# $\Delta$ INVARIANTS OF PLUMBED MANIFOLDS

SHIMAL HARICHURN, ANDRÁS NÉMETHI, JOSEF SVOBODA

ABSTRACT. We study the minimal q-exponent  $\Delta$  in the BPS q-series  $\widehat{Z}$  of negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds equipped with a spin<sup>c</sup>-structure. We express  $\Delta$  of Seifert manifolds in terms of an invariant commonly used in singularity theory. We provide several examples illustrating the interesting behaviour of  $\Delta$  for non-Seifert manifolds. Finally, we compare  $\Delta$  invariants with correction terms in Heegaard–Floer homology.

#### CONTENTS

| 1. Introduction  | 1  |
|--|----|
| Acknowledgement  | 3  |
| 2. Preliminaries   | 3  |
| 2.1. Plumbed 3-manifolds   | 3  |
| 2.2. Spin <sup>c</sup> structures  | 3  |
| 2.3. The $\widehat{Z}_b$ invariants                                      | 4  |
| 3. $\Delta_b$ invariants   | 4  |
| 3.1. The exponents of $\widehat{Z}_b$                                    | 5  |
| 3.2. Cancellations   | 6  |
| 4. $\Delta$ for Seifert Manifolds  | 7  |
| 4.1. Seifert manifolds   | 7  |
| 4.2. $\Delta_{can}$ of Seifert manifolds                                 | 7  |
| 4.3. Lens spaces   | 8  |
| 5. Beyond Seifert manifolds  | 9  |
| 5.1. Splice diagrams   | 10 |
| 5.2. $\Delta$ for homology spheres with <i>H</i> -shaped splice diagrams | 11 |
| 6. Upper Bounds and Cancellations  | 13 |
| 7. Comparison with correction terms                                      | 15 |
| 7.1. Quadratic forms   | 15 |
| References   | 17 |

# 1. INTRODUCTION

 $\widehat{Z}_b(Y;q)$  is a q-series invariant of a negative definite plumbed 3-manifold Y equipped with a spin<sup>c</sup> structure b [8]. It recovers Witten–Reshetikhin–Turaev  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -invariants in radial limits to roots of unity as conjectured by [8], and recently proved in [11].

Date: December 4, 2024.

In this paper, we focus on the behavior of  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y;q)$  near q = 0. In other words, we study the smallest q-exponents  $\Delta_b$  in  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y;q)$ :

$$\widehat{Z}_b(Y,q) = q^{\Delta_b}(c_0 + c_1q + c_2q^2 + \dots), \quad c_0 \neq 0.$$

The rational numbers  $\Delta_b$  were studied in [7] where their fractional part was related to various invariants of 3-manifolds. In this work, we focus on the actual value of  $\Delta_b$ .

For plumbed manifolds it is natural to consider the 'canonical' spin<sup>c</sup> structure can. Related to it, there is a numerical topological invariant  $\gamma(Y) := k^2 + s$  (see 2.2). It appears, e.g., in the study of Seiberg–Witten invariants [15] of the associated plumbed 3-manifold, and its use in topology goes back to Gompf [4]. It also plays an important role in singularity theory, e.g. in Laufer's formula [10] for Milnor number of a Gorenstein normal surface singularity.

As the main result of this paper, we prove that for Seifert manifolds,  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$  can be expressed using  $\gamma(Y)$ :

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $Y = M(b_0; (a_1, \omega_1), \dots, (a_n, \omega_n))$  be a Seifert manifold associated with negative definite plumbing graph. Let can be the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure of Y. Then  $\Delta_{can}$  satisfies

$$\Delta_{can} = -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}.\tag{1.1}$$

If Y is not a lens space, then  $\Delta_{can}$  is minimal among all  $\Delta_b, b \in \operatorname{spin}^{c}(Y)$ .

In the special case of Seifert homology spheres  $Y = \Sigma(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ , we deduce that  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$  grows polynomially with the leading term given by

$$\Delta_{can}(Y) \approx (n-2)a_1a_2\cdots a_n.$$

The idea of the proof is to express  $\Delta_{can}$  as a minimum of a quadratic form, given by the linking form of the plumbing graph, over certain integral vectors. The key is identifying this set over which we minimize (Lemma 3.5). We show that in this set, there exists a unique vector (up to sign) that minimizes the quadratic form.

Once we leave Seifert manifolds, the computation of  $\Delta_b$  invariants becomes much more complicated. We illustrate this on plumbing graphs with exactly two vertices of degree 3 and no vertices of degree  $\geq 4$  in Section 5. In this case,  $\Delta_{can}$  is often smaller than  $-\gamma(Y)/4+1/2$ , because we have a larger freedom in finding minimizing vectors. To analyze  $\Delta$  of these graphs, we use splice diagrams [20, 3, 17] of plumbed manifolds, building on [6].

Surprisingly,  $\Delta_{can}$  can also be larger than  $-\gamma(Y)/4+1/2$ , as a result of interesting cancellations in the formula for  $\hat{Z}_b(Y)$ , see Example 6.2. Namely,  $\hat{Z}_b(Y)$  can be expressed as a weighted sum over certain lattice points and those can sometimes be organized in pairs with weights of opposite signs. This can be avoided by refining the weights, as provided by the two-variable series  $\hat{Z}_b(q,t)$  defined in [1]. However, Example 6.4 shows that cancellations may occur even in  $\hat{Z}_b(q,t)$ .

An analogy between  $\Delta_b(Y)$  invariants and the correction terms  $d_b(Y)$  in Heegaard– Floer homology was proposed in [7]. In [9], the first author demonstrated on Brieskorn spheres that unlike  $d_b(Y)$ ,  $\Delta_b(Y)$  are not cobordism invariants. Using the explicit formula for  $\Delta_{can}$  of Seifert manifolds given by Theorem 1.1, we compare  $\Delta_{can}$  and  $d_{can}$  for some classes of Brieskorn spheres, where  $d_{can}$  is explicitly known [2]. We find that  $\Delta_{can}$  is generically much larger than  $d_{can}$ . The reason for this discrepancy is that although  $\Delta_{can}$  and  $d_{can}$  are both minima of certain closely related quadratic forms, for  $\Delta_{can}$ , the quadratic form is being minimized over a much smaller set of vectors than the one for  $d_{can}$ .

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Sergei Gukov, Mrunmay Jagadale and Sunghyuk Park for useful discussions. A. Némethi was partially supported by "Élvonal (Frontier)" Grant KKP 144148. J. Svoboda was supported by the Simons Foundation Grant *New structures in low-dimensional topology*. S. Harichurn was supported by the 2020 FirstRand FNB Fund Education Scholarship Award.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we collect the necessary material on plumbed manifolds and spin<sup>c</sup> structures on them.

2.1. Plumbed 3-manifolds. Let  $\Gamma = (V, E, m)$  be a finite tree with the set of vertices V and edges E, and a vector  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$  consisting of integer labels  $m_v$  for each vertex  $v \in V$ . Let s = |V| and let  $\delta = (\delta_v)_{v \in V} \in \mathbb{Z}^s$  be the vector of the degrees (valencies) of the vertices. We often implicitly order vertices of V, so that  $V = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s$  and write the quantities associated to  $v_i$  with subscript i. We can record  $\Gamma$  using  $s \times s$  plumbing matrix  $M = M(\Gamma)$ , defined by

$$M_{vw} = \begin{cases} m_v & \text{if } v = w \\ 1 & \text{if } (v, w) \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We always assume that M is a negative definite matrix. For a vector  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^s$  we write  $l^2 = l^T M^{-1} l.$ 

Note that the quadratic form  $l \mapsto -l^2$  is a positive definite.

From  $\Gamma$ , we can construct a closed oriented 3-manifold  $Y := Y(\Gamma)$  by plumbing [16]: For each vertex v we consider a circle bundle over  $S^2$  with Euler number  $m_v$ . Then we glue the bundles together along tori corresponding to the edges in E. Manifolds given by this construction, with M negative definite, are called *negative definite plumbed manifolds*.

From the construction above, it follows that Y is a rational homology sphere, that is,  $H_1(Y) = H_1(Y,\mathbb{Z})$  is finite. We have  $H_1(Y) \cong \mathbb{Z}^s/M\mathbb{Z}^s$  and  $|H_1(Y)| = \det M$ . The quadratic form  $l \mapsto -l^2$  takes values in  $|H|^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  as the adjugate matrix adj  $M = (\det M)M^{-1}$  of M has integer entries.

2.2. Spin<sup>c</sup> structures. The set spin<sup>c</sup>(Y) of spin<sup>c</sup> structures on Y admits a natural free and transitive action of  $H_1(Y)$ , hence it is finite. It can be identified with the set  $(2\mathbb{Z}^s + m)/2M\mathbb{Z}^s$  of *characteristic vectors*. For us, it is convenient to use another identification, with spin<sup>c</sup>(Y)  $\cong (2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta)/2M\mathbb{Z}^s$ , obtained from the usual characteristic vectors via the map  $l \to l - Mu$ , where u = (1, 1, ..., 1). This is justified by the identity  $\delta + m = Mu$ .

For a vector  $b \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta$ , we denote the corresponding spin<sup>c</sup> structure as  $[b] \in (2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta)/2M\mathbb{Z}^s$ . We often omit the brackets when it is clear from the context, e.g. we write  $\hat{Z}_b$  for  $\hat{Z}_{[b]}$ .

We consider the vector  $2u - \delta$  and the corresponding 'canonical' spin<sup>c</sup> structure  $can = [2u - \delta]$ . Its characteristic vector is  $k = 2u - \delta + Mu = m + 2u$ . The rational number  $\gamma(Y) := k^2 + s = (2u - \delta + Mu)^2 + s$  does not depend on the plumbing representation of Y, so it is a topological invariant of Y. Denote by  $Tr(M) = \sum_{v \in V} m_v$  the trace of the plumbing matrix M. Then  $\gamma(Y)$  can be expressed as follows:

**Proposition 2.1** ([15]). Let  $Y := Y(\Gamma)$  be a negative definite plumbed manifold, which is a rational homology sphere. Then

$$\gamma(Y) = 3s + \operatorname{Tr}(M) + 2 + \sum_{v,w \in V} (2 - \delta_v)(2 - \delta_w) M_{vw}^{-1}$$
  
= 3s + Tr(M) + 2 + (2u - \delta)<sup>2</sup>. (2.1)

2.3. The  $\hat{Z}_b$  invariants. For the rest of the paper, let  $Y = Y(\Gamma)$  be a negative definite plumbed rational homology sphere with a chosen negative definite plumbing matrix M of  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $b \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta$  be a vector representing a spin<sup>c</sup> structure [b]. For |q| < 1, the GPPV q-series  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y;q)$  is defined by

$$\widehat{Z}_b(Y;q) = q^{\frac{-3s - \operatorname{Tr}(M)}{4}} \operatorname{v.p.} \oint_{|z_i|=1} \prod_{v_i \in V} \frac{dz_i}{2\pi i z_i} \left(z_i - \frac{1}{z_i}\right)^{2-\delta_i} \Theta_b(z), \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$\Theta_b(z) = \sum_{l \in 2M\mathbb{Z}^s + b} z^l q^{-\frac{l^2}{4}},$$
(2.3)

and v. p. denotes the principal part of the integral.

We often omit the manifold Y when it is clear from the context, e.g. we write  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  for  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y,q)$ . Clearly,  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  does not depend on the choice of  $b \in [b]$ . The convergence of  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y)$  for |q| < 1 follows from the negative definiteness of M.

# 3. $\Delta_b$ invariants

**Definition 3.1.** The *Delta invariant*  $\Delta_b$  is the smallest *q*-exponent in  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ . If  $\widehat{Z}_b(q) = 0$ , we set  $\Delta_b = \infty$ .

Using  $\Delta_b$ , we can write the  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  in the following form:

$$\widehat{Z}_b(q) = 2^{-s} q^{\Delta_b} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} r_i q^i = 2^{-s} q^{\Delta_b} (r_0 + r_1 q^1 + r_2 q^2 + \dots)$$

for some integers  $r_i$  with  $r_0 \neq 0$ . This is justified by part (1) of the following Lemma (recall that |H| is the order of  $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ ):

**Lemma 3.2.** (1) The differences between the exponents of  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  are integers.

(2) The fractional part of the exponents (and in particular of  $\Delta_b$ ) is given by

$$\frac{-3s - \operatorname{Tr}(M) - b^2}{4} \pmod{1}.$$

Consequently  $4|H|\Delta_b \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

- (3)  $|H|\Delta_a \equiv |H|\Delta_b \pmod{1}$  for any two spin<sup>c</sup> structures [a], [b] on Y.
- *Proof.* (1) Consider two vectors  $l, l + 2Mn \in [b]$ , where  $l, n \in \mathbb{Z}^s$ . The difference of the corresponding exponents is

$$\frac{-l^2}{4} + \frac{(l+2Mn)^2}{4} = l^T n + n^T M n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

(2) The exponent of a representative  $b \in [b]$  reads

$$\frac{-3s - \operatorname{Tr}(M) - b^2}{4} \in \frac{1}{4|H|}\mathbb{Z}$$

By (1), all the other exponents have the same fractional part. (3) We have

$$|H|\Delta_b(Y) - |H|\Delta_a(Y) \equiv \frac{|H|(b^2 - a^2)}{4} \pmod{1}.$$

By writing  $b = 2l + \delta$  and  $a = 2n + \delta$  with  $l, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{4}|H|(b^2 - a^2) = |H|(l^2 + l^T M^{-1}\delta - n^2 + n^T M^{-1}\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Remark 3.3. The set of spin<sup>c</sup> structures admits a natural involution, called conjugation, denoted by  $b \mapsto \overline{b}$ . It is known that  $\widehat{Z}_b(q) = \widehat{Z}_{\overline{b}}(q)$ , hence we have  $\Delta_b = \Delta_{\overline{b}}$ .

3.1. The exponents of  $\widehat{Z}_b$ . The  $\Delta_b$  invariant is the minimal q-exponent in the series  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ . The exponents are, up to an overall shift, given by the quadratic form  $l \mapsto -l^2$ . Here l are lattice vectors that run over a certain subset  $\mathcal{C}_b \subset \mathbb{Z}^s$ . We need to identify this subset.

We order the set of vertices V of the plumbing tree  $\Gamma$  by their degree:

$$V = \{v_1, \dots, v_{s_1}, v_{s_1+1}, \dots, v_{s_1+s_2}, v_{s_1+s_2+1}, \dots, v_{s_1+s_2+s_3=s}\}$$

We have  $s_1$  leaves,  $s_2$  vertices of degree 2 and  $s_3$  vertices of degree  $\geq 3$ .

The integrand of  $\widehat{Z}$  contains the rational function

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s} (z_i - z_i^{-1})^{2-\delta_i} = \prod_{i=1}^{s_1} (z_i - z_i^{-1}) \prod_{i=s_1+s_2+1}^{s} \frac{1}{(z_i - z_i^{-1})^{\delta_i - 2}}.$$
 (3.1)

The integration in (2.2) is equivalent to the following procedure: First, we expand each term of the product above using the *symmetric expansion*—the average of Laurent expansions as  $z_i \to 0$  and  $z_i \to \infty$ , and multiply these together, giving an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, z_s^{\pm 1}]$ :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s} \text{s. e.} (z_i - z_i^{-1})^{2-\delta_i} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \tilde{c}_l z^l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^s} \tilde{c}_l z_1^{l_1} z_2^{l_2} \cdots z_s^{l_s}.$$
(3.2)

Then we multiply the result with the theta function  $\Theta_b(z)$  in (2.3), and we extract the constant coefficient in variables  $z_i$ , giving the q-series  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$ .

Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  denote the set of all the vectors  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^s$  with nonvanishing coefficient  $\tilde{c}_l \neq 0$ in (3.2). Similarly, define  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b = \tilde{\mathcal{C}} \cap -(2\mathbb{Z}^s + b)$ . The reason for the sign is that we are pairing  $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  with  $-l \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + b$  when extracting the constant coefficient. Note that while  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  is symmetric about the origin,  $2\mathbb{Z}^s + b$  in general is not.

**Lemma 3.4.** The set  $\tilde{C}$  consists of vectors whose components satisfy the following conditions:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{C}} = \left\{ (l_1, \dots, l_{s_1}, 0, \dots, 0, m_1, \dots, m_{s_3}) \in \mathbb{Z}^s \middle| \begin{array}{l} l_i = \pm 1 \\ m_i \equiv \delta_i \pmod{2} \\ |m_i| \ge \delta_i - 2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The expansion for 1-vertices is simply  $z_i - z_i^{-1}$ , giving the entries  $l_i = \pm 1$ . The variables corresponding to 2-vertices are absent in 3.2. For a vertex  $v_i$  of degree  $\delta_i \geq 3$ , put  $d := \delta_i - 2 \geq 1$ . We have the following symmetric expansion:

$$2 \cdot s. e.(z - z^{-1})^{-d} = \exp_{z \to \infty} \frac{z^{-d}}{(1 - z^{-2})^d} + \exp_{z \to 0} \frac{z^d}{(z^2 - 1)^d}$$
$$= z^{-d} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k - 1 + d}{k} z^{-2k} + (-1)^d z^d \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k - 1 + d}{k} z^{2k}$$
$$= (z^{-d} + dz^{-d-2} + \dots) + (-1)^d (z^d + dz^{d+2} + \dots)$$

It follows that the corresponding entry  $m_i$  must have the same parity as  $\delta_i$  and  $|m_i| \ge d = \delta_i - 2$ .

3.2. Cancellations. By the previous section, the q-series  $\widehat{Z}_b(Y,q)$  can then be expressed as a sum over  $\widetilde{C}_b$ :

$$\widehat{Z}_{b}(Y,q) = q^{\frac{-3s - \text{Tr}(M)}{4}} \sum_{l \in \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{b}} \widetilde{c}_{l} q^{\frac{-l^{2}}{4}}.$$
(3.3)

The q-exponents of  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  are therefore given by  $(-3s - \text{Tr}(M) - l^2)/4$  for those  $l \in \widetilde{C}_b$  whose contribution does not cancel out in the sum above, in other words:

$$c_l := \sum_{\substack{l' \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b \\ l'^2 \equiv l^2}} \tilde{c}_{l'} \neq 0.$$

$$(3.4)$$

However,  $c_l$  can vanish even if there are more nonzero terms  $\tilde{c}_l$  is (3.4). We refer to this phenomenon as 'cancellations' – see Examples 6.2 and 6.3. Motivated by this, we define

$$\mathcal{C}_b := \{l \mid l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b; l \text{ satisfies } (3.4)\} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_b.$$

It follows that  $\Delta_b$  is determined by minimizing  $-l^2$  over the set  $C_b$ . We refer to the elements l in  $C_b$  for which  $-l^2$  is minimal, as minimizing vectors.

Lemma 3.5.

$$\Delta_b(Y) = \frac{1}{4} \left( -3s - \text{Tr}(M) + \min_{l \in \mathcal{C}_b} \{ -l^2 \} \right).$$
(3.5)



FIGURE 1. Plumbing graph of a Seifert manifold.

### 4. $\Delta$ for Seifert Manifolds

In this section, we will prove Theorem 4.1 which gives an explicit formula for  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$  of a Seifert manifold Y equipped with the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure can.

4.1. Seifert manifolds. Seifert manifold  $Y = M(b_0; (a_1, \omega_1), \ldots, (a_n, \omega_n))$ , fibered over  $S^2$ , is given by an integer  $b_0$  and tuples of integers  $0 < \omega_i < a_i$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . It can be represented by a star-shaped plumbing as shown in Fig. 1. The graph consists of a central vertex with label  $-b_0$  and n 'strings'. The labels  $-b_{j_1}, -b_{j_2}, \ldots, -b_{j_{s_j}}$ on the *j*-th string are determined by Hirzebruch–Jung continued fraction

$$\frac{a_j}{\omega_j} = [b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{s_j}}] = b_{j_1} - \frac{1}{b_{j_2} - \frac{1}{\dots - \frac{1}{b_{j_{s_i}}}}}.$$

The intersection form M is negative definite if and only if the orbifold Euler number  $e = -b_0 + \sum_i \omega_i / a_i$  is negative.

We have the following formula for  $\gamma(Y)$  of a Seifert manifold Y [15, p. 296], a consequence of Proposition 2.1:

$$\gamma(Y) = \frac{1}{e} \left( 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_i} \right)^2 + e + 5 + 12 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{s}(\omega_i, a_i).$$
(4.1)

Here  $\mathbf{s}$  denotes the Dedekind sum.

## 4.2. $\Delta_{can}$ of Seifert manifolds.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $Y = M(b_0; (a_1, \omega_1), \dots, (a_n, \omega_n))$  be a negative definite Seifert manifold. Then  $\Delta_{can}$  of the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure satisfies

$$\Delta_{can} = -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}.\tag{4.2}$$

If Y is not a lens space, then  $\Delta_{can}$  is minimal among all  $\Delta_b, b \in \operatorname{spin}^{c}(Y)$ .

*Proof.* We will treat the lens spaces separately in Section 4.3, so we may assume that  $n \ge 3$  and  $a_i \ge 2$  for each *i*. Denote  $A = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i$ .

We will first show that over the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  from Lemma 3.4,  $-l^2$  is minimized by exactly be vectors  $\pm (2u - \delta)$ . From that, it will follow that  $2u - \delta \in \mathcal{C}_{can}$  is a

true minimizing vector in the sense of Section 3.2. The formula (4.2) for  $\Delta_{can}$  then follows from Proposition 2.1.

The set  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  consists of the vectors

$$l = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_n, 0, \dots, 0, m)$$

where  $l_i = \pm 1$  and  $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$  and  $|m| \ge n - 2$  by Lemma 3.4. The quadratic form  $l^2$  can be expressed using Seifert data as follows:

$$-l^{2} = m^{2}A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} l_{i}m\frac{A}{a_{i}} + \sum_{i\neq j}^{n} l_{i}l_{j}\frac{A}{a_{i}a_{j}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_{ii}^{-1}.$$
 (4.3)

By the symmetry  $l^2 = (-l)^2$ , we may assume that  $m \ge n-2$ . Taking the derivative with respect to m, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{A}\frac{\partial}{\partial m}\left(-l^{2}\right) = 2m + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{l_{i}}{a_{i}} \ge 2(n-2) - \frac{n}{2} > 0,$$

so the minimum is attained when |m| = n - 2.

Similarly, pick  $j \in 1, ..., s_1$ . Let  $l^+, l^-$  be two vectors with m = n - 2 that only differ by having  $l_i^+ = 1, l_i^- = -1$ , respectively. We have

$$l_{+}^{2} - l_{-}^{2} = \frac{2A}{a_{j}} \left(n - 2 + \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{l_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)$$
$$\geq \frac{2A}{a_{j}} \left(n - 2 - \frac{n - 1}{2}\right) \geq 0$$

It follows that

$$l = (-1, \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 0, n-2) = \delta - 2u.$$

minimizes (4.3). The argument also implies that the only other vector giving the same value of  $l^2$  is  $-l = 2u - \delta$  which represents the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure *can*. These two vectors have the same coefficients in the expansion of (3.1):

$$c_l = c_{-l} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(n-2)^n \left(\frac{n+|n-2|}{2} - 2 \\ n-3\right)$$

Therefore even in the case that  $\pm l$  belong to the same spin<sup>c</sup> structure, i.e. *can* is spin, their contributions do not cancel in  $\widehat{Z}_{can}(q)$  and consequently  $-l \in \mathcal{C}_{can}$ . Thus the minimum of  $-l^2$  over  $\mathcal{C}_{can}$  is given by  $-(2u-\delta)^2$  and we have

$$\Delta = \frac{-3s - \text{Tr}(M)}{4} - \frac{(2u - \delta)^2}{4} = -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$$
(4.4)

The last equality follows the formula for  $\gamma(Y)$  in Proposition 2.1.

4.3. Lens spaces. In this section, we compute  $\Delta_b$  of lens spaces. Let Y = L(p, r) be a lens space with p > r > 0. Denote by g a generator of  $H_1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and can the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure. We have the following formula for  $\hat{Z}_b(q)$  of lens spaces:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \widehat{Z}_{g^{i}can}(q)g^{i} = q^{3\mathbf{s}(r,p)} \left( (g^{-r-1}+1)q^{1/2p} - (g^{-r}+g^{-1})q^{-1/2p} \right)$$

Here  $\mathbf{s}(r, p)$  denotes the Dedekind sum. From the formula, we read off the four finite  $\Delta_b$  invariants.

$$\Delta_{g^{-r-1}can} = \Delta_{can} = 3\mathbf{s}(r,p) + \frac{1}{2p}, \quad \Delta_{g^{-r}can} = \Delta_{g^{-1}can} = 3\mathbf{s}(r,p) - \frac{1}{2p}.$$
 (4.5)

 $\gamma(Y)$  can be described using Dedekind sums in the following manner [15, p. 304]:

$$\gamma(Y) = 2 - \frac{2}{p} - 12\mathbf{s}(p, r).$$

Comparing with (4.5), we obtain that  $\Delta_{can}$  satisfies the same formula as for the other Seifert manifolds:

$$\Delta_{can} = -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

Note that (4.5) shows that for lens spaces,  $\Delta_{can}$  is not minimal among all  $\Delta_b$ . See also Example 6.2.

Remark 4.2. We originally proved Theorem 4.1 using the reduction theorem [6, Thm. 4.2] which may be used to compute all q-exponents of  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  of Seifert manifolds. Later, we found a simpler argument presented here, which focuses on the smallest exponent. It also emphasizes the role of the vector  $2u - \delta$ , making the presence of the invariant  $\gamma(Y)$  more transparent.

Remark 4.3. As we have seen above,  $\Delta_b$  invariants are often infinite. In [8], the authors conjectured, based on Physics considerations, the existence of a categorification of  $\hat{Z}_b(q)$ , i.e. a doubly-graded cohomology theory  $\mathcal{H}_b^{i,j}(Y)$  whose graded Euler characteristic is  $\hat{Z}_b(q)$ :

$$\widehat{Z}_b(q) = 2^{-s} q^{\Delta_b} \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} q^i (-1)^j \dim \mathcal{H}_b^{i,j}(Y).$$

Smaller q-exponents than  $\Delta_b$  could appear in the corresponding two-variable generating series  $q^{\Delta_b} \sum_{i,j} q^i t^j \dim \mathcal{H}_b^{i,j}(Y)$ . In [5], a Poincaré series of this sort was defined for lens spaces L(p, 1). Its minimal q-power is finite for all spin<sup>c</sup> structures, in contrast with  $\Delta_b$ .

# 5. Beyond Seifert manifolds

In the proof of Theorem 4.1 giving the formula for  $\Delta_{can}$  of Seifert manifolds, we used a special form (4.3) of the quadratic from  $l \mapsto -l^2$  following from the fact that Seifert manifolds admit a star-shaped graph. For more general graphs, we need a generalization of (4.3). This is realized by some properties of *splice diagrams*, which are certain weighted graphs built from plumbing graphs. We will illustrate this method on plumbing graphs with exactly two vertices of degree 3 and no vertices of degree 4 or more. The corresponding splice diagrams are "H-shaped" graphs with 6 vertices, as in Fig. 2.

In general, there is no uniform choice of minimizing vector as was the vector  $2u - \delta$  for  $\Delta_{can}$  of Seifert manifolds. Therefore we cannot hope for a simple universal formula for  $\Delta_{can}$  as in Theorem 4.1. Nevertheless, the minimizing vectors keep a specific form in certain regions given by the relative size of the weights in

splice diagram. On the boundaries of these regions, we may see multiple minimizing vectors.

In principle, one can divide the study into those particular cases. Some of these can be effectively reduced to the case of Seifert manifolds, as we will illustrate in Section 5.2. The techniques described here can be used for more general plumbings, but the number of cases grows significantly with the complexity of the splice diagram.

5.1. **Splice diagrams.** Following [17] (see also [19]), given a plumbed manifold Y with plumbing graph  $\Gamma$ , we construct a splice diagram  $\Omega$  of Y as follows:  $\Omega$  is a tree obtained by replacing each maximal string in  $\Gamma$  (a simple path in  $\Gamma$  whose interior is open in  $\Gamma$ ) by a single edge. Thus  $\Omega$  is homeomorphic to  $\Gamma$  but has no vertices of degree two. We identify the vertices of  $\Omega$  with the corresponding vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

At each vertex v of  $\Omega$  of degree  $\geq 3$ , we assign a weight  $w_{v\varepsilon}$  on an incident edge  $\varepsilon$  as follows. The edge  $\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega$  corresponds to a string in  $\Gamma$  starting in v with some edge e. Let  $\Gamma_{ve}$  be the subgraph of  $\Gamma$  cut off by the edge of  $\Gamma$  at v in the direction of e, as in the following picture.



The corresponding weight  $w_{v\varepsilon}$  is given by the determinant of  $-M(\Gamma_{ve})$ , where  $M(\Gamma_{ve})$  denotes the intersection matrix of  $\Gamma_{ve}$ . We draw the weight  $w_{v\varepsilon}$  on the edge  $\varepsilon$  near v. For example, the following is a plumbing graph and its splice diagram:



If  $Y(\Gamma)$  is a homology sphere, the splice diagram uniquely determines the plumbing graph, see [18]. Although  $\Gamma$  and  $\Omega$  are essentially equivalent in this case,  $\Omega$  is much smaller. More importantly, it is the relative size of the weights of  $\Omega$ , which directly influences the minimizing vectors. For example, if one weights is significantly larger than the others, the minimizing vectors must be of some specific form, see Section 5.2.

The values of the quadratic form  $l \mapsto -l^2 = -l^T M^{-1}l$  can be computed from the splice diagram as follows: For two vertices v, v' of the splice diagram, consider the shortest path P connecting them. Let  $N_{vv'}$  be the product of all weights adjacent to vertices of P, but not lying on P.



**Theorem 5.1** ([17, Thm. 12.2]). With the notation above, we have

$$M_{vv'}^{-1} = -\frac{N_{vv'}}{\det M}.$$

Recall that the vectors  $l \in \mathbb{Z}^s$  that contribute to  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  lie in the set  $\tilde{C}$  from Lemma 3.4. If  $l \in \tilde{C}$ , we have  $l_v = 0$  if v is of degree 2 and  $l_v^2 = (\pm 1)^2 = 1$  if v is of degree 1. We obtain the following expression for  $-l^2$  generalizing (4.3):

$$-l^{2} = \sum_{\substack{v \neq v'\\\delta_{v},\delta_{v'} \neq 2}} M_{vv'}^{-1} l_{v} l_{v'} + \sum_{\delta_{v} \ge 3} M_{vv}^{-1} l_{v}^{2} + \sum_{\delta_{v} = 1} M_{vv}^{-1}$$
(5.1)

Note that the coefficients in the first and second sum can be expressed using the weights of the splice diagram  $\Omega$ , up to the multiplication by det M. On the other hand, the third sum is not expressed in terms of weights of  $\Omega$  in a simple way, but it is independent of l (whenever  $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ ), so it does not influence which vectors  $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  have the minimal value of  $-l^2$ .

5.2.  $\Delta$  for homology spheres with *H*-shaped splice diagrams. We now consider a plumbing graph  $\Gamma$  with exactly two vertices of degree 3 and no vertices of higher degree, e.g. the graph in Fig. 3. For simplicity, we assume that the associated plumbed manifold *Y* is a homology sphere. The associated splice diagram  $\Omega$  is an 'H-shaped' graph with six vertices, see Fig. 2. Its six weights are denoted  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  and  $a'_1, a'_2, a'_3$ . They are pairwise coprime integers, which we further assume to be  $\geq 2$ . In this case, *Y* can be realized as splicing of Brieskorn spheres  $\Sigma(a_1, a_2, a_3)$  and  $\Sigma(a'_1, a'_2, a'_3)$  along their third singular fibers.

We consider the projection  $\mathbb{Z}^s \to \mathbb{Z}^6$ , denoted by  $l \mapsto \bar{l}$ , which removes the components corresponding to the vertices of degree 2. We order the components of  $\bar{l} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x'_1, x'_2, x'_3)$  as in Fig. 2 and keep the ordering throughout this section.



FIGURE 2. H-shaped splice diagram  $\Omega$ 

The quadratic form  $l \mapsto -l^2$ , restricted to the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  from Lemma 3.4, can be expressed in terms of the weights of  $\Omega$  as:

$$-l^{2} = x_{3}^{2}a_{1}a_{2}a_{3} + x_{1}x_{3}a_{2}a_{3} + x_{2}x_{3}a_{1}a_{3} + x_{1}x_{2}a_{3} + (\dots)' + + x_{1}x_{2}'a_{2}a_{1}' + x_{1}'x_{3}a_{1}a_{2}a_{2}' + x_{2}'x_{3}a_{1}a_{2}a_{1}' + (\dots)' + + x_{1}x_{1}'a_{2}a_{2}' + x_{2}x_{2}'a_{1}a_{1}' + x_{3}x_{3}'a_{1}a_{2}a_{1}'a_{2}' + C.$$
(5.2)

Here  $(\ldots)'$  means that we repeat the terms on each line with the usual and dashed variables reversed. C is constant on the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  so it does not influence the minimizing vectors for  $\Delta_{can}$ .

The general strategy to identify  $\Delta_{can}$  can be described as follows: We know that  $x_1, x_2, x'_1, x'_2 \in \{\pm 1\}$  because  $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ . For each of the 2<sup>4</sup> possibilities of the signs, the form above reduces to a quadratic form in variables  $x_3$ , and  $x'_3$ . We can then minimize these forms over odd integers, using standard optimization methods. Finally, we must check that the resulting vectors do not cancel out, as in Section 3.2, so they are true minimizing vectors. Clearly, the form of minimizing vectors depends on the relative size of the coefficients  $a_i, a'_i$ . We describe in greater detail the case when  $a_3$  is very large compared to other  $a_i$  and  $a'_i$ . This allows us to effectively reduce the minimizing problem to the Seifert case. If  $a_3 \gg a_1, a_2, a'_1, a'_2, a'_3$ , the substantial terms are those containing  $a_3$ :

$$x_3^2 a_1 a_2 a_3 + x_1 x_3 a_2 a_3 + x_2 x_3 a_1 a_3 + x_1 x_2 a_3 \tag{5.3}$$

Any vector  $l \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$  with the minimal value of  $-l^2$  also minimizes the expression (5.3). As this is (almost) a quadratic form of a star-shaped graph, we can repeat the first part of the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Namely, assuming that  $a_i \geq 2$ and taking the  $x_3$ -derivative, we obtain that  $x_3 = \pm 1$  in any minimizing vector, say  $x_3 = 1$ . The signs of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are easily determined by the relative size of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .

This consideration 'freezes' the left-hand side of the graph and for each choice of  $x'_1 = \pm 1$  and  $x'_2 = \pm 1$ , we are left with a quadratic function of a single variable  $x'_3$ . Explicitly, in the case  $x_1 = x_2 = -1$ , the minimum in the variable  $x'_3$  (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is given by

$$x'_{3} = \frac{x'_{1}a'_{2}a'_{3} + x'_{2}a'_{1}a'_{3} - a_{1}a_{2}a'_{2} + a_{1}a_{2}a'_{1}a'_{2} - a_{1}a_{2}a'_{1}}{2a'_{1}a'_{2}a'_{3}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x'_{1}}{a'_{1}} + \frac{x'_{2}}{a'_{2}} - \frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{a'_{1}a'_{3}} + \frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{a'_{2}a'_{3}} - \frac{a_{1}a_{2}}{a'_{3}} \right).$$
(5.4)

This computation illustrates that the value of  $x'_3$  can be arbitrarily large. This shows that the minimizing vector is very different from the vector  $2u - \delta$  which was minimizing in the case of Seifert manifolds.

In a similar way, we could analyze other cases of the relative size of the weights, giving (at least approximate) 'explicit formulas' for  $\Delta_{can}$ . However, in practice, it is easier to use a computer to search for the minimizing vectors. We illustrate the variability of possible minimizing vectors and possible values of  $\Delta_{can}$  on several examples in this and the following section.

**Example 5.2.** Consider the integral homology sphere Y associated with the splice diagram

$$2^{3}$$
 -11 -101 -  $7^{5}$ 

Then  $\Delta(Y) = \frac{3045}{1000}$ , with minimizing vectors  $\pm(-1, -1, 3, 1, 1, -1)$ .  $\Delta(Y)$  is strictly smaller than  $-\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3885}{1000}$  given by the vector  $2u - \delta = (-1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1)$ . The corresponding plumbing graph on 28 vertices is shown in Figure 3.

**Example 5.3.** Consider the manifold Y given by the following plumbing:



Then Y is an integral homology sphere with  $\Delta(Y) = \frac{1}{2}$  and the minimizing vectors are  $\{\pm v, \pm w\}$ , where v = (1, 1, -1, -1, 1, 1), w = (1, 1, -1, 1, -1, 1). Again,  $\Delta(Y) < -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{5}{2}$ . Note that this plumbing corresponds to the following splice diagram:



FIGURE 3. The plumbing graph associated to the splice diagram in Example 5.2



6. Upper Bounds and Cancellations

In this section, we focus on upper bounds for  $\Delta_b$ . In principle, bounding  $\Delta_b$  from above should be easy—the (shifted) norm  $(-3s - \text{Tr}(M) - l^2)/4$  of any element  $l \in C_b$  gives an upper bound.

However, when finding elements of  $C_b$ , we are facing two issues. First of all,  $\tilde{C}_b$  can be empty, e.g. for some spin<sup>c</sup> structures on lens spaces, giving  $\hat{Z}_b(q) = 0$  and  $\Delta_b = \infty$ . Secondly, even if  $\tilde{C}_b$  is non-empty, there may be drastic cancellations of the coefficients, as we will illustrate on the Seifert manifold in Example 6.3.

This prevents us from establishing general results in this direction. In particular, the vector  $2u - \delta$  does not always give an upper bound for  $\Delta_{can}$ , unlike for Seifert manifolds (where it was optimal), see Example 6.2.

We believe that those cancellations are rather special, being related to some additional symmetry of the plumbing graph. In particular, it would be rather surprising if they occurred for all spin<sup>c</sup> structures at once. Therefore, we expect the following:

**Conjecture 6.1.** For a negative-definite plumbed manifold Y we have

$$\min_{b \in \operatorname{spin}^{c} Y} \Delta_{b} \leq -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$$

We now proceed with several examples of manifolds for which the cancellations occur. We also compare  $\widehat{Z}(q)$  with the two-variable extension  $\widehat{\widehat{Z}}$  defined in [1]. The new variable t can distinguish vectors l with the same value of  $l^2$ , removing some cancellations, but not all of them, see Example 6.4.

Example 6.2. Consider the following plumbing:



The resulting plumbed manifold Y admits 20 spin<sup>c</sup> structures. For the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure *can* we have  $\Delta_{can} = \frac{33}{20}$  with the (sole) minimizing vector (1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1). This is strictly larger than  $-\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{13}{20}$ .

The only vectors within  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{can}$  that satisfy  $\frac{1}{4}(-3s - \operatorname{Tr}(M) - l^2) = \frac{13}{20}$  are  $l_1 = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1)$  and  $l_2 = (-1, -1, 1, 1, 1, -3)$ . However their coefficients are  $c_{l_1} = \frac{1}{4} = -c_{l_2}$  and so they cancel out in  $\widehat{Z}_{can}(q)$ .

The above cancellation does not happen for  $\widehat{Z}_{can}(q,t)$ :

$$\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_{can}(q,t) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( (t^{-1} - t)q^{\frac{13}{20}} + q^{\frac{33}{20}} - t^{-1}q^{\frac{53}{20}} + (t^{-2} + t^2)q^{\frac{73}{20}} - t^{-1}q^{\frac{93}{20}} + \cdots \right).$$

**Example 6.3.** Consider Seifert manifold M(2; (3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 2)). It is described by the following negative definite plumbing:



Let b be the spin<sup>c</sup> structure with the associated vector  $\delta = (1, 2, 3, 2, 1, 1) \in 2\mathbb{Z}^s + \delta$ . The q-series  $\widehat{Z}_b(q)$  is a single monomial:

$$\widehat{Z}_b(q) = \frac{1}{2}q^{-5/6}$$

This is due to the following cancellation: All vectors but one in the set C can be split into pairs  $l_1, l_2$  satisfying  $l_1^2 = l_2^2$  and  $c_{l_1} = -c_{l_2}$ . Similarly to the previous example,  $\hat{Z}_b(q, t)$  removes the cancellations and it gives an infinite series:

$$\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_b(q,t) = \frac{1}{2}(q^{-5/6}t^{-1} + q^{13/6}(1-t^{-2}) + q^{67/6}(t-t^{-3}) + q^{157/6}(t^2-t^{-4})\dots).$$

Example 6.4. Consider the following plumbing



The resulting plumbed manifold Y admits 21 spin<sup>c</sup> structures. For the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure can represented by  $2u-\delta = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1)$  we have that  $\Delta'_{can} = \frac{5}{2}$  and the minimizing vectors for the quadratic form that produces  $\Delta'_{can}$  are given by  $l_1 = (-1, -1, -3, 1, 1, 1), l_2 = (-1, -1, 7, -1, -1, -1), l_3 = (1, 1, -7, 1, 1, 1)$  and  $l_4 = (1, 1, 3, -1, -1, -1)$  with coefficients  $c_{l_1} = c_{l_3} = -\frac{1}{4}t^{-1}$  and  $c_{l_2} = c_{l_4} = -\frac{1}{4}t$ . This is strictly larger than  $-\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

For this manifold, the only vectors within  $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{can}$  which satisfy  $\frac{1}{4}(-3s-\operatorname{Tr}(M)-l^2) = \frac{1}{2}$  are  $l_1 = (-1, -1, 1, -1, -1, 1), \ l_2 = (-1, -1, 3, 1, 1, -1), \ l_3 = (1, 1, -1, 1, 1, -1)$ and  $l_4 = (1, 1, -3, -1, -1, 1)$ . However their coefficients are  $c_{l_1} = \frac{1}{4}t^{-1} = -c_{l_4}$  and  $c_{l_2} = -\frac{1}{4}t = -c_{l_3}$  and so they cancel out in  $\widehat{Z}_{can}(q, t)$ . The entire (t, q) series is given by:

$$\widehat{\widehat{Z}}_{can}(q,t) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( (2t^{-1} + 2t)q^{\frac{5}{2}} + (2t^{-3} + 2t^3)q^{\frac{9}{2}} + (-t^{-4} + t^{-2} + t^2 - t^4)q^{\frac{15}{2}} + \cdots \right)$$

This example shows that cancellations do occur even for  $\hat{\widehat{Z}}_{can}(q,t)$  and as a result  $\Delta'_{can} > -\frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} + \frac{1}{2}$  where  $\Delta'_{can}$  denotes the smallest q-exponent of  $\hat{\widehat{Z}}_{can}(q,t)$ .

# 7. Comparison with correction terms

In the last section, we compare  $\Delta_b(Y)$  with correction terms  $d_b(Y) = d(Y, [b])$ in Heegaard–Floer homology<sup>1</sup>. We include this discussion because there were some expectations that  $\Delta_b(Y)$  and  $d_b(Y)$  might be related [7, 1]. In the Seifert case, we have an explicit formula for  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$  in terms of the  $\gamma(Y)$  invariant by Theorem 4.1. We can then use elementary bounds for Dedekind sums to obtain estimates on  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$ . Finally, we compare  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$  to  $d_{can}(Y)$  for some classes of Brieskorn spheres, where  $d_{can}(Y)$  is known, finding that they are very different.

7.1. Quadratic forms. Correction terms can be expressed as minimizers of a quadratic form over the characteristic vectors:

**Theorem 7.1** ([13]). For an almost rational graph  $\Gamma$ , the correction terms are given by

$$d_k(Y) = \max_{k' \in [k]} \frac{(k')^2 + s}{4}$$

Note that this formula holds in many other cases and was conjectured by the second author to be true for any negative definite rational homology sphere [12].

To compare with  $\Delta$ , we need to shift to our conventions on spin<sup>c</sup> structures, so we set l = k' - Mu. Then we have

$$\frac{(k')^2 + s}{4} = \frac{(l + Mu)^T M^{-1} (l + Mu) + s}{4}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(M) + 3s}{4} + \frac{l^2}{4}\right) + \frac{l^T u}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$$

We see that the minimized quadratic forms for d and  $\Delta$  differ in the linear term  $l^T u/2 = (\sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i)/2.$ 

Remark 7.2. In [7], the authors observed that in the setting of Theorem 7.1, the difference between  $d_b(Y)$  and  $\Delta_b(Y) - \frac{1}{2}$  is an integer. For Seifert manifold Y with the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure, this can be explained as follows: By [14, Thm. 8.3.],  $d_{can}(Y)$  and  $\gamma(Y)$  (and therefore  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$ ) satisfy the following relation:

$$d_{can}(Y) = \frac{\gamma(Y)}{4} - 2\chi_{can} \left( = -\Delta_{can} + \frac{1}{2} - 2\chi_{can} \right).$$
(7.1)

Here  $\chi_{can}$  is the holomorphic Euler characteristic of a certain holomorphic line bundle on the corresponding quasihomogeneous singularity. In particular, it is an integer.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Again, we omit the brackets for spin<sup>c</sup> structures.

7.1.1. Lower Bound for Seifert Manifolds. We end this section with some elementary estimates considering the  $\gamma(Y)$  invariant of Seifert manifolds, and hence of  $\Delta_{can}(Y)$ . The formula (4.1) for  $\gamma(Y)$  contains Dedekind sums. We have the following well-known inequality for p > 0,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$-\mathbf{s}(1,p) \le \mathbf{s}(a,p) \le \mathbf{s}(1,p) = \frac{p}{12} + \frac{1}{6p} - \frac{1}{4}.$$
(7.2)

Combining this with (4.1), we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 7.3.** For the Seifert manifold  $Y = M(b_0; (a_1, \omega_1), \dots, (a_n, \omega_n))$  and the canonical spin<sup>c</sup> structure, we have

$$\Delta_{can} \ge -\frac{1}{4e} \left( 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_i} \right)^2 - \frac{e + 3(n+1)}{4} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{4} + \frac{1}{2a_i}$$

and

$$\Delta_{can} \le -\frac{1}{4e} \left( 2 - n + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{a_i} \right)^2 - \frac{e + 3(1-n)}{4} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{a_i}{4} + \frac{1}{2a_i}$$

where e is the orbifold Euler number of Y.

If Y is a Brieskorn sphere  $\Sigma(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ , then  $e = -\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{-1} = -A^{-1}$ . In particular, if  $a_i \gg 1$ , the leading term of  $\Delta$  is given by  $(n-2)^2 A$ . We obtain that the  $\Delta$  invariant grows polynomially with  $a_i$  for large values of  $a_i$ .

7.1.2. Brieskorn spheres. We illustrate the difference between  $\Delta = \Delta_{can}$  and  $d = d_{can}$  for some families of Brieskorn spheres, for which correction terms are explicitly known [2]. For p, q > 0 set  $\rho = (p-1)(q-1)/2$ . Then for  $\Sigma(p,q,pq+1) = S^3_{-1}(T_{p,q})$  we have  $\gamma(Y) = -4\rho(\rho - 1)$ . From Theorem 4.1 we immediately obtain the next result:

Corollary 7.4. For  $Y = \Sigma(p, q, pq + 1)$ ,

$$\Delta(Y) = \rho(\rho - 1) + \frac{1}{2}.$$
(7.3)

In contrast to this, the correction term vanishes, so that  $\Delta(Y) \gg d(Y) = 0$ . For  $Y = \Sigma(p, p+1, p(p+1) - 1)$ , we have

$$d(Y) = \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor \left( \left\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right).$$
(7.4)

From Proposition 7.3 we obtain, after some manipulations, that for p > 2

$$\Delta(Y) \ge \frac{1}{4} \left( p^4 + 2p^3 - 5p \right) - 3 > d(Y).$$
(7.5)

The case of p = 2 correspond to Poincaré sphere  $Y = \Sigma(2,3,5)$ , where we have  $\Delta(Y) = -\frac{3}{2} < d(Y) = 2$ . This seems to be a boundary case and in general, we expect the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 7.5.** For all but finitely many Seifert manifolds Y we have

$$d_{can}(Y) < \Delta_{can}(Y).$$

#### REFERENCES

### References

- [1] R. Akhmechet, P. Johnson, and S. Krushkal. "Lattice cohomology and q-series invariants of 3-manifolds". *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* (2023). DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2022-0096.
- M. Borodzik and A. Némethi. "Heegaard–Floer homologies of (+1)-surgeries on torus knots". Acta Math. Hung. 139.4 (2012), pp. 303–319. ISSN: 1588-2632. DOI: 10.1007/s10474-012-0280-x.
- D. Eisenbud and W. D. Neumann. Three-Dimensional Link Theory and Invariants of Plane Curve Singularities. Princeton University Press, 1986. DOI: 10.1515/9781400881925-002.
- [4] R. E. Gompf. "Handlebody Construction of Stein Surfaces". Ann. of Math. 148.2 (1998), p. 619. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/121005.
- [5] S. Gukov, P. Putrov, and C. Vafa. "Fivebranes and 3-manifold homology". JHEP 7 (2017).
- S. Gukov, L. Katzarkov, and J. Svoboda. Z<sub>b</sub> for plumbed manifolds and splice diagrams. 2023. arXiv: 2304.00699.
- S. Gukov, S. Park, and P. Putrov. "Cobordism Invariants from BPS q-Series". Ann. Henri Poincaré 22.12 (2021), pp. 4173–4203. ISSN: 1424-0661.
- [8] S. Gukov et al. "BPS spectra and 3-manifold invariants". J. Knot Theory Ramifications 29.02 (2020), p. 2040003. DOI: 10.1142/s0218216520400039.
- [9] S. Harichurn. On the  $\Delta_a$  invariants in non-perturbative complex Chern-Simons theory. 2023. arXiv: 2306.11298.
- [10] H. B. Laufer. "On μ for surface singularities." Several Complex Variables, Part 1 (Proc. of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 30/1), Amer. Math. Soc. 1977, pp. 45–49.
- [11] Y. Murakami. A proof of a conjecture of Gukov-Pei-Putrov-Vafa. 2023. arXiv: 2302.13526.
- [12] A. Némethi. "Lattice Cohomology of Normal Surface Singularities". Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences 44.2 (2008), pp. 507– 543. ISSN: 0034-5318. DOI: 10.2977/prims/1210167336.
- [13] A. Némethi. "On the Ozsváth–Szabó invariant of negative definite plumbed 3-manifolds". Geom. Topol. 9 (2005), pp. 991–1042.
- [14] A. Némethi. "Poincaré series associated with surface singularities". Singularities I. Vol. 474. American Mathematical Society, 2008, pp. 271–297. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.0710.0987.
- [15] A. Némethi and L. Nicolaescu. "Seiberg–Witten invariants and surface singularities". Geom. Topol. 6.1 (2002), pp. 269–328.
- [16] W. D. Neumann. "A calculus for plumbing applied to the topology of complex surface singularities and degenerating complex curves". Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 268.2 (1981), pp. 299–344. DOI: 10.1090/s0002-9947-1981-0632532-8.
- [17] W. D. Neumann and J. M. Wahl. "Complete intersection singularities of splice type as universal Abelian covers". *Geom. Topol.* 9 (2005), pp. 699–755.
- [18] W. D. Neumann and J. M. Wahl. "Universal Abelian Covers of Surface Singularities". Birkhäuser Basel (2002), pp. 181–190.

### REFERENCES

- [19] N. Saveliev. *Invariants for homology* 3-*spheres*. Vol. 140. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Low-Dimensional Topology, I: Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 2002.
- [20] L. Siebenmann. "On vanishing of the Rohlin invariant and nonfinitely amphicheiral homology 3-spheres". *Topology Symposium Siegen 1979.* Ed. by U. Koschorke and W. D. Neumann. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1980, pp. 172–222. ISBN: 978-3-540-39187-6.

18