

Quaternary and Component-Binary Spreading Codes with Low Correlation for Navigation Systems

P. Vijay Kumar*, Sugandh Mishra†, Dileep Dharmappa‡

* Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India

† SAC, Indian Space Research Organization, Ahmedabad, India

‡ ISTRAC, Indian Space Research Organization, Bengaluru, India

December 3, 2024

Abstract

In the first part of this two-part paper, we construct a family MFD_2 of low correlation quaternary spreading codes having period 2046. By quaternary, we mean that the spreading code symbols are drawn from Z_4 and are designed to be used in conjunction with QPSK modulation. Apart from low auto and crosscorrelation properties, we also require in addition, to our knowledge for the first time, that the spreading code family IZ_2 obtained by taking the union of the component in-phase and quadrature-phase binary spreading codes associated to each quaternary spreading code in MFD_2 , also have desirable low correlation properties. We also investigate the balance of the quaternary and binary spreading codes.

The second part is motivated by an application to the design of spreading code, (in this application termed as ranging codes), having parameters suitable for use in a lunar PNT system. Two lengths that are of particular interest for a planned lunar PNT satellite system are 2046 and 10230. We study the applicability of a subset IZ_{2S} of IZ_2 containing balanced binary spreading codes having length 2046 to such a lunar PNT system. We show that the spreading codes belonging to IZ_{2S} compare favorably with the spreading codes of length 2046 appearing in a recent publication [15]. We also show that the IZ_{10} spreading code family in which the spreading codes have length 10230, compares well in comparison with spreading codes of length 10230 described in [15]. In addition the IZ_{10} and IZ_2 spreading codes have been paired so as to be orthogonal at zero shift despite their different lengths and chipping rates.

1 Introduction

In the first part of this two-part paper, we construct a family MFD_2 of low correlation quaternary spreading codes having period 2046. By quaternary, we mean that the spreading code symbols are drawn from Z_4 and are designed to be used in conjunction with QPSK modulation. Apart from low auto and crosscorrelation properties, we also require in addition, to our knowledge for the first time, that the spreading code family $\text{IZ}4_2$ obtained by taking the union of the component in-phase and quadrature-phase binary spreading codes associated to each quaternary spreading code in MFD_2 , also have desirably low correlation properties. We also investigate the balance of the quaternary and binary spreading codes.

The second part is motivated by an application to the design of spreading (also termed as ranging) codes having parameters suitable for use in a lunar PNT system. Two lengths that are of particular interest for a planned lunar PNT satellite system are 2046 and 10230. We explore in the second part, the applicability of a subset $\text{IZ}4_{2S}$ of $\text{IZ}4_2$ containing balanced binary spreading codes having length 2046 to such a lunar Position, Navigation and Timing (PNT) system. We show that the spreading codes belonging to $\text{IZ}4_{2S}$ compare favorably with the spreading codes of length 2046 appearing in a recent publication [15]. We also show that the $\text{IZ}4$ spreading code family in which the spreading codes have length 10230, compares well in comparison with spreading codes of length 10230 described in [15].

2 Mathematical Preliminaries

Let

$$m = 10, \quad L = 2^m - 1 = 1023,$$

and α be the primitive element in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} that is a zero of the primitive polynomial

$$m_\alpha(x) = x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1.$$

The trace-dual basis $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^9$ associated to polynomial bases $\{\alpha^i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 9\}$ is given by

δ_0	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3	δ_4	δ_5	δ_6	δ_7	δ_8	δ_9
α^{64}	α^{63}	α^{580}	α^{138}	α^{137}	α^{136}	α^{285}	α^{284}	α^{324}	α^{65}

Let θ, β be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \alpha^{65} + \alpha^{64}, \\ \beta &= \alpha(1 + 2\theta). \end{aligned}$$

The it can be verified that $\text{tr}(\theta) = 1$. We will interchangeably use the symbol α to represent both the primitive element in \mathbb{F}_{2^m} having minimal polynomial

$$m_\alpha(x) = x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1,$$

as well as the unique element ν in the Teichmuller set of the Galois ring $GR(4, m)$ having the property that

$$\alpha = \nu \pmod{2}.$$

This element ν has minimum polynomial over Z_4 given via Graeffe lifting (see [19])

$$m_\nu(x) = x^{10} + x^9 + 3x^8 + 2x^7 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 1.$$

3 Closed-Form Expression for Family \mathcal{D} Sequence Family

Definition 1 (Quaternary Family \mathcal{D}). [8] Let $m = 10$, α be a primitive element of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} and set $\beta = \alpha(1 + 2\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$, satisfying $\text{tr}(\theta) = 1$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ be a maximal subset of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} satisfying

$$y \in H \Rightarrow (y + \theta) \notin H.$$

Then the quaternary Family \mathcal{D} [8] is given by¹

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x3^t + T([1 + 2y]\beta^t) \mid x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in H\}.$$

Then Family \mathcal{D} contains 1024 quaternary sequences of length 2046. .

Let $\{Q_1(t)\}$ and $\{Q_2(t)\}$ be two sequences belonging to Family \mathcal{D} given by

$$Q_i(t) = x_i 3^t + T([1 + 2y_i]\beta^t), x_i \in \{0, 1\}, y_i \in H, i = 1, 2. \quad (1)$$

Then the cross-correlation $\phi_{12}(\tau)$ of $\{Q_1(t)\}$ and $\{Q_2(t)\}$ is given by:

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} i^{Q_1(t+\tau) - Q_2(t)}.$$

Theorem 3.1. We have the following closed-form expression for the correlation of two Family \mathcal{D} PRN sequences associated to $y_1, y_2 \in H$ in the case of τ even:

$$i^{x_2 - x_1} \phi_{12}(\tau) = \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1 - x_2)} \right) - 32i^{1 - T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^{(x_1 - x_2)} \left(i \times (-1)^{\text{tr}(e(y_1, y_2, \tau)\theta)} \right) \right\}.$$

¹The description in [8] is presented in terms of expressions for the binary components of the quaternary sequence family.

When τ is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota^{x_2-3x_1}\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \right) - \\ & 32\iota^{1-T(e(y_3,y_2,\tau))} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(\iota \times (-1)^{\text{tr}(e(y_3,y_2,\tau)\theta)} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_3 = y_1 + \theta$.

Proof. (see Section 8.1 for the proof) □

Corollary 3.2. *It follows that $\phi_{12}(\tau)$ satisfies:*

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) \in \{ \pm 32(1 \pm \iota), -2 \pm 32(1 \pm \iota) \}$$

hence the maximum correlation magnitude satisfies, $\phi_{\max} \leq 46.69$.

4 Component Binary Sequence Correlation

4.1 Relating Quaternary and Binary Signals

Given a quaternary sequence $\{Q(t)\}$ let the binary sequences $\{u(t)\}, \{v(t)\}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t) &= u(t) + 2v(t), \quad u(t), v(t) \in \{0, 1\}, \\ w(t) &= u(t) + v(t) \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \frac{1+\iota}{2}, & \delta^* &= \frac{1-\iota}{2}, \\ C(t) = \iota^{Q(t)} &= \delta(-1)^{v(t)} + \delta^*(-1)^{w(t)}, \\ &= \delta A(t) + \delta^* B(t). \end{aligned}$$

We will refer to the sequences $\{w(t)\}, \{v(t)\}$ as the in-phase and quadrature-phase component sequences of the quaternary sequence $\{Q(t)\}$ respectively. This leads to the matrix equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} C(t) \\ C^*(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \delta & \delta^* \\ \delta^* & \delta \end{bmatrix}}_U \begin{bmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{bmatrix}, \\ UU^\dagger &= I_2, \\ \begin{bmatrix} A(t) \\ B(t) \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta^* & \delta \\ \delta & \delta^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C(t) \\ C^*(t) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{v(t)} &= \delta^* C(t) + \delta C^*(t) = \delta^* i^{Q(t)} + \delta i^{-Q(t)}, \\ (-1)^{v(t)} &= \delta C(t) + \delta^* C^*(t) = \delta i^{Q(t)} + \delta^* i^{-Q(t)}, \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_v(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{v_1(t+\tau)-v_2(t)}, \\ \rho_w(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{w_1(t+\tau)-w_2(t)}, \\ \rho_{v,w}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{v_1(t+\tau)-w_2(t)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

Theorem 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_v(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_w(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) - \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_{vw}(\tau) &= \Re(\Delta_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\phi_{12}(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ correlation}) \\ \Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t), \quad (\text{we will term this as a Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ anti-correlation}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (see Section 8.2) □

4.2 Computing the Anti-Correlation term $\Delta_{12}(\tau)$

We have that

$$\Delta_{12}(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} i^{Q_1(t+\tau)+Q_2(t)}.$$

The only difference is that we have

$$C_2(t) = i^{Q_2(t)} \quad \text{in place of} \quad C_2^*(t) = i^{-Q_2(t)}.$$

Since

$$Q_2(t) = x_2 + T([1 + 2y_2]\beta^t),$$

we can simply replace

$$x_2 \text{ by } 3x_2 \quad \text{and} \quad y_2 \text{ by } (y_2 + 1)$$

in the expressions for $\phi_{12}(\tau)$ to obtain the expressions for $\Delta_{12}(\tau)$.

4.3 Correlation Expressions for $\Delta_{12}(\tau)$ in Summary

We have in summary:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \begin{cases} \iota^{(x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_2 + 1, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_4 + 1, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ even,} \\ \iota^{(3x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_2 + 1, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_4 + 1, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \iota^{(x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ even,} \\ \iota^{(3x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

y_1	y_3	y_5	y_7
$= (y_1 + \theta)$	$= (y_1 + 1)$	$= (y_1 + \theta + 1)$	
y_2	y_4	y_6	y_8
$= (y_2 + \theta)$	$= (y_2 + 1)$	$= (y_2 + \theta + 1)$	

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) &:= \sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_1]\alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)}, \\ \psi(y_3, y_4, \tau) &:= \sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_3]\alpha^t) - T([1+2y_4]\alpha^t)}. \end{aligned}$$

etc. Thus in summary,

$$\Delta_{12}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \iota^{(x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{even,} \\ \iota^{(3x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{odd.} \end{cases}$$

4.4 Can $|\Delta_{12}(\tau)|$ be Large of $O(L)$?

From (5), we see that this can happen iff one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 = y_6 &\Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \\ y_3 = y_8 &\Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \\ y_3 = y_6 &\Leftrightarrow y_2 = y_1 + \theta + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L, \\ y_1 = y_8 &\Leftrightarrow y_2 = y_1 + \theta + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L. \end{aligned}$$

We safeguard all of these settings by selecting y from a subset J of H and ensuring that $y \in J \Rightarrow (y + 1) \notin J, (y + \theta + 1) \notin J$.

Note 1 (Ensuring Good Quaternary Even Correlation). *Thus we note that even to ensure good quaternary anti-correlation, we need to ensure that*

$$y \in J \Rightarrow (y + 1) \notin J, \quad (y + \theta) \notin J, \quad \text{and} \quad (y + \theta + 1) \notin J.$$

Definition 2. *Let $J \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ be such that*

$$y \in J \Rightarrow \begin{cases} (y + \theta) \notin J, \\ (y + 1) \notin J, \\ (y + \theta + 1) \notin J. \end{cases}$$

Let the modification \mathcal{D}_{MOD} of Family \mathcal{D} be defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{MOD} = \{ \{x3^t + T([1 + 2y]\beta^t)\} \mid y \in J, x \in \{0, 1\} \}.$$

Note that this family has size $|\mathcal{B}| = (2 \times 256) = 512$.

Example 1. *We select as an example,*

$$\theta = \alpha^{64} + \alpha^{65},$$

and it then turns out that

$$T(\theta) = 1, \Rightarrow tr(\theta) = 1.$$

Let H be the subset of \mathbb{F}_{2^m} given by

$$H = \left\{ y = \sum_{i=0}^9 h_i \delta_i \mid h_8 = h_9 = 0 \right\}.$$

The expansions with respect to basis $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^9$ of 1 and θ are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_4 + \delta_7 + \delta_8, \\ \theta &= \alpha^{64} + \alpha^{65} = \delta_0 + \delta_9. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that H satisfies the requirement that

$$y \in H \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y + 1 \notin H, \\ y + \theta \notin H, \\ y + (\theta + 1) \notin H. \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4.2. *Arguing as in the case of $\{\phi_{12}(\tau)\}$, we can show that $\Delta_{12}(\tau)$ satisfies:*

$$\Delta_{12}(\tau) \in \{\pm 32(1 \pm \iota), -2 \pm 32(1 \pm \iota)\}$$

hence the maximum anti-correlation magnitude satisfies, $\Delta_{\max} \leq 46.69$.

5 Summary Expressions for Quaternary and Binary Correlation

5.1 Quaternary Correlation Summary

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \iota^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_4, \tau) \right), & \text{even,} \\ \iota^{(3x_1-x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_2, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_4, \tau) \right), & \text{odd,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Delta_{12}(\tau) = \begin{cases} \iota^{(x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{even,} \\ \iota^{(3x_1-3x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_6, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-3x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_8, \tau) \right), & \text{odd.} \end{cases}$$

5.2 Binary Correlation Summary

Theorem 5.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_v(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_w(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) - \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_{vw}(\tau) &= \Re(\Delta_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\phi_{12}(\tau)). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 5.2. *It follows from the set of possible values that can be taken on by $\{\phi_{12}(\tau)\}$, and the anti-correlation term $\Delta_{12}(\tau)$ that:*

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho_v(\tau)| &\leq 66, \\ |\rho_w(\tau)| &\leq 66, \\ |\rho_{vw}(\tau)| &\leq 66. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3. *Let*

$$P = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in J\},$$

and IZ_{4_2} be the family of binary sequences obtained by taking the union of the in-phase (IP) and quadrature-phase (QP) binary sequences, i.e., if

$$\begin{aligned} QP - IZ_{4_2} &= \{\{v(x, yt)\} \mid Q(x, y, t) = u(x, y, t) + 2v(x, y, t), (x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in J)x, y) \in P\}, \\ IP - IZ_{4_2} &= \{\{w(x, yt)\} \mid Q(x, y, t) = u(x, y, t) + 2v(x, y, t), w(x, y, t) = u(x, y) + v(x, y, t), (x, y) \in P\}, \\ IZ_{4_2} &= QP - IZ_{4_2} \cup IP - IZ_{4_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note 2 (Explaining the IZ_{4_2} , $IZ_{4_{10}}$ Nomenclature). *The abbreviation IZ_4 stands for interleaved Z_4 -linear. We use the term Z_4 -linear to denote that the binary sequence family is derived from a quaternary sequence family that is linear in the quaternary, i.e., Z_4 domain. The reason we have used the word interleaved here is because each sequence in Quaternary Family \mathcal{D} can be expressed as the interleaving of two Family \mathcal{A} [1, 2] sequences and this was made extensive use of in the derivations of correlation expressions above. The subscript 2 denotes that the family of binary sequences so constructed has period $(2 \times 1023) = 2046$. The length 1023 is a fundamental period in GNSS systems on account of the presence of an atomic clock having fundamental frequency 10.23 MHz. To ensure that one period of each spreading code employed is a convenient unit of time, the lengths of the spreading codes are chosen to be a multiple of 1023. The spreading code that has been incorporated into the L1 band signal of the Indian navigation satellite system, NavIC, has period 10230 and in this nomenclature, would correspond to an $IZ_{4_{10}}$ family.*

Note 3. *We also note that the parameters of Family IZ_{4_2} of size 1024 are the same as those of the binary family of Generalized Udaya-Siddiqi sequences[7]. The construction is however, different and Family IZ_{4_2} arose here as a by-product of our generation of the modified spreading code family MFD_2 .*

6 Shift-Register Generation

Let

$$\beta = \alpha(1 + 2\theta).$$

Then $m_\beta(x)$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} m_\beta(x) &= m_\alpha(x) + 2g(x), \\ &= (x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1) + 2g(x). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $x = \beta = \alpha(1 + 2\theta)$ gives us:

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha(\beta) &= m_\alpha(\alpha(1 + 2\theta)), \\ &= 2\theta(\alpha^9 + \alpha^3), \\ &= 2(\alpha^{65} + \alpha^{64})(\alpha^9 + \alpha^3), \\ &= 2\{(\alpha^{74} + \alpha^{68}) + (\alpha^{73} + \alpha^{67})\}, \\ &= 2(\alpha + 1) \text{ as it turns out.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$g(x) = (x + 1),$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} m_\beta(x) &= (x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 1) + 2g(x), \\ &= (x^{10} + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^3 + x^2 + 2x + 3). \end{aligned}$$

The parent quaternary sequences have expression of the form

$$Q(x, y, t) = x3^t + T([1 + 2y]\beta^t),$$

and hence have characteristic polynomial

$$m_\beta(x)(x + 1) = (x^{11} + 2x^{10} + 2x^9 + x^8 + x^7 + x^6 + x^4 + 2x^3 + 3x^2 + x + 3).$$

6.1 Linear Recursions

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t + 11) &= 2Q(t + 10) + 2Q(t + 9) + 3Q(t + 8) + 3Q(t + 7) + 3Q(t + 6) \\ &\quad + 3Q(t + 4) + 2Q(t + 3) + Q(t + 2) + 3Q(t + 1) + Q(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if

$$Q(t) = U(t) + 2V(t),$$

it follows that

$$U(t + 11) = U(t + 8) + U(t + 7) + U(t + 6) + U(t + 4) + U(t + 2) + U(t + 1) + U(t), \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(t + 11) &= V(t + 8) + V(t + 7) + V(t + 6) + V(t + 4) + V(t + 2) + V(t + 1) + V(t) \\ &\quad + U(t + 10) + U(t + 9) + U(t + 8) + U(t + 7) + U(t + 6) + U(t + 4) \\ &\quad + U(t + 3) + U(t + 1) + \sigma_2(U(t + 8), U(t + 7), U(t + 6), U(t + 4), U(t + 2), U(t + 1), U(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the elementary symmetric function is given by

$$\sigma_2(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq 7 \\ j > i}} x_i x_j.$$

and hence we have that by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_2(U(t + 8), U(t + 7), U(t + 6), U(t + 4), U(t + 2), U(t + 1), U(t)), \\ &= (U(t + 8) + U(t + 7) + U(t + 6) + U(t + 4)) (U(t + 2) + U(t + 1) + U(t)) \\ &\quad + (U(t + 8) + U(t + 7)) (U(t + 6) + U(t + 4)) + \\ &\quad (U(t + 2) + U(t + 1)) U(t) + U(t + 8) U(t + 7) + \\ &\quad + U(t + 6) U(t + 4) + U(t + 2) U(t + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This can be implemented using 29 XOR and 6 AND gates.




Figure 1: The $IZ4_2$ spreading code can be generated using 2 11-bit binary shift registers. The upper register is a 7-tap linear feedback shift register. The lower register is updated using nonlinear logic using the contents of both shift registers. The figure also shows where to draw outputs corresponding to generating the in-phase $\{W(t)\}$, quadrature phase sequence $\{V(t)\}$ as well as as composite quaternary spreading code $\{Q(t)\}$.

7 Application to Lunar PNT

7.1 LunaNet Signal-in-Space Document (Aug. 2023 Draft)

Much of the motivation for the material presented in this section, arises from the Draft LunaNet Signal-In-Space Recommended Standard Document Augmented Forward Signal (AFS) (Aug. 2023)[12]. Additional relevant references here include [13, 14, 15]. It has been suggested in [12] that spreading codes of length 5115 (pilot signal) and 1023 (data signal) are appropriate for a moon-based satellite navigation system, termed the Lunar Augmented Navigation System (LANS), corresponding to chipping rates of 5.115 MHz and 1.023MHz respectively (see [12]), (see Fig. 7.1).

The papers [14, 15] that appeared after the publication of [12] have suggested that spreading codes having length 10230 and 2046 might offer improved performance and hence be more appropriate to the setting up of a LANS while maintaining the same chipping rates and the same navigation data rate. Two spreading codes are proposed in [15]. The proposed spreading code for the pilot signal is the GPS L1CP [9, 10, 11] spreading code of length 10230 employed by GPS (P stands for the pilot signal). The proposed spreading code for the data signal is a truncated Gold sequence (truncated from 2047) along the lines of the the BDS B1 spreading code of length 2046 employed by BDS [16].

In this part of the paper, we compare the spreading code $IZ4_2$ described in the first part of the paper against the performance of the BDS B1 spreading code [14, 16].

We also compare the performance of the GPS L1C spreading codes as presented in [14, 15] with that of the interleaved Z_4 -linear spreading code family $IZ4_{10}$. Details on the $IZ4_{10}$ spreading




Figure 3: Data and Pilot Channel Code and Data Synchronization

Figure 2: Data and pilot waveforms, chipping rates and spreading code periods as presented in the LunaNet Signal-in-Space standard[12].

code family² can be found in [20, 19, 22].

7.2 Comparing the IZ4₂ and BDS B1 Spreading Codes of Length 2046

7.2.1 Tabular Comparison

In this table, we make a comparison between the spreading code families IZ4₂ and the BDS B1 family. We also show corresponding parameters of the truncated Gold family presented in [15]. As can be seen the IZ4₂ family has significantly lower even-correlation values (in excess of 8 dB) and odd-correlation values that are either comparable or else improve upon the corresponding parameters of the BDS B1 family.

7.2.2 Comparison Based on the CDF

The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) presents a more complete picture of comparative performance with respect to the correlation metric. The two CDF plots shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 below, are plots that include all correlation values even and odd, auto as well as cross-correlation values of the two families IZ4₂ and the BDS B1 family. The first of the two plots shows

²In these references the IZ4₁₀ family is referred to simply as the IZ4 family.

Table 1: Comparing the IZ₄₂ and the BDS B1 Spreading Code Families

Property	IZ ₄ ₂	Truncated Gold Codes [15]	BDS B1 [16]
Length	2046	2046	2046
Even ACR	-29.83 dB	NA	-21.61 dB
Even CCR	-29.83 dB	NA	-19.77 dB
Odd ACR	-23.30 dB	NA	-22.36 dB
Odd CCR	-20.28 dB	-19.6 dB	-20.28 dB
Max (over all even and odd correlations)	-20.28 dB	-19.6 dB	-20.28 dB
RMS (dB)	-33.11 dB	-33.1 dB	-33.11 dB
99% percentile (dB)	-26.05 dB	-25.5 dB	-25.55 dB
99.9% percentile (dB)	-23.68 dB	-23.7 dB	-23.68 dB
Symbol Balance	0 or 2	0	0 or 2
Family Size	221	210	37
Implementation	SR Based	SR based	SR based

the entire CDF whereas the second plot zooms in onto the portion of the CDF corresponding to values of the CDF $\geq 90\%$, when the CDF is expressed as a percentage.

7.3 Paired Orthogonality

Although the data and pilot signals are chipped at different rates, one could still regard the data signal as being chipped at the higher speed of 5.115 MHz except that each symbol remains the same for a duration of 5 consecutive chips. Under thus interpretations one could ask if it is possible to select pairs of spreading codes for data and pilot in such a way that they are orthogonal at zero cyclic shift (i.e., when time aligned). In the IZ₄₁₀ and IZ₄₂ spreading codes presented here, we have ensured that the first 170 spreading codes from the IZ₄₁₀ and IZ₄₂ spreading codes are orthogonal when time aligned. Such a property could potentially be useful in carrier phase tracking. The preference for orthogonality can be found stated for example in column 1, page 3 of [11] column 13 of the US Patent [23].




Figure 3: The figure plots the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of all correlation values of the IZ4₂ and BDS B1 (truncated-Gold) spreading codes respectively. Values of the CDF are expressed as percentages.

7.4 Comparing IZ4₁₀ and GPS L1C Ranging Codes (Length 10230)

7.4.1 Tabular Comparison

In Table 7.4.1, we make a comparison between the spreading code families IZ4₁₀ and the GPS L1C spreading code families. As can be seen the IZ4₂ family has significantly lower worst-case even-correlation value (in excess of 4.4 dB) and odd-correlation values that are either comparable or else improve upon the corresponding parameters of the GPS L1C family.

7.5 Comparison Based on the CDF

The Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) presents a more complete picture of comparative performance with respect to the correlation metric. The two CDF plots shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 below, are plots that include all correlation values even and odd, auto as well as cross-correlation values of the two families IZ4₂ and the BDS B1 family. The first of the two plots shows the entire CDF whereas the second plot zooms in onto the portion of the CDF corresponding to values of the CDF $\geq 90\%$, when the CDF is expressed as a percentage.




Figure 4: Zooming in to a segment of the CDF of the correlation values of the IZ4₂ and BDS B1 spreading codes. Only a portion of the CDF corresponding to percentiles 90 and above is plotted here. As can be seen, above the 95th percentile, the IZ4₂ spreading code does better throughout in terms of having a larger number of correlation values below a specified threshold. As an example, corresponding to a correlation value of 80 (equivalent to -28.16 dB), the percentiles associated to the IZ4₂ and BDS B1 spreading codes are 96.58 % and 93.69% respectively.

7.6 Ease of Implementation

Ease of implementation is an additional important consideration. Figure 1 above shows that the IZ4₂ family affords a simple implementation. The IZ4₁₀ spreading code family also can be implemented using shift registers as shown in Fig. 7.

7.7 Some Additional Comments

1. The correlation values of the BDS family are for a small family of size 37. It is expected that a larger family will suffer degradation in odd correlation properties from what appears in the table above.
2. The truncated Gold code family described in [15] is likely to have similar performance to that of the BDS B1 family.
3. The IZ4₁₀ family is more easily generated than the codes in the GPS L1C Weil code family since the spreading codes in the IZ4 family can be generated using two 55-bit shift registers

Table 2: Comparing the NavIC IZ₄₁₀ and the GLS L1CP Weil Spreading Code Families

Property	IZ ₄₁₀ (L1C)	GPS L1CP
Length	10230	10230
EACR	-31.7 dB	-31.07 dB
ECCR	-31.7 dB	-27.21 dB
OACR	-29.83 dB	-28.02 dB
OCCR	-26.5 dB	-26.21 dB
Max (over all even and odd correlations)	-26.5 dB	-26.21 dB
RMS (dB)	-40.11 dB	-40.11 dB
99% percentile (dB)	-32.10 dB	-32.31 dB
99.9% percentile (dB)	-30.48 dB	-30.26 dB
Symbol Balance	0 or 2	0
Family Size	170	210
Implementation	SR Based	

and a single 5-bit shift register. As can be seen from [20], a small number of gates suffice to provide the necessary feedback for the two shift registers.

8 Appendix




Figure 5: The figure plots the Cumulative Distribution Function (CDF) of all correlation values of the IZ4₁₀ and Weil spreading codes incorporated into ISRO’s NavIC L1 signal and the GPS L1 C pilot signal respectively. Values of the CDF are expressed as percentages. The maximum correlation magnitudes associated with the IZ4₁₀ and the Weil spreading codes are given by 484 and 500 respectively.




Figure 6: Zooming in to a segment of the CDF of the correlation values of the IZ₄₁₀ and Weil spreading codes. Only a portion of the CDF corresponding to percentiles 90 and above is plotted here. As can be seen, above the 95th percentile, apart from a small region, the IZ₄₁₀ spreading code does better in terms of having a larger number of correlation values below a specified threshold. As an example, corresponding to a correlation value of 200 (equivalent to -34.18 dB), the percentiles associated to the IZ₄₁₀ and Weil spreading codes are 96.96 % and 95.88% respectively.




Figure 7: The IZ₄₁₀ spreading code can be generated using 2 55-bit binary shift registers and an additional 5-bit pure cycling shift register. The upper register is a linear feedback shift register. The lower register is updated using nonlinear logic using the contents of both shift registers.

8.1 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Theorem 8.1. *We have the following closed-form expression for the correlation of two Family \mathcal{D} PRN sequences associated to $y_1, y_2 \in H$ in the case of τ even:*

$$\begin{aligned} i^{x_2-x_1} \phi_{12}(\tau) &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \right) - \\ & 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(i \times (-1)^{tr(e(y_1, y_2, \tau)\theta)} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

When τ is odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i^{x_2-3x_1} \phi_{12}(\tau) &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \right) - \\ & 32i^{1-T(e(y_3, y_2, \tau))} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(i \times (-1)^{tr(e(y_3, y_2, \tau)\theta)} \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $y_3 = y_1 + \theta$.

Proof. In the derivation below, we make use of the following:

$$\beta^t = (\alpha[1 + 2\theta])^t = \begin{cases} \alpha^t, & t \text{ even,} \\ \alpha^t(1 + 2\theta), & t \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then the cross-correlation $\phi_{12}(\tau)$ of $\{Q_1(t)\}$ and $\{Q_2(t)\}$ is given by:

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} i^{Q_1(t+\tau)-Q_2(t)}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} \iota^{Q_1(t+\tau)-Q_2(t)}, \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} \iota^{x_1 3^{t+\tau} + T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \beta^t) - x_2 3^t - T([1+2y_2]\beta^t)}, \\
&= \sum_{t \text{ even}} \iota^{(x_1 3^\tau - x_2) + T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \beta^t) - T([1+2y_2]\beta^t)} + \sum_{t \text{ odd}} \iota^{3(x_1 3^\tau - x_2) + T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \beta^t) - T([1+2y_2]\beta^t)}, \\
&= \iota^{(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t \text{ even}} \iota^{T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)} \right) + \\
&\quad \iota^{3(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t \text{ odd}} \iota^{T([1+2y_1][1+2\theta]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2][1+2\theta]\alpha^t)} \right), \\
&= \iota^{(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)} \right) + \\
&\quad \iota^{3(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_1][1+2\theta]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2][1+2\theta]\alpha^t)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that

$$[1 + 2y_1][1 + 2\theta] = [1 + 2(y_1 + \theta)],$$

we define

$$\begin{aligned}
y_3 &= y_1 + \theta, \\
y_4 &= y_2 + \theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can rewrite the correlation computation as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \iota^{(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_1]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)} \right) + \\
&\quad \iota^{3(x_1 3^\tau - x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} \iota^{T([1+2y_3]\beta^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_4]\alpha^t)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

8.1.1 Case τ Even

For τ even, we can replace β^τ by α^τ and we can rewrite the correlation computation as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{12}(\tau) &= i^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} i^{T([1+2y_1]\alpha^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)} \right) + \\
&\quad i^{3(x_1-x_2)} \left(\sum_{t=0}^{L-1} i^{T([1+2y_3]\alpha^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_4]\alpha^t)} \right), \\
&= i^{(x_1-x_2)} \underbrace{\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} + i^{3(x_1-x_2)} \underbrace{\psi(y_3, y_4, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}}, \\
&= i^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(\underbrace{\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \underbrace{\psi(y_3, y_4, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) &:= \sum_{t=0}^{L-1} i^{T([1+2y_1]\alpha^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)}, \\
\psi(y_3, y_4, \tau) &:= \sum_{t=0}^{L-1} i^{T([1+2y_3]\alpha^\tau \alpha^t) - T([1+2y_4]\alpha^t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note 4. Note that the correlation of two quaternary sequences belonging to Family \mathcal{A} [1, 2] correlation value $\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau)$ is only a function of $\tau \pmod{L}$.

8.1.2 Case τ Odd

For τ odd, we can replace 3^τ by 3 and β^τ by $\alpha^\tau(1 + 2\theta)$. In comparison to the case of τ even, this has the impact of replacing:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \text{ by } 3x_1, \\ x_2 \text{ remains } x_2, \\ y_1 \text{ by } y_1 + \theta, \\ y_2 \text{ remains } y_2, \\ y_3 \text{ by } y_3 + \theta = y_1, \\ y_4 \text{ remains } y_4. \end{array} \right.$$

It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{12}(\tau) &= i^{(3x_1-x_2)} \left(\underbrace{\psi(y_3, y_2, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} + (-1)^{(3x_1-x_2)} \underbrace{\psi(y_1, y_4, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} \right), \\ &= i^{(3x_1-x_2)} \left(\underbrace{\psi(y_3, y_2, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \underbrace{\psi(y_1, y_4, \tau)}_{\text{Fam } \mathcal{A} \text{ correlation}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

8.1.3 Correlation Expressions for $\phi_{12}(\tau)$ in Summary

We have in summary:

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = \begin{cases} i^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \psi(y_3, y_4, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ even,} \\ i^{(3x_1-x_2)} \left(\psi(y_3, y_2, \tau) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \psi(y_1, y_4, \tau) \right), & \text{for } \tau \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}y_3 &= (y_1 + \theta), \\ y_4 &= (y_2 + \theta),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) := \sum_{t=0}^{L-1} i^{T([1+2y_1]\alpha^{t+\tau}) - T([1+2y_2]\alpha^t)},$$

etc.

8.1.4 Can $|\phi_{12}(\tau)|$ be Large of $O(L)$?

From (5), we see that this can happen iff one of the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \\ y_3 = y_4 \Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \\ y_3 = y_2 \Leftrightarrow y_1 + \theta = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L, \\ y_1 = y_4 \Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 + \theta. \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L.\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}y_1 = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \Rightarrow \phi_{12}(\tau) = 0, \text{ as } x_1 \neq x_2, \\ y_3 = y_4 \Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = 0, \Rightarrow \phi_{12}(\tau) = 0, \text{ as } x_1 \neq x_2.\end{aligned}$$

We safeguard the remaining two settings by ensuring that $y \in H \Rightarrow (y + \theta) \notin H$:

$$\begin{aligned} y_3 = y_2 &\Leftrightarrow y_1 + \theta = y_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L, \\ y_1 = y_4 &\Leftrightarrow y_1 = y_2 + \theta. \quad \text{and} \quad \tau = L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus to ensure good quaternary correlation, we impose the condition that

$$y \in H \Rightarrow (y + \theta) \notin H.$$

Definition 4. Let $H \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ be such that

$$y \in H \Rightarrow (y + \theta) \notin H.$$

Let Family \mathcal{D} [8] be defined by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{ \{x3^t + T([1 + 2y]\beta^t)\} \mid x \in \{0, 1\}, y \in H \}.$$

Note that this family has size $|\mathcal{D}| = (2 \times 512) = 1024$.

8.1.5 Closed-Form Expressions

8.1.6 Quaternary Correlation Values of Family \mathcal{A}

We know from the analysis in [19], that

$$\psi(y_1, y_2, \tau) = -1 - 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e(y_1, y_2, \tau) &\in \mathcal{T}_m \quad (\text{Teichmuller set}), \\ e(y_1, y_2, \tau) &= \mu + y_1 + (y_1 + y_2)\mu^2, \quad (\text{mod } 2) \\ \mu &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \alpha^\tau}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note 5. Since $e(y_1, y_2, \tau)$ belongs to \mathcal{T}_m its value is uniquely defined by its value modulo 2.

8.1.7 Case When τ is Even

It follows then that for τ even:

$$\phi_{12}(\tau) = i^{x_1 - x_2} \left\{ \left(-1 - 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \right) + (-1)^{(x_1 - x_2)} \left(-1 - 32i^{1-T(e(y_3, y_4, \tau))} \right) \right\},$$

i.e.,

$$i^{x_2 - x_1} \phi_{12}(\tau) = \left\{ \left(-1 - 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \right) + (-1)^{(x_1 - x_2)} \left(-1 - 32i^{1-T(e(y_3, y_4, \tau))} \right) \right\},$$

Next note that

$$\begin{aligned} e(y_3, y_4, \tau) &= \mu + y_3 + (y_3 + y_4)\mu^2, \pmod{2} \\ &= e(y_1, y_2, \tau) + \theta, \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$e(y_3, y_4, \tau) = \overline{e(y_1, y_2, \tau) + \theta},$$

where

$$\overline{e(y_1, y_2, \tau) + \theta} = e(y_1, y_2, \tau) + \theta + 2\sqrt{\theta e(y_1, y_2, \tau)}.$$

As a result, we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} i^{x_2-x_1}\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)}\right) + \\ &\quad \left\{ \left(-32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))}\right) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(-32i^{1-T(e(y_3, y_4, \tau))}\right) \right\}, \\ &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)}\right) - \\ &\quad 32 \left\{ \left(i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))}\right) + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(i^{1-T(e(y_3, y_4, \tau))}\right) \right\}, \\ &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)}\right) - \\ &\quad 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \left\{ 1 + (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(i^{-T(\theta)-2T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau)\theta)}\right) \right\}, \\ &= \left(-1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)}\right) - \\ &\quad 32i^{1-T(e(y_1, y_2, \tau))} \left\{ 1 - (-1)^{(x_1-x_2)} \left(i \times (-1)^{tr(e(y_1, y_2, \tau)\theta)}\right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

since by our choice of $\theta = (\alpha^{64} + \alpha^{65}) \pmod{2}$ we have that $T(\theta) = 1$.

□

8.2 Component Binary Correlations in Terms of Quaternary Correlations

Theorem 8.2.

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_v(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_w(\tau) &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) - \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ \rho_{vw}(\tau) &= \Re(\Delta_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\phi_{12}(\tau)).\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ correlation}) \\ \Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t), \quad (\text{we will term this as a Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ anti-correlation}).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. **8.2.1 Quadrature-Phase Component Correlation Correlation**

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_v(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{v_1(t+\tau)-v_2(t)}, \\ &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (\delta^* C_1(t+\tau) + \delta C_1^*(t+\tau)) \times (\delta^* C_2(t) + \delta C_2^*(t)), \\ &= (\delta^*)^2 \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t) + |\delta|^2 \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1^*(t+\tau)C_2(t), \\ &\quad + |\delta|^2 \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t) + (\delta)^2 \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1^*(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \\ &= (\delta^*)^2 \Delta_{12}(\tau) + |\delta|^2 \phi^*(\tau) + |\delta|^2 \phi_{12}(\tau) + (\delta)^2 \Delta^*(\tau), \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\phi_{12}(\tau) + \phi^*(\tau)) + \frac{i}{2}(\Delta^*(\tau) - \Delta_{12}(\tau)), \\ &= \Re(\phi_{12}(\tau)) + \Im(\Delta_{12}(\tau)),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ correlation}) \\ \Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t), \quad (\text{we will term this as a Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ anti-correlation}).\end{aligned}$$

8.2.2 In-Phase Component Correlation Computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_w(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{w_1(t+\tau)-w_2(t)}, \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (\delta C_1(t+\tau) + \delta^* C_1^*(t+\tau)) \times (\delta C_2(t) + \delta^* C_2^*(t)), \\
&= \delta^2 \Delta_{12}(\tau) + (\delta^*)^2 \Delta^*(\tau) + |\delta|^2 \left(\phi_{12}(\tau) + \phi^*(\tau) \right), \\
&= \Re\{\phi_{12}(\tau)\} - \Im\{\Delta_{12}(\tau)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ correlation}) \\
\Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ anti-correlation}).
\end{aligned}$$

8.2.3 Cross-Phase Component Correlation Computation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{v,w}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (-1)^{v_1(t+\tau)-w_2(t)}, \\
&= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} (\delta^* C_1(t+\tau) + \delta C_1^*(t+\tau)) \times (\delta C_2(t) + \delta^* C_2^*(t)), \\
&= |\delta|^2 \left(\Delta_{12}(\tau) + \Delta^*(\tau) \right) + \delta^2 \phi^*(\tau) + (\delta^*)^2 \phi_{12}(\tau), \\
&= \Re\{\Delta_{12}(\tau)\} + \Im\{\phi_{12}(\tau)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2^*(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ correlation}) \\
\Delta_{12}(\tau) &= \sum_{t=0}^{2L-1} C_1(t+\tau)C_2(t), \quad (\text{Family } \mathcal{D} \text{ anti-correlation}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge the support received from distinguished scientists and senior administrators within ISRO as well as senior faculty and administrators at IISc.

References

- [1] P. Solé, “A quaternary cyclic code and a family of quadriphase sequences with low correlation properties,” in *Coding Theory and Applications, Lecture Notes in Computer Science* vol. 388. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [2] S. Boztas, R. Hammons, Jr., and P.V. Kumar, “4-phase sequences with near-optimum correlation properties,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 38 (1992), 1101-1113.
- [3] A.M. Kerdock, “A class of low-rate nonlinear binary codes,” *Inform. Contr.*, 20 (1972), 182-187.
- [4] A. Nechaev, The Kerdock code in a cyclic form, *Discrete Math. Appl.* , 1 (1991), 365-384.
- [5] A. Barg, “On small families of sequences with low periodic correlation,” in *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 781, 154-158, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [6] Tor Helleseth, P Vijay Kumar, “Sequences with low correlation,” chapter in *Handbook of Coding Theory*, Vol. 2, pp. 1765-1853, Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier, 1998.
- [7] Tang, X., Udaya, P., & Fan, P. (2007), “Generalized binary Udaya–Siddiqi sequences,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.*, 53(3), 1225-1230.
- [8] Tang, X. H., & Udaya, P. (2006), “A note on the optimal quadriphase sequences families, ” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.*, 53(1), 433-436.
- [9] J.J. Rushanan, “Weil sequences: A family of binary sequences with good correlation properties,” *IEEE IEEE Internl Symp. Inform. Th.*, pp. 1648-1652, July 9–14, 2006.
- [10] J. Rushanan, “The spreading and overlay codes for the L1C signal,” *Journal of Navigation*, vol. 54, no. 1 pp. 43-51, 2007.
- [11] J.J. Rushanan, “Spreading code derived from Weil sequences,” U.S. Patent no. US 7,511,637, Mar 31, 2009.
- [12] LunaNet Signal-In-Space Recommended Standard - Augmented Forward Signal (LSIS) Draft Version 1, LSIS V1.0 Draft August 31, 2023.
- [13] LunaNet Interoperability Specification Document Draft Version 5, Aug. 31, 2023.
- [14] P. A. Dafesh, G.K. Khadge, N.S. Wong and G. Djuknic, “Flexible Data and Frame Synchronization Structure for the LunaNet PNT Signal,” in *Proceedings of the ION 2024 Pacific PNT Meeting*, (pp. 844-866), April 2024.
- [15] P. A. Dafesh, G. K. Khadge, N. S. Wong, G. Djuknic, J. M. Crenshaw, C. J. Gramling, B. C. Peters, F. Melman, R. Swinden, C. Stallo, M. Murata, “The Augmented Forward Signal (AFS): Defining the Navigation Signal Standard for Future Lunar Missions, ” *Inside GNSS*, Nov. 20, 2024.

- [16] BeiDou Navigation Satellite System Signal in Space Interface Control Document, “Open Service Signal” Version 2 .0., Dec. 2013.
- [17] P. Vijay Kumar, Sugandh Mishra, Dileep Dharmappa, “Interleaved Spreading Codes for GNSS Applications,” ICG-18, October 9, 2024.
- [18] P. Vijay Kumar, Dileep Dharmappa and Sugandh Mishra, “Method and system for generating spreading codes based on interleaved Z4-linear sequences for navigation systems,” Indian Patent No. 383332, granted November 30, 2021.
- [19] P. Vijay Kumar, Dileep Dharmappa, and Sugandh Mishra. “Interleaved Z 4-Linear Sequences With Low Correlation for Global Navigation Satellite Systems,’ *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, March 2024.
- [20] NavIC Signal-In-Space ICD for Standard Positioning Service in L1 Frequency Version 1.0, U. R. Satellite Centre, ISRO, August, 2023.
- [21] L. R. Welch, “Lower bounds on the minimum correlation of signals,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Th.*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 397-399, 1974.
- [22] P. Vijay Kumar, Dileep Dharmappa and Sugandh Mishra, “Method and system for generating spreading codes based on interleaved Z4-linear sequences for navigation systems,” Indian Patent No. 383332, granted November 30, 2021.
- [23] John Olafur Winkel, “Spreading codes for a satellite navigation system,” US Patent number US 8,035,555 B2, Oct. 11, 2022.