

IMPROVED ASYMPTOTICS FOR MOMENTS OF RECIPROCAL SUMS FOR PARTITIONS INTO DISTINCT PARTS

KATHRIN BRINGMANN, BYUNGCHAN KIM, AND EUNMI KIM

ABSTRACT. In this paper we strongly improve asymptotics for $s_1(n)$ (respectively $s_2(n)$) which sums reciprocals (respectively squares of reciprocals) of parts throughout all the partitions of n into distinct parts. The methods required are much more involved than in the case of usual partitions since the generating functions are not modular and also do not possess product expansions.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENTS OF RESULTS

A *partition* is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_\ell$ such that the parts λ_j sum up to n . Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n . Its generating function is

$$P(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.1)$$

where, for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$, we let $(a)_n = (a; q)_n := \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j)$. The generating function in (1.1) is (essentially) a modular form. An important question is to determine asymptotic formulas for combinatorial statistics such as $p(n)$. By work of Hardy–Ramanujan [4]

$$p(n) \sim \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}n} e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{2n}{3}}} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Rademacher improved upon this and showed an exact formula for $p(n)$. A key ingredient of his proof was the modularity of $P(q)$ [9]. To state his result, define the *Kloostermann sums*, with the multiplier $\omega_{h,k}$, given in (2.2),

$$A_k(n) := \sum_{h \pmod{k}^*} \omega_{h,k} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}},$$

where h only runs over those elements \pmod{k} that are coprime to k . Then

$$p(n) = \frac{2\pi}{(24n-1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{A_k(n)}{k} I_{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{\pi\sqrt{24n-1}}{6k} \right),$$

where $I_\nu(x)$ denotes the Bessel function of order ν .

A great amount of studies were conducted to understand relations among partitions with some restrictions on the parts. For example, by the famous theorem of Euler, the number of partitions of n into odd parts equals the number of partitions of n into distinct parts. Moreover the first Rogers–Ramanujan identity implies that the number of partitions of n into parts for which the difference between two consecutive parts is at least 2 equals the number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}$. These two results concern difference (or gap) conditions of the parts or congruence conditions on parts. Graham [3] introduced partitions with conditions on the reciprocal of parts. We let $\text{srp}(\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}$, where $\ell(\lambda)$ is the number of parts of the partition λ and we define \mathcal{D}_n to be the set of partitions of n into distinct parts. Graham [3, Theorem 1] proved that there exists a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n$ with $\text{srp}(\lambda) = 1$ if $n \geq 78$. To

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F20, 11P82, 11P83.

Key words and phrases. Asymptotics, Circle Method, Partitions.

understand how the $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ are distributed along \mathcal{D}_n , the last two authors [5] introduced the counting functions¹ $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ as

$$s_1(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad s_2(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \text{srp}^2(\lambda),$$

which are the first and the second moments of the reciprocal sums of partitions. To obtain the asymptotic mean and the asymptotic variance of $\text{srp}(\lambda)$ along the set \mathcal{D}_n , by employing Wright's Circle Method, they proved [5, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2] that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$s_1(n) = \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}}}}{16 \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \log(3n) \left(1 + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right),$$

$$s_2(n) = \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}}}}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\frac{\log^2(3n)}{16} + \frac{\pi^2}{24}\right) \left(1 + O\left(n^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)\right).$$

In this paper, we drastically improve the asymptotics for $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ and find asymptotic expansions for them.

We start with $s_1(n)$. Note that the generating function of $s_1(n)$ is related to modular forms – see Lemma 3.1. Throughout we let Log denote the principal branch of the logarithm.

Theorem 1.1. *We have², with $X_k(n) := \frac{\pi\sqrt{24n+1}}{6\sqrt{2}k}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$s_1(n) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \left(\left(\text{Log} \left(\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{2\omega_{2h,k}} \right) + \frac{\log(2(24n+1))}{4} \right) \frac{\pi I_1(X_k(n))}{k\sqrt{24n+1}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\pi^2 I_2(X_k(n))}{4\sqrt{2}k^2(24n+1)} + \frac{3\sqrt{2}I_0(X_k(n))}{24n+1} \right) + O(\sqrt{n}).$$

From Theorem 1.1, we can conclude the following asymptotic main terms.

Corollary 1.2. *We have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$s_1(n) = \frac{e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3}}}}{16 \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\log(3n) + \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{9}{\pi}\right) \frac{\log(3n)}{8\sqrt{3n}} + \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{6}{\pi}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{3n}} + O\left(\frac{\log(n)}{n}\right) \right).$$

Remark. *We could determine further terms in the asymptotic expansion of $s_1(n)$.*

To see how good the asymptotics in Theorem 1.1 converge to the true value of $s_1(n)$, denote by $s_1^{[a]}(n)$ the asymptotic formula in Theorem 1.1. The ratio of $s_1(n)$ and $s_1^{[a]}(n)$ goes to 1 rapidly as illustrated in Table 1.

n	$s_1(n)$	$s_1^{[a]}(n)$	$\frac{s_1(n)}{s_1^{[a]}(n)} - 1$
100	651539.7463	651540.1272	$-5.846709795 \cdot 10^{-7}$
500	$1.352867042 \cdot 10^{15}$	$1.352867042 \cdot 10^{15}$	$9.610589758 \cdot 10^{-17}$
1000	$1.739106088 \cdot 10^{22}$	$1.739106088 \cdot 10^{22}$	$6.652204586 \cdot 10^{-23}$

TABLE 1. Values of $s_1(n)$ and $s_1^{[a]}(n)$.

We next turn to $s_2(n)$. Here the difficulty is that the corresponding q -series is not nicely related to modular forms (see Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.5). It would however be very interesting

¹In [5], $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$ were denoted by $s(n)$ and $ss(n)$.

²Note that taking the principal branch is allowed because of Lemma 2.1.

to investigate the spaces in which the generating function of $s_2(n)$ lies (see Section 6). To state the asymptotic expansion of $s_2(n)$, we define the following integral of Bessel-type

$$\mathbb{I}(x) := \int_0^\pi \theta^2 \cos(\theta) e^{x \cos(\theta)} d\theta. \quad (1.2)$$

Theorem 1.3. *We have*

$$s_2(n) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^3 \gamma_r(h, k; n) I_r(X_k(n)) + \log(2(24n+1)) \sum_{j=0}^2 \delta_j(h, k; n) I_j(X_k(n)) + \log^2(2(24n+1)) \varrho(h, k; n) I_1(X_k(n)) + \psi(h, k; n) \mathbb{I}(X_k(n)) \right) + O\left(n^{\frac{3}{2}}\right),$$

where the constants γ_r , δ_j , ϱ , and ψ are defined in Section 4.

From Theorem 1.3, we can determine the asymptotic main terms.

Corollary 1.4. *We have, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$s_2(n) = \frac{e^{\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}}}{4 \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{4}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\frac{\log^2(3n)}{16} + \frac{\pi^2}{24} + \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{9}{\pi} \right) \frac{\log^2(3n)}{128\sqrt{3n}} + \left(\frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{3}{\pi} \right) \frac{\log(3n)}{4\sqrt{3n}} + \left(\frac{\pi^3}{144} - \frac{3\pi}{8} - \frac{6}{\pi} - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{\pi} \right) \frac{1}{8\sqrt{3n}} + O\left(\frac{\log^2(n)}{n}\right) \right),$$

where as usual $\zeta(s)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function.

Remark. *Again we could determine further terms in the asymptotic expansion of $s_2(n)$.*

Let $s_2^{[a]}(n)$ denote the asymptotic formula in Theorem 1.3. The ratio of $s_2(n)$ and $s_2^{[a]}(n)$ goes to 1 rapidly as illustrated in Table 2.

n	$s_2(n)$	$s_2^{[a]}(n)$	$\frac{s_2(n)}{s_2^{[a]}(n)} - 1$
100	$1.123454714 \cdot 10^6$	$1.123456875 \cdot 10^6$	$-1.922856336 \cdot 10^{-6}$
500	$2.787849982 \cdot 10^{15}$	$2.787849982 \cdot 10^{15}$	$7.085287046 \cdot 10^{-16}$
1000	$3.848623818 \cdot 10^{22}$	$3.848623818 \cdot 10^{22}$	$2.190018609 \cdot 10^{-22}$

TABLE 2. Values of $s_2(n)$ and its asymptotic formula $s_2^{[a]}(n)$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall basic facts on the partition multiplier, Bessel functions, Bernoulli polynomials, the polylogarithm, the Euler–Maclaurin summation formula, Farey fractions, and the approximation of certain integrals using Bessel functions. In Section 3, we rewrite the corresponding generating functions and determine their asymptotic behavior. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of our main theorems using the Circle Method and our previous approximations. In Section 5, we determine the main term contributions of our partition statistics. We end in Section 6 with some open questions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Caner Nazarovlu for helping with numeric verification of our results. The first author has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 101001179). The second author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (NRF–2022R1F1A1063530). The third author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (RS–2023–00244423, NRF–2019R1A6A1A11051177).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The partition multiplier. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(z) > 0$ and let $h, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \leq h < k$, $\gcd(h, k) = 1$. Let $\omega_{h,k}$ be defined through

$$P(q) = \omega_{h,k} \sqrt{z} e^{\frac{\pi}{12k}(\frac{1}{z} - z)} P(q_1), \quad (2.1)$$

where $q := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)}$ and $q_1 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h'+\frac{i}{z})}$ with $hh' \equiv -1 \pmod{k}$. Note that we have

$$\omega_{h,k} := e^{\pi i s(h,k)},$$

where the *Dedekind sum* $s(h, k)$ is defined as

$$s(h, k) := \sum_{\mu \pmod{k}} \left(\left(\frac{\mu}{k} \right) \right) \left(\left(\frac{h\mu}{k} \right) \right)$$

with

$$\left((x) \right) := \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases}$$

We require the following representation (see [1, equation (5.2.4)])

$$\omega_{h,k} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{-k}{h} \right) e^{-\pi i \left(\frac{1}{4}(2-hk-h) + \frac{1}{12} \left(k - \frac{1}{k} \right) (2h-h'+h^2h') \right)} & \text{if } 2 \nmid h, \\ \left(\frac{-h}{k} \right) e^{-\pi i \left(\frac{1}{4}(k-1) + \frac{1}{12} \left(k - \frac{1}{k} \right) (2h-h'+h^2h') \right)} & \text{if } 2 \mid h, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where (\cdot) denotes the Kronecker symbol.

To avoid the branch cut of the logarithm, we need the following fact.

Lemma 2.1. *We have³, for $2 \nmid k$,*

$$\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}^2} \notin \mathbb{R}^-.$$

Proof. First note that a direct calculation, using (2.2), yields

$$\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}^2} = \left(\frac{-h}{k} \right) e^{\frac{\pi i}{4k} (h(k^2-1) + k^2(1-k))}.$$

From this it is then not hard to see the claim. □

2.2. Bessel functions and their growth. The *I-Bessel function* is defined by⁴

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{D}} w^{-\nu-1} \exp\left(w + \frac{z^2}{4w}\right) dw \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_0^-, \nu \in \mathbb{C},$$

where \mathcal{D} is Hankel's contour that starts at $-\infty$ below the real axis, circles around the negative real axis, and then goes back to $-\infty$ above the real line. We also require the integral representation, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$I_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \cos(\nu\theta) e^{x \cos(\theta)} d\theta - \frac{\sin(\pi\nu)}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh(t) - \nu t} dt. \quad (2.3)$$

Note that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$I_{-n}(z) = I_n(z). \quad (2.4)$$

The *K-Bessel function* is for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_0^-$ defined by

$$K_\nu(z) := \frac{\pi I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{2 \sin(\pi\nu)}, \quad \nu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_n(z) := \lim_{\nu \rightarrow n} K_\nu(z), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have (see 10.38.3 of [8] or [7, equation (3.8)]) for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$(-1)^n \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} I_\nu(z) \right]_{\nu=\pm n} = -K_n(z) \pm \frac{n!}{2 \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k I_k(z)}{(n-k)k!}. \quad (2.5)$$

³Note that here and throughout we choose h' to satisfy $8 \mid h'$.

⁴For some properties of the modified Bessel functions, see [10, Subsections 3.7, 6.22, and 7.23].

We also require the asymptotics of the I -Bessel function and the K -Bessel function as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$I_\nu(x) = \frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \left(1 + \frac{1-4\nu^2}{8x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \right), \quad K_\nu(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right). \quad (2.6)$$

We next determine the asymptotic behavior of our Bessel function integral defined in (1.2). A direct calculation, using the saddle point method, gives the following estimate.

Lemma 2.2. *We have, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\mathbb{I}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{e^x}{x^{\frac{3}{2}}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \right).$$

2.3. Bernoulli polynomials. Define the *Bernoulli polynomials* via their generating function

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} =: \sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

We also use the Fourier series of the Bernoulli periodic polynomials $\tilde{B}_n(x) := B_n(x - [x])$,

$$\tilde{B}_\ell(x) = -\frac{2 \cdot \ell!}{(2\pi)^\ell} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\cos(2\pi n x - \frac{\pi \ell}{2})}{n^\ell}. \quad (2.7)$$

We further require

$$B_n(1-x) = (-1)^n B_n(x). \quad (2.8)$$

2.4. Polylogarithms. Define for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|w| < 1$ the *polylogarithm*⁵

$$\text{Li}_\ell(w) := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{w^n}{n^\ell}.$$

In particular, $\text{Li}_1(w) = -\text{Log}(1-w)$ and, for $\ell \geq 2$, $\text{Li}_\ell(1) = \zeta(\ell)$. We have the identity

$$\frac{d}{dw} \text{Li}_\ell(w) = \frac{1}{w} \text{Li}_{\ell-1}(w) \quad \text{for } \ell \geq 2. \quad (2.9)$$

This implies in particular

$$\int_0^\infty \text{Li}_3(e^{-\pi x}) dx = \frac{\pi^3}{90}. \quad (2.10)$$

We also require the reflection formula

$$\text{Li}_2(w) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{1}{w}\right) = -\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\text{Log}^2(-w)}{2}. \quad (2.11)$$

2.5. The Euler–Maclaurin summation formula. We recall the following formula, which is slightly modified from an exact formula given in [11, p. 13], as derived for example in [2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $a \geq 0$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$, f a holomorphic function on a domain containing $D_\theta := \{re^{i\alpha} : |\alpha| \leq \theta\}$ for some $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, and suppose that $f^{(n)}(w) \ll |w|^{-1-\varepsilon}$ for $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, M\}$ as $|w| \rightarrow \infty$ in that domain (with $\varepsilon > 0$). Then for any $w \in D_\theta \setminus \{0\}$ we have*

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} f((m+a)w) = \frac{1}{w} \int_0^\infty f(x) dx - \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{B_{n+1}(a)}{(n+1)!} f^{(n)}(0) w^n - \frac{(-w)^M}{M!} \int_0^\infty f^{(M)}(wx) \tilde{B}_M(x-a) dx.$$

⁵For general facts on polylogarithms, see [12].

2.6. Farey fractions. Let $0 \leq h < k \leq N$ with $\gcd(h, k) = 1$, and $z = \frac{k}{n} - ik\Phi$ with $-\vartheta'_{h,k} \leq \Phi \leq \vartheta''_{h,k}$. Here

$$\vartheta'_{h,k} := \frac{1}{k(k_1 + k)}, \quad \vartheta''_{h,k} := \frac{1}{k(k_2 + k)},$$

where $\frac{h_1}{k_1} < \frac{h}{k} < \frac{h_2}{k_2}$ are adjacent Farey fractions in the Farey sequence of order $N := \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. From the theory of Farey fractions it is well-known that

$$\frac{1}{k + k_j} \leq \frac{1}{N + 1} \quad \text{for } j \in \{1, 2\}. \quad (2.12)$$

Moreover we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{k}{n}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) \geq \frac{k}{2}, \quad |z| \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad |z| \geq \frac{k}{n}. \quad (2.13)$$

2.7. Integral approximations. In this section we give approximations of certain integrals that are required in Section 5. Following [6] we can show the following.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and let $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfy $k \ll \sqrt{n}$, $a \asymp \frac{n}{k}$, $b \ll \frac{1}{k}$, and $k\vartheta_1, k\vartheta_2 \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. Then we have*

$$\int_{\frac{k}{n} - ik\vartheta_1}^{\frac{k}{n} + ik\vartheta_2} Z^s e^{aZ + \frac{b}{Z}} dZ = 2\pi i \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^{\frac{s+1}{2}} I_{-s-1}(2\sqrt{ab}) + f_{a,b}(s),$$

where for $(\ell, j) \in \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0)\}$, we have

$$f_{a,b}(s) \ll n^{-\frac{s+1}{2}}, \quad f_{a,b}^{(\ell)}(j) \ll \frac{\log^\ell(n)}{n^{\frac{j+1}{2}}}.$$

3. PRINCIPAL PARTS

In this section, we determine the principal parts of the generating functions of $s_1(n)$ and $s_2(n)$. As in Subsection 2.1, $q = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)}$, where we assume the notation from Subsection 2.6. In particular $k|z| \ll 1$, as $z \rightarrow 0$.

3.1. $s_1(n)$. In this subsection, we approximate the generating function of $s_1(n)$, which is defined as (see Subsection 4.1 of [5])

$$S_1(q) := \sum_{n \geq 1} s_1(n) q^n = (-q; q)_\infty \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^r}{r(1+q^r)}.$$

It is not hard to show the following relation to $P(q)$.

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

$$S_1(q) = \frac{P(q)}{P(q^2)} \operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{P(q)}{P^2(q^2)}\right).$$

We next determine the principal part, i.e., the part that grows as $z \rightarrow 0$, of $S_1(q)$. For this, we define, for k odd,

$$\mathcal{P}_{h,k}(z) := \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\sqrt{2}\omega_{2h,k}} e^{\frac{\pi}{24kz} + \frac{\pi z}{12k}} \left(\operatorname{Log}\left(\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{2\omega_{2h,k}^2}\right) - \frac{\operatorname{Log}(z)}{2} + \frac{\pi z}{4k} \right).$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and (2.1), we obtain by a direct calculation.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume the notation above.*

(1) *If $2 \mid k$, then, as $z \rightarrow 0$,*

$$S_1(q) \ll \left(\operatorname{Log}(z) + \frac{1}{kz} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{12kz}}.$$

(2) If $2 \nmid k$, then, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$S_1(q) = \mathcal{P}_{h,k}(z) + \mathcal{E}_{h,k}(z), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{E}_{h,k}(z) \ll \text{Log}(z)e^{-\frac{23\pi}{24kz}}.$$

3.2. $s_2(n)$. In this subsection, we approximate the generating function of $s_2(n)$ which is defined as (see Subsection 4.1 of [5])

$$S_2(q) := \sum_{n \geq 0} s_2(n)q^n = (-q; q)_\infty \left(\frac{S_1^2(q)}{(-q; q)_\infty^2} + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^r}{r^2(1+q^r)^2} \right).$$

To bound the factor outside, we use (2.1) to obtain, if $2 \mid k$,

$$(-q; q)_\infty \ll e^{-\frac{\pi}{12kz}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, if $2 \nmid k$, then we have

$$(-q; q)_\infty = \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\sqrt{2}\omega_{2h,k}} e^{\frac{\pi}{24kz} + \frac{\pi z}{12k}} + O\left(e^{-\frac{23\pi}{24kz}}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.2 then directly implies the following.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume the notation above.*

(1) If $2 \mid k$, then, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$S_1^2(q) \ll \left(\text{Log}^2(z) + \frac{1}{k^2 z^2} \right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{6kz}}.$$

(2) If $2 \nmid k$, then, as $z \rightarrow 0$,

$$S_1^2(q) = \mathcal{P}_{h,k}^2(z) + O\left(\text{Log}^2(z)e^{-\frac{11\pi}{12kz}}\right).$$

We next turn to

$$L(q) := \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{q^r}{r^2(1+q^r)^2}.$$

The next lemma is a first step in determining the growth of $L(q)$, as $z \rightarrow 0$. Here $\delta_S := 1$ if a statement S holds and $\delta_S := 0$ otherwise. Also $\zeta_j := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{j}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover let, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\{x\} := x - [x].$$

Lemma 3.4. *Assume the notation above. We have, with $\kappa := \text{lcm}(k, 2)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} L(q) = & -\frac{\pi^2 \delta_{2|k}}{24k^2 z^2} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) \text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) + \frac{2\pi^2 i \kappa^2}{3k} z \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left\{ \frac{h\ell}{k} \right\} B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) \\ & - \frac{\kappa^3}{2\pi k^2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(z^3 \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \frac{\sin\left(2\pi r \left(x \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right)}{\zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} z x} - 1} dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$L(q) = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)r}}{r^2 \left(1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)r}\right)^2} = \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{\zeta_k^{hr} e^{-\frac{2\pi r z}{k}}}{r^2 \left(1 + \zeta_k^{hr} e^{-\frac{2\pi r z}{k}}\right)^2} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3 \left(1 + \zeta_k^{hr} e^{-\frac{2\pi r z}{k}}\right)}.$$

Now let

$$G_{h,k}(z) := \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3 \left(1 + \zeta_k^{hr} e^{-\frac{2\pi r z}{k}}\right)} = \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ m \geq 0}} \frac{(-\zeta_k^{hr})^m e^{-\frac{2\pi r m z}{k}}}{r^3} = \sum_{m \geq 0} (-1)^m \text{Li}_3\left(\zeta_k^{hm} e^{-\frac{2\pi m z}{k}}\right).$$

Making the change of variables $m \mapsto \kappa m + \ell$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $0 \leq \ell \leq \kappa - 1$, we obtain

$$G_{h,k}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \sum_{m \geq 0} \left(f_{h,k,\ell} \left(\left(m + \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \frac{\kappa}{k} z \right) + f_{h,k,\kappa-\ell} \left(\left(m + 1 - \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \frac{\kappa}{k} z \right) \right) + \frac{\zeta(3)}{2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$f_{h,k,\ell}(x) := \text{Li}_3 \left(\zeta_k^{h\ell} e^{-2\pi x} \right).$$

Now Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{h,k,\ell} \left(\left(m + \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \frac{\kappa}{k} z \right) &= \frac{k}{\kappa z} \int_0^\infty \text{Li}_3 \left(\zeta_k^{h\ell} e^{-2\pi x} \right) dx - \sum_{r=0}^1 \frac{B_{r+1} \left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right)}{(r+1)!} f_{h,k,\ell}^{(r)}(0) \left(\frac{\kappa}{k} z \right)^r \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa^2}{2k^2} z^2 \int_0^\infty f_{h,k,\ell}^{(2)} \left(\frac{\kappa}{k} z x \right) \tilde{B}_2 \left(x - \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The first integral converges absolutely by (2.10). We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \int_0^\infty \left(\text{Li}_3 \left(\zeta_k^{h\ell} e^{-2\pi x} \right) + \text{Li}_3 \left(\zeta_k^{h(\kappa-\ell)} e^{-2\pi x} \right) \right) dx \\ = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{(\zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{-2\pi x})^r}{r^3} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Now

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \zeta_k^{\pm h r \ell} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} \zeta_k^{\pm \left(\frac{\kappa}{k} h r + \frac{\kappa}{2} \right) \ell} = \begin{cases} \kappa & \text{if } \frac{\kappa}{k} h r + \frac{\kappa}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{\kappa}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From this, one can see that for $2 \nmid k$, (3.5) vanishes. If $2 \mid k$, then (3.5) is non-vanishing if and only if $\frac{k}{2} \mid r$ and $2 \nmid \frac{r}{2}$. Using (2.10), multiplying by $\frac{k}{2\pi}$, and differentiating with respect to z gives the first term in the lemma.

Next note that we can ignore the contribution from the constant term in (3.4) as well as the term $\frac{\zeta(3)}{2}$ in (3.3), as this goes away upon differentiating.

Using (2.9), the contribution from the z -term gives the second term in the lemma. Finally the last integral term in (3.4) equals, using (2.7),

$$-\frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi^2 k^2} z^2 \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^\infty f_{h,k,\ell}^{(2)} \left(\frac{\kappa}{k} z x \right) \cos \left(2\pi r \left(x - \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \right) dx.$$

Computing

$$f_{h,k,\ell}^{(2)}(w) = -4\pi^2 \text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{h\ell} e^{-2\pi w} \right),$$

this contributes to $G_{h,k}(z)$ as

$$\frac{\kappa^2}{k^2} z^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{-2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} z x} \right) \cos \left(2\pi r \left(x \mp \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \right) dx. \quad (3.6)$$

We compute, using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{-2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} z x} \right) \cos \left(2\pi r \left(x \mp \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \right) dx \\ = \frac{\pm \delta_{k \nmid \ell}}{2\pi r} \text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} \right) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi r \ell}{\kappa} \right) - \frac{\kappa z}{kr} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin \left(2\pi r \left(x \mp \frac{\ell}{\kappa} \right) \right)}{\zeta_k^{\mp h\ell} e^{2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} z x} - 1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

The final term immediately yields the final term in the lemma by multiplying by $\frac{k}{2\pi}$, and differentiating with respect to z . The first term contributes to (3.6) as

$$\frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi k^2} z^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left(\text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{h\ell} \right) - \text{Log} \left(1 - \zeta_k^{-h\ell} \right) \right) \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{2\pi r \ell}{\kappa} \right)}{r^3}. \quad (3.7)$$

We next simplify

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Log}\left(1 - \zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) - \operatorname{Log}\left(1 - \zeta_k^{-h\ell}\right) &= i \operatorname{Arg}\left(1 - \zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) - i \operatorname{Arg}\left(-\zeta_k^{-h\ell}\left(1 - \zeta_k^{h\ell}\right)\right) \\ &= -2\pi i \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\{\frac{h\ell}{k}\right\}\right), \end{aligned}$$

using the easily verified identity ($z_1 = -e^{-i\alpha}$, $z_2 = 1 - e^{i\alpha}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2\pi$)

$$\operatorname{Arg}(z_1 z_2) - \operatorname{Arg}(z_1) - \operatorname{Arg}(z_2) = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{\sin(\alpha)}{1 - \cos(\alpha)}\right) - \pi + \alpha = 0.$$

Thus, by (2.7), (3.7) becomes

$$-\frac{2\pi^3 i \kappa^2}{3k^2} z^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left(\frac{1}{2} - \left\{\frac{h\ell}{k}\right\}\right) B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) = \frac{2\pi^3 i \kappa^2}{3k^2} z^2 \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left\{\frac{h\ell}{k}\right\} B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right), \quad (3.8)$$

where for the last equality above, we use

$$\sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) = 0.$$

Next note that as $\{x\} = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, the condition $k \nmid \ell$ in (3.8) may be dropped. The third term in the lemma is given by multiplying by $\frac{k}{2\pi}$ and differentiating with respect to z . \square

We next explicitly evaluate the integral occurring in Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$\int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \frac{\sin\left(2\pi r \left(x \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right)}{\zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} z x} - 1} dx = -\frac{\cos\left(\frac{2\pi r \ell}{\kappa}\right)}{2\pi r} + \frac{k}{2\kappa z} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)} + \frac{k \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)\right)}{\kappa z \left(e^{\frac{2\pi r k}{\kappa z}} - 1\right)},$$

where λ is uniquely determined as $0 \leq \lambda < k$ such that $\lambda \equiv h\ell \pmod{k}$.

Proof. By analytic continuation we may assume that $z \in \mathbb{R}$. We define

$$F_{r,\ell,h,k} := \operatorname{CT}_w F_{r,\ell,h,k}(w),$$

where $\operatorname{CT}_w F(w)$ denotes the constant term of the Laurent expansion of a meromorphic function $F(w)$, and where⁶

$$F_{r,\ell,h,k}(w) := \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \frac{\sin\left(2\pi r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right)}{\zeta_k^{\pm h\ell} e^{2\pi \frac{\kappa}{k} x} - 1} e^{-wx} dx.$$

We now use

$$\frac{2}{e^x - 1} = \coth\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) - 1.$$

and split, for $\operatorname{Re}(w) > 0$,

$$F_{r,\ell,h,k}(w) = -F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[1]}(w) + F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[2]}(w),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[1]}(w) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \sin\left(2\pi r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right) e^{-wx} dx, \\ F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[2]}(w) &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \sum_{\pm} \coth\left(\frac{\pi \kappa}{k} x \pm \frac{\pi i h \ell}{k}\right) \sin\left(2\pi r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right) e^{-wx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\coth(x + \pi i \ell) = \coth(x)$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have, with λ defined as in the lemma,

$$\coth\left(\frac{\pi \kappa}{k} x \pm \frac{\pi i h \ell}{k}\right) = \coth\left(\frac{\pi \kappa}{k} x \pm \frac{\pi i \lambda}{k}\right).$$

⁶Note that our original function has $x \mapsto zx$ introducing an extra factor of $\frac{1}{z}$.

We next note that, using integration by parts

$$\int_0^\infty \sin(Ax + B)e^{-wx} dx = \frac{\sin(B)w + A \cos(B)}{A^2 + w^2} \rightarrow \frac{\cos(B)}{A},$$

as $w \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[1]}(w) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pm 2\pi r \ell}{\kappa}\right)}{\frac{2\pi r}{z}} = \frac{z}{2\pi r} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi r \ell}{\kappa}\right).$$

For $F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[2]}(w)$, we use that

$$\coth(w) = \frac{1}{w} + 2w \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{w^2 + \pi^2 m^2}$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[2]}(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k} \right) \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right)^2 + \pi^2 m^2} \right) \sin\left(2\pi r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)\right) e^{-wx} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lebesgue's Theorem on dominated convergence we may pull the limit $w \rightarrow 0$ inside. We need to therefore understand ($m \in \mathbb{N}_0$)

$$\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right) \left(e^{2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)} - e^{-2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)}\right)}{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right)^2 + \pi^2 m^2} dx = \frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right) e^{2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)}}{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right)^2 + \pi^2 m^2} dx,$$

making the change of variables $x \mapsto -x$ in the second term. We now use the Residue Theorem and deform the path of integration. Note that the integrand has poles in \mathbb{H} if

$$x = x_m^{\pm, \varepsilon} := \frac{i}{\kappa}(\varepsilon k m \mp \lambda) \in \mathbb{H} \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon k m \mp \lambda > 0, \quad \text{where } \varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

We compute, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{Res}_{x=x_m^{\pm, \varepsilon}} \frac{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right) e^{2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)}}{\left(\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}\right)^2 + \pi^2 m^2} = \frac{k}{2\pi\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\varepsilon k m \mp \lambda}{z} \mp i\ell\right)}.$$

Now note that $x_m^{+, -1} \notin \mathbb{H}$ and $x_m^{+, 1} \in \mathbb{H}$. Using this, the part from $m \geq 1$ and the $+$ contributes

$$\frac{k}{2\kappa} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)}}{e^{\frac{2\pi r k}{\kappa z}} - 1}.$$

Similarly the $-$ sign contributes

$$\frac{k}{2\kappa} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)}}{e^{\frac{2\pi r k}{\kappa z}} - 1}.$$

Adding these gives a contribution

$$\frac{k \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)\right)}{\kappa \left(e^{\frac{2\pi r k}{\kappa z}} - 1\right)}.$$

For $m = 0$, we have only a contribution for the $-$ sign. Here we need to compute

$$\text{Res}_{x=\frac{i\lambda}{\kappa}} \frac{e^{2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} - \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)}}{\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x - \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}} = \frac{k e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)}}{\pi\kappa}.$$

This gives

$$\frac{1}{2i} \sum_{\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{e^{2\pi i r \left(\frac{x}{z} \pm \frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right)}}{\frac{\pi\kappa}{k}x \pm \frac{\pi i\lambda}{k}} dx = \frac{k}{\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)}.$$

Hence

$$F_{r,\ell,h,k}^{[2]} = \frac{k}{2\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa}(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell)} + \frac{k \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa}\left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)\right)}{\kappa\left(e^{\frac{2\pi r k}{\kappa z}} - 1\right)}.$$

Combining gives the claim. \square

We are now ready to determine the main asymptotic behavior of $L(q)$.

Corollary 3.6. *We have, as $z \rightarrow 0$,*

$$L(q) = -\frac{\pi^2 \delta_{2|k}}{24k^2 z^2} + \frac{\kappa}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) \text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) + \frac{2\pi^2 i \kappa^2}{3k} z \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left\{\frac{h\ell}{k}\right\} B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{\kappa}\right) + \frac{\pi^2}{8k^2} z^2 \\ - \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi k} + \frac{3k}{2\pi} \delta_{2|k}\right) \zeta(3)z + O\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa z}}\right).$$

In particular, if $2 \mid k$, then we have

$$L(q) \ll \frac{1}{k^2 z^2} + k^2.$$

Proof. The first three terms are directly given in Lemma 3.4. For the remaining ones, we plug in Lemma 3.5. We evaluate

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \cos\left(\frac{2\pi r \ell}{\kappa}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i r \ell}{\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{2\pi i r \ell}{\kappa}}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} e^{\frac{2\pi i \ell}{\kappa}(\pm r + \frac{\kappa}{2})}.$$

The sum on ℓ now vanishes unless $r \equiv \mp \frac{\kappa}{2} \pmod{\kappa}$. Thus $\frac{\kappa}{2} \mid r$ and we change $r \mapsto \frac{\kappa}{2}r$. Then we need $r \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and this part contributes

$$\frac{\kappa^3}{2\pi k^2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \kappa \cdot 3z^2 \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ 2|r}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}r\right)^4} = \frac{12z^2}{k^2 \pi^2} \left(\sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^4} - \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ 2|r}} \frac{1}{r^4} \right) = \frac{12z^2}{k^2 \pi^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^4}\right) \zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^2}{8k^2} z^2.$$

This is the fourth term.

The second term of Lemma 3.5 contributes

$$-\frac{\kappa^2}{4\pi k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa}(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell)}. \quad (3.9)$$

To simplify this, recall that λ is defined as $0 \leq \lambda < k$ with $\lambda \equiv h\ell \pmod{k} \Leftrightarrow \ell \equiv -\lambda h' \pmod{k}$. The goal now is to turn the sum on ℓ in a sum on λ .

We first assume that k is even. Then $\ell \equiv \lambda \pmod{2}$ and the sums on ℓ equals

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^\lambda e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}(\frac{\lambda}{z} - ih'\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{k-1} \left(-e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}(\frac{1}{z} - ih')}\right)^\lambda = \frac{1 - e^{-2\pi r(\frac{1}{z} - ih')}}{1 + e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{k}(\frac{1}{z} - ih')}} = \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}.$$

Thus, if k is even, then (3.9) becomes

$$-\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \frac{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}\right)}{1 + \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}} = -\frac{kz}{2\pi} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}} + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\left(1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}\right) \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}{\left(1 + \zeta_k^{h'r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}\right)^2} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \zeta(3)z + O\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi}{kz}}\right).$$

The first term above is the fifth term in Corollary 3.6 if k is even.

Next assume that k is odd. Then the sum on ℓ in (3.9) is

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell e^{-\frac{\pi r}{k}(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell)} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \zeta_{2k}^{-r\ell} e^{-\frac{\pi r \lambda}{kz}}. \quad (3.10)$$

If r is even, then this sum vanishes as ℓ and $\ell + k$ lead to the same λ and cancel due to the $(-1)^\ell$. If r is odd, then we have by the Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$(-1)^\ell \zeta_{2k}^{-r\ell} = \zeta_{2k}^{rh'\lambda}. \quad (3.11)$$

Thus (3.10) equals

$$2 \sum_{\lambda=0}^{k-1} \zeta_k^{r\frac{h'}{2}\lambda} e^{-\frac{\pi r \lambda}{kz}} = 2 \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi r}{z}}}{1 - \zeta_k^{r\frac{h'}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}}}.$$

Thus if k is odd, then (3.9) becomes, as before

$$-\frac{2k}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ r \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{\pi r}{z}}}{1 - \zeta_k^{r\frac{h'}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}}} = -\frac{7k}{2\pi} \zeta(3) z + O\left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{kz}}\right).$$

The first term above gives the fifth term in Corollary 3.6 if k is odd.

The final term from Lemma 3.5 contributes

$$-\frac{\kappa^2}{2\pi k} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\kappa} (-1)^\ell \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{2\pi r}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)\right)}{e^{\frac{2\pi k r}{\kappa z}} - 1}. \quad (3.12)$$

We again want to write the sum on ℓ as a sum on λ and distinguish as before whether k is even or k is odd.

If k is even, then the sum on ℓ becomes, again using that $\lambda \equiv h\ell \pmod{k}$ and that $\ell \equiv \lambda \pmod{2}$,

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k (-1)^\lambda \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi r}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} - ih'\lambda\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{k-1} (-1)^\lambda e^{\pm \frac{2\pi r \lambda}{k} \left(\frac{1}{z} - ih'\right)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \frac{1 - e^{\pm \frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{\mp h' r} e^{\pm \frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}.$$

Thus, for k even, (3.12) equals

$$-\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{z^2 \left(1 - e^{\pm \frac{2\pi r}{z}}\right)}{\left(1 + \zeta_k^{\mp h' r} e^{\pm \frac{2\pi r}{kz}}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi r}{z}} - 1\right)}. \quad (3.13)$$

Next we combine

$$\frac{1 - e^{\frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{\left(1 + \zeta_k^{-h' r} e^{\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi r}{z}} - 1\right)} + \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}}}{\left(1 + \zeta_k^{h' r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi r}{z}} - 1\right)} = \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}} - \zeta_k^{h' r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{h' r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}.$$

Thus (3.13) becomes

$$-\frac{k}{4\pi} \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{z}} - \zeta_k^{h' r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}}{1 + \zeta_k^{h' r} e^{-\frac{2\pi r}{kz}}} = O\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi}{kz}}\right).$$

If k is odd, then $\kappa = 2k$ and we have

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \cosh\left(\frac{\pi r}{k} \left(\frac{\lambda}{z} + i\ell\right)\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\zeta_{2k}^{r\ell} e^{\frac{\pi r \lambda}{kz}} + \zeta_{2k}^{-r\ell} e^{-\frac{\pi r \lambda}{kz}}\right).$$

Now if r is even, then the sum again vanishes as ℓ and $\ell + k$ lead to the same λ but $(-1)^\ell$ introduces an extra minus. If r is odd, then, using (3.11),

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\pm} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \zeta_{2k}^{\pm r \ell} e^{\pm \frac{\pi r \lambda}{kz}} = \frac{\left(e^{\frac{\pi r}{z}} - 1\right) \left(e^{-\frac{\pi r}{z}} + \zeta_{2k}^{h' r} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}}\right)}{1 - \zeta_{2k}^{r h' r} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}}}.$$

Thus, for k odd, (3.12) becomes

$$-\frac{2k}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{r \geq 1 \\ r \text{ odd}}} \frac{1}{r^3} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} z^2 \frac{\left(e^{-\frac{\pi r}{z}} + \zeta_{2k}^{rh'} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}} \right)}{1 - \zeta_{2k}^{rh'} e^{-\frac{\pi r}{kz}}} = O\left(e^{-\frac{\pi}{kz}} \right).$$

Combining errors yields the claim.

We next bound $L(q)$ for $2 \mid k$ by estimating the individual terms. The first term is $O(\frac{1}{k^2 z^2})$. The second term may be bounded against

$$\ll k \sum_{\ell=1}^k \left| B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{k}\right) \right| \zeta(2) \ll k^2.$$

The third term can be estimated against

$$\ll kz \sum_{\ell=1}^k \left| B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{k}\right) \right| \ll k^2 z \ll k.$$

The fourth term is $O(\frac{z^2}{k^2}) = O(1)$, the fifth term $O(kz) = O(1)$. Combining gives the claim. \square

We define, for $2 \nmid k$, which are constant term and the coefficient of z in Corollary 3.6,

$$\alpha_{h,k} := k \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) \text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right), \quad \beta_{h,k} := \frac{8\pi^2 ik}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left\{ \frac{h\ell}{k} \right\} B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) - \frac{7k}{2\pi} \zeta(3).$$

The following lemma simplifies $\alpha_{h,k}$ and $\beta_{h,k}$.

Lemma 3.7. *For $k, h \in \mathbb{N}_0$, k odd with $\gcd(h, k) = 1$, we have*

$$\alpha_{h,k} = \frac{(3k-1)\pi^2}{48} + \pi^2 k \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\left(\frac{h\ell}{k} \right) \right)^2 B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right),$$

$$\beta_{h,k} = \frac{8\pi^2 ik}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\left(\frac{h\ell}{k} \right) \right) B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) - \frac{7k}{2\pi} \zeta(3).$$

Proof. We first consider $\alpha_{h,k}$. Making the change of variables $\ell \mapsto 2k - \ell$ in half of the sum and using (2.8) gives that

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) \text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) \left(\text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\zeta_k^{-h\ell}\right) \right). \quad (3.14)$$

The contribution from $k \mid \ell$ to (3.14) is $\frac{\pi^2}{24}$.

For $k \nmid \ell$, we use (2.11) to obtain that the contribution to (3.14) equals

$$-\frac{\pi^2}{12} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \nmid \ell}}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) \text{Log}^2\left(-\zeta_k^{h\ell}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

We split the first sum into ℓ even and ℓ odd. If ℓ is even, then we write $\ell = 2\nu$ with $1 \leq \nu \leq k-1$. For ℓ odd, we write $\ell = 2\nu - 1$ with $1 \leq \nu \leq k$. In this case, we need to skip $\nu = \frac{k+1}{2}$ which we add back in. This gives the contribution to (3.15)

$$-\frac{\pi^2}{12} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{k-1} B_2\left(\frac{\nu}{k}\right) - \sum_{\nu=1}^k B_2\left(\frac{\nu}{k} - \frac{1}{2k}\right) + B_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right) = \frac{(k-1)\pi^2}{48k}.$$

For the second summand in (3.15), we write $-\zeta_k^{h\ell} = e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right)}$ using that $\frac{h\ell}{k} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Because $\left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right) \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, we now have $\text{Log}(-\zeta_k^{h\ell}) = 2\pi i \left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right)$. Since $\left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right) = 0$ if $k \mid \ell$, we can add the terms with $k \mid \ell$ back in to obtain for the second summand in (3.15)

$$\pi^2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right)\right)^2 B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right).$$

Combining gives the claim for $\alpha_{h,k}$.

To evaluate $\beta_{h,k}$, we use that for $k \nmid \ell$, we have $\left\{\frac{h\ell}{k}\right\} = \left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, as $\sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) = 0$, we conclude the claimed evaluation of $\beta_{h,k}$. \square

Combining our investigations, we can now determine the asymptotic behavior of $S_2(q)$ towards roots of unity. For this, let

$$\begin{aligned} a_{h,k} &:= \text{Log}\left(\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{2\omega_{2h,k}^2}\right), \\ b_{h,k} &:= a_{h,k}^2 + \frac{(3k-1)\pi^2}{48} + \pi^2 k \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right)\right)^2 B_2\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right), \\ c_{h,k} &:= \frac{\pi}{2k} a_{h,k} + \frac{8\pi^2 i k}{3} \sum_{\ell=1}^{2k} (-1)^\ell \left(\left(\frac{h\ell}{k}\right)\right) B_3\left(\frac{\ell}{2k}\right) - \frac{7k}{2\pi} \zeta(3). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.3, (3.1), (3.2), and Corollary 3.6 gives the following behavior of $S_2(q)$ as $z \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $z \rightarrow 0$.*

(1) *If $2 \mid k$, then*

$$S_2(q) \ll \left(\text{Log}^2(z) + \frac{1}{k^2 z^2} + k^2\right) e^{-\frac{\pi}{12kz}}.$$

(2) *If $2 \nmid k$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(q) &= \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\sqrt{2}\omega_{2h,k}} e^{\frac{\pi z}{12k} + \frac{\pi}{24kz}} \left(b_{h,k} + c_{h,k} z + \frac{3\pi^2}{16k^2} z^2 - a_{h,k} \text{Log}(z) - \frac{\pi z}{4k} \text{Log}(z) + \frac{\text{Log}^2(z)}{4}\right) \\ &\quad + O\left(\text{Log}^2(z) e^{-\frac{23\pi}{24kz}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1 AND THEOREM 1.3

4.1. The Circle Method set-up. We use Cauchy's integral formula to obtain

$$s_j(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|q|=e^{-\frac{2\pi}{n}}} \frac{S_j(q)}{q^{n+1}} dq = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} S_j\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi n z}{k}} d\Phi, \quad (4.1)$$

with the notation as in Subsection 2.6, with $N := \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. We now split (4.1)

$$s_j(n) = \sum_1^{[j]} + \sum_2^{[j]},$$

where

$$\sum_1^{[j]} := \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \mid k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} S_j\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi n z}{k}} d\Phi,$$

$$\sum_2^{[j]} := \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} S_j\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k}(h+iz)}\right) e^{\frac{2\pi n z}{k}} d\Phi.$$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Using Lemma 3.2 (1), (2.12), and (2.13), we may bound $\sum_1^{[1]} \ll \sqrt{n}$.

We next split off the principal part of $\sum_2^{[1]}$ and write it as

$$\sum_2^{[1]} = \sum_{21}^{[1]} + \sum_{22}^{[1]},$$

where by Lemma 3.2 (2)

$$\sum_{21}^{[1]} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} \left(\text{Log} \left(\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{2\omega_{2h,k}^2} \right) - \frac{\text{Log}(z)}{2} + \frac{\pi z}{4k} \right) e^{\frac{2\pi}{k} \left(n + \frac{1}{24} \right) z + \frac{\pi}{24kz}} d\Phi,$$

$$\sum_{22}^{[1]} := \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} \mathcal{E}_{h,k}(z) e^{\frac{2\pi n z}{k}} d\Phi.$$

We bound $\sum_{22}^{[1]} \ll \log(n)$.

To approximate $\sum_{21}^{[1]}$, define for $s \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mathcal{I}_{s,\ell}(A, B) := \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} z^s \text{Log}^\ell(z) e^{Az + \frac{B}{z}} d\Phi = \frac{1}{ik} \int_{\frac{k}{n} - \frac{ik}{k(k+k_2)}}^{\frac{k}{n} + \frac{ik}{k(k+k_1)}} z^s \text{Log}^\ell(z) e^{Az + \frac{B}{z}} dz, \quad (4.2)$$

with $A := \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(n + \frac{1}{24} \right)$, $B := \frac{\pi}{24k}$, fixed throughout this section. Then

$$\sum_{21}^{[1]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \left(\text{Log} \left(\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{2\omega_{2h,k}^2} \right) \mathcal{I}_{0,0}(A, B) - \frac{\mathcal{I}_{0,1}(A, B)}{2} + \frac{\pi}{4k} \mathcal{I}_{1,0}(A, B) \right). \quad (4.3)$$

We now employ Lemma 2.4 with $\vartheta_1 = \frac{1}{k(k+k_2)}$, $\vartheta_2 = \frac{1}{k(k+k_1)}$, $a = A$, $b = B$, (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6), to obtain, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$,

$$\mathcal{I}_{j,0}(A, B) = \frac{2\pi}{k} \left(\frac{B}{A} \right)^{\frac{j+1}{2}} I_{j+1} \left(2\sqrt{AB} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{kn^{\frac{j+1}{2}}} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{0,1}(A, B) = -\frac{\pi}{kA} I_0 \left(2\sqrt{AB} \right) + \frac{\pi}{k} \sqrt{\frac{B}{A}} \log \left(\frac{B}{A} \right) I_1 \left(2\sqrt{AB} \right) + O \left(\frac{\log(n)}{k\sqrt{n}} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Plugging this into (4.3) then gives Theorem 1.1. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. In this subsection we prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Using Corollary 3.8 (1), (2.12), and (2.13), we bound $\sum_1^{[2]} \ll n^{\frac{3}{2}}$.

We next split off the principal part of \sum_2 and write it as

$$\sum_2^{[2]} = \sum_{21}^{[2]} + \sum_{22}^{[2]},$$

where by Corollary 3.8 (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{21}^{[2]} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} \left(b_{h,k} + c_{h,k} z + \frac{3\pi^2}{16k^2} z^2 - a_{h,k} \text{Log}(z) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\pi z}{4k} \text{Log}(z) + \frac{\text{Log}^2(z)}{4} \right) e^{\frac{2\pi}{k} \left(n + \frac{1}{24} \right) z + \frac{\pi}{24kz}} d\Phi, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{22}^{[2]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} \int_{-\vartheta'_{h,k}}^{\vartheta''_{h,k}} \mathbb{E}_{h,k}(z) e^{\frac{2\pi n z}{k}} d\Phi.$$

Here

$$\mathbb{E}_{h,k}(z) := S_2(q) - \left(b_{h,k} + c_{h,k} z + \frac{3\pi^2}{16k^2} z^2 - a_{h,k} \text{Log}(z) - \frac{\pi}{4k} z \text{Log}(z) + \frac{\text{Log}^2(z)}{4} \right) e^{\frac{\pi}{24kz} + \frac{\pi z}{12k}}.$$

Using Corollary 3.8 (2), (2.12), and (2.13), we obtain

$$\sum_{22}^{[2]} \ll \log^2(n).$$

We next write, using (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{21}^{[2]} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}} & \left(b_{h,k} \mathcal{I}_{0,0}(A, B) + c_{h,k} \mathcal{I}_{1,0}(A, B) + \frac{3\pi^2}{16k^2} \mathcal{I}_{2,0}(A, B) \right. \\ & \left. - a_{h,k} \mathcal{I}_{0,1}(A, B) - \frac{\pi}{4k} \mathcal{I}_{1,1}(A, B) + \frac{\mathcal{I}_{0,2}(A, B)}{4} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Using Lemma 2.4, (2.4), and (2.5), we obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_{1,1}(A, B) = \frac{\pi B}{kA} \log\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) I_2(2\sqrt{AB}) + \frac{\pi}{kA^2} I_0(2\sqrt{AB}) - \frac{2\pi\sqrt{B}}{kA^{\frac{3}{2}}} I_1(2\sqrt{AB}) + O\left(\frac{\log(n)}{kn}\right). \quad (4.7)$$

We next show that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{0,2}(A, B) = \frac{\pi\sqrt{B}}{2k\sqrt{A}} \log^2\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) I_1(2\sqrt{AB}) + \frac{\pi}{kA} \log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) I_0(2\sqrt{AB}) - \frac{2\sqrt{B}}{k\sqrt{A}} \mathbb{I}(2\sqrt{AB}) \\ + O\left(\frac{\log^2(n)}{k\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

For this, we compute, using (2.3), as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} I_\nu(x) \right]_{\nu=-1} = -\frac{\mathbb{I}(x)}{\pi} + O\left(e^{-\frac{x}{2}}\right).$$

Using Lemma 2.4, (2.4), and (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{0,2}(A, B) = \frac{\pi\sqrt{B}}{2k\sqrt{A}} \log^2\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) I_1(2\sqrt{AB}) + \frac{\pi}{kA} \log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) I_0(2\sqrt{AB}) \\ + \frac{2\pi\sqrt{B}}{k\sqrt{A}} \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \nu^2} I_\nu(2\sqrt{AB}) \right]_{\nu=-1} + \frac{2\pi\sqrt{B}}{k\sqrt{A}} \log\left(\frac{A}{B}\right) K_1(2\sqrt{AB}) + O\left(\frac{\log^2(n)}{k\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6) then yields (4.8).

Plugging (4.4), (4.5), (4.7), and (4.8) into (4.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{21}^{[2]} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \left(\sum_{r=0}^3 \gamma_r(h, k; n) I_r(X_k(n)) + \log(2(24n+1)) \sum_{j=0}^2 \delta_j(h, k; n) I_j(X_k(n)) \right. \\ \left. + \log^2(2(24n+1)) \varrho(h, k; n) I_1(X_k(n)) + \psi(h, k; n) \mathbb{I}(X_k(n)) \right) + \mathcal{E}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma_0(h, k; n) := \frac{6\sqrt{2}\omega_{h,k}}{\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi i n h}{k}}}{(24n+1)^2} (a_{h,k}(24n+1) - 3),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_1(h, k; n) &:= \frac{\pi\omega_{h,k}}{k\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{(24n+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}} (b_{h,k}(24n+1) + 3), \\
\gamma_2(h, k; n) &:= \frac{\pi\omega_{h,k}}{\sqrt{2}k\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{24n+1} c_{h,k}, \quad \gamma_3(h, k; n) := \frac{3\pi^3\omega_{h,k}}{32k^3\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{(24n+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\
\delta_0(h, k; n) &:= \frac{3\omega_{h,k}}{\sqrt{2}\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{24n+1}, \quad \delta_1(h, k; n) := \frac{\pi\omega_{h,k}}{2k\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{\sqrt{24n+1}} a_{h,k}, \\
\delta_2(h, k; n) &:= \frac{\pi^2\omega_{h,k}}{8\sqrt{2}k^2\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{24n+1}, \quad \varrho(h, k; n) := \frac{\pi\omega_{h,k}}{16k\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{\sqrt{24n+1}}, \\
\psi(h, k; n) &:= -\frac{\omega_{h,k}}{4k\omega_{2h,k}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2\pi inh}{k}}}{\sqrt{24n+1}},
\end{aligned}$$

and where \mathcal{E} satisfies

$$\mathcal{E} \ll \sum_{\substack{0 \leq h < k \leq N \\ \gcd(h,k)=1 \\ 2 \nmid k}} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{b_{h,k}}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{c_{h,k}}{n} + \frac{1}{k^2 n^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{a_{h,k} \log(n)}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{\log(n)}{kn} + \frac{\log^2(n)}{\sqrt{n}} \right).$$

To further bound \mathcal{E} , we note that we have, directly from the definitions,

$$a_{h,k} \ll 1, \quad b_{h,k} \ll k^2, \quad c_{h,k} \ll k^2.$$

Using $k \ll \sqrt{n}$ we then bound $\mathcal{E} \ll n$. Combining gives the theorem. \square

5. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2 AND COROLLARY 1.4

5.1. Proof of Corollary 1.2. We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Using Theorem 1.1, (2.6), and noting that the main exponential term comes from $k = 1$, the claim follows by expanding for, $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$e^{\frac{\pi\sqrt{24n+1}}{6\sqrt{2}}} = e^{\pi\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}} \left(1 + \frac{\pi}{48\sqrt{3n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{(24n+1)^r} = \frac{1}{(24n)^r} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right), \quad \frac{\log(2(24n+1))}{4} - \log(2) = \frac{\log(3n)}{4} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (5.2)$$

This implies the claim. \square

5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.4. Now we proceed to the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Again the main exponential term comes from $k = 1$. We drop all dependencies on k and h , and denote $X(n) := X_1(n)$, $\gamma_r(n) := \gamma_r(0, 1; n)$ ($0 \leq r \leq 3$), $\delta_j(n) := \delta_j(0, 1; n)$ ($0 \leq j \leq 2$), $\varrho(n) := \varrho(0, 1; n)$, and $\psi(n) := \psi(0, 1; n)$. Note that $X(n) \asymp \sqrt{n}$ and

$$\gamma_0(n) \asymp \gamma_2(n) \asymp \delta_0(n) \asymp \delta_2(n) \asymp \frac{1}{n}, \quad \gamma_1(n) \asymp \delta_1(n) \asymp \varrho(n) \asymp \psi(n) \asymp \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}, \quad \gamma_3(n) \asymp \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$

From Theorem 1.3, we have, using the first identity of (2.6) and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_2(n) e^{-X(n)} \\
&= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{4}} \sqrt{3}}{\pi(24n+1)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (\gamma_0(n) + \gamma_1(n) + \gamma_2(n) + \log(2(24n+1))(\delta_0(n) + \delta_1(n) + \delta_2(n)) + \log^2(2(24n+1))\varrho(n)) \\
&\quad - \frac{3^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2^{\frac{5}{4}} \pi^2 (24n+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\gamma_1(n) + \log(2(24n+1))\delta_1(n) + \log^2(2(24n+1))\varrho(n) - \frac{8\pi}{3}\psi(n) \right) + O\left(\frac{\log^2(n)}{n^{\frac{7}{4}}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$a_{0,1} = -\log(2), \quad b_{0,1} = \log^2(2) + \frac{\pi^2}{24}, \quad \text{and} \quad c_{0,1} = -\frac{\pi \log(2)}{2} - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{2\pi}.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0(n) &= -\frac{6\sqrt{2}\log(2)}{24n+1} - \frac{18\sqrt{2}}{(24n+1)^2}, & \gamma_1(n) &= \frac{\pi \log^2(2)}{\sqrt{24n+1}} + \frac{\pi^3}{24\sqrt{24n+1}} + \frac{3\pi}{(24n+1)^{\frac{3}{2}}}, \\ \gamma_2(n) &= -\frac{\pi^2 \log(2)}{2\sqrt{2}(24n+1)} - \frac{7\zeta(3)}{2\sqrt{2}(24n+1)}, & \delta_0(n) &= \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}(24n+1)}, \\ \delta_1(n) &= -\frac{\pi \log(2)}{2\sqrt{24n+1}}, & \delta_2(n) &= \frac{\pi^2}{8\sqrt{2}(24n+1)}, & \varrho(n) &= \frac{\pi}{16\sqrt{24n+1}}, & \psi(n) &= -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{24n+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} s_2(n)e^{-X(n)} &= \frac{2^{\frac{1}{4}}\sqrt{3}}{(24n+1)^{\frac{3}{4}}} \left(\left(\frac{\log(2(24n+1))}{4} - \log(2) \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{24} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{3^{\frac{5}{2}}}{2^{\frac{5}{4}}\pi(24n+1)^{\frac{5}{4}}} \left(\left(\frac{\log(2(24n+1))}{4} - \log(2) \right)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{24} + \frac{2}{3} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{3} \left(1 + \frac{\pi^2}{24} \right) \left(\frac{\log(2(24n+1))}{4} - \log(2) \right) + \frac{7\zeta(3)}{9} + O\left(\frac{\log^2(n)}{n^{\frac{7}{4}}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1.4 then follows using (5.1) and (5.2). \square

6. OUTLOOK

We finish the paper with some open questions. First it is interesting to study higher moments. For this, let $s_k(n)$ be the k -th moment of the reciprocal sums of partitions for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, i.e.,

$$s_k(n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \right)^k.$$

The generating function for $s_k(n)$ is

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} s_k(n)q^n = \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} q^{|\lambda|} \zeta^{\sum_{j=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \frac{1}{\lambda_j}} \right]_{\zeta=1} = \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \prod_{r \geq 1} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) \right]_{\zeta=1}.$$

Now we define

$$g_k(q) := \left[\left(\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \right)^k \sum_{r \geq 1} \text{Log} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) \right]_{\zeta=1}.$$

Note that $g_2(q) = L(q)$ and that

$$\frac{1}{(-q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} s_k(n)q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[g_1(q), g_2(q), \dots, g_k(q)].$$

The later statement follows using

$$\zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \prod_{r \geq 1} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) = \prod_{r \geq 1} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right) \zeta \frac{d}{d\zeta} \sum_{r \geq 1} \text{Log} \left(1 + \zeta^{\frac{1}{r}} q^r \right)$$

and induction. It is then natural to ask the asymptotic behavior of $g_k(q)$ and its coefficients.

Another interesting question is whether $S_2(q)$ lies in some space of “modular-like” objects. This boils down to understanding modularity properties of $L(q)$. Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 give the “obstruction to modularity” of $L(q)$. The question that then arises is whether these extra terms have period-like properties and whether $L(q)$ poses some “completion” that transforms

like a modular form. In a similar manner, one can ask whether one can complete the generating function of $s_k(n)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
- [2] K. Bringmann, C. Jennings-Shaffer, and K. Mahlburg, *On a Tauberian theorem of Ingham and Euler–Maclaurin summation*, *Ramanujan J.* **1** (2023), 55–86.
- [3] R. Graham, *A theorem on partitions*, *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society* **3** (1963), 435–441.
- [4] G. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Asymptotic formulae for the distribution of integers of various types*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **16** (1918), 112–132.
- [5] B. Kim and E. Kim, *Distributions at reciprocal sums of part in integer partitions*, *J. Comb. Thy Series A*, to appear.
- [6] J. Lehner, *On automorphic forms of negative dimension*, *Illinois J. Math.* **8** (1964), 395–407.
- [7] H. Ngo and R. Rhoades, *Integer partitions, probabilities and quantum modular forms*, *Res. Math. Sci.* **4** (2017), paper no. 17, 36 pp.
- [8] NIST digital library of mathematical functions, release 1.2.2 of 2024-09-15, F. Olver, H. Olde Daalhuis, D. Lozier, B. Schneider, R. Boisvert, C. Clark, B. Miller, B. Saunders, H. Cohl, M. McClain, eds.
- [9] H. Rademacher and H. Zuckerman, *On the Fourier coefficients of certain modular forms of positive dimension*, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **39** (1938), 433–462.
- [10] G. Watson, *A treatise on the theory of Bessel functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [11] D. Zagier, *The Mellin transform and related analytic techniques*, Appendix to E. Zeidler, *Quantum Field Theory I: Basics in Mathematics and Physics. A bridge between mathematicians and physicists*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (2006), 305–323.
- [12] D. Zagier, *The dilogarithm function*, In: *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics, and Geometry II*, P. Cartier, B. Julia, P. Monsia, P. Vanhove (eds), Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (2006), 3–65.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF COLOGNE, WEYERTAL 86-90, 50931 COLOGNE, GERMANY

Email address: `kbringma@math.uni-koeln.de`

SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, 232 GONGNEUNG-RO, NOWON-GU, SEOUL, 01811, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `bkim4@seoultech.ac.kr`

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, EWhA WOMANS UNIVERSITY, 52 EWHAYEODAE-GIL, SEODAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 03760, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `ekim67@ewha.ac.kr; eunmi.kim67@gmail.com`