

CACTI, TOGGLES, AND REVERSE PLANE PARTITIONS

DEVIN BROWN, BALÁZS ELEK, AND IVA HALACHEVA

ABSTRACT. The cactus group acts combinatorially on crystals via partial Schützenberger involutions. This action has been studied extensively in type A and described via Bender–Knuth involutions. We prove an analogous result for the family of crystals $B(n\varpi_1)$ in type D. Our main tools are combinatorial toggles acting on reverse plane partitions of height n . As a corollary, we show that the length one and two subdiagram elements generate the full cactus action, addressing conjectures of Dranowski, the second author, Kamnitzer, and Morton–Ferguson.

1. INTRODUCTION

The crystals for a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} are combinatorial shadows of its representations that lend themselves to techniques from algebraic combinatorics. There is a rich history of the development of such techniques especially in type A. For instance, the Bender–Knuth (BK) involutions on semistandard Young tableaux (SSYT) were originally employed in [BK72] to count different classes of plane partitions, and have since found many applications, for instance providing an elegant proof of the Littlewood–Richardson rule [Ste02]. The relations between these involutions were studied in [BK95], as the Berenstein–Kirillov group of BK involutions acting on SSYT, or equivalently on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns. The cactus group $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$, whose connection to crystals was first studied in [HeKa06], has the advantage of having a type-independent description. In type A, it was shown ([CGP20], [Hal20]) that the Berenstein–Kirillov group is a quotient of $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$. More recently, in [Dra+22] the authors used *heaps* and *reverse plane partitions (RPPs)* to provide a type-independent model for minuscule Demazure crystals in ADE types, as well as a group of combinatorial *toggles* acting, that specialize to the BK involutions in type A. A natural question that emerges is, can one relate these toggle operators to the cactus group beyond type A and further extend them to more general (not necessarily minuscule) crystals. In particular, for a minuscule dominant weight λ and a corresponding Weyl group element w_0^J , does the cactus action factor through the toggle action, as follows:

Conjecture 1.1 ([Dra+22]).

- (1) *There is a surjective map $C_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{Tog}(w_0^J)$ such that the action of the cactus group on the crystal $B(n\lambda) \cong \text{RPP}(w_0^J, n)$ factors through this map.*
- (2) *In type D_m , the action of the cactus group on the crystal $B(n\varpi_1)$ is generated by cactus elements corresponding to length 1 and 2 subdiagrams of the Dynkin diagram.*

In this paper, we prove both parts of Conjecture 1.1 for the crystal $B(n\varpi_1)$ in type D_m . In particular, we give an explicit description of the cactus operators in terms of toggles. This reduces the action to significantly fewer cactus generators than originally required.

Key words and phrases. cactus, toggles, minuscule representations, reverse plane partitions.

Devin Brown was supported by Northeastern’s AJC Merit Research Scholarship and PEAK Summit Award.

Iva Halacheva was supported by NSF grant DMS-2302664.

Further questions of interest are connecting the cactus and toggle actions in type D to promotion in algebraic combinatorics. A potential application of our result is a simplified description of the affine crystal operators e_0 and f_0 for the Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals $B^{1,n}$ for (affine) type $D_m^{(1)}$, using the cactus action description through toggles which are much easier to compute on $B(n\varpi_1)$. To complete the study of minuscule weights in types ADE, one needs to consider the two spin nodes in type D_m , two more cases in E_6 , and one case in E_7 .

2. CRYSTALS AND TENSOR PRODUCTS

Throughout, we let \mathfrak{g} denote a simple complex Lie algebra with Dynkin diagram I , simple roots α_i , Weyl group W generated by the simple reflections s_i , $i \in I$, weight lattice P , and dominant weights P_+ (see for instance [Hum72]). We will focus on the Lie algebra of type D_m , where I is labeled such that $m-1$ and m denote the spin nodes.

Crystal bases were originally introduced by Kashiwara [Kas90, Kas91], as corresponding to bases for representations of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ in a certain limit as q goes to 0. Although there is a more general notion of abstract crystals, we will only consider crystals arising from representations (see for instance [HoKa02, Section 4.5] for more details). A **(normal) \mathfrak{g} -crystal** is a finite set B together with maps $\text{wt}: B \rightarrow P$, $e_i: B \rightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}$ (the raising operators), $f_i: B \rightarrow B \sqcup \{0\}$ (the lowering operators) for each $i \in I$, as well as $\varepsilon_i, \phi_i: B \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, describing i -string lengths, defined by $\phi_i(b) = \max\{n \mid f_i^n(b) \neq 0\}$ and $\varepsilon_i(b) = \max\{n \mid e_i^n(b) \neq 0\}$ which satisfy several conditions coming from the associated representation of \mathfrak{g} . Notably, the raising and lowering operators are partial inverses: if $b_1, b_2 \in B$, then $e_i(b_1) = b_2$ if and only if $f_i(b_2) = b_1$. We focus on \mathfrak{g} -crystals whose highest weight is minuscule or a multiple of a minuscule weight.

Definition 2.1. *A representation V of \mathfrak{g} is called **minuscule** if W acts transitively on the weights of V . A weight $\lambda \in P_+$ is called **minuscule** if $V(\lambda)$ is minuscule.*

In type A_m , the minuscule weights are exactly all the fundamental weights $\varpi_k = \varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_k$, $1 \leq k \leq m$. In type D_m , the minuscule weights are ϖ_1 , ϖ_{m-1} , and ϖ_m .

Example 2.2. *Using the generalized semistandard Young tableaux (SSYT) model for representations of the Lie algebra of type D_m (see [HoKa02, Section 8.5]), we have the partially ordered set (poset, in short) \mathbf{N} of possible fillings, $1 < 2 < \dots < m-1 < m, \bar{m} < \overline{m-1} < \dots < \bar{2} < \bar{1}$ where m and \bar{m} are incomparable. The crystal $B(\varpi_1)$ can be realized as shown in Figure 1, where the box with filling i has weight ε_i , and \bar{i} has weight $-\varepsilon_i$.*




FIGURE 1. The crystal $B(\varpi_1)$ in type D_m .

Crystals have a particularly nice tensor product rule, which we recall next. Note that we use a different convention from Kashiwara's convention (as in [HoKa02]), instead following [BS17, Section 2.3] and the tensor product rule implemented in SageMath.

Definition 2.3. *If B_1 and B_2 are \mathfrak{g} -crystals, then one can define a \mathfrak{g} -crystal structure on the set $B_1 \otimes B_2 = \{\mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 \mid \mathbf{b}_1 \in B_1, \mathbf{b}_2 \in B_2\}$ with $\text{wt}(\mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2) = \text{wt}(\mathbf{b}_1) + \text{wt}(\mathbf{b}_2)$, and the following lowering operators*

$$f_i(\mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \mathbf{b}_2) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{b}_1 \otimes f_i(\mathbf{b}_2) & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{b}_1) < \phi_i(\mathbf{b}_2) \\ f_i(\mathbf{b}_1) \otimes \mathbf{b}_2 & \text{if } \varepsilon_i(\mathbf{b}_1) \geq \phi_i(\mathbf{b}_2). \end{cases}$$

This rule extends to $B^{\otimes n}$ for any \mathfrak{g} -crystal B as follows.

Proposition 2.4 ([Tin08], Corollary 2.4). *For any $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}_n \in B^{\otimes n}$, define the sign pattern of \mathbf{b} to be the following string of $+$'s and $-$'s: $\phi_i(\mathbf{b}_1)$ many $+$'s, $\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{b}_1)$ many $-$'s, $\phi_i(\mathbf{b}_2)$ many $+$'s, $\varepsilon_i(\mathbf{b}_2)$ many $-$'s, etc. Pair up and cancel substrings $-+$, to get a sequence $+\dots+-\dots-$. If j is the index corresponding to the rightmost unpaired $+$ and k is the index of the leftmost unpaired $-$, then $f_i(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_i(\mathbf{b}_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}_n$ and $e_i(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_i(\mathbf{b}_k) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}_n$. We have $f_i(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ if there is no such j , and $e_i(\mathbf{b}) = 0$ if there is no such k .*

Example 2.5. *Continuing Example 2.2, one can realize more generally the crystal $B(n\varpi_1)$ as the collection of tableaux with shape $1 \times n$ and fillings in \mathbf{N} that are weakly increasing from left to right. In particular, \mathfrak{m} and $\overline{\mathfrak{m}}$ do not appear simultaneously. The raising and lowering operators on this set can be defined via the embedding $B(n\varpi_1) \hookrightarrow B(\varpi_1)^{\otimes n}$, given by*

$$\boxed{\mathbf{b}_1} \boxed{\mathbf{b}_2} \cdots \boxed{\mathbf{b}_n} \mapsto \boxed{\mathbf{b}_1} \otimes \boxed{\mathbf{b}_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \boxed{\mathbf{b}_n},$$

and are determined by the tensor product rule on $B(\varpi_1)^{\otimes n}$.

3. HEAPS AND REVERSE PLANE PARTITIONS

Heaps, alongside tableaux, are the key combinatorial structure we will use to study crystals. We start by recalling their construction and properties (see [Ste96, Pro99, Ste01]).


Definition 3.1. *Given a reduced word $\mathbf{w} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_l})$ for $w \in W$, the corresponding heap $H(\mathbf{w})$ is the poset $(\{1, 2, \dots, l\}, <)$ defined as the transitive closure of the relation: $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$ if $\mathbf{a} > \mathbf{b}$ and the nodes $i_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $i_{\mathbf{b}}$ are adjacent in the Dynkin diagram I .*

Using the abacus analogy due to A. Kleshchev and A. Ram [KR10], one can construct a heap by starting with a base the shape of I , and placing beads corresponding to the reflections in the reduced word for $\mathbf{w} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_l})$, read from right to left, onto the corresponding runners. The idea is that beads placed above adjacent vertices will be prevented from falling to the bottom of the abacus as pictured in Figure 2.

We can define a map $\pi: H(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow I$ that projects a bead onto its corresponding runner, i.e. the reflection s_i maps to the vertex i . The condition that \mathbf{w} is a reduced word for w tells us that $\pi^{-1}(i)$ is totally ordered for each $i \in I$, since otherwise there would be two occurrences of s_i that are not separated by adjacent reflections, allowing a cancellation.

It can be the case that two reduced words for an element of W give rise to non-isomorphic heaps.

Example 3.2. *Consider the reduced words $\mathbf{w} = (s_1, s_3, s_2, s_1)$ and $\mathbf{w}' = (s_3, s_2, s_1, s_2)$ in type A_3 . Then \mathbf{w} and \mathbf{w}' are both reduced words for the same element of W . The heaps $H(\mathbf{w})$ and $H(\mathbf{w}')$ are not isomorphic since $H(\mathbf{w}')$ is totally ordered, whereas $H(\mathbf{w})$ is not (see Figure 2).*

FIGURE 2. $H(\mathbf{w})$ and $H(\mathbf{w}')$ in type A_3 .

Definition 3.3. An element $w \in W$ is called **fully commutative** if any two reduced words for w can be obtained from each other only by commuting elements, e.g. $s_2s_1s_3s_2 = s_2s_3s_1s_2$ in A_3 .

If w is fully commutative, then any two reduced words for w give rise to isomorphic posets, so we may refer to the heap $H(w)$. We next recall a generalization of such heaps that captures the structure of crystals with highest weight a multiple of a minuscule weight. We follow the exposition and notation in [Dra+22, Section 2].

Definition 3.4. A **reverse plane partition** (RPP) of shape $H(w)$ and height $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a function $\Phi : H(w) \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that if $x \preceq y$, then $\Phi(x) \geq \Phi(y)$. We denote the collection of reverse plane partitions by $RPP(w, n)$.

Using the abacus analogy, RPPs correspond to labelling the beads in a heap with integers from 0 to n so that they decrease from the base to the top. We can also represent RPPs as increasing chains of order ideals.

Definition 3.5. If (\mathcal{P}, \preceq) is a poset, then we call a subset $S \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ an **order ideal** of \mathcal{P} if for all $x \in S$ and $y \in \mathcal{P}$, if $y \preceq x$, then $y \in S$. Let $J(\mathcal{P})$ denote the collection of order ideals of \mathcal{P} .

Noting that $J(\mathcal{P})$ is also a poset ordered under inclusion, we have the following lemma embedding $RPP(w, n)$ in $J(H(w))^n$.

Lemma 3.6 ([Dra+22], Lemma 2.28). *There is an injective map $RPP(w, n) \hookrightarrow J(H(w))^n$ given by $\Phi \mapsto (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$ where ϕ_i is defined as the pre-image $\Phi^{-1}(\{n - i + 1, \dots, n\})$. The image of this map is the collection of tuples $\{(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in J(H(w))^n \mid \forall i, \phi_i \subseteq \phi_{i+1}\}$.*

In order to read off the RPP from a chain of order ideals (ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n) , one labels the elements of ϕ_1 with n , the elements of ϕ_2 not included in ϕ_1 with $n - 1$, and so on, labeling the elements of $H(w)$ not included in ϕ_n with 0.

Example 3.7. If $w = s_3s_4s_2s_1s_3s_2 \in W$ in type A_4 , then w is fully commutative. Figure 3 depicts an RPP Φ of shape $H(w)$ and height 3 and the corresponding chain of order ideals, where we identify an order ideal with its indicator function in $RPP(w, 1)$.

4. MINUSCULE CRYSTALS AS HEAPS

The structure of the crystal $B(\lambda)$ for a minuscule weight λ can be combinatorially realized through heaps via the following construction. Note that since we work with \mathfrak{g} a simple Lie algebra, then all the minuscule highest weights are fundamental. Consider $\lambda = \omega_j \in P_+$, and $J = I \setminus \{j\}$. Then $W_J = \langle s_j : j \in J \rangle \leq W$ is the stabilizer of λ , and let W^J denote the set of minimal length representatives of the cosets W/W^J . In particular, let w_0 denote the longest element in W . If w_0^J denotes the minimal length representative of w_0W_J , then it




FIGURE 3. An element Φ of $\text{RPP}(w, 3)$ and the corresponding chain of order ideals.

is of minimal length such that $w_0^J \lambda = w_0 \lambda$, and $W^J = \{v \in W : v \leq_L w_0^J\}$ where $v \leq_L w$ is the *weak left order* (i.e. there exist reduced expressions such that v appears as a terminal subword of w).

Theorem 4.1 ([Ste96], Lemma 3.1, Theorem 6.1, Theorem 7.1).

- (1) If $w \in W$ is fully-commutative, then $\{v \in W : v \leq_L w\} \cong J(H(w))$ as posets.
- (2) For λ, J as above, λ is minuscule if and only if every element of W^J is fully commutative.

Stembridge's result above in fact holds in greater generality, where \mathfrak{g} can be semisimple, and λ a sum of fundamental weights. In the case where $\lambda = \omega_j$ is minuscule, the weight spaces of $V(\lambda)$ are 1-dimensional and hence the orbit $W\lambda$ is in bijection with the set of coset representatives $W^J = \{v \in W : v \leq_L w_0^J\} \cong J(H(w_0^J))$, for $J = I \setminus \{j\}$. We can also describe the local shape of the heap of such minuscule elements as follows (this is due to Proctor in the simply laced case [Pro99]).

Proposition 4.2 ([Ste01], Proposition 3.3). *In the heap of a minuscule element, every closed subinterval between two elements labeled i , which have no elements labeled i between them, is isomorphic as a labeled poset to the heap of $s_1 \cdots s_{m-2} s_{m-1} s_m s_{m-2} \cdots s_1$ in type D_m for $m \geq 3$.*

Proposition 4.3 ([Dra+22], Proposition 2.18). *The set W^J is a model for the crystal $B(\lambda)$ with weight map given by $\text{wt}(v) = v\lambda$ and the following raising and lowering operators.*

$$f_i(v) = \begin{cases} s_i v & \text{if } s_i v > v \text{ and } s_i v \in W^J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad e_i(v) = \begin{cases} s_i v & \text{if } s_i v < v \text{ and } s_i v \in W^J \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 4.4. *For $\lambda = \omega_1$ in type D_4 , we have $J = \{2, 3, 4\}$ and $w_0^J = s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_2 s_1$.*

This crystal model can be generalized to \mathfrak{g} -crystals with highest weight $n\lambda$ where λ is minuscule, using $\text{RPP}(w, n)$ and the tensor product rule on $J(H(w))^n$:

Theorem 4.5 ([Dra+22], Theorem 2.29). *Let $\lambda = \omega_j$ and $J = I \setminus \{j\}$. If λ is minuscule, then there is an isomorphism of crystals $B(n\lambda) \cong \text{RPP}(w_0^J, n)$ compatible with the inclusions $B(n\lambda) \hookrightarrow B(\lambda)^{\otimes n}$ and $\text{RPP}(w_0^J, n) \hookrightarrow J(H(w_0^J))^n$.*

5. GROUPS ACTING ON CRYSTALS

There are several groups generated by involutions that act on crystals. In type A , the Berenstein–Kirillov group acts on semistandard Young tableaux and Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns, alternative models for crystals [BK95]. We consider the action of the cactus group and toggles in a more general setting.

5.1. The cactus group. To define the cactus group corresponding to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we first recall the Dynkin diagram automorphisms $\theta_J : J \rightarrow J$, where $J \subseteq I$ is any a connected subdiagram, determined by $\alpha_{\theta_J(j)} = -w_{0,J}(\alpha_j)$, for $w_{0,J}$ the longest element of the subgroup W_J . In type A_m this automorphism is given by reflecting, $\theta_1(i) = m - i + 1$, and in type D_m , θ_1 is the identity when m is even, and swaps the spin nodes $m - 1$ and m when m is odd.

Definition 5.1. *The **cactus group** $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the group with generators c_J for each connected subdiagram $J \subseteq I$, and relations $c_J^2 = 1$, $c_J c_K = c_K c_J$ if $J \cup K$ is disconnected, $c_J c_K = c_K c_{\theta_K(J)}$ if $J \subseteq K$, and no relations between c_J and c_K otherwise.*

Given the cactus relations, a smaller set of generators is often sufficient:

Proposition 5.2. *The cactus group C_{D_m} is generated by elements corresponding to subdiagrams of type D , $c_{\{j, \dots, m-1, m\}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-2$, and elements corresponding to subdiagrams of type A , $c_{\{1, \dots, j\}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$, as well as the additional subdiagram $c_{\{1, \dots, m-2, m\}}$ if m is even.*

The name *cactus* comes from identifying C_{A_m} with the fundamental group of the moduli space of real genus 0 stable curves with marked points [HeKa06]. The (set) action of this group on any \mathfrak{g} -crystal is realized via generalizations of the classical Schützenberger involution in algebraic combinatorics.

Definition 5.3. *For a highest weight irreducible \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B(\lambda)$, the **Schützenberger involution** is the unique map of sets $\xi : B(\lambda) \rightarrow B(\lambda)$ which satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\text{wt}(\xi(\mathbf{b})) = w_0 \text{wt}(\mathbf{b}), \quad e_i \cdot \xi(\mathbf{b}) = \xi(f_{\theta_1(i)}(\mathbf{b})), \quad f_i \cdot \xi(\mathbf{b}) = \xi(e_{\theta_1(i)}(\mathbf{b})).$$

It can be extended to any \mathfrak{g} -crystal $B = \bigsqcup_{\lambda} B(\lambda)$ by acting as above on each of the components.

The effect of applying ξ is that the highest and lowest weights of $B(\lambda)$ are swapped.

Theorem 5.4 ([HKRW20], Theorem 5.19). *There is an action of the cactus group $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on any \mathfrak{g} -crystal B given by, for any connected $J \subseteq I$, $c_J(\mathbf{b}) = \xi_{B_J}(\mathbf{b})$, where B_J is the restriction of B to the subdiagram J , which consists of the same vertices and only edges labeled by $j \in J$.*

5.2. Toggles. Another group that acts on crystals is the group of so-called combinatorial toggles which are defined for order ideals of a poset and can be extended to reverse plane partitions.

Definition 5.5. *Let (\mathcal{P}, \preceq) be a poset. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{P}$, define the associated **combinatorial toggle** $t_x : J(\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow J(\mathcal{P})$ by*

$$t_x(S) = \begin{cases} S \cup \{x\} & \text{if } x \notin S \text{ and } S \cup \{x\} \in J(\mathcal{P}) \\ S \setminus \{x\} & \text{if } x \in S \text{ and } S \setminus \{x\} \in J(\mathcal{P}) \\ S & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

In other words, the toggle associated to x either adds or removes x depending on whether the result is a valid order ideal. In the case where $\mathcal{P} = H(w)$ for some fully commutative $w \in W$, we have that the toggles t_x and t_y commute whenever $\pi(x) = \pi(y)$, since each fiber $\pi^{-1}(i)$ is totally ordered. Hence $t_i = \prod_{x \in \pi^{-1}(i)} t_x$ is a well defined function $J(H(w)) \rightarrow J(H(w))$. In the bead analogy, these elements correspond to either adding or removing a bead on the i -th runner whenever legal. The **toggle group** $\text{Tog}(w)$ is the group generated by t_i for each $i \in I$.

many $k+1$'s (resp. \bar{b} many $\overline{k+1}$'s), and c many $k+2$'s (resp. \bar{c} many $\overline{k+2}$'s), recorded by the tuple $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})$. We will first compute $\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v}$. Recall that the cactus generator \mathbf{c}_k acts by inverting the $(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_k$ chain containing \mathbf{v} . Let \mathbf{v}^{high} and \mathbf{v}^{low} denote the highest and lowest weight elements that chain. In each case, let $\bar{x} = |x - \bar{x}|$ for $x = \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$. For convenience, we omit \mathbf{c} and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ in the tuple since they are unchanged by \mathbf{c}_k .

Case 1: $\mathbf{a} \leq \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. Using the tensor product rule, we can see that $\mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, 0)$ and $\mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (0, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}} + \bar{\mathbf{a}})$. Since $\mathbf{v} = f_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{high}}$, we have that $\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v} = e_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}})$.

Case 2: $\mathbf{a} \leq \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{b} > \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. We proceed in the same way as in Case 1. We have that $\mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (0, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})$, $\mathbf{v} = e_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{low}}$, and therefore $\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v} = f_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{a})$.

Case 3: $\mathbf{a} > \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. We have that $\mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (0, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}})$, $\mathbf{v} = f_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{high}}$, and therefore we get $\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v} = e_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}})$.

Case 4: $\mathbf{a} > \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{b} > \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. We have that $\mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, 0)$, $\mathbf{v}^{\text{low}} = (0, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})$, $\mathbf{v} = e_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{low}}$, and therefore we get $\mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v} = f_k^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \mathbf{v}^{\text{high}} = (\bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}})$.

Next we compute $\mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{v}$ and compare to the previous cases. This time we need 8 cases ($x \leq \bar{x}$ versus $x > \bar{x}$, for $x = \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$) since the relative sizes of \mathbf{c} and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}$ impact the calculation of the action of \mathbf{c}_{k+1} . So, we need to consider We use the previous cases to compute the action of \mathbf{c}_{k+1} with \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{c} playing the roles of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} respectively. We show one of the cases below, the remaining seven follow analogously.

Case 1.2: $\mathbf{a} \leq \bar{\mathbf{a}}$, $\mathbf{b} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$, and $\mathbf{c} > \bar{\mathbf{c}}$. We apply Case 2, Case 1, then Case 3, to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) &= \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) \\ &= \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} (\mathbf{b} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k (\mathbf{b} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{b}) \\ &= \mathbf{c}_{k+1} (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \bar{\mathbf{c}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

In each case we see that $\mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{c}_k \mathbf{v}$ which completes the induction. \square

For the following results, we retain the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 and directly compute both expressions based on the same cases.

Lemma 6.2. For $1 \leq j \leq m-2$, we have $\mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{t}_j = \mathbf{t}_j \mathbf{c}_j$.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{B}(n\omega_1)$. The first case is shown below, the other four follow analogously.

Case 1: $\mathbf{a} \leq \bar{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \leq \bar{\mathbf{b}}$. We compute as follows, using Case 1 from the proof of Proposition 6.1: $\mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{t}_j \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{t}_j (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}) = \mathbf{c}_j (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}) = (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{b}}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} + \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{t}_j \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{v}$. \square

Lemma 6.3. For $1 \leq k \leq m-2$, and $k = m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{f}_k &\sim \mathbf{f}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} & \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{e}_k &\sim \mathbf{e}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{f}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k &\sim \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{f}_{k+1} & \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k &\sim \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{e}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{t}_{k+1} \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{f}_k &\sim \mathbf{f}_k \mathbf{t}_{k+1} \mathbf{c}_{k+1} & \mathbf{t}_{k+1} \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{e}_k &\sim \mathbf{e}_k \mathbf{t}_{k+1} \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \\ \mathbf{t}_{m-2} \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{f}_{m-2} &\sim \mathbf{f}_m \mathbf{t}_{m-2} \mathbf{c}_m & \mathbf{t}_{m-2} \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{e}_{m-2} &\sim \mathbf{e}_m \mathbf{t}_{m-2} \mathbf{c}_m \\ \mathbf{t}_m \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{f}_{m-2} &\sim \mathbf{f}_{m-2} \mathbf{t}_m \mathbf{c}_m & \mathbf{t}_m \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{e}_{m-2} &\sim \mathbf{e}_{m-2} \mathbf{t}_m \mathbf{c}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We retain the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 and directly compute both expressions based on the same cases. We show Case 1 for the identity $\mathbf{f}_{k+1} \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \sim \mathbf{t}_k \mathbf{c}_{k+1} \mathbf{f}_k$, the other cases and identities follow analogously.

Case 1.1: $a \leq \bar{a}$, $b \leq \bar{b}$, and $c \leq \bar{c}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k+1}t_k c_{k+1}(a, b, c, \bar{c}, \bar{b}, \bar{a}) &= f_{k+1}t_k(a, b, c, \bar{b} + c, b + \bar{c}, \bar{a}) \\ &= f_{k+1}(b, a, c, \bar{b} + c, \bar{a}, b + \bar{c}) = (b, a, c, \bar{b} + c - 1, \bar{a} + 1, b + \bar{c}) \\ t_k c_{k+1} f_k(a, b, c, \bar{c}, \bar{b}, \bar{a}) &= t_k c_{k+1}(a, b, c, \bar{c}, \bar{b} - 1, \bar{a} + 1) \\ &= t_k(a, b, c, \bar{b} + c - 1, b + \bar{c}, \bar{a} + 1) = (b, a, c, \bar{b} + c - 1, \bar{a} + 1, b + \bar{c}) \end{aligned}$$

We can see that $t_k c_{k+1} f_k \sim f_{k+1} t_k c_{k+1}$ and $f_k c_{k+1} t_k \sim c_{k+1} t_k f_{k+1}$ are equivalent, using the fact that t_k and c_{k+1} are involutions. Likewise $t_k c_{k+1} e_k \sim e_{k+1} t_k c_{k+1}$ and $e_k c_{k+1} t_k \sim c_{k+1} t_k e_{k+1}$ are equivalent. Finally to see that $t_k c_{k+1} f_k \sim f_{k+1} t_k c_{k+1}$ and $e_k c_{k+1} t_k \sim c_{k+1} t_k e_{k+1}$ are equivalent, we use the fact that the raising and lowering operators are partial inverses. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m - 2$. Then t_k preserves the connected components of $B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)|_{(\mathfrak{sl}_3)_{\{k, k+1\}}}$. Furthermore, t_{m-2} preserves the connected components of $B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)|_{(\mathfrak{sl}_3)_{\{m-2, m\}}}$.*

Proof. Let $v \in B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)$. We will check that v and $t_k v$ have the same \mathfrak{sl}_3 highest weight. We retain the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 and compute the \mathfrak{sl}_3 highest weight of both expressions. We can check that the highest weight elements of the branched components of $B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)|_{(\mathfrak{sl}_3)_{\{k, k+1\}}}$ are of the form $(a, 0, c, \bar{c}, 0, 0)$ where $c \leq \bar{c}$. We consider the same 8 cases as in Proposition 6.1, and demonstrate the first case below.

Case 1.1: $a \leq \bar{a}$, $b \leq \bar{b}$, and $c \leq \bar{c}$. We get highest weight $(a + b, 0, c, \bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c}, 0, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} e_k^b e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}} e_k^{\bar{a}} v &= e_k^b e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}} e_k^{\bar{a}}(a, b, c, \bar{c}, \bar{b}, \bar{a}) = e_k^b e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}}(a, b, c, \bar{c}, \bar{a} + \bar{b}, 0) = e_k^b(a, b, c, \bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c}, 0, 0) \\ e_k^a e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}} e_k^{\bar{b}} t_k v &= e_k^a e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}} e_k^{\bar{b}}(b, a, c, \bar{c}, \bar{a}, \bar{b}) = e_k^a e_{k+1}^{\bar{a}+\bar{b}}(b, a, c, \bar{c}, \bar{a} + \bar{b}, 0) = e_k^a(b, a, c, \bar{a} + \bar{b} + \bar{c}, 0, 0) \end{aligned}$$

In each case, v and $t_k v$ have the same \mathfrak{sl}_3 highest weight and we conclude that t_k stabilizes the components of the branched crystal $B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)|_{(\mathfrak{sl}_3)_{\{k, k+1\}}}$. \square

Theorem 6.5. *Let $J = [i, j] = \{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$ be a subdiagram of the Dynkin diagram I of type D_m with either $1 \leq i < j \leq m - 1$ or $j = m$. Then*

$$c_J \sim (c_j t_{j-1} \cdots t_i)(c_j t_{j-1} \cdots t_{i+1}) \cdots (c_j t_{j-1}) c_j.$$

Proof. By induction on $|J|$. When $|J| = 2$, we have $\text{wt}(c_j b) = \text{wt}(t_j b) = s_j \text{wt}(b)$, so $\text{wt}(c_j t_{j-1} c_j b) = s_j s_{j-1} s_j \text{wt}(b) = w_0^J \text{wt}(b)$. By Lemma 6.4, we see that $c_j t_{j-1} c_j$ preserves the connected components of $B(\mathfrak{n}\omega_1)|_{(\mathfrak{sl}_3)_{\{j-1, j\}}}$. Using Lemma 6.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c_j t_{j-1} c_j f_j &= c_j t_{j-1} e_j c_j = e_{j-1} c_j t_{j-1} c_j = e_{\theta_j(j)} c_j t_{j-1} c_j \\ c_j t_{j-1} c_j f_{j-1} &= c_j f_j t_{j-1} c_j = e_j c_j t_{j-1} c_j = e_{\theta_j(j-1)} c_j t_{j-1} c_j \end{aligned}$$

and likewise for e_j and e_{j-1} . Hence $c_J \sim c_j t_{j-1} c_j$ as it satisfies the properties which uniquely characterize the cactus action. We can similarly see that the expression acts correctly on weights and preserves the connected components of the branched crystals. For the inductive step, when $i + 2 \leq k \leq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_j t_{j-1} \cdots t_i) c_{J \setminus \{i\}} f_k &= c_j \cdots t_i e_{\theta_{J \setminus \{i\}}(k)} c_{J \setminus \{i\}} = c_j \cdots t_{i+j+1-k} t_{i+j-k} e_{i+j+1-k} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} \\ &= c_j \cdots t_{i+j+1-k} c_{i+j+1-k}^2 t_{i+j-k} e_{i+j+1-k} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} \\ \text{(Lemma 6.3)} &= c_j \cdots t_{i+j+1-k} c_{i+j+1-k} e_{i+j-k} c_{i+j+1-k} t_{i+j-k} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} \\ \text{(Lemma 6.3)} &= c_j \cdots e_{i+j-k} t_{i+j+1-k} c_{i+j+1-k} c_{i+j+1-k} t_{i+j-k} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} \\ &= e_{i+j-k} c_j \cdots t_i c_{J \setminus \{i\}} = e_{\theta_j(k)} c_j \cdots t_i c_{J \setminus \{i\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, when $k = i + 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c_j t_{j-1} \cdots t_i) c_{J \setminus \{i\}} f_{i+1} &= c_j \cdots t_i e_{\theta_{J \setminus \{i\}}(i+1)} c_{J \setminus \{i\}} = c_j t_{j-1} e_j \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} \\ (\text{Lemma 6.3}) \quad &= e_{j-1} c_j t_{j-1} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i\}} = e_{\theta_j(i+1)} c_j \cdots t_i c_{J \setminus \{i\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, when $k = i$, by rearranging and using the inductive hypothesis we have (in step 3 use Lemma 6.2, in steps 4 and 6 use Lemma 6.3):

$$\begin{aligned} (c_j \cdots t_i) (c_j \cdots t_{i+1}) c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} f_i &= (c_j \cdots t_i) (c_j \cdots t_{i+1}) f_i c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= (c_j \cdots t_i) (c_j \cdots c_{i+1} c_{i+1} t_{i+1}) f_i c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} = (c_j \cdots t_i) c_j \cdots c_{i+1} t_{i+1} c_{i+1} f_i c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= (c_j \cdots t_i) c_j \cdots t_{i+2} c_{i+1} f_i t_{i+1} c_{i+1} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} = (c_j \cdots t_i) c_j \cdots t_{i+2} t_i t_i c_{i+1} f_i t_{i+1} c_{i+1} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= (c_j \cdots t_i) c_j \cdots t_{i+2} t_i f_{i+1} t_i c_{i+1} t_{i+1} c_{i+1} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} = (c_j \cdots t_{i+1}) (c_j \cdots t_{i+2}) f_{i+1} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= c_{J \setminus \{i\}} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1, i+2\}} f_{i+1} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} = c_{J \setminus \{i\}} f_{i+1} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1, i+2\}} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= e_j c_{J \setminus \{i\}} c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1, i+2\}} \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} = e_j (c_j \cdots t_i) (c_j \cdots t_{i+1}) \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \\ &= e_{\theta_j(i)} (c_j \cdots t_i) (c_j \cdots t_{i+1}) \cdots c_{J \setminus \{i, i+1\}} \end{aligned}$$

These cases, together with analogous arguments for the e_i 's complete the proof. \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let $1 \leq j \leq m - 2$, and set $J = \{j, j + 1, \dots, m - 2, m - 1, m\}$. If $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ is any reduced word for the longest element of the subgroup W_J , then $c_J \sim c_{i_1} \cdots c_{i_l}$ in for the crystal $B(n\omega_1)$.*

Proof. We will establish the claim for a particular choice of reduced word and then use the fact that adjacent single node cactus generators braid.

Let $p_{m-1} = c_{m-1}$, $p_m = c_m$, and $p_i = c_i c_{i+1} \cdots c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} \cdots c_{i+1} c_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 2$. Define $q_i = p_i p_{i+1} \cdots p_{m-2} p_{m-1} p_m$ for $1 \leq i \leq m - 2$. Then q_i is a reduced expression for the longest element of the Weyl group generated by s_i, \dots, s_m .

We claim that $c_J \sim q_j$. It is immediate that $\text{wt}(q_j b) = w_0^J \text{wt}(b)$ and that q_j preserves the branched components of $B(n\omega_1)$ as each single node cactus generator does. It remains to check that $q_j f_k b = e_{\theta_j(k)} q_j b$ for all $b \in B(n\omega_1)$ and all $j \leq k \leq m$. We will proceed by induction. When $j = m - 2$, we have that $q_j = c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m$. (in step 4 use Prop 6.1, in steps 3 and 7 use Lemma 6.3):

$$\begin{aligned} q_j f_{m-2} &= c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m f_{m-2} = c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} t_{m-2} c_m f_{m-2} \\ &= c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} f_m t_{m-2} c_m \\ &= c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m (c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1}) c_{m-1} t_{m-2} f_m t_{m-2} c_m \\ &= c_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_{m-1} f_m t_{m-2} c_m = c_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} f_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m \\ &= c_{m-2} f_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m = e_{m-2} c_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m = e_{\theta_j(m-2)} q_j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have (in steps 4 and 7 use Prop 6.1, in steps 6 and 9 use Lemma 6.3)

$$\begin{aligned} q_j f_{m-1} &= c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m f_{m-1} = c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} c_{m-1} f_{m-1} c_m \\ &= c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m c_{m-2} e_{m-1} c_{m-1} c_m = c_{m-2} c_{m-1} c_m (c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1}) e_{m-1} c_{m-1} c_m \\ &= c_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} f_{m-1} c_{m-1} c_{m-1} c_m = c_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} f_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m \\ &= (c_m t_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_m) c_m t_{m-2} f_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m = c_m t_{m-2} c_m f_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m \\ &= c_m f_m t_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m = e_m c_m t_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_m = e_{\theta_j(m-1)} q_j. \end{aligned}$$

and analogously $q_j f_m = e_{\theta_j(m)} q_j$. The argument for the e 's are identical, which establishes the base case. Suppose $1 \leq j \leq m - 3$ and that $c_{J \setminus \{j\}} \sim q_{j+1}$. If $j < k \leq m - 2$, then we

have (in steps 5 and 9 use Prop 6.1, in steps 7 and 11 use Lemma 6.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
q_j f_k &= p_j q_{j+1} f_k = p_j e_k q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots c_j e_k q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots c_{k-1} e_k \cdots c_j q_{j+1} \\
&= c_j \cdots c_k (c_k t_{k-1} c_k t_{k-1} c_k) e_k \cdots c_j q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots t_{k-1} c_k t_{k-1} f_k c_k \cdots c_j q_{j+1} \\
&= c_j \cdots t_{k-1} f_{k-1} c_k t_{k-1} \cdots c_j q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots c_{k-1} c_k t_{k-1} f_{k-1} \cdots c_j q_{j+1} \\
&= c_j \cdots (c_k t_{k-1} c_k t_{k-1} c_k) c_k t_{k-1} f_{k-1} \cdots c_j q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots c_k t_{k-1} c_k f_{k-1} \cdots c_j q_{j+1} \\
&= c_j \cdots c_k f_k t_{k-1} c_k \cdots c_j q_{j+1} = c_j \cdots e_k c_k t_{k-1} \cdots c_j q_{j+1} = e_k p_j q_{j+1} = e_{\theta_j(k)} q_j.
\end{aligned}$$

For the spin nodes, it suffices to check that $p_j f_{m-1} = f_m p_j$ and $p_j f_m = f_{m-1} p_j$. We have (in step 2 use Proposition 6.1, in steps 5 and 6 use Lemma 6.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
p_j f_{m-1} &= c_j \cdots c_j f_{m-1} = c_j \cdots c_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} c_{m-2} f_{m-1} \cdots c_j \\
&= c_j \cdots (c_m t_{m-2} c_m t_{m-2} c_m) c_m c_{m-1} (c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1}) f_{m-1} \cdots c_j \\
&= c_j \cdots c_m t_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1} f_{m-1} \cdots c_j = c_j \cdots c_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} e_{m-1} c_{m-1} \cdots c_j \\
&= c_j \cdots c_m t_{m-2} c_m e_{m-2} c_{m-1} \cdots c_j = c_j \cdots c_m e_m t_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} \cdots c_j \\
&= c_j \cdots f_m c_m t_{m-2} c_m c_{m-1} t_{m-2} c_{m-1} \cdots c_j = f_m p_j.
\end{aligned}$$

and likewise $p_j f_m = f_{m-1} p_j$. Lastly, when $j = k$, we have (in step 5 use Proposition 6.1, in steps 4 and 7 use Lemma 6.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
q_j f_j &= p_j p_{j+1} q_{j+2} f_j = c_j c_{j+1} \cdots c_{j+1} c_j c_{j+1} \cdots c_{j+1} f_j q_{j+2} \\
&= c_j c_{j+1} t_j \cdots t_j c_{j+1} c_j c_{j+1} t_j \cdots t_j c_{j+1} f_j q_{j+2} \\
&= c_j c_{j+1} t_j c_{j+2} \cdots c_{j+2} t_j c_{j+1} c_j c_{j+1} t_j c_{j+2} \cdots c_{j+2} f_{j+1} t_j c_{j+1} q_{j+2} \\
&= c_j c_{j+1} t_j c_{j+2} \cdots c_{j+2} c_{j+1} c_{j+2} \cdots c_{j+2} f_{j+1} t_j c_{j+1} q_{j+2} \\
&= c_j c_{j+1} t_j p_{j+2} c_{j+1} p_{j+2} f_{j+1} t_j c_{j+1} q_{j+2} = c_j c_{j+1} t_j f_{j+1} p_{j+2} c_{j+1} p_{j+2} t_j c_{j+1} q_{j+2} \\
&= c_j f_j c_{j+1} \cdots c_{j+1} c_j c_{j+1} \cdots c_{j+1} q_{j+2} = e_j p_j p_{j+1} q_{j+2} = e_{\theta_j(j)} q_j
\end{aligned}$$

which together with an analogous argument for e_j completes the claim. \square

As a consequence of the results above, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.7. *The action of the cactus group $C_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on the crystal $B(n\omega_1)$ in type D_m is generated by elements corresponding to length 1 and 2 diagrams. This answers Conjecture 1.1, Part 2.*

REFERENCES

- [BK72] E. A. Bender, and D. E. Knuth, *Enumeration of plane partitions*, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A **13** (1972), no. 1, 40–54.
- [BK95] A. Berenstein, and A. Kirillov, *Groups generated by involutions, Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns, and combinatorics of Young tableaux*, Algebra i Analiz **7** (1995), no. 1, 92–152.
- [BS17] D. Bump, and A. Schilling, *Crystal Bases: Representations and Combinatorics*, World Scientific Publishing, Hackensack, NJ. (2017).
- [CGP20] M. Chmutov, M. Glick, and P. Pylyavskyy, *The Berenstein-Kirillov group and cactus groups*, J. Comb. Algebra **4** (2020), no. 2, 111–140.
- [Dra+22] A. Dranowski, B. Elek, J. Kamnitzer, and C. Morton-Ferguson, *Heaps, crystals, and preprojective algebra modules*, Sel. Math. New Ser. **30** (2024), no. 4, 94.
- [Hal20] I. Halacheva, *Skew Howe duality for crystals and the cactus group*. (2020). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02262>.
- [HKRW20] I. Halacheva, J. Kamnitzer, L. Rybnikov, and A. Weekes, *Crystals and monodromy of Bethe vectors*, Duke Math. J. **169** (2020), no. 12, 2337–2419.
- [HeKa06] A. Henriques, and J. Kamnitzer, *Crystals and coboundary categories*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), no. 2, 191–216.

- [HoKa02] J. Hong, and S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, Providence, RI. **42** (2002).
- [Hum72] J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New York, NY. **9** (1972).
- [Kas90] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), no. 2, 249–260.
- [Kas91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q -analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.
- [KR10] A. Kleschev, and A. Ram, *Homogeneous representations of Khovanov-Lauda algebras*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 2, 1293-1306.
- [Pro99] R. A. Proctor, *Minuscule Elements of Weyl groups, the numbers game, and d -complete posets*, J. Algebra **213** (1999), no. 1, 272-303.
- [Ste96] J. R. Stembridge, *On the fully commutative elements of Coxeter groups*, J. Algebraic Combin. **5** (1996), no. 4, 353-385.
- [Ste01] J. R. Stembridge, *Minuscule elements of Weyl groups*, J. Algebra **235** (2001), no. 2, 722-743.
- [Ste02] J. R. Stembridge, *A concise proof of the Littlewood–Richardson rule*, Electron. J. Combin **9** (2002), no. 1, Note 5.
- [Tin08] P. Tingley, *Three combinatorial models for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ crystals, with applications to cylindric plane partitions*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2008** (2008), 2374–2375.

DEVIN BROWN, IVA HALACHEVA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY

Email address: brown.de@northeastern.edu

Email address: i.halacheva@northeastern.edu

BALÁZS ELEK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Email address: balazse@math.ubc.ca