

Besicovitch–type inequality for closed geodesics on 2-dimensional spheres

Talant Talipov

Abstract

We prove the existence of a constant $C > 0$ such that for any C^3 -smooth Riemannian bumpy metric g on a 2-dimensional sphere S^2 , there exist two distinct closed geodesics with lengths L_1 and L_2 satisfying $L_1 L_2 \leq C \text{Area}(S^2, g)$.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we address a question posed by Y. Liokumovich in [1], which asks whether there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any 2-dimensional Riemannian sphere (S^2, g) , there exist two distinct closed geodesics with lengths L_1 and L_2 satisfying

$$L_1 L_2 \leq C \text{Area}(S^2, g).$$

We prove that this conjecture holds for any C^3 -smooth bumpy metric.

The study of bounds on the lengths of closed geodesics has a rich history. In [2], M. Gromov established the systolic inequality, which bounds the length of the shortest non-contractible loop on an essential Riemannian manifold relative to its volume. Optimal constants for the systolic inequality have been determined for specific surfaces: the 2-dimensional torus by L.C. Lowner, the real projective plane by P.M. Pu (see [3]), and the Klein bottle by C.B. Croke and M. Katz (see [4]). However, the sphere is not an essential manifold. The first bound on the length of the shortest closed geodesic on a Riemannian 2-sphere in terms of area was established by C.B. Croke [5]. This result was later improved, with the most recent enhancement made by R. Rotman, who provided the best currently known constant in [6]. Furthermore, in [7], Y. Liokumovich, A. Nabutovsky, and R. Rotman proved the existence of three distinct simple closed geodesics on a 2-dimensional Riemannian sphere, with their lengths bounded by the diameter of the sphere. Notably, it is known that the length of the second shortest closed geodesic cannot be bounded purely in terms of the square root of the area, as demonstrated by the example of long ellipsoids. Our result can be seen as a spherical analog of the Besicovitch inequality, which provides a bound on the product of distances between opposite sides of a Riemannian square in terms of its area.

The proof begins with the observation that if the diameter is small, there exist short

simple closed geodesics. We then select the shortest closed geodesic as the first geodesic and categorize the proof into two cases based on its type: simple or number eight. By employing min-max methods and the Birkhoff curve shortening process, we establish the existence of a second, sufficiently short closed geodesic that is distinct from the first due to metric and topological reasons. The author would like to express sincere gratitude to the research supervisor, Prof. Yevgeny Liokumovich, for their invaluable guidance and support throughout this research.

2 Main result

Let M be an n -dimensional smooth manifold. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ consider the space

$$\mathcal{M}^k = \{g : g \text{ is a } C^k \text{ Riemannian metric on } M\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A Riemannian metric $g \in \mathcal{M}^k$ is called *bumpy* if all non-constant closed geodesics on (M, g) do not have non-trivial Jacobi fields.

We denote

$$\mathcal{B}^k = \{g : g \text{ is a } C^k \text{ Riemannian bumpy metric on } M\}.$$

In [8], it was shown that for a compact manifold M and any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 5} \cup \{\infty\}$, the sets \mathcal{B}^k are generic in the Baire sense in \mathcal{M}^k . Thus, the following result holds for a generic C^5 -smooth Riemannian metric on S^2 :

Theorem 2.1. For any C^3 -smooth Riemannian bumpy metric g on a 2-dimensional sphere S^2 , there exist two distinct closed geodesics with lengths L_1 and L_2 satisfying

$$L_1 L_2 \leq (2804\sqrt{2} + 64) \text{Area}(S^2, g).$$

Proof. Let g be a C^3 -smooth Riemannian bumpy metric on S^2 with area A and diameter D . We denote the length functional by L and the energy functional by E . Later in this paper, we consider curves parametrized on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, scaled proportionally to their arc length. Then for any curve γ , we have $L(\gamma)^2 = E(\gamma)$. We can suppose that shortest closed geodesic is unique since otherwise we are done. Let γ_1 be the shortest closed geodesic on S^2 , with length L_1 and energy E_1 . By Theorem 1 in [6], we have the following inequality:

$$L_1 \leq 4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{A}.$$

Since g is a C^3 -smooth bumpy metric, γ_1 is either simple with Morse index 1 or has one self-intersection, as shown in Theorem 2.4 of [9]. For insights into the higher-parameter case, see [10]. First, suppose $D \leq \frac{192A}{L_1}$. By Theorem 1.3 in [7], there exists a (simple) closed geodesic γ_2 , distinct from γ_1 , with length:

$$L_2 \leq 20D.$$

This implies:

$$L_1 L_2 \leq 20L_1 D \leq 3840A.$$

Thus, we may assume that $D > \frac{192A}{L_1}$. Next, we will divide the proof of the theorem into two separate cases.

2.1 Case 1: γ_1 is simple with Morse index 1

Firstly, we have to introduce some notations. For a manifold M we will consider the space $\Gamma = \Gamma(M)$ of piecewise C^1 -curves from $S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ to M endowed with the metric $d_\infty: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: d_\infty(\gamma, \gamma') = \max_{s \in S^1} d(\gamma(s), \gamma'(s))$. On Γ we have an S^1 -operation defined by $(z \cdot \gamma)(s) = \gamma(s + z)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $z, s \in S^1$. We will also consider the subspaces of Γ called finite-dimensional approximations. Those are given by an open set \mathcal{O} , a real number $k > 0$ and a fine partition $\Sigma = (s_1, \dots, s_j)$ of S^1 . The finite-dimensional approximation $\Omega(\mathcal{O}, k, \Sigma)$ is defined as the set of all curves $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $E(\gamma) < k$, such that $\gamma(s_i) \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\gamma|_{[s_i, s_{i+1}]}$ is a minimizing geodesic for $1 \leq i \leq j$. There is a retraction G_Ω of the space

$$\Gamma_\Omega = \{\gamma \in \Gamma | E(\gamma) < k \text{ and } \gamma(s_i) \in \mathcal{O} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq j\}$$

onto Ω . We will frequently use the fact that G_Ω is an energy non-increasing map. For a closed geodesic γ we denote $\Gamma(\gamma) = E^{-1}([0, E(\gamma)])$. The following lemma is derived from Theorem 2 in [11]. By refining the proof of the theorem for the case where the closed geodesic γ has Morse index ≥ 1 , the result can be presented in a more optimal form. Specifically, the number m_γ can be chosen independently to be 2.

Lemma 2.1. Let γ be a closed geodesic with Morse index ≥ 1 on M , $\dim(M) = 2$. Then for all $m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq m_\gamma = 2$, there is a neighborhood U of γ^m in Γ such that $U^- = U \cap \Gamma(\gamma^m)$ is contained in a single connected component of $\Gamma(\gamma^m)$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the statement for any finite-dimensional approximation Ω containing γ^m since every $\gamma \in \Gamma_\Omega$ can be joined to $G_\Omega(\gamma)$ in $E^{-1}([0, E(\gamma)])$. Let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ be a pair of conjugate points of $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$. Then, for every $t'_2 > t_2$ there exists a variation α_s of $\alpha|_{[t_1, t'_2]}$ keeping end-points fixed such that

$$\alpha_0 = \alpha|_{[t_1, t'_2]} \text{ and } \frac{d^2}{ds^2}(E \circ \alpha_s)|_{s=0} < 0$$

where

$$E \circ \alpha_s = \int_{t_1}^{t'_2} \langle \dot{\alpha}_s, \dot{\alpha}_s \rangle.$$

Extending α_s by $\gamma|_{[0, t_1]}$ and $\gamma|_{[t'_2, 1]}$ we can find variation $\gamma_s: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Gamma$ of $\gamma_0 = \gamma$ such that $\frac{d^2}{ds^2}(E \circ \gamma_s)|_{s=0} < 0$. Because of $E \circ G_\Omega \circ \gamma_s \leq E \circ \gamma_s$ and $G_\Omega(\gamma) = \gamma$ we get such a variation within any Ω containing γ . Since γ is periodic we can find pairs of conjugate points $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $1 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq 2$. Then the corresponding variation vector fields vanish

on the supports of each others and, hence, orthogonal. Then $\text{ind}(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma^m}) \geq 2$ for $m \geq 2$. The same argument applies to $z \cdot \gamma^m$ for every $z \in S^1$. Using a weak form of a Morse chart described in [12], p. 75, we get coordinates f for Ω about $z \cdot \gamma^m$ which are defined on a product $B_+ \times B_- \times B_0$ of euclidian balls, i.e. $f(0_+, 0_-, 0_0) = z \cdot \gamma^m$ and

$$E \circ f(x_+, x_-, x_0) = E(c^m) + |x_+|^2 - |x_-|^2 + \phi(x_0)$$

where $\dim B_- = \text{ind}(\nabla^2 E|_{\gamma^m}) \geq 2$ and the derivatives of $\phi: B_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $x_0 = 0_0$ vanish up to the second order. Since sets $B^k(R) \setminus B^k(r)$ are connected for any dimension $k \geq 2$, there exists a neighborhood U_z of $z \cdot \gamma^m$ in Ω such that U_z^- is connected if $m \geq 2$. If we define $U = \cup_{z \in S^1} U_z$ then U^- is connected since $z \cdot \gamma^m \in \partial U_z^-$ for all $z \in S^1$. \square

Proposition 2.1. Let the shortest closed geodesic on S^2 be simple and have Morse index 1. Suppose $x, y \in S^2$ are such that $d(x, y) = D$ and $d(y, \gamma_1) > \frac{192A}{L_1}$. Then there exists a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 \leq 64A.$$

Proof. Let C be a convex neighborhood of y such that $\partial C \neq \emptyset$, is not totally geodesic, and $\gamma_1 \subset S^2 - C$. We also assume that ∂C is very close to γ_1 . From Lemma 2.1, since γ_1 has Morse index 1, for any $m \geq 2$, there exists a neighborhood U of γ_1 in $\Gamma(S^2 - C)$ such that U^- is contained in a single component of $\Gamma(S^2 - C)(\gamma_1)$. If $\Omega = \Omega(\mathcal{O}, k, \Sigma)$ is a finite-dimensional approximation of $\Gamma(M)$ such that $M - C \subset \mathcal{O}$ and $k > E(\gamma_1^2)$, then the statement holds as well. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$P_j = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \subset \overset{\circ}{C} \text{ and the winding number of } \gamma \text{ around } y \text{ is } n\}.$$

Using the continuity of the length functional, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any γ with $d(\gamma_1, \gamma) < \delta$, it holds that

$$L_1 - \varepsilon \leq L(\gamma) < L_1 + \varepsilon.$$

Taking ε small enough ensures that for any $\gamma \in P_2$ and $d(\gamma_1, \gamma) < \delta$, we have

$$L(\gamma) > 2L_1. \tag{1}$$

We aim to estimate k such that there exists a path $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow L^{-1}([0, k])$ with $h_0 \in P_2$, $h_1 \in P_0$, and $d(h_t, M - C) < \delta$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Fix a loop α such that $d(\gamma_1, \alpha) < \delta$. We can homotope α to γ_1 using closed curves of length less than $L_1 + \varepsilon$. Using the Birkhoff curve shortening process, we obtain a homotopy between γ_1 and x inside $M - C$ with decreasing lengths. Applying this homotopy to α^2 , we obtain a homotopy between α^2 and x with lengths less than or equal to

$$2(L_1 + \varepsilon) \leq \frac{64A}{L_1}$$

and energies less than or equal to $(\frac{64A}{L_1})^2$. Therefore, $k \leq (\frac{64A}{L_1})^2$. Set $\mathcal{O} = \{p \in S^2 \mid d(p, M - C) < R\}$, where $R = 2k^{1/2} + \delta$. Choose a partition Σ of S^1 such that the gradient




Figure 1: Case of a "long ellipsoid"

flow $\Phi_t^\sim : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ defined in [11], Theorem 3, respects C . Then $G_\Omega \circ h = \bar{h} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ has initial point $\bar{h}_0 \in P_3$ and endpoint $\bar{h}_1 \in P_0$.

We now consider $K = \{\gamma \in \Omega \mid \gamma \cap (M - \mathring{C}) \neq \emptyset\}$. Suppose $0 < k_0 < k_0 + \varepsilon' < l$ and V is a neighborhood of the set of closed geodesics in K of energy k_0 . Since Φ_t^\sim respects C , if there are no closed geodesics in $K \cap E^{-1}((k_0, k_0 + \varepsilon'])$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\Phi_\tau^\sim \left(E^{-1}([0, k_0 + \varepsilon']) \right) \cap K \subset E^{-1}([0, k_0]) \cup V. \quad (2)$$

Define

$$\Pi = \{\beta \mid \beta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega, \beta_0 \in P_2, \beta_1 \in P_0\}.$$

Then $\Pi \neq \emptyset$ since $\bar{h} \in \Pi$. Let

$$k_0 = \inf\{k \mid \text{There exists } \beta \in \Pi \text{ such that } \beta_t \in K \text{ implies } E(\beta_t) < k\}.$$

For any $t \in [0, 1]$, β_t must intersect \mathcal{O} by the definition of the finite-dimensional approximation. Then, if β_t passes through y , its length is at least

$$d(y, \gamma_1) - R > \frac{192A}{L_1} - 2k^{1/2} - \delta > \frac{192A}{L_1} - \frac{128A}{L_1} - \delta \geq \frac{64A}{L_1} \geq k^{1/2}.$$

This implies that β_t cannot pass through y , as it would become too long. Thus, if $\beta \in \Pi$ and $t_0 = \min\{t \in [0, 1] \mid \beta_t \notin P_2\}$, then $\beta_{t_0} \in K$ and $L(\beta_{t_0}) > 2L_1$ by (1). Hence, $k_0 > (2L_1)^2 = E(\gamma_1^2)$. Let γ_2 denote the critical orbit of length k_0 in K . Suppose it is a covering of γ_1 . Then, there exists a neighborhood U of γ_2 such that U^- is contained in

a single connected component of $E^{-1}([0, k_0])$. Since ∂C is not totally geodesic, we have $\gamma_2 \notin \partial K$. Thus, we may assume $U \subset K$. Since every closed geodesic for a bumpy metric is geometrically isolated, there exists $\varepsilon' > 0$ with $k_0 + \varepsilon' < k$ such that there are no closed geodesics in $K \cap E^{-1}((k_0, k_0 + \varepsilon'])$. Otherwise, the proof is complete. There exists a piecewise C^1 -path $\beta \in \Pi$ with $E(\beta_t) \leq k_0 + \varepsilon'$ if $\beta_t \in K$. Choose a neighborhood V of γ_2 with $\bar{V} \subset \overset{\circ}{U}$ and $\tau > 0$ as in (2). Then $\beta' = \Phi_\tau^\sim \circ \beta \in \Pi$ and $\beta'_t \in K$ implies $E(\beta'_t) < k_0$ or $\beta'_t \in V$. Now $\beta'^{-1}(V)$ is contained in a union of finitely many disjoint intervals $[t_r, t'_r]$, with $\beta'([t_r, t'_r]) \subset U$ and $\beta'(t_r), \beta'(t'_r) \in U^-$. Then we can replace $\beta'|_{[t_r, t'_r]}$ by a path in $E^{-1}([0, k_0])$. After finitely many steps, we obtain a path $\beta'' : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ such that $\beta''_t \in K$ implies $E(\beta''_t) < k_0$. Since $\beta''_0, \beta''_1 \notin U$, we have $\beta''_0 \in P_2$ and $\beta''_1 \in P_0$, hence $\beta'' \in \Pi$. This contradicts the minimality of k_0 , meaning that γ_2 is not a covering of γ_1 (see Fig. 1). Thus, we obtain a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 \leq k^{1/2} L_1 \leq \frac{64A}{L_1} L_1 = 64A.$$

The proposition is proved. \square

The following result is not crucial for the proof of the theorem, but we have included it as it provides a better bound in certain cases and incorporates some geometrically interesting ideas.

Proposition 2.2. Let the shortest closed geodesic on S^2 be simple and Morse index 1. Suppose $x, y \in S^2$ are such that $d(x, y) = D$ and $d(x, \gamma_1), d(y, \gamma_1) \geq \frac{90A}{L_1}$. Then there exists a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 < 160A.$$

Proof. Let's denote $d(x, \gamma_1) = d_x$ and $d(y, \gamma_1) = d_y$. Let $\tau : [0, D] \rightarrow S^2$ be a minimizing geodesic connecting x and y parameterized with arclength. Now $B(x, d_x) \cap B(y, d_y)$ has measure zero, so by the Coarea inequality

$$A \geq \text{Area}(B(x, d_x)) + \text{Area}(B(y, d_y)) \geq \int_0^{\frac{2A}{L_1}} L(S(x, d_x - u)) du + \int_0^{\frac{2A}{L_1}} L(S(y, d_y - u)) du.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{\frac{2A}{L_1}} \frac{L_1}{2} du = A.$$

Hence, there is a generic $u \in [0, \frac{2A}{L_1}]$ such that

$$L(S(x, d_x - u)) + L(S(y, d_y - u)) \leq \frac{L_1}{2}$$

and $S(x, d_x - u)$ and $S(y, d_y - u)$ are disjoint unions of simple closed curves. Denote α_0 the component of $S(x, d_x - u)$ through $\tau(d_x - u)$ and α_1 the component of $S(y, d_y - u)$ through




Figure 2: Homotopy H_t^N

$\tau(D - d_y + u)$.

Since γ_1 is simple, by the Jordan separation theorem, it divides S^2 into two regions M_x and M_y such that $x \in M_x$ and $y \in M_y$. Since γ_1 is Morse index 1, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are closed curves γ_x^ε and γ_y^ε such that

$$d(\gamma_1, \gamma_x^\varepsilon) \text{ and } d(\gamma_1, \gamma_y^\varepsilon) < \varepsilon,$$

$$L(\gamma_x^\varepsilon) \text{ and } L(\gamma_y^\varepsilon) < L_1,$$

$$\gamma_x^\varepsilon \subset M_x \text{ and } \gamma_y^\varepsilon \subset M_y.$$

Then, if we apply the Birkhoff curve shortening process to γ_x^ε , we will obtain a homotopy between γ_x^ε and a point curve or a closed geodesic different from γ_1 . In the latter case, we are done. Let's assume the former case. Denote by σ_0 the curve in the homotopy which intersects α_0 the last. It has length less than $L(\alpha_0) \leq \frac{L_1}{2}$. Also, by the triangle inequality, we have $d(x, \sigma_0) > d(x, \gamma_1) - \frac{2A}{L_1} - \frac{L(\alpha_0)}{2} \geq \frac{90A}{L_1} - \frac{2A}{L_1} - \frac{8A}{L_1} = \frac{80A}{L_1}$. We can repeat the same argument for γ_y^ε and obtain a homotopy between γ_y^ε and curve σ_1 with the same properties. Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and composing these two homotopies, we obtain a homotopy h_s such that $h_0 = \sigma_0$ and $h_1 = \sigma_1$.

Now we want to describe homotopy H_t^N between σ_0^N and σ_1^N where σ_i^N denotes the N -fold covering of σ_i for some integer N . We pull each loop σ_0 one by one to σ_1 using the homotopy h_s (see Fig. 2). During the deformation, the loops are connected through τ . We want to choose N such that

$$L(H_t^N) < 2NL_1 \tag{3}$$

for each t . Assume that

$$\frac{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{A}}{n+1} < L_1 \leq \frac{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{A}}{n}$$

for some integer n . Notice that the segment of τ has the length less than or equal to

$$\frac{4A}{L_1} + \frac{L_1}{2} \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\right)L_1.$$

Then

$$L(H_t^N) \leq (2N-1)\frac{L_1}{2} + L_1 + \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\right)L_1 = 2NL_1 - (N - \frac{1}{2})L_1 + \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + \frac{1}{2}\right)L_1.$$

Then $L(H_t^N) < 2NL_1$ holds if

$$N > \frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + 1.$$

So, we pick $N = \left\lfloor \frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + 2 \right\rfloor$. Let's consider the cylinder between σ_0 and γ_1 . Consider the shortest non-contractible curve in the cylinder. In fact, it is a simple loop with the length less than or equal to $\frac{L_1}{2}$. Note that the loop is convex to one of the regions it bounds. Denote the region which contains x by C_0 . Note that if the loop is convex to $S^2 - C_0$, then applying the Birkhoff curve shortening process, we will obtain a non-trivial closed geodesic distinct from γ_1 with the length less than or equal to $\frac{L_1}{2}$ and we are done. Suppose it is convex to C_0 . Denote the region which is bounded by γ_1 and contains y by C_1 . Note that $\sigma_0 \subset C_0$, $\sigma_1 \subset C_1$, and $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$. Let's denote the Birkhoff curve shortening map by \mathcal{D} . We define $\mathcal{D}^k \gamma := \mathcal{D}^{k-1}(\mathcal{D}\gamma)$. For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, the sets $I_i = \{t \in [0, 1] \mid \mathcal{D}^k(H_t^N) \subset C_i \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are open. Since $C_0 \cap C_1 = \emptyset$ and \mathcal{D} respects convex sets, we have $I_0 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$. Since $0 \in I_0$ and $1 \in I_1$, there exists $t \in [0, 1] - (I_0 \cup I_1)$. Then $\mathcal{D}^k(H_t^N) \cap (S^2 - (C_0 \cup C_1)) \neq \emptyset$ for each k . Denote $\sigma = H_t^N$.

Applying the Birkhoff curve shortening process to σ , we either obtain a closed geodesic or a point curve. Notice that during the process, it cannot pass through either x or y because

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma) &< 2NL_1 \leq 2\left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + 2\right)\frac{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{A}}{n} \leq \left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{8} + 2\right)\frac{64A}{n^2L_1} = \\ &= \left(8 + \frac{16}{n} + \frac{8}{n^2} + \frac{128}{n^2}\right)\frac{A}{L_1} \leq \frac{160A}{L_1} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the inequality

$$L(\sigma) > 2d(x, S^2 - (C_0 \cup C_1)) \geq 2\left(d(x, \gamma_1) - \frac{2A}{L_1}\right) \geq \frac{160A}{L_1}.$$

So, σ cannot be contracted to a point. Also, it cannot converge to a covering of γ_1 due to (3). Denote the obtained closed geodesic by γ_2 and its length by L_2 . Then

$$L_1L_2 < 160A.$$

The proposition is proved. □




Figure 3: Case of a "long three-legged starfish"

2.2 Case 2: γ_1 is stable with one self-intersection

Let's discuss the case of γ_1 being stable with one self-intersection. In this case γ_1 divides S^2 into 3 regions. We pick points x, y and z in each of them on the maximal distance from γ_1 . Denote corresponding regions by M_x, M_y and M_z , where $\overline{M_x} \cap \overline{M_y}$ is a point. Also, denote $\gamma_1 \cap \overline{M_x} = \gamma_1^x$ and $\gamma_1 \cap \overline{M_y} = \gamma_1^y$. Let $d_x = d(x, \gamma_1)$ (similarly for d_y and d_z).

Proposition 2.3. Suppose $d_x, d_y, d_z \geq \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. Then there exists a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 \leq 16\sqrt{2}A.$$

Proof. Denote τ_y^x, τ_z^y and τ_x^z minimizing geodesics between corresponding points. Let $\tau: [0, L] \rightarrow S^2$ be τ_x^z with the arclength parameter t . Notice that $\frac{L_1}{8\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} < d_x$ and d_z . Also, $L > d_x + d_z$. Since $B(x, d_x) \cap B(z, d_z)$ has measure zero so by the Coarea inequality

$$A \geq \text{Area}(B(x, d_x)) + \text{Area}(B(z, d_z)) \geq \int_0^{\frac{L_1}{8\sqrt{2}}} L(S(x, d_x - s)) ds + \int_0^{\frac{L_1}{8\sqrt{2}}} L(S(z, d_z - s)) ds.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{\frac{L_1}{8\sqrt{2}}} \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} ds = A.$$

Hence, there is a generic $s \in [0, L_1]$ such that




Figure 4: Sweep-out f

$$L(S(x, d_x - s)) + L(S(z, d_z - s)) \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$$

and both $S(x, d_x - s)$ and $S(z, d_z - s)$ are disjoint unions of simple closed curves. Also, we can assume that $S(x, d_x - s)$ intersects τ_y^x, τ_x^z transversely and $S(z, d_z - s)$ intersects τ_x^z, τ_z^y transversely. Let σ_1 be the component of $S(x, d_x - s)$ through $\tau(d_x - s)$ and σ_2 the component of $S(z, d_z - s)$ through $\tau(L - d_z + s)$. Notice also that $\sigma_1 \cap \tau_z^y = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_2 \cap \tau_y^x = \emptyset$. By the Jordan Curve Theorem both σ_1 and σ_2 separate S^2 into 2 regions. In the case of σ_1 there must be x on one side and y, z on the other. While in the case of σ_2 there must be z on one side and x, y on the other. Define

$$\sigma = \sigma_1 \cup \tau|_{[d_x - s, L - d_z + s]} \cup \sigma_2 \cup -\tau|_{[d_x - s, L - d_z + s]}.$$

We see that $L(\sigma) \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} + 4s \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} + 4\frac{L_1}{8\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} + \frac{8\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} = \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. We choose orientation on σ_1 and σ_2 such that the oriented intersection number of σ with τ equals to 2. So, σ is nontrivial. Applying the Birkhoff Curve Shortening Process to σ we obtain either a closed geodesic of length $\leq L(\sigma) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$ or a point curve. But it cannot lead to a point curve for if it did then by intersection number argument some curve σ_{s_0} in the homotopy would have

passed through a vertex x, y or z while still intersecting the opposite geodesic τ_y^x, τ_z^y or τ_x^z respectively. But it contradicts with the fact that $L(\sigma_{s_0}) \leq \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$ and $d(z, \tau_y^x), d(x, \tau_z^y)$ and $d(y, \tau_x^z) > \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. Denote the obtained closed geodesic by γ_2 .

Note that we can choose orientation on γ_1 such that the mod 2 winding number of γ_1 equals to 1 around z and to 0 around y (see Fig. 3). While the mod 2 winding number of γ_2 equals to 1 around y and to 0 around z . Then γ_1 and γ_2 are distinct and

$$L_1 L_2 \leq L_1 \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} = 16\sqrt{2}A.$$

The proposition is proved. \square

Proposition 2.4. Suppose $d_z < \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. Then there exists a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 \leq (2804\sqrt{2} + 64)A.$$

Proof. We will construct a non-contractible map $f: S^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_L S^2$, where $\Lambda_L S^2$ is the space of closed continuous curves of length bounded from above by L . Using the Birkhoff min-max method (see [13]), it will prove existence of a simple closed geodesic with length less or equal than L .

Notice that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ moving γ_1 a little will give us closed curves $\gamma_1^x(\varepsilon)$ and $\gamma_1^y(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1^x(\varepsilon) &\subset M_x \text{ and } \gamma_1^y(\varepsilon) \subset M_y, \\ L(\gamma_1^x(\varepsilon)) \text{ and } L(\gamma_1^y(\varepsilon)) &\leq L_1, \\ d(\gamma_1^x(\varepsilon), \gamma_1) \text{ and } d(\gamma_1^y(\varepsilon), \gamma_1) &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Birkhoff curve shortening process to $\gamma_1^x(\varepsilon)$ will give us either a closed geodesic different from γ_1 or a homotopy of $\gamma_1^x(\varepsilon)$ with a point curve inside M_x . In the former case we are done. In the latter case we can assume the point curve is x . Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain a homotopy of γ_1^x with the point curve x inside M_x . Repeating the same argument for $\gamma_1^y(\varepsilon)$ we obtain a homotopy of γ_1^y with the point curve y inside M_y . Notice that the lengths of the curves in the homotopies don't exceed L_1 . Using continuity of the length functional for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any closed curve γ' with $d(\gamma_1, \gamma') < \delta$ it implies that $L(\gamma') < L_1 + \varepsilon$. We can pick a simple closed curve $\gamma_1^z(\varepsilon)$ such that $d(\gamma_1, \gamma') < \delta$. Denote the disc bounded by $\gamma_1^z(\varepsilon)$ by C . Using Theorem 1.8 in [14] we obtain homotopy between any two subarcs of C intersecting only at their endpoints with the lengths bounded by

$$|\partial C| + 686\sqrt{\text{Area}(C)} + 2 \text{diam}(C) \leq L_1 + \varepsilon + 2744\sqrt{2}\frac{A}{L_1} + 4d_z + L_1 \leq (2804\sqrt{2} + 64)\frac{A}{L_1} + \varepsilon.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain a homotopy between γ_1^x and γ_1^y inside M_z with lengths bounded by $(2804\sqrt{2} + 64)\frac{A}{L_1}$. So, the map f is formed by composition of these three homotopies and homotopy between the point curves x and y (see Fig. 4). Let's consider the sweep-out of the round sphere by the intersection of it with planes parallel to the xy -plane. Then f




Figure 5: Sweep-out ϕ

corresponds to image of a degree 1 map of this sweep-out. Thus, f is non-contractible. Thus we obtain a simple closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 and

$$L_1 L_2 \leq (2804\sqrt{2} + 64) \frac{A}{L_1} L_1 = (2804\sqrt{2} + 64)A.$$

The proposition is proved. □

Proposition 2.5. Suppose d_x or $d_y < \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. Then there exists a closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ with length L_2 such that

$$L_1 L_2 \leq 64A.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we suppose $d_y < \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. As in the proof of Theorem 1.3 we will construct a non-contractible map $\phi: S^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_L S^2$. Repeating the argument from the previous proof we suppose we have length-decreasing homotopies of γ_1^x with the point curve x inside M_x and γ_1^y with the point curve x inside M_y . Now we want to construct a homotopy between γ_1^x and γ_1 . Let's denote the point of self-intersection of γ_1 by v . Connect points y and v by a minimizing geodesic τ . It will obviously lie inside M_y and $L(\tau) < \frac{16\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}$. We homotope γ_1^x to the curve $\gamma_1^x \cup \tau \cup -\tau$. Then we homotope $\gamma_1^x \cup \tau \cup -\tau$ to γ_1 using

the homotopy of γ_1^y to y (see Fig. 5). Notice that the lengths of the curves in the obtained homotopy are not greater than

$$L_1 + \frac{32\sqrt{2}A}{L_1} \leq \frac{64\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}.$$

Again, repeating the same argument with the Birkhoff curve shortening process, we obtain a homotopy of γ_1 with the point curve z inside M_z with the lengths not greater than L_1 . The map ϕ is non-contractible by the same argument as in the previous proof. So, by the Birkhoff min-max method we obtain a simple closed geodesic $\gamma_2 \neq \gamma_1$ of length L_2 not greater than

$$\frac{64\sqrt{2}A}{L_1}.$$

Then

$$L_1 L_2 \leq 64\sqrt{2}A.$$

The proposition is proved. □

References

- [1] Y. Liokumovich, "Geometric inequalities", [Lecture notes], University of Toronto, 2020. [Online]. https://www.math.toronto.edu/ylio/Geometric_inequalities/
- [2] M. Gromov, "Filling Riemannian manifolds", *J. of Differential Geom.*, vol. 18, 1983, 1–147.
- [3] P. M. Pu, "Some inequalities in certain nonorientable Riemannian manifolds", *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 2, 1952, 55–71.
- [4] C. B. Croke and M. Katz, "Universal volume bounds in Riemannian manifolds", *Surv. in Differ. Geom.*, vol. 8, 2002, 109–137.
- [5] C. B. Croke, "Area and the length of the shortest closed geodesic", *J. of Differential Geom.*, vol. 27, 1988, 1–21.
- [6] R. Rotman, "The length of a shortest closed geodesic and the area of a 2-dimensional sphere", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 134, 2006, 3041–3047.
- [7] Y. Liokumovich, A. Nabutovsky, and R. Rotman, "Lengths of three simple periodic geodesics on a Riemannian 2-sphere", *Math. Ann.*, vol. 367, no. 1–2, 2017, 831–855.
- [8] R. Abraham, "Bumpy metrics", *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, vol. 14, 1970, 1–3.
- [9] E. Calabi and J. Guo Cao, "Simple closed geodesics on convex surfaces", *J. Differential Geom.*, vol. 36, 1992, 517–549.
- [10] J. Marx-Kuo, L. Sarnataro, and D. Stryker, "Index, intersections, and multiplicity of min-max geodesics", ArXiv e-prints, arXiv:2410.02580, 2024.

- [11] V. Bangert, "Closed geodesics on complete surfaces", *Math. Ann.*, vol. 251, 1980, 83–96.
- [12] R. Thom, "Stabilité structurelle et morphogénèse", *Reading Mass.: Benjamin*, 1972.
- [13] G. R. Chambers, Y. Liokumovich, "Optimal sweepouts of a Riemannian 2-sphere", *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, vol. 21, 1361–1377.
- [14] Y. Liokumovich, A. Nabutovsky, and R. Rotman, "Contracting the boundary of a Riemannian 2-disc", *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 25, 2015, 1543–1574.

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada
E-mail address: talant.talipov@mail.utoronto.ca