

# Excited $\Omega$ hyperon in charmful $\Omega_b$ weak decays

Juan Wang,<sup>1,2,\*</sup> Kai-Lei Wang,<sup>2,3,†</sup> Yu-Kuo Hsiao,<sup>1,‡</sup> and Xian-Hui Zhong<sup>3,4,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Information Engineering, Shanxi Normal University, Taiyuan 030031, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Changzhi University, Changzhi, Shanxi 046011, China*

<sup>3</sup>*Synergetic Innovation Center for Quantum Effects and Applications (SICQEA), Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, and Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Structures and Quantum Control of Ministry of Education, Changsha 410081, China*

(Dated: December 9, 2024)

We investigate the sextet  $b$ -baryon decay processes  $\Omega_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{(*)}$ , where  $\Omega^*$  represents the  $1P$ -,  $1D$ - and  $2S$ -wave excited  $\Omega$  hyperons in the spectroscopy. Using the constituent quark model, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega) = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ , which agrees with the previous studies to the order of magnitude. By identifying  $\Omega(2012)$  as  $\Omega(1^2P_{3/2^-})$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2012)) = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$  can be similarly significant. Additionally,  $\Omega(1^2D_{5/2^+})$  and  $\Omega(1^4D_{3/2^+}, 1^4D_{5/2^+})$  states exhibit production rates of 0.5, and (0.6, 0.8), respectively, relative to their ground-state counterpart. Notably, our findings suggest that  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2^2S_{1/2^+}, 2^4S_{3/2^+}))$  are as large as (1.4, 6.1)  $\times 10^{-4}$ , making them accessible to experiments at LHCb.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In baryon spectroscopy, the formation of a colorless state from three quarks with three different colors is fundamentally linked to the principles of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and hadron physics. While the combination of individual quark spins and orbital angular momenta is expected to produce a variety of light baryon states [1–3], only a subset of these states has been observed to date [4]. The so-called “missing excited baryon” problem highlights an incomplete theoretical understanding of baryon spectroscopy [3, 5].

The  $\Omega$  hyperon spectroscopy has been relatively underexplored. Nonetheless, Belle initiated a new era of discoveries with the identification of an excited hyperon,  $\Omega(2012)^-$  [6], which was later reconfirmed through the process  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\Omega(2012)^-, \Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^-$  [7]. Additionally, a heavier excited hyperon  $\Omega(2109)^-$ , observed via  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Omega(2109)^-\bar{\Omega}^+ + c.c.$ , and reported by BESIII [8]. To investigate the nature of these new excited hyperons, significant theoretical attention has been devoted [9–26]. Notably,  $\Omega(2012)^-$  is often preferred as an exotic molecule candidate [17–26] rather than as a conventional  $1P$ -wave  $\Omega(sss)$  state.

Clearly, the “missing excited baryon” problem persists in  $\Omega$  hyperon spectroscopy, as all waves of  $\Omega$  hyperons have been theoretically predicted [27–45], but only a few have been experimentally observed. These include  $\Omega^- \equiv \Omega(1672)^-$  [46, 47],  $\Omega(2012, 2109)^-$  [6–8], and  $\Omega(2250, 2380, 2470)^-$  [48–50]. Since further clarification and exploration are essential, we propose that recent measurements of the sextet  $b$ -baryon decay process  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-$  [51–56] be extended to include  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{*-}$ , where  $\Omega^{*-}$  denotes higher-wave excited  $\Omega^-$  hyperons.

As LHCb continues to improve the statistics and precision [55, 56], the absolute branching fractions of  $\Omega_b^-$  decays may soon be measured with a similar accuracy to those of the anti-triplet  $b$ -baryon decays [57–72]. Theoretical estimations are therefore needed, and a variety of theoretical tools are already available for such studies. These include the non-relativistic quark model [73–76], the covariant confined quark model [77–80], the covariant oscillator quark model [81], the relativistic three-quark model [82, 83], the light-front quark model [84–86], the perturbative QCD approach [87, 88], the generalized factorization approach [89–91], and SU(3) flavor analysis [92].

In this work, we employ the constituent quark model [93–98] to provide our estimations. As we will demonstrate, this model can be extended to study both the  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-$  and  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{*-}$  decay processes, enabling a systematic analysis of the missing excited  $\Omega^-$  states. This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we apply the constituent quark model to two-body nonleptonic weak decays of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{(*)-}$ . In Sec. III, we present the numerical results. Finally, we provide our discussions and conclusion in Sec. IV.

## II. FRAMEWORK

As shown in Fig. 1, the recently observed sextet  $b$ -baryon decay,  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-$ , proceeds exclusively via the internal  $W$ -boson emission diagram [99], where  $\Omega^-$  is straight-forwardly formed through the  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition. The single decay topology, extended to  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{*-}$ , also facilitates the investigation of the  $1P$ -,  $2S$ - and  $1D$ -wave excited  $\Omega^{*-}$  states.

The quark-level effective Hamiltonian of  $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$  weak transition induces the doubly charmful decay channels  $\Omega_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{(*)-}$ , given by [100]

$$H_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{bc} V_{cs}^* (C_1 \mathcal{O}_1 + C_2 \mathcal{O}_2), \quad (1)$$

where  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the Wilson coefficients, and  $V_{ij}$  the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM)

\*Electronic address: wjuanmm@163.com

†Electronic address: wangkaileicz@foxmail.com

‡Electronic address: yukuohsiao@gmail.com

§Electronic address: zhongxh@hunnu.edu.cn




FIG. 1: Feynman diagram for the nonleptonic weak decay  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{*-}$ .

matrix elements. Additionally,  $O_{1,2}$  are the current-current operators, which are written as

$$\begin{aligned} O_1 &= \bar{\psi}_{\bar{s}\beta} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{c\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{c}\alpha} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{b\alpha}, \\ O_2 &= \bar{\psi}_{\bar{s}\alpha} \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{c\beta} \bar{\psi}_{\bar{c}\beta} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) \psi_{b\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\psi_{j\delta}$  is the  $j$ th quark field, where  $j$  can be  $s$ ,  $c$  or  $b$ , and  $\delta = (\alpha, \beta)$  is the color index. According to Ref. [101],  $C_2 \langle J/\psi \Omega^- | O_2 | \Omega_b^- \rangle$  corresponds to the internal  $W$ -boson emission diagram. On the other hand,  $C_1 \langle J/\psi \Omega^- | O_1 | \Omega_b^- \rangle$  is replaced by  $C_1 / N_c^{eff} \langle J/\psi \Omega^- | O_2 | \Omega_b^- \rangle$  in the generalized factorization, where  $N_c^{eff}$  is an effective color number that account for the non-factorizable QCD corrections. In the large  $N_c^{eff}$  limit ( $N_c^{eff} \rightarrow \infty$ ) [101], the contribution from  $C_1 O_1$  becomes negligible. Therefore, we only consider  $C_2 O_2$  in this work.

The constituent quark model separates  $H_W$  into the parity-conserving (PC) and parity-violating (PV) components [93]:

$$H_W = H_W^{PC} + H_W^{PV}. \quad (3)$$

In the non-relativistic approximation, the two components are given by

$$\begin{aligned} H_W^{PC} &\simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* V_{cb} \frac{\hat{\phi}_c C_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^3(\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}'_3 - \mathbf{p}_4 - \mathbf{p}_5) \{ \langle s'_3 | I | s_3 \rangle \\ &\langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | \sigma | 0 \rangle \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_5}{2m_5} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_4}{2m_4} \right) - \left[ \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}'_3}{2m'_3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_3}{2m_3} \right) \langle s'_3 | I | s_3 \rangle \right. \\ &- i \langle s'_3 | \sigma | s_3 \rangle \times \left. \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_3}{2m_3} - \frac{\mathbf{p}'_3}{2m'_3} \right) \right] \langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | \sigma | 0 \rangle \\ &- \langle s'_3 | I | s_3 \rangle \left[ \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_5}{2m_5} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_4}{2m_4} \right) \langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | \sigma | 0 \rangle - i \langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | \sigma | 0 \rangle \right. \\ &\times \left. \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_4}{2m_4} - \frac{\mathbf{p}_5}{2m_5} \right) \right] + \langle s'_3 | I | s_3 \rangle \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}'_3}{2m'_3} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_3}{2m_3} \right) \\ &\langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | I | 0 \rangle \} \hat{\alpha}_3^-, \\ H_W^{PV} &\simeq \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* V_{cb} \frac{\hat{\phi}_c C_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^3(\mathbf{p}_3 - \mathbf{p}'_3 - \mathbf{p}_4 - \mathbf{p}_5) \{ - \langle s'_3 | I | s_3 \rangle \\ &\langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | I | 0 \rangle - \langle s'_3 | \sigma | s_3 \rangle \langle s_5 \bar{s}_4 | \sigma | 0 \rangle \} \hat{\alpha}_3^-, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{p}_j$  and  $m_j$  stand for the momentum and mass of the  $j$ th quark, respectively, as assigned in Fig. 1, and  $I$  is the dimension-two unit matrix. Additionally,  $\hat{\alpha}_3^-$  is the flavor operator that transforms the quark  $b$  to  $s$  in the  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega^{*-}$  transition.  $s_j$  is the spin of the  $j$ rd quark. Specifically,  $s'_3$  and  $\bar{s}_4$

represent the spins of the 3rd quark  $c$  and the 4th antiquark  $\bar{c}$ , respectively, in the  $J/\psi$  production. In Eq. (4), the spin matrix elements, calculated in [93, 94], have been adopted. We explicitly express  $\hat{\phi}_c$  the color operator to be

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_c &= \frac{1}{3} [\hat{a}_c^\dagger(R) \hat{a}_c^\dagger(\bar{R}) + \hat{a}_c^\dagger(B) \hat{a}_c^\dagger(\bar{B}) + \hat{a}_c^\dagger(G) \hat{a}_c^\dagger(\bar{G})] \\ &[\hat{a}_s^\dagger(R) \hat{a}_b(R) + \hat{a}_s^\dagger(B) \hat{a}_b(B) + \hat{a}_s^\dagger(G) \hat{a}_b(G)], \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where the  $\hat{a}_{q_1}^\dagger(\delta)$  represents the creation operator for the quark  $q_1$ , and  $\hat{a}_{q_2}(\delta)$  the annihilation operator for the quark  $q_2$ .

In the constituent quark model, the decay amplitude is represented as

$$\mathcal{M}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{*-}) = \mathcal{M}^{PC} + \mathcal{M}^{PV}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{PC(PV)} &= \langle J/\psi(\mathbf{q}; 1, J_{J/\psi}^z) \Omega^{(*)}(\mathbf{P}_f; J_f, J_f^z) | \\ H_W^{PC(PV)} | \Omega_b(\mathbf{P}_i; J_i, J_i^z) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In the above equation,  $J/\psi(\mathbf{q}; 1, J_{J/\psi}^z)$ ,  $\Omega_b(\mathbf{P}_i; J_i, J_i^z)$ , and  $\Omega^{(*)}(\mathbf{P}_f; J_f, J_f^z)$  are wave functions, where  $\mathbf{q}$  and  $\mathbf{P}_{i(f)}$  are the total momentum,  $J_{i(f)}$  the total angular momentum, and  $J_{i(f)}^z$  the third component of the total angular momentum.

To calculate  $\mathcal{M}^{PC(PV)}$  in Eq. (7), the details of the wave functions are required. Within the non-relativistic constituent quark model, the spatial wave function of a baryon consisting of  $q_1 q_2 q_3$  can be represented as a product of the  $\rho$ -oscillator part and the  $\lambda$ -oscillator part, where  $\rho$  corresponds to the  $q_1 - q_2$  oscillation and  $\lambda$  corresponds to the  $\rho - q_3$  oscillation. Hence, the internal momenta of the  $\rho$ - and  $\lambda$ -oscillators  $\mathbf{p}_\rho$  and  $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$  are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p}_\rho &= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2), \\ \mathbf{p}_\lambda &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} \frac{m_3 (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2) - (m_1 + m_2) \mathbf{p}_3}{m_1 + m_2 + m_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

respectively.

In momentum space, we present the baryon wave function as [10]

$$\Psi_{NLM_L}^\sigma(\mathbf{p}_\rho, \mathbf{p}_\lambda) = \sum_{N, M_L} C_{n_\rho l_\rho m_\rho}^{n_\lambda l_\lambda m_\lambda} [\psi_{n_\rho l_\rho m_\rho}(\mathbf{p}_\rho) \psi_{n_\lambda l_\lambda m_\lambda}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)]_{NLM_L}^\sigma, \quad (9)$$

where the superscript  $\sigma$  characterizes the same set of quantum numbers ( $N, L, M_L$ ) arising from different combinations of the  $\rho$  and  $\lambda$  oscillation systems [102–104]. Specifically, the combinations are defined by  $N = 2(n_\rho + n_\lambda) + l_\rho + l_\lambda$ ,  $L = l_\rho + l_\lambda$ , and  $M_L = m_\rho + m_\lambda$ , where  $(n_i, l_i, m_i)$  with  $i = \rho$  or  $\lambda$  are the principal, orbital, and magnetic quantum numbers, respectively. In the  $N = 1$  and 2 shells, the  $1S^-$ ,  $1P^-$ , and  $1D^-$  wave, as well as the  $2S^-$  wave  $\Omega$  wave functions, have been studied in Ref. [10] within the harmonic oscillator model, providing the information on the coefficient  $C_{n_\rho l_\rho m_\rho}^{n_\lambda l_\lambda m_\lambda}$ .

In Eq. (9),  $\psi_{nlm}(\mathbf{p})$  is expressed by

$$\psi_{nlm}(\mathbf{p}) = (i)^l (-1)^n \left[ \frac{2n!}{(n+l+1/2)!} \right]^{1/2} \frac{1}{\alpha^{l+3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\alpha^2}\right) L_n^{l+1/2}(\mathbf{p}^2/\alpha^2) \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (10)$$

where the  $l$ th solid harmonic polynomial is defined as  $\mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{p}) = |\mathbf{p}|^l Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{p}})$ , and the oscillator parameter  $\alpha$  can be either  $\alpha_\rho$  or  $\alpha_\lambda$ . For the  $\Omega_b^-$  wave function, the parameter  $\alpha_\lambda$  is given by  $\alpha_\lambda = [(3m_b)(2m_s + m_b)]^{1/4} \alpha_\rho$ . For the  $\Omega^{(*)-}$  wave function, we define  $\alpha \equiv \alpha_\rho = \alpha_\lambda$ . The flavor and spin wave functions of the  $\Omega_b^-$  and  $\Omega^{(*)-}$  baryons should be incorporated into the spatial wave functions. These can be found in Refs. [104–107].

In the  $J/\psi$  wave function:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{p}'_3, \mathbf{p}_4) = \varphi_{J/\psi} \chi_{s_z}^1 \psi(\mathbf{p}'_3, \mathbf{p}_4), \quad (11)$$

$\varphi_{J/\psi}$ ,  $\chi_{s_z}^1$ , and  $\psi(\mathbf{p}'_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$  represent the flavor, spin, and spatial wave functions, respectively, which are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{1,0,-1}^1 &= (\uparrow\uparrow, (\uparrow\downarrow + \downarrow\uparrow)/\sqrt{2}, \downarrow\downarrow), \\ \varphi_{J/\psi} &= (c\bar{c} - \bar{c}c)/\sqrt{2}, \\ \psi(\mathbf{p}'_3, \mathbf{p}_4) &= \frac{1}{\pi^{3/4} \beta^{3/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mathbf{p}'_3 - \mathbf{p}_4)^2}{8\beta^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

In Eq. (12),  $s_z$  denotes the  $z$  component of the  $J/\psi$  spin. The term  $\varphi_{J/\psi}$  respects  $C$ -parity, while  $\beta$  in  $\psi(\mathbf{p}'_3, \mathbf{p}_4)$  controls the width of Gaussian distribution in momentum space.

To convert  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{(*)-})$  from Eqs. (6) and (7) into the decay width, we use the following equation:

$$\Gamma = 8\pi^2 \frac{|\mathbf{q}| E_{J/\psi} E_{\Omega^{(*)-}}}{M_{\Omega_b}} \frac{1}{2J_{\Omega_b} + 1} \sum_{\text{spins}} |\mathcal{M}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{(*)-})|^2, \quad (13)$$

which is applied in our numerical analysis.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

For our numerical analysis, we adopt the CKM matrix elements and the masses of  $\Omega_b^-$  and  $J/\psi$  from PDG [4], while the quark masses are taken from [106], as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (V_{cb}, V_{cs}) &= (0.042, 0.987), \\ (m_{\Omega_b}, m_{\Omega}, m_{J/\psi}) &= (6.046, 1.672, 3.0969) \text{ GeV}, \\ (m_s, m_c, m_b) &= (0.45, 1.48, 5.0) \text{ GeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The lifetime of the  $\Omega_b^-$  state is also taken from PDG [4]:  $\tau_{\Omega_b} = 1.64 \times 10^{-12} s$ . The Wilson coefficient  $C_2 = -0.365$  is from [86, 108, 109]. For the harmonic oscillator parameters, we use  $(\alpha_\lambda, \alpha_\rho) = (0.56, 0.44) \text{ GeV}$  for  $\Omega_b^-$  [105], and  $\beta = 0.50 \text{ GeV}$  for  $J/\psi$ , as adopted in [110, 111]. For the ground-state and excited  $\Omega$  hyperon states, the masses and the oscillator parameters  $\alpha$ , calculated in [10], are summarized in Table I.

TABLE I: Our results for  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{(*)-}$  using the constituent model, with the quantum numbers  $n^{2S+1} J^P$  assigned to  $\Omega^{(*)-}$ . The parameter  $\alpha$  and the mass of  $\Omega^{(*)-}$   $M_f$  are both given in units of MeV;  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are in units of  $10^{-17} \text{ GeV}$  and  $10^{-4}$ , respectively.

$\Omega^{(*)}$ hyperon	$\alpha$ [10]	$M_f$ [10]	$\Gamma$	$\mathcal{B}$	$\frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{(*)-})}{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-)}$
$\Omega(1^4 S_{\frac{3}{2}^+})$	440	1672	11	2.7	1.0
$\Omega(1^2 P_{\frac{1}{2}^-})$	428	1957	5.2	1.3	0.48
$\Omega(1^2 P_{\frac{3}{2}^-})$	411	2012	12.9	3.2	1.2
$\Omega(2^2 S_{\frac{1}{2}^+})$	387	2232	5.5	1.4	0.52
$\Omega(2^4 S_{\frac{3}{2}^+})$	381	2159	24.3	6.1	2.3
$\Omega(1^2 D_{\frac{3}{2}^+})$	394	2245	2.4	0.58	0.21
$\Omega(1^2 D_{\frac{5}{2}^+})$	380	2303	5.3	1.3	0.48
$\Omega(1^4 D_{\frac{1}{2}^+})$	413	2141	2.8	0.71	0.26
$\Omega(1^4 D_{\frac{3}{2}^+})$	399	2188	6.5	1.6	0.59
$\Omega(1^4 D_{\frac{5}{2}^+})$	383	2252	8.8	2.2	0.81
$\Omega(1^4 D_{\frac{7}{2}^+})$	367	2321	4.2	1.1	0.41

TABLE II: The branching fraction of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-$  is expressed in units of  $10^{-4}$ , compared with results from different models.

Our work	Ref. [77]	Ref. [91]	Ref. [86]	Ref. [73]	Ref. [88]
2.7	8	0.45	5.3	16.7	$6.9_{-0.0-0.3}^{+0.5+1.0}$

Utilizing the inputs above, we calculate  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-)$  to compare it with the previous studies. Specifically, the decay widths and branching fractions of possible  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{(*)-}$  are presented in Table I. Additionally, we plot  $\Gamma(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-)$  as functions of the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in Fig. 2 and  $\Gamma(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^{*-})$  as a function of  $m_{\Omega^*}$  in Fig. 3.

### IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSION

The branching fraction of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-$ , on the order of  $10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$ , indicates inconclusive calculations from [73, 77, 86, 88, 91]. On the other hand, the constituent quark model has been applied to  $\Omega_c^0$  decays [94], where  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \Omega(2012)^-)/\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+ \Omega^-) = 0.21$  agrees with the experimental value of  $0.220 \pm 0.059 \pm 0.035$  [7], suggesting its applicability to  $\Omega_b^-$  decays.

In the constituent quark model, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega^- J/\psi) = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ , which agrees with the calculations using the light-front quark model [86] and the perturbative QCD approach [88] to the order of the magnitude, as displayed in Table II. According to the partial observation [4],  $f_{\Omega_b} \mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi \Omega^-) = (1.4_{-0.4}^{+0.5}) \times 10^{-6}$ , and with the branching fraction substituted by our result, we estimate the fragmentation fraction  $f_{\Omega_b} \simeq 0.5 \times 10^{-2}$  [86, 89], which denotes the  $b \rightarrow \Omega_b^-$  production rate.

It should be pointed out that our calculation of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow$




FIG. 2: The decay width of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-$  varies with the harmonic parameters  $\alpha$  of  $\Omega$  baryon and  $\beta$  of  $J/\psi$  meson.

$\Omega^- J/\psi$  depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . To test the sensitivity, we depict  $\Gamma(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-)$  as a function of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , within the parameter space:  $0.4 \text{ GeV} < \alpha < 0.5 \text{ GeV}$  and  $0.48 \text{ GeV} < \beta < 0.55 \text{ GeV}$ . In Fig. 2, it can be seen that  $\Gamma(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-)$  is more sensitive to  $\beta$ , varying from 1.0 to 1.4 times  $10^{-16} \text{ GeV}$ . However, it remains close to the central value:  $1.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ GeV}$  (see Table I).

There are two excited  $1P$ -wave  $\Omega$  states in the  $\Omega$  hyperon spectroscopy:  $\Omega(1^2P_{1/2}^-)$  and  $\Omega(1^2P_{3/2}^-)$ . It is interesting to note that the newly observed  $\Omega(2012)^-$  state is more likely to be assigned to the latter:  $\Omega(1^2P_{3/2}^-)$ , based on the fact that the measured mass and decay width are consistent with the quark model predictions [9, 10, 12, 13, 15].

Based on this assignment, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2012)^-) = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ . Specifically,  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2012)^-)/\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-) = 1.2$ , which clearly avoids the uncertain fragmentation fraction  $f_{\Omega_b}$ , making it beneficial for experimental examination. In fact,  $\Omega(2012)^-$  has been observed [6] and reconfirmed in  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\Omega(2012)^-, \Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^-$  [7]. Likewise, this can be reconfirmed from the resonant  $\Omega_b^-$  decays, such as  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2012)^-$ , followed by the subsequent decay  $\Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \Xi^0 K^-$ . In particular,  $\Omega(2012) \rightarrow \Xi K$  has a branching fraction of around 90% [112]. The  $\Omega(1^2P_{1/2}^-)$  is a typically missing  $\Omega$  hyperon, with a predicted mass around 1950 MeV [10, 28, 29, 38]. In our evaluation,  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(1^2P_{1/2}^-)) = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$  indicates that  $\Omega(1^2P_{1/2}^-)$  has a smaller but compatible production rate to its  $1P$ -wave cousin state.

In the quark model, the  $1D$ -wave  $\Omega^*$  hyperons are classified into the spin-doublet  $\Omega(1^2D_{3/2^+}, 1^2D_{5/2^+})$  and the spin-quartet  $\Omega(1^4D_{1/2^+}, 1^4D_{3/2^+}, 1^4D_{5/2^+}, 1^4D_{7/2^+})$ , with masses evaluated to be around 2150 to 2250 MeV [9–11, 94] in the mass spectrum. However, these states have yet to be firmly established experimentally.

In accordance with the masses and wave functions from Ref. [10], we calculate  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(1D)^-$ . Our results are listed in Table I, where  $\Omega(1^2D_{5/2^+}, 1^4D_{3/2^+}, 1^4D_{5/2^+}, 1^4D_{7/2^+})$  states have production rates to be 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 0.4, respectively,





FIG. 3: The decay widths of  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{*-}$  as a function of  $M_{\Omega^*}$ , with the range from the theoretical estimations.

relative to their ground-state counterpart. We highlight that  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(1^4D_{5/2^+})^-) = 2.7 \times 10^{-4}$  is sufficiently large for a promising establishment of  $\Omega(1^4D_{5/2^+})^-$  through  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow \Omega(1^4D_{5/2^+})^- J/\psi$ , followed by  $\Omega(1^4D_{5/2^+})^- \rightarrow \Xi(1530)^0 K^-$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega(1^4D_{5/2^+})^- \rightarrow \Xi(1530)K)$  is as large as 80% [9, 10].

As for the existing  $2S$ -wave  $\Omega^*$  hyperon states,  $\Omega(2^2S_{1/2^+})$  and  $\Omega(2^4S_{3/2^+})$ , we obtain  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2^2S_{1/2^+}, 2^4S_{3/2^+})^-) = (1.4, 6.1) \times 10^{-4}$ . Remarkably, in the current  $\Omega$  spectroscopy studies,  $\Omega(2^4S_{3/2^+})^-$  in  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^*$  is the only one that can have a production rate larger than that of its ground state counterpart.

The constituent quark model relies on theoretical inputs from the  $\Omega^*$  masses. Due to the nature of these theoretical estimations, the masses carry some uncertainties. Therefore, in Figs. 3, we depict the decay widths involving various excited  $\Omega$  hyperons as functions of  $M_{\Omega^*}$ , with the possible ranges determined by the model calculations. It is found that the predicted decay widths for both  $\Omega^*(1P, 1D)$  and  $\Omega^*(2S)$  are not sensitive to the uncertainties arising from the mass estimations.

In conclusion, we have calculated the sextet  $b$ -baryon decays  $\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^{*-}$  using the constituent quark model. We found that  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-) = 2.7 \times$

$10^{-4}$ , which is consistent with the previous studies. With  $\Omega(2012)^-$  identified as  $\Omega(1^2P_{3/2}^-)$ , we found that  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2012)^-) = 3.2 \times 10^{-4}$ , which is compatible with  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega^-)$ . Additionally, the production rates of the  $\Omega(1^2D_{5/2}^+, 1^4D_{3/2}^+, 1^4D_{5/2}^+, 1^4D_{7/2}^+)$  states have been calculated to be 0.5, 0.6, 0.8, 0.5, respectively, relative to their ground-state counterpart. We also found that  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_b^- \rightarrow J/\psi\Omega(2^2S_{1/2}^+, 2^4S_{3/2}^+)^-) = (1.4, 6.1) \times 10^{-4}$ , which is promising for measurement by LHCb. Since we have demonstrated that our calculations are insensitive to the parameter inputs and uncertainties arising from the  $\Omega^*$  masses, this provides a suitable test-bed to investigate the  $\Omega$  hyperon spectroscopy.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants No.12205026, No.12175065 and No.12235018), and Applied Basic Research Program of Shanxi Province, China under Grant No. 202103021223376. YKH was supported by NSFC (Grants No. 12175128 and No. 11675030). JW was supported by General Programs of Changzhi College.

- 
- [1] V. Crede and W. Roberts, Progress towards understanding baryon resonances, Rept. Prog. Phys. **76** (2013), 076301.
- [2] H. Forkel and E. Klempt, Di-quark correlations in baryon spectroscopy and holographic QCD, Phys. Lett. B **679** (2009), 77-80.
- [3] E. Klempt and J. M. Richard, Baryon spectroscopy, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82** (2010), 1095-1153.
- [4] S. Navas *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of particle physics, Phys. Rev. D **110** (2024), 030001.
- [5] S. Capstick *et al.*, Key issues in hadronic physics, arXiv:hep-ph/0012238 [hep-ph].
- [6] J. Yelton *et al.* [Belle], Observation of an Excited  $\Omega^-$  Baryon, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018), 052003.
- [7] Y. Li *et al.* [Belle], Evidence for the decay  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \pi^+(\bar{K}\Xi)^-$ , Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021), 052005.
- [8] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII], Evidence for Two Excited  $\Omega^-$  Hyperons, arXiv:2411.11648 [hep-ex].
- [9] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Possible interpretation of the newly observed  $\Omega(2012)$  state, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), 034004.
- [10] M. S. Liu, K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü and X. H. Zhong,  $\Omega$  baryon spectrum and their decays in a constituent quark model, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020), 016002.
- [11] Z. Y. Wang, L. C. Gui, Q. F. Lü, L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong, Newly observed  $\Omega(2012)$  state and strong decays of the low-lying  $\Omega$  excitations, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), 114023.
- [12] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, Y. Sarac and H. Sundu, Nature of the  $\Omega(2012)$  through its strong decays, Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018), 894.
- [13] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi, Y. Sarac and H. Sundu, Interpretation of the newly discovered  $\Omega(2012)$ , Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), 014031.
- [14] A. J. Arifi, D. Suenaga, A. Hosaka and Y. Oh, Strong decays of multistrangeness baryon resonances in the quark model, Phys. Rev. D **105** (2022), 094006.
- [15] M. V. Polyakov, H. D. Son, B. D. Sun and A. Tandogan,  $\Omega(2012)$  through the looking glass of flavour SU(3), Phys. Lett. B **792** (2019), 315-319.
- [16] C. H. Zeng, J. X. Lu, E. Wang, J. J. Xie and L. S. Geng, Theoretical study of the  $\Omega(2012)$  state in the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\Omega(2012)^- \rightarrow \pi^+(\bar{K}\Xi)^-$  and  $\pi^+(\bar{K}\Xi\pi)^-$  decays, Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020), 076009.
- [17] Y. Huang, M. Z. Liu, J. X. Lu, J. J. Xie and L. S. Geng, Strong decay modes  $\bar{K}\Xi$  and  $\bar{K}\Xi\pi$  of the  $\Omega(2012)$  in the  $\bar{K}\Xi(1530)$  and  $\eta\Omega$  molecular scenario, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), 076012.
- [18] X. Hu and J. Ping, Analysis of  $\Omega(2012)$  as a molecule in the chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022), 054028.
- [19] N. Ikeno, W. H. Liang, G. Toledo and E. Oset, Interpretation of the  $\Omega_c \rightarrow \pi^+\Omega(2012) \rightarrow \pi^+K\Xi$  relative to  $\Omega_c \rightarrow \pi^+K\Xi$  from the  $\Omega(2012)$  molecular perspective, Phys. Rev. D **106** (2022), 034022.
- [20] Y. Lin, Y. H. Lin, F. Wang, B. Zou and B. S. Zou, Reanalysis of the newly observed  $\Omega^*$  state in a hadronic molecule model, Phys. Rev. D **102** (2020), 074025.
- [21] J. X. Lu, C. H. Zeng, E. Wang, J. J. Xie and L. S. Geng, Revisiting the  $\Omega(2012)$  as a hadronic molecule and its strong decays, Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020), 361.
- [22] N. Ikeno, G. Toledo and E. Oset, Molecular picture for the  $\Omega(2012)$  revisited, Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020), 094016.
- [23] J. M. Xie, M. Z. Liu and L. S. Geng,  $D_{s0}(2590)$  as a dominant  $c\bar{s}$  state with a small  $D^*K$  component, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021), 094051.
- [24] M. P. Valderrama,  $\Omega(2012)$  as a hadronic molecule, Phys. Rev. D **98** (2018), 054009.
- [25] R. Pavao and E. Oset, Coupled channels dynamics in the generation of the  $\Omega(2012)$  resonance, Eur. Phys. J. C **78** (2018), 857.
- [26] T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Strong decays of the hadronic molecule  $\Omega^*(2012)$ , J. Phys. G **48** (2020), 025001.
- [27] Y. Oh,  $\Xi$  and  $\Omega$  baryons in the Skyrme model, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007), 074002.
- [28] S. Capstick and N. Isgur, Baryons in a relativized quark model with chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **34** (1986), 2809-2835.
- [29] R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Strange baryon spectroscopy in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015), 054005.
- [30] U. Loring, B. C. Metsch and H. R. Petry, The Light baryon spectrum in a relativistic quark model with instanton induced quark forces: The Strange baryon spectrum, Eur. Phys. J. A **10** (2001), 447-486.
- [31] J. Liu, R. D. McKeown and M. J. Ramsey-Musolf, Global Analysis of Nucleon Strange Form Factors at Low  $Q^2$ , Phys. Rev. C **76** (2007), 025202.
- [32] K. T. Chao, N. Isgur and G. Karl, Strangeness -2 and -3 Baryons in a Quark Model With Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **23** (1981), 155.
- [33] Y. Chen and B. Q. Ma, Light flavor baryon spectrum with higher order hyperfine interactions, Nucl. Phys. A **831** (2009), 1-21.
- [34] C. S. An, B. C. Metsch and B. S. Zou, Mixing of the low-lying three- and five-quark  $\Omega$  states with negative parity, Phys. Rev. C **87** (2013), 065207.

- [35] C. S. Kalman, *P* Wave Baryons in a Consistent Quark Model With Hyperfine Interactions, *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1982), 2326.
- [36] M. Pervin and W. Roberts, Strangeness -2 and -3 baryons in a constituent quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **77** (2008), 025202.
- [37] C. S. An and B. S. Zou, Low-lying  $\Omega$  states with negative parity in an extended quark model with Nambu-Jona-Lasinio interaction, *Phys. Rev. C* **89** (2014), 055209.
- [38] G. P. Engel *et al.* [BGR], QCD with Two Light Dynamical Chirally Improved Quarks: Baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), 074504.
- [39] J. Liang *et al.* [CLQCD], Spectrum and Bethe-Salpeter amplitudes of  $\Omega$  baryons from lattice QCD, *Chin. Phys. C* **40** (2016), 041001.
- [40] C. E. Carlson and C. D. Carone, Predictions for decays of radially excited baryons, *Phys. Lett. B* **484** (2000), 260-266.
- [41] J. L. Goity, C. Schat and N. N. Scoccola, Analysis of the  $[56, 2^+]$  baryon masses in the  $1/N_c$  expansion, *Phys. Lett. B* **564** (2003), 83-89.
- [42] C. L. Schat, J. L. Goity and N. N. Scoccola, Masses of the 70-baryons in large  $N_c$  QCD, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88** (2002), 102002.
- [43] N. Matagne and F. Stancu, Masses of  $[70, 1^+]$  Baryons in the  $1/N_c$  Expansion, *Phys. Rev. D* **74** (2006), 034014.
- [44] R. Bijker, F. Iachello and A. Leviatan, Algebraic models of hadron structure. 2. Strange baryons, *Annals Phys.* **284** (2000), 89-133.
- [45] T. M. Aliev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Radial Excitations of the Decuplet Baryons, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017), 222.
- [46] G. S. Abrams, R. A. Burnstein, G. R. Charlton, T. B. Day, B. Kehoe, B. Sechi-Zorn, G. A. Show, M. C. Whatley, G. Wolosky and G. B. Yodh, *et al.* Example of decay  $\Omega^- \rightarrow \Xi^- + \pi^0$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** (1964), 670-672.
- [47] V. E. Barnes, P. L. Connolly, D. J. Crennell, B. B. Culwick, W. C. Delaney, W. B. Fowler, P. E. Hagerty, E. L. Hart, N. Horwitz and P. V. C. Hough, *et al.* Observation of a Hyperon with Strangeness Minus Three, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **12** (1964), 204-206.
- [48] S. F. Biagi, M. Bourquin, R. M. Brown, H. J. Burckhart, C. Doré, P. Extermann, M. Gailloud, C. N. P. Gee, W. M. Gibson and R. J. Gray, *et al.* First Observation of  $\Omega^*$  Resonances, *Z. Phys. C* **31** (1986), 33.
- [49] D. Aston, N. Awaji, T. Bienz, F. Bird, J. D'Amore, W. Dunwoodie, R. Endorf, K. Fujii, H. Hayashii and S. Iwata, *et al.* Observation of  $\Omega^{*-}$  Production in  $K^-p$  Interactions at 11-GeV/c, *Phys. Lett. B* **194** (1987), 579-585.
- [50] D. Aston, N. Awaji, T. Bienz, F. Bird, J. D'Amore, W. Dunwoodie, R. Endorf, K. Fujii, H. Hayashii and S. Iwata, *et al.* Observation of a New  $\Omega^{*-}$  at 2.47-GeV/c<sup>2</sup> in  $K^-p$  Interactions at 11-GeV/c, *Phys. Lett. B* **215** (1988), 799-804.
- [51] T. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF], Observation of the  $\Omega_b^-$  and Measurement of the Properties of the  $\Xi_b^-$  and  $\Omega_b^-$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009), 072003.
- [52] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Observation of the doubly strange *b* baryon  $\Omega_b^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008), 232002.
- [53] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b^0$ ,  $\Xi_b^-$  and  $\Omega_b^-$  baryon masses, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013), 182001.
- [54] T. A. Aaltonen *et al.* [CDF], Mass and lifetime measurements of bottom and charm baryons in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014), 072014.
- [55] J. Nicolini [LHCb], Weak decays of heavy-quark baryons, arXiv:2305.15366 [hep-ex].
- [56] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Measurement of the mass difference and relative production rate of the  $\Omega_b^-$  and  $\Xi_b^-$  baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **108** (2023), 052008.
- [57] C. Albajar *et al.* [UA1], First observation of the beauty baryon  $\Lambda_b$  in the decay channel  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$  at the CERN proton anti-proton collider, *Phys. Lett. B* **273** (1991), 540-548.
- [58] F. Abe *et al.* [CDF], Search for  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$  in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1993), R2639-R2643.
- [59] A. Abulencia *et al.* [CDF], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  Lifetime in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$  in  $p\bar{p}$  Collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ -TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98** (2007), 122001.
- [60] F. Abe *et al.* [CDF], Observation of  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$  at the Fermilab proton antiproton collider, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997), 1142-1152.
- [61] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  lifetime in the decay  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$  with the DØ detector, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005), 102001.
- [62] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b$  Lifetime in the Exclusive Decay  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007), 142001.
- [63] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Measurement of the production fraction times branching fraction  $f(b \rightarrow \Lambda_b) \cdot \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda)$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011), 031102.
- [64] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b^0$  lifetime in the exclusive decay  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0$  in  $p\bar{p}$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 112003.
- [65] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Measurements of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$  decay amplitudes and the  $\Lambda_b^0$  polarisation in  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* **724** (2013), 27-35.
- [66] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], Measurement of the parity-violating asymmetry parameter  $\alpha_b$  and the helicity amplitudes for the decay  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi + \Lambda^0$  with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014), 092009.
- [67] A. M. Sirunyan *et al.* [CMS], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b$  polarization and angular parameters in  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$  decays from  $pp$  collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  and 8 TeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018), 072010.
- [68] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Measurement of the  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$  angular distribution and the  $\Lambda_b^0$  polarisation in  $pp$  collisions, *JHEP* **06** (2020), 110.
- [69] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS], Measurement of the branching ratio  $\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\Lambda^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0)$  with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* **751** (2015), 63-80.
- [70] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Measurement of the ratio of branching fractions of the decays  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda$ , *JHEP* **03** (2019), 126.
- [71] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb], Isospin amplitudes in  $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda(\Sigma^0)$  and  $\Xi_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Xi^0(\Lambda)$  decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020), 111802.
- [72] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [DØ], Direct observation of the strange *b* baryon  $\Xi_b^-$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99** (2007), 052001.
- [73] H. Y. Cheng, Nonleptonic weak decays of bottom baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997), 2799-2811 [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), 079901].
- [74] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Two-body nonleptonic  $\Lambda_b$  decays in quark model with factorization ansatz, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998), 014016.
- [75] L. Mott and W. Roberts, Rare dileptonic decays of  $\Lambda_b$  in a quark model, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **27** (2012), 1250016.
- [76] H. Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996), 1457 [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997), 1697].
- [77] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Nonleptonic two-body decays of single heavy baryons  $\Lambda_Q$ ,  $\Xi_Q$ , and  $\Omega_Q$  ( $Q = b, c$ ) induced by *W* emission in the covariant confined quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), 074011.
- [78] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij, V. V. Lyubushkin and P. Santorelli, Theoretical description of the decays  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda^{(*)}(\frac{1}{2}^{\pm}, \frac{3}{2}^{\pm}) + J/\psi$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017),

- 013003.
- [79] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and P. Santorelli, Polarization effects in the cascade decay  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda(\rightarrow p\pi^-) + J/\psi(\rightarrow l^+l^-)$  in the covariant confined quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013), 114018.
- [80] T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Körner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and P. Santorelli, Towards an assessment of the ATLAS data on the branching ratio  $\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow \psi(2S)^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\Lambda^0)$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015), 114008.
- [81] R. Mohanta, A. K. Giri, M. P. Khanna, M. Ishida, S. Ishida and M. Oda, Hadronic weak decays of  $\Lambda_b$  baryon in the covariant oscillator quark model, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **101** (1999), 959-969.
- [82] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and A. G. Rusetsky, Exclusive nonleptonic bottom to charm baryon decays including nonfactorizable contributions, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13** (1998), 181-192.
- [83] M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and A. G. Rusetsky, Exclusive nonleptonic decays of bottom and charm baryons in a relativistic three quark model: Evaluation of non-factorizing diagrams, *Phys. Rev. D* **57** (1998), 5632-5652.
- [84] Z. T. Wei, H. W. Ke and X. Q. Li, Evaluating decay Rates and Asymmetries of  $\Lambda_b$  into Light Baryons in LFQM, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009), 094016.
- [85] J. Zhu, Z. T. Wei and H. W. Ke, Semileptonic and nonleptonic weak decays of  $\Lambda_b^0$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019), 054020.
- [86] Y. K. Hsiao and C. C. Lih, Fragmentation fraction  $f_{\Omega_b}$  and the  $\Omega_b \rightarrow \Omega J/\psi$  decay in the light-front formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **105** (2022), 056015.
- [87] C. H. Chou, H. H. Shih, S. C. Lee and H. n. Li,  $\Lambda_b \rightarrow \Lambda J/\psi$  decay in perturbative QCD, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002), 074030.
- [88] Z. Rui and Z. T. Zou, Charmonium decays of beauty baryons in the perturbative QCD approach, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024), 033013.
- [89] Y. K. Hsiao, P. Y. Lin, L. W. Luo and C. Q. Geng, Fragmentation fractions of two-body b-baryon decays, *Phys. Lett. B* **751** (2015), 127-130.
- [90] Y. K. Hsiao, P. Y. Lin, C. C. Lih and C. Q. Geng, Charmful two-body anti-triplet  $b$ -baryon decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015), 114013.
- [91] Fayyazuddin and M. J. Aslam, Hadronic weak decay  $\mathcal{B}_b(\frac{1}{2}^+) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\frac{1}{2}^+, \frac{3}{2}^+) + V$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017), 113002.
- [92] A. Dery, M. Ghosh, Y. Grossman and S. Schacht,  $SU(3)_F$  analysis for beauty baryon decays, *JHEP* **03** (2020), 165.
- [93] P. Y. Niu, J. M. Richard, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Hadronic weak decays of  $\Lambda_c$  in the quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020), 073005.
- [94] K. L. Wang, Q. F. Lü, J. J. Xie and X. H. Zhong, Toward discovering the excited  $\Omega$  baryons through nonleptonic weak decays of  $\Omega_c$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023), 034015.
- [95] P. Y. Niu, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Study of heavy quark conserving weak decays in the quark model, *Phys. Lett. B* **826** (2022), 136916.
- [96] P. Y. Niu, J. M. Richard, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Hyperon Weak Radiative Decay, *Chin. Phys. C* **45** (2021), 013101.
- [97] M. Pervin, W. Roberts and S. Capstick, Semileptonic decays of heavy omega baryons in a quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **74** (2006), 025205.
- [98] M. Pervin, W. Roberts and S. Capstick, Semileptonic decays of heavy lambda baryons in a quark model, *Phys. Rev. C* **72** (2005), 035201.
- [99] H. Y. Cheng, Charmed baryon physics circa 2021, *Chin. J. Phys.* **78** (2022), 324-362.
- [100] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Weak decays beyond leading logarithms, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68** (1996), 1125-1144.
- [101] T. Mannel and W. Roberts, Nonleptonic  $\Lambda_b$  decays at colliders, *Z. Phys. C* **59** (1993), 179-182.
- [102] G. Karl and E. Obryk, On wave functions for three-body systems, *Nucl. Phys. B* **8** (1968), 609-621.
- [103] Zhenping Li, Ph.D. thesis, University of Tennessee, 1991.
- [104] L. Y. Xiao and X. H. Zhong,  $\Xi$  baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), 094002.
- [105] X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Charmed baryon strong decays in a chiral quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 074008.
- [106] K. L. Wang, Y. X. Yao, X. H. Zhong and Q. Zhao, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying  $S$ - and  $P$ -wave singly heavy baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017), 116016.
- [107] Y. X. Yao, K. L. Wang and X. H. Zhong, Strong and radiative decays of the low-lying  $D$ -wave singly heavy baryons, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018), 076015.
- [108] A. Ali, G. Kramer and C. D. Lu, Experimental tests of factorization in charmless nonleptonic two-body B decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998), 094009.
- [109] Y. K. Hsiao and C. Q. Geng, Direct CP violation in  $\Lambda_b$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015), 116007.
- [110] T. Barnes, S. Godfrey and E. S. Swanson, Higher charmonia, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005), 054026.
- [111] L. Y. Xiao, X. Z. Weng, Q. F. Lü, X. H. Zhong and S. L. Zhu, A new decay mode of higher charmonium, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018), 605.
- [112] H. H. Zhong, R. H. Ni, M. Y. Chen, X. H. Zhong and J. J. Xie, Further study of within a chiral quark model, *Chin. Phys. C* **47** (2023), 063104.