

ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES IN PARTIAL FLAG VARIETIES

YANJUN CHEN

ABSTRACT. We generalize the classification of isomorphism classes of Schubert varieties in [8] from complete flag varieties G/B to a class of partial flag varieties G/P . In particular, we classify all Schubert varieties in G/P where P is a minimal parabolic subgroup, and all Schubert surfaces which are two-dimensional Schubert varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Schubert varieties form an extensively studied class of algebraic varieties whose properties are often characterized by combinatorics. The isomorphism problem for Schubert varieties, first raised by Develin, Martin, and Reiner in [2], asks for a classification of all Schubert varieties up to algebraic isomorphism. In the same paper, they classified a class of smooth Schubert varieties in type A partial flag varieties. Richmond and Slofstra solved this problem for Schubert varieties in complete flag varieties in [8] using Cartan equivalence. On the other hand, Richmond, Tarigradschi, and Xu solved this problem for cominuscule Schubert varieties in [9] using labeled posets.

To describe our results, we set the following notations. For simplicity, we only consider Schubert varieties of finite types over \mathbb{C} . Let G be a complex reductive Lie group, T a maximal torus, and B a Borel subgroup containing T . Then a root system is defined, with the correspondent set of simple reflections S , Cartan matrix $A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2}$, and the Weyl group W . The pair (W, S) forms a Coxeter system. A standard parabolic subgroup P is a subgroup containing B corresponding to a subset I of S . We denote the flag variety G/P by $X(A, I)$. The subset I generates a subgroup $W_I \subset W$. In every coset W/W_I , we take the elements with minimal length and form a set W^I . Then a Schubert variety is the closure of the B orbit BwP/P for some $w \in W^I$, and we write this variety as $X(w, A, I)$ since it is uniquely determined by the triple (w, A, I) . We denote the *support* of w to be

$$S(w) = \{s \in S \mid s \leq w\}.$$

In our notations, Richmond and Slofstra classified Schubert varieties of the form $X(w, A, \emptyset)$. Motivated by their methods, we extend their work in this abstract.

Main Theorem 1.1 (See Proposition 4.5, Proposition 4.9, and Theorem 5.5). *Let*

$$A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = (a'_{s't'})_{(s',t') \in S'^2}$$

be two Cartan matrices with associated Weyl groups W and W' , and sets of simple reflections S and S' , respectively. Let $I \subset S$ and $I' \subset S'$. Take $w \in W^I$ and $w' \in W'^{I'}$. Assume that $|S(w) \cap I| \leq 1$ and $|S(w') \cap I'| \leq 1$. Then the following are equivalent:

Date: December 4, 2024.

- (1) the Schubert varieties $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are algebraically isomorphic;
- (2) there exists a bijection $\tau : S(w) \rightarrow S(w')$ sending $S(w) \cap I$ to $S(w') \cap I'$, such that:
 - (a) for some reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, $w' = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$ is also a reduced word;
 - (b) for any $t_1, t_2 \in S(w)$, $a_{t_1, t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)}$ whenever $t_1 t_2 \leq w$.

In particular, if $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are isomorphic, then $|S(w) \cap I| = |S(w') \cap I'|$.

Since we allow the subset I to satisfy the condition $|S(w) \cap I| \leq 1$, a larger class of Schubert varieties are classified. It also completely solves the isomorphism problem of Schubert varieties in flag varieties corresponding to minimal parabolic subgroups, in which case, $|I| = 1$.

Schubert varieties of dimension zero and one are isomorphic to a point and the projective line \mathbb{P}^1 , respectively. As an application of the main theorem, we can easily classify the Schubert varieties of dimension two without explicit computation.

The last statement of our main theorem gives a necessary condition for the isomorphism of Schubert varieties, which can be slightly generalized; see Proposition 4.5.

For two Schubert varieties $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$, if $|S(w)| = |S(w')|$, then we say these two Schubert varieties are *equally supported*. The way we prove our criterion enables us to attain a sufficient condition (Theorem 5.5) to determine whether the given equally supported pair is an isomorphism.

It is also interesting to consider whether our sufficient condition is necessary. It is still unknown, and we will leave it for future study.

1.1. Outline of the Paper. In Section 2, we show some illustrative examples of Theorem 1.1. At the end of this section, we state the classification of Schubert surfaces; see Theorem 2.6. Then we discuss preliminaries in Section 3. The following two sections give a type-independent proof for our main theorem. In Section 4, we derive some necessary conditions of the isomorphism of Schubert varieties, and we prove that condition 1 implies condition 2 of the main theorem (Proposition 4.9). Our primary tool is the cohomology ring whose cup product can be computed by Chevalley's formula in [3, Lemma 8.1]. We need more explicit computation and discussion than what was done in [8]. Next, in Section 5, we obtain a practical sufficient condition for equally supported Schubert varieties (Theorem 5.5), and, as a corollary, we prove that condition 2 implies the condition 1 of the main theorem, finishing the proof of the main theorem.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Weihong Xu for introducing him to the isomorphism problem, providing him with relevant materials, and guiding him in writing the thesis. He also thanks Jing-Song Huang and Rui Xiong for their instruction on representation theory.

2. CLASSIFICATION OF SCHUBERT SURFACES

To illustrate our context, we provide several examples of Schubert varieties. We will use s_i to denote the simple reflection corresponding to the i -th row or column of the Cartan matrix.

Example 2.1. Considering the three Schubert varieties

$$\begin{aligned}
& X \left(s_4 s_3 s_2 s_1, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \{s_4\} \right), \\
& X \left(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \{s_4\} \right), \\
& X \left(s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4, \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \{s_4\} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

of types A_4 , B_4 , and F_4 , respectively. The lower triangular parts of three Cartan matrices are the same, so by the main theorem, they are isomorphic.

We call a two-dimensional Schubert variety a *Schubert surface*. For $n = 1, 2, 3$, we let M_n denote the Cartan matrix

$$M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -n & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words, M_1 , M_2 , and M_3 are the Cartan matrices of type A_2 , B_2 , and G_2 , respectively. Note that s_1 and s_2 correspond to the long and the short simple root, respectively.

Example 2.2. Consider the Schubert surfaces $X(s_1 s_2, M_n, \{s_1\})$. Since the entries $a_{12} = -1$ of Cartan matrices are equal, by the main theorem, these three surfaces are isomorphic. Taking $n = 1$, $X(s_1 s_2, M_1, \{s_1\})$ is a Schubert surface in the flag variety $Gr(1, 3) = \mathbb{P}^2$, which is also two dimensional. It follows that $X(s_1 s_2, M_n, \{s_1\})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . Similarly, the Schubert surfaces $X(s_1 s_2, M_n, \emptyset)$ are isomorphic. By [8, Example 1.1], they are isomorphic to the *first Hirzebruch surface* Σ_1 .

Example 2.3. Consider the Schubert surfaces $X(s_2 s_1, M_n, \{s_2\})$. The corresponding entries $a_{21} = -n$, so these three varieties are *not* isomorphic to each other. Note that geometrically, $X(s_2 s_1, M_1, \{s_2\})$ is the same as $X(s_1 s_2, M_1, \{s_1\})$, so it is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 . On the other hand, it can be shown that $X(s_2 s_1, M_2, \{s_2\})$ is the cone over a smooth conic. In fact, the flag variety $X(s_2 s_1, M_2)$ is a smooth quadric hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 defined by the equation $x_1 x_5 + x_2 x_4 + x_3^2 = 0$, and the Schubert variety $X(s_2 s_1, M_2, \{s_2\})$ lies in the hyperplane $x_5 = 0$. Hence it is a Schubert surface defined by the equation $x_2 x_4 + x_3^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^3 , which is the cone over a smooth conic.

Example 2.4. Consider the Schubert surfaces $X(s_2 s_1, M_n, \emptyset)$. The corresponding entries $a_{21} = -n$, so these four surfaces are *not* isomorphic to each other. As mentioned in [8, Example 1.1], $X(s_2 s_1, M_n, \emptyset)$ is isomorphic to the n -th *Hirzebruch surface* Σ_n .

Example 2.5. Consider the Schubert surface $X(s_1 s_2, 2I_2, \emptyset)$, where I_2 is the 2×2 identity matrix. The corresponding entry $a_{12} = 0$, so it differs from any Schubert surfaces mentioned above. The Cartan matrix is of type $A_1 \times A_1$, so the flag variety can be identified with $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Comparing the dimensions, we conclude that $X(s_1 s_2, 2I_2, \emptyset)$ is $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$.

In fact, the Schubert surfaces in the above four examples are all possible Schubert surfaces. To be more precise, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6 (Classification of Schubert Surfaces). *There are seven isomorphism classes of Schubert surfaces. Precisely, any Schubert surface is isomorphic to one and exactly one of the following varieties:*

- (1) product of projective lines $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$,
- (2) projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 ,
- (3) the n -th Hirzebruch surface Σ_n for $n = 1, 2, 3$,
- (4) the cone over a smooth conic, and
- (5) the variety $X(s_2s_1, M_3, \{s_2\})$.

Proof. Recall that the dimension of a Schubert variety $X(w, A, I)$ is the length $\ell(w)$ of $w \in W^I$ so that we can write $w = st \in W^I$ as the product of two simple reflections s and t . Lemma 3.9 (or [7, Lemma 4.8]) implies that, without loss of generality, we can assume that $S(w) = S$. In other words, $S = \{s, t\}$ and A has rank two. Since a root system of rank two have type $A_1 \times A_1$, A_2 , B_2 , or G_2 , after appropriately indexing rows and columns, $A = 2I_2$ or $A = M_n$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$. $I = \{s\}$ or \emptyset . Then there are only finitely many possibilities for $X(w, A, I)$, which we write in the following classes:

- (1) $X(s_1s_2, 2I_2, \emptyset)$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$;
- (2) $X(s_1s_2, M_n, \emptyset)$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and $X(s_2s_1, M_1, \emptyset)$, isomorphic to Σ_1 ;
- (3) $X(s_2s_1, M_n, \emptyset)$ ($n = 2, 3$), isomorphic to Σ_n ;
- (4) $X(s_1s_2, M_n, \{s_1\})$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) and $X(s_2s_1, M_1, \{s_2\})$, isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 ;
- (5) $X(s_2s_1, M_2, \{s_2\})$, isomorphic to the cone over a smooth conic;
- (6) $X(s_2s_1, M_3, \{s_2\})$.

By the last statement of Theorem 1.1, any variety in the first three classes is not isomorphic to a variety in the remaining three classes. In classes 1, 2, and 3, the corresponding entry of the Cartan matrix is 0, -1 , and $-n$ ($n = 2, 3$), so there are four isomorphism classes. In classes 4, 5, and 6, the entries of the Cartan matrices are -1 , -2 , and -3 , so there are three more isomorphism classes. Therefore, the proof is complete. \square

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. The Weyl Group and the Root System. Given a complex reductive group G with a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup B containing T , a root system R is determined. Let $R = R^+ \cup R^-$ be the choice of positive roots determined by B , and Δ the set of simple roots. With a choice of ordered for Δ , the root system R defines a Cartan matrix

$$A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2}, \quad \text{where } a_{st} = \langle \alpha_s^\vee, \alpha_t \rangle = \frac{2\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_t \rangle}{\langle \alpha_s, \alpha_s \rangle}.$$

Any positive root α defines a reflection s_α ; conversely, a reflection s defines a positive root α_s . The set of simple reflections S generates the Weyl group W , which can be identified with $W = N(T)/T$. The pair (W, S) is a Coxeter system. For any Weyl group element $w \in W$, we denote

$$S(w) = \{s \in S \mid s \leq w\}$$

to be the *support* of w . If $S(w) = S$, then we say that w is *fully supported*. The element w can be written as a product of simple reflections, and if $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ with k minimal, then we say the sequence (s_1, \dots, s_k) (or the product $s_1 \cdots s_k$) is a *reduced word* and denote the set of reduced words to be $RW(w)$. The *Coxeter length function* $\ell : W \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ is defined to be the length of any reduced word. There is a unique longest element, denoted by w_0 . We define the *right descent set* of $w \in W$ to be

$$D_R(w) = \{s \in S \mid \ell(us) = \ell(u) - 1\}.$$

In other words, $D_R(w)$ is the set of simple reflections s that are the rightmost elements in some reduced words of w .

A standard parabolic subgroup P corresponds to a subset $I \subset S$. We let the subgroup $W_I \subset W$ be generated by I , and the set W^I to be the representatives of W/W_I with minimal length. It is easy to see that a simple reflection $s \in D_R(w)$ if and only if $w \notin W^{\{s\}}$. For $w \in W$, We let $w^{\min} \in W^I$ be the representative in the coset wW_I . On the other hand, I defines a subset of simple roots Δ_I . We write the root subsystem spanned by Δ_I as R_I .

On the Weyl group W (or, more generally, a Coxeter group), there is a partial order, called the *Bruhat order*, defined by the following equivalent conditions. The equivalence is a restatement of [4, Theorem 5.10].

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that $u, v \in W$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *For any reduced word $v = s_1 \cdots s_k$ for v , $u = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$;*
- (b) *There exists a sequence $u_0 = u, u_1, \dots, u_n = v$, such that $u_i = u_{i-1}t$ for some reflection t and $\ell(u_i) > \ell(u_{i-1})$.*

For simplicity, we write $[u, v]^I = [u, v] \cap W^I$. [1, Proposition 2.5.1] shows the key property of Bruhat order on W^I . We state as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *The map $w \mapsto w^{\min}$ is order-preserving.*

Now we consider the interaction between Weyl groups and root systems. We define the *inversion set* of $w \in W$ to be

$$I(w) = R^+ \cap w(R^-).$$

The following statement is part of [4, Lemma 1.6].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $w \in W$ and $s \in S$. Then $\ell(sw) > \ell(w)$ if and only if $w^{-1}(\alpha_s) \in R^+$. And similarly $\ell(ws) > \ell(w)$ if and only if $w(\alpha_s) \in R^+$.*

As a corollary, if $w \in W^I$, then $\ell(ws) > \ell(w)$ for all $s \in S \setminus I$, which implies $w(\alpha_s) \in R^+$. It follows that $w(R_I^+) \subset R^+$, or $R_I^+ \subset R^+ \cap w^{-1}(R^+)$. In other words, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $w \in W^I$. Then $I(w^{-1}) \subset R^+ \setminus R_I^+$.*

3.2. Lie Algebras. Denote the Lie algebra of G and T as \mathfrak{g} and its Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} , respectively. Each coroot $\alpha^\vee = \frac{2\alpha}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$ can be identify as an element h_α in \mathfrak{h} , and $\{h_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h} . We denote the root space corresponding to α as \mathfrak{g}_α . Then we have the root space decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

We also denote the nilpotent Lie algebras

$$\mathfrak{n}^\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R^\pm} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

and the Borel subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+.$$

Write e_α and f_α to be nonzero elements in \mathfrak{g}_α and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$ for any positive root α , respectively. Then $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ and $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ generate \mathfrak{n}^+ and \mathfrak{n}^- , respectively. For $w \in W$, we denote

$$\mathfrak{n}^+(A)_w = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I(w)} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

which is a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Let λ be a dominant integral weight. Then there is a unique irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module L_λ with the highest weight λ . It can be constructed in the following way. Let \mathbb{C}_λ be a one-dimensional complex vector space spanned by $\omega = \omega_\lambda$, whose \mathfrak{b} -module structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned} h\omega &= \lambda(h)\omega, & h &\in \mathfrak{h}, \\ e\omega &= 0, & e &\in \mathfrak{n}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Then the *Verma module* with highest weight λ is

$$M_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{b})} \mathbb{C}_\lambda,$$

where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $U(\mathfrak{b})$ are the universal enveloping algebras of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{b} , respectively. It has a submodule, denoted by M_λ^1 , which is generated by $f_\alpha^{\lambda(h_\alpha)+1} \otimes 1$. Then by [5, Theorem 8.28],

$$L_\lambda = M_\lambda / M_\lambda^1.$$

3.3. Schubert Varieties. The variety G/P , called the (*partial*) *flag variety*, is determined by the Cartan matrix A and the subset I , so we denote it as $X(A, I)$.

We say a weight λ is an *I-regular weight* if it is a dominant integral weight and satisfies, for a simple reflection $s \in S$, $\lambda(\alpha_s^\vee) = 0$ if and only if $s \in I$. The following result is in [6, Lemma 7.1.2].

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that λ is an I-regular weight, and V is a finite-dimensional highest weight module with the highest weight vector ω . Then there is a closed immersion*

$$G/P \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V), \quad gP \mapsto [g\omega],$$

where $[g\omega]$ denote the line through $g\omega$.

A *Schubert cell* in G/P is a subvariety BwP/P . Here, to be more precise, we need to replace w with a representative in $N(T)$, but any such choice gives the same variety. Different choices of w may give the same Schubert variety. In fact, BwP/P only depends on the coset wW_I , so we usually choose the minimal representative. If we take $w \in W^I$, then as varieties, $BwP/P \cong \mathbb{A}^{\ell(w)}$.

A *Schubert variety* in G/P , denoted by $X(w, A, I)$, is the Zariski closure of the Schubert cell BwP/P . The triple (w, A, I) determines the Schubert variety. $X(w, A, I)$ has an affine

stratification. If we take $w \in W^I$, then we have a disjoint union

$$X(w, A, I) = \bigcup_{v \in [1, w]^I} BuP/P.$$

Definition 3.6. There is a \mathbb{Z} -basis σ_v , indexed by $v \in [1, w]^I$, for the integral cohomology group $H^*(X(w, A, I))$. We call this basis to be *Schubert basis*, denoted by $\Sigma(w, A, I)$. The collection of Schubert basis elements of degree two is denoted by $\Sigma_1(w, A, I)$.

The following lemma is essentially [8, Proposition 4.1], where the case $I = \emptyset$ was proven. Nevertheless, the statement holds in a more general case and the proof can be extended to our context when replacing $X(w, A)$ and $X(w', A')$ in their paper by $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$, respectively.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $f : X(w, A, I) \rightarrow X(w', A', I')$ is an isomorphism of algebraic varieties. Then the induced map*

$$\varphi^* : H^*(X(w, A, I)) \rightarrow H^*(X(w', A', I'))$$

is a graded ring isomorphism that identifies Schubert bases $\Sigma(w, A, I) \rightarrow \Sigma(w', A', I')$ and $\Sigma_1(w, A, I) \rightarrow \Sigma_1(w', A', I')$.

In other words, both $\Sigma(w, A, I)$ and $\Sigma_1(w, A, I)$ are determined by the variety structure of the Schubert variety $X(w, A, I)$. From this definition, we have an identification

$$i : [1, w]^I \rightarrow \Sigma(w, A, I), \quad v \mapsto \sigma_v.$$

Each basis element σ_u has degree $2\ell(u)$, written by $\deg \sigma_u = 2\ell(u)$, i.e.,

$$\sigma_u \in H^{2\ell(u)}(X(w, A, I)).$$

Recall that the set of simple reflections in $[1, w]^I$ is just $S(w) \setminus I$. Hence the restriction of i gives a one-one correspondence

$$i : S(w) \setminus I \rightarrow \Sigma_1(w, A, I), \quad s \mapsto \sigma_s.$$

The cup product of $H^*(X(w, A, I))$ is given by the *Chevalley's formula* in [3, Lemma 8.1].

Lemma 3.8 (Chevalley's formula). *Suppose that $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_I$ and $w \in W^I$. Then*

$$\sigma_{s_\alpha} \sigma_w = \sum \omega_\alpha(\beta^\vee) \sigma_{(ws_\beta)^{\min}},$$

the sum over all positive roots β such that $\ell((ws_\beta)^{\min}) = \ell(w) + 1$. Here, ω_α is the fundamental weight corresponding to α .

Remark. If $\ell((ws_\beta)^{\min}) = \ell(w) + 1$, then either $ws_\beta \leq w$ or $ws_\beta > w$. If the former one holds, then we have $(ws_\beta)^{\min} \leq w^{\min} = w$, which is impossible. Hence $ws_\beta > w$.

When considering the variety structure of a Schubert variety, it is usually convenient to embed it into a smaller flag variety. This is given by [7, Lemma 4.8].

Lemma 3.9. *We write $A_w = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S(w)^2}$ to be the Cartan submatrix of A . Then the inclusion $X(A_w, S(w) \cap I) \hookrightarrow X(A, I)$ restricts to an isomorphism*

$$X(w, A_w, S(w) \cap I) \cong X(w, A, I).$$

Remark. The Weyl group determined by A_w is $W_{S(w)}$, and $w \in (W_{S(w)})^{S(w) \cap I}$, so the Schubert variety $X(w, A_w, S(w) \cap I)$ is well-defined.

4. THE COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES

In this section, we will use the cohomology ring to study the intrinsic properties of a Schubert variety. In other words, we will obtain combinatorial information from an isomorphism class of the Schubert variety. Some results have been proven in [8, Section 4] for the case I being an empty set, and the proofs are generalized. In the following, we fix a Schubert variety $X(w, A, I)$ with $w \in W^I$. Due to Lemma 3.9, we assume that $S = S(w)$.

4.1. General Situation. First, we can reconstruct the poset $[1, w]^I$. For any

$$\sigma = \sum_{v \in W^I} a_v \sigma_v \in H^*(X(w, A, I)),$$

we define its *support*, denoted by $\Sigma(\sigma)$, to be the collection of σ_v with $a_v \neq 0$. Let \prec be the partial order on $\Sigma(w, A, I)$, the Schubert basis, generated by the relation $\sigma_u \prec \sigma_v$ if $\sigma_v \in S(\sigma_s \sigma_u)$ for some $\sigma_s \in \Sigma_1(w, A, I)$. By Lemma 3.7, the poset $\Sigma(w, A, I)$ is determined by the variety structure of $X(w, A, I)$.

Lemma 4.1. *The identification $i : [1, w]^I \rightarrow \Sigma(w, A, I)$ is a poset isomorphism.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that, for $u, v \in [1, w]^I$, $u < v$ if and only if $\sigma_u \prec \sigma_v$, and it suffices to consider the covering relations. If $u < v$ and $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$, then $v = us_\beta$ for some positive root β . Since $u, v \in W^I$, $\beta \notin R_I$. Hence there exists a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_I$ s.t. $\omega_\alpha(\beta^\vee) \neq 0$. It follows that $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(\sigma_{s_\alpha} \sigma_u)$.

Conversely, if $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(\sigma_s \sigma_u)$ for some $\sigma_s \in \Sigma_1(w, A, I)$, then $\sigma_v = \sigma_{(us_\beta)^{\min}}$ for some positive root β . By the remark below Lemma 3.9, we have $us_\beta > u$, and it follows that $u < v$. \square

Given a subset J' of $\Sigma_1(w, A, I)$, let $H^{J'}$ be the subring of $H^*(X(w, A, I))$ generated by

$$\sigma_s \in \Sigma_1(w, A, I) \setminus J',$$

and let

$$\Sigma(w, A, I)^{J'} = \bigcup_{\sigma \in H^{J'}} \Sigma(\sigma).$$

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that there is a subset $J \subset S \setminus I$. Then the poset isomorphism $i : [1, w]^I \rightarrow \Sigma(w, A, I)$ restricts to another poset isomorphism*

$$i^J : [1, w]^{I \cup J} \rightarrow \Sigma(w, A, I)^{i(J)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that i^J is a bijection. First, we show that $\text{im } i^J \subset \Sigma(w, A, I)^{i(J)}$ by induction on length. Suppose that $v \in [1, w]^{I \cup J}$ and $v = s_1 \cdots s_k$ is a reduced word. Let $u = s_1 v = s_2 \cdots s_k \in [1, w]^{I \cup J}$. Then since $\ell(u) < \ell(v)$, by induction, $\sigma_u \in \Sigma(w, A, I)^{i(J)}$. Apply Lemma 3.3, we conclude that $u^{-1}(\alpha_{s_1}) \in R^+$. Then

$$u^{-1}(\alpha_{s_1}) = v^{-1} s_1(\alpha_{s_1}) = v^{-1}(-\alpha_{s_1}) \in I(v^{-1}).$$

Since $v \in W^{I \cup J}$, by Lemma 3.4 we have $I(v^{-1}) \subset R^+ \setminus R_{I \cup J}^+$. Thus, there exists a simple root $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_{I \cup J}$, such that $\omega_\alpha(u^{-1}(\alpha_{s_1}^\vee)) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sigma_v \in \Sigma(\sigma_{s_\alpha} \sigma_u) \subset \Sigma(w, A, I)^{i(J)}$$

since $u^{-1}v = u^{-1}s_1u$ is the reflection corresponding to the positive root $u^{-1}(\alpha_{s_1})$. Hence the inclusion $\text{im } i^J \subset \Sigma(w, A, I)^{i(J)}$ holds.

Conversely, suppose that $u \in [1, w]^{I \cup J}$, $\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_{I \cup J}$ and $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(\sigma_{s_\alpha} \sigma_u)$. We need to show that $v \in W^{I \cup J}$. Then the lemma follows from the similar induction process. If $v \notin W^{I \cup J}$, then there exists a reduced word $v = s_1 \cdots s_k$ with $s_k \in I \cup J$. Since $u < v$ and $u \in W^{I \cup J}$, u must have the form $u = s_1 \cdots s_{k-1}$. But $\omega_\alpha(\alpha_{s_k}^\vee) = 0$, which contradicts to the assumption $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(\sigma_{s_\alpha} \sigma_u)$. \square

Now we show how to reconstruct the right descent set. We define the *right descent set* of σ_v to be

$$D_R(\sigma_v) = \{\sigma_s \in \Sigma_1(w, A, I) \mid \sigma_v \notin \Sigma(w, A, I)^{\{\sigma_s\}}\}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that $v \in [1, w]^I$. Then the poset isomorphism $i : [1, w]^I \rightarrow \Sigma(w, A, I)$ restricts to a bijection $i_v : D_R(v) \rightarrow D_R(\sigma_v)$.*

Proof. Recall that $s \in D_R(v)$ if and only if $v \notin W^{\{s\}}$. Since $v \in W^I$, $s \in D_R(v)$ if and only if $v \notin W^{I \cup \{s\}}$. Now the result follows from Lemma 4.2. \square

The following lemma will be used to reconstruct the reduced words.

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(w, A, I)$ and $\sigma_t \in D_R(\sigma_v)$. Then there exists a unique maximal element $\sigma_u \prec \sigma_v$ such that $\sigma_u \in \Sigma(w, A, I)^{\{\sigma_t\}}$.*

Proof. Under the identification i , the element u satisfies $u < v$ and $u \in W^{I \cup \{t\}}$. Then by Lemma 3.2, $u \leq u_0$, the minimal representative of v in the coset of $W/W_{I \cup \{t\}}$. But u_0 also satisfies $u_0 < v$ and $u_0 \in W^{I \cup \{t\}}$. Hence the unique maximal σ_u is σ_{u_0} . \square

As a corollary, we obtain the following proposition, which gives a necessary condition.

Proposition 4.5. *If $S(w) \cap I$ is empty but $S(w') \cap I'$ is not, then $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are not isomorphic as algebraic varieties.*

Proof. Using Lemma 3.9, we can assume that w, w' are fully supported. Hence $I = \emptyset \neq I'$. We can find two simple reflections $s', t' \in S'$ such that $s't' \in (1, w')^{I'}$ and $s' \in I$. In fact, taking a reduced word $w' = s'_1 \cdots s'_k$, we let $s' = s'_i$, where i is largest integer such that $s'_i \in I'$, and $t' = s'_j$ with $j > i$ such that s'_i and s'_j cannot commute. Then $s't' = s'_i s'_j \in (1, w')^{I'}$. The integer j exists since otherwise, s_i commutes with all s'_j for all $j > i$, which implies

$$w' = s'_1 \cdots \widehat{s'_i} \cdots s'_k s'_i \notin W^{I'},$$

contradicting to the assumption that $w' \in W^{I'}$.

Suppose that $X(w, A, \emptyset)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are algebraically isomorphic. Then the set $\Sigma_1(w, A, I)$ (resp. the poset $\Sigma(w, A, I)$) can be identified with the set $\Sigma_1(w', A', I')$ (resp. the poset $\Sigma(w', A', I')$). Hence there is a pair

$$(\sigma_t, \sigma_{st}) \in \Sigma_1(w, A, I) \times \Sigma(w, A, I)$$

of Schubert basis elements corresponding to the pair

$$(\sigma_{t'}, \sigma_{s't'}) \in \Sigma_1(w', A', I') \times \Sigma(w', A', I').$$

Consider the unique maximal element $\sigma_u \prec \sigma_{st}$ (resp. $\sigma_{u'} \prec \sigma_{s't'}$) such that $\sigma_u \in \Sigma(w, A, I)^{\{\sigma_t\}}$ (resp. $\sigma_{u'} \in \Sigma(w', A', I')^{\{\sigma_{t'}\}}$), which exists by Lemma 4.4. But $u = s$ and $u' = 1$, so

$$\deg \sigma_u = 2 \neq 0 = \deg \sigma_{u'},$$

which is a contradiction. \square

Now we compute some entries of the Cartan matrix from the cohomology ring.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $s \in S$ and $t \in S \setminus I$ with $s \neq t$. If $st \in (t, w]^I$, then the coefficient of σ_{st} in σ_t^2 is $-a_{st}$.*

Proof. By Chevalley's formula, the coefficient of σ_{st} in σ_t^2 is

$$\omega_{\alpha_t}(t(\alpha_s^\vee)) = \omega_{\alpha_t}(\alpha_s^\vee - a_{st}\alpha_t^\vee) = -a_{st}.$$

\square

Lemma 4.7. *Let $r, s \in S$ and $t \in S \setminus I$ with $rst \in [1, w]^I$ being a reduced word. Then the coefficient of σ_{rst} in $\sigma_t\sigma_{st}$ is $\delta_{rt} - a_{rt} + a_{rs}a_{st}$, where $\delta_{rt} = 1$ if $r = t$, and $\delta_{rt} = 0$ if $r \neq t$.*

Proof. By Chevalley's formula, the coefficient of σ_{rst} in $\sigma_t\sigma_{st}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\alpha_t}(ts(\alpha_r^\vee)) &= \omega_{\alpha_t}(t(\alpha_r^\vee - a_{rs}\alpha_s^\vee)) = \omega_{\alpha_t}(\alpha_r^\vee - a_{rs}\alpha_s^\vee - (a_{rt} - a_{rs}a_{st})\alpha_t^\vee) \\ &= \delta_{rt} - (a_{rt} - a_{rs}a_{st}) = \delta_{rt} - a_{rt} + a_{rs}a_{st}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

4.2. Case $I = \{s\}$. We further assume that $I = \{s\}$. We are primarily interested in this situation.

First, we define the reduced word of $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(w, A, I)$. We let σ_s be a symbol and define

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_1(w, A, I) = \Sigma_1(w, A, I) \cup \{\sigma_s\},$$

and extend the bijection $S \setminus I \rightarrow \Sigma_1(w, A, I)$ to a bijection $S \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_1(w, A, I)$ by sending s to the symbol σ_s . We define the *reduced words* of $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(w, A, I)$ inductively. First, define the reduced word of $\sigma_1 \in H^0(X(w, A, \{s\}))$ to be the singleton of the empty word. Next, suppose that $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(w, A, I)$ with $\sigma_t \in D_R(\sigma_v)$. Take σ_u to be the unique maximal element described in Lemma 3.2.1, and assume that $\deg \sigma_v - \deg \sigma_u = 2n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we define the sequence

$$(\sigma_{s_1}, \dots, \sigma_{s_k}, \dots, \sigma_t, \sigma_s, \sigma_t, \sigma_s)$$

is a reduced of σ_v , where $(\sigma_{s_1}, \dots, \sigma_{s_k})$ is a reduced word of σ_u , and the remaining part $(\dots, \sigma_t, \sigma_s, \sigma_t, \sigma_s)$ of the sequence is an alternating sequence of σ_t and σ_s of length n . We also denote $RW(\sigma_v)$ as the set of reduced words of σ_v , which is obviously nonempty. The following lemma is clear.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $\sigma_v \in \Sigma(w, A, I)$. Then the bijection $S \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}_1$ induces an inclusion*

$$RW(\sigma_u) \hookrightarrow RW(u), \quad (\sigma_{s_1}, \dots, \sigma_{s_k}) \mapsto (s_1, \dots, s_k).$$

The above lemmas allow us to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.9. *Let $A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2}$ and $A' = (a'_{s't'})_{(s',t') \in S'^2}$ be two Cartan matrices with associated Weyl groups W and W' , and sets of simple reflections S and S' , respectively. Let $s \in S$ and $s' \in S'$. Take two fully supported elements $w \in W^{\{s\}}$ and $w' \in W'^{\{s'\}}$. If $X(w, A, \{s\})$ and $X(w', A', \{s'\})$ are algebraically isomorphic, then there is a bijection $\tau : S \rightarrow S'$ sending s to s' such that:*

- (a) *for some reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, $w' = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$ is also a reduced word;*
- (b) *for any $t_1, t_2 \in S$, $a_{t_1 t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$ whenever $t_1 t_2 \leq w$.*

Proof. To simplify notations, we write $I = \{s\}$ and $I' = \{s'\}$. We denote i' as the identification $[1, w']^{I'} \rightarrow \Sigma(w', A', I')$. The bijection τ is taken to be the composition of bijections

$$S \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma_1(w, A, I) \longrightarrow \Sigma_1(w', A', I') \xrightarrow{i'^{-1}} S'.$$

Then τ identifies the reduced words by Lemma 4.8. Hence (a) is proven.

Now we check that (b) holds valid.

Suppose that $t_1, t_2 \in S$ such that $t_1 t_2 \leq w$. If $t_1 = t_2$, then $a_{t_1 t_2} = 2 = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$. If $t_1 t_2 \in (1, w]^I$, then Lemma 4.6 implies that the coefficient of $\sigma_{t_1 t_2}$ and $\sigma_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$ in $\sigma_{t_2}^2$ and $\sigma_{\tau(t_2)}^2$ are $-a_{t_1 t_2}$ and $-a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$, respectively. Hence $a_{t_1 t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$.

For the remaining case, suppose that $t_1 t_2 \notin [1, w]^I$. Then $t_1 t_2 = rs$ for some $r \in S \setminus I$. Taking a reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$ corresponding to a reduced word of σ_w , let i be the maximal integer such that $s_i = s$. We further assume that the reduced word of w is taken to satisfy that i is maximal among all such reduced words. Then $t = s_{i+1} \in S \setminus I$ satisfies $st \neq ts$. If rst is not reduced, then $rst = s$ since $rst \neq r$ or t . It follows that $r = t$ and hence $m_{st} = 2$, which contradicts the assumption that s and t cannot commute. Thus, rst is reduced. If $rst \notin W^I$, then the nonreduced word $rst s = rt$ or st . The former is impossible since otherwise, we will again obtain that $m_{st} = 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $rst s = st$. It follows that the simple reflection $r \in W_{\{s,t\}}$, so $r = t$, and $m_{st} = 3$. Using the correspondence between $RW(\sigma_w)$ and $RW(\sigma_{w'})$, the above argument can be applied to show that $m_{\tau(s)\tau(t)} = 3$, so $a_{ts} = a'_{\tau(t)\tau(s)}$ since $a_{st} = a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)}$ by the argument in the previous paragraph. Finally, if $rst \in W^I$, then Lemma 4.7 implies that

$$\delta_{rt} - a_{rt} + a_{rs} a_{st} = \delta_{\tau(r)\tau(t)} - a'_{\tau(r)\tau(t)} + a'_{\tau(r)\tau(s)} a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)}.$$

Since τ is bijective, $\delta_{rt} = \delta_{\tau(r)\tau(t)}$. Also, applying the argument in the previous paragraph again, we have $a_{rt} = a'_{\tau(r)\tau(t)}$ and $a_{st} = a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)} \neq 0$. Hence $a_{rs} = a'_{\tau(r)\tau(s)}$. In conclusion, we always have $a_{rs} = a'_{\tau(r)\tau(s)}$. Note that the ordered pair $(t_1, t_2) = (r, s)$ or (s, r) , and the latter one can only appear when $rs = sr$. If $(t_1, t_2) = (r, s)$, then $(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)) = (\tau(r), \tau(s))$, and hence $a_{t_1 t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$. Otherwise, $(t_1, t_2) = (s, r)$ and $(\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)) = (\tau(s), \tau(r))$. Since $sr \notin [1, w]^I$, $\tau(s)\tau(r)$ does not lie in $[1, w']^{I'}$. Hence $\tau(s)\tau(r) = \tau(r)\tau(s)$. Therefore, $a_{t_1 t_2} = -1 = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$. \square

5. CONSTRUCTING ISOMORPHISM

This section will study the sufficient conditions and prove the main theorem.

Let $A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2}$ and $A' = (a'_{s't'})_{(s',t') \in S'^2}$ be two Cartan matrices with associated Weyl groups W and W' , and sets of simple reflections S and S' , respectively. Let $I \subset S$ and

$I' \subset S'$. Take two fully supported elements $w \in W^I$ and $w' \in W^{I'}$. We assume that there is a bijection $\tau : S \rightarrow S'$ sending I to I' such that:

- (a) for some reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, $w' = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$;
- (b) for any $t_1, t_2 \in S$, $a_{t_1 t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$ whenever $t_1 t_2 \leq w$.

We want to show that the varieties $X(w, A, \{s\})$ and $X(w', A', \{s'\})$ are algebraically isomorphic. First, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *The bijection $\tau : S \rightarrow S'$ induces a poset isomorphism $[1, w]^I \rightarrow [1, w']^{I'}$.*

Proof. If $v = s_1 \cdots s_k \leq w$ is a reduced word, then we define $\tau(v) = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$. By [8, Lemma 2.2], for any $v \in [1, w]$, $\tau(v)$ is well-defined, and τ induces a bijection

$$RW(v) \rightarrow RW(\tau(v)), \quad (s_1, \dots, s_k) \mapsto (\tau(s_1), \dots, \tau(s_k)),$$

for any $v \in [1, w]$. It follows that τ also induces a bijection

$$D_R(v) \rightarrow D_R(\tau(v)).$$

[8, Lemma 2.4] implies that τ induces a poset isomorphism $[1, w] \rightarrow [1, w']$. Given $v \in [1, w]$, since

$$v \in W^I \iff D_R(v) \cap I = \emptyset \iff D_R(\tau(v)) \cap I' = \emptyset \iff \tau(v) \in W^{I'},$$

the poset isomorphism $[1, w] \rightarrow [1, w']$ restricts to the isomorphism $[1, w]^I \rightarrow [1, w']^{I'}$. \square

In the following lemmas, we add the additional assumption that $a_{st} \leq a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)}$ for all simple reflections $s, t \in S$.

The bijection τ induces a bijection $\tau : \Delta \mapsto \Delta'$ between simple roots, given by $\alpha_s \mapsto \alpha_{\tau(s)}$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ and $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{n}'^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}' \oplus \mathfrak{n}'^+$ be two complex reductive Lie algebras corresponding to A and A' , respectively. The lemma below is [8, Lemma 3.5 (a)].

Lemma 5.2. *There are surjective Lie algebra homomorphisms*

$$\varphi^\pm : \mathfrak{n}^\pm(A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^\pm(A'),$$

given by $e_\alpha \mapsto e'_{\tau(\alpha)}$ and $f_\alpha \mapsto f'_{\tau(\alpha)}$, respectively.

The following lemma is a restatement of [8, Lemma 3.8].

Lemma 5.3. *The homomorphism φ^+ induces an isomorphism*

$$\varphi^+ : \mathfrak{n}^+(A)_v \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^+(A')_{\tau(v)}.$$

If λ and λ' are I and I' -regular weights, then we write $V = L_\lambda$ and $V' = L_{\lambda'}$ with corresponding highest weights ω and ω' , respectively.

Lemma 5.4. *Let λ and λ' be I and I' -regular weights, respectively. If $\lambda(h_\alpha) = \lambda'(h'_{\tau(\alpha)})$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$, then there is a surjective \mathfrak{n}^- -homomorphism $\pi : V \rightarrow V'$, sending the highest weight vector ω to ω' , where V' is regarded as a \mathfrak{n}^- -module via the homomorphism φ^- . The homomorphism π satisfies*

$$\pi(\exp(e)v\omega) = \exp(\varphi^+(e))\tau(v)\omega'$$

for all $e \in \mathfrak{n}^+(A)$ and $v \leq w$.

Remark. The formula makes sense since the Weyl group element v can be lifted to an element in the normalizer of the maximal torus, and different liftings acting on the vector ω give the same result.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. The first part of the lemma is [8, Lemma 3.5 (b)]. The second part is [8, Lemma 3.9]. \square

With the preparation above, we have the following sufficient conditions.

Theorem 5.5. *Let*

$$A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = (a'_{s't'})_{(s',t') \in S'^2}$$

be two Cartan matrices with associated Weyl groups W and W' , and sets of simple reflections S and S' , respectively. Let $I \subset S$ and $I' \subset S'$. Take $w \in W^I$ and $w' \in W'^{I'}$. If there is a bijection $\tau : S(w) \rightarrow S(w')$ sending $S(w) \cap I$ to $S(w') \cap I'$ such that:

- (a) *for some reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, $w' = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$ is also a reduced word;*
- (b) *for any $t_1, t_2 \in S$, $a_{t_1 t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1)\tau(t_2)}$ whenever $t_1 t_2 \leq w$,*

then $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.9, we can suppose that $S(w) = S$ and $S(w') = S'$. First, we assume that $a_{st} \leq a'_{s't'}$. Take λ and λ' to be I and I' -regular weights, respectively. By possibly increasing λ or λ' , we can assume that $\lambda(h_\alpha) = \lambda'(h'_{\tau(\alpha)})$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. Take G (resp. P) to be the reductive group (resp. the parabolic subgroup) corresponding to the flag variety $X(w, A)$. Then a Schubert cell can be uniquely written as $U_v v P/P$ for some $v \in W^I$, where U_v is the unipotent subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{n}^+(A)_v$. Hence under the closed immersion given in Lemma 3.5, every element in $X(w, A, I)$ can be written uniquely as $[\exp(e)v\omega] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$, the line through $\exp(e)v\omega \in V$, for some $v \in [1, w]^I$ and $e \in \mathfrak{n}_v^+$. Then Lemma 5.3 and 5.4 show that π restricts to a bijection between Schubert cells indexed by v and $\tau(v)$. Hence Lemma 5.1 implies that π restricts to a bijection

$$X(w, A, I) \rightarrow X(w', A', I').$$

Since Schubert varieties are normal, $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are isomorphic.

Next we remove the assumption $a_{st} \leq a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)}$. Then we define a Cartan matrix $A'' = (a''_{st})$, where $a''_{st} = \max\{a_{st}, a'_{\tau(s)\tau(t)}\}$. Compared to the Dynkin diagram of A , the one of A'' is just the diagram obtained by removing several edges, so it is still of finite type. Based on the argument above, we conclude that

$$X(w, A, I) \cong X(w, A'', I) \cong X(w', A', I').$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Combining Lemma 3.9, Proposition 4.9, and Theorem 5.5, we conclude that conditions 1 and 2 in our main theorem are equivalent. The last statement in the main theorem follows from Proposition 4.5. \square

As one may notice that the sufficient condition given in theorem 5.5 is a generalization of the one in the main theorem, one may conjecture that the necessary condition stated in Proposition 4.9 can also be generalized to all equally supported pairs.

Conjecture 5.6. *Let*

$$A = (a_{st})_{(s,t) \in S^2} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = (a'_{s't'})_{(s',t') \in S'^2}$$

be two Cartan matrices with associated Weyl groups W and W' , and sets of simple reflections S and S' , respectively. Let $I \subset S$ and $I' \subset S'$. Take $w \in W^I$ and $w' \in W'^{I'}$. Assume that $|S(w)| = |S(w')|$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) *the Schubert varieties $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are algebraically isomorphic;*
- (2) *there exists a bijection $\tau : S(w) \rightarrow S(w')$ sending $S(w) \cap I$ to $S(w') \cap I'$, such that:*
 - (a) *for some reduced word $w = s_1 \cdots s_k$, $w' = \tau(s_1) \cdots \tau(s_k)$ is also a reduced word;*
 - (b) *for any $t_1, t_2 \in S(w)$, $a_{t_1, t_2} = a'_{\tau(t_1), \tau(t_2)}$ whenever $t_1 t_2 \leq w$.*

The main theorem can be deduced from Conjecture 5.6 as follows. Suppose that there exists a bijection $\sigma : S(w) \rightarrow S(w')$ sending $S(w) \cap I$ to $S(w') \cap I$ such that the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 1.1 holds. Then the assumption $|S(w)| = |S(w')|$ in Conjecture 5.6 holds, so $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are isomorphic by the equivalence of conditions 1 and 2 given in Conjecture 5.6. On the other hand, suppose that $X(w, A, I)$ and $X(w', A', I')$ are isomorphic. By Lemma 3.7, we have

$$|S(w) \cap I| = |\Sigma_1(w, A, I)| = |\Sigma_1(w', A', I')| = |S(w') \cap I'|.$$

The identity $|S(w) \setminus I| = |S(w') \setminus I'|$, given by Proposition 4.5, implies that $|S(w)| = |S(w')|$, so we may apply Conjecture 5.6 to finish the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anders Björner and Francesco Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter groups*. Vol. 231. Springer, 2005.
- [2] Mike Develin, Jeremy L Martin, and Victor Reiner. “Classification of Ding’s Schubert varieties: finer rook equivalence”. In: *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* 59.1 (2007), pp. 36–62.
- [3] William Fulton and Chris Woodward. “On the quantum product of Schubert classes”. In: *arXiv preprint math/0112183* (2001).
- [4] James E Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. 29. Cambridge university press, 1992.
- [5] Alexander A Kirillov. *An introduction to Lie groups and Lie algebras*. Vol. 113. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [6] Shrawan Kumar. *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*. Vol. 204. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [7] Edward Richmond and William Slofstra. “Billiey–Postnikov decompositions and the fibre bundle structure of Schubert varieties”. In: *Mathematische Annalen* 366 (2016), pp. 31–55.
- [8] Edward Richmond and William Slofstra. “The isomorphism problem for Schubert varieties”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.08114* (2021).
- [9] Edward Richmond, Mihail Țarigradschi, and Weihong Xu. “The isomorphism problem for cominuscul Schubert varieties”. In: *Selecta Mathematica* 30.3 (2024), p. 38.

(YanJun Chen) SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, THE CHINESE UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG,
SHENZHEN, 2001 LONGXIANG AVENUE, SHENZHEN, CHINA
Email address: 121090063@link.cuhk.edu.cn