

SUPERSINGULAR BRAUER CLASSES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

DOMENICO VALLONI

ABSTRACT. In this article, we define supersingular Brauer classes in positive characteristic and study their role in the Brauer-Manin obstruction. We relate this notion to the Brauer group of supersingular K3 surfaces and use our results to study the Brauer-Manin set cut out by the p -torsion Brauer group.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let X/K be a smooth, projective variety. Recently, there has been a surge of interest in the p -primary torsion of the Brauer group of X . See, for instance, [D'A24], [Sko24], [LS24], [Yan24] and [LQ24]. In fact, already the p -torsion of $\mathrm{Br}(X) = H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ may have unexpected behaviour: as an example, one may consider a superspecial Kummer surface X over a global field K of odd characteristic; as shown in [Sko24][Example 1] we have that $\mathrm{Br}(X) \cong (K, +)$, which, in particular, is not finitely generated. On the other hand, the Brauer groups of K3 surfaces are always finite over number fields [SZ08]. This naturally raises the question, posed by Alexei Skorobogatov to the author, regarding the role these classes play in the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle

In this paper, we define supersingular Brauer classes and answer the question above in general. Denote by $\Omega_X^1 := \Omega_{X/\mathbb{F}_p}^1$ the sheaf of absolute Kähler differentials of X , and by $B_1 \subset \Omega_X^1$ the subsheaf of exact differentials. One defines the subsheaves $B_n \subset \Omega_X^1$ inductively, see Section 2.1 (we put $B_0 = 0$). Note that B_n is a quasi-coherent sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^n}$ -modules. It is easy to show (see Section 4) that for any $n \geq 0$ there is a natural map $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)[p]$, which we denote by $\omega \mapsto [\omega]$. We call its image the subgroup of *supersingular Brauer classes* of Artin invariant $\leq n$, denoted by $\mathrm{Br}_n^{\mathrm{ss}}(X) \subset \mathrm{Br}(X)[p]$.

Using computations found in [vdGK00] we show in Theorem 16 that if X is a supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant σ over an algebraically closed field K , then $\mathrm{Br}_n^{\mathrm{ss}}(X) = 0$ for $n < \sigma$ and $\mathrm{Br}_\sigma^{\mathrm{ss}}(X) \cong (K, +)$. This justifies our definition. Concerning the Brauer-Manin obstruction we show:

Theorem 1. *Let K be a global field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)$ be an adelic point. Let $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_n)$. Then, $(a_v)_v$ is unobstructed for all the classes of the form $[t^{p^n} \omega] \in \mathrm{Br}(X)[p]$ with $t \in K$ if and only if*

$$(a_v^*(\omega))_v \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1/B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K} \text{ satisfies } C^n((a_v^*(\omega))_v) \in \Omega_K^1 \subset \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1.$$

Here, \mathbf{A}_K denotes the adèles of K , $C: \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1$ is the Cartier operator and $a_v^*: H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_n) \rightarrow \Omega_{K_v}^1/B_{n,K_v}$ is the natural pullback map induced by the local point $a_v: \text{Spec}(K_v) \rightarrow X$. We refer the reader to Section 5 where all these objects are defined.

1.1. Brauer-Manin obstruction and differential forms. The result above allows us to study the Brauer-Manin set cut out by p -torsion Brauer classes from a new point of view. For instance, consider the case of Artin invariant $n = 0$, and define

$$(1.1) \quad X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega_X^1} := \{(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K) : (a_v^*(\omega))_v \in \Omega_K^1 \subset \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \quad \forall \omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1)\}.$$

One immediate corollary of Theorem 1 is the following:

Corollary 2. *Let K be a global field and let X/K be a smooth projective variety. Then*

$$X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}(X)[p]} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega_X^1}.$$

Although supersingular Brauer classes were defined to study the Brauer group of supersingular varieties, this shows that they play a non-trivial role in the Brauer-Manin obstruction whenever $H^0(X, \Omega_{X/K}^1) \neq 0$. Note that all these classes may be algebraic (i.e., they die over \bar{K}) for instance when X is a curve over a non-closed field. On the other hand, over an algebraic closed field, a smooth projective variety X such that $H^0(X, \Omega_{X/K}^1) = 0$ cannot have supersingular Brauer classes as soon as $H^1(X, B_1) = 0$ (e.g. if X is ordinary).

Now, Ω_X^1/B_n is a sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^n}$ -modules. If $\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega_X^1/B_n$ is an invertible subsheaf, we can consider it as a line bundle over \mathcal{O}_X , because X is integral. Thus if \mathcal{L} is globally generated we get a well-defined morphism $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_K^N$. The main consequence we draw from Theorem 1 is the following criterion to decide whether an adelic point is global:

Theorem 3. *Let X be smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible over a global field K and let $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}(X)[p]}$. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega_X^1/B_n$ be a globally generated invertible subsheaf. If there is a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ and a component $a_v \in X(K_v)$ such that $0 \neq C^n(a_v^*(\omega)) \in \Omega_{K_v}^1$, then $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}((a_v)_v) \in \mathbb{P}^N(K)$.*

To show one corollary of this, assume now that X is constant. So, X is a smooth, projective and geometrically irreducible variety defined over \mathbf{F}_q and we let K be a global field over \mathbf{F}_q . For any integral ring R of positive characteristic, we denote by $X(R)^p$ the subset of R -points of X which factorise through the Frobenius of R .

Corollary 4. *Assume that $X \subset \prod_i C_i$, where C_i are (smooth, projective, geometrically irreducible) curves with very ample canonical class. Then*

$$X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}(X)[p]} \setminus X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p \subset X(K).$$

Still assuming $n = 0$ and X constant, let us notice that in Theorem 3 one would like \mathcal{L} to be as positive as possible (e.g., very ample). Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing [Bog78] prevents this for higher dimensional varieties in characteristic zero: if $\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega_X^1$

is an ample invertible subsheaf, then X is necessarily a curve. On the other hand, it is known that Bogomolov-Sommese fails in positive characteristic, e.g., for the Raynaud surface (see the discussion in [Kaw22]). It is then interesting to notice how such failing has positive implications towards the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

1.2. Relation to previous works. The Brauer-Manin obstruction in positive characteristic has been studied in a variety of works. The most general answer is perhaps given in [PV10], where it is proven that $X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}(X)} = X(K)$ whenever X is a subvariety of an abelian variety satisfying certain non-isotriviality and p -torsion conditions (see [PV10][Theorem D]). Next, in [BJF22], [CV23] and [CPV24] the authors study the case of curves. For instance, [BJF22][Theorem 1.1] says that if C is a constant curve, then $C(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\text{Br}(C)} = C(K) \cup C^{\text{MW-Sieve}}$, where $C^{\text{MW-Sieve}} \subset C(\mathbf{A}_K)$ is a certain subset originally introduced in [Sch]. This subset is contained in the subset of adelic points all of whose components are constant, and therefore it is also contained in $C(\mathbf{A}_K)^p$.

Finally, in the relatively recent preprint [Bel18] it is studied the symbol

$$((-, -))_p: K/K^p \times K/K^p \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)[p]$$

where K is a field of positive characteristic. This symbol, although well-known to the experts, does not appear much in the literature (see the discussion in [Bel18]). As explained in Section 4.1, the global version of this yields supersingular Brauer classes of Artin invariant ≤ 1 (and it corresponds to the cup-product of α_p -torsors under the perfect pairing $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p \rightarrow \mu_p$). This symbol also appears in the article [BKK05][Section 8.1], where it is also said that it is well-known that for any noetherian scheme S of characteristic p there is a natural map $H^0(S, \Omega_S^1)/H^0(S, B^1) \rightarrow \text{Br}(S)[p]$. The (Zariski) sheafification of this map is precisely our definition of supersingular Brauer classes of Artin invariant ≤ 1 . The connection of these constructions to the Brauer group of supersingular K3 surfaces as well as Theorem 1 and its consequences appear to be new.

2. SOME CLASSICAL FACTS IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

In this section we recall some basic facts about the Cartier operator, introduced originally in [Car57]. The inverse Cartier operator can be constructed over any base scheme of positive characteristic, but for our applications, it is useful to consider only the absolute case, i.e., with $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{F}_p)$ as base scheme.

Let A be a \mathbf{F}_p -algebra. We denote by Ω_A^1 the module of Kähler differentials of A over \mathbf{F}_p and by A^p the subalgebra of A generated by p -powers. Then, the de Rham complex (Ω_A^\bullet, d) is A^p -linear, and it follows that $\mathcal{H}^i(\Omega_A^\bullet)$ is a quasi-coherent A^p -module (coherent if $A^p \subset A$ is finite). The inverse Cartier operator is then the unique map of graded A -algebras

$$(2.1) \quad C^{-1}: \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \Omega_A^i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{H}^i(\Omega_A^\bullet)$$

(where the A -algebra structure on the right-hand side is given by $a \mapsto a^p$) which satisfies the following:

- (1) $C^{-1}(a) = a^p$ for $a \in A = \Omega_A^0$;
- (2) $C^{-1}(da) = [a^{p-1}da]$ for $a \in A$;
- (3) C^{-1} respects the graded algebra structure on both sides.

One has the following classical result due to Cartier [Car57]:

Theorem 5. *If A has a p -basis, then C^{-1} is an isomorphism.*

We recall that a ring A of characteristic $p > 0$ is said to have a p -basis if there is a subset $\Gamma \subset A$ such that $A^p[\Gamma] = A$ and for any finite choice of elements $a_1 \cdots a_k \in \Gamma$ the monomials $a_1^{i_1} \cdots a_k^{i_k}$ with $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq p-1$ are linearly independent over A^p (see for instance [KN80]). From [Sta24, Tag 07P2] any field K of positive characteristic has a p -basis, and from [KN80][Theorem 3.4], if A is smooth over a field K of characteristic $p > 0$, then A has a p -basis. In this case, one defines the Cartier operator C using the short exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow B_A^1 \rightarrow Z_A^1 \xrightarrow{C} \Omega_A^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

where $B_A^1 \subset Z_A^1 \subset \Omega_A^1$ denote, respectively, the exact and the closed forms of Ω_A^1 (note that these are A^p -modules).

Corollary 6. *If A has a p -basis, then $d(a) = 0$ if and only if $a \in A^p$.*

Let now $\Omega_{\log, A}^1 \subset Z_A^1$ be the abelian subgroup generated by the forms $d \log(a) = da/a$ for $a \in A^\times$. Note that $a^{-1}da = a^{-p}a^{p-1}da$ and hence

$$C(d \log a) = C(a^{-p}a^{p-1}da) = a^{-1}C(a^{p-1}da) = a^{-1}da = d \log(a).$$

The following result is also due to Cartier [Car57]:

Theorem 7. *If A has a p -basis, then $w \in Z_A^1$ is in $\Omega_{\log, A}^1$ if and only if $C(w) = w$.*

The last result that we need is a short exact sequence that characterise logarithmic forms. We let $U = \text{Spec}(A)$.

Proposition 8. *If A is smooth over a field K , there is a short exact sequence on $U_{\acute{e}t}$*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{U, \log}^1 \rightarrow Z_U^1 \xrightarrow{1-C} \Omega_U^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

For a proof, see for instance [Ill79][Corollary 2.1.18].

2.1. The sheaves B_n and Z_n . When A has a p -basis, one defines the abelian sheaves $B_{A, n}^1 =: B_n$ iteratively as follows: $B_0 = 0$ and $B_{n+1} = \{\omega \in Z_A^1 : C(\omega) \in B_n\}$. So $B_1 = B_A^1$ by the previous considerations. Similarly, one defines the sheaves Z_n . Note that B_n and Z_n are naturally sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^n}$ -modules. One obtains a chain of inclusions

$$0 \subset B_A^1 = B_1 \xrightarrow{\subset} B_2 \xrightarrow{\subset} B_3 \xrightarrow{\subset} \cdots B_\infty \subset Z_\infty \subset \cdots \xrightarrow{\subset} Z_3 \xrightarrow{\subset} Z_2 \xrightarrow{\subset} Z_1 = Z_A^1.$$

One has short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow B_A^1 \rightarrow B_{n+1} \xrightarrow{C} B_n \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow B_A^1 \rightarrow Z_{n+1} \xrightarrow{C} Z_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that C acts as a nilpotent operator on B_∞ . Hence $B_\infty \cap \Omega_{A,\log}^1 = 0$ necessarily. Cartier [Car57] and Serre [Ser58] gave a description of the sheaves B_n using Witt vectors (still assuming that A has a p -basis). Let $W_n(A)$ be the truncated Witt vector of length n of A . One defines differentials $D_n: W_n(A) \rightarrow \Omega_A^1$ by the rule

$$\underline{f} = (f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) \mapsto df_{n-1} + f_{n-2}^{p-1} df_{n-2} + \dots + f_0^{p^{n-1}-1} df_0.$$

Theorem 9 (Cartier, Serre). *The map D_n is additive and satisfies the twisted Leibniz rule $D_n(\underline{f} \cdot \underline{g}) = D_n(\underline{f})g_0^{p^{n-1}} + f_0^{p^{n-1}} D_n(\underline{g})$. The image of D_n is B_n , and its kernel is FW_n .*

Proof. We only prove that the image is B_n , the rest of the statements can be found in the cited references. We prove this by induction on n . If $n = 1$ this is (2.2). Now, assume that the statement is true for $n - 1$. Let $\omega \in B_n$, which means that $C^n(\omega) = 0$. Now $C(C^{n-1}\omega) = 0$ hence $C^{n-1}\omega = df$ for some $f \in A$. Note also that $df = C^{n-1}(f^{p^{n-1}-1}df)$, so $C^{n-1}(\omega - f^{p^{n-1}-1}df) = 0$. In particular, $\omega - f^{p^{n-1}-1}df \in B_{n-1}$ and by induction we can find a Witt vector of length $n - 1$ such that $D_{n-1}((f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})) = \omega - f^{p^{n-1}-1}df$. Consider now the Witt vector of length n given by $(f, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$ and compute

$$D_n((f, f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})) = D_{n-1}(f_0, f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}) + f^{p^{n-1}-1}df = \omega.$$

□

Note that all these constructions can be sheafified on the Zariski site.

3. THE p -TORSION BRAUER GROUP

We recall some well-known constructions to describe $\text{Br}(A)[p] = H^2(U_{\text{ét}}, \mathbf{G}_m)[p]$ where $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ and A is a \mathbf{F}_p -algebra with a p -basis. Milne's isomorphism shows that $H^{i+1}(U_{\text{fppf}}, \mu_p) \cong H^i(U_{\text{ét}}, \Omega_{X,\log}^1)$. We give a proof for the convenience of the reader. By [Mil80][Theorem 3.9] if $\pi: U_{\text{fppf}} \rightarrow U_{\text{ét}}$ is the natural map induced by the identity, we have $R^i\pi_*\mathbf{G}_m = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $\pi_*\mathbf{G}_m = \mathbf{G}_m$. Now, we have a short exact sequence of sheaves on U_{fppf} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^\times \xrightarrow{(-)^p} \mathcal{O}_U^\times \rightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce the short exact sequence on $U_{\text{ét}}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^\times \xrightarrow{(-)^p} \mathcal{O}_U^\times \rightarrow R^1\pi_*\mu_p \rightarrow 0$$

and hence the isomorphism $R^1\pi_*\mu_p \cong \Omega_{U,\log}^1$. The isomorphism $H^{i+1}(U_{\text{fppf}}, \mu_p) \cong H^i(U_{\text{ét}}, \Omega_{U,\log}^1)$ then follows from the Leray spectral sequence for π_* applied to \mathbf{G}_m . Now, by Corollary 6 we have a short exact sequence on $U_{\text{ét}}$

$$(3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^\times \xrightarrow{(-)^p} \mathcal{O}_U^\times \xrightarrow{\text{dlog}} \Omega_{\log,U}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce the short exact sequence

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{Pic}(U)}{p \mathrm{Pic}(U)} \rightarrow H^1(U_{\acute{e}t}, \Omega_{\log, U}^1) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(U)[p] \rightarrow 0$$

which, under Milne's isomorphism, coincides with the usual sequence in flat cohomology coming from the sequence of sheaves on U_{fppf}

$$0 \rightarrow \mu_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_U^\times \xrightarrow{(-)^p} \mathcal{O}_U^\times \rightarrow 0.$$

We now use Proposition 8 and obtain the long exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_A^1}{(1-C)Z_A^1} \rightarrow H^1(U_{\acute{e}t}, \Omega_{\log, U}^1) \rightarrow H^1(U_{\acute{e}t}, Z_U^1) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since Z_U^1 is a quasi-coherent sheaf of \mathcal{O}_U^p -modules, we have that

$$H^1(U_{\acute{e}t}, Z_U^1) = H^1(U_{\mathrm{Zar}}, Z_U^1) = 0,$$

because U is affine. Hence, we deduce the short exact sequence

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(U)/p \mathrm{Pic}(U) \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_A^1}{(1-C)Z_A^1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(U)[p] \rightarrow 0$$

(see also e.g. [GS17][Theorem 9.2.4] for an equivalent formulation when A is a field). Assume now that X/K is any smooth variety. Consider the presheaf of abelian groups $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on X_{Zar}

$$U \mapsto \frac{H^0(U, \Omega_U^1)}{(1-C)H^0(U, Z_U^1)},$$

and let \mathcal{B} be its sheafification. Since X/K is smooth the presheaf on X_{Zar} given by $U \mapsto \mathrm{Br}(U)[p]$ is actually a sheaf (see e.g. [CTS21][Corollary 3.5.6, Theorem 3.5.7]) which we denote by $\mathrm{Br}[p]$.

Proposition 10. *The surjection $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}[p]$ of Zariski presheaves yields an isomorphism $\mathcal{B} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Br}[p]$.*

Proof. We only need to show that the map is injective. But for any affine open U the kernel of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(U) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(U)[p]$ is $\mathrm{Pic}(U)/p \mathrm{Pic}(U)$, so that the kernel of $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}[p]$ is the sheafification of $U \mapsto \mathrm{Pic}(U)/p \mathrm{Pic}(U)$, which is zero (we can always kill local sections by refining the Zariski cover). \square

Note that we can identify \mathcal{B} with the Zariski sheafification of $U \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{fppf}}^2(U, \mu_p)$. The fact that this corresponds to the p -torsion Brauer group for smooth varieties can also be deduced from the construction of the second (flat) unramified cohomology.

3.1. Example: $A = \mathbf{F}_q((t))$. We use this result to verify that $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{F}_q((t)))[p] \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ (which follows from local class field theory). This example is useful to us because the explicit description allows us to prove Theorem 1. We begin by noticing that $\mathbf{F}_q((t))$ always admits the p -basis $1, t, \dots, t^{p-1}$ so all the results from the previous sections apply.

Lemma 11. *We have that $\Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))/\mathbf{F}_p}^1 \cong \mathbf{F}_q((t))dt$.*

Remark 1. This is clearly false in characteristic zero without any continuity assumption, as $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}((t))/\mathbb{C}}^1$ has uncountable dimension over $\mathbb{C}((t))$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbf{F}_q((t))$ be a Laurent series, with

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \geq -N} a_k t^k.$$

Since \mathbf{F}_q is perfect, we can write uniquely

$$f(t) = f_0(t)^p + f_1(t)^p t + \dots + f_{p-1}(t)^p t^{p-1}$$

with $f_i(t) \in \mathbf{F}_q((t))$, hence

$$df(t) = f_1(t)^p dt + \dots + f_{p-1}(t)^p dt^{p-1} = g(t)dt$$

for some $g \in \mathbf{F}_q((t))$, since the differential is $\mathbf{F}_q((t))^p$ -linear. \square

Since $Z_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1 = \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1$ the Cartier operator C yields a map

$$C: \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1$$

which sends

$$f(t)dt = f_0(t)^p dt + f_1(t)^p t dt + \dots + f_{p-1}(t)^p t^{p-1} dt \mapsto f_{p-1}(t)dt$$

and whose kernel $\{f(t)dt: f_{p-1} = 0\}$ coincides with $B_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1$. For $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1$ we let $\mathrm{Res}(\omega) \in \mathbf{F}_q$ be the coefficient of t^{-1} in the expansion of f .

Proposition 12. *We have a short exact sequence of abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow (1 - C)\Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p} \circ \mathrm{Res}} \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Br}(\mathbf{F}_q((t)))[p] \cong \mathbf{F}_p$.

Proof. We can write any $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_q((t))}^1$ uniquely as $d(g(t)) + (f_{p-1}t)^p d\log(t)$. Given ω , suppose we want to solve the equation $(1 - C)(\omega') = \omega$ with $\omega' = d(g'(t)) + (f'_{p-1}t)^p d\log(t)$. Then we compute

$$(1 - C)(\omega') = d(g'(t)) + (f'_{p-1}t)^p d\log(t) - f'_{p-1}t d\log(t)$$

so $(1 - C)(\omega') = \omega$ can be solved in ω' if and only if

$$y^p - y = f_{p-1}t$$

can be solved in $\mathbf{F}_q((t))$. But then a simple computation shows that the equation above can be solved if and only if $x^p - x = \text{Res}(f_{p-1})$ can be solved in \mathbf{F}_q . The result then follows from the following (classical) claim: $x^p - x = a$ can be solved in \mathbf{F}_q if and only if $\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p}(a) = 0$. To show this, we consider the exact sequence of $G := \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbf{F}}_q/\mathbf{F}_q)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q \xrightarrow{x^p - x} \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q \rightarrow 0$$

from which we deduce that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_q \xrightarrow{x^p - x} \mathbf{F}_q \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbf{F}_p) \rightarrow 0$$

and since $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ we see that the cokernel of $\mathbf{F}_q \xrightarrow{x^p - x} \mathbf{F}_q$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. Now, write $q = p^n$; if $a, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p^n}$ are such that $b^p - b = a$ then

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{p^n}/\mathbf{F}_p}(a) = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} a^{p^i} = \sum_{0 \leq i < n} b^{p^{i+1}} - b^{p^i} = 0$$

so $\text{Im}(x^p - x) \subset \ker(\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p})$ and we conclude since also $\ker(\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p})$ has index p in \mathbf{F}_q because the trace is surjective \square

4. SUPERSINGULAR BRAUER CLASSES

For any \mathbf{F}_p -algebra A with a p -basis, note that $B_n \subset (1 - C)Z_A^1$, because $C(B_n) = B_{n-1} \subset B_n$. If X is any smooth irreducible scheme over a field K , both Ω_X^1 and B_n^1 are quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^n}$ -modules (coherent if $K^p \subset K$ is finite), and for any open affine $U \subset X$ we have that $(\Omega_X^1/B_n)(U) = H^0(U, \Omega_U^1)/H^0(U, B_n)$. In particular, we have surjection of sheaves on X_{Zar}

$$(4.1) \quad \Omega^1/B_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B},$$

since we know from Proposition 10 that $H^0(X, \mathcal{B}) = \text{Br}(X)[p]$ the following definition makes sense:

Definition 13. We define supersingular Brauer classes of Artin invariant $\leq n$ as

$$\text{Br}_n^{ss}(X) := \text{Im}(H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)[p]).$$

For any section $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n)$ we denote by $[\omega] \in \text{Br}(X)[p]$ the induced element.

Note that for $m \leq n$ we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_m) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Br}(X)[p] & \xrightarrow{=} & \text{Br}(X)[p] \end{array}$$

which shows that $\text{Br}_m^{ss}(X) \subset \text{Br}_n^{ss}(X)$.

4.1. Some examples. One way to obtain supersingular classes of Artin invariant $n = 1$ is by pairing α_p -torsors. For X/K smooth one has $H^1(X_{\text{fppf}}, \alpha_p) \cong H^0(X, B_X^1)$ again by applying [Mil80][Theorem 3.9] as in the beginning of Section 3. One constructs a pairing

$$H^0(X, B_X^1) \times H^0(X, B_X^1) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_X^1)$$

as follows: pick two sections $\omega, \sigma \in H^0(X, B_X^1)$. For an affine open cover $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ we let ω and σ be given respectively by local functions $f_i, g_i \in A_i$ such that $d(f_i) - d(f_j) = 0 = d(g_i) - d(g_j)$ in $\Omega_{A_{ij}}^1$ where $\text{Spec}(A_{ij}) = U_{ij}$. Since X/K is smooth, this is equivalent to the fact that $f_i - f_j \in A_{ij}^p$ (and similarly for g_i) because X is smooth by assumption. Now, the various $g_i d(f_i) \in \Omega_{A_i}^1$ glue to a section of $H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_X^1)$. In fact, on the overlaps $U_{ij} = \text{Spec}(A_{ij})$ we can write $f_i - f_j = s_{ij}^p$ and $g_i - g_j = t_{ij}^p$ have

$$g_i d(f_i) - g_j d(f_j) = t_{ij}^p d(f_i) + g_j d(f_i) - g_j d(f_j) = d(t_{ij}^p f_i).$$

We thus define $\langle \omega, \sigma \rangle$ as the section of Ω^1/B_1 represented by $\{g_i df_i\}_i$. This is clearly bilinear, and moreover

$$\langle \omega, \sigma \rangle + \langle \sigma, \omega \rangle = \{g_i df_i + f_i dg_i\} = \{d(f_i g_i)\},$$

so the pairing is also alternating. Note that one also has a perfect pairing of group schemes $\alpha_p \times \alpha_p \rightarrow \mu_p$ which induces a pairing

$$H^1(X, \alpha_p) \times H^1(X, \alpha_p) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)[p].$$

Proposition 14. *We have a commutative diagram of pairings*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, B_X^1) \times H^0(X, B_X^1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_X^1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^1(X, \alpha_p) \times H^1(X, \alpha_p) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X)[p]. \end{array}$$

The proof of this can be found in [Bel18] (it is clearly enough to show commutativity at the level of function fields). For instance, if $A = E^n$, where E is a supersingular elliptic curve, then A has supersingular Brauer classes of Artin invariant one. One generalizes this for any $n \geq 0$ using the sheafification of the differentials $D_n: W_n \rightarrow B_n$. As before, we can interpret a section $H^0(X, B_n)$ on an affine open cover $U_i = \text{Spec}(A_i)$ given by $\underline{f}_i = (f_{0,i}, f_{1,i}, \dots, f_{n-1,i}) \in W_n(A_i)$ such that $\underline{f}_i - \underline{f}_j \in FW_n(A_{ij})$. Then, one constructs the pairing

$$H^0(X, B_n) \times H^0(X, B_n) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n)$$

with the analogue of the formula above $\langle \omega, \sigma \rangle = \{D_n(\underline{f}_i) g_{0,i}^{p^{n-1}}\} \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_n)$, where σ is represented by $\underline{g}_i = (g_{0,i}, g_{1,i}, \dots, g_{n-1,i})$. One checks that this is well-defined and alternating.

4.2. Varieties over closed fields. We now assume that K is algebraically closed. Note that in particular $\Omega_X^i = \Omega_{X/K}^i$. For $n \geq 0$ we consider the natural map $\Omega_{\log}^1 \rightarrow \Omega^1/B_{n-1}$ induced by the inclusion $\Omega_{\log}^1 \subset \Omega_X^1$. We note that this is always injective. Since X is smooth, we have

$$H^1(X_{\text{Zar}}, \Omega_{\log}^1) \cong \text{NS}(X)/p \text{NS}(X)$$

because $H^2(X_{\text{Zar}}, \mathcal{O}_X^\times) = 0$ (see [CTS21][Remark 3.5.2]) and $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is divisible, so we have an induced map

$$c_n: \text{NS}(X)/p \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega^1/B_{n-1});$$

for $n = 1$ we obtain the usual Hodge cycle class map

$$c_1: \text{NS}(X)/p \text{NS}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega^1).$$

Proposition 15. *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a perfect field K of characteristic $p > 0$. Assume that $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_{n-1}) = 0$. Then, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\ker(H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)[p]) \cong \ker(c_n).$$

Proof. Consider the short exact sequence of Zariski sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{(1-C)Z_X^1}{B_n} \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_X^1}{B_n} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here, $\frac{(1-C)Z_X^1}{B_n}$ is the Zariski sheaf associated to the image of

$$(1-C): Z^1/B_n \rightarrow \Omega^1/B_n.$$

Thus, we obtain a surjective map of abelian sheaves

$$\frac{Z^1}{B_n} \xrightarrow{1-C} \frac{(1-C)Z^1}{B_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\omega \in \frac{Z^1}{B_n}$ is a local section, for any affine open cover U_i of X , we have that $\omega_i = \omega|_{U_i}$ comes from a section of $Z_{U_i}^1$, which we denote in the same way. Now, if $(1-C)\omega \in B_n$ then $(1-C)C^n\omega = 0$ from which it follows that $C^n\omega \in \Omega_{\log, X}^1$, hence that $\omega \in \Omega_{\log, X}^1 + B_n$. It follows that we have a short exact sequence of Zariski sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_{\log}^1 + B_n}{B_n} \rightarrow \frac{Z^1}{B_n} \rightarrow \frac{(1-C)Z^1}{B_n} \rightarrow 0,$$

and since $\Omega_{\log}^1 \cap B_n = 0$ we have that

$$\frac{\Omega_{\log}^1 + B_n}{B_n} \cong \Omega_{\log}^1$$

naturally. Hence, the Cartier operator identifies $Z^1/B_n \cong \Omega^1/B_{n-1}$ and induces an isomorphism of short exact sequences of Zariski sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\log}^1 & \longrightarrow & Z^1/B_n & \longrightarrow & \frac{(1-C)Z_X^1}{B_n} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{\log}^1 & \longrightarrow & \Omega^1/B_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{F} is defined by the sequence and all vertical maps are isomorphisms. Since $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_{n-1})$ is trivial by assumption, this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 2. Assume that $H^0(X, \Omega^1) = 0 = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ and K is algebraically closed. It follows by [Ogu79][Corollary 1.5] (see also [vdGK00][Proposition 10.1]) that the cycle class map $\text{NS}(X)/p\text{NS}(X) \rightarrow H_{dR}^2(X/K)$ is injective and it lands in $F^1 H_{dR}^2(X/K)$ (the first step of the Hodge filtration). From this it follows that the kernel in the proposition above for $n = 1$ is also isomorphic to $\text{NS}(X)/p\text{NS}(X) \cap H^0(X, \Omega_X^2)$. Note that this group is non zero for supersingular K3 surfaces of Artin invariant $\sigma = 1$ (i.e., superspecial) see e.g. [Ill79][Remark 5.19]. This in particular implies that $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_1) \neq 0$ for superspecial K3 surfaces, i.e., supersingular K3 surfaces of Artin invariant $\sigma = 1$.

Theorem 16. *Let X be a supersingular K3 surface of Artin invariant σ over an algebraically closed field K . Then $\text{Br}_n^{ss}(X) = 0$ for $n < \sigma$ and $\text{Br}_\sigma^{ss}(X) \cong (K, +)$.*

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the computations in [vdGK00] (see Corollary 11.12). They show that if X is supersingular then $\dim_{K^{p^i}} H^1(X, B_i) = i$ and that the map $H^1(X, B_i) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Omega^1)$ is injective for $i < \sigma$ and has a one-dimensional kernel for $i = \sigma$. Thus, it follows that $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_{\sigma-1}) = 0$ and that $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_\sigma)$ is a one-dimensional K^{p^σ} -vector space. Hence, since the map $H^0(X, \Omega^1/B_n) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X)[p]$ has finite kernel by the previous proposition, we conclude that $\text{Br}_\sigma^{ss}(X) \cong (K, +)$. As it is shown in [Art74], one also has that $\text{Br}(X) \cong (K, +)$, but at the present moment we are unable to show that the map is also a surjection. \square

5. THE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION

In this section we prove the first theorem in the introduction. Let K be a global field, and $\mathbf{A}_K := \prod'_v K_v$ be the adèles of K . We put

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 := \prod'_v \Omega_{K_v}^1,$$

where the restricted product is over $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1 \subset \Omega_{K_v}^1$. We have a Cartier operator

$$(5.1) \quad C = \prod C_{K_v} : \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1$$

and we define B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K} inductively using C as in Section 2.1. One has

$$B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K} = \prod'_v B_{n, K_v},$$

where again the restricted product means that all but finitely many components belong to $B_{n, \mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$. Note that any $\omega \in B_{n, K_v}$ has zero residue. Now, for any integral ring A with a p -basis and fraction field F , one has that $\Omega_A^1 \cap B_{n, F} = B_{n, A}$. Hence, one has an injection $\Omega_A^1/B_{n, A} \subset \Omega_F^1/B_{n, F}$.

Lemma 17. *We have a natural identification*

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1/B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K} \cong \prod'_v \Omega_{K_v}^1/B_{n, K_v},$$

where the product is restricted over $\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1/B_{n, \mathcal{O}_{K_v}} \subset \Omega_{K_v}^1/B_{n, K_v}$.

Proof. The natural map $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \rightarrow \prod'_v \Omega_{K_v}^1/B_{n, K_v}$ is clearly surjective. If an element $(\omega_v)_v$ belongs to the kernel, then for every v we must have $\omega_v \in B_{n, K_v}$. So for almost all v we have $\omega_v \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1 \cap B_{n, K_v} = B_{n, \mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$, hence $(\omega_v)_v \in B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K}$. \square

Lemma 18. *For any adelic point $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)$ and any section $\omega \in H^0(X, \Omega_X^1/B_n)$ we have $(a_v^*(\omega))_v \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1/B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K}$.*

Proof. This is a usual spread-out argument. Choose a smooth affine model U/\mathbf{F}_q of the function field K such that X/K spreads out to a smooth projective morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$. Up to shrinking U , we can also assume that ω extends to a section $\tilde{\omega} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}}^1/B_{n, \mathcal{X}})$. Now, for any place v of K in U , the component $a_v: \text{Spec}(K_v) \rightarrow X$ extends by completeness to a map $\tilde{a}_v: \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{K_v}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, from which it follows that $a_v^*(\omega) \in \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1/B_{n, \mathcal{O}_{K_v}}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We have $(1 - C)(B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K}) \subset B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K}$ and moreover we have that

$$\text{coker}((1 - C): \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1) = \bigoplus_v \Omega_{K_v}^1/(1 - C)\Omega_{K_v}^1,$$

where the fact that it lands in the direct sum is due to $(1 - C)\Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1 = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_{K_v}}^1$ which follows from the explicit computation of Section 3.1. Hence, we have natural maps

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1/B_{n, \mathbf{A}_K} = \prod'_v \Omega_{K_v}^1/B_{n, K_v} \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \Omega_{K_v}^1/(1 - C)\Omega_{K_v}^1 = \bigoplus_v \text{Br}(K_v)[p],$$

In fact, we also showed that the map $\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1 \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br}(K_v)[p] \cong \bigoplus_v \mathbf{F}_p$ is given by

$$(\omega_v)_v \mapsto \bigoplus_v \text{Tr}_{k(v)/\mathbf{F}_p}(\text{Res}(\omega_v))$$

where $k(v)$ is the residue field of v . Now, the fact that a point $(a_v)_v$ is unobstructed for all the classes of the form $[t^{p^n} \omega]$ means that for every $t \in K$ we have

$$\sum_v \text{Tr}_{k(v)/\mathbf{F}_p}(\text{Res}(t^{p^n} a_v^*(\omega))) = 0.$$

But $\text{Res}(\omega) = \text{Res}(C(\omega))^{1/p}$ and hence

$$\sum_v \text{Tr}(\text{Res}(t^{p^n} a_v^*(\omega))) = \sum_v \text{Tr}(\text{Res}(C^n(t^{p^n} a_v^*(\omega)))) = \sum_v \text{Tr}(\text{Res}(t C^n(a_v^*(\omega)))).$$

Finally, the proof of [Wei82][Theorem 2.1.1] shows that $\omega \in \Omega_{\mathbf{A}_K}^1$ satisfies

$$\sum_v \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{Res}(t\omega)) = 0 \text{ for all } t \in K$$

if and only if $\omega \in \Omega_K^1$, which shows that $C^n((a_v^*(\omega))_v)$ is global. \square

Compare this with the computations in [HV13][Proposition 2.1].

5.1. Consequences. In this section we prove the last results stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \Omega_X^1/B_n$ be an invertible sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^n}$ -modules, and assume that it is globally generated. Let $(a_v)_v$ be an adelic point as in the statement, so there is a place v and a section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ such that $C^n(a_v^*(\omega)) \neq 0$. By Theorem 1 there is a global $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_K^1 \setminus 0$ such that $C^n((a_v^*(\omega))_v) = \tilde{\omega}$. Thus, for every place w , we have that $C^n(a_w^*(\omega)) = \tilde{\omega} \neq 0$. Since $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$ is a finite dimension K^{p^n} -vector space, and since ω is necessarily non-zero, we can complete it to a K^{p^n} -basis $\omega = \omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_N$ of $H^0(X, \mathcal{L})$. Again by Theorem 1 we get that there are $\tilde{\omega}_i \in \Omega_K^1$ such that $C^n((a_v^*(\omega_i))_v) = \tilde{\omega}_i$ for every i .

Choose a component a_w of the adelic point, and pick an affine Zariski open $U \subset X$ such that $a_w \in U$. Our first claim is that $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}(a_w)$ is global. Since we know already that $a_w^*(\omega) = \tilde{\omega} \neq 0$, we can assume that ω never vanishes on U . So, we can find regular functions $g_{k,i}^{p^n} \in \mathcal{O}(U)^{p^n}$ such that over U we have $\omega_k = g_k^{p^n} \omega$ for every $k \geq 0$. But then we get $\tilde{\omega}_i = g_i(a_w) \tilde{\omega}$, from which we conclude that $g_i(a_w)$ is global for every i , and hence $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}(a_w) = [1: g_1(a_w): g_2(a_w): \dots: g_N(a_w)]$ is global. Next, we pick another component a_v . By the same reasoning as before, we can now assume that $a_v, a_w \in U$ and ω never vanishes on U . The same computation then shows that $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}(a_v) = \psi_{\mathcal{L}}(a_w)$. This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 4 can be deduced rather directly from the result above, but we give another proof to show some elementary features of the set $X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1}$ introduced in (1.1).

Lemma 19. *Let $X, Y/K$ be smooth projective varieties. Then*

$$(X \times_K Y)(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1} = X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1} \times Y(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1}.$$

Proof. Since $X \times_K Y$ is smooth, we have a short exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{X \times_K Y}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{K/\mathbf{F}_p}^1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X \times_K Y} \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times_K Y/\mathbf{F}_p}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X \times_K Y/K}^1 \rightarrow 0,$$

and $\Omega_{X \times_K Y/K}^1 = (\Omega_{X/K}^1 \otimes_K \mathcal{O}_Y) \oplus (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_K \Omega_{Y/K}^1)$. Hence since both X and Y are complete we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{K/\mathbf{F}_p}^1 \rightarrow H^0(X \times_K Y, \Omega_{X \times_K Y/\mathbf{F}_p}^1) \rightarrow H^0(X, \Omega_{X/K}^1) \oplus H^0(Y, \Omega_{Y/K}^1) \rightarrow 0.$$

From this and the fact that $(X \times_K Y)(\mathbf{A}_K) = X(\mathbf{A}_K) \times Y(\mathbf{A}_K)$ the proof follows easily. \square

Also the following is clear:

Lemma 20. *Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed immersion of smooth projective K -varieties. Then*

$$Z(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1} \subset X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\Omega^1}.$$

Proof of Corollary 4. Using the previous lemmas, it is enough to show the statement when X/\mathbf{F}_q itself is a curve with very ample canonical class (i.e., of genus $g > 1$ and non hyperelliptic). Take an adelic point $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}(X)[p]} \setminus X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p$. So there is a component $a_v: \mathrm{Spec}(K_v) \rightarrow X$ which does not factorise through the Frobenius of K_v . But then in particular a_v cannot be constant, and the Zariski closure of its image must be X itself. Now, let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ be a Zariski open into which the closed point of $\mathcal{O}_{K_v} \cong \mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[t]]$ lands. So we get a map $A \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[t]]$ which is necessarily injective. This induces a map $\Omega_A^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[t]]}^1$, and we want to show that this is injective. Up to shrinking U if necessary, we can assume that $x \in A$ is a local parameter (i.e., it induces an étale map $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^1$) so that any $\omega \in \Omega_A^1$ is of the form $f dx$. But $f dx$ is mapped to 0 if and only if the image of x is a p -power in $\mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[t]]$, since $A \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[t]]$ is injective, and this contradicts our assumption on a_v . Finally, the proof proceeds as in Theorem 3, with $\mathcal{L} = \Omega_X^1 \otimes K$. \square

5.2. Final considerations. Assume that X is defined over \mathbf{F}_q . Note that for any global field K , we always have that $X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p \subset X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}_n^{ss}(X)}$ for any $n \geq 0$. It would be interesting to find varieties X for which there is an $n \geq 0$ and globally generated line bundles $\mathcal{L}_i \subset \Omega^1/B_n$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$ which satisfy the following property: if $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)$ is such that for every i and every section $\omega \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}_i)$ we have that $\omega|_{(a_v)_v} = 0$ then $(a_v)_v \in X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p$. It is easy to see that if such condition is satisfied, then $d \geq \dim(X)$ necessarily. For such X , we also have

$$\left(\prod_i \psi_{\mathcal{L}_i} \right) (X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}(X)[p]} \setminus X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p) \subset \prod_i \mathbb{P}^{N_i}(K).$$

In particular, if $\prod_i \psi_{\mathcal{L}_i}$ is an embedding, then $X(\mathbf{A}_K)^{\mathrm{Br}(X)[p]} \setminus X(\mathbf{A}_K)^p \subset X(K)$. When $n = 0$, we showed that this is the case for X as in Corollary 4.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author was partly supported by the ERC Starting Grant # 804334. This project originated from a question by Alexei Skorobogatov during the BIRS-CMI workshop “New Directions in Rational Points” in Chennai. We thank BIRS and CMI for their hospitality, and Alexei Skorobogatov for his constant curiosity and support. We also thank Felipe Voloch for his interest and comments on the article, and Fabio Bernasconi for pointing out the connection of our results to Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing and other geometric facts in positive characteristic. Finally, the author had several helpful discussions with Jefferson Baudin and Alapan Mukhopadhyay.

REFERENCES

- [Art74] M. Artin. Supersingular $K3$ surfaces. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 7:543–567, 1974.
- [Bel18] Constantin-Nicolae Beli. Analogues of the p^n -th hilbert symbol in characteristic p . <https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.00689>, 2018.
- [BJF22] Creutz Brendan and Voloch José Felipe. The Brauer-Manin obstruction for constant curves over global function fields. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 72(1):43–58, 2022.
- [BKK05] Alexei Belov-Kanel and Maxim Kontsevich. Automorphisms of the Weyl algebra. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 74(2):181–199, 2005.
- [Bog78] F. A. Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective manifolds. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 42(6):1227–1287, 1439, 1978.
- [Car57] Pierre Cartier. Une nouvelle opération sur les formes différentielles. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 244:426–428, 1957.
- [CPV24] Brendan Creutz, Jesse Pajwani, and José Felipe Voloch. Galois invariants of finite abelian descent and Brauer sets. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 56(10):3240–3256, 2024.
- [CTS21] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Alexei N. Skorobogatov. *The Brauer-Grothendieck group*, volume 71 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021.
- [CV23] Brendan Creutz and José Felipe Voloch. The Brauer-Manin obstruction for nonisotrivial curves over global function fields. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.13075>, 2023.
- [D’A24] Marco D’Addezio. Boundedness of the p -primary torsion of the Brauer group of an abelian variety. *Compos. Math.*, 160(2):463–480, 2024.
- [GS17] Philippe Gille and Tamás Szamuely. *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, volume 165 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2017.
- [HV13] David Harari and José Felipe Voloch. Descent obstructions and Brauer-Manin obstruction in positive characteristic. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 12(3):545–551, 2013.
- [Ill79] Luc Illusie. Complexe de de Rham-Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12(4):501–661, 1979.
- [Kaw22] Tatsuro Kawakami. Bogomolov-Sommese vanishing and liftability for surface pairs in positive characteristic. *Adv. Math.*, 409:Paper No. 108640, 35, 2022.
- [KN80] Tetsuzo Kimura and Hiroshi Niitsuma. Regular local ring of characteristic p and p -basis. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 32(2):363–371, 1980.
- [LQ24] Zhenghui Li and Yanshuai Qin. On p -torsions of geometric Brauer groups. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.19518>, 2024.
- [LS24] Christopher D. Lazda and Alexei N. Skorobogatov. Boundedness of the p -primary torsion of the Brauer groups of $K3$ surfaces. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07989>, 2024.
- [Mil80] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume No. 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.
- [Ogu79] Arthur Ogus. Supersingular $K3$ crystals. In *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. II*, volume 64 of *Astérisque*, pages 3–86. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1979.
- [PV10] Bjorn Poonen and José Felipe Voloch. The Brauer-Manin obstruction for subvarieties of abelian varieties over function fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(1):511–532, 2010.
- [Sch] Victor Scharaschkin. Local-global problems and the Brauer-Manin obstruction. PhD Thesis. <https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/132237>.
- [Ser58] Jean-Pierre Serre. Sur la topologie des variétés algébriques en caractéristique p . In *Symposium internacional de topología algebraica International symposium on algebraic topology*, pages 24–53. Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, México, 1958.

- [Sko24] Alexei N. Skorobogatov. Boundedness of the p -primary torsion of the Brauer group of products of varieties. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.19150>, 2024.
- [Sta24] The Stacks project authors. The stacks project. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2024.
- [SZ08] Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Yuri G. Zarhin. A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of abelian varieties and $K3$ surfaces. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 17(3):481–502, 2008.
- [vdGK00] G. van der Geer and T. Katsura. On a stratification of the moduli of $K3$ surfaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 2(3):259–290, 2000.
- [Wei82] André Weil. *Adeles and algebraic groups*, volume 23 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1982. With appendices by M. Demazure and Takashi Ono.
- [Yan24] Yuan Yang. Remarks on p -primary torsion of the Brauer group. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.09969>, 2024.

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: domenico.valloni@epfl.ch