

POSTNIKOV–STANLEY POLYNOMIALS ARE LORENTZIAN

SERENA AN, KATHERINE TUNG, AND YUCHONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Postnikov–Stanley polynomials D_u^w are a generalization of skew dual Schubert polynomials to the setting of arbitrary Weyl groups. We prove that Postnikov–Stanley polynomials are Lorentzian by showing that they are degree polynomials of Richardson varieties. Our result yields an interesting class of Lorentzian polynomials related to the geometry of Richardson varieties, generalizes the result that dual Schubert polynomials are Lorentzian (Huh–Matherne–Mészáros–St. Dizier 2022), and resolves the conjecture that Postnikov–Stanley polynomials have M-convex support (An–Tung–Zhang 2024).

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a Weyl group W generated by the simple reflections $s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_r}$ corresponding to the simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$. The Postnikov–Stanley polynomials $\{D_u^w\}_{u \leq w \in W}$ [39] are defined as follows. For any λ in the weight lattice Λ , let x_i denote the inner product (λ, α_i^\vee) induced by the Killing form, where α^\vee is the coroot $\frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ corresponding to the root $\alpha \in \Phi$. Equivalently, we have $\lambda = x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_r\omega_r$, where $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ are the fundamental weights. For a reflection s_α and a covering relation $u \triangleleft us_\alpha$ in the strong Bruhat order of W , the *Chevalley multiplicity* is defined by

$$m(u \triangleleft us_\alpha) := (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i(\lambda, \alpha_i^\vee) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i x_i,$$

where c_i are nonnegative integers determined by α and the Lie type of W . Let the saturated chain $C = (u_0 \triangleleft u_1 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft u_\ell)$ have weight

$$m_C := m(u_0 \triangleleft u_1)m(u_1 \triangleleft u_2) \cdots m(u_{\ell-1} \triangleleft u_\ell).$$

Definition 1.1. ([39]) Given a Weyl group W of a rank r root system and elements $u, w \in W$, the *Postnikov–Stanley polynomial* $D_u^w \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ is defined by

$$D_u^w(x_1, \dots, x_r) := \frac{1}{(\ell(w) - \ell(u))!} \sum_C m_C(x_1, \dots, x_r),$$

where $\ell(w)$ and $\ell(u)$ denote the Coxeter length of w and u respectively, and the sum is over all saturated chains C from u to w in the strong Bruhat order of W . As an abuse of notation, we also write $D_u^w(\lambda) := D_u^w(x_1, \dots, x_r)$, where $\lambda = x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_r\omega_r$ for fundamental weights $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$.

Richardson varieties were introduced by Kazhdan and Lusztig [25] in 1980 and named after Richardson in honor of his work [40] in 1992. Historically, Richardson varieties in Grassmannians can be traced back to Hodge [22] in 1942. Richardson varieties play an essential role in understanding intersection theory of flag varieties and have been linked with algebraic geometry, representation theory, and combinatorics. For instance, Deodhar

[15] explored their relationship with Kazhdan–Lusztig theory, Brion and Lakshmibai [9] advanced standard monomial theory, and Marsh and Rietsch [33] studied totally nonnegative parts of flag varieties. Further applications of Richardson varieties span several domains, including equivariant K -theory [29], order polytopes [45, 31] and Catalan combinatorics [32]. In recent years, Richardson varieties have attracted increasing interest [5, 27, 28, 4, 11, 26, 41, 13]. Here, we work with *closed* Richardson varieties R_u^w , which are the scheme-theoretical intersection $X^w \cap X_u$ of a Schubert variety X^w and an opposite Schubert variety X_u .

In this paper, we establish a connection between Postnikov–Stanley polynomials and the geometry of Richardson varieties. We show that the λ -degree of a Richardson variety R_u^w can be expressed as $(\ell(w) - \ell(u))! \cdot D_u^w(\lambda)$. The λ -degree counts the number of points in the intersection of $e(R_u^w)$ and a generic linear subspace of complex codimension $\ell(w) - \ell(u)$ in $\mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$, where V_λ is the irreducible representation of the Lie group G corresponding to the dominant weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, and $e : G/B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V_\lambda)$ is the Borel–Weil mapping of the flag variety G/B . Furthermore, we show that the volume of the Newton–Okounkov body $\Delta_{w_0}(R_u^w, \lambda)$ is also given by the Postnikov–Stanley polynomial $D_u^w(\lambda)$.

This geometric perspective helps us contribute to the theory of Lorentzian polynomials [8]. The theory of completely log-concave polynomials, which when homogeneous are exactly the Lorentzian polynomials, was simultaneously and independently developed in a series of papers beginning with [3], but we use the term “Lorentzian” in this paper for concision. Inspired by Hodge’s index theorem for projective varieties, in 2019, Brändén and Huh defined Lorentzian polynomials, which are a class of polynomials with deep applications in combinatorics [8]. They developed a theory around these polynomials that enabled them and many others to prove a series of long-standing conjectures about the log-concavity and unimodality of coefficients of specializations of the Tutte polynomial [34, 21, 42, 46, 10]. Typical examples of Lorentzian polynomials include homogeneous stable polynomials and volume polynomials of convex bodies and projective varieties [8]. Within algebraic combinatorics, notable examples are dual Schubert and normalized Schur polynomials [23], multivariate Tutte polynomials of morphisms of matroids for sufficiently small parameters [17], and normalizations of certain projections of integer point transforms of flow polytopes [35]. In 2024, Nadeau, Spink, and Tewari showed that toric Richardson varieties have volume polynomials equal to a family of degree polynomials associated to skew Schur polynomials indexed by ribbon shapes [38]. These volume polynomials are Lorentzian, giving another interesting family of Lorentzian polynomials. Lorentzian polynomials also have M -convex support, which is equivalent to having a generalized permutahedron as its Newton polytope and having a saturated Newton polytope. This latter property is interesting in its own right, sparking much recent interest [36, 18, 12, 2].

Building on work of Brändén and Huh [8] regarding volume polynomials, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.2. For any Weyl group W and elements $u \leq w \in W$, the Postnikov–Stanley polynomial D_u^w is Lorentzian.

This theorem generalizes [23, Proposition 18]: by letting W be of type A and $u = \text{id}$, we obtain that dual Schubert polynomials are Lorentzian. The following is a straightforward corollary of Theorem 1.2.

Corollary 1.3. (cf. [2, Conjecture 5.1]) Postnikov–Stanley polynomials have M -convex support; equivalently, their Newton polytopes are saturated and are generalized permutahedra.

This paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we give background and definitions. In [Section 3](#), we prove that Postnikov–Stanley polynomials are degree polynomials of Richardson varieties. In [Section 4](#), we prove that Postnikov–Stanley polynomials are Lorentzian.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is a continuation of research conducted under the mentorship of Shiyun Wang and Meagan Kenney at the University of Minnesota Combinatorics and Algebra REU. We thank Shiliang Gao for his guidance. We also thank Grant Barkley, Christian Gaetz, Yibo Gao, Joe Harris, June Huh, Pavlo Pylyavskyy, Vasu Tewari, and Lauren Williams for helpful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Flag varieties. In this paper, we use standard terminology in Weyl groups and Schubert calculus. The readers are referred to [[7](#), Chapter 4] and [[19](#), Chapter 2] for a more detailed exposition.

Let G/B be a *flag variety*, where G is a complex semisimple simply-connected Lie group, and B is a Borel subgroup containing a maximal torus T . Let B_- be the opposite Borel subgroup of G determined by $B \cap B_- = T$. The Weyl group W is defined by $W \cong N(T)/T$, where $N(T)$ is the normalizer of T in G .

Let Φ be the root system associated with W , and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be the simple roots in Φ . Define V as the \mathbb{R} -linear space spanned by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$, equipped with an inner product (\cdot, \cdot) induced by the Killing form. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$, the corresponding *coroot* is defined by $\alpha^\vee := \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$.

The *fundamental weights* $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \in V$ are defined by the condition $(\omega_i, \alpha_j^\vee) = \delta_{i,j}$, where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta. The *weight lattice* Λ is given by

$$\Lambda := \{x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_r\omega_r \mid x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and the subset of *dominant weights* Λ^+ is

$$\Lambda^+ := \{x_1\omega_1 + \dots + x_r\omega_r \mid x_1, \dots, x_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

For the weight lattice Λ , we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. ([[44](#), Proposition 2.3]) There is an isomorphism of abelian groups between the Picard group $\text{Pic}(G/B)$ and the weight lattice Λ under vector addition.

We use \mathcal{L}_λ to denote the line bundle in $\text{Pic}(G/B)$ corresponding to the weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

2.2. Bruhat order. In this paper, we use standard terminology for the (strong) Bruhat order. Readers are referred to [[6](#), Chapter 2] for a more detailed exposition.

Let W be a Weyl group. Each element $w \in W$ can be expressed as a product of *simple reflections* s_{α_i} . If $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is expressed as a product of simple reflections with ℓ minimal among all such expressions, then the string $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ is called a *reduced decomposition* of w . We call $\ell := \ell(w)$ the (*Coxeter*) *length* of w .

Definition 2.2. ([[6](#)]) The (*strong*) *Bruhat order* \leq on a Weyl group W is defined as follows. Let $u, v \in W$ and $\ell = \ell(v)$. We have $u \leq v$ if and only if for any reduced decomposition $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ of v , there exists a reduced decomposition $s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$ of u such that $s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_k}$ is a (not necessarily consecutive) substring of $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$. If additionally $\ell(v) = \ell(u) + 1$, we write $u \lessdot v$.




FIGURE 1. Calculating the Postnikov–Stanley polynomial D_{213}^{321} in A_2 .

For $u \leq w$ in the Bruhat order of W , the (*Bruhat*) *interval* $[u, w]$ is the subsubset containing all $v \in W$ such that $u \leq v \leq w$.

2.3. Postnikov–Stanley polynomials. We defined Postnikov–Stanley polynomials D_u^w for $u \leq w \in W$ in [Definition 1.1](#). The *skew dual Schubert polynomials* are Postnikov–Stanley polynomials for which W is of type A, and the *dual Schubert polynomials* are Postnikov–Stanley polynomials for which W is of type A and $u = \text{id}$.

Example 2.3. Let $W = A_2$, and let $u = 213$ and $w = 321$. In the Bruhat order of W , there are two saturated chains from u to w , namely $213 \triangleleft 231 \triangleleft 321$ and $213 \triangleleft 312 \triangleleft 321$, as shown in [Figure 1](#). The first chain has weight x_1x_2 and the second chain has weight $(x_1 + x_2) \cdot x_2$. Thus, $D_{213}^{321} = \frac{1}{2!}(x_1x_2 + (x_1 + x_2) \cdot x_2)$. Note that D_{213}^{321} is a Postnikov–Stanley polynomial and a skew dual Schubert polynomial, but not a dual Schubert polynomial.

2.4. Schubert varieties and Richardson varieties. The *Schubert variety* X^w in G/B is defined as the B -orbit closure $\overline{BwB/B} \subseteq G/B$, and the *opposite Schubert variety* X_w in G/B is defined as the B_- -orbit closure $\overline{B_-wB/B} \subseteq G/B$. The *Schubert class* σ_w is defined in the cohomology ring $H^*(G/B)$ as $\sigma_w := [X^{w_0w}]$, where w_0 is the element of maximal Coxeter length in the Weyl group W .

A *Richardson variety*, defined as the intersection of a Schubert variety and an opposite Schubert variety, is given as follows.

Definition 2.4. ([\[25\]](#)) For $u \leq w$ in the Bruhat order of a Weyl group W , the (*closed*) *Richardson variety* is the intersection $X^w \cap X_u$ within G/B .

Since the intersection $X^w \cap X_u$ is transverse, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.5. ([\[43\]](#)) Given a Richardson variety R_u^w , the Richardson class $[R_u^w] \in H^*(G/B)$ is given by the formula $[R_u^w] = [X^w] \cdot [X_u] = \sigma_{w_0w} \cdot \sigma_u$.

2.5. Lorentzian polynomials. In this section, we define Lorentzian polynomials and state a crucial theorem for proving [Theorem 1.2](#).

Definition 2.6. ([37]) A subset $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ is *M-convex* if for any index $i \in [n]$ and any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{J}$ whose i th coordinates satisfy $\alpha_i > \beta_i$, there is an index $j \in [n]$ satisfying

$$\alpha_j < \beta_j \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha - e_i + e_j \in \mathcal{J} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta - e_j + e_i \in \mathcal{J}.$$

A polynomial $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is *M-convex* if its *support* $\text{supp}(f) := \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \mid c_\alpha \neq 0\}$ is an M-convex set.

Definition 2.7. ([23, Definition 5]; see also [8]) Let $h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a degree d homogeneous polynomial, and let $e = d - 2$. We say that h is *strictly Lorentzian* if all the coefficients of h are positive and the quadratic form $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_e}} h$ has the signature $(+, -, \dots, -)$ for any $i_1, \dots, i_e \in [n]$.

We say that h is *Lorentzian* if it satisfies any one of the following equivalent conditions.

- (1) All the coefficients of h are nonnegative, the support of h is M-convex, and the quadratic form $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_e}} h$ has at most one positive eigenvalue for any $i_1, \dots, i_e \in [n]$.
- (2) All the coefficients of h are nonnegative and, for any $i_1, i_2, \dots \in [n]$ and any positive k , the functions h and $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} h$ are either identically zero or log-concave on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$.
- (3) The polynomial h is a limit of strictly Lorentzian polynomials.

Recall that given a projective variety X , a line bundle \mathcal{L} on X is *numerically effective* (*nef*) if $\int_C c_1(\mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for all irreducible curves $C \subseteq X$, where $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ is the first Chern class of \mathcal{L} , and $\int_C : H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is the map that evaluates a cohomology class on the fundamental class of C in $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. A Cartier divisor D on X is *nef* if the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is nef. For further details, see [30, Chapter 1].

Theorem 2.8. ([8, Theorem 4.6]) Let $\mathbf{H} = (H_1, \dots, H_r)$ be a collection of nef Cartier divisors on an ℓ -dimensional irreducible projective variety X over an algebraically closed field. Then the volume polynomial

$$\text{vol}_{X, \mathbf{H}}(x_1, \dots, x_r) := (x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2 + \cdots + x_r H_r)^\ell$$

is Lorentzian.

3. DEGREE POLYNOMIALS OF RICHARDSON VARIETIES

In this section, we prove that Postnikov–Stanley polynomials are degree polynomials of Richardson varieties. Before defining degree polynomials, we recall the definition of a *Poincaré pairing*.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} of dimension n , and let $H^i(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the i th cohomology group with integer coefficients. The *Poincaré pairing* is a non-degenerate bilinear form

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

defined by the composition of the cup product $\cdot : H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}) \times H^{2n-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and evaluation $\int_X : H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ of a cohomology class on the fundamental class of X in $H_{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$; that is,

$$\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle = \int_X \theta_1 \cdot \theta_2.$$

We restrict our attention to the case of $X = G/B$ a flag variety and work with the cohomology ring $H^*(G/B)$ expressed in the basis of Schubert classes $\{\sigma_w : w \in W\}$. In this context, the Poincaré pairing $\langle \theta_1, \theta_2 \rangle$ is the coefficient of the top-degree Schubert class σ_{w_0} in the expansion of $\theta_1 \cdot \theta_2$ in terms of Schubert classes, where σ_{w_0} corresponds to the longest element w_0 in the Weyl group W .

Let λ denote the weight $x_1\omega_1 + \cdots + x_r\omega_r \in \Lambda$, and let \mathcal{L}_λ be the line bundle associated with λ from [Theorem 2.1](#). Let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$ of \mathcal{L}_λ .

Definition 3.2. The λ -degree $\deg_\lambda(X)$ of an ℓ -dimensional irreducible subvariety X of G/B is defined as the Poincaré pairing $\langle \bar{\lambda}^\ell, [X] \rangle$.

Let D denote the Cartier divisor associated with the line bundle \mathcal{L}_λ . The λ -degree $\langle \bar{\lambda}^\ell, [X] \rangle$ represents the intersection product $(D^\ell \cdot X)$, which corresponds to the self-intersection number $\int_X (D)^\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. For further details, see [\[30, Chapter 1\]](#).

The main result of this section is that a Postnikov-Stanley polynomial evaluated at λ gives the λ -degree of the corresponding Richardson variety up to multiplication by a scalar.

Proposition 3.3. Given $u, w \in W$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, the λ -degree $\deg_\lambda(R_u^w)$ of the Richardson variety R_u^w is given by

$$\deg_\lambda(R_u^w) = (\ell(w) - \ell(u))! \cdot D_u^w(\lambda).$$

Our [Proposition 3.3](#) is a direct generalization of [\[39, Proposition 4.2\]](#) from the setting of Schubert varieties to that of Richardson varieties, and is proved along similar lines. Before presenting the proof, we give an example, the Chevalley formula, and a few technical lemmas.

Example 3.4. Continuing [Example 2.3](#), let $W = A_2$, $u = 213$, and $w = 321$. Consider the simple roots $\alpha_1 = (1, -1, 0)$ and $\alpha_2 = (0, 1, -1)$, and let $V = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. We obtain fundamental weights $\omega_1 = (\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3})$ and $\omega_2 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{2}{3})$ in V . Let λ be the dominant weight $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = (1, 0, -1)$, so $x_1 = x_2 = 1$. Then

$$\deg_\lambda R_u^w = (\ell(w) - \ell(u))! \cdot D_u^w(1, 1) = 2! \cdot \frac{3}{2} = 3.$$

Lemma 3.5. ([\[14\]](#)) Given a Weyl group W and a Schubert class σ_w , we have

$$\bar{\lambda} \cdot \sigma_w = \sum_{\alpha} (\lambda, \alpha^\vee) \sigma_{ws_\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} m(w \triangleleft ws_\alpha) \sigma_{ws_\alpha},$$

where the sum is over all roots $\alpha \in \Phi$ such that $\ell(ws_\alpha) = \ell(w) + 1$.

As a corollary, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.6. Given a Weyl group W and a Schubert class σ_u , we have for any positive integer ℓ that

$$\bar{\lambda}^\ell \cdot \sigma_u = \ell! \cdot \sum_{w' \in W: \ell(w') = \ell(u) + \ell} D_u^{w'}(\lambda) \sigma_{w'}.$$

Proof. By [Definition 1.1](#), we wish to show

$$\bar{\lambda}^\ell \cdot \sigma_u = \sum_{w'} \sum_{u = u_0 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft u_\ell = w'} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} m(u_{i-1} \triangleleft u_i) \sigma_{w'}.$$

We use induction on ℓ , with the base case $\ell = 1$ following from [Lemma 3.5](#). For the inductive step, suppose the result holds for $\ell - 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{\lambda}^\ell \cdot \sigma_u &= \sum_v \sum_{u=u_0 < \dots < u_{\ell-1}=v} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m(u_{i-1} < u_i) (\bar{\lambda} \cdot \sigma_v) \\
 &= \sum_v \sum_{u=u_0 < \dots < u_{\ell-1}=v} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m(u_{i-1} < u_i) \left(\sum_{v < w'} m(v < w') \sigma_{w'} \right) && \text{Lemma 3.5} \\
 &= \sum_{w'} \sum_v \sum_{u=u_0 < \dots < u_{\ell-1}=v < w'} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} m(u_{i-1} < u_i) \right) \cdot m(v < w') \sigma_{w'} \\
 &= \sum_{w'} \sum_{u=u_0 < \dots < u_\ell=w'} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} m(u_{i-1} < u_i) \sigma_{w'},
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The next lemma will help us compute the Poincaré pairing.

Lemma 3.7. ([39]) Given a Weyl group W and Schubert classes σ_u and $\sigma_{w_0 w}$, we have $\langle \sigma_u, \sigma_{w_0 w} \rangle = \delta_{u,w}$, where $\delta_{u,w}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Proof of Proposition 3.3. Let $\ell := \ell(w) - \ell(u)$. By [Definition 3.2](#) and [Proposition 2.5](#), we have

$$\deg_\lambda(R_u^w) = \langle [R_u^w], \bar{\lambda}^\ell \rangle = \langle [X^w] \cdot [X_u], \bar{\lambda}^\ell \rangle = \langle \sigma_{w_0 w} \cdot \sigma_u, \bar{\lambda}^\ell \rangle,$$

which corresponds to the coefficient of σ_{w_0} in $\sigma_{w_0 w} \cdot \sigma_u \cdot \bar{\lambda}^\ell$. By [Lemma 3.6](#), we have $\sigma_u \cdot \bar{\lambda}^\ell = \ell! \cdot \sum_{w'} D_u^{w'}(\lambda) \sigma_{w'}$, where the sum is over all $w' \in W$ such that $\ell(w') = \ell(u) + \ell$. Therefore,

$$\deg_\lambda(R_u^w) = \ell! \cdot \sum_{w'} D_u^{w'}(\lambda) \langle \sigma_{w_0 w}, \sigma_{w'} \rangle = \ell! \cdot D_u^w(\lambda),$$

where the last equality follows from [Lemma 3.7](#). \square

Remark 3.8. As a corollary of [Proposition 3.3](#) and [24, Theorem 2.5] (see also [1, Remark 3.9(iii)]), Postnikov–Stanley polynomials $D_u^w(\lambda)$ represent the volume of the *Newton–Okounkov body* $\Delta_{w_0}(R_u^w, \lambda)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure of dimension $\ell(w) - \ell(u)$. For the definition of $\Delta_{w_0}(R_u^w, \lambda)$, see, for example, [24, Section 2.5].

4. POSTNIKOV–STANLEY POLYNOMIALS ARE LORENTZIAN

In this section, we prove [Theorem 1.2](#). We require the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. ([20, Proposition 2.2.10]) Let G/B be a flag variety, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ a weight, and \mathcal{L}_λ the corresponding line bundle. Then \mathcal{L}_λ is a nef line bundle if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By [Lemma 4.1](#), the line bundle \mathcal{L}_{ω_i} corresponding to any fundamental weight $\omega_i \in \Lambda^+$ is a nef line bundle. Then the Cartier divisor D_i corresponding to the first Chern class $\bar{\omega}_i := c_1(\mathcal{L}_{\omega_i})$ is a nef Cartier divisor for each i . Let D denote the Cartier divisor corresponding to $c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)$. Since $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \omega_i$, we have $\bar{\lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \bar{\omega}_i$ and $D = \sum_{i=1}^r x_i D_i$.

By [Proposition 3.3](#) and [Definition 3.2](#), we have

$$(\ell(w) - \ell(u))! \cdot D_u^w(\lambda) = \deg_\lambda(R_u^w) = \langle (c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda))^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)}, [R_u^w] \rangle = \int_{G/B} (c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda))^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} \cdot [R_u^w].$$

Moreover, by [[16](#), Proposition 1.31], we have

$$\int_{G/B} (c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda))^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} \cdot [R_u^w] = (D^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} \cdot R_u^w) = \int_{G/B} (c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{R_u^w}))^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)}.$$

Let $D'_i := D_i \cap R_u^w$ be the Cartier divisor corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_i}|_{R_u^w}$, and let $D' := D \cap R_u^w$ be the Cartier divisor corresponding to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{R_u^w}$. By [[30](#), Example 1.4.4], since each \mathcal{L}_{ω_i} is a nef line bundle, each $\mathcal{L}_{\omega_i}|_{R_u^w}$ is a nef line bundle, and thus each D'_i is a nef Cartier divisor. Moreover, we have

$$\int_{G/B} (c_1(\mathcal{L}_\lambda|_{R_u^w}))^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} = (D')^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i D'_i \right)^{\ell(w)-\ell(u)} = \text{vol}_{R_u^w, \mathbf{D}'}(x_1, \dots, x_r),$$

where $\mathbf{D}' := (D'_1, \dots, D'_r)$, and the last equality is by [Theorem 2.8](#). Since

$$D_u^w(\lambda) = \frac{1}{(\ell(w) - \ell(u))!} \cdot \text{vol}_{R_u^w, \mathbf{D}'}(x_1, \dots, x_r)$$

and a nonzero multiple of a volume polynomial is Lorentzian, we obtain the desired result. \square

Proof of [Corollary 1.3](#). This follows from [Theorem 1.2](#) and [Definition 2.7](#) (1). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Valery Alexeev and Michel Brion. Toric degenerations of spherical varieties. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 10(4):453–478, 2004.
- [2] Serena An, Katherine Tung, and Yuchong Zhang. Newton polytopes of dual Schubert polynomials, 2024.
- [3] Nima Anari, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant. Log-concave polynomials, I: entropy and a deterministic approximation algorithm for counting bases of matroids. *Duke Math. J.*, 170(16):3459–3504, 2021.
- [4] Sarjick Bakshi, S. Senthamarai Kannan, and Subrahmanyam K. Venkata. Torus quotients of Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(2):891–914, 2020.
- [5] Sara Billey and Izzet Coskun. Singularities of generalized Richardson varieties. *Comm. Algebra*, 40(4):1466–1495, 2012.
- [6] Anders Bjorner and Francesco Brenti. *Combinatorics of Coxeter Groups*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 01 2005.
- [7] Armand Borel. *Linear algebraic groups*, volume 126 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1991.
- [8] Petter Brändén and June Huh. Lorentzian polynomials. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 192(3):821–891, 2020.
- [9] M. Brion and V. Lakshmibai. A geometric approach to standard monomial theory. *Represent. Theory*, 7:651–680, 2003.
- [10] Thomas Brylawski. The Tutte polynomial I. general theory. *Matroid theory and its applications*, pages 125–275, 1982.
- [11] Mahir Bilen Can and Pinakinath Saha. Toric Richardson varieties, 2023.
- [12] Castillo, Cid Ruiz, Mohammadi, and Montano. Double Schubert polynomials do have saturated Newton polytopes. *Forum Math Sigma*, 11:e100, 2023.
- [13] Melody Chan and Nathan Pflueger. Relative Richardson varieties. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 175(1):161–186, 2023.
- [14] C. Chevalley. Sur les décompositions cellulaires des espaces G/B . In *Algebraic groups and their generalizations: classical methods (University Park, PA, 1991)*, volume 56, Part 1 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 1–23. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994. With a foreword by Armand Borel.

- [15] Vinay V. Deodhar. On some geometric aspects of Bruhat orderings. I. A finer decomposition of Bruhat cells. *Invent. Math.*, 79(3):499–511, 1985.
- [16] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and All That: A Second Course in Algebraic Geometry*. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [17] Christopher Eur and June Huh. Logarithmic concavity for morphisms of matroids. *Adv. Math.*, 367:107094, 19, 2020.
- [18] Fink, Mészáros, and St. Dizier. Schubert polynomials as integer point transforms of generalized permutahedra. *Advances in Math*, 332:465–475, 2018.
- [19] William Fulton and Piotr Pragacz. *Schubert varieties and degeneracy loci*, volume 1689 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. Appendix J by the authors in collaboration with I. Ciocan-Fontanine.
- [20] Charles Hague. *Cohomology of flag varieties and the BK-filtration*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2007. Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- [21] A. P. Heron. Matroid polynomials. In *Combinatorics (Proc. Conf. Combinatorial Math., Math. Inst., Oxford, 1972)*, pages 164–202. Inst. Math. Appl., Southend-on-Sea, 1972.
- [22] W. V. D. Hodge. The intersection formulae for a Grassmannian variety. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 17:48–64, 1942.
- [23] June Huh, Jacob P. Matherne, Karola Mészáros, and Avery St. Dizier. Logarithmic concavity of Schur and related polynomials. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 375(6):4411–4427, 2022.
- [24] Kiumars Kaveh. Note on cohomology rings of spherical varieties and volume polynomial. *J. Lie Theory*, 21(2):263–283, 2011.
- [25] David Kazhdan and George Lusztig. Schubert varieties and Poincaré duality. In *Geometry of the Laplace operator (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979)*, volume XXXVI of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 185–203. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1980.
- [26] Allen Knutson, Thomas Lam, and David E. Speyer. Projections of Richardson varieties. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 687:133–157, 2014.
- [27] Allen Knutson, Alexander Woo, and Alexander Yong. Singularities of Richardson varieties. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 20(2):391–400, 2013.
- [28] Shrawan Kumar and Karl Schwede. Richardson varieties have Kawamata log terminal singularities. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2014(3):842–864, 11 2012.
- [29] V. Lakshmibai and P. Littelmann. Richardson varieties and equivariant K -theory. *Journal of Algebra*, 260(1):230–260, 2003. Special Issue Celebrating the 80th Birthday of Robert Steinberg.
- [30] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [31] Eunjeong Lee, Mikiya Masuda, and Seonjeong Park. Toric Bruhat interval polytopes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 179:Paper No. 105387, 41, 2021.
- [32] Eunjeong Lee, Mikiya Masuda, and Seonjeong Park. Toric Richardson varieties of Catalan type and Wedderburn-Etherington numbers. *European J. Combin.*, 108:Paper No. 103617, 25, 2023.
- [33] R. J. Marsh and K. Rietsch. Parametrizations of flag varieties. *Represent. Theory*, 8:212–242, 2004.
- [34] J. H. Mason. Matroids: unimodal conjectures and Motzkin’s theorem. In *Combinatorics (Proc. Conf. Combinatorial Math., Math. Inst., Oxford, 1972)*, pages 207–220. Inst. Math. Appl., Southend-on-Sea, 1972.
- [35] Karola Mészáros and Linus Setiabrata. Lorentzian polynomials from polytope projections. *Algebr. Comb.*, 4(4):723–739, 2021.
- [36] Cara Monical, Neriman Tokcan, and Alexander Yong. Newton polytopes in algebraic combinatorics. *Selecta Mathematica*, 25, 2019.
- [37] Kazuo Murota. *Discrete Convex Analysis*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2003.
- [38] Philippe Nadeau, Hunter Spink, and Vasu Tewari. The geometry of quasisymmetric coinvariants, 2024.
- [39] Alexander Postnikov and Richard P. Stanley. Chains in the Bruhat order. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 29(2):133–174, 2009.
- [40] R. W. Richardson. Intersections of double cosets in algebraic groups. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 3(1):69–77, 1992.

- [41] L. Rigal and P. Zadunaisky. Quantum analogues of Richardson varieties in the Grassmannian and their toric degeneration. *J. Algebra*, 372:293–317, 2012.
- [42] Gian-Carlo Rota. Combinatorial theory, old and new. *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970)*, 3:229–233, 1971.
- [43] David E Speyer. Richardson varieties, projected Richardson varieties, and positroid varieties, 2023.
- [44] J.-P. Tignol and A.S. Merkurjev. The multipliers of similitudes and the Brauer group of homogeneous varieties. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 461:13–48, 1995.
- [45] E. Tsukerman and L. Williams. Bruhat interval polytopes. *Adv. Math.*, 285:766–810, 2015.
- [46] D.J.A. Welsh. *Matroid theory*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, 1976.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Email address: `anser@mit.edu`

HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Email address: `katherinetung@college.harvard.edu`

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Email address: `zongxun@umich.edu`