

LLM-Enhanced Path Planning: Safe and Efficient Autonomous Navigation with Instructional Inputs

Pranav Doma

PD2365@NYU.EDU

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Tandon School of Engineering, New York University, 6 Metro Tech, Brooklyn, NY, USA 1

Aliasghar Arab

ALIASGHAR.ARAB@NYU.EDU

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Tandon School of Engineering, New York University, 6 Metro Tech, Brooklyn, NY, USA 1

Xuesu Xiao

XIAO@GMU.EDU

Department of Computer Science, George Mason University 2

Abstract

Autonomous navigation guided by natural language instructions is essential for improving human-robot interaction and enabling complex operations in dynamic environments. While large language models (LLMs) are not inherently designed for planning, they can significantly enhance planning efficiency by providing guidance and informing constraints to ensure safety. This paper introduces a planning framework that integrates LLMs with 2D occupancy grid maps and natural language commands to improve spatial reasoning and task execution in resource-limited settings. By decomposing high-level commands and real-time environmental data, the system generates structured navigation plans for pick-and-place tasks, including obstacle avoidance, goal prioritization, and adaptive behaviors. The framework dynamically recalculates paths to address environmental changes and aligns with implicit social norms for seamless human-robot interaction. Our results demonstrate the potential of LLMs to design context-aware system to enhance navigation efficiency and safety in industrial and dynamic environments.

Keywords: Large Language Models, Path Planning, Safe Autonomous Navigation.

1. Introduction

Autonomous robotic navigation has seen substantial progress through the integration of multimodal data, LLMs, and occupancy grid representations. While traditional path planning algorithms like Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRT*) and A* excel in static environments, they struggle with adaptability and contextual reasoning in dynamic and uncertain scenarios. These methods lack the capability for semantic understanding or real-time, instruction-based adjustments, limiting their utility in environments with abrupt changes, such as navigating around potholes (Li et al., 2023) or through repair zones (An et al., 2023; Dorbala et al., 2022).

Recent methods like BEVBert (An et al., 2023), CLIP-NAV (Dorbala et al., 2022), and Instruct-Nav (Long et al., 2024) showcase the potential of multimodal inputs and LLMs for intuitive navigation but often depend on high-fidelity sensors and comprehensive maps, limiting their applicability in environments with unreliable sensors or unexpected obstacles. To overcome these challenges, we introduce the Dynamic Chain of Instruction-based Planning (DCIP) framework, which combines instruction-based planning with dynamic occupancy grid updates. DCIP enables robots to interpret




Figure 1: High-level diagram for our proposed instructed planning method.

high-level instructions via natural language understanding (NLU) and adapt navigation strategies in real-time. For example, detecting a pothole triggers DCIP to classify the area as avoidable, ensuring safe, efficient replanning with minimal computational overhead. By integrating this approach with lightweight planners like Model Predictive Control (MPC) and Dynamic Window Approach (DWA) (Song et al., 2023; Lin et al., 2024), DCIP enhances spatial awareness, safety, and behavior customization. The main contributions for our instructed planning method shown in Figure 1, are listed as

- **Enhanced Environmental Understanding via Multimodal Integration:** DCIP combines visual and language inputs to semantically interpret complex scenarios, such as avoiding obstacles like potholes or repair zones, beyond the capabilities of traditional 2D LiDAR systems.
- **Real-Time Instruction-Based Planning:** By leveraging NLU, DCIP dynamically adjusts navigation strategies and updates occupancy grids in response to environmental changes, enabling flexible and adaptive robot behavior.
- **Dynamic Occupancy Grid Manipulation:** DCIP employs semantic landmarks to update occupancy grids in real-time, ensuring efficient replanning and enhanced spatial awareness, such as classifying newly detected obstacles for safe navigation.

Our proposed DCIP method bridges the gap between traditional static path planning and dynamic environmental adaptation by combining the robustness of occupancy grids with the flexibility of instruction-based planning. In Figure 2, paths generated by the traditional A* algorithm (blue) and




Figure 2: Comparison of the Instruction-Based Planning Strategies on a Grid Using the Llama3.1 Model. **blue**: traditional **A star**; **purple**: proposed **DCIP** algorithm.

the DCIP algorithm (purple) are compared under three instruction sets, highlighting DCIP’s adaptability to prioritize speed, reduced turns, and safety. The middle panel shows a path minimizing turns, which compromises safety and obstacle avoidance. The right panel highlights a secure navigation path, emphasizing safety, obstacle avoidance, and repair area detours. These comparisons demonstrate the model’s adaptability to different strategic goals and instruction adherence. Our proposed approach enhances task reliability and ensures safer navigation in complex, unpredictable environments, marking a significant step forward in autonomous robotic navigation.

2. Literature Review

Robotic navigation has advanced considerably with the integration of multimodal data, occupancy grids, and instruction-based planning. This section reviews key research in these domains, examining their achievements and limitations. The DCIP framework extends these foundations to overcome existing challenges and improve autonomous navigation performance.

2.1. Multimodal Navigation

Multimodal approaches have significantly enhanced robotic navigation by integrating diverse sensory inputs for richer environmental understanding and more effective task execution (Panigrahi et al., 2023). BEVBert (An et al., 2023) employs multimodal map pre-training for language-guided navigation, enabling robots to act on natural language instructions within spatial contexts. Similarly, CLIP-NAV (Dorbala et al., 2022) leverages the CLIP model for zero-shot vision-and-

language navigation, allowing robots to follow textual instructions without task-specific training. L3mvm (Yu et al., 2023) advances this field by using LLMs to interpret complex visual instructions for target-based navigation, while Hong et al. (2023) embed semantic information into occupancy grids through supervised learning, enhancing perceptual capabilities. Despite these strides, most existing frameworks rely on high-fidelity sensor data and detailed environmental models, which limit their effectiveness in dynamic or sensor-degraded settings. The proposed DCIP framework addresses these challenges by using occupancy grids as a central component, ensuring robust and adaptable navigation even under compromised sensor conditions.

2.2. Occupancy Grid Utilization

Occupancy grids are a cornerstone of robotic navigation, offering a probabilistic representation of the environment by categorizing cells as occupied, free, or unknown (Schreiber et al., 2021). Extensions like SCOPE (Xie and Dames, 2024) incorporate uncertainty modeling for safer navigation in dynamic settings, while dynamic grid mapping with recurrent neural networks (Schreiber et al., 2021) enables real-time updates for adaptive responses. Semantic map supervision (Hong et al., 2023) further enriches grids with semantic information for more informed decision-making. Beyond traditional grids, approaches such as by Krantz et al. (2020) integrate vision and language navigation in continuous environments, moving past static nav-graphs. While effective, these methods often struggle with rapidly changing conditions. The proposed DCIP framework addresses these limitations by enabling dynamic manipulation and real-time updates of occupancy grids, ensuring adaptability to environmental changes and user instructions.

2.3. Instruction-Based Planning and Large Language Models

The integration of LLMs has transformed instruction-based planning in robotic navigation. Systems like InstructNav (Long et al., 2024) and LLM-Planner (Song et al., 2023) enable robots to interpret and execute complex instructions in unexplored environments using zero-shot and few-shot learning techniques. Plan-and-Solve Prompting (Wang et al., 2023) enhances chain-of-thought reasoning for decomposing high-level tasks into actionable sub-tasks, while NavCoT (Lin et al., 2024) refines vision-and-language navigation with disentangled reasoning processes for greater reliability. Despite these advancements, these models often operate within static planning frameworks, where action sequences are predetermined based on initial observations (Arab and Yi, 2021). Research such as Controllable Navigation Instruction Generation (Kong et al., 2024) and Esc (Zhou et al., 2023) highlights how chain-of-thought prompting and commonsense constraints can improve navigation in zero-shot scenarios (Wei et al., 2022). The proposed DCIP framework stands apart by adopting a dynamic planning approach, continuously updating action sequences in response to real-time observations and user interactions. By integrating instruction-based planning with dynamic occupancy grid manipulation, DCIP ensures that navigation plans adapt to unexpected obstacles and degraded sensor data, maintaining accuracy and alignment with the environment.

The reviewed literature highlights the transformative impact of integrating multimodal data, occupancy grids, and large language models in robotic navigation. However, challenges persist in achieving robust adaptability and safety in dynamic, uncertain environments. The DCIP framework bridges these gaps by combining dynamic occupancy grid updates with real-time instruction-based planning, enhancing spatial awareness, adaptability, and safety. This advancement positions DCIP as a key innovation toward more reliable and efficient autonomous navigation systems.

3. Problem Statement

Autonomous robotic navigation in dynamic and complex environments necessitates the ability to interpret and act upon high-level instructions effectively. Traditional path planning algorithms, such as Rapidly-exploring Random Trees (RRT*) (Noreen et al., 2016) and A* (Hart et al., 1968), excel in static scenarios but often falter in the face of dynamic obstacles and varying task objectives. These methods typically lack the semantic understanding and real-time adaptability required for nuanced navigational tasks driven by natural language instructions. This research addresses the challenge of Instruction-Based Navigation, where a robot must navigate from a starting position to a goal location based on natural language instructions, while dynamically adapting to environmental changes. The environment is modeled as a two-dimensional occupancy grid E , where each cell $E(x, y)$ represents the occupancy state:

$$E(x, y) \in \{0, 1\} \quad (1)$$

where, 0 represents *Free Space* and 1 represents *Occupied Space*. At each discrete time step t , the robot receives observations:

$$O_t = \{G_t, P_t, S_t\} \quad (2)$$

where, G_t is current 2D occupancy grid and $P_t = (x_t, y_t, \theta_t)$ is a vector representing robot’s pose, comprising position $[x_t, y_t]$ and orientation θ_t , and S_t is a set of detected semantic landmarks within the environment. Given a high-level navigation instruction I in natural language, the primary objective is to generate a sequence of actions $A_t = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ that transitions the robot from its current state to a desired goal state G . This sequence must satisfy

- **Semantic Alignment:** Actions must adhere to the semantic intent of I , ensuring contextual relevance.
- **Obstacle Avoidance:** Navigate around occupied spaces and dynamically detected obstacles to prevent collisions.
- **Dynamic Adaptability:** Adjust the navigational strategy in real-time in response to environmental changes.

To achieve these objectives, the proposed DCIP framework leverages NLU to interpret instructions, integrates semantic landmark data for informed decision-making, and manipulates the occupancy grid by assigning traversal costs to preferred and avoidable areas. This integration facilitates the selection of optimal navigation paths that are both efficient and contextually appropriate, ensuring reliable task completion in diverse and unpredictable environments.

4. Method Overview

The DCIP framework is engineered to enable autonomous robots to execute complex navigational tasks based on natural language instructions. By integrating NLU, multimodal understanding, and dynamic occupancy grid manipulation, DCIP offers a robust solution for instruction-driven navigation. This section outlines the core components and operational workflow of DCIP, emphasizing its mathematical foundation and modular design. The DCIP algorithm in 1 is designed to interpret high-level instructions and dynamically generate a sequence of actions for autonomous navigation. By processing initial observations and continuously adapting to real-time environmental changes,

DCIP ensures efficient and goal-oriented pathfinding. The algorithm flexibly handles various instructions, determining appropriate goals and actions based on the given directives.

Algorithm 1 Dynamic Chain of Instruction-based Planning

Input: High-level instruction I , initial observation O_0 **Output:** Sequence of actions A_t Initialize DCIP with instruction I and observation O_0 Parse instruction I to identify goals and constraints Generate initial action sequence A_0 based on I and O_0 **Execute Initial Actions:** **for each action** $a \in A_0$ **do**

Execute action a Update occupancy grid based on action a

end

Real-time Adaptation Loop: **while task not completed do**

Capture current observation O_t Update goals and constraints based on I and O_t Generate new action sequence A_t based on updated goals **for each action** $a \in A_t$ **do**

Execute action a Update occupancy grid based on action a

end

end

End of Algorithm

4.1. Instruction Dissection

The DCIP framework begins by processing the natural language instruction I through an NLU module to extract critical components necessary for navigation:

$$I \rightarrow \{\text{Task Definition, Action Format, Semantic Constraints}\} \quad (3)$$

- **Task Definition:** Identifies the primary objective (e.g., “navigate to Shelf 3”).
- **Action Format:** Defines the structure of actionable commands (e.g., RESET_MAP, AVOID_AREAS).
- **Semantic Constraints:** Extracts specific conditions or preferences (e.g., “avoid repair area”, “prefer open lanes”).

4.2. Action Format and Prediction

Within the DCIP framework, actions are systematically categorized to modify the occupancy grid G_t or to set navigation goals G_{goal} . These actions enable dynamic adaptation to varying instructions and environmental conditions. Formally, let \mathcal{A} represent the set of possible actions, where each action $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is associated with a transformation function f_a . Hence, *Map Resetting*, resets the occupancy grid G_t to its initial state G_0 as

$$G' = f_{\text{reset}}(G_t) = G_0, \quad (4)$$

In additions, *Cost Modification* adjusts traversal costs within specified regions R based on semantic constraints as

$$G'(x, y) = \begin{cases} G_t(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \notin R, \\ C_{\text{modify}}, & \text{if } (x, y) \in R, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where, C_{modify} represents the cost adjustment factor. Eventually, *Goal Setting* establishes the target location for navigation as

$$G_{\text{goal}} = (x_{\text{goal}}, y_{\text{goal}}). \quad (6)$$

4.3. General Action Framework

Each action $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined as a function f_a that transforms the current state of the occupancy grid G_t or sets new navigation goals G_{goal} . This generalized approach ensures the framework’s adaptability across diverse environments and instructions.

$$\mathcal{A} = \{a \mid a = f_a(G_t, \theta_a)\}, \quad (7)$$

where θ_a denotes parameters specific to action a , such as regions R or goal coordinates G_{goal} . The execution of actions within the DCIP framework can be formalized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Given: } G_t, \quad I \quad (\text{Instruction}), & (8) \\ &\text{Parse } I \rightarrow A, \quad \text{where } A \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \\ &\text{For each } a \in A, \quad G' = f_a(G_t, \theta_a), \\ &\text{Update } G_t \leftarrow G' \quad G_{\text{goal}} \leftarrow G'_{\text{goal}}. \end{aligned}$$

where I is the natural language instruction, A is the set of actions derived from parsing I , and θ_a are the parameters associated with action a . As an example, consider an instruction I to “navigate to the target while avoiding obstacles.” However, Goal is specified on the occupancy grid, so updating the occupancy grid also includes updating the goal which is not always the case. The NLU module extracts the relevant actions $A = \{\text{SET_GOAL}, \text{MODIFY_COST}\}$. The framework executes these actions as follows:

SET.GOAL: Establishes the updated target location:

$$G'_{\text{goal}} = (x'_{\text{target}}, y'_{\text{target}}). \quad (9)$$

MODIFY.COST: Assigns higher traversal costs to regions identified as obstacles:

$$G'(x, y) = \begin{cases} G_t(x, y), & \text{if } (x, y) \notin \text{Obstacles}, \\ C_{\text{high}}, & \text{if } (x, y) \in \text{Obstacles}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

This generalized structure ensures that DCIP remains adaptable to various instructions and environmental dynamics, providing robust and context-aware navigation capabilities.

4.4. Multimodal Understanding and Action Parsing

DCIP integrates multimodal data (e.g., semantic landmarks from visual inputs) and parses actions to update the occupancy grid accordingly:

$$\text{Multimodal Data} + \text{Parsed Actions} \rightarrow \text{Updated Occupancy Grid} \quad (11)$$

This integration ensures that the robot can interpret complex instructions and translate them into spatial modifications within the environment.

5. Experiments and Results

To evaluate the effectiveness of the DCIP framework, we conducted a series of experiments using mathematical simulations and a Husky-UR3 compound robot within an industrial simulation environment in Gazebo. The primary objective was to assess DCIP’s ability to interpret and execute complex natural language instructions for pick-and-place tasks while adhering to specified constraints such as avoiding restricted areas and maintaining safe distances from pedestrians.

5.1. Performance Comparison

We evaluated the performance of three language models—Mistral, Llama3.1, and Llama3—across four navigation strategies. The evaluation focused on three key metrics to assess model performance. *Instruction Adherence* measured how accurately the model’s outputs aligned with the provided navigation strategy instructions. *Completeness of Response* evaluated whether the generated outputs included all necessary components without omissions, ensuring task fulfillment. Finally, *Safety Compliance* assessed the system’s ability to avoid restricted or socially unsafe areas, emphasizing adherence to safety guidelines during navigation. These metrics collectively provided a comprehensive assessment of the model’s effectiveness in interpreting and executing natural language instructions for autonomous navigation.

Table 1: Model Performance Comparison Across Strategies

Model	Strategy	Instruction Adherence (%)	Completeness of Response (%)	Safety Compliance (%)
Mistral	Navigate Quickly	28.57	42.85	14.28
	Maximize Safety	85.71	71.42	89.28
	Balance Efficiency and Safety	71.42	85.71	89.28
Llama3.1	Navigate Quickly	57.14	71.42	64.28
	Maximize Safety	85.71	71.42	91.42
	Balance Efficiency and Safety	89.28	88.57	92.85
LLama3	Navigate Quickly	82.14	64.28	71.42
	Maximize Safety	89.28	85.71	92.85
	Balance Efficiency and Safety	92.85	96.42	96.42

The analysis from Table 1 reveals that Llama3 consistently outperformed Mistral and Llama3.1 across all evaluated strategies, excelling in Instruction Adherence, Completeness, and Compliance. While Mistral demonstrated moderate performance, it showed particular strength in the “Navigate Quickly” strategy, reflecting its capability to prioritize speed and efficiency. In contrast, Llama3.1 lagged behind the other models, especially in maintaining relevance to the intended navigation strategy, indicating a need for improved alignment between instructions and execution. These results underscore Llama3’s superior ability to interpret and execute complex navigation tasks effectively.

5.1.1. DCIP CORE PERFORMANCE

In addition to model-specific evaluations, we assessed DCIP’s core algorithmic performance metrics—Nodes Expanded, Search Time, Path Cost, Path Length, and Number of Turns to understand its efficiency and effectiveness in planning navigation paths.

The performance evaluation encompasses five key metrics:

- Nodes Expanded: Counts unique positions explored

$$N_{expanded} = |\{n \in \text{Nodes} : n \text{ processed}\}|, \quad (12)$$

- Search Time: Execution duration

$$T_{search} = T_{end} - T_{start} \quad (13)$$

- Path Cost: Sum of node costs with zone weights

$$C_{path} = \sum_{i=1}^n (g_i + \text{zone_cost}_i), \quad (14)$$

- Path Length: Total steps in path

$$L_{path} = |P| - 1, \quad (15)$$

- Number of Turns: Direction changes

$$N_{turns} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \mathbb{1}_{v_i \neq v_{i+1}} \quad (16)$$

The performance data reveals distinct behavioral patterns across different models and strategies:

- Computational Efficiency: While A* maintained consistent exploration patterns, DCIP demonstrated adaptive behavior. Llama models showed remarkable efficiency in quick navigation scenarios, exploring significantly fewer nodes than Mistral.
- Search Time Correlation: Search duration typically aligned with exploration patterns, with quick navigation strategies showing notably faster completion times in Llama models.
- Path Cost Variations: Mistral’s quick navigation strategy revealed an interesting trade-off, where it prioritized speed over zone preferences, resulting in higher path costs but faster navigation through typically avoided areas.
- Path Length Consistency: Most DCIP implementations maintained optimal path lengths comparable to A*, suggesting effective route planning despite varying constraints.
- Turn Optimization: DCIP consistently generated smoother paths with fewer direction changes compared to A*, with particularly notable improvements in quick navigation scenarios.

LLama3 exhibited exemplary performance in balancing efficiency and safety, effectively utilizing preferred zones while avoiding restricted areas. This resulted in consistent metrics across all categories, demonstrating optimal trade-offs between safety constraints and efficiency goals.

5.2. System Integration Testing

To demonstrate DCIP’s versatility and effectiveness across different robotic tasks and environmental settings, we conducted system integration tests using a robot manipulator Husky mobile robot equipped with a robot arm UR3 within a simulated industrial workspace.

Table 2: DCIP Core Performance Metrics Across Models and Strategies

Model	Strategy	Algorithm	Nodes Expanded	Search Time (s)	Path Cost	Path Length	Number of Turns
<i>Baseline (avg. 10 runs)</i>		A*	2,254	0.1253	177.0	177	66
Mistral	Navigate Quickly	DCIP	13,967	0.3978	373.0	179	9
	Maximize Safety	DCIP	1,409	0.0434	142.0	177	26
	Balance Efficiency	DCIP	1,442	0.0423	142.0	177	26
Llama3.1	Navigate Quickly	DCIP	370	0.0093	134.5	177	26
	Maximize Safety	DCIP	1,798	0.0764	132.5	177	37
	Balance Efficiency	DCIP	1,409	0.0401	142.0	177	26
LLama3	Navigate Quickly	DCIP	366	0.0093	134.5	177	26
	Maximize Safety	DCIP	1,409	0.0417	142.0	177	26
	Balance Efficiency and Safety	DCIP	370	0.0109	102.0	177	15




Figure 3: The comparative analysis examines the nodes expanded between A* and DCIP (incorporating LLAMA3.1 with Balance Efficient Strategy) across scaled environments ranging from 1 to 10 times enlargement in grid size, based on the means of 10 trials of random sampling.




Figure 4: The comparative analysis examines the search time between A* and DCIP (incorporating LLAMA3.1 with Balance Efficient Strategy) across scaled environments ranging from 1 to 10 times enlargement in grid size, based on the means of 10 trials of random sampling.

5.3. Experiment Setup

The experimental setup utilized the Husky-UR3 compound robot (Chitta et al., 2017) within an industrial workspace simulated in Gazebo (Koenig and Howard, 2004). The environment included shelves, storage areas, repair zones, and pedestrian agents to emulate real-world conditions. The robot was tasked with executing pick-and-place operations guided by natural language instructions, with a focus on avoiding restricted areas and ensuring safe navigation around pedestrians. This setup allowed for evaluating the DCIP framework’s ability to parse instructions, update navigation plans dynamically, and adhere to environmental constraints.

5.4. Experiment Scenarios

1. Pick Phase:

- **Objective:** Navigate to Shelf 3 beside Self 2 to retrieve an item.
- **Constraints:** Avoid the repair area and maintain safe distances from pedestrians.

2. Place Phase:

- **Objective:** Return to the storage area to place the retrieved item.
- **Constraints:** Utilize empty lanes and free areas for navigation, avoid restricted zones.

Procedure:

1. **Instruction Input:** Instructions generated by volunteers were fed into DCIP to obtain the corresponding action sequences.
2. **Action Execution:** The Husky-UR3 robot executed the generated action sequences within the simulation, performing navigation and manipulation tasks as per the instructions.
3. **Observation:** The robot’s behavior was monitored to ensure compliance with the instructions and constraints.




Figure 5: Simulation experiment for navigation task in pick phase, red line representing the path planned and blocking the area(pink) considering pedestrians to navigate and preferring empty space(grey) above robot.




Figure 6: Simulation experiment for navigation task in pick phase, red line representing the path planned and blocking the area (pink) considering instruction to be a repair area ahead.

5.5. Results

The results demonstrate the effectiveness of the DCIP framework in interpreting and executing natural language instructions for autonomous navigation. The system achieved an average instruction-to-task accuracy of 90%, with most misclassifications arising from ambiguous or multi-constraint instructions, which occasionally led to incomplete or partially incorrect actions. Despite these challenges, all pick-and-place tasks were successfully completed in the simulation, with the robot consistently complying with constraints such as avoiding repair areas and maintaining safe pedestrian distances. Additionally, DCIP dynamically updated the 2D occupancy grid to reflect preferred and restricted areas, enabling accurate and adaptive navigation planning in real-time. These outcomes highlight the robustness and reliability of DCIP in dynamic environments.

Table 3: System Integration Performance

Metric	Observations
Task Completion	
Constraint Compliance	Consistently avoided repair area and maintained pedestrian safety.
Map Update Accuracy	Accurate updates to occupancy grid based on instructions.

6. Conclusion

This study presents the DCIP framework, a significant advancement in autonomous robotic navigation through precise parsing of natural language instructions and adaptive navigation planning. The system accurately translates complex instructions into actionable sequences and updates occupancy grids in real-time, achieving over 90% accuracy rate in instruction parsing while ensuring safe and efficient navigation in dynamic environments. The framework successfully navigate the robot in the restricted areas and prioritizes safety, demonstrating its effectiveness in real-world collaborative scenarios. By integrating spatial understanding with adaptive planning, DCIP establishes a foundation for more intelligent and reliable autonomous robotic systems.

References

- Dong An, Yuankai Qi, Yangguang Li, Yan Huang, Liang Wang, Tieniu Tan, and Jing Shao. Bevbort: Multimodal map pre-training for language-guided navigation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2737–2748, 2023.
- Aliasghar Arab and Jingang Yi. Instructed reinforcement learning control of safe autonomous j-turn vehicle maneuvers. In *Proc. IEEE/ASME Int. Conf. Adv. Intelli. Mechatronics*, pages 1058–1063. IEEE, 2021.
- Sachin Chitta, Eitan Marder-Eppstein, Wim Meeussen, Vijay Pradeep, Adolfo Rodríguez Tsouroukdissian, Jonathan Bohren, David Coleman, Bence Magyar, Gennaro Raiola, Mathias

- Lüdtke, et al. ros_control: A generic and simple control framework for ros. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 2(20):456–456, 2017.
- Vishnu Sashank Dorbala, Gunnar Sigurdsson, Robinson Piramuthu, Jesse Thomason, and Gaurav S Sukhatme. Clip-nav: Using clip for zero-shot vision-and-language navigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.16649*, 2022.
- Peter E Hart, Nils J Nilsson, and Bertram Raphael. A formal basis for the heuristic determination of minimum cost paths. *IEEE transactions on Systems Science and Cybernetics*, 4(2):100–107, 1968.
- Yicong Hong, Yang Zhou, Ruiyi Zhang, Franck Deroncourt, Trung Bui, Stephen Gould, and Hao Tan. Learning navigational visual representations with semantic map supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3055–3067, 2023.
- Nathan Koenig and Andrew Howard. Design and use paradigms for gazebo, an open-source multi-robot simulator. In *2004 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS)(IEEE Cat. No. 04CH37566)*, volume 3, pages 2149–2154. Ieee, 2004.
- Xianghao Kong, Jinyu Chen, Wenguan Wang, Hang Su, Xiaolin Hu, Yi Yang, and Si Liu. Controllable navigation instruction generation with chain of thought prompting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.07433*, 2024.
- Jacob Krantz, Erik Wijmans, Arjun Majumdar, Dhruv Batra, and Stefan Lee. Beyond the nav-graph: Vision-and-language navigation in continuous environments. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XXVIII 16*, pages 104–120. Springer, 2020.
- Guang Li, Xinzhe Han, Pengcheng Zhao, Pengju Hu, Lu Nie, and Xiaoning Zhao. Robochat: A unified llm-based interactive framework for robotic systems. In *2023 5th International Conference on Robotics, Intelligent Control and Artificial Intelligence (RICAI)*, pages 466–471. IEEE, 2023.
- Bingqian Lin, Yunshuang Nie, Ziming Wei, Jiaqi Chen, Shikui Ma, Jianhua Han, Hang Xu, Xiaojun Chang, and Xiaodan Liang. Navcot: Boosting llm-based vision-and-language navigation via learning disentangled reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.07376*, 2024.
- Yuxing Long, Wenzhe Cai, Hongcheng Wang, Guanqi Zhan, and Hao Dong. Instructnav: Zero-shot system for generic instruction navigation in unexplored environment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04882*, 2024.
- Iram Noreen, Amna Khan, and Zulfiqar Habib. Optimal path planning using rrt* based approaches: a survey and future directions. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 7(11), 2016.
- Bhabaranjan Panigrahi, Amir Hossain Raj, Mohammad Nazeri, and Xuesu Xiao. A study on learning social robot navigation with multimodal perception. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12568*, 2023.

- Marcel Schreiber, Vasileios Belagiannis, Claudius Gläser, and Klaus Dietmayer. Dynamic occupancy grid mapping with recurrent neural networks. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 6717–6724. IEEE, 2021.
- Chan Hee Song, Jiaman Wu, Clayton Washington, Brian M Sadler, Wei-Lun Chao, and Yu Su. Llm-planner: Few-shot grounded planning for embodied agents with large language models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2998–3009, 2023.
- Lei Wang, Wanyu Xu, Yihuai Lan, Zhiqiang Hu, Yunshi Lan, Roy Ka-Wei Lee, and Ee-Peng Lim. Plan-and-solve prompting: Improving zero-shot chain-of-thought reasoning by large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.04091*, 2023.
- Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.
- Zhanteng Xie and Philip Dames. Scope: Stochastic cartographic occupancy prediction engine for uncertainty-aware dynamic navigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.00144*, 2024.
- Bangguo Yu, Hamidreza Kasaei, and Ming Cao. L3mvn: Leveraging large language models for visual target navigation. In *2023 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 3554–3560. IEEE, 2023.
- Kaiwen Zhou, Kaizhi Zheng, Connor Pryor, Yilin Shen, Hongxia Jin, Lise Getoor, and Xin Eric Wang. Esc: Exploration with soft commonsense constraints for zero-shot object navigation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 42829–42842. PMLR, 2023.