Asymptotically full measure sets of almost-periodic solutions for the NLS equation

Luca Biasco, Livia Corsi, Guido Gentile, Michela Procesi

Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Roma, 00146, Italy ⊠ luca.biasco@uniroma3.it, livia.corsi@uniroma3.it, guido.gentile@uniroma3.it, michela.procesi@uniroma3.it

Abstract

We study the dynamics of solutions for a family of nonlinear Schrödinger equations on the circle, with a smooth convolution potential and Gevrey regular initial data. Our main result is the construction of an asymptotically full measure set of small-amplitude time almost-periodic solutions, which are dense on invariant tori. In regions corresponding to positive actions, we prove that such maximal invariant tori are Banach manifolds, which provide a Cantor foliation of the phase space. As a consequence, we establish that, for many small initial data, the Gevrey norm of the solution remains approximately constant for all time and hence the elliptic fixed point at the origin is Lyapunov statistically stable. This is first result in KAM Theory for PDEs that regards the persistence of a large measure set of invariant tori and hence may be viewed as a strict extension to the infinitedimensional setting of the classical KAM theorem.

Keywords: Nonlinear Schrödinger equation, convolution potentials, almost-periodic solutions, infinite-dimensional Bryuno condition

MSC classification: 37K55; 35B15; 35Q55; 35B20

Contents

Introduction		
1.1	Main results	3
1.2	Context and background	4
1.3	Outline of the paper	5
1.4	Methods and tools	8
1.5	Conclusions	8
Q . 4		0
Set	up and main results	9
2.1	Almost-periodic solutions for a large measure set of potentials	9
2.2	Almost-periodic solutions for a full measure set of potentials	12
2.3	Lyapunov statistical stability	13
2.4	Structure of the invariant tori	4
	Intr 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 Set 2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4	Introduction 1.1 Main results

3	A q	uantitative Moser counterterm theorem	17	
	3.1	The set of frequencies	17	
	3.2	Almost-periodic solutions to the modified equation	19	
	3.3	Properties of the almost-periodic solutions	21	
	3.4	Asymptotic expansion of the counterterms	21	
4	Lip	schitz continuity and uniform bounds	22	
	4.1	The set of good parameters	22	
	4.2	Lipschitz extensions	24	
5	Aln	nost-periodic solutions: proof of Theorem 2.3, part 1	28	
	5.1	The implicit function problem, part 1	29	
	5.2	The implicit function problem, part 2	29	
6	Me	asure estimates: proof of Theorem 2.3, part 2	33	
	6.1	Measure of the set of good parameters	34	
	6.2	Measure estimates in the space of initial data	36	
7	Reu	islts for a full measure set of potentials	36	
	7.1	Abundance of almost-periodic solutions	37	
	7.2	Lyapunov statistical stability of the origin	39	
8	Invariant tori			
	8.1	Bi-Lipschitz map at fixed potential	40	
	8.2	Embedded tori and Cantor foliations	42	

1 Introduction

Understanding the qualitative behaviour of the solutions of nonlinear Hamiltonian PDEs is a pivotal and fascinating question in Analysis and Mathematical Physics. Once the local or global well-posedness of a given equation is established, the behaviour of the solutions can still be extremely varied. In the case of compact manifolds, for example, one expects coexistence of both regular and chaotic dynamics. This diversity is reflected in the existing literature, where results come into two different flavours: either broad statements, such as upper bounds on the growth in time of Sobolev norms, holding for all initial data, possibly within some small ball around the origin, or precise statements regarding the time evolution of solutions restricted to very special initial data. This means that one sways between providing statements that apply universally but might be too general to be insightful and making very specific claims which might be limited to extremely special settings. In other words, resorting to a hyperbole, one is fighting against the well-known dichotomy of whether to say nothing about everything or everything about nothing. The aim of the present paper is to provide a statistical description of the global dynamics of a particular class of PDEs, parametrised by family of potentials, by investigating the behaviour of a significant portion of sufficiently regular initial data. Following the path laid out by Kolmogorov in his famous address at the 1954 ICM conference, our goal is finding "which of the properties of dynamical systems are 'typical' for 'arbitrary' [Hamiltonian functions]" [65, p. 358], with "typical" and "arbitrary" meaning, in our context, for the majority of initial data and Hamiltonians, respectively, in the sense of measure. Still following Kolmogorov, "the approach from standpoint of measure theory appears to be quite reasonable and natural as viewed from physics, [although] its application is hampered by the absence of a natural measure in function spaces" [65, pp. 358-359]. Kolmogorov's insight gave birth to KAM theory, which, in its classical formulation, concerns Hamiltonian systems which are close to an integrable one and shows that statistically the dynamics is qualitatively the same as that of the integrable system, namely a linear dynamics which is dense on a Lagranian invariant torus.

KAM theory for Hamiltonian PDEs has ben studied by many authors (see Section 1.2 for a brief overview), but most of the results are about periodic or quasi-periodic solutions corresponding to finite-dimensional invariant tori. Such solutions are not expected to be typical w.r.t. any reasonable measure. Indeed, if one considers integrable Hamiltonian PDEs, such as the cubic NLS or the KdV on the circle, typical solutions are almost-periodic functions¹ the images of whose hulls² are invariant tori. It is reasonable to surmise that, for close-to-integrable PDEs, a generic perturbation preserves such a property, if any.

A KAM result on persistence of maximal tori for close-to-integrable PDEs, as general as in the finite-dimensional case, is still out of reach, even when confined to semi-linear PDEs on the circle. A possible approach consists in introducing two significant simplifications: first, one restricts the analysis to small solutions and hence one considers perturbations of a linear integrable system; secondly, one considers families of PDEs parametrized by a smooth convolution potential. Even in this simplified setting, results regarding existence of almostperiodic solutions are relatively few, and only hold for a zero measure set of initial data (again we refer to Sections 1.2 and 1.3 for a brief overview). In this paper, we follow such an approach, but, differently from the previous literature, we randomize both the potential and the initial data. In the context of Hamiltonian PDEs, the idea of randomizing the initial data was ushered in by Bourgain [14, 17, 23], and, since then, has been used to prove local well-posedness for equations with very low regularity [25], and has opened up a vast area of research; see for instance [28, 38, 72].

1.1 Main results

We consider a family of nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations on the circle, parametrized by a smooth convolution potential. Following a long-established tradition, dating back to

¹Here and henceforth, following Bohr [12, 13] and Bochner [11], the set of almost-periodic functions on a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is meant as the closure w.r.t. the uniform topology of the set of trigonometric polynomials with values in X.

²The hull of an almost-periodic function f(t) on a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is the set of functions defined as the closure w.r.t. the uniform topology of the set $\{f_{\tau}(t) = f(t+\tau) : \tau \in \mathbb{R}\}$ (see for instance [46] or [64]).

Bourgain [16], we consider explicitly the case of a quintic nonlinearity, even though the results we describe below extend to any analytic nonlinearity, up to notational intricacies. Our main result can be stated informally as follows.³

For most choices of the convolution potential, many small Gevrey initial data give rise to almost-periodic solutions whose hulls describe invariant tori.

More precisely, we prove that, for many convolution potentials and, correspondingly, for many Gevrey initial data, it is possible to construct an immersion of the torus $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in the phase space such that the dynamics stays on the torus for all times. As a consequence, for many initial data close to the origin the Gevrey norm is approximately constant in time and hence the elliptic fixed point at the origin is Lyapunov statistically stable w.r.t. such a norm.

The invariant tori we construct are in general infinite-dimensional, and might well not be submanifolds in the phase space. To deal with such an issue, we introduce an appropriate functional setting allowing us to construct regions of the phase space where there is a Cantor foliation into invariant maximal tori, in the spirit of Kuksin and Pöschel approach [69]. In this respect, our result is a true generalization of a finite-dimensional KAM result inasmuch it ensures that most of the phase space is filled by invariant tori. A more thorough, still informal, statement of our result is the following one.

A large measure set of Gevrey initial data evolve globally on invariant tori, and in a region of the phase space, corresponding to positive actions, such invariant tori are submanifolds and are the leaves of a Cantor foliation.

By their very nature, the existence of these invariant tori ensures stability. In finite dimension this inference is trivial, but in the infinite-dimensional context its occurrence heavily depends on the chosen functional space and on the fact that the tori are immersed in such a space. As a counterpart, while in finite dimension diffusive solutions are extremely hard to find, in the infinite dimensional context, our result suggests to look for diffusive solutions in spaces with regularity lower than Gevrey; this is consistent with the results in [27, 60].

1.2 Context and background

Almost-global stability⁴ of solutions of semilinear PDEs close to an elliptic fixed point has been studied extensively, starting from the early result by Bourgain [19]. Later, Bambusi and Grébert introduced a method based on Birkhoff normal form to prove long but finite time stability of Sobolev norms for small initial data [3]. The latter approach has been generalized in many contexts, for instance for more regular initial data [30, 8, 29], even in higher dimensions [44], and in the case of quasi-linear or fully nonlinear PDEs [37, 7, 45]. All these results actually deal with families of PDEs depending on parameters, and the stability result is obtained for many values of the parameters; more recently, Bernier, Faou and Grébert considered the NLS

 $^{^{3}}$ Throughout this section, we use words such as "many" and "most" (and the like) without specifying their precise meaning, by referring to Section 2 for a rigorous definition, once the proper functional spaces and measures have been introduced.

⁴That is, stability for finite but long time, as opposed to global stability (or stability *tout court*), which refers to all times.

without external parameters and proved long time stability for many initial data [4]. We stress that, because of the very way the problem is set up, all the stability results mentioned above hold only for finite time. Indeed, all of them but the last one hold for all initial data in some open neigborhood of the origin, so infinite time stability can not be expected – even in finite dimension. On the other hand in [4], still relying on a Birkhoff normal form approach, it is the initial data that are modulated, instead of the parameters.

As a general consideration, if one aims to obtain information on the solutions over an arbitrarily $\log - \operatorname{possibly}$ infinite – time scale, then one either manages to provide an upper bound on the growth of the Sobolev norm which is non-uniform in time, as, for instance, in [19, 81, 74, 6] and references therein, or one has to restrict the analysis to special solutions. In fact, many authors proved the existence of solutions exhibiting unstable behaviour in various contexts; see for instance [27, 62, 60, 61] and references therein. Also the existence of recurrent solutions – that is solutions which are either periodic or quasi-periodic or almost-periodic in time – has been widely studied starting from the pioneering results from the early nineties [66, 67, 68, 82, 36, 15, 76, 69], which laid down the foundations and have been thereafter fruitfully extended to a number of cases, either in higher dimension settings [20, 53, 42, 52] or for unbounded nonlinearities [63, 1, 2], only to mention a few. All the literature mentioned above concerns only the first two kinds of recurrent solutions, the case of almost-periodic solutions being much harder to deal with.

The search of almost-periodic solutions is a difficult task due to the presence of extremely "bad" small divisors, and this is the reason why the literature on this topic is relatively scarce, if compared with the periodic and quasi-periodic counterparts, and, in fact, it reduces to a handful of papers: an almost exhaustive list is given by [18, 19, 77, 30, 8, 9, 31, 71, 35, 10, 33, 34, 29]. Moreover, in all such papers, infinitely many external parameters are needed, and one is usually only able to prove the existence of few almost-periodic solutions, with either very high regularity or very special form. A remarkable exception is [5], where the authors prove the existence of almost-periodic solutions for the NLS equation on the circle with no external parameters. A key feature of the strategy of [5] is to construct solutions which are approximately supported on a set of Fourier modes which, although infinite, is sparse in Z; a similar strategy is used in [10] to obtain low regularity almost-periodic solutions for the NLS equation with external parameters. These solutions are dense on infinite-dimensional but not maximal tori and hence they are not typical, and in fact cover a zero measure set. We may also cite [47], where no parameter modulation is needed due to the special structure of the equation considered therein. Regarding almost-periodic solutions still in the infinitedimensional context but not directly related to PDEs, we mention [48, 75, 26, 34], where ODEs on a lattice are studied.

1.3 Outline of the paper

Let us now enter more in detail on the content of our paper, and give a more accurate description of the results we obtain – though referring to Section 2 for a formal statement. We study the NLS equation on the circle given by

$$iu_t - u_{xx} + \mathcal{V} * u + \varepsilon |u|^4 u = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T},$$
(1.1)

where \mathcal{V} is a convolution potential, which we assume to have finite but arbitrarily large regularity, and we consider initial data $u(x,0) = \mathcal{W}(x)$ with Gevrey regularity. Thus we are in the same context as in [33], where the existence of some almost-periodic solutions is proved. The purpose of this paper is to show that such solutions have positive measure and provide a Cantor foliation in a large region of the phase space.

We briefly recap the scheme followed in [33], keeping in mind our purpose of counting the solutions. In [33] the solutions are parametrized by the solutions to the NLS equation linearized about the origin, in the following sense. If we expand both the convolution potential and the looked-for solution into their Fourier series, we can rewrite (1.1) as

$$\mathbf{i}(u_j)_t + \left(j^2 + V_j\right)u_j + \varepsilon \left(|u|^4 u\right)_j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(1.2)

where

$$V_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijx} \mathcal{V}(x) \, \mathrm{d}x, \qquad u_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijx} u(x,t) \, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{1.3}$$

and hence

$$\left(|u|^4 u\right)_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ijx} \left(|u(x,t)|^4 u(x,t)\right) dx.$$
(1.4)

Then, following a classical approach by Moser, we introduce, for reasons to become clear below, the auxiliary equation

$$i(u_j)_t + (\omega_j + \eta_j) u_j + \varepsilon \left(|u|^4 u \right)_j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(1.5)

with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\{\omega_j - j^2\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon) \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\eta(0) = 0$. We call (1.5) the modified equation, ω the frequency and η the counterterm. Any solution of the linear equation

$$\mathbf{i}(u_j)_t + \omega_j u_j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},\tag{1.6}$$

obtained from (1.5) by setting $\varepsilon = 0$, is of the form

$$u_{\rm lin}(x,t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j e^{ijx} e^{i\omega_j t}, \qquad (1.7)$$

with c a suitable sequence in $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ decaying fast enough for the series (1.7) to be summable. Theorem 2.13 in [33] shows that the following happens:⁵ consider any frequency ω satisfying an appropriate non-resonance condition, consider moreover any sufficiently small Gevrey solution (1.7) of the linear equation (1.6); then there exists a counterterm η such that the modified equation (1.5) has an almost-periodic solution with frequency ω bifurcating from the solution (1.7). Therefore, for many values of ω , the corresponding solution (1.7) may be continued into a nonlinear solution to (1.5), provided the sequence η is suitably chosen. On the other hand, if we fix c small enough and decaying fast enough, then, for V in a large measure set, it is possible to fix a non-resonant vector ω in such a way that

$$\omega_j + \eta_j = j^2 + V_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1.8}$$

 $^{{}^{5}}$ This is a Moser-like counterterm theorem in the infinite-dimensional setting. A formal statement, after the appropriate notation has been introduced, is provided by Theorem 3.5 in Section 3, with emphasis on the properties we aim to rely upon in the forthcoming discussion.

so that the solution to the nonlinear equation (1.5) is a solution to the original NLS equation (1.2) as well. Moreover, Proposition 2.21 in [33] ensures that each component η_j of the counterterm admits a suitable asymptotic expansion in terms of j which allows to choose the convolution potential \mathcal{V} in (1.1) with arbitrary finite regularity.⁶ In conclusion, we find that the NLS equation (1.1), with \mathcal{V} a regular function, admits, for most choices of \mathcal{V} , almost-periodic solutions which are parametrized by the sequences c which identify the solutions to the linearized equation.

However, from the construction described above, it remains unclear whether such a parametrization is injective, namely whether different linear solutions give rise to distinct almost-periodic solutions for the nonlinear system. In this setting, one can say at best that the solutions are uncountably many (see [77] for a similar predicament). In finite dimension, in the presence of some twist condition, the problem is typically bypassed by proving that different linear solutions give rise to nonlinear solutions with different frequencies, since the nonlinear solutions "inherit" the frequencies of the linear solutions. In the infinite-dimensional setting, this is not an easy task and, in any case, it would not guarantee the almost-periodic solution to have positive measure. The key of our strategy is to show that in fact there is a bi-Lipschitz (non-symplectic) invertible map from a ball in the space of initial data to the space of linear solutions. More precisely, we proceed as follows. We revisit the two results in [33] mentioned above, that is Theorem 2.13 and Proposition 2.21, and prove that one can keep accurate control on the regularity of the solution and of the counterterm w.r.t. the linear solution. This control, which comes from the very explicit construction via "diagrammatic expansion" of [33], allows us to deviate from the analysis performed in [33] and consider a different implicit function problem (see (5.1) in Section 5), which, besides ensuring the compatibility condition (1.8) to be satisfied, takes into account also the initial datum by requiring that $u(x,0) = \mathcal{W}(x)$. This allows us to define a bi-Lipschitz map from the space of linear solutions to the space of initial data, which, accordingly, may be used as further parameters (see (5.13) in Section 5). Thus, we are able to express the frequencies in terms not only of the potentials but also of the initial data and to prove that, for many choices of the convolution potential and of the initial datum, the corresponding frequency satisfies the non-resonance condition. This ensures the existence of the invariant tori on which the almost-solutions lie, provided both the convolution potential and the initial datum are taken in large measure sets. Finally, we prove the Lyapunov statistical stability by showing that, for convolution potentials in a large measure set, the set of initial data such that the corresponding frequency is non-resonant has large positive measure as well.

To complete the comparison with the classical KAM theorem, we study the geometrical structure of the solutions. In fact, by construction, their hulls have invariant tori as images. However, as already mentioned, such tori may not be submanifold in the infinite-dimensional setting. We resort once more to the explicit expression provided by Theorem 2.13 in [33] and, in addition, we make use of the last ingredient of our analysis: the construction of a bi-Lipschitz map expressing, at fixed potential V, the initial data in terms of the amplitudes of the linear solution (see (8.2) in Section 8). By exploiting such results, we construct a region

 $^{^{6}}$ See also Proposition 3.10 in Section 3, where the result is recalled – and stated in the form most suited to our purposes.

of the phase space Cantor-foliated in invariant tori. Moreover, we prove that those tori which do not belong to the foliation are still immersed tori.

1.4 Methods and tools

We conclude this introductory excursus with a few words about the main techniques we rely upon in our approach. The proof of Theorem 2.13 in [33], which is one of the key ingredients of our analysis, is based on the so-called tree formalism, first introduced by Feldman and Trubowitz [43] and, more systematically, by Gallavotti [49] and inspired to the Feynman graphs used in Quantum Field Theory [56, 54]. In the context of recurrent solutions for Hamiltonian PDEs, such a method has already been exploited in [55, 56, 57, 58, 71]. More recently trees expansions have also been used by Deng and Hani to obtain a full derivation of the wave kinetic equation [40, 41].

The tree formalism is based on a graphical representation of the formal expansion of the solution; this allows, first, to identify readily the terms where small divisors accumulate, and, then, to show that, when summed together, these terms turn out to compensate each other in such a way that the overall contribution they provide is not too large. The advantage of using the tree formalism is that, once the convergence of the series is proved, the graphical representation provides a fairly explicit expression of the solution. In [33], a main point of the analysis where such an expression is used is in the proof of Proposition 2.21, when one needs to show that, if one suitably chooses the frequency, then the counterterm shares the same asymptotic expansion as the frequency. In the present paper we heavily exploit the explicit expression of the solution to both to obtain the bi-Lipschitz map from linear solutions to initial data needed to solve the implicit function problem (5.1), and to prove the topological properties needed to construct of the Cantor foliation.

1.5 Conclusions

The results of this paper provide the first extension of the KAM theorem to a PDE, specifically the NLS equation with parameters, regarding both the geometric structure of the maximal invariant tori and their measure in the phase space.

The main new idea is to parametrize the tori in terms of neither the actions nor the linear solutions but, instead, the initial data – a strategy dictated by the need to overcome the lack of control on the twist. The idea by itself is very simple, however the implementation in the infinite-dimensional context is rather delicate and requires an extremely accurate quantitative control on the solutions and their Lipschitz dependence on all the parameters. To obtain such a control we take advantage in a substantial way of the explicit construction of the solutions and of the refined estimates obtained in [33].

Acknowledgements. We thank E. Haus and M. Berti for many useful comments on the manuscript. L.B., L.C. and M.P. have been supported by the research project PRIN 2020XBFL "Hamiltonian and dispersive PDEs", L.C. has been supported by the research PRIN 2022HSSYPN "Turbulent Effects vs Stability in Equations from Oceanography" (TESEO), G.G. has been partially supported by the research project PRIN 20223J85K3

"Mathematical Interacting Quantum Fields" of the Italian Ministry of Education and Research (MIUR).

2 Set up and main results

Consider the Cauchy problem associated to the NLS equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t - u_{xx} + \mathcal{V} * u + \varepsilon |u|^4 u = 0, & x \in \mathbb{T}, \\ u(x,0) = \mathcal{W}(x), \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

where ε is a small real parameter, \mathcal{V} is a convolution potential and \mathcal{W} is the initial datum. We make the following assumptions on the regularity of \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} .

Let \mathcal{F} denote the Fourier transform operator and let $V = \{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{V})$ be the sequence of the Fourier coefficients of \mathcal{V} , defined according to (1.3) – if they exist. Then, if we set, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\},^7$

$$\ell^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) := \Big\{ \mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} : \, \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}, \, \|\mathbf{x}\|_{k,\infty} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{x}_j| \langle j \rangle^k < \infty \Big\}, \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$\langle j \rangle := \max\{1, |j|\},$$

we assume that \mathcal{V} admits a Fourier series expansion and that $V \in \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that such a condition is satisfied if the convolution potential \mathcal{V} is of class C^N .

Regarding the initial datum \mathcal{W} , we fix $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and s > 0, and assume that the sequence $W = \{W_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} := \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{W})$ belongs to $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$, where

$$\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha) := \Big\{ \mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{C}) : \|\mathbf{x}\|_{s,\alpha} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{x}_j| e^{s\langle j \rangle^\alpha} < \infty \Big\}.$$
(2.3)

With a slight abuse of language, in the rest of the paper, for any fixed N, α and s, we call potential the sequence $V \in \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and *initial datum* the sequence $W \in g(s, \alpha)$, with $g(s, \alpha)$ being referred to as the *phase space* of the system described by the equation (2.1).

2.1 Almost-periodic solutions for a large measure set of potentials

Our purpose is to show that, for "most" choices of the potential V in a ball of $\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, a "large" subset of initial data W in a ball of the phase space $g(s, \alpha)$ gives rise to almost-periodic solutions.

In order to make precise what we mean above by "most" and "large", we have to introduce for both spaces $\ell^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)$ a proper measure.⁸ To do that, we need some notation.

⁷For k = 0 the norm in (2.2) reduces to the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

⁸It would be more appropriate to speak about *probability measure* rather than measure, since we assign value 1 to the measure of the entire space, however, for simplicity, throughout the paper we call measures *tout court* the probability measures introduced here.

Given a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$, for any $\rho > 0$ we set $\mathscr{U}_{\rho}(X) := \{\mathbf{x} \in X : \|\mathbf{x}\|_X < \rho\}$ and let $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(X)$ denote the closure of $\mathscr{U}_{\rho}(X)$ w.r.t. the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$; more generally, for $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we define $\mathscr{U}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X) := \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathscr{U}_{\rho}(X) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_X < \rho\}$ and call $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X)$ the closure of $\mathscr{U}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X)$.⁹ If X is a real weighted sequence space based on $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, i.e.

$$X = \Big\{ \mathbf{x} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_X := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{w}_j |\mathbf{x}_j| < \infty \Big\},\$$

for some sequence of positive weights $\{\mathbf{w}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$, then, for any $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X)$ is closed also w.r.t. the (weaker) product topology. This allows us to endow $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X)$ with the measure induced by the product measure on the ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$, by proceeding as follows. For any set

$$A = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A_j \subseteq \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} [\mathbf{x}_{0j} - \rho^{-1} \mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{x}_{0j} + \rho^{-1} \mathbf{w}_j],$$

with A_j a Lebesgue-measurable set in \mathbb{R} for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the measure of A as

$$\operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A) := \lim_{h \to \infty} \prod_{j=-h}^{h} \operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A_j), \qquad \operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A_j) := \frac{m(A_j)}{2\rho} \mathbf{w}_j,$$

where $m(\cdot)$ denotes the Lebesgue measure. Similarly, if X is a complex weighted sequence space based on $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, i.e.

$$X = \Big\{ \mathbf{x} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) : \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|_X := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathbf{x}_j| \mathbf{w}_j < \infty \Big\},\$$

we endow $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho,\mathbf{x}_0}(X)$ with the measure induced by the product measure on the complex ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}))$; this means that, for any set

$$A = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} A_j \subseteq \prod_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{ \mathbf{x}_j \in \mathbb{C} : |\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_{0j}| \rho^{-1} \mathbf{w}_j \le 1 \},\$$

with A_j a Lebesgue-measurable set in \mathbb{C} for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the measure of A as

$$\operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A) := \lim_{h \to \infty} \prod_{j=-h}^{h} \operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A_j), \qquad \operatorname{meas}_{X,\rho}(A_j) = \frac{m(A_j)}{\pi \rho^2} \mathsf{w}_j^2,$$

with $m(\cdot)$ still denoting the Lebesgue measure (in \mathbb{C}).

Remark 2.1. Actually, we are interested in a few specific cases. Mainly, we deal with the spaces $X = \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and $X = \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha) \subset \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, with weights $\mathbf{w}_j = \langle j \rangle^N$ and $\mathbf{w}_j = e^{s\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}}$, respectively, and with $\mathbf{x}_0 = 0$ in both cases. Then, for any $\rho > 0$, we write $\mu_{1,\rho} := \text{meas}_{\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}),\rho}$ and $\mu_{2,\rho} := \text{meas}_{\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha),\rho}$, and, for any $\rho_1, \rho_2 > 0$, we endow $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_1}(\ell^{N,\infty}) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_2}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ with the measure $\overline{\mu}_{\rho_1,\rho_2} := \mu_{1,\rho_1} \times \mu_{2,\rho_2}$. We also consider the space $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, with weights $\mathbf{w}_j = 1$ and $\mathbf{x}_0 = \varsigma := \{j^2\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and, in such case, we endow $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2,\varsigma}(\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ with the measure $\mu_0 := \text{meas}_{\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}),1/2}$.

⁹By construction, one has $\mathscr{U}_{\rho}(X) = \mathscr{U}_{\rho,0}(X)$.

In order to state our first main result we need two last definitions.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space. The set of the *almost-periodic functions* on $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is the closure w.r.t. the uniform topology of the set of trigonometric polynomials with values in X [12, 13]. In fact, we look for an almost-periodic solution to (2.1) which is the restriction of a function of infinitely many angles $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ computed at $\varphi = \omega t$, for some $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The vector ω is called the *frequency* – or frequency vector – of the solution.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$ be open and let $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a C^{∞} function. We say that f is *Gevrey of index* σ if for any compact set $K \subseteq \Omega$ there exists a constant C such that

$$\sup_{x \in K} \left| \frac{\partial^k}{\partial x^k} f(x) \right| \le C^k k!^{\sigma} \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 2.2. According to the last definition above, the assumption on the initial datum W is equivalent to requiring the function W to be Gevrey of index $1/\alpha$.

Then, in Sections 5 and 6 we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Fix s > 0, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $N \ge 3$, and consider the Cauchy problem (2.1) with potential $V \in \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ and initial datum $W \in \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)$. There exists $\varepsilon_* = \varepsilon_*(s,\alpha,N) > 0$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$, there exists a set of potentials $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon; \alpha, s, N) \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ with positive measure such that the following holds. For any potential $V \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a set of initial data $\mathcal{T}_V = \mathcal{T}_V(\varepsilon; s, \alpha, N) \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ with positive measure such that, for any initial datum $W \in \mathcal{T}_V$, there exists a unique solution u(x, t) to the Cauchy problem (2.1), satisfying the following properties:

- 1. u(x,t) is globally defined,
- 2. for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the map $x \mapsto u(x,t)$ is in $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ and Gevrey of index $1/\alpha$,
- 3. for all $x \in \mathbb{T}$ the map $t \mapsto u(x,t)$ is almost-periodic and Gevrey of index $2/\alpha$.

Moreover the measures of the sets \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{T}_V are both asymptotically full, in the sense that both $\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathcal{G})$ and $\mu_{2,1/2}(\mathcal{T}_V)$ tend to 1 as ε tends to 0.

Remark 2.4. All the results we discuss in this paper hold for any finite value of N. Here we consider only the case $N \ge 3$, since we are interested in the case of convolution potentials with high regularity. The case $N \le 2$ requires slightly different expressions [33], but it can be treated essentially in the same way.

Remark 2.5. In [8] it is proved that the Cauchy problem (2.1) admits, in the class of regularity considered here, a unique solution (local well-posedness), where it is also showed that the solution is defined at least for sub-exponentially long times (almost-global well-posedness). In the light of Remark 2.2, property 2 of Theorem 2.3, together with the measure estimates, ensures that, for a large measure set of initial data, the time evolution preserves their regularity for all times.

Remark 2.6. The NLS equation (2.1) is covariant under the scaling (see Remark 3.8 below)

$$(u, \mathcal{W}, \varepsilon) \mapsto (\lambda u, \lambda \mathcal{W}, \lambda^{-4}\varepsilon), \qquad \lambda > 0.$$

As a consequence, we can reformulate Theorem 2.3 as follows. For any $\rho \in (0, 1/2]$ set $\mathcal{G}_{\rho} = \mathcal{G}_{\rho}(\varepsilon; s, \alpha, N) := \mathcal{G}((2\rho)^{4}\varepsilon; s, \alpha, N)$ and $\mathcal{T}_{V,\rho} = \mathcal{T}_{V,\rho}(\varepsilon; s, \alpha, N) := 2\rho\mathcal{T}_{V}((2\rho)^{4}\varepsilon; s, \alpha, N)$; then, for any $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_{*}, \varepsilon_{*})$, any $V \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho} \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty})$ and any $W \in \mathcal{T}_{V,\rho} \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$ there is a solution u(x,t) to the Cauchy problem (2.1) satisfying the properties 1, 2 and 3 in Theorem 2.3. Moreover, the measures of the set \mathcal{G}_{ρ} in the ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and of the set $\mathcal{T}_{V,\rho}$ in the ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$ are both asymptotically full, in the sense that they tend to 1 as ρ tends to 0^{+} .

Remark 2.7. We may regard Theorem 2.3 in a probabilistic setting by randomising both the convolution potentials and the initial data w.r.t. the uniform distribution. More precisely, we may consider a random convolution potential and a random initial datum [23, 40]

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\langle j \rangle^N} v_j e^{\mathrm{i} j x}, \qquad \mathcal{W}(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-s \langle j \rangle^\alpha} w_j e^{\mathrm{i} j x},$$

where, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the coefficients v_j are real i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed in [-1/4, 1/4], while the coefficients w_j are complex i.i.d. random variables uniformly distributed in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq 1/2\}$. Then, Theorem 2.3 ensures that almost-periodic solutions occur with positive probability. In principle, one might also use other distributions, for instance one might assume the coefficients to be centered normalized complex Gaussian variables [41]; however, we did not investigate further such an issue.

2.2 Almost-periodic solutions for a full measure set of potentials

From the perspective of physical applications, one is interested in considering the NLS equation with a fixed potential V, say chosen in \mathcal{G} , and showing that the set of initial data giving rise to almost-periodic solutions has asymptotically full measure. This is a delicate issue which requires a more careful analysis.

Let $\mathfrak{G} \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ denote the set of potentials for which there exists a non-empty measurable set of initial data $\mathscr{S}_V \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$ such that for any $W \in \mathscr{S}_V$ the solution u(x,t) to the Cauchy problem (2.1) satisfies the properties 1, 2 and 3 in Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2.8. By definition, with the notation of Theorem 2.3, the set \mathfrak{G} contains the set \mathcal{G} , and one has $\mathscr{S}_V = \mathcal{T}_V$ if $V \in \mathcal{G}$. However, if compared with \mathcal{G} , the set \mathfrak{G} is not only measurable but of full measure. This can be seen by relying on the scaling properties enlightened in Remark 2.6: the measure of the set $\{(V, W) \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)) : W \in \mathscr{S}_V\}$ tends to 1 as ρ tends to 0, and this excludes the existence of a subset $\mathfrak{A} \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathfrak{A}) > 0$ and $\mu_{2,\rho}(\mathscr{S}_V \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))) = 0$ for all $V \in \mathfrak{A}$.

In Section 7 we prove the following result.

Theorem 2.9. Consider the Cauchy problem (2.1), under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2.3. Given any positive sequence $\rho := {\rho_k}_{k\geq 1} \in \ell^{1/2(N+1)}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}_+)$, there exists a measurable set of potentials $\mathfrak{G}_{\rho} \subset \mathfrak{G}$ with full measure such that, for any $V \in \mathfrak{G}_{\rho}$, the set \mathscr{S}_V satisfies

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mu_{2,\rho_k}(\mathscr{S}_V \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_k}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))) = 1.$$

Remark 2.10. In Theorem 2.9 one would like to consider the set of potentials for which $\liminf_{\rho\to 0^+} \mu_{2,\rho}(\mathscr{S}_V \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))) = 1$. However, unfortunately, it is not clear to us even whether such a set is measurable. This is why we restricted ourselves to taking the limit on a sequence. While some summability condition for the sequence ϱ is needed in our proof, we choose the space $\ell^{1/2(N+1)}$ only to simplify the argument.

2.3 Lyapunov statistical stability

An important dynamical consequence of our analysis is the following result regarding the global stability for a large measure set of solutions, showing that the elliptic fixed point $\underline{u}(x,t) = 0$ is statistically Lyapunov stable w.r.t. the Gevrey norm in the sense of the following result, which is proved in Section 7.

Theorem 2.11. Consider the Cauchy problem (2.1), under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 2.3. There exists $\gamma^* > 0$ such that for any $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$ there exist $\rho = \rho(\gamma) > 0$ and $\mathscr{G}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{G}$ such that

1. setting 10

$$\Gamma(\gamma) := \{ (V,W) \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) : V \in \mathscr{G}(\gamma) \,, W \in \mathscr{S}_V \},$$

one has $\overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}((\Gamma(\gamma))^c) \leq \gamma/\gamma_*$,

- 2. one has $\mu_{1,1/4}((\mathscr{G}(\gamma))^c) \leq \sqrt{\gamma/\gamma_*}$,
- 3. one has $\mu_{2,\rho}(\mathscr{S}_V^c \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \leq \sqrt{\gamma/\gamma_*} \text{ for all } V \in \mathscr{G}(\gamma),$
- 4. for any initial datum $W \in \mathscr{S}_V \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ the solution u(x,t) to (2.1) is such that

 $\|\mathcal{F}(u(\cdot,t))\|_{s,\alpha} \le 2\|W\|_{s,\alpha} \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$

Remark 2.12. Let γ^* be as in Theorem 2.11. Set

$$\mathscr{G}:=\bigcup_{\gamma\in(0,\gamma^*)}\mathscr{G}(\gamma)$$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.11, for all $V \in \mathscr{G}$ and all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any initial datum $W \in \mathscr{S}_V \cap \mathscr{U}_{\delta}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ the solution u(x,t) to (2.1) is such that $u(\cdot,t) \in \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathscr{U}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. This yields that the origin is statistically Lyapunov stable – statistically since the initial data which do not move away from the origin form a set of large but not full measure.

Theorem 2.11 follows from the fact that the almost-periodic solutions in Theorem 2.3 are such that the "linear actions" $|u_j|^2$ (see (1.3) for the notation) are approximately constant for all times. In fact, we give a more precise geometric description of the solutions and show that, as it happens in classical (finite-dimensional) KAM theory, also the support of any almost-periodic solution in Theorem 2.3 is a torus, which is a slight deformation of the "flat torus" where all the linear actions are constant. However, since in our case most tori are infinite-dimensional, some care is needed in defining such objects.

¹⁰Here and henceforth, given any set A in any space X, A^c denotes the complementary of A in X.

2.4 Structure of the invariant tori

For any a > 0, the thickened torus

$$\mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} := \Big\{ \varphi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \quad \operatorname{Re}\varphi_j \in \mathbb{T} \,, \quad |\operatorname{Im}\varphi_j| < a \,, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \Big\},$$

endowed with the metric

dist
$$(\varphi, \varphi') := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(|\operatorname{Re}(\varphi_j - \varphi'_j) \mod 2\pi | + |\operatorname{Im}(\varphi_j - \varphi'_j)| \right),$$

is a Banach manifold modelled on $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. For any function $\mathbb{T}_{a}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ we can define the derivatives w.r.t. the angles φ_{j} in the natural way, and characterize the analytic functions as the limits w.r.t. the uniform topology of trigonometric polynomials depending on a finite number of angles [71, 34]. Then, in order to prove that (2.1) admits an almost-periodic solution with the properties stated in Theorem 2.3, actually we prove the following. Fix s > 0, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $N \geq 3$ (see Remark 2.4), and assume ε to be small enough; then there are a constant $a = a(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, N)$ and, for any $V \in \mathcal{G}$ and any $W \in \mathcal{T}_{V}$, a vector $\omega = \omega(V, W) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that the Cauchy problem (2.1) admits a solution u(x, t) of the form

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{U}(x,\omega t) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ijx} \mathcal{U}_j(\omega t)$$
(2.4)

and the map $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{U}} \colon \mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathsf{g}(s, \alpha)$, defined as

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{U}}(\varphi) := \{\mathcal{U}_j(\varphi)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{2.5}$$

is analytic. This implies that the solution u(x,t) is almost-periodic in t with frequency ω .

However, the map $i_{\mathcal{U}}$ in (2.5) may well not be injective, so that both periodic and quasiperiodic solutions may – and in fact are – also obtained. Furthermore, differently from the finite-dimensional case, the image $i_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ may not be a submanifold of $g(s, \alpha)$. Recall that,¹¹ given a Banach space E, a subset $M \subseteq E$ is a submanifold of E if for any $p \in M$ there is an open subset $U \subseteq E$ containing p and a homeomorphism $\phi: U \to V$, with V an open subset of a Banach space F such that $F = F_h \oplus F_v$ and $\phi(U \cap M) = V \cap F_h$ [78]. In other words, if in a neighbourhood of each point p there is a coordinate system such that M is "locally horizontal". Nevertheless, although $i_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ may fail to be a submanifold for all $W \in \mathcal{T}_V$, if we define, for $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)) := \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha) : \delta \le |\mathbf{x}_{j}| e^{s\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}} \le \frac{1}{2} \,\forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)), \tag{2.6a}$$

$$\mathscr{A}_{V,\delta} := \mathcal{T}_V \cap \mathcal{A}_{\delta}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)), \tag{2.6b}$$

and take $W \in \mathscr{A}_{V,\delta}$, then the corresponding set $\mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ turns out to be a submanifold of $\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)$. In particular, the corresponding homeomorphism is analytic. The discussion above is summarised in the following result, which is proved in Section 8 (recall Remark 2.4 as to the condition on N).

¹¹We follow the terminology used by Pöschel and Trubowitz – note, however, that sometimes in the literature a subset with the listed properties is called an *embedded submanifold*.

Theorem 2.13. Fix s > 0, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $N \geq 3$. For all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$, with ε_* as in Theorem 2.3, there exists $a = a(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, N)$ such that, for all $V \in \mathcal{G}$ and all $W \in \mathcal{T}_V$, with \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{T}_V as in Theorem 2.3, there exists an analytic map $i_{\mathcal{U}} \colon \mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ such that the unique solution u(x,t) to (2.1) is of the form (2.4) and has the following property: for all $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_* := \varepsilon_*(s, \alpha, N, \delta) \leq \varepsilon_*$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$, all $V \in \mathcal{G}$ and all $W \in \mathscr{A}_{V,\delta}$, the set $i_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is a submanifold of $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ analytically homeomorphic to $\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We conclude by discussing more in detail the structure of the sets described by the hulls of the almost-periodic solutions,¹² as it emerges from the proof of Theorem 2.13 in Section 8 (see in particular Remark 8.6). In order to prove Theorem 2.13 – as well as Theorem 2.3 – we start by considering the NLS equation

$$iu_t - u_{xx} + \mathcal{V} * u + \varepsilon |u|^4 u = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T},$$
(2.7)

and ignoring for the time being the initial datum in (2.1). For $\varepsilon = 0$, all solutions to (2.7) are of the form

$$u_{\rm lin}(x,t) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} c_j e^{ijx + i(j^2 + V_j)t}, \qquad (2.8)$$

that is of the form (2.4) with $\mathcal{U}_j(\varphi) = \mathcal{U}_{\lim,j}(\varphi) := c_j e^{i\varphi_j}$ and $\omega = \omega_{\lim} := \{j^2 + V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Then, we introduce the map

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\varphi) := \{\mathcal{U}_{\mathrm{lin},j}(\varphi)\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}},\tag{2.9}$$

and set, for any $c \in \mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$, any $I = \{I_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \cap \mathbf{g}(2s, \alpha)$ and any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$|c|^{2} := \{|c_{j}|^{2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \qquad \sqrt{I} := \{\sqrt{I_{j}}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \qquad ce^{i\theta} := \{c_{j}e^{i\theta_{j}}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$
 (2.10)

If, for any choice of the *actions* I, we define

$$\mathscr{T}_I := \left\{ c' \in \mathsf{g}(s,\alpha) : |c'|^2 = I \right\} = \left\{ c' \in \mathsf{g}(s,\alpha) : c' = \sqrt{I}e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, \ \theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\},\tag{2.11}$$

the set

$$\mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}) := \bigcup_{\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{i}_{\mathrm{lin}}(\varphi) = \mathscr{T}_{|c|^2},$$

is what is usually called a *flat torus*. This means that all solutions to the linear equations are supported on invariant flat tori and we have the stratification

$$\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{+} \cap \mathbf{g}(2s,\alpha)} \mathscr{T}_{I} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)} \mathscr{T}_{|c|^{2}}.$$
(2.12)

Finally, for each fixed $c \in \mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ the linear dynamics on the torus described by the flow $t \mapsto \varphi + \omega_{\lim} t$ is dense on $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ with respect to the weak-* topology, which turns out to be the product topology. Thus, by construction, the image of the hull of the linear solution (2.8) is the torus $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ endowed with the product topology. It is however convenient to think of the tori $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ as immersions in $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$.

 $^{^{12}}$ See footnote 2 for the definition of hull.

Remark 2.14. In general $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ is not a submanifold and hence (2.12) does not provide a foliation of $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$, because some components of c may be arbitrarily small [78]. In order to have a foliation, we need a quantitative lower bound on $|c_j|$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, it is possible to extract from $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ a suitable open and dense subset \mathscr{C}_0 which is foliated in flat tori which are invariant for the linear dynamics (see Remark 8.6 for details).

For $\varepsilon \neq 0$, it is therefore natural to look for a solution to (2.7) of the form (2.4), which is identified by a pair $(i_{\mathcal{U}}, \omega)$, with $i_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, which continues the unperturbed solution $(i_{\text{lin}}, \omega_{\text{lin}})$, and satisfies

$$i\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} \mathcal{U}_j + (j^2 + V_j) \mathcal{U}_j + \varepsilon (|\mathcal{U}|^4 \mathcal{U})_j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(2.13)

where¹³

$$\left(|\mathcal{U}|^{4}\mathcal{U}\right)_{j} = \sum_{\substack{j_{1}, j_{2}, j_{3}, j_{4} \in \mathbb{Z}\\ j_{1}-j_{2}+j_{3}-j_{4}+j_{5}=j}} \mathcal{U}_{j_{1}}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{j_{2}}\mathcal{U}_{j_{3}}\overline{\mathcal{U}}_{j_{4}}\mathcal{U}_{j_{5}} \,.$$
(2.14)

In analogy with the classical (finite-dimensional) KAM theory, one expects that for all $V \in \mathcal{G}$ there is a large measure Cantor set $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\mathfrak{g}(2s,\alpha))$, such that for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ the flat torus \mathscr{T}_I survives the perturbation slightly deformed. We prove that there is a family of flat tori

$$\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}} := \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathscr{T}_{I}, \tag{2.15}$$

a ball $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ for some $\rho_0 \in (1/2,1)$ and a Lipschitz map $\mathscr{W}: \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)) \to \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)$ such that the image of the restriction of \mathscr{W} to each \mathscr{T}_I is an invariant torus, that is a set homotopically equivalent to \mathscr{T}_I and invariant for the nonlinear dynamics. Such tori, being the image under the map \mathscr{W} of a flat torus, are in principle not necessarily submanifolds (see Remark 2.14). In any case, the dynamics on $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_I)$ is conjugated to a linear dynamics on \mathscr{T}_I and hence, as in the case $\varepsilon = 0$, the flow is dense on $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_I)$ w.r.t. the weak-* topology.

Remark 2.15. The low regularity of the map \mathcal{W} is due to the fact that, whereas, writing $c = \sqrt{I}e^{i\varphi}$, for fixed I the dependence of the map on the angles φ is analytic, on contrast the actions I are restricted to a Cantor set, and the extension of \mathcal{W} outside such a set is no more than Lipschitz.

If we introduce the set

$$\mathscr{C} := \left\{ c \in \mathscr{C}_0 : |c|^2 \in \mathcal{I} \right\},\tag{2.16}$$

then, for any $c \in \mathscr{C}$, the set $\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2})$ turns out to be a submanifold (in fact, an *embedded* torus) and, according to Kuksin and Pöschel terminology [69], the set

$$\mathscr{E} := \bigcup_{c \in \mathscr{C}} \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}) \tag{2.17}$$

is a *Cantor manifold* foliated in tori which are invariant for (2.7). As a map from \mathscr{E} to $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$, the embedding \mathscr{W} is only a lipeomorphism, by Remark 2.15.

¹³Here and henceforth, \overline{z} denotes the complex conjugate of $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2.16. Of course, each initial datum $W \in \mathscr{E} := \mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}}) \subset \mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)$ gives rise to an almost-periodic solution. The crucial difference w.r.t. the finite dimensional case is that \mathcal{I} having large measure does not guarantee that \mathscr{E} has large measure as well, and in principle the set \mathscr{E} might not even be measurable. This is the reason for formulating Theorem 2.3, Corollary 2.12 and Theorem 2.13 in terms of the initial data rather than the actions.

3 A quantitative Moser counterterm theorem

As anticipated in Section 1, it is more convenient to reformulate (1.2) as a counterterm problem. We take ω in the set

$$\mathbb{Q} := \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}} : |\omega_j - j^2| \le 1/2 \right\}$$
(3.1)

and, instead of the original equation (1.2), we study the equation (1.6), with η a parameter sequence to be suitably fixed. If we set $U_j(\omega t) := u_j(t)$ and define

$$U(x,\varphi) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{ijx} U_j(\varphi), \qquad (3.2)$$

then (1.6) becomes

$$i\omega \cdot \partial_{\varphi} U_j + (\omega_j + \eta_j) U_j + \varepsilon (|U|^4 U)_j = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(3.3)

where $(|U|^4 U)_j$ is meant as in (2.14). We call (3.3) the *modified equation* and the sequence η the *counterterm* – by borrowing the terminology used in Quantum Field Theory. Then the unknown for (3.3) becomes the pair (U, η) , with $U: \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha))$ and $\eta \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 3.1. The modified equation (3.3) reduces to (2.13) if

$$\omega_j + \eta_j = j^2 + V_j, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.4}$$

In other words, if (U, η) solves (3.3) and $\eta = {\eta_j}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is such that (3.4) is satisfied, then the function $\mathcal{U}(x, \omega t) = U(x, \omega t)$ solves (2.7). We call (3.4) the *compatibility condition*.

3.1 The set of frequencies

Then, at first, we neglect the constraint (3.4). In order to prove that there exists a solution (U, η) to (3.3) we need to require $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}$ to satisfy an appropriate Bryuno non-resonance condition, as detailed below. Set¹⁴

$$\mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}} := \Big\{ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \|\nu\|_1 < \infty \Big\},\tag{3.5a}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} := \left\{ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu_{i} = 0 \right\},\tag{3.5b}$$

¹⁴Here and henceforth, $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the standard ℓ^1 -norm and the subscript f stands for *finite support*.

and, for $\alpha > 0$, define

$$|\nu|_{\alpha} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle i \rangle^{\alpha} |\nu_i|, \qquad (3.6)$$

so that $\|\cdot\|_1 = |\cdot|_0$. For any fixed $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{Q}$, introduce the non-increasing function $\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}: [1, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(x) := \inf \{ |\omega \cdot \nu| : \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}, \ 0 < |\nu|_{\alpha/2} \le x \},$$
(3.7)

the weak Bryuno function

$$\mathcal{B}(\omega) := \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^m} \log\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(2^m)}\right),\tag{3.8}$$

and define

$$\mathfrak{B}^{(0)} := \{ \omega \in \mathbb{Q} : \mathcal{B}(\omega) < \infty \}.$$
(3.9)

We say that $\omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$ is a (infinite-dimensional) vector satisfying the weak Bryuno condition.

Remark 3.2. One could replace the sequence $\{2^m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ with an arbitrary diverging increasing sequence $\{r_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ on $[1, +\infty)$, with no significant change in the following discussion [33].

Remark 3.3. The reason why the function \mathcal{B} in (3.8) is called the weak Bryuno function and the vectors $\omega \in \mathscr{B}^{(0)}$ are said to satisfy the weak Bryuno condition is that only vectors $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\nu_i = 0\tag{3.10}$$

are considered when computing $\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(x)$ in (3.7). If we drop the constraint (3.10) and allow vectors such that (3.8), with $\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(x)$ being replaced with

$$\beta_{\omega}(x) := \inf_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ 0 < |\nu|_{\alpha/2} \le x}} |\omega \cdot \nu|,$$

is finite, we recover the Bryuno vectors which naturally extend to the infinite-dimensional context [33] the usual Bryuno vectors of the finite-dimensional case [24].

Remark 3.4. The set of (γ, τ) -weak Diophantine vectors, i.e. the set

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma,\tau) := \left\{ \omega \in \mathbb{Q} : |\omega \cdot \nu| > \gamma \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle i \rangle^2 |\nu_i|^2)^\tau} \quad \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\} \right\},$$

is a subset of $\mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$ [33, Lemma B.3] and, with the notation in Remark 2.1, for $\tau > 1/2$ there exists a constant $C(\tau)$ such that $\mu_0(\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma, \tau)) \leq C(\tau) \gamma$ (see [21, 30] or Lemma B.5 in [33]).

3.2 Almost-periodic solutions to the modified equation

Then, we look for a solution (U, η) , with $U(x, \varphi) = U(x, \varphi; c, \omega, \varepsilon)$, to the modified equation (3.3) of the form

$$U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \mathcal{U}_0(x,\varphi;c) + U_{\perp}(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon),$$

$$\mathcal{U}_0(x,\varphi;c) := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} c_j e^{\mathbf{i}jx + \mathbf{i}\varphi_j},$$

$$U_{\perp}(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) := \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\substack{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}\\\nu\neq\varepsilon_j^{\mathbb{Z}}}} U_{j,\nu}(c,\omega,\varepsilon) e^{\mathbf{i}jx + \mathbf{i}\nu\cdot\varphi},$$

(3.11)

with $c \in g(s, \alpha)$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$, and the coefficients $U_{j,\nu}(c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ of the function $U_{\perp}(x, \varphi; c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ to be determined.

It is convenient to rewrite (3.11) as

$$U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} U_j(\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) e^{\mathbf{i}jx} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}} U_{j,\nu}(c,\omega,\varepsilon) e^{\mathbf{i}jx + \nu \cdot \varphi},$$

where we have set $U_{j,\mathfrak{e}_j}(c,\omega,\varepsilon) = c_j$, if $\mathfrak{e}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}$ denotes the integer vector with components $(\mathfrak{e}_j)_i = \delta_{ij}$, with δ_{ij} being the Kroneker delta.

Then, the modified equation (3.3) becomes

$$(-\omega \cdot \nu + \omega_j + \eta_j) U_{j,\nu} + \varepsilon \left(|U|^4 U \right)_{j,\nu} = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}, \ \nu \neq \mathfrak{e}_j,$$

$$\eta_j c_j + \varepsilon \left(|U|^4 U \right)_{j,\mathfrak{e}_j} = 0, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(3.12)

where we have shortened $\eta_j = \eta_j(c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ and $U_{j,\nu} = U_{j,\nu}(c, \omega, \varepsilon)$, and set

$$\left(|U|^4 U\right)_{j,\nu} = \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5 \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j_1 - j_2 + j_3 - j_4 + j_5 = j}} \sum_{\substack{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4, \nu_5 \in \mathbb{Z}_f^Z \\ \nu_1 - \nu_2 + \nu_3 - \nu_4 + \nu_5 = \nu}} U_{j_1,\nu_1} \overline{U}_{j_2,\nu_2} U_{j_3,\nu_3} \overline{U}_{j_4,\nu_4} U_{j_5,\nu_5}.$$

The following result rephrases Theorem 2.13 in [33].

Theorem 3.5. Fix s > 0, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(s,\alpha,\omega)$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0)$ and all $c \in \mathcal{U}_1(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ there exist two sequences

$$\{U_{j,\nu}(c,\omega,\varepsilon)\}_{(j,\nu)\in\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}},\qquad \eta(c,\omega,\varepsilon)=\{\eta_j(c,\omega,\varepsilon)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

satisfying the following properties:

- 1. the corresponding (U, η) solves the modified equation (3.12),
- 2. there exist $s'_0 = s'_0(\varepsilon) \in (0,s)$ and, for all $s_2 \in (s'_0,s)$, a constant $C = C(s, s_2, \alpha, \omega)$ such that

$$\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\eta_j(c,\omega,\varepsilon)| < C|\varepsilon|, \qquad \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^Z \setminus \{\mathfrak{e}_j\}} |U_{j,\nu}(c,\omega,\varepsilon)| \, e^{s_1|\nu|_\alpha} e^{s_2 \langle j \rangle^\alpha} \le C|\varepsilon|, \qquad (3.13)$$

with $s_1 := s - s_2$,

- 3. the sequence $\eta(c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ is real analytic in $|c|^2 := \{|c_j|^2\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$,
- 4. the function $U_{\perp}(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon)$ in (3.11) and hence $U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon)$ is separately analytic in c, \bar{c} ,
- 5. there exists $a = \overline{a}(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, \omega)$ such that, setting

$$\mathfrak{i}_U(\varphi) := \{ U_j(\varphi; c, \omega, \varepsilon) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \qquad U_j(\varphi; c, \omega, \varepsilon) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}} U_{j,\nu}(c, \omega, \varepsilon) e^{\mathfrak{i}\nu \cdot \varphi}, \qquad (3.14)$$

the map $\mathfrak{i}_U \colon \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}_a \to \mathfrak{g}(s, \alpha)$ is analytic.

Remark 3.6. In Theorem 3.5, separate analyticity is meant as follows [33, Definition 2.11]. Given two complex Banach spaces $(Z, \|\cdot\|_Z)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$, a function $F: Z \to Y$ is said to be *separately analytic* in z, \overline{z} if there is an analytic function $F_{\text{ext}}: Z \times Z \to Y$ such that $F(z) = F_{\text{ext}}(z, \overline{z})$ for all $z \in Z$.

Both $\eta(c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ and $U_{\perp}(x, \varphi; c, \omega, \varepsilon)$ may be explicitly computed as absolutely convergent series in ε , i.e. for all $c \in \mathcal{W}_1(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha))$ and all $\omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$ and for ε small enough, we may expand

$$U_{\perp}(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \varepsilon^k \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} U_j^{(k)}(\varphi;c,\omega) e^{ijx}, \qquad U_j^{(k)}(\varphi;c,\omega) = \sum_{\substack{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_f^Z\\\nu\neq\mathfrak{e}_j}} U_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega) e^{i\nu\cdot\varphi}, \quad (3.15a)$$
$$\eta_j(c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \sum_{k\geq 1} \varepsilon^k \eta_j^{(k)}(c,\omega) \qquad (3.15b)$$

where the coefficients $U_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ and $\eta_j^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ admit a graphical representation in terms of trees [33, Section 4].

The bounds in item 2 of Theorem 3.5 are obtained by studying the coefficients $U_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ and $\eta_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ in (3.15) and proving that, for any $k \ge 1$, any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus {\mathfrak{e}_j}$, one has [33, Proposition 7.83]

$$\left|\eta_{j}^{(k)}(c,\omega)\right| < D_{0}^{k}, \qquad \left|U_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega)\right| e^{s_{1}|\nu|_{\alpha}} e^{s_{2}\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}} \le D_{0}^{k},$$
(3.16)

for some positive constant $D_0 = D_0(s, \alpha, \omega)$, which goes to infinity as s goes to 0. By introducing the bounds (3.16) in (3.15a) and recalling the notation (2.10) and the definitions (3.11) and 3.14, we obtain the bounds

$$\left\| \mathfrak{i}_U(\varphi) - c e^{\mathfrak{i}\varphi} \right\|_{s,\alpha} \le D_1|\varepsilon|,\tag{3.17}$$

for some positive constant $D_1 = D_1(s, \alpha, \omega)$.

Remark 3.7. By looking at formula (4.27) of [33], it is easy to prove inductively that $U_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ is a homogenous polynomial in c, \bar{c} of degree 4k+1, while $\eta_j^{(k)}(c,\omega)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $|c|^2$ of degree 2k.

3.3 Properties of the almost-periodic solutions

From the symmetry property of the modified equation (3.3) we may deduce that if a solution of the form (3.2) exists, then it satisfies the following crucial symmetries:

1. Momentum conservation: for any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we have [33, Remark 2.17]

$$U(x,\varphi;ce^{\mathbf{i}\theta},\omega,\varepsilon) = U(x,\varphi+\theta;c,\omega,\varepsilon), \qquad \eta(c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \eta(ce^{\mathbf{i}\theta},\omega,\varepsilon).$$
(3.18)

2. Translation-covariance: for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have [33, Remark 2.17]

$$U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = U(0,\varphi+\varsigma x;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = U(0,\varphi;ce^{i\varsigma x},\omega,\varepsilon),$$
(3.19)

with $\varsigma = \{j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (see Remark 2.1), i.e. the solutions we obtain are travelling waves.

3. Gauge-covariance: for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we have [33, Remark 2.9]

$$U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = e^{-i\lambda}U(x,\varphi;ce^{i\lambda},\omega,\varepsilon).$$
(3.20)

4. Scaling-covariance: for any $\rho > 0$ we have [33, Remark 2.18]

$$U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \rho^{-1}U(x,\varphi;\rho c,\omega,\rho^{-4}\varepsilon), \qquad \eta(c,\omega,\varepsilon) = \eta(\rho c,\omega,\rho^{-4}\varepsilon).$$
(3.21)

Remark 3.8. The symmetries listed above can also be verified *a posteriori* from the explicit construction of the solution to the modified equation (3.3) in terms of trees [33]. The scaling covariance is the symmetry referred to in Remark 2.6.

Remark 3.9. By using (3.18), we immediately deduce that, if $c_j = 0$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\partial_{\varphi_j} U(x,\varphi;c,\omega,\varepsilon) = 0$.

3.4 Asymptotic expansion of the counterterms

If we assume the frequencies to have a suitable special dependence on j, then the counterterm turns out to have the same dependence as well. More precisely, the subset of frequencies in \mathbb{Q} we consider is as follows. Define

$$\mathscr{W}_N := [-1/4, 1/4]^{N-1} \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})),$$

and introduce in \mathscr{W}_N the norm

$$\|\zeta\|_{m,\infty} := \max\{\|\kappa\|_{\infty}, \|\xi\|_{m,\infty}\}.$$
(3.22)

For any $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N$, write $\zeta = (\kappa, \xi)$, with $\kappa = (\kappa_0, \kappa_2, \ldots, \kappa_{N-1}) \in [-1/4, 1/4]^{N-1}$ and $\xi \in \widetilde{\mathscr{W}}_{1/2}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, define also

$$\omega_{j}(\zeta) := \omega_{j}(\kappa, \xi) = \begin{cases} \kappa_{0} + \xi_{0}, & j = 0, \\ j^{2} + \kappa_{0} + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{\kappa_{q}}{j^{q}} + \xi_{j}, & j \neq 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.23)

and set

$$\mathscr{K}_N := \{ \zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N : \, \omega(\zeta) \in \mathscr{B}^{(0)} \},\$$

with $\mathfrak{B}^{(0)}$ defined in (3.9). Then, the following result holds [33, Proposition 2.21].

Proposition 3.10. Fix s > 0, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $N \ge 3$. Let ε_0 be as in Theorem 3.5. For any $\zeta \in \mathscr{H}_N$ there exists $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(s, \alpha, \zeta, N) \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_1)$ and all $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathsf{g}(s, \alpha))$, there are a vector $\mathfrak{a}(c, \zeta, \varepsilon) = (\mathfrak{a}_0(c, \zeta, \varepsilon), \mathfrak{a}_2(c, \zeta, \varepsilon), \ldots, \mathfrak{a}_{N-1}(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and a sequence $\mathfrak{r}(c, \zeta, \varepsilon) = {\mathfrak{r}_i(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, both real analytic in $|c|^2$, such that

$$\eta_j(c,\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{a}_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{\mathfrak{a}_q(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)}{j^q} + \mathfrak{r}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), & j \neq 0, \\ \mathfrak{a}_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) + \mathfrak{r}_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), & j = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.24)

Moreover, there is a positive constant $C_0 = C_0(s, \alpha, \zeta, N)$, independent of both ε and c, such that

 $\|\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)\|_{\infty} \leq C_0|\varepsilon|, \qquad \|\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)\|_{N,\infty} \leq C_0|\varepsilon|.$

Finally, $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ are analytic in ε and their Taylor expansion satisfies the same properties as η . In particular, $\mathfrak{a}^{(k)}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{(k)}$ are homogeneous polynomials in $|c|^2$ of degree 2k.

4 Lipschitz continuity and uniform bounds

Theorem 3.5 is an abstract Moser-like counterterm theorem which ensures the existence of a solution (U, η) to the modified equation (3.3), for all $c \in \mathscr{U}_1(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha))$ and all $\omega \in \mathscr{B}^{(0)}$. The radius of convergence ε_0 is uniform in c, for $c \in \widetilde{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha))$, but depends strongly on the frequency ω . The same happens for the radius of convergence ε_1 as a function of ζ in Proposition 3.10. However, in order to apply the result to the NLS equation (2.1), we need to solve the compatibility equation (3.4) and express both the frequency ω and the counterterm η in terms of the potential V. This is an implicit function problem and, if we aim to solve it, we need Lipschitz regularity on some open set of the frequencies where one has uniform bounds on the radius of convergence in ε .

To study the Lipschitz continuity of the functions we are interested in, it is useful to introduce some notation. If X and Y are normed spaces endowed with the norms $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\|\cdot\|_Y$, respectively, given any non-empty $D \subseteq X$ and any function $f: D \to Y$, we define the seminorm

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}(D\subseteq X,Y)} := \sup_{\substack{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x}'\in D\\\mathbf{x}\neq\mathbf{x}'}} \frac{\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}')\|_{Y}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_{X}}.$$
(4.1)

Below, we use repeatedly the notation (4.1), contextualized to the normed space we consider each time.

4.1 The set of good parameters

In order to restrict ζ to a subset $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma) \subseteq \mathscr{K}_N$ in which the radius of convergence ε_1 is bounded uniformly in ζ , we proceed as follows. Fix $\gamma > 0$ and $\tau > 1/2$ and set

$$\beta^*(x,\gamma,\tau) := \gamma \inf_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^r \\ 0 < |\nu|_{\alpha/2} \le x \\ \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu_i = 0}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle i \rangle^2 |\nu_i|^2)^{\tau}}.$$
(4.2)

One can verify [33, Lemma B.3] that

$$\mathcal{B}(\gamma,\tau) := \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^m} \log \frac{1}{\beta^*(2^m,\gamma,\tau)} < \infty.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{B}(\gamma,\tau) = \left\{ \omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)} : \beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(2^m) \ge \beta^*(2^m,\gamma,\tau) \quad \forall m \ge 0 \right\}.$$

$$(4.4)$$

Remark 4.1. For any $\gamma, \tau > 0$ one has $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma, \tau) \subseteq \mathfrak{B}(\gamma, \tau)$ [33, Appendix B].

Remark 4.2. In the following we need a stronger condition on τ than the condition $\tau > 1/2$ considered above. In fact, we have to require at least $\tau = N + 1$ (see Section 6, in particular Proposition 6.4 and Remark 6.5). Hence, from now on, we fix $\tau = N + 1$.

The appropriate subset $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$ is defined as

$$\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma) := \{ \zeta \in \mathscr{W}_{N} : \omega(\zeta) \in \mathscr{B}(\gamma, N+1) \}.$$

$$(4.5)$$

Following [33], we call $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$ the set of good parameters.

Remark 4.3. We defined the sequence $\{\beta^*(2^m, \gamma, \tau)\}_{m\geq 0}$ so that the following holds:

- 1. the vectors in $\mathfrak{B}(\gamma, \tau)$ satisfy the weak Bryuno condition,
- 2. the set $\mathfrak{B}(\gamma,\tau)$ contains the set of (γ,τ) -weak Diophantine vectors (see Remark 3.4)
- 3. for $\zeta \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$ we have a uniform bound on the small divisors.

In fact, instead of the sequence $\{\beta^*(2^m, \gamma, N+1)\}_{m\geq 0}$, we may consider a different sequence as soon as the properties above are satisfied. Precisely, given any sequence $\beta = \{\beta_m\}_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\beta^*(2^m, \gamma, N+1) \ge \beta_m, \qquad m \ge 0, \tag{4.6a}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{\beta} := \sum_{m \ge 1} \frac{1}{2^{m-1}} \log \frac{1}{\beta_m} < \infty, \tag{4.6b}$$

if we define the set

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\beta} = \left\{ \omega \in \mathfrak{B}^{(0)} : \beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(2^m) \ge \beta_m \quad \forall m \ge 0 \right\},$$

$$(4.7)$$

by construction we have $\mathfrak{B}(\gamma, N+1) \subset \mathfrak{B}_{\beta}$. Then, instead of the set (4.5), we may consider the larger set

$$\mathscr{K}_{N,\beta} := \{\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N : \omega(\zeta) \in \mathfrak{B}_\beta\},\$$

and this allows us to consider almost-periodic solutions with frequencies which are not necessarily Diophantine and still admit uniform estimates [34, Proposition 3]. However, here we prefer to use the sets $\Re(\gamma, N+1)$ and $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$ in (4.5) to keep the same notation as in [33].

4.2 Lipschitz extensions

Given any subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{W}_N$, with $N \geq 3$, and any map $f : \mathscr{U} \to E$, with $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ some Banach space, we define the family of Lipschitz norms of f as

$$|f|_{\mathscr{U},m,E}^{\operatorname{Lip}} := \sup_{\zeta \in \mathscr{U}} ||f(\zeta)||_E + ||f||_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{W}_N, E)}, \qquad m = 0, \dots, N.$$
(4.8)

Define

$$\mathfrak{u}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) := \sum_{k\geq 1} \varepsilon^k U_j^{(k)}(0;c,\omega(\zeta)), \qquad \mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) := \{\mathfrak{u}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}},\tag{4.9}$$

so that we can write

$$U(x,0) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (c_j + \mathfrak{u}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)) e^{ijx},$$

and requiring that $U(x,0) = \mathcal{W}(x)$, that is the initial datum in (2.1), reads

$$W_j = c_j + \mathfrak{u}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(4.10)

The vector $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and the sequence $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ appearing in (3.24), as well as the sequence $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ in (4.9), satisfy suitable estimates which allow us to extend them to the whole \mathscr{W}_N . This is the content of the two following results.

Proposition 4.4. Fix $N \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. For any s > 0 and any $\alpha \in (0,1)$ there exist $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(s, \alpha, N, \gamma) > 0$ and a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(s, \alpha, N, \gamma)$ such that, for all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2)$ and all $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha))$, one has

$$|\eta(c,\omega(\cdot),\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma),0,\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.11a)$$

$$|\mathfrak{a}(c,\cdot,\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma),0,\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.11b)$$

$$|\mathfrak{r}(c,\cdot,\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma),N,\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.11c)$$

$$|\mathfrak{r}(c,\cdot,\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma),0,\ell^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.11d)$$

$$|\mathfrak{u}(c,\cdot,\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{K}_{N}(\gamma),0,\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq C_{1}|\varepsilon|.$$
(4.11e)

Proof. The bounds (4.11a) to (4.11d) are proved in [33] (see Proposition 2.27 therein). The same argument leads to the proof of the last bound (4.11e) as well. Indeed, both $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ are limits of quantities which can be written as sums over trees [33, Section 8], and the bounds are obtained by estimating the value of each tree separately; analogously, each $\mathfrak{u}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ admits a tree representation which can be dealt with in the same way [33, Lemma 9.4], so that, by reasoning as in the proof of Lemma A.2 in [33], we obtain that, for some positive constant D_1 ,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in \mathbb{T}_a} |U_j^{(k)}(\varphi; c, \omega(\cdot))|_{\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma), 0, \mathbb{R}}^{\mathrm{Lip}} \le D_1^k e^{-s\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}}, \tag{4.12}$$

with $U_j^{(k)}(\varphi; c, \omega)$ defined in (3.15a), and hence

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} |\varepsilon|^k \sup_{\varphi\in\mathbb{T}_a} \sup_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |U_j^{(k)}(\varphi;c,\omega(\cdot))|_{\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma),0,\mathbb{R}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} e^{s\langle j\rangle^{\alpha}} \leq D_2|\varepsilon|,$$

for a suitable positive constant D_2 , provided ε is small enough. This implies that $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) \in \mathscr{U}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$ for some $\rho = O(\varepsilon)$ and hence the bound (4.11e) follows, possibly with a different constant C_1 with respect the other bounds. Thus, by suitably redefining the constant C_1 , all the bounds are found to hold with the same value of C_1 .

Proposition 4.5. Fix $N \ge 3$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. For s > 0 and $\alpha \in (0,1)$, let ε_2 be as in Proposition 4.4. Then, for all $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2)$ and all $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$, there exists Lipschitz extensions

$$A(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = (A_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), A_2(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), \dots, A_{N-1}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)),$$

$$R(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = \{R_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}},$$

$$\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = \{\mathfrak{U}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$$

of the functions $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, respectively, to the whole \mathscr{W}_N , continuous w.r.t. the product topology and satisfying the same bounds as in (4.11), with \mathscr{W}_N replacing $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$. In particular, the counterterm (3.24) can be extended to the function

$$\eta_j(c,\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon) = \begin{cases} A_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{A_q(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)}{j^q} + R_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), & j \neq 0, \\ A_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) + R_0(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), & j = 0, \end{cases}$$
(4.13)

defined for all $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N$.

Proof. The extensions of the functions $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ are proved to exist and satisfy the bounds (4.11a) to (4.11d) in [33] (see Corollary 10.8 and formula (10.29) therein). Similarly, applying the McShane Theorem also to the function $u_{j,\nu}^{(k)}(c,\omega(\cdot))$ and reasoning in the same way, we find that the function $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ can be extended as well to a function $\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ which is defined on the whole \mathscr{W}_N , where it admits the same bound as $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ in $\mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$.

Remark 4.6. In the proof given in [33] that the functions $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ can be extended, one of the main features is that each component $\mathfrak{a}_q(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, for $q = 0, 2, \ldots, N-1$ and each component $\mathfrak{r}_j(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, is expressed as an absolutely convergent series where the summands are graphically represented as renormalized trees [33, Section 7], and their values equal the values of the trees, which in turn are functions of a finite number of variables. Then, all the components are extended by extending each single tree value. This allows us to obtain extensions $A(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $R(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, which, by construction, are continuous w.r.t. the product topology in all their variables and Lipschitz-continuous in the variables ζ . The same comment applies to the extension $\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ of the function $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$.

Finally, the following result is proved by relying once more on [33].

Proposition 4.7. For all $(c, \zeta, \varepsilon) \in \mathscr{U}_1(\mathsf{g}(s, \alpha)) \times \mathscr{W}_N \times (-\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_2)$ the extended functions $A(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$, $R(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ in Proposition 4.5 are separately analytic in $c, \overline{c} \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathsf{g}(s, \alpha))$. In particular, both $A(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ and $R(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ are real analytic in $|c|^2$.

Proof. Using the notation in [33] (see Appendix A.2, specifically formula (A.2) therein) and setting

$$\mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}} := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}} : a_j \ge 0 \ \forall j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \qquad j(a,b) := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} i(a_i - b_i),$$

we may write

$$U_{j,\nu}(c,\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon) = \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ a-b=\nu, \, j(a,b)=j}} U_{j,\nu}^{(a,b)}(\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon) \, c^a \bar{c}^b, \qquad c^a \bar{c}^b := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} c_i^{a_i} \bar{c}_i^{b_i} \,,$$

where the coefficients $U_{j,\nu}^{(a,b)}(\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon)$ satisfy the bounds [33, formula (A.3)]

$$\sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f}^{\infty}}} e^{s_{1}|\nu|_{\alpha}} e^{(s-s_{1})\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ a-b=\nu, \, j(a,b)=j}} |U_{j,\nu}^{(a,b)}(\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon)| \sup_{c,\overline{c} \in \mathscr{U}_{1}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))} |c^{a}\overline{c}^{b}| < \infty.$$
(4.14)

Therefore, we obtain

$$\mathfrak{u}_{j}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f}^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{\mathfrak{e}_{j}\}} \sum_{\substack{a,b \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ a-b=\nu, \, j(a,b)=j}} U_{j,\nu}^{(a,b)}(\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon) \, c^{a} \bar{c}^{b}$$

and hence the sequence $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ is separately analytic in c,\overline{c} for $c \in \mathscr{U}_1(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$. A similar result holds also for $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, namely we may expand

$$\mathfrak{a}_q(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{a}_q^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon) |c|^{2a}, \qquad q = 0, 2, \dots, N-1,$$
(4.15a)

$$\mathfrak{r}_{j}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{+,f}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathfrak{r}_{j}^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon) |c|^{2a}, \qquad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
(4.15b)

with (see (2.10) for the notation $|c|^2$)

$$|c|^{2a} := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_i|^{2a_i}$$

and the coefficients $\mathfrak{a}^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon)$ satisfying bounds analogous to (4.14). This shows that also the functions $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ are separately analytic in c,\bar{c} .

Since the extension procedure is performed for each single tree value contributing to the coefficients $u_{j,\nu}^{(a,b)}(\omega(\zeta),\varepsilon)$, $\mathfrak{a}_q^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{r}_j^{(a)}(\zeta,\varepsilon)$ (see Remark 4.6), we conclude that the extended functions $A(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, $R(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ inherit the separate analyticity property of the functions $\mathfrak{a}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, $\mathfrak{r}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$.

Remark 4.8. By using Remark 3.7 and Proposition 3.10, if we restrict all the involved functions to $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))$, with $\rho \in (0,1]$, then the estimates (4.11a) to (4.11d) hold with C_1 replaced with $C_1\rho^4$ and the estimate (4.11e) holds with C_1 replaced with $C_1\rho^5$. The same argument applies to the extensions A, R, \mathfrak{U} .

Let us now discuss more in detail the regularity with respect to c.

Corollary 4.9. For any $\rho_0 \in (0,1)$ and for all $(c,\zeta,\varepsilon) \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)) \times \mathscr{W}_N \times (-\varepsilon_2,\varepsilon_2)$, the extended functions $A(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$, $R(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ in Proposition 4.5 are Lipschitz continuous in $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$.

Proof. Lipschitz continuity in c is ensured by the separate analyticity in c, \bar{c} . Indeed, the bounds on the Lipschitz norms in the variables c follow from the Cauchy estimates: if $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is a Banach space and a function $f: \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)) \to E$ is separately analytic in c, \bar{c} , then, for a suitable constant C depending on ρ_0 , we have [73]

$$|f|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))\subseteq\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha),E)} = \sup_{\substack{c,c'\in\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))\\c\neq c'}} \frac{\|f(c) - f(c')\|_E}{\|c - c'\|_{s,\alpha}} \le C \sup_{c\in\overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))} \|f(c)\|_E, \quad (4.16)$$

which immediately implies that f is Lipschitz continuous in c.

Remark 4.10. In the following we require ρ_0 to be greater than 1/2, for instance $\rho_0 = 2/3$ (which gives C = 3 in (4.16)). In fact, the dependence on c of the extended function is much more than Lipschitz; however, we do not need more regularity than that.

Since the functions $A(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$, $R(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ are Lipschitz continuous in $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N$ as well [33], it is convenient is to introduce a suitable Lipschitz norm in order to take into account both variables $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathfrak{g}(s, \alpha))$ and $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N$.

To this aim, we set

$$\mathscr{Y}_0 := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \times \mathscr{W}_N \tag{4.17}$$

and, for any m = 0, ..., N, in \mathscr{Y}_0 we introduce the norm

$$\|\|(c,\zeta)\|\|_{m} := \max\{\|c\|_{s,\alpha}, \|\zeta\|_{m,\infty}\},\tag{4.18}$$

with $\|\zeta\|_{m,\infty}$ defined in (3.22), and call $\mathscr{Y}_0(m)$ the normed space \mathscr{Y}_0 endowed with the norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_m$. Then, given any subset $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{Y}_0(m)$ and any function $f: \mathscr{U} \to E$, where $(E, \|\cdot\|_E)$ is some Banach space, similarly to (4.8) we define

$$|f|_{\mathscr{U},m,E}^{\operatorname{Lip}} := \sup_{(c,\zeta)\in\mathscr{U}} \|f(c,\zeta)\|_E + \|f\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{U}\subseteq\mathscr{Y}_0(m),E)}.$$
(4.19)

By collecting together the results above, we conclude that, for ε small enough, there are a constant C and a map

$$\Psi \colon \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \times \mathscr{W}_N \to \mathscr{U}_{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \times [-\rho_{\varepsilon},\rho_{\varepsilon}]^{N-1} \times \mathscr{U}_{\rho_{\varepsilon}}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \subset \mathscr{Y}_0$$
$$(c,\zeta) \mapsto \Psi(c,\zeta) := (\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), A(c,\zeta,\varepsilon), R(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)),$$

with $\rho_{\varepsilon} = C|\varepsilon|$, such that Ψ is continuous w.r.t. the product topology, is Lispschitz continuous, and verifies the bounds

$$|\mathfrak{U}(\cdot,\cdot,\varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{Y}_{0},0,\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.20a)$$

$$|A(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{Y}_0, 0, \mathbb{R}^{N-1}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_1 |\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.20b)$$

$$|R(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{Y}_0, N, \ell^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{\operatorname{Lup}} \le C_1 |\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.20c)$$

$$R(\cdot, \cdot, \varepsilon)|_{\mathscr{Y}_{0,0,\ell^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad (4.20d)$$

with $C_1 = C_1(s, \alpha, N, \gamma)$ as in Proposition 4.4.

5 Almost-periodic solutions: proof of Theorem 2.3, part 1

We are now ready to study the existence and regularity of the solutions to (2.1). Recalling that $\zeta = (\kappa, \xi)$ and collecting together (3.23), (3.24), (3.4) and (4.10), we have to solve the set of equations

$$c + \mathfrak{U}(c, \kappa, \xi, \varepsilon) = W, \tag{5.1a}$$

$$\kappa + A(c, \kappa, \xi, \varepsilon) = 0, \tag{5.1b}$$

$$\xi + R(c, \kappa, \xi, \varepsilon) = V, \tag{5.1c}$$

in order to obtain $c = c(V, W, \varepsilon)$, $\kappa = \kappa(V, W, \varepsilon)$ and $\xi = \xi(V, W, \varepsilon)$. Having done that, for all (V, W) satisfying $\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon) := (\kappa(V, W, \varepsilon), \xi(V, W, \varepsilon)) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$, we define

$$\mathcal{U}(x,\varphi) := U(x,\varphi; c(V,W,\varepsilon), \omega(\zeta(V,W,\varepsilon)), \varepsilon),$$
(5.2)

where U is the solution of the form (3.11) of the modified equation (3.3) whose existence is ensured by Theorem 3.5. Then, the function

$$u(x,t) = \mathcal{U}(x,\omega(\zeta(V,W,\varepsilon))t)$$
(5.3)

is an almost-periodic solution to (2.1) of the form (2.4). Indeed, the first equation in (5.1) yields $u(x,0) = \mathcal{W}(x)$, while the remaining two ensure that, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has

$$\begin{split} j^2 + V_j &= \omega_j(\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon)) + \eta_j(\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon)) \\ &= j^2 + \kappa_0(c, \zeta(V, W, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) + A_0(c, \zeta(V, W, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) \\ &+ \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{\kappa_q(c, \zeta(V, W, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) + A_q(c, \zeta(V, W, \varepsilon), \varepsilon)}{j^q} + \xi_j + R_j(c, \zeta(V, W, \varepsilon), \varepsilon), \end{split}$$

where the term with the sum is missing for j = 0, so that the compatibility condition (3.4) is satisfied and, if $\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$, then (3.12) coincides with (2.13) and hence with (2.7).

Remark 5.1. With respect to the implicit function problem considered in [33], where the compatibility condition (3.4), for fixed $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_1(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha))$, was ensured by suitably choosing ζ as a function of the parameter V, here we introduce W as a further parameter and aim at choosing both ζ and c as functions of the parameters V and W.

5.1 The implicit function problem, part 1

Thus, we are left with the problem of solving (5.1). First, we use (4.20b) to solve the second equation in (5.1), i.e. the finite-dimensional fixed point problem

$$\kappa + A(c, \kappa, \xi, \varepsilon) = 0, \qquad (5.4)$$

where c, ξ and ε are parameters. From now on until the end of the section, we consider ε fixed once and for all, so we drop it from notation, and we look for a solution¹⁵ $\kappa = \kappa(\xi, c)$ to (5.4). Set

$$\mathscr{V}_0 := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))$$
(5.5)

call $\mathscr{V}_0(m)$ the Banach space \mathscr{V}_0 endowed with the norm

$$\|\|(\xi, c)\|\|_{m} := \max\{\|\xi\|_{m,\infty}, \|c\|_{s,\alpha}\}$$
(5.6)

and, for any subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{V}_0(m)$ and any function $f : \mathscr{U} \to E$, with E being some normed space, define, by analogy with (4.19),

$$|f|_{\mathscr{U},m,E}^{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{(\xi,c)\in\mathscr{U}} \|f(\xi,c)\|_E + \|f\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathscr{U}\subseteq\mathscr{V}_0(m),E)}.$$
(5.7)

Then, by Corollary 4.9, for any $\rho_0 \in (0, 1)$, there exists a solution κ to (5.4), defined on \mathscr{V}_0 , which is continuous w.r.t. the product topology and satisfies the bound

$$|\kappa|_{\mathscr{V}_0,0,\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le 2C_1|\varepsilon|,\tag{5.8}$$

with $C_1 = C_1(s, \alpha, N, \gamma)$ as in (4.20).

Remark 5.2. The space \mathscr{V}_0 defined in (5.5) is closed w.r.t. the product topology.

5.2 The implicit function problem, part 2

Now, we pass to the first and third equations in (5.1). Introduce, for notation convenience, the Banach spaces $(X_k, \|\cdot\|_{X_k})$, with

$$X_k := \ell^{k,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times g(s,\alpha), \qquad k \in \mathbb{N},$$
(5.9)

and, for any function $F = (F_1, F_2) \in X_k$, define the norm

$$\|(F_1, F_2)\|_{X_k} := \max\{\|F_1\|_{s,\alpha}, \|F_2\|_{k,\infty}\}.$$
(5.10)

Moreover, given any subset $D \subset X_N$ and any function $F: D \to X_N$, set

$$F|_{D,N}^{\text{Lip}} := \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in D} \|F(\mathbf{x})\|_{X_N} + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}(D\subset X_0, X_2)} + \|F\|_{\text{Lip}(D\subset X_N, \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))},$$
(5.11)

¹⁵Here and henceforth, for convenience, we shift the order of the two variables ξ and c. The reason for doing that is clarity, since we aim to introduce a correspondence between (ξ, c) and (V, W), still maintaining the order of the two variables V and W considered so far.

where we have used the inclusion relations $X_{k+1} \subset X_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next, we introduce the map $G = (G_1, G_2) \colon \mathscr{V}_0 \to X_N$, by setting

$$G_1(\xi,c) := -\mathfrak{U}(c,\kappa(\xi,c),\xi,\varepsilon), \qquad G_2(\xi,c) := -R(c,\kappa(\xi,c),\xi,\varepsilon),$$

with $\mathfrak{U}(c,\kappa,\xi,\varepsilon)$ and $R(c,\kappa,\xi,\varepsilon)$ being the extensions introduced in Proposition 4.5. By construction the map G is well-defined and continuous w.r.t. the product topology, and

$$\sup_{(\xi,c)\in\mathscr{V}_0} \|G(\xi,c)\|_{X_N} \le C_1 |\varepsilon|,$$

with C_1 as in (4.20). Moreover, G satisfies the bound

$$|G|_{\mathscr{V}_0,N}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_1'|\varepsilon|. \tag{5.12}$$

for a suitable constant $C'_1 = C'_1(s, \alpha, N, \gamma, \rho_0) \ge C_1$ depending also on ρ_0 (we refer to the proof of Corollary 4.9 for a similar argument).

Using the notation above, we rewrite the first and third equations in (5.1) as

$$(\xi, c) - G(\xi, c) = (V, W).$$
 (5.13)

If we fix ρ_0 at a value larger than 1/2, say $\rho_0 = 2/3$ (see Remark 4.10), and set

$$\mathscr{V} := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \subset \mathscr{V}_0, \tag{5.14}$$

we look for a solution to (5.13) defined on \mathscr{V} of the form

$$(\xi, c) = (V, W) + \Delta(V, W) = (V + \Delta_1(V, W), W + \Delta_2(V, W)).$$
(5.15)

Note that requiring that (5.13) admits a solution of the form (5.15) is the same as requiring that the function $\Delta: \mathscr{V} \to X_N$ solves the fixed point equation

$$\Delta(V,W) = G((V,W) + \Delta(V,W)).$$
(5.16)

To proceed along the lines outlined above we need a preliminary abstract result, for which it is useful to rely once more on the notation (4.1). The following result is easily proved.¹⁶

Lemma 5.3. Let X, Y and Z be normed spaces, and let $D \subseteq X$ and $E \subseteq Y$ be given. For any $f: D \to E$ and $g: E \to Z$, one has

$$\|g \circ f\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(D \subseteq X, Z)} \le \|f\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(D \subseteq X, Y)} \|g\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(E \subseteq Y, Z)},$$

where the Lipschitz seminorms are defined according to (4.1).

Coming back to (5.16), we prove the following result.

¹⁶Here and below we are not assuming that $||f||_{\text{Lip}(D \subset X, Y)}$ is finite.

Lemma 5.4. Fix $N \geq 3$ and $\gamma \in (0,1)$. For any s > 0 and any $\alpha \in (0,1)$, there exists $\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_3(s, \alpha, N, \gamma) \in (0, \varepsilon_2]$, with ε_2 as in Proposition 4.4, such that for any $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_3)$ there exists a map $\Delta \colon \mathcal{V} \to X_N$ which solves (5.16), is continuous w.r.t. the product topology and is bounded as

$$|\Delta|_{\mathscr{V},N}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_2|\varepsilon|,$$

for a suitable positive constant $C_2 = C_2(s, \alpha, N, \gamma)$.

Proof. The proof is based on a fixed point argument for Lipschitz-continuous functions [10]. Let $(\mathscr{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{F}})$ be the Banach space¹⁷ of the functions $F: \mathscr{V} \to X_N$ continuous with respect to the product topology, with

$$\|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathscr{F}} := \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathscr{V}} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x})\|_{X_N},$$

where **x** is short for (V, W). Fix $\rho := C'_1 |\varepsilon|$, with C'_1 the constant appearing in (5.12). For ε small enough, if $\|\mathbf{F}\|_{\mathscr{F}} \leq \rho$, then

$$(\mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{F})(\mathscr{V}) \subset \mathscr{V}_0. \tag{5.17}$$

The bound (5.12) implies that the operator $G \circ (\mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{F}) : \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathscr{F}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathscr{F})$ is a contraction. Therefore, there exists a unique function $\mathrm{F} = \Delta$ which satisfies (5.16), and such a function is continuous w.r.t. to the product topology [10, Lemma B.1].

Next, we deduce the Lipschitz bounds, using that Δ solves (5.16), that is $\Delta = G \circ (\mathrm{Id} + \Delta)$. We apply Lemma 5.3, with

$$X = Y = X_0,$$
 $Z = X_2,$ $D = \mathscr{V},$ $E = \mathscr{V}_0,$ $f = \mathrm{Id} + \Delta,$ $g = G.$

Using that by (5.12) we bound

$$\|G\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}_0\subseteq X_0,X_2)} \le |G|_{\mathscr{V}_0,N}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \le C_1'|\varepsilon|,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_2)} &\leq C_1' |\varepsilon| \|\operatorname{Id} + \Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_0)} \\ &\leq C_1' |\varepsilon| (1 + \|\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_0)}) \\ &\leq C_1' |\varepsilon| (1 + \|\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_2)}). \end{aligned}$$
(5.18)

By writing

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) - \Delta(\mathbf{x}') = \left(G(\mathbf{x} + \Delta(\mathbf{x})) - G(\mathbf{x} + \Delta(\mathbf{x}')) \right) + \left(G(\mathbf{x} + \Delta(\mathbf{x}')) - G(\mathbf{x}' + \Delta(\mathbf{x}')) \right),$$

we deduce immediately that $\|\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_2)}$ is finite since

$$\frac{\|\Delta(\mathbf{x}) - \Delta(\mathbf{x}')\|_{X_2}}{\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'\|_{X_0}} \left(1 - \|G\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}_0 \subseteq X_0, X_2)}\right) \le \|G\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}_0 \subseteq X_0, X_2)}$$

Then (5.18) implies that

$$\|\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}\subseteq X_0, X_2)} \le 2C_1'|\varepsilon|,\tag{5.19}$$

¹⁷Note that \mathscr{V} is a closed set also w.r.t. the product topology. By Tychonoff's theorem \mathscr{V} is also compact.

as soon as $|\varepsilon| \leq 1/2C_1$. Thus, (5.19) holds for ε small enough. Analogously, we prove that

$$\|\Delta\|_{\operatorname{Lip}(\mathscr{V}_0\subseteq X_N,X_N)} \le 2C_1'|\varepsilon|,$$

for ε small enough. Finally, the bound

$$\sup_{(V,W)\in\mathscr{V}_0} \|\Delta(W,V)\|_{X_N} \le C_1'|\varepsilon|$$

follows trivially from the fact that $\Delta \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathscr{F})$, with $\rho = C'_1 |\varepsilon|$. This complete the proof and gives $C_2 = 5C'_1$.

Remark 5.5. The bound (5.12) on G, together with the bound on Δ in Lemma 5.4, yields that the map $\mathbb{1} - G \colon \mathscr{V}_0 \to \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)$ is bi-Lipschitz – that is Lipschitz and invertible, with inverse $\mathbb{1} + \Delta \colon \mathscr{V} \to \mathscr{V}_0$ which is also Lipschitz – and that, for both maps, the Lipschitz constant is $1 + O(\varepsilon)$. As pointed out in Remark 5.1, differently from [33], such a map allows us to write the initial data (as well as the potentials) in terms of the coefficients c which identify the linear solutions (as well as of the parameters ξ).

Proof of Theorem 2.3, part 1. Collecting together the results proved so far, we have found that, fixed $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and ε small enough, setting

$$\Gamma_N(\gamma) := \{ (V, W) \in \mathscr{V} : \zeta(V, W) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma) \},$$
(5.20)

then for any $(V, W) \in \Gamma_N(\gamma)$, the function (5.2) is an almost periodic solution to the Cauchy problem (2.1).

Remark 5.6. We call the set $\Gamma_N(\gamma)$ in (5.20) the set of *good parameters* in the space of initial data and potentials. By definition, if (V, W) is a good parameter in \mathscr{V} , then $\zeta = \zeta(V, W)$ is a good parameter in \mathscr{W}_N .

Remark 5.7. Combining Lemma 5.4 with the definition (3.23) and setting (see (5.15))

$$\widetilde{\kappa}(V,W) := \kappa(\xi(V,W), c(V,W)), \qquad \xi(V,W) := \Delta_1(V,W),$$

we obtain from (3.23) and (5.15)

$$\omega_j(\zeta(V,W)) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{\kappa}_0(V,W) + V_0 + \Xi_0(V,W), & j = 0, \\ j^2 + \widetilde{\kappa}_0(V,W) + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{\widetilde{\kappa}_q(V,W)}{j^q} + V_j + \Xi_j(V,W), & j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(5.21)

The bound (5.8), together with (5.17), and Lemma 5.4 implies that

$$|\widetilde{\kappa}|_{\mathscr{V},0,\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq |\kappa|_{\mathscr{V}_{0},0,\mathbb{R}^{N-1}}^{\operatorname{Lip}} \leq 2C_{1}|\varepsilon|, \qquad \|\xi(V,W)\|_{N,\infty} \leq 2C_{1}|\varepsilon|.$$

Remark 5.8. Set, for $\rho \in (0, \rho_0)$,

$$\mathscr{V}_{\rho} := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)).$$
(5.22)

By construction one has $\mathscr{V}_{1/2} = \mathscr{V}$, as defined in (5.14), and, for any $\rho \in (0, 1/2]$, from Proposition 4.4 and Remark 4.8 we deduce that, for all $(V, W) \in \mathscr{V}_{\rho}$,

$$|\widetilde{\kappa}_q(V,W)| \le 2C_1 |\varepsilon| \rho^4, \qquad q = 0, 2, \dots, N-1,$$
 (5.23a)

$$\|\xi(V,W)\|_{N,\infty} \le 2C_1 |\varepsilon| \rho^4. \tag{5.23b}$$

Finally, for all $(V, W) \in \mathscr{V}_{\rho}$ and all $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, the function \mathcal{U} defined in (5.2) is such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(\cdot,\varphi)) - We^{\mathbf{i}\varphi}\|_{s,\alpha} \le \|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(\cdot,\varphi)) - c(V,W)e^{\mathbf{i}\varphi}\|_{s,\alpha} + \|\Delta_2\|_{s,\alpha} \le 4C_1\varepsilon\rho^5,$$
(5.24)

where we have used the notation (2.10), for both $We^{i\varphi}$ and $c(V, W)e^{i\varphi}$, and the bounds (3.14) together with the identity (5.2).

6 Measure estimates: proof of Theorem 2.3, part 2

To conclude the proof of Theorem 2.3 it remains to show that the set of good parameters $\Gamma_N(\gamma)$ defined in (5.20) has large measure for γ small enough.

Let us define

$$\overline{\omega}_{j}(V,W) := \omega_{j}(\zeta(V,W)) - \widetilde{\kappa}_{0}(V,W) = \begin{cases} V_{0} + \Xi_{0}(V,W), & j = 0, \\ j^{2} + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \frac{\widetilde{\kappa}_{q}(V,W)}{j^{q}} + V_{j} + \Xi_{j}(V,W), & j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

A consequence of the gauge-invariance [33, Remark 2.9] is the following result.

Lemma 6.1. The set of good parameters (5.20) may be defined in terms of the function $\overline{\omega}$ as

$$\Gamma_N(\gamma) = \{ (V, W) \in \mathscr{V} : \overline{\omega}(V, W) \in \mathfrak{B}(\gamma, N+1) \},\$$

with $\mathfrak{B}(\gamma, \tau)$ defined in (4.4).

Proof. Recall the definition of \mathscr{Y}_0 and \mathscr{V} in (4.17) and in (5.14), respectively: then, $(V, W) \in \mathscr{V}$ ensures that $(c(V, W), \zeta(V, W)) \in \mathscr{Y}_0$ and, due to the bound (5.8) and to Lemma 5.4, both $\omega(\zeta(V, W))$ and $\overline{\omega}(V, W)$ belong to \mathbb{Q} and $\omega(\zeta(V, W)) \in \mathfrak{B}_\beta$ if $\omega = \omega(\zeta(V, W))$ is such that $\beta_{\omega}^{(0)}(2^m) \geq \beta_m$ for all $m \geq 0$. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, from the definition (3.5b) we deduce that

$$\omega(\zeta(V,W)) \cdot \nu - \overline{\omega}(V,W) \cdot \nu = \widetilde{\kappa}_0(V,W) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \nu_i = 0,$$

so that the thesis immediately follows.

6.1 Measure of the set of good parameters

For all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$ and all $\delta > 0$, set

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta) := \{ (V, W) \in \mathscr{V} : |\overline{\omega}(V, W) \cdot \nu| \le \delta \}.$$
(6.2)

The set $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)$ is measurable w.r.t. the measure induced by the product measure on \mathscr{V} since it is the preimage of a closed set under the map $(V, W) \mapsto \overline{\omega}(V, W) \cdot \nu$, which, by Lemma 5.4, is continuous in the product topology. Similarly, for each $W \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathfrak{g}(s, \alpha))$, the section

$$\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(W,\delta) := \left\{ V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) : |\overline{\omega}(V,W) \cdot \nu| \le \delta \right\}$$

is measurable w.r.t. the measure induced by the product measure on $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$. Our aim is to find an upper bound on the measure of $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(W,\delta)$ and consequently of $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)$. This is provided by the following result.

Lemma 6.2. For all $W \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(g(s,\alpha))$ and all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)) = \mu_{2,1/2}\left(\mu_{1,1/4}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\cdot,\delta)\right)\right) \le \mu_{1,1/4}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(W,\delta)\right) \le C\langle i_{0}(\nu)\rangle^{N}\delta,\tag{6.3}$$

where C is an absolute constant, and

$$i_0(\nu) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : |\nu_i| = \|\nu\|_{\infty}\}.$$
(6.4)

Proof. For all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ we have

$$\overline{\omega}(V,W) \cdot \nu = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} j^2 \nu_j + V \cdot \nu + \xi(V,W) \cdot \nu + \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} \widetilde{\kappa}_q(V,W) \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\nu_j}{j^q}.$$

Fix $\nu \neq 0$ and write $V = (V_{i_0}, V')$, with $i_0 = i_0(\nu)$ defined in (6.4) and $V' = \{V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{i_0\}}$.

For $h \neq 0$ small enough we obtain, from (6.1), for some positive constant $C_3 = C_3(s, \alpha, N)$,

$$\frac{|\overline{\omega}((V_{i_0} + h, V'), W) \cdot \nu - \overline{\omega}((V_{i_0}, V'), W) \cdot \nu|}{h}$$

$$\geq |\nu_{i_0}| \left(1 - |\Delta|^{\operatorname{Lip}}_{\mathscr{V}_{0,0}, \ell^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle j \rangle^{-2} - \sum_{q=2}^{N-1} |\widetilde{\kappa}_q|^{\operatorname{Lip}}_{\mathscr{V}_{0,0,\mathbb{R}}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle j \rangle^{-q} \right)$$

$$\geq |\nu_{i_0}| (1 - C_3|\varepsilon|) \geq \frac{1}{2},$$

where we have used the bound on the norm $|\Delta|_{\mathcal{V},N}^{\text{Lip}}$ in Lemma 5.4 to estimate the norm $|\Delta|_{\mathcal{V}_0,0,\ell^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{\text{Lip}}$.

Reasoning as in [33] (which in turn follows closely [8]), the latter bound allows us to conclude that, for δ small enough, the set $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(W, \delta)$ is contained in the normal domain

$$\mathcal{V}_{i_0} := \left\{ V \in \ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}) : a(V') \le V_{i_0} \le b(V') , \sup_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \ne i_0}} |V_j| \langle j \rangle^N \le \frac{1}{4} \right\},$$

where a and b are two functions, continuous w.r.t. the product topology, such that

$$b(V')|i_0|^N \le \frac{1}{4}, \qquad 0 \le b(V') - a(V') \le 2\delta, \qquad \forall V' \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Thus, we may bound

$$\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathcal{V}_{i_0}) \le 8\langle i_0 \rangle^N \delta$$

and hence, by Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)) = \mu_{2,1/2}\left(\mu_{1,1/4}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\cdot,\delta)\right)\right) \le \mu_{1,1/4}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\cdot,\delta)\right) \le \mu_{1,1/4}(\mathcal{V}_{i_0}) \le 8\langle i_0 \rangle^N \delta.$$

This yields the bound 6.3, with C = 8.

Remark 6.3. We stress that the bounds of [33] provide in the proof of Lemma 6.2 a constant $C_3(s, \alpha, N)$ such that $C_3(s, \alpha, N) \to \infty$ as $N \to \infty$. This prevents us from obtaining uniformity in N and trivially extending our result to convolution potentials of class C^{∞} .

Proposition 6.4. Let $\Gamma_N(\gamma)$ be defined as in Lemma 6.1. There is an absolute constant C_* such that, for any $\gamma > 0$, the set $\mathscr{V} \setminus \Gamma_N(\gamma)$ has measure $\overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathscr{V} \setminus \Gamma_N(\gamma)) \leq C_*\gamma$.

Proof. Fix $\delta = \gamma \delta_{\nu}^{N+1}$ in (6.2), with

$$\delta_{\nu} := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + \langle i \rangle^2 \nu_i^2)^{-1}.$$
(6.5)

Then we have, by Lemma 6.2,

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\gamma\delta_{\nu}^{N+1})) \leq \gamma\delta_{\nu} \tag{6.6}$$

and hence

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathscr{V} \setminus \Gamma_N(\gamma)) \le \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\mu}_{1/4,1/2}(\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\gamma \delta_{\nu}^{N+1})) \le \gamma \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{1 + \langle i \rangle^2 \nu_i^2} < C_* \gamma_i$$

where the proof of the last inequality is due to Bourgain [21] and C_* is a suitable constant independent of γ .

Remark 6.5. We need δ_{ν} as in (6.5) in order to obtain an upper bound on the measure of the set $\mathscr{V}_0 \setminus \Gamma_N(\gamma)$ proportional to γ . On the other hand, requiring that $(V, W) \notin \mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\gamma \delta_{\nu}^{N+1})$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus \{0\}$, with $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)$ defined in (6.2), implies that the frequency $\omega(\zeta(V, W))$ belongs to the set $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma, \tau)$ introduced in Remark 3.4 with $\tau = N + 1$. This explains why we have fixed $\tau = N + 1$ in Section 4 (see Remark 4.2).

Remark 6.6. If, instead of the frequency $\overline{\omega}(W, V)$, we consider $\omega^{(0)}(V) := \{j^2 + V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and define

$$\Gamma_N^{(0)}(\gamma) = \big\{ (V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) : \omega^{(0)}(V) \in \mathfrak{B}(\gamma, N+1) \big\},\$$

then, reasoning along the same lines as in the proof of Proposition 6.4 and possibly redefining the constant C_* , we find that $\mu_{1,1/4}(\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \Gamma_N^{(0)}(\gamma)) \leq C_*\gamma$ as well.

6.2 Measure estimates in the space of initial data

Now, we have all the ingredients to conclude our analysis and prove the measure estimates stated in Section 2.

Proof of Theorem 2.3, part 2. Since the set $\Gamma_N(\gamma)$ is measurable, together with its sections respect to the V and W variables, a direct application of Fubini's Theorem ensures that, for γ and ε small enough, there exists a positive measure set $\mathcal{G} \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ such that for all $V \in \mathcal{G}$ the section

$$\mathcal{T}_{V} := \Gamma_{N}(\gamma)|_{V} = \left\{ W \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)) : (V,W) \in \Gamma_{N}(\gamma) \right\}$$
(6.7)

has measure proportional to $1 - O(\gamma)$ and hence close to 1 for γ small. By construction, the condition that $V \in \mathcal{G}$ and, correspondingly, $W \in \mathcal{T}_V$ ensures that $(V, W) \in \Gamma_N(\gamma)$ and hence $\zeta(V, W) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$. Set

$$\gamma_0(\varepsilon) = \gamma_0(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, N) := \inf\{\gamma > 0 : |\varepsilon| \le \varepsilon_3(s, \alpha, N, \gamma)\},\tag{6.8}$$

with $\varepsilon_3(s, \alpha, N, s, \gamma)$ as in Lemma 5.4. Using the results of Section 2.4 in [33], one sees that $\gamma_0(\varepsilon) \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which implies that \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{T}_V have asymptotically full measure. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

7 Reuslts for a full measure set of potentials

We pass now to the proof of Theorem 2.9 and of the stability result stated in Theorem 2.11. We start with a scaling argument. For any $\rho \in (0, 1/2]$, let \mathscr{V}_{ρ} be defined as in (5.22). Consider (2.1), with both ε and $(V, W) \in \mathscr{V}_{\rho}$ fixed. As seen in Remark 2.6, equation (2.1) has an almost-periodic solution u if and only if the rescaled equation (2.1)

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{i}u_t - u_{xx} + \mathcal{V} * u + (2\rho)^4 \varepsilon |u|^4 u = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{T}, \\ u(x,0) = \mathcal{W}'(x), \end{cases}$$

has an almost-periodic solution $u' = (2\rho)^{-1}u$ with initial datum $\mathcal{W}' = (2\rho)^{-1}\mathcal{W}$. For any $\gamma_1 > 0$ let $\rho_1 \in (0, 1/2)$ be such that

$$\gamma_1 > \gamma_0((2\rho_1)^4 \varepsilon), \tag{7.1}$$

with $\gamma_0(\varepsilon)$ as in (6.8). The scaling properties mentioned in Remark 2.6 ensure that we can find an almost-periodic solution for all $(V, W) \in \mathscr{D}(\gamma_1)$, with

$$\mathscr{D}(\gamma_1) := \{ (V, W) \in \mathscr{V}_{\rho_1} : \overline{\omega}(V, (2\rho_1)^{-1}W) \in \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1, N+1) \},$$
(7.2)

where \mathscr{V}_{ρ} is given by (5.22) and $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma, \tau)$ is the set of (γ, τ) -weak Diophantine vectors defined in Remark 3.4. Note that, in (7.2), for $\mu_0(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1, N+1))$ to be positive we need γ_1 to be such that $K_1\gamma_1 < 1$, with $K_1 := C(N+1)$, if $C(\tau)$ is as defined in Remark 3.4.

Remark 7.1. The condition $\rho_1 < 1/2$ ensures that $\rho_1 < \rho_0$, provided that ρ_0 , as introduced in Corollary 4.9, is chosen greater than 1/2 according to Remark 4.10.

7.1 Abundance of almost-periodic solutions

We first prove that for a full measure set of potentials in $\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ the measure of the set of initial data which give rise to almost-periodic solution has asymptotically full measure.

Proof of Theorem 2.9. From here on, we assume ε to be fixed once and for all. Fix $\gamma_1 \in (0, \gamma_*)$, with γ_* to be determined, and define ρ_1 according to (7.1). For any $\rho \in (0, \rho_1)$, define $\mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma_1) := \mathscr{V}_{\rho} \cap \mathscr{D}(\gamma_1)$, with $\mathscr{D}(\gamma_1)$ as in (7.2). In the rest of the proof we call C any constant depending on γ_1 , but not on ε and ρ .

Set $\omega^{(0)}(V) := \{j^2 + V_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By (6.1) and (5.23), there is a constant C such that

$$\|\bar{\omega}(V,\rho_0^{-1}W) - \omega^{(0)}(V)\|_{\infty} \le C\rho^4 |\varepsilon|$$
(7.3)

for all $(V, W) \in \mathscr{V}_{\rho}$. Define

$$\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) := \left\{ V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) : \omega^{(0)}(V) \in \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(2\gamma_1, N+1) \right\}$$
(7.4)

and, for $V \in \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)$,

$$\mathscr{S}_{V}(\gamma_{1}) := \left\{ W \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_{1}}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)) : (V,W) \in \mathscr{D}(\gamma_{1}) \right\}.$$

Set also $\mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)) \times \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) := \mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma_1) \cap \mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)$. Note that, to ensure $\mu_0(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(2\gamma_1, N+1))$ to be positive, we need $2K_1\gamma_1 < 1$, with K_1 as defined after (7.2). Using the measure estimate in Remark 6.6 and the fact that $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1, \tau) \subset \mathfrak{B}(\gamma_1, \tau)$ and hence $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1, N+1) \subset \Gamma_N^{(0)}(\gamma)$ we may estimate, for a suitable constant K_2 ,

$$\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)) \ge 1 - K_2 \gamma_1, \qquad \overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}_{\rho}(\gamma_1)) \ge 1 - K_2 \gamma_1, \tag{7.5}$$

provided γ_1 is such that $K_2\gamma_1 < 1$.

Moreover, for all $(V, W) \in \mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)$, we have, trivially,¹⁸

$$|\bar{\omega}(V,\rho_0^{-1}W) \cdot \nu| \ge |\omega^{(0)}(V) \cdot \nu| - C\rho^4|\varepsilon| \, \|\nu\|_1 \ge 2\gamma_1 \delta_\nu^{N+1} - C\rho^4|\varepsilon| \, \|\nu\|_1 \ge \gamma_1 \delta_\nu^{N+1}$$

for all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\gamma_1 \delta_{\nu}^{N+1} \ge C |\varepsilon| \rho^4 ||\nu||_1$, with C as in (7.3). Set

$$\mathfrak{R}_{
ho,
u}(\delta) := \mathfrak{D}_{
ho}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) \cap \mathfrak{R}_{
u}(\delta)$$

with $\mathfrak{R}_{\nu}(\delta)$ defined as in (6.2). Analogously to (6.6), we find

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{R}_{\rho,\nu}(\gamma_1\delta_{\nu}^{N+1})) \le C\gamma_1\delta_{\nu}$$

for some constant C. Thus, using that the sum

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_f^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|\nu\|_1^a \delta_{\nu}^{1-a}, \qquad a \in [0,1],$$

¹⁸Recall that $\|\cdot\|_1$ denotes the ℓ^1 -norm (see footnote 14).

is finite for a < 1/3, as it is straightforward to check, and choosing, say, a = 1/4, we obtain

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_{1}) \setminus \mathscr{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma_{1})) \leq \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{R}_{\rho,\nu}(\gamma_{1}\delta_{\nu}^{N+1})) \\
\leq C\gamma_{1} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f,0}^{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \gamma_{1}\delta_{\nu}^{N+1} < C|\varepsilon|\rho^{4} \|\nu\|_{1}}} \delta_{\nu} \leq C\gamma_{1}(\gamma^{-1/4}|\varepsilon|^{1/4}\rho)^{\frac{1}{N+1}} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{f}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|\nu\|_{1}^{1/4} \delta_{\nu}^{3/4} \quad (7.6) \\
\leq C_{*}(\varepsilon,\gamma_{1}) \rho^{1/N+1},$$

with $C_*(\varepsilon, \gamma_1) = C |\varepsilon|^{1/(4N+4)} \gamma_1^{(4N+3)/(4N+4)}$, for some other constant C, provided γ_1 is such that $C_*(\varepsilon, \gamma_1) \rho^{1/(N+1)} < 1$.

Next, we consider a positive non-increasing sequence $\varrho = \{\rho_k\}_{k\geq 1} \in \ell^{1/2(N+1)}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{R}_+)$ namely such that

$$\sum_{k\geq 1}\rho_k^{1/2(N+1)}<\infty$$

and fix $N_1 = N_1(\gamma_1) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$\sum_{k>N_1} \rho_k^{1/2(N+1)} < \gamma_1. \tag{7.7}$$

Define

$$\mathfrak{B}(\rho,\gamma_1) := \left\{ V \in \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) : \mu_{2,\rho}(\mathscr{S}_V^c(\gamma_1) \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_\rho(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))) \ge 2C_*(\varepsilon,\gamma_1) \rho^{1/2(N+1)} \right\},$$
(7.8)

and restrict further γ_1 by requiring $2C_*(\varepsilon, \gamma_1) \rho^{1/(N+1)} < 1$. By Fubini's theorem, for each $k > N_1$ the set $\mathfrak{B}(\rho_k, \gamma_1)$ is measurable and its measure $\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathfrak{B}(\rho_k, \gamma_1)$ can not exceed $\rho_k^{1/2(N+1)}$, otherwise the estimate (7.6) would lead to a contradiction. By (7.7), this yields that

$$\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) \setminus (\cup_{k>N_1}\mathfrak{B}(\rho_k,\gamma_1)) \ge 1 - \gamma_1 \tag{7.9}$$

and, by construction, for all $V \in \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1) \setminus (\bigcup_{k>N_1} \mathfrak{B}(\rho_k, \gamma_1))$ and for all $k > N_1$, one has

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} \mu_{2,\rho_k}(\mathscr{S}_V^c \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_k}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))) = \lim_{k \to \infty} 2C_*(\varepsilon,\gamma_1)\rho_k^{1/2(N+1)} = 0.$$

By collecting together all the conditions imposed on γ_1 , we end up requiring $\gamma_1 < \gamma_*$ with

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_*} = 2K_1 + \max\{K_1, K_2, (2C|\varepsilon|)^{1/(4N+3)}, 1\},$$
(7.10)

where the first summand is due to the fact that we are restricting the analysis to potentials $V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_1)$. This fixes the value of γ_* introduced at the beginning.

Finally, consider an arbitrary non-increasing sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_{k\geq 1}$, with γ_1 as above and such that $\gamma_k \to 0^+$ as $k \to \infty$, and define

$$\mathfrak{G}_{\varrho} := \bigcup_{k \ge 1} \bigg(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_k) \setminus \bigcup_{h > N_1(\gamma_k)} \mathfrak{B}(\rho_h, \gamma_k) \bigg).$$

Assume that $\mu_{1,1/4}(\mathfrak{G}_{\varrho}) = 1 - \delta$, with $\delta > 0$. Then, as soon as $\gamma_k < \delta$, we find, by using the bound (7.9),

$$1 - \gamma_k \le \mu_{1,1/4} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_k) \setminus \bigcup_{h > N_1(\gamma_k)} \mathfrak{B}(\rho_h, \gamma_k) \right)$$
$$\le \mu_{1,1/4} \left(\bigcup_{k \ge 1} \left(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma_k) \setminus \bigcup_{h > N_1(\gamma_k)} \mathfrak{B}(\rho_h, \gamma_k) \right) \right) = 1 - \delta,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Then the assertion follows.

7.2 Lyapunov statistical stability of the origin

Next, we use the results above in order to prove that the elliptic equilibrium point at the origin is Lyapunov statistically stable.

Proof of Theorem 2.11. Fix $\gamma \in (0, \gamma_*)$, with γ_* as in (7.10), and ρ such that $\gamma > \gamma_0((2\rho)^4 \varepsilon)$. Define $\mathscr{D}(\gamma)$ as in (7.2) and $\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma)$ as in (7.4), with γ and ρ replacing γ_1 and ρ_1 , respectively, and set $\mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma) := \mathscr{V}_{\rho} \cap \mathscr{D}(\gamma), \ \mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma) := \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\mathfrak{g}(s,\alpha)) \times \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma)$ and $\mathscr{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma) := \mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{D}_{\rho}^{(0)}(\gamma)$. Then, by construction, $\mathscr{D}(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{G}$, so that we have an almost-periodic solution for any $(V, W) \in \mathscr{D}(\gamma)$. Moreover, using the same notation as in the proof of Theorem 2.9, again with γ and ρ instead of γ_1 and ρ_1 , we find

$$\overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma)) \geq \overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathscr{D}_{\rho}(\gamma) \cap \mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma)) \\
\geq \overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma)) - \overline{\mu}_{1/4,\rho}(\mathfrak{D}^{(0)}(\gamma)) \setminus (\mathscr{D}^{(0)}_{\rho}(\gamma)) \\
\geq 1 - 2K_{1}\gamma - C_{*}(\varepsilon)\gamma^{1/4}\rho \geq 1 - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{*}},$$

where we have used the bounds (7.5) and (7.6). By Fubini's theorem, there exists a measurable set of potentials $\mathscr{G}(\gamma) \subset \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ of measure less than $1 - \sqrt{\gamma/\gamma_*}$ such that for $V \in \mathscr{G}(\gamma)$ the set $\mathscr{S}_V \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ is measurable and of measure less than $1 - \sqrt{\gamma/\gamma_*}$.

By construction, any $W \in \mathscr{S}_V$ gives rise to an almost-periodic solution, which, according to (5.3), is given by $u(x,t) = \mathcal{U}(x,\omega(\zeta(V,W,\varepsilon))t)$, with $\mathcal{U}(x,\varphi)$ of the fom (5.2). By (5.24), for all $\varphi \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ one has

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{U}(\cdot,\varphi))\|_{s,\alpha} \le \|We^{\mathbf{i}\varphi}\|_{s,\alpha} + 4C_1\varepsilon\rho^5.$$

Then, we deduce the same estimate for $\mathcal{F}(u(\cdot, t))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus the assertion follows provided that ρ – and hence $||W||_{s,\alpha}$ – is small enough.

8 Invariant tori

For any fixed $\zeta \in \mathscr{W}_N$ and $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$, the Lipschitz extensions $c \mapsto A(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ and $c \mapsto R(c, \zeta, \varepsilon)$ appearing in Propositions 4.5 and 4.7 are constant on any set \mathscr{T}_I (see (2.11)), with $I = |c|^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}_+ \cap \mathscr{U}_{1/4}(\mathsf{g}(2s, \alpha))$. If we consider only the last two equations in (5.1) and proceed

as in the proof of Lemma 10.11 of [33], then, for all $(V,c) \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(g(s,\alpha))$, we obtain a solution $\zeta = \widehat{\zeta}(V,c,\varepsilon) = (\widehat{\kappa}(V,c,\varepsilon), \widehat{\xi}(V,c,\varepsilon))$ to (5.1b) and (5.1c) which is still constant on any set \mathscr{T}_I , i.e. we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\kappa}(V,c,\varepsilon) + A(c,\widehat{\kappa}(V,c,\varepsilon),\xi(V,c,\varepsilon),\varepsilon) &= 0, \\ \widehat{\xi}(V,c,\varepsilon) + R(c,\widehat{\kappa}(V,c,\varepsilon),\widehat{\xi}(V,c,\varepsilon),\varepsilon) &= V, \end{split}$$

and $\widehat{\zeta}(V, c, \varepsilon) = \widehat{\zeta}(ce^{i\theta}, V, \varepsilon)$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Moreover, if we set

$$\Lambda_N(\gamma) := \left\{ (V, c) \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N, \infty}(\mathbb{R})) \times \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s, \alpha)) : \widehat{\zeta}(V, c, \varepsilon) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma) \right\}$$

then for all $(V, c) \in \Lambda_N(\gamma)$, since the compatibility condition (3.4) is satisfied, the function

$$\mathcal{U}(x,\omega(\widehat{\zeta}(V,c,\varepsilon))t) := U(x,\omega(\widehat{\zeta}(V,c,\varepsilon))t;c,\omega(\widehat{\zeta}(V,c,\varepsilon)),\varepsilon)$$
(8.1)

is a solution to (2.7) of the form (2.4), as highlighted in Remark 3.1.

8.1 Bi-Lipschitz map at fixed potential

For any fixed $V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and ε small enough, consider the map

$$\mathcal{W}(c) = \mathcal{W}(c; V, \varepsilon) := c + \mathfrak{U}(c, \widehat{\zeta}(V, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon),$$
(8.2)

where $\mathfrak{U}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ is the Lipschitz extension of the function $\mathfrak{u}(c,\zeta,\varepsilon)$ in (4.9), according to Proposition 4.5, so that

$$\mathcal{W}(c) = \{ U_j(0,c; \omega(\widehat{\zeta}(c,V,\varepsilon),\varepsilon) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \qquad \forall \ (V,c) \in \Lambda_N(\gamma).$$

As a consequence of the bound (4.20a), the map $\mathcal{W}: \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \to \mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)$ is a lipeomorphism from the space of linear solutions to the space of initial data. In agreement with (8.2), if $\mathcal{W}^{-1}:$ $\mathcal{W}(\overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \to \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))$ denotes its inverse, we write $\mathcal{W}^{-1}(W) = \mathcal{W}^{-1}(W; V, \varepsilon)$.

Remark 8.1. By construction, one has $(V, c) \in \Lambda_N(\gamma)$ if and only if $(V, W) \in \Gamma_N(\gamma)$, with $\Gamma_N(\gamma)$ defined in (5.20). For any fixed $V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$, consider any $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathfrak{g}(s, \alpha))$ such that $(V, c) \in \Lambda_N(\gamma)$: by (3.18) one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(ce^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) &= \{ U_j(0; ce^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, \omega(\widehat{\zeta}(ce^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, V, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{ U_j(0; ce^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, \omega(\widehat{\zeta}(c, V, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ &= \{ U_j(\theta; c, \omega(\widehat{\zeta}(V, c, \varepsilon), \varepsilon) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{ \mathcal{U}_j(\theta) \}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{U}}(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

where the notation (2.5) has been used, and hence the set

$$\mathcal{M}(c) = \mathcal{M}(V, c, \varepsilon) := \bigcup_{\theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{W}(ce^{\mathrm{i}\theta}) = \mathfrak{i}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}})$$

is invariant for (3.3) and the dynamics is conjugated to the translation $\theta \mapsto \theta + \omega(\widehat{\zeta}(V, c, \varepsilon))t$. In particular, if $|c_j| = |c'_j|$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathcal{M}(c) = \mathcal{M}(c')$. For $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$, set $\mathcal{D}_c := \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : c_j \neq 0\}$. Moreover, define the maps $\psi : \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \to \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_c : \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \to \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha))$ by setting

$$\psi_j(\varphi) = \begin{cases} \varphi_j, & j \in \mathcal{D}_c, \\ 0, & j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{D}_c, \end{cases}$$
(8.3a)

$$\mathfrak{C}_c(\varphi) = c \, e^{\mathrm{i}\psi(\varphi)}.\tag{8.3b}$$

Lemma 8.2. Fix $V \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\ell^{N,\infty}(\mathbb{R}))$ and $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_*, \varepsilon_*)$. For any $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(g(s,\alpha))$, the map

$$\mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \ni \varphi \mapsto \mathscr{W}(\mathfrak{C}_c(\varphi)) \tag{8.4}$$

is injective.

Proof. The map in (8.4) is the composition of the two maps $\mathcal{W}: \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)) \to \mathsf{g}(s,\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_c: \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \to \overline{\mathcal{U}}_{\rho_0}(\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha))$, both of which are injective.

Remark 8.3. Since the map \mathcal{W} in (8.2) is a lipeomorphism, the topological properties of the invariant set $\mathcal{M}(c)$ depend on the properties of the map \mathfrak{C}_c .

Proposition 8.4. Let $c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{\rho_0}(g(s, \alpha))$ be such that

$$\delta(c) := \inf_{j \in \mathcal{D}_c} |c_j| e^{s\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}} > 0.$$

Then, the map $\mathfrak{C}_c : \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \to \mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ is a diffeomorphism and the set $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2}$ is a differentiable manifold embedded in $g(s, \alpha)$.

Proof. Set

$$\mathsf{g}(s,\alpha;c) := \Big\{ \mathsf{x} = \{\mathsf{x}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{D}_c} \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{D}_c, \mathbb{C}) : \|\mathsf{x}\|_{s,\alpha,c} := \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{D}_c} |\mathsf{x}_j| e^{s\langle j \rangle^\alpha} < \infty \Big\},$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{C}_c &:= [1/2, +\infty)^{\mathcal{D}_c} \ \times \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c} \times \mathsf{g}(s, \alpha; c) \,, \\ \mathscr{A}_c &:= \left\{ u \in \mathsf{g}(s, \alpha) : |u_j| e^{s\langle j \rangle^{\alpha}} \ge \delta(c)/2 \quad \forall j \in \mathcal{D}_c \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define also the map

$$\Psi: \mathscr{C}_c \to \mathscr{A}_c, \qquad (r, \varphi, z) \mapsto \Psi(r, \varphi, z) := \begin{cases} r_j c_j e^{i\varphi_j}, & j \in \mathcal{D}_c, \\ z_j, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathscr{T}_{|c|^2} = \Psi(\mathbb{1}_c, \mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c}, 0)$ with $\mathbb{1}_c := \{1\}_{j \in \mathcal{D}_c}$, so we are left to prove that Ψ is a diffeomorphism. First of all, we note that Ψ is a homeomorphism: in the case $\mathcal{D}_c = \mathbb{Z}$, this is known [9, Appendix B], and the case $\mathcal{D}_c \subsetneq \mathbb{Z}$ can be dealt with in a completely analogous way. On the other hand, using that $\mathbb{T}^{\mathcal{D}_c}$ is a Banach manifold whose tangent space is isomorphic to $\ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}_c, \mathbb{R})$ [59], we find, by direct inspection, that the Jacobian

$$D\Psi: \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}_{c},\mathbb{R}) \times \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{D}_{c},\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha;c) \to \mathbf{g}(s,\alpha)$$

is invertible. Hence Ψ is a diffeomorphism, so the assertion follows.

Remark 8.5. In the argument used in the proof of Proposition 8.4, it is crucial to consider spaces modelled on ℓ^{∞} , so that, once a uniform lower bound is given, one may work componentwise. On the other hand, if $\delta(c) = 0$ the map \mathfrak{C}_c is still continuous and injective, but the induced topology is finer than the topology inherited from that of $\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)$.

8.2 Embedded tori and Cantor foliations

As a consequence of Proposition 8.4, for any c such that $\delta(c) > 0$ the invariant set $\mathcal{M}(c)$ is also an embedded torus. In particular, if $\mathcal{D}_c = \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain a maximal torus. Conversely, if \mathcal{D}_c is finite, then the condition $\delta(c) > 0$ is trivially satisfied and we obtain a finite-dimensional embedded torus.

We are now ready to provide a proof of Theorem 2.13, which follows from the discussion above.

Proof of Theorem 2.13. The existence of the a solution to (2.1) of the form (2.4), such that the map $i_{\mathcal{U}} : \mathbb{T}_a^{\mathbb{Z}} \to g(s, \alpha)$ is analytic, follows from item 5 of Theorem 3.5, combined with (8.1). The relation between the constant $a = a(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, N)$ appearing in Theorem 2.13 and the constant $a = \overline{a}(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, \omega)$ in item 5 of Theorem 3.5 is established by setting

$$a(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, N) = \min_{(V, W) \in \Gamma_N(\gamma)} \left\{ \overline{a}(\varepsilon, s, \alpha, \omega(\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon))) \right\},\$$

where the minimum is easily estimated by taking into account that all bounds are uniform for $\zeta(V, W, \varepsilon) \in \mathscr{K}_N(\gamma)$.

Regarding the properties of the solution listed in the statement, we reason as follows. Fix $\delta > 0$ and take $(V, W) \in \Gamma_N(\gamma)$ such that $W \in \mathscr{A}_{V,\delta}$. Since $\mathscr{W}^{-1}(W; V, \varepsilon) - W = \Delta_2(v, W)$ is $O(\varepsilon)$, because of the definition (8.2) and the bound (4.20a), then, if we take $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_{\star}$, for some $\varepsilon_{\star} \in (0, \varepsilon_{\star}]$ depending on δ , and set $c := \mathscr{W}^{-1}(W; V, \varepsilon)$, we find that $\mathcal{D}_c = \mathbb{Z}, \, \delta(c) \geq \delta/2$ and, by definition, $(V, c) \in \Lambda_N(\gamma)$. But then the assertion follows combining Remark 8.1 and Proposition 8.4.

Remark 8.6. Using the notation in (2.10), for any set $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{Z}}_{+} \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(g(2s, \alpha))$, let $\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}}$ be defined according to (2.15), i.e.

$$\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}} = \left\{ c \in \mathsf{g}(s, \alpha) \, : \, c = \sqrt{I} e^{\mathrm{i}\theta}, \, I \in \mathcal{I}, \, \theta \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathbb{Z}} \right\}.$$

Fix $V \in \mathcal{G}$, $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (-\varepsilon_{\star}, \varepsilon_{\star})$ as in Theorem 2.13. Define

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I}_0 &= \mathcal{I}_0(V, \delta) := \Big\{ I \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\mathsf{g}(2s, \alpha)) : \sqrt{I} \in \mathcal{A}_{\delta}(\mathsf{g}(s, \alpha)) \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{I} &= \mathcal{I}(V, \delta, \varepsilon) := \Big\{ I \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\mathsf{g}(2s, \alpha)) : \mathscr{W}(\sqrt{I}) \in \mathscr{A}_{V, \delta} \Big\}, \end{split}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{C}_0 &= \mathscr{C}_0(V, \delta) := \Big\{ c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)) : |c|^2 \in \mathcal{I}_0 \Big\}, \\ \mathscr{C} &= \mathscr{C}(V, \delta, \varepsilon) := \Big\{ c \in \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/2}(\mathbf{g}(s, \alpha)) : |c|^2 \in \mathcal{I} \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Then, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the set $\mathcal{W}(\mathscr{T}_I)$ is an invariant submanifold homeomorphic to the flat torus. The set

$$\mathcal{W}(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}}) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{M}(\sqrt{I}) = \bigcup_{c \in \mathscr{C}} \mathcal{M}(c)$$

provides a Cantor foliation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{g}(s,\alpha),\delta/2)$ into invariant tori. If we define, instead,

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \mathcal{I}_1(V, \varepsilon) = \Big\{ I \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \overline{\mathscr{U}}_{1/4}(\mathsf{g}(2s, \alpha)) : \mathcal{W}(\sqrt{I}) \in \mathcal{T}_V \Big\},\$$

then, for any $I \in \mathcal{I}_1$, the set $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{T}_I)$ is still an invariant torus. However, it may fail to be a submanifold. In particular the set

$$\mathscr{W}(\mathscr{T}_{\mathcal{I}_1}) = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_1} \mathcal{M}(\sqrt{I})$$

is no more than a stratification in invariant tori, as well as (2.12) when $\varepsilon = 0$.

References

- P. Baldi, M. Berti, R. Montalto, KAM for quasi-linear and fully nonlinear forced perturbations of Airy equation, Math. Ann. 359 (2014), no. 1-2, 471–536.
- [2] P. Baldi, M. Berti, E. Haus, R. Montalto, Time quasi-periodic gravity water waves in finite depth, Invent. Math. 214 (2018), no. 2, 739–911.
- [3] D. Bambusi, B. Grébert, Birkhoff normal form for partial differential equations with tame modulus, Duke Math. J. 135 (2006), no. 3, 507–567.
- [4] J. Bernier, E. Faou, B. Grébert, Rational normal forms and stability of small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Ann. PDE 6 (2020), no. 2, paper no. 14, 65 pp.
- [5] J. Bernier, B. Grébert, T. Robert, Infinite dimensional invariant tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, preprint, 2024, https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.11845.
- [6] D. Berti, F. Planchon, N. Tzvetkov, N. Visciglia, New bounds on the high Sobolev norms of the 1d NLS solutions, preprint, 2024, https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.17993.
- [7] M. Berti, J.M. Delort, Almost global solutions of capillary-gravity water waves equations on the circle, Lect. Notes Unione Mat. Ital. 24, Springer, Cham; Unione Matematica Italiana, Bologna, 2018.
- [8] L. Biasco, J.E. Massetti, M. Procesi, An abstract Birkhoff normal form theorem and exponential type stability of the 1d NLS, Comm. Math. Phys. 375 (2020), no. 3, 2089–2153.
- [9] L. Biasco, J.E. Massetti, M. Procesi, Almost periodic invariant tori for the NLS on the circle, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 38 (2020) no. 3, 711–758.
- [10] L. Biasco, J.E. Massetti, M. Procesi, Small amplitude weak almost periodic solutions for the 1D NLS, Duke Math J. 172 (2023) no. 14, 2643–2714.
- [11] S. Bochner, Abstrakte fastperiodische Funktionen, Acta Math. 61 (1933), no. 1, 149–184.
- [12] H. Bohr, Zur Theorie der fastperiodischen Funktionen, Acta Math. 47 (1926), no. 3, 237–281.
- [13] H. Bohr, Almost Periodic Functions, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1947.
- [14] J. Bourgain, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation and invariant measures, Comm. Math. Phys. 166 (1994), no. 1, 1–26.
- [15] J. Bourgain, Construction of quasi-periodic solutions for Hamiltonian perturbations of linear equations and applications to nonlinear PDE, Internat. Math. Res. Notice 1994 (1994), no. 11, 475–497.
- [16] J. Bourgain, On invariant tori of full dimension for 1D periodic NLS, J. Funct. Anal. 229 (2005), no. 1, 62–94.

- [17] J. Bourgain, Invariant measures for the 2D-defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Math. Phys., 176 (1996), no. 2, 421–445.
- [18] J. Bourgain, Construction of approximative and almost-periodic solutions of perturbed linear Schrödinger and wave equations, Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), no. 2, 201–230.
- [19] J. Bourgain, On the growth in time of higher Sobolev norms of smooth solutions of Hamiltonian PDE, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996 (1996), no. 6, 277–304.
- [20] J. Bourgain, Quasi-periodic solutions of Hamiltonian perturbations of 2D linear Schrödinger equations, Ann. of Math. 148 (1998), no. 2, 363–439.
- [21] J. Bourgain, On invariant tori of full dimension for 1D periodic NLS, J. Funct. Anal. 229 (2005), no. 1, 62–94.
- [22] J. Bourgain, Green's function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications, Ann. of Math. Stud. 158, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [23] J. Bourgain, Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with a random potential, Illinois J. Math. 50 (2006), no. 1-4, 183–188.
- [24] A.D. Bryuno, Analytic form of differential equations. I, II, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 25 (1971), 119–262;
 ibid. 26 (1972), 199–239. English translations: Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 25 (1971), 131–288 (1973);
 ibid. 26 (1972), 199–239 (1974).
- [25] N. Burq, N. Tzvetkov, Random data cauchy theory for supercritical wave equations I-II, Invent. Math. 173 (2008), no. 3, 449–496.
- [26] L. Chierchia, P. Perfetti, Second order Hamiltonian equations on T[∞] and almost-periodic solutions, J. Differential Equations 116 (1995), no. 1, 172–201.
- [27] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka, T. Tao. Transfer of energy to high frequencies in the cubic defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Invent. Math. 181 (2010), no. 1, 39–113.
- [28] J. Colliander, T. Oh, Almost sure well-posedness of the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below L²(T), Duke Math. J. 161 (2012), no. 3, 367–414
- [29] H. Cong, The existence of full dimensional KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Math. Ann. 390 (2024), no. 1, 671–719.
- [30] H. Cong, J. Liu, Y. Shi, X. Yuan, The stability of full dimensional KAM tori for nonlinear Schrödinger equation, J. Differential Equations 264 (2018), no. 7, 450–463.
- [31] H. Cong, X. Yuan, The existence of full dimensional invariant tori for 1-dimensional nonlinear wave equation, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 38 (2021), no. 3, 759–786.
- [32] L. Corsi, G. Gentile, M. Procesi, KAM theory in configuration space and cancellations in the Lindstedt series, Comm. Math. Phys. 302 (2011), no. 2, 359–402.
- [33] L. Corsi, G. Gentile, M. Procesi, Almost-periodic solutions to the NLS equation with smooth convolution potentials, preprint, 2023, https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.14276.
- [34] L. Corsi, G. Gentile, M. Procesi, Maximal tori in infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems: a Renormalisation Group approach, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. 29 (2024), no. 4, 677–715.
- [35] L. Corsi, R. Montalto, M. Procesi, Almost-periodic response solutions for a forced quasi-linear Airy equation, J. Dynam. Differ. Equations 33 (2021), no. 3, 1231–1267.
- [36] W. Craig, C.E. Wayne, Newton's method and periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 46 (1993), no. 11, 1409–1498.
- [37] J. M. Delort. On long time existence for small solutions of semi-linear Klein-Gordon equations on the torus, J. Anal. Math. 107 (2009), no. 1, 161–194.
- [38] Y. Deng. Two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation with random radial data, Anal. PDE 5 (2012), no. 5, 913–960.
- [39] Y. Deng, A. Nahmod, H. Yue. Random tensors, propagation of randomness, and nonlinear dispersive equations, Invent. Math. 228 (2022), no. 2, 539–686.
- [40] Y. Deng, Z. Hani, On the derivation of the wave kinetic equation for NLS, Forum Math. Pi 9 (2021), paper no. e6, 37 pp.
- [41] Y. Deng, Z. Hani, Full derivation of the wave kinetic equation, Invent. Math. 233 (2023), no. 2, 543–724.

- [42] L.H. Eliasson, S.B. Kuksin, KAM for non-linear Schrödinger equation, Ann. Math. (2) 172 (2010), 371– 435.
- [43] J. Feldman, E. Trubowitz, Renormalization on classical mechanics and many-body quantum field theory, J. Anal. Math. 58 (1992), 213–247.
- [44] E. Faou, B. Grébert, A Nekhoroshev-type theorem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the torus, Anal. PDE 6 (2013), no. 6, 1243–1262.
- [45] R. Feola, B. Grébert, F. Iandoli. Long time solutions for quasilinear Hamiltonian perturbations of Schrödinger and Klein-Gordon equations on tori, Anal. PDE 16 (2023), no. 5, 1133–1203.
- [46] A.M. Fink, Almost periodic differential equations, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 377, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [47] L. Franzoi, R. Montalto, Time almost-periodic solutions of the incompressible Euler equations, Math. Eng. 6 (2024), no. 3, 394–406.
- [48] J. Frölich, T. Spencer, C.E. Wayne, Localization in disordered nonlinear dynamical systems, J. Stat. Phys. 42 (1986), 247–274.
- [49] G. Gallavotti, Twistless KAM tori, Comm. Math. Phys. 164 (1994), no. 1, 145–156.
- [50] G. Gallavotti, G. Gentile, V. Mastropietro, *Field Theory and KAM tori*, Math. Phys. Electron. J. 1 (1995), Paper 5, pp. 13 (electronic).
- [51] J. Geng, X. Xu, Almost-periodic solutions of one dimensional Schrödinger equation with the external parameters, J. Dyn. Differ. Equations 25 (2013), no. 2, 435–450.
- [52] J. Geng, X. Xu, J. You, An infinite dimensional KAM theorem and its application to the two-dimensional cubic Schrödinger equation, Adv. Math. 226 (2011), 5361–5402.
- [53] J. Geng, J. You, A KAM theorem for Hamiltonian partial differential equations in higher dimensional spaces, Comm. Math. Phys. 262 (2006), no. 2, 343–372.
- [54] G. Gentile, V. Mastropietro, Methods of analysis of the Lindstedt series for KAM tori and renormalizability in classical mechanics. A review with some applications, Rev. Math. Phys. 8 (1996), no. 3, 393–444.
- [55] G. Gentile, V. Mastropietro, Convergence of Lindstedt series for the nonlinear wave equation, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 3 (2004), no. 3, 509–514.
- [56] G. Gentile, V. Mastropietro, M. Procesi, Periodic solutions of completely resonant nonlinear wave equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 256 (2005), no. 2, pp. 437–490.
- [57] G. Gentile, M. Procesi, Conservation of resonant periodic solutions for the one-dimensional nonlinear Schrodinger equation, Comm. Math. Phys. 262 (2006), no. 3, pp. 533–553.
- [58] G. Gentile, M. Procesi, Periodic solutions for the Schrödinger equation with nonlocal smoothing nonlinearities in higher dimension J. Differential Equations 245 (2008), no. 11, 3095–3544.
- [59] S.D. Glyzin, A.Yu. Kolesov, Elements of hyperbolic theory on an infinite-dimensional torus, Russian Math. Surveys 77 (2022), no. 3, 379–443
- [60] M. Guardia, E. Haus, M. Procesi, Growth of Sobolev norms for the defocusing analytic NLS on T², Adv. Math. 301 (2016), 615–692.
- [61] M. Guardia, Z. Hani, E. Haus, A. Maspero, M. Procesi, Strong nonlinear instability and growth of Sobolev norms near quasiperiodic finite gap tori for the 2D cubic NLS equation, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 25 (2023), no. 4, 1497–1551.
- [62] Z. Hani, B. Pausader, N. Tzvetkov, N. Visciglia, Modified scattering for the cubic Schrödinger equation on product spaces and applications, Forum Math. Pi 3 (2015), e4, 63 pp.
- [63] G. Ioos, P.I. Plotnikov, J.F. Toland, Standing waves on an infinitely deep perfect fluid under gravity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **177** (2005) no. 3, 367-478,
- [64] R. Johnson, J. Moser, The rotation number for almost periodic potentials, Comm. Math. Phys. 84 (1982), no. 3, 403–438.
- [65] A.N. Kolmogorov, The general theory of dynamical systems and classical mechanics Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Amsterdam, 1954, Vol. 1, pp. 315–333, Erven P. Noordhoff N. V., Groningen, 1957. English translation: Selected works. I. Mathematics and Mechanics, pp. 355–374, edited by V.M. Tikhomirov (reprint of the 1991 edition), Springer, Dordrecht, 2019.

- [66] S. Kuksin, Hamiltonian perturbations of infinite-dimensional linear systems with imaginary spectrum, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 21 (1987), no. 3, 22–37.
- [67] S. Kuksin, Perturbation of conditionally periodic solutions of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 52 (1988), no. 1, 41–63. English translation: Math. USSR-Izv. 32 (1989), no. 1, 39–62.
- [68] S. Kuksin, Perturbation theory of conditionally periodic solutions of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian systems and its applications to the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Mat. Sb. 136 (1988), no. 3, 396–412. English translation: Math. USSR-Sb. 64 (1989), no. 2, 397–413.
- [69] S. Kuksin, J. Pöschel, Invariant Cantor manifolds of quasi-periodic oscillations for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ann. of Math. 143 (1996), no. 1, 149–179.
- [70] R. Montalto, Growth of Sobolev norms for time dependent periodic Schrödinger equations with sublinear dispersion, J. Differential Equations 266 (2019), no. 8, 4953–4996.
- [71] R. Montalto, M. Procesi, Linear Schrödinger equation with an almost-periodic potential, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 53 (2021), no. 1, 386–434.
- [72] A. Nahmod, G. Staffilani, Almost sure well-posedness for the periodic 3D quintic nonlinear Schrödinger equation below the energy space. J. Eur. Math. Soc. 17 (2015), no. 7, 1687–1759.
- [73] J. Mujica, Complex analysis in Banach spaces, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [74] F. Planchon, N. Tzvetkov, N. Visciglia, On the growth of Sobolev norms for NLS on 2- and 3-dimensional manifolds, Anal. PDE 10 (2017), no. 5, 1123–1147.
- [75] J. Pöschel, Small divisors with spatial structure in infinite dimensional Hamiltonian systems, Comm. Math. Phys. 127 (1990), no. 2, 351–393.
- [76] J. Pöschel, A KAM theorem for some nonlinear PDEs, Ann. Sc. Norm. Pisa Cl. Sci. 23 (1996), no. 1, 119–148.
- [77] J. Pöschel, On the construction of almost-periodic solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 22 (2002), no. 5, 1537–1549.
- [78] J. Pöschel, J. Trubowitz, Inverse spectral theory, Pure Appl. Math. 130, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- [79] L. Rodino, Linear partial differential operators in Gevrey spaces, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1993.
- [80] J. Rui, B. Liu, J. Zhang, Almost-periodic solutions for a class of linear Schrödinger equations with almostperiodic forcing, J. Math. Phys. 57 (2016), 092702, 18 pp.
- [81] G. Staffilani, On the growth of high Sobolev norms of solutions for KdV and Schröodinger equations, Duke Math. J 86 (1997) 1, 109–142.
- [82] C.E. Wayne, Periodic and quasi-periodic solutions of nonlinear wave equations via KAM theory, Comm. Math. Phys. 127 (1990), no. 3, 479–528.