^2 + y_0^2)z_1, \\ y_{1,t} &= -y_3 + \delta\alpha^2(z_0^2 + y_0^2)y_1, \end{aligned}$$

where, $\alpha \neq 0$ is a real constant and $\delta = 1$ (resp. $\delta = -1$) describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) for associated functions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha z_0, & f_{21} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha y_0, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(z_2 + \eta y_1) + \delta\frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha z_0(\alpha^2 z_0^2 + \alpha^2 y_0^2 + 3\delta\eta^2), \\ f_{22} &= -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}\alpha(y_2 - \eta z_1) + \delta\frac{\sqrt{6}}{9}\alpha y_0(\alpha^2 z_0^2 + \alpha^2 y_0^2 + 3\delta\eta^2), \\ f_{32} &= \frac{2}{3}\delta\alpha^2(y_0 z_1 - z_0 y_1) + \delta\frac{\eta}{3}(\alpha^2 z_0^2 + \alpha^2 y_0^2 + 3\delta\eta^2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.17. Examples 3.3 and 3.4 are derived from Corollary 3.16 by choosing $\delta = 1$ and $\delta = -1$ respectively.

4 Proofs of the Characterization and Classification Results

Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{10}$ be an open connected subset with coordinates $(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)$. Define the ideal \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{M} , generated by the forms Λ_i^j , $1 \leq j \leq 2, 0 \leq i \leq 4$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_i^1 &= dz_i \wedge dt - z_{i+1} dx \wedge dt, & \Lambda_i^2 &= dy_i \wedge dt - y_{i+1} dx \wedge dt, & 0 \leq i \leq 2, \\ \Lambda_3^1 &= dz_3 \wedge dx \wedge dt, & \Lambda_3^2 &= dy_3 \wedge dx \wedge dt, \\ \Lambda_4^1 &= dz_0 \wedge dx + F dx \wedge dt, & \Lambda_4^2 &= dy_0 \wedge dx + G dx \wedge dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where F and G are real smooth functions in \mathcal{M} . The solutions of the system (1.1) are related to integral manifolds of the ideal \mathcal{I} , as stated in the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1. *Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal defined by (4.1) with F and G real smooth function. Then, \mathcal{I} is integrable. If $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ is a smooth solution to the system (1.1), then the map*

$$\Phi(x, t) = (x, t, z_0(x, t), \dots, z_3(x, t), y_0(x, t), \dots, y_3(x, t)), \quad (4.2)$$

with $z_0 = u, z_{i+1} = z_{0,x}, y_0 = v, y_{i+1} = y_{i,x}$, defines a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . Conversely, any regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} given by

$$\Psi(p, q) = (x(p, q), t(p, q), z_0(p, q), \dots, z_3(p, q), y_0(p, q), \dots, y_3(p, q)), \quad (4.3)$$

with dx and dt linearly independent, determines a local solution of the system (1.1).

Proof. By taking the exterior differentiation of the ideal \mathcal{I} , it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} d\Lambda_i^1 &= \Lambda_{i+1}^1 \wedge dx, & d\Lambda_i^2 &= \Lambda_{i+1}^2 \wedge dx, & i &= 0, 1, \\ d\Lambda_2^1 &= -\Lambda_3^1, & d\Lambda_3^1 &= 0, & d\Lambda_2^2 &= -\Lambda_3^2, & d\Lambda_3^2 &= 0, \\ d\Lambda_4^1 &= -\sum_{i=0}^2 (F_{z_i} \Lambda_i^1 \wedge dx + F_{y_i} \Lambda_i^2 \wedge dx) + F_{z_3} \Lambda_3^1 + F_{y_3} \Lambda_3^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$d\Lambda_4^2 = - \sum_{i=0}^2 (G_{z_i} \Lambda_i^1 \wedge dx + G_{y_i} \Lambda_i^2 \wedge dx) + G_{z_3} \Lambda_3^1 + G_{y_3} \Lambda_3^2,$$

then, \mathcal{I} is closed under exterior differentiation, that is $d\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{I}$, and therefore by Frobenius Theorem it is integrable (see [24]).

For the second part of the proposition, let Φ defined by (4.2). Notice that Φ_x and Φ are linearly independent, so Φ is regular. Moreover, the pull-back of Λ_i^j by Φ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^* \Lambda_i^1 &= \left(\frac{\partial^i u}{\partial x^i} - z_i \right) dx \wedge dt, & \Phi^* \Lambda_i^2 &= \left(\frac{\partial^i v}{\partial x^i} - y_i \right) dx \wedge dt, & 0 \leq i \leq 2, \\ \Phi^* \Lambda_3^1 &= 0, & \Phi^* \Lambda_3^2 &= 0, \\ \Phi^* \Lambda_4^1 &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + F \circ \Phi \right) dx \wedge dt, & \Phi^* \Lambda_4^2 &= \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + G \circ \Phi \right) dx \wedge dt, \end{aligned}$$

vanishes for every $(u(x, t), v(x, t))$ smooth solution of system (1.1). Therefore, Φ is a regular integral manifold of \mathcal{I} . Conversely, let $\Psi(p, q)$ defined as in (4.3), then

$$\Psi^*(dx \wedge dt) = (x_p t_q - x_q t_p) dp \wedge dq.$$

Since Φ is regular and dx, dt are linearly independent, we have $x_p t_q - x_q t_p \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists a local reparametrization $\bar{\Psi}(x, t)$, of $\Psi(p, q)$, that is also an integrable manifold of \mathcal{I} . Therefore, the projections,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Psi}(x, t) &\mapsto (x, t, z_0(x, t)), \\ \bar{\Psi}(x, t) &\mapsto (x, t, y_0(x, t)), \end{aligned}$$

define smooth graphs of a solution to the system (1.1). □

Proof of Theorem 3.6

Proof. Let $f_{ij}(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3)$ be smooth functions in \mathcal{M} and consider $\omega_i = f_{i1} dx + f_{i2} dt$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, 1-forms locally defined in \mathcal{M} . The structure equations for a **pss** (resp. **ss**),

$$d\omega_1 = W_1 dx \wedge dt, \quad d\omega_2 = W_2 dx \wedge dt, \quad d\omega_3 = W_3 dx \wedge dt, \quad (4.4)$$

where $W_1 = f_{31} f_{22} - f_{32} f_{21}$, $W_2 = f_{11} f_{32} - f_{12} f_{31}$ and $W_3 = \delta f_{11} f_{22} - \delta f_{12} f_{21}$, and $\delta = 1$ (resp. $\delta = -1$) are satisfied if, and only if, for $i = 1, 2, 3$

$$(f_{i2,x} - f_{i1,t} - W_i) dx \wedge dt + \sum_{j=0}^2 (f_{i1,z_j} dz_j \wedge dx + f_{i1,y_j} dy_j \wedge dx + f_{i2,z_j} dz_j \wedge dt + f_{i2,y_j} dy_j \wedge dt) = 0.$$

Considering $\Lambda_i^j = 0$, for $0 \leq j \leq 2, 0 \leq i \leq 3$ in the ideal (4.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &(f_{i2,x} - f_{i1,t} - W_i - F f_{i1,z_0} - G f_{i1,y_0} + \sum_{j=0}^2 f_{i2,z_j} z_{j+1} + f_{i2,y_j} y_{j+1}) dx \wedge dt + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^3 (f_{i1,z_j} dz_j \wedge dx + f_{i1,y_j} dy_j \wedge dx) + f_{i2,z_3} dz_3 \wedge dx + f_{i2,y_3} dy_3 \wedge dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Equating to zero the coefficients of the independent 2-forms, we obtain (3.13), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.17). Moreover, equation (3.14) must hold in order to obtain F and G from

equations (3.15)-(3.17). Finally, equation (3.18) must also hold, because it is locally equivalent to $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \neq 0$.

A straightforward computation shows that the conditions (3.13)-(3.18), are also sufficient for the system to describe **pss** (resp. **ss**). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.8

Proof. It suffices to prove Theorem (3.8) for $f_{21} = \ell$. This follows from the fact that the structure equations (2.1) are invariant under the transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &\rightarrow f_{21}, & f_{12} &\rightarrow f_{22}, \\ f_{21} &\rightarrow f_{11}, & f_{22} &\rightarrow f_{12}, \\ f_{31} &\rightarrow -f_{31}, & f_{32} &\rightarrow -f_{32}. \end{aligned}$$

This invariance allows us to derive results for $f_{11} = \ell$ from the case $f_{21} = \ell$.

We first establish the necessary conditions. By Theorem (3.6), we know that the functions f_{ij} satisfy equations (3.13)-(3.18) on an open connected subset. From equation (3.13), f_{i1} depends on (x, t, z_0, y_0) while f_{i2} depends on $(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. The generic condition (3.14) reduces to

$$W = f_{11,z_0}f_{31,y_0} - f_{11,y_0}f_{31,z_0} \neq 0, \quad (4.6)$$

therefore, equations (3.15) and (3.17) are equivalent to,

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,t} \\ y_{0,t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W} \begin{pmatrix} f_{31,y_0} & -f_{11,y_0} \\ -f_{31,z_0} & f_{11,z_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_x f_{12} - f_{11,t} - f_{31}f_{22} + \ell f_{32} \\ D_x f_{32} - f_{31,t} - \delta f_{11}f_{22} + \delta \ell f_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

Moreover, equation (3.16) reduces to,

$$D_x f_{22} - \ell_t - f_{11}f_{32} + f_{12}f_{31} = 0. \quad (4.8)$$

By taking the derivatives with respect to z_3 and y_3 of the above equality, we have,

$$f_{22,z_2} = f_{22,y_2} = 0,$$

hence f_{22} is a function that depends only on $(x, t, z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1)$. Moreover, since $f_{11} \neq 0$ (otherwise $W = 0$), it follows from equation (4.8) that,

$$f_{32} = \frac{1}{f_{11}}(D_x f_{22} - \ell_t + f_{12}f_{31}). \quad (4.9)$$

By denoting $q = f_{22}$, $g = f_{11}$, $h = f_{31}$, $P = f_{12}$ and $H = f_{32}$, we have that equation (4.6) reads $W = g_{z_0}h_{y_0} - g_{y_0}h_{z_0} \neq 0$. Equation (4.9) is equivalent to $H = 1/g(D_x q - \ell_t + hP)$, and the system (4.7) reduces to (3.21). Moreover, the generic condition (3.18) amounts to $\ell P - gq \neq 0$. Finally, the generic condition (3.20) reduces to the condition (3.22).

The converse is a straightforward computation. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.10

Proof. We first establish the necessary conditions. By Theorem (3.6), we know that the functions f_{ij} satisfy equations (3.13)-(3.18) on an open connected subset. From equation (3.13), f_{i1} depends on (x, t, z_0, y_0) while f_{i2} depends on $(x, t, z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. The generic condition (3.14) reduces to

$$W = f_{11,z_0}f_{21,y_0} - f_{11,y_0}f_{21,z_0} \neq 0, \quad (4.10)$$

therefore, equations (3.15) and (3.17) are equivalent to,

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{0,t} \\ y_{0,t} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{W} \begin{pmatrix} f_{21,y_0} & -f_{11,y_0} \\ -f_{21,z_0} & f_{11,z_0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_x f_{12} - f_{11,t} - \ell f_{22} + f_{21}f_{32} \\ D_x f_{22} - f_{21,t} - f_{11}f_{32} + \ell f_{12} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

Moreover, equation (3.16) reduces to,

$$D_x f_{32} - \ell_t - \delta f_{11}f_{22} + \delta \ell f_{12} = 0. \quad (4.12)$$

By taking the derivatives with respect to z_3 and y_3 of the above equality, we have,

$$f_{32,z_2} = f_{32,y_2} = 0,$$

hence f_{32} is a function that depends only on $(x, t, z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1)$. Moreover, since $f_{11} \neq 0$ (otherwise $W = 0$), it follows from equation (4.12) that,

$$f_{22} = \frac{1}{f_{11}}(\delta D_x f_{32} - \delta \ell_t + f_{12}f_{21}). \quad (4.13)$$

By denoting $q = f_{32}$, $g = f_{11}$, $h = f_{21}$, $P = f_{12}$ and $H = f_{22}$, we have that equation (4.10) reads $W = g_{z_0}h_{y_0} - g_{y_0}h_{z_0} \neq 0$. Equation (4.13) is equivalent to $H = 1/g(\delta D_x q - \delta \ell_t + Ph)$, and the system (4.11) reduces to (3.25). Moreover, the generic condition (3.18) amounts to $D_x q \neq \ell_t$. Finally, the generic condition (3.20) reduces to the condition (3.26).

The converse is a straightforward computation. □

Proof of Theorem 3.13

Proof. Similarly to the argument provided in the proof of Theorem (3.8), we will just consider the case in which $f_{21} = \eta$.

We first prove the necessary conditions. According to Theorem 3.6, the system (3.32) describes **pss** (resp. **ss**), for associated functions f_{ij} , independent of (x, t) , satisfying $f_{21} = \eta$, provided that f_{i1} depends solely on (z_0, y_0) and f_{i2} is a function of $(z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying

$$f_{11,z_0}f_{31,y_0} - f_{11,y_0}f_{31,z_0} \neq 0, \quad (4.14)$$

$$f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}\eta \neq 0, \quad (4.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & -f_{11,z_0}(az_3 + L_{11}z_1 + L_{12}y_1 + L_{13}) - f_{11,y_0}(by_3 + L_{21}z_1 + L_{22}y_1 + L_{23}) + \\ & + D_x f_{12} - f_{31}f_{22} + \eta f_{32} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

$$D_x f_{22} - f_{11}f_{32} + f_{12}f_{31} = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -f_{31,z_0}(az_3 + L_{11}z_1 + L_{12}y_1 + L_{13}) - f_{31,y_0}(by_3 + L_{21}z_1 + L_{22}y_1 + L_{23}) + \\
& + D_x f_{32} - \delta f_{11} f_{22} + \delta \eta f_{12} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

where, according to notation (3.11), for functions $f_{i2}(z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$,

$$D_x f_{i2} = f_{i2,z_0} z_1 + f_{i2,z_1} z_2 + f_{i2,z_2} z_3 + f_{i2,y_0} y_1 + f_{i2,y_1} y_2 + f_{i2,y_2} y_3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

Differentiating (4.16)-(4.18) with respect to z_3 and y_3 , it follows that,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{12,z_2} &= a f_{11,z_0}, & f_{12,y_2} &= b f_{11,y_0}, \\
f_{22,z_2} &= 0, & f_{22,y_2} &= 0, \\
f_{32,z_2} &= a f_{31,z_0}, & f_{32,y_2} &= b f_{31,y_0},
\end{aligned}$$

hence, there exist smooth functions P_1, Q_1, H_1 depending on (z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1) , such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{12} &= a f_{11,z_0} z_2 + b f_{11,y_0} y_2 + P_1, \\
f_{22} &= Q_1, \\
f_{32} &= a f_{31,z_0} z_2 + b f_{31,y_0} y_2 + H_1.
\end{aligned}$$

With this notation, equations (4.16)-(4.18) reduce to linear polynomials in z_2 and y_2 . The coefficients following z_2 and y_2 , which depend only on (z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1) , must vanish, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{1,z_1} + a f_{11,z_0} z_1 + a f_{11,y_0} y_1 + a \eta f_{31,z_0} &= 0, \\
P_{1,y_1} + b f_{11,z_0} z_1 + b f_{11,y_0} y_1 + b \eta f_{31,y_0} &= 0, \\
Q_{1,z_1} + a f_{11,z_0} f_{31} - a f_{31,z_0} f_{11} &= 0, \\
Q_{1,y_1} + b f_{11,y_0} f_{31} - b f_{31,y_0} f_{11} &= 0, \\
H_{1,z_1} + a f_{31,z_0} z_1 + a f_{31,y_0} y_1 + a \eta \delta f_{11,z_0} &= 0, \\
H_{1,y_1} + b f_{31,z_0} z_1 + b f_{31,y_0} y_1 + b \eta \delta f_{11,y_0} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Interpreting the above relations as equations for P_1, H_1 , and Q_1 , we find that Q_1 is integrable. The compatibility conditions $P_{1,z_1,y_1} = P_{1,y_1,z_1}$ and $H_{1,z_1,y_1} = H_{1,y_1,z_1}$ yield $(a-b)f_{11,z_0,y_0} = 0$ and $(a-b)f_{31,z_0,y_0} = 0$, respectively.

Hence, we have two cases: $a = b$ and $a \neq b$. We assert that $a = b$, as stated in the following claim:

Claim: $a = b$.

Proof: We prove this claim by contradiction. Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $a \neq b$. Hence, the compatibility conditions for P_1 and H_1 reduce to $f_{11,z_0,y_0} = f_{31,z_0,y_0} = 0$. Then, there exist smooth functions $g_i(z_0), h_i(y_0)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $f_{11} = g_1(z_0) + h_1(y_0)$ and $f_{31} = g_2(z_0) + h_2(y_0)$. Moreover, P_1, Q_1 and H_1 are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} g_{1,z_0 z_0} a z_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} h_{1,y_0 y_0} b y_1^2 - g_{2,z_0} a \eta z_1 - h_{2,y_0} b \eta y_1 + P_0, \\
Q_1 &= (-g_{1,z_0} a (g_2 + h_2) + g_{2,z_0} a (g_1 + h_1)) z_1 + (-h_{1,y_0} b (g_2 + h_2) + h_{2,y_0} b (g_1 + h_1)) y_1 + Q_1, \\
H_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} g_{2,z_0 z_0} a z_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} h_{2,y_0 y_0} b y_1^2 - \delta a \eta g_{1,z_0} z_1 - \delta \eta h_{1,y_0} b y_1 + H_0,
\end{aligned}$$

where, P_0, Q_0, H_0 are smooth functions of (z_0, y_0) . With this notation, equation (4.17) reduces to a second-degree polynomial in z_1 and y_1 whose coefficients depend on (z_0, y_0) . Therefore, for (4.17) to hold, we require each of these coefficients to vanish. In particular, the coefficient of $z_1 y_1$ becomes:

$$(a-b)(h_{1,y_0} g_{2,z_0} - h_{2,y_0} g_{1,z_0}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, from (4.14), we have $g_{1,z_0}h_{2,y_0} - h_{1,y_0}g_{2,z_0} \neq 0$. Therefore, $a - b = 0$, which is a contradiction. This concludes the proof of the claim.

From the preceding claim establishing $b = a$, the equations in (4.19) become integrable for P_1 , Q_1 , and H_1 , yielding

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}f_{11,z_0z_0}az_1^2 - f_{11,z_0y_0}az_1y_1 - \frac{1}{2}f_{11,y_0y_0}ay_1^2 - f_{31,z_0}a\eta z_1 - f_{31,y_0}a\eta y_1 + P_0, \\ Q_1 &= a(f_{11}f_{31,z_0} - f_{31}f_{11,z_0})z_1 + a(f_{11}f_{31,y_0} - f_{31}f_{11,y_0})y_1 + Q_0, \\ H_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}f_{31,z_0z_0}az_1^2 - f_{31,z_0y_0}az_1y_1 - \frac{1}{2}f_{31,y_0y_0}ay_1^2 - f_{11,z_0}a\delta\eta z_1 - f_{11,y_0}a\delta\eta y_1 + H_0, \end{aligned}$$

where P_0 , Q_0 and H_0 are functions of (z_0, y_0) .

With this notation, equations (4.16)-(4.18) become polynomials in z_1 and y_1 . Equation (4.17) becomes a second-degree polynomial, while equations (4.16) and (4.18) become third-degree polynomials. The coefficients of these polynomials depend only on functions of (z_0, y_0) . For these equations to hold, each of these coefficients must vanish. From the third and second-degree terms in (4.16)-(4.18), we observe that all third and second-order partial derivatives of f_{11} and f_{31} must vanish. Therefore, we express them as first-degree polynomials, denoted by q_1 and q_2 , respectively

$$f_{11} = q_1 = a_1z_0 + b_1y_0 + a_0, \quad f_{31} = q_2 = a_2z_0 + b_2y_0 + b_0,$$

User where a_i and b_i are constants that, by equation (4.14), must satisfy the condition

$$\gamma := a_1b_2 - a_2b_1 \neq 0.$$

We can assume $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ up to a transformation $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (z_0 + c_1, y_0 + c_2)$, namely

$$c_1 = \frac{b_1b_0 - b_2a_0}{\gamma}, \quad c_2 = \frac{a_2a_0 - a_1b_0}{\gamma},$$

therefore,

$$q_1 = a_1z_0 + b_1y_0, \quad q_2 = a_2z_0 + b_2y_0. \quad (4.20)$$

We introduce p and h as functions of (z_0, y_0) given by

$$p = \frac{-b_1H_0 + b_2P_0}{z_0a\gamma}, \quad h = \frac{a_1H_0 - a_2P_0}{y_0a\gamma}, \quad (4.21)$$

and we rewrite the arbitrary functions P_0 and H_0 as $P_0 = aa_1z_0p + ab_1y_0h$ and $H_0 = ab_2y_0h + aa_2z_0p$. With this notation the equation (4.17), reduces to

$$(Q_{0,z_0} + (q_1a_1\delta - q_2a_2)a\eta)z_1 + (Q_{0,y_0} + (q_1b_1\delta - q_2b_2)a\eta)y_1 + z_0y_0a\gamma(p - h) = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

where both the coefficients of z_1 and y_1 , as well as the independent term vanish. For the terms involving z_1 and y_1 terms, q_1 and q_2 are given by (4.20) and satisfy $(q_1a_1\delta - a_2q_2)_{y_0} = (q_1b_1\delta - q_2b_2)_{z_0}$. This allows us to determine Q_0 as,

$$Q_0 = a\eta q, \quad q = \frac{1}{2}(q_2^2 - \delta q_1^2) + c,$$

where c is an integration constant. Furthermore, since $\gamma \neq 0$, the independent term implies $h = p$. With this notation we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= q_1, & f_{21} &= \eta, & f_{31} &= q_2, \\ f_{12} &= aa_1z_2 + ab_1y_2 - aa_2\eta z_1 - ab_2\eta y_1 + aq_1p, \\ f_{22} &= -ay_0\gamma z_1 + az_0\gamma y_1 + a\eta q, \\ f_{32} &= aa_2z_2 + ab_2y_2 - aa_1\delta\eta z_1 - a\delta\eta b_1y_1 + aq_2p. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the condition (4.15) can be expressed as

$$-a\eta a_1 z_2 - a\eta b_1 y_2 + (-\gamma a y_0 q_1 + a\eta^2 a_2) z_1 + (\gamma z_0 a q_1 - a\eta^2 b_2) y_1 + a\eta(q-p)q_1 \neq 0.$$

This condition is satisfied. Otherwise, each coefficient must vanish. Given that $\gamma = a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$, we have that both $a_1^2 + b_1^2 \neq 0$ and $q_1 \neq 0$. From the coefficients of z_2 and y_2 , we deduce $a\eta a_1 = a\eta b_1 = 0$. Since $a \neq 0$, it implies $\eta = 0$. Consequently, the coefficients of z_1 and y_1 for $\eta = 0$ are equivalent to $\gamma a q_1 = 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Finally, equations (4.16) and (4.18) reduce to first-degree polynomials in z_1 and y_1 . Each of these equations requires setting its coefficients to zero, namely

$$-a_1 L_{11} - b_1 L_{21} - a\delta\eta^2 a_1 + a\gamma y_0 q_2 + a a_1 p + a q_1 p_{z_0} = 0, \quad (4.23)$$

$$-a_1 L_{12} - b_1 L_{22} - a\delta\eta^2 b_1 - a\gamma z_0 q_2 + a b_1 p + a q_1 p_{y_0} = 0, \quad (4.24)$$

$$-a_1 L_{13} - b_1 L_{23} - a\eta(q-p)q_2 = 0, \quad (4.25)$$

$$-a_2 L_{11} - b_2 L_{21} - a a_2 \delta\eta^2 + a\gamma \delta y_0 q_1 + a_2 p + a q_2 p_{z_0} = 0, \quad (4.26)$$

$$-a_2 L_{12} - b_2 L_{22} - a b_2 \delta\eta^2 - a\gamma \delta z_0 q_1 + a b_2 p + a q_2 p_{y_0} = 0, \quad (4.27)$$

$$-a_2 L_{13} - b_2 L_{23} - a\delta\eta(q-p). \quad (4.28)$$

These equations can be solved for L_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$. By using the identities,

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 q_2 - \delta a_1 q_1 &= q_{z_0}, & b_2 q_2 - \delta b_1 q_1 &= q_{y_0}, \\ b_2 q_1 - b_1 q_2 &= \gamma z_0, & a_2 q_1 - a_1 q_2 &= -\gamma y_0, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= a y_0 q_{y_0} - a\delta\eta^2 + a z_0 p_{z_0} + a p, & L_{12} &= -a z_0 q_{y_0} + a p_{y_0} z_0, & L_{13} &= \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p-q)q_{y_0}, \\ L_{21} &= -a y_0 q_{z_0} + a y_0 p_{z_0}, & L_{22} &= a z_0 q_{z_0} - a\delta\eta^2 + y_0 a p_{y_0} + a p, & L_{23} &= -\frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p-q)q_{z_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the system (3.32) simplifies to (3.33). This concludes the first part of the proof.

The converse follows from a straightforward computation. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.15

Proof. The proof follows a similar approach to that of Theorem 3.13. By solving the equations derived from setting the high-order coefficients of equations (3.15)-(3.17) to zero, we successively obtain a polynomial of lower order. Hence, we will provide a brief outline of the proof.

By Theorem 3.6, the necessary conditions the system (3.32) describes **pss** (resp. **ss**) with associated functions f_{ij} , not depending on (x, t) , with $f_{31} = \eta$, are

$$f_{11, z_0} f_{21, y_0} - f_{11, z_0} f_{21, z_0} \neq 0, \quad (4.29)$$

$$f_{11} f_{22} - f_{12} f_{21} \neq 0, \quad (4.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-f_{11, z_0}(a z_3 + L_{11} z_1 + L_{12} y_1 + L_{13}) - f_{11, y_0}(b z_3 + L_{21} z_1 + L_{22} y_1 + L_{23}) + \\ &+ D_x f_{12} - \eta f_{22} + f_{21} f_{12} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &-f_{21, z_0}(a z_3 + L_{11} z_1 + L_{12} y_1 + L_{13}) - f_{21, y_0}(b z_3 + L_{21} z_1 + L_{22} y_1 + L_{23}) + \\ &+ D_x f_{22} - f_{11} f_{32} + \eta f_{32} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

$$D_x f_{32} - \delta f_{11} f_{22} + \delta f_{21} f_{12} = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

where, according to notation (3.11), for functions $f_{i2}(z_0, z_1, z_2, y_0, y_1, y_2)$,

$$D_x f_{i2} = f_{i2,z_0} z_1 + f_{i2,z_1} z_2 + f_{i2,z_2} z_3 + f_{i2,y_0} y_1 + f_{i2,y_1} y_2 + f_{i2,y_2} y_3, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

From z_3 and y_3 coefficients of (4.31)-(4.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_{12} &= a f_{11,z_0} z_2 + b f_{11,y_0} y_2 + P_1, \\ f_{22} &= a f_{21,z_0} z_2 + b f_{21,y_0} y_2 + H_1, \\ f_{32} &= Q_1, \end{aligned}$$

where P_1, Q_1 and H_1 are smooth functions of (z_0, z_1, y_0, y_1) .

Similar to the proof of Theorem (3.13), we can demonstrate that $a = b$. Then, from the coefficients of z_2 and y_2 in equations (4.31)-(4.33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} f_{11,z_0 z_0} a z_1^2 - f_{11,z_0 y_0} a z_1 y_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_{11,y_0 y_0} a y_1^2 + f_{21,z_0} a \eta z_1 + f_{21,y_0} a \eta y_1 + P_0, \\ H_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} f_{21,z_0 z_0} a z_1^2 - f_{21,z_0 y_0} a z_1 y_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_{21,y_0 y_0} a y_1^2 - f_{11,z_0} a \eta z_1 - f_{11,y_0} a \eta y_1 + H_0, \\ Q_1 &= a \delta (f_{11} f_{21,z_0} - f_{21} f_{11,z_0}) z_1 + a \delta (f_{11} f_{21,y_0} - f_{21} f_{11,y_0}) y_1 + Q_0, \end{aligned}$$

where P_0, Q_0 and H_0 are functions of (z_0, y_0) . Moreover, we introduce p and h as functions of (z_0, y_0) , such that,

$$P_0 = a a_1 z_0 p + a b_1 y_0 h, \quad H_0 = a b_2 y_0 h + a a_2 z_0 p.$$

From the third and second-degree terms in (4.31)-(4.33), we have that all second and third-order partial derivatives of f_{11} and f_{31} are zero. Therefore, f_{11} and f_{31} are first-order polynomials

$$f_{11} = q_1 = a_1 z_0 + b_1 y_0, \quad f_{31} = q_2 = a_2 z_0 + b_2 y_0,$$

where a_i and b_i , where $\gamma := a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$. Here we assumed, up to a transformation $(z_0, y_0) \rightarrow (z_0 + c_1, y_0 + c_2)$, that the independent coefficient of q_1 and q_2 are zero.

With this notation the equation (4.33), reduces to

$$(Q_{0,z_0} + \delta a \eta (q_1 a_1 + q_2 a_2)) z_1 + (Q_{0,y_0} + \delta a \eta (q_1 b_1 + q_2 b_2) a \eta) y_1 + a \delta \gamma z_0 y_0 (p - h) = 0,$$

them, $h = p$ and

$$Q_0 = -a \eta \delta q, \quad q = \frac{1}{2} (q_2^2 + q_1^2) + c,$$

where c is an integration constant.

Therefore, in this case, the associates functions f_{ij} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{11} &= q_1, & f_{21} &= q_2, & f_{31} &= \eta, \\ f_{12} &= a a_1 z_2 + a b_1 y_2 + \eta a (a_2 z_1 + b_2 y_1) + q_1 p, \\ f_{22} &= a a_2 z_2 + a b_2 y_2 - \eta a (a_1 z_1 + b_1 y_1) + q_2 p, \\ f_{32} &= \delta \gamma a (z_0 y_1 - y_0 z_1) - \delta \eta a q. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the coefficients of z_2 and y_2 in (4.30) are $-a \gamma y_0$ and $a \gamma z_0$, respectively, which do not vanish. This is sufficient for condition (4.30) to hold. Finally, equations (4.31) and (4.32) reduce

to first-degree polynomials in z_1 and y_1 . Each of these equations requires setting its coefficients to zero, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
& -a_1L_{11} - b_1L_{21} + aa_1\eta^2 - a\delta a_1q_2^2 + a\delta a_2q_1q_2 + aa_1p + aq_1p_{z_0} = 0, \\
& -a_1L_{12} - b_1L_{22} + a\eta^2b_1 - a\delta b_1q_2^2 + a\delta b_2q_1q_2 + ab_1p + aq_1p_{y_0} = 0, \\
& -a_1L_{13} - b_1L_{23} - a\eta(p + \delta q)q_2 = 0, \\
& -a_2L_{11} - b_2L_{21} + aa_2\eta^2 - a\delta a_2q_1^2 + a\delta a_1q_1q_2 + aa_2p + aq_2p_{z_0} = 0, \\
& -a_2L_{12} - b_2L_{22} + a\eta^2b_2 - a\delta b_2q_1^2 + a\delta b_1q_2q_1 + ab_2p + aq_2p_{y_0} = 0, \\
& -a_2L_{13} - b_2L_{23} + a\eta q_1(p + \delta q).
\end{aligned}$$

These equations can be solved for L_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$. By using the identities,

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1q_1 + a_2q_2 &= q_{z_0}, & b_2q_2 + b_1q_1 &= q_{y_0}, \\
b_2q_1 - b_1q_2 &= \gamma z_0, & a_2q_1 - a_1q_2 &= -\gamma y_0,
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{11} &= -a\delta y_0q_{y_0} + a\eta^2 + z_0ap_{z_0} + ap, & L_{12} &= a\delta z_0q_{y_0} + z_0ap_{y_0}, & L_{13} &= -\frac{\eta}{a\gamma}(p + \delta q)q_{y_0}, \\
L_{21} &= a\delta y_0q_{z_0} + y_0ap_{z_0}, & L_{22} &= -a\delta z_0q_{z_0} + a\eta^2 + y_0ap_{y_0} + ap, & L_{23} &= \frac{a\eta}{\gamma}(p + \delta q)q_{z_0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain (3.34). The converse follows from a straightforward computation. \square

5 Bäcklund Transformation for the coupled KdV system

In this section we prove a Bäcklund Transformation for the coupled KdV system (Example 3.5),

$$\begin{cases} u_t = -u_{xxx} + 6uvu_x, \\ v_t = -v_{xxx} + 6uvv_x. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

This transformation allows one to construct a four-parameter family of new solutions by solving a first-order system of equations. The Bäcklund Transformation is given in Theorem 5.1, with an equivalent version provided in Theorem 5.3. Additionally, we illustrate this transformation with examples.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (u, v) be a real solution of the coupled KdV system. Then, for any constants λ_1, λ_2 , such that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$, the following system is completely integrable,*

$$\begin{aligned}
(u' - u)_x &= \sigma(u' + u)((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda_2(u' - u), \\
(v' - v)_x &= \sigma(v' + v)((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \lambda_2(v' - v), \\
(u' - u)_t &= -2\sigma((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[u_{xx} + \lambda_2u_x + \lambda_2^2(u' - u) - (u' + u) \left(uv + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{2} \right) \right] + \\
&\quad - [(u' - u)(v' - 3v) - 2\lambda_1^2] u_x - (u' - u)(u' + u)v_x + \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_2(u' - u) \left(u'v' + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{2} \right) - 2\lambda_2(u' + u) ((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2), \\
(v' - v)_t &= 2\sigma((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[-v_{xx} + \lambda_2v_x - \lambda_2^2(v' - v) + (v' + v) \left(uv + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{2} \right) \right] + \\
&\quad - [(v' - v)(u' - 3u) - 2\lambda_1^2] v_x - (v' - v)(v' + v)u_x + \\
&\quad - 2\lambda_2(v' - v) \left(u'v' + \frac{\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2}{2} \right) + 2\lambda_2(v' + v) ((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2),
\end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$. Moreover, (u', v') is a solution of the coupled KdV system.

Proof. We know from Example 3.5 that the coupled KdV system describes **pss** with associated functions given by (3.10). Therefore, the associated Riccati system (2.15),

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_x &= -u\Gamma^2 - \eta\Gamma + v, \\ \Gamma_t &= (u_{xx} + \eta u_x + \eta^2 u - 2vu^2)\Gamma^2 + [2(uv_x - vu_x - \eta uv) + \eta^3]\Gamma - v_{xx} + \eta v_x - \eta^2 v + 2uv^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$, is integrable for $\Gamma(x, t) \in \mathbb{C}$. Considering $\Gamma = \phi + i\psi$ and $\eta = \lambda_2 + i\lambda_1$, the real and imaginary parts of the Riccati system read as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x &= u(\psi^2 - \phi^2) + \lambda_1\psi - \lambda_2\phi + v, \\ \psi_x &= -2u\phi\psi - \lambda_1\phi - \lambda_2\psi \\ \phi_t &= [u_{xx} + \lambda_2 u_x - 2vu^2 + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)u](\phi^2 - \psi^2) - 2\lambda_1(2\lambda_2 u + u_x)\phi\psi + \\ &\quad + [\lambda_2(-2uv - 3\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) + 2(uv_x - vu_x)]\phi + \lambda_1(2uv + \lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2)\psi + \\ &\quad - v_{xx} + \lambda_2 v_x + 2uv^2 + (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2)v, \\ \psi_t &= \lambda_1(2\lambda_2 u + u_x)(\phi^2 - \psi^2) + 2[u_{xx} + \lambda_2 u_x - 2vu^2 + (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2)u]\phi\psi + \\ &\quad - \lambda_1(2uv + \lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2)\phi + [-\lambda_2(2uv + 3\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2) + 2(uv_x - vu_x)]\psi + \\ &\quad + \lambda_1(v_x - 2v\lambda_2).\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

From the first two equations of (5.3), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}u &= -\frac{\psi_x + \lambda_1\phi + \lambda_2\psi}{2\psi\phi}, \\ v &= \phi_x - \frac{(\phi^2 - \psi^2)\psi_x + (\phi^2 + \psi^2)(\lambda_1\phi - \lambda_2\psi)}{2\psi\phi}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.4}$$

By replacing u, v into the last two equations of (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_t &= -\phi_{xxx} + \frac{3}{2}\phi_x A - \frac{3(\psi_x^2 + \phi_x^2)(2\lambda_2\phi - \phi_x)}{2\phi^2} + 3\frac{\phi\phi_x - \psi\psi_x}{\psi\phi}\psi_{xx}, \\ \psi_t &= -\psi_{xxx} + \frac{3\psi_x}{2}A - \frac{3(\psi_x^2 + \phi_x^2)(2\lambda_2\psi + \psi_x)}{2\phi^2} + 3\frac{\phi\psi_x + \psi\phi_x}{\psi\phi}\psi_{xx},\end{aligned}\tag{5.5}$$

where,

$$A = \frac{(\psi^2 + \phi^2)(\lambda_1^2\phi^2 - \lambda_2^2\psi^2) - (\phi\psi_x + \psi\phi_x)^2}{\phi^2\psi^2}.$$

Hence, the system (5.3) is equivalent to (5.4)-(5.5). It follows that, given a solution (u, v) of the coupled KdV system, then for each pair of constants λ_1 and λ_2 , the system (5.3) is completely integrable, and (ϕ, ψ) is a solution of (5.5). Conversely, if (ϕ, ψ) is a solution of (5.3), then (u, v) defined by (5.4) satisfies the coupled KdV system.

We notice that equation (5.5) is invariant under the transformation

$$(\phi, \psi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) \mapsto (\phi', \psi', \lambda'_1, \lambda'_2) = (\phi, \psi, -\lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

As a consequence, if (u, v) is a solution of the coupled KdV and $(\phi, \psi, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ satisfies (5.3), then the functions (u', v') , given by

$$\begin{aligned}u' &= -\frac{\psi_x - \lambda_1\phi + \lambda_2\psi}{2\psi\phi}, \\ v' &= \phi_x - \frac{(\phi^2 - \psi^2)\psi_x - (\phi^2 + \psi^2)(\lambda_1\phi + \lambda_2\psi)}{2\psi\phi},\end{aligned}\tag{5.6}$$

is also a solution of the coupled KdV system. From (5.6) and (5.4) we obtain

$$u' - u = \frac{\lambda_1}{\psi}, \quad v' - v = \lambda_1 \frac{\phi^2 + \psi^2}{\psi}. \quad (5.7)$$

From (5.6), we have that

$$\psi = \frac{\lambda_1}{u' - u}, \quad \phi = \sigma \frac{((u' - u)(v' - v) - \lambda_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{u' - u}, \quad (5.8)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$.

Finally, differentiating (5.7) with respect to x and t and using (5.3) and (5.8), we have (5.2) which concludes the proof. \square

Example 5.2. Let $u = v \equiv 0$ the trivial solution of the coupled KdV system, then

$$\begin{aligned} u' &= \sigma \lambda_1 e^{\lambda_2 x - (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t - k_2} \sec(\lambda_1 x + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)t + k_1), \\ v' &= \sigma \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2} \sec(\lambda_1 x + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)t + k_1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $\sigma = \pm 1$ and λ_i, k_i are real constants, is a four-parameter family of solution of the coupled KdV system related with (u, v) by the Bäcklund transformation (5.2).

Indeed, using Theorem 5.1, the system (5.2), reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} u'_x &= u' \sigma \sqrt{u'v' - \lambda_1^2} + \lambda_2 u', \\ v'_x &= v' \sigma \sqrt{u'v' - \lambda_1^2} - \lambda_2 v', \\ u'_t &= u' (\lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2) \sigma \sqrt{u'v' - \lambda_1^2} - u' (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2), \\ v'_t &= v' (\lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2) \sigma \sqrt{u'v' - \lambda_1^2} + v' (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

We combine the first two equations and the last two equations of the system to obtain the following equations

$$\left(\frac{v'}{u'}\right)_x = -2 \left(\frac{v'}{u'}\right) \lambda_2, \quad \left(\frac{v'}{u'}\right)_t = 2 \left(\frac{v'}{u'}\right) (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2),$$

By solving these two equations for v'/u' , we conclude

$$v' = u' e^{2(-\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2)}, \quad (5.11)$$

where k_2 is a constant. From the last equation, the system 5.10 reduces to,

$$\begin{aligned} u'_x &= u' \sigma \sqrt{\left(u' e^{(-\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2)}\right)^2 - \lambda_1^2} + u' \lambda_2, \\ u'_t &= u' (\lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2) \sigma \sqrt{\left(u' e^{(-\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2)}\right)^2 - \lambda_1^2} - u' (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

We introduce a new variable $U(x, t)$, defined by

$$U(x, t)^2 = \left(u'(x, t) e^{(-\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2)}\right)^2 - \lambda_1^2. \quad (5.13)$$

Hence, system (5.12) reads

$$\begin{aligned} U_x &= \sigma(U^2 + \lambda_1^2), \\ U_t &= \sigma(\lambda_1^2 - 3\lambda_2^2)(U^2 + \lambda_1^2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$U = \sigma \lambda_1 \tan(\lambda_1 x + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)t + k_1), \quad (5.14)$$

where k_1 is a constant. Therefore, replacing (5.14) into (5.13) and considering (5.11), we have Equation (5.9).

To compute the Bäcklund transformation for a solution of the coupled KdV system, one must solve the first-order system (5.2). However, the proof of Theorem 5.1 provides an alternative approach to obtaining such a Bäcklund transformation that might be simpler in certain situations, by solving the first-order system (5.3) for the functions ϕ and ψ , as indicated in the next theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *Let (u, v) be a real solution of the coupled KdV system. Then for any real constants λ_1 and λ_2 , the system (5.3) is completely integrable for ϕ, ψ . Furthermore, (u', v') defined by*

$$u' = u + \frac{\lambda_1}{\psi}, \quad v' = v + \lambda_1 \frac{\phi^2 + \psi^2}{\psi}, \quad (5.15)$$

where ϕ, ψ satisfy (5.3), is also a solution of the coupled KdV system.

Example 5.4. We consider λ_1 and λ_2 as constants, and $u \equiv 0$ and $v \equiv 1$ as a solution of the coupled KdV system. Then,

$$u' = -\frac{\lambda_1(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)}{\lambda_1 + e^{\xi_2} \sin \xi_1}, \quad v' = -\frac{e^{\xi_2}}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2} \frac{\lambda_1 e^{\xi_2} + (\lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2) \sin \xi_1 + 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cos \xi_1}{\lambda_1 + e^{\xi_2} \sin \xi_1}, \quad (5.16)$$

where, $\xi_1 = \lambda_1 x + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)t + k_1$, $\xi_2 = -\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2$ with k_1 and k_2 being constants, is a four-parameter family of solutions to the coupled KdV system, related by a Bäcklund transformation to the solution (u, v) . Indeed, in this case, the equation (5.3) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x &= \lambda_1 \psi - \lambda_2 \phi + 1, \\ \psi_x &= -\lambda_1 \phi - \lambda_2 \psi, \\ \phi_t &= (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2) \phi + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2) \psi + \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2, \\ \psi_t &= -(\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2) \phi + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2) \psi - 2\lambda_1 \lambda_2, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain,

$$\phi = \frac{e^{\xi_2} \cos \xi_1 + \lambda_2}{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}, \quad \psi = -\frac{e^{\xi_2} \sin(\xi_1) + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2},$$

where, $\xi_1 = \lambda_1 x + (\lambda_1^3 - 3\lambda_1 \lambda_2^2)t + k_1$, $\xi_2 = -\lambda_2 x + (\lambda_2^3 - 3\lambda_2 \lambda_1^2)t + k_2$ with k_1 and k_2 being constants of integration. Therefore, from Theorem 5.3 we obtain Equation (5.16).

Acknowledgment

The author acknowledges the *Institute of Mathematics of the University of Granada* (IMAG) Grants Program, *Support for Visits of Young Talented Researchers* (2023 call), for its support. Special thanks are extended to Miguel Sánchez and Joaquín Pérez, who both served as Directors of IMAG during the stay, and to Antonio Martínez López for hosting the visit.

References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup, A. Newell, H. Segur, The inverse scattering transform Fourier analysis for nonlinear problems, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 53 (1974) 249-315.
- [2] V. E. Adler, A. B. Shabat, R. I. Yamilov, Symmetry approach to the integrability problem, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 125, No. 3 (2000) 1603-1661.
- [3] A. V. Bäcklund, Einiges über Curve und Flächentransformationen, *Lund Universiät Arsskrift* 10, 1985.
- [4] A. V. Bäcklund, Concerning surfaces with constant negative curvature, Translated by E. M. Coddington, New Era Printing Co., Lancaster pa, 1905.
- [5] J. L. Barbosa, W. Ferreira and K. Tenenblat, Submanifolds of constant sectional curvature in pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. Global Analysis and Geometry* 14 (1996) 381-401.
- [6] R. Beals, M. Rabelo, K. Tenenblat, Bäcklund transformations and inverse scattering for some pseudo-spherical surface equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 81 (1998) 125-151.
- [7] R. Beals, K. Tenenblat, An intrinsic generalization for the wave and sine-Gordon equation, *Differential Geometry*, Lawson, B. et al Editors. Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Math. 52 (1991) 25-46.
- [8] L. Bianchi, Sulla trasformazione di Bäcklund per le superficie pseudosferiche, *Rend. Acc. Naz. Lincei* 5 (1892) 3-13.
- [9] P. T. Campos, Bäcklund transformations for the generalized Laplace and elliptic sinh-Gordon equations, *Anais da Acad. Bras. de Ciências* (1994) 405-411.
- [10] P. T. Campos, K. Tenenblat, Bäcklund transformations for a class of systems of differential equations, *Geometric and Functional Analysis* 4 (1994) 270-287.
- [11] T. Castro Silva, K. Tenenblat, Third order differential equations describing pseudospherical surfaces, *J. Differential Equations* 259 (2015) 4897-4923.
- [12] D. Catalano Ferraioli, T. Castro Silva, K. Tenenblat, A class of quasilinear second order partial differential equations which describe spherical or pseudospherical surfaces, *Journal of Differential Equations*, Volume 268, Issue 11 (2020) 7164-7182.
- [13] D. Catalano Ferraioli, L. A. de Oliveira Silva, Second order evolution equations which describe pseudospherical surfaces, *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016) 8072-8108.
- [14] D. Catalano Ferraioli, T. Castro Silva, A class of third order quasilinear partial differential equations describing spherical or pseudospherical surfaces, *J. of Differential Equations*, Volume 379 (2024) 524-568.
- [15] D. Catalano Ferraioli, K. Tenenblat, Fourth order evolution equations which describe pseudospherical surfaces, *J. Differential Equations* 257 (2014) 3165-3199.
- [16] J. Cavalcante, K. Tenenblat, Conservation laws for nonlinear evolution equations, *J. Math. Phys.* 29 (1988) 1044-1049.

- [17] Q. Chen, D. Zuo, Y.Cheng, Isometric immersions of pseudo-Riemannian space forms, J. Geom. Phys. 52, 3 (2004) 241-262.
- [18] S. S. Chern, K. Tenenblat, Pseudo-Spherical surfaces and evolution equations, Stud. Appl. Math. 74 (1986) 55-83.
- [19] M. Dajczer, R. Tojeiro, Isometryic immersions and the generalized Laplace and elliptic sinh-Gordon equations, Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, 467 (1995) 109-147.
- [20] Q. Ding, K. Tenenblat, On differential systems describing surfaces of constant curvature, J. Differential Equations 184 (2002) 185-214.
- [21] V. P. Gomes Neto, Fifth-order evolution equations describing pseudospherical surfaces, J. Differential Equations 249 (2010) 2822-2865.
- [22] C.H. Gu, H.S. Hu, J. Inoguchi, *On time-like surfaces of positive constant gaussian curvature and imaginary principals curvatures*, Elsevier, Journal of Geometry and Physics 41 (2002) 296-311.
- [23] R. Hirota, J. Satsuma, Soliton solutions of a coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation, Physics Letters A, 85.8-9 (1981) 407-408.
- [24] T. A. Ivey, J. M. Landsberg, *Cartan for Beginners: Differential Geometry via Moving Frames and Exterior Differential Systems*, Graduate studies in mathematics, ISSN 1065-7339; v. 61, 2003.
- [25] L. P. Jorge, K. Tenenblat, Linear problems associated to evolution equations of type $u_{tt} = F(u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{ut})$, Stud. Appl. Math. 77 (1987) 103-117.
- [26] N. Kamran, K. Tenenblat, On differential equations describing pseudospherical surfaces, J. Differential Equations 115 (1995) 71-98.
- [27] F. Kelmer, L. A. Rodrigues, K. Tenenblat, A unified approach to Bäcklund type theorems for surfaces in 3-dimensional pseudo-euclidean space, Matemática Contemporânea, Vol. 49 (2022) 140-170.
- [28] F. Kelmer, K. Tenenblat, On a class of systems of hyperbolic equations describing pseudo-spherical or spherical surfaces, J. of Differential Equations, Volume 339 (2022) 372-394.
- [29] F. Kelmer, K. Tenenblat, Superposition Formulae for the Geometric Bäcklund Transformations of the Hyperbolic and Elliptic Sine-Gordon and Sinh-Gordon Equations, SIGMA 20, 015 (2024) 27 pages.
- [30] Y. Kodama, A. Hasegawa, Nonlinear pulse propagation in a monomode dielectric guide. IEEE Journal of Quantum Electronics. 23.5 (1987) 510-524.
- [31] L. V. McNertney, *One-parameter families of surfaces with constant curvature in Lorentz 3-space*, Ph. D. thesis, Brown University, 1980.
- [32] M. C. Nucci, Pseudopotentials, Lax equations and Bäcklund transformations for non-linear evolution equations, J. Phys. A. Math. Gen. 21 (1988) 73-79.

- [33] B. Palmer, *Bäcklund transformations for surfaces in Minkowski space*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 2872.
- [34] M. Rabelo, On equations which describe pseudo-spherical equations, Stud. Appl. Math. 81 (1989) 221-248.
- [35] M. Rabelo, K. Tenenblat, On equations of type $u_{xt} = F(u, u_x)$ which describe pseudo-spherical surfaces, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 1400-1407.
- [36] M. Rabelo, K. Tenenblat, A classification of pseudo-spherical surface equations of type $u_t = u_{xxx} + G(u, u_x, u_{xx})$, J. Math. Phys. 33 (1992), 537-549.
- [37] E. G. Reyes, Pseudo-spherical surfaces and integrability of evolution equations, J. Differential Equations 147 (1) (1998) 195-230, Erratum: J. Differential Equations 153 (1) (1999) 223-224.
- [38] E. G. Reyes, On generalized Bäcklund transformations for equations describing pseudo-spherical surfaces, J. Geom. Phys. 45 (3-4) (2003) 368-392.
- [39] E. G. Reyes, Nonlocal symmetries and the Kaup–Kupershmidt equation, J. Math. Phys. 46 (7), (2005) 073507.
- [40] E. G. Reyes, Pseudo-potentials, nonlocal symmetries, and integrability of some shallow water equations, Select. Math. (New Series) (2006) 241–270.
- [41] R. Sasaki, Soliton equations and pseudospherical surfaces, Nucl. Phys. B, 154 (1979) 343-357.
- [42] K. Tenenblat, Transformations of Manifolds and Applications to Differential Equations Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics 93, ISBN 0 582 316197, 1998.
- [43] K. Tenenblat, Bäcklund theorem for submanifolds of space forms and a generalized wave equation, Bol. Soc. Bras. Mat. 16 (1985) 69-94.
- [44] K. Tenenblat, C. L. Terng, Bäcklund’s theorem for n -dimensional submanifolds of R^{2n-1} , Ann. Math. 111 (1980) 477-490.
- [45] C. L. Terng, A higher dimensional generalization of the sine-Gordon equation and its soliton theory, Ann. Math. 111 (1980) 491-510.