

Hilbert's tenth problem via additive combinatorics

Peter Koymans*¹ and Carlo Pagano^{†2}

¹Utrecht University

²Concordia University

December 3, 2024

Abstract

For all infinite rings R that are finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , we show that Hilbert's tenth problem has a negative answer. This is accomplished by constructing elliptic curves E without rank growth in certain quadratic extensions L/K . To achieve such a result unconditionally, our key innovation is to use elliptic curves E with full rational 2-torsion which allows us to combine techniques from additive combinatorics with 2-descent.

Wir müssen wissen

Wir werden wissen

David Hilbert

1 Introduction

1.1 History of the problem

At the 1900 mathematical congress in Paris, Hilbert introduced his famous list of 23 problems. The tenth problem of his list is nowadays interpreted as asking for an algorithm to decide the solvability of Diophantine equations. Gödel dealt a major blow to this program in 1931 with his incompleteness theorems, although his results certainly did not rule out the existence of such an algorithm.

In 1950, Julia Robinson proves that exponentiation is Diophantine conditional under a hypothesis that later became known as "J.R.". One major ingredient in this step are the properties of Pell's equation. Not much later, Davis, Putnam and Robinson [4] show that the hypothesis J.R. implies that every recursively enumerable set is Diophantine, hence Hilbert's tenth problem is unsolvable over the integers. Finally, Matiyasevich [18] proves J.R. using properties of Fibonacci numbers, thus settling Hilbert's tenth problem in full. Because of the combined efforts of these four authors, this result became known as the MRDP theorem.

Nevertheless, the field remained an active research area as the MRDP theorem only settles the case of integer polynomials and integer solutions, while it is natural to wonder what happens for other number-theoretic rings. One of the main difficulties was to find an appropriate

*Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Utrecht, Postbus 80.010, 3508 TA Utrecht, The Netherlands, p.h.koymans@uu.nl

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1M8, Canada, carlein90@gmail.com

substitute for Pell’s equation to show that exponentiation is Diophantine. Fairly early on researchers realized that algebraic groups of rank 1 could fulfill such a role, but rigorously establishing their existence has been a difficult problem. As it stands, results from the 1980s give a negative answer to Hilbert’s tenth problem for the ring of integers of the following fields

- K is totally real or a quadratic extension of a totally real field [6, 5],
- $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$, K is not totally real [6],
- K has exactly one complex place [25, 30, 36],
- K is contained in a number field L such that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_L [29].

We also mention the recent works of Garcia-Fritz-Pasten [9], Kundu-Lei-Sprung [17] and Shnidman-Weiss [32], who give a negative answer for a large number of sextic fields.

1.2 Our results

After the completion of the MRDP theorem, elliptic curves became a particularly natural candidate to replace the role of Pell’s equation, see Poonen [26] and independently Cornelissen-Pheidas-Zahidi [2] with important strengthenings by Shlapentokh [31] and Mazur-Rubin-Shlapentokh [20]. However, this came with one major downside: unlike Pell’s equation, it is not easy to show that there exists an elliptic curve of rank 1 over a given number field. In fact, to the best of our knowledge, this question is still open. However, assuming finiteness of the Tate-Shafarevich group, Mazur-Rubin [19] were able to use the aforementioned results to show that Hilbert’s tenth problem is undecidable for any infinite ring R that is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} . Further conditional results were obtained by Murty-Pasten [22] and by Pasten [24]. We establish the same result unconditionally.

Theorem 1.1. *Let R be a finitely generated ring over \mathbb{Z} with $|R| = \infty$. Then Hilbert’s tenth problem has a negative answer for R .*

In fact, we shall prove this by showing that \mathbb{Z} has a Diophantine model over O_K for every number field K (see Theorem 2.5), as conjectured by Denef and Lipshitz [6].

Theorem 1.2. *Let K be a number field. Then \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_K .*

Eisenträger [8] proved in her PhD thesis that Theorem 1.2 implies Theorem 1.1, so it remains to establish Theorem 1.2.

Manjul Bhargava (private communication) has informed us that in forthcoming work, Alpöge-Bhargava-Ho-Shnidman will prove a rank growth result of similar strength as Theorem 2.4 which proves that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_K for almost all or perhaps even all rings of integers O_K .

1.3 Additive combinatorics

Our main new insight is to bring additive combinatorics into this field. For now, let L/K be a quadratic extension, and our goal will be to construct an elliptic curve E over K with

$$\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(L) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) > 0. \tag{1.1}$$

In fact, we may and will later assume quite a bit more about L/K to aid with some of the technical arguments, see Theorem 2.4 for a precise statement.

Mazur–Rubin [19] do this by taking an elliptic curve E and quadratic twisting it to control the 2-Selmer rank. In their work they take an elliptic curve with $\text{Gal}(K(E[2])/K) \cong S_3$ as large as possible. Then they rely on finiteness of Sha to go back to ranks.

We take a different approach, and instead we perform 2-descent on elliptic curves with full rational 2-torsion. Let E be the elliptic curve

$$y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3).$$

Our first insight is to consider the twist by $t := d(n - a_1d)(n - a_2d)(n - a_3d)$, so E^t has the equation

$$ty^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3),$$

which tautologically has the rational point $(x, y) = (n/d, 1/d^2)$. This point is readily seen to be non-torsion for all but finitely many twists t and hence the rank of E^t is positive. Thus, if we were able to show that the 2-Selmer rank of E^t over L equals $2 + \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K)$, then we have unconditionally established equation (1.1). However, as the Selmer growth results in Morgan–Paterson [21] suggest, this is typically not possible so we have opted to prove instead that the 2-Selmer rank of $E^{\alpha t}$ is 2, where α is such that $L = K(\sqrt{\alpha})$.

Our second insight is to take advantage of additive combinatorics. Indeed, in general it is exceptionally difficult to control Selmer ranks of polynomial twists with no distributional results known outside linear polynomials. However, the change of Selmer ranks is well-understood for prime elements. Hence we consider the situation that d , $n - a_1d$, $n - a_2d$ and $n - a_3d$ are all prime elements. This is possible by the Green–Tao theorem for number fields [14] based on the earlier works [10, 11, 12, 13]. In fact, it will be useful to take d not to be a prime element but a fixed multiple of a prime element to favorably arrange the structure of the 2-Selmer group before we twist by our four special prime elements.

1.4 Future work

We believe that the combination of additive combinatorics with 2-descent may fruitfully be applied to solve other problems of interest. Firstly, we hope that this idea allows one to establish some cases of Skorobogatov’s conjecture unconditionally [33]. So far, results in this area have relied on finiteness of Sha.

Secondly, one may wonder whether our ideas allow one to find for every number field K an elliptic curve E of rank 1. We also expect that our techniques should be able to answer this question positively, although this does not directly follow from our results as we make some additional assumptions on the extension L/K in our reduction steps.

1.5 Layout of the paper

In Section 2 we perform a standard reduction step to reduce the problem to establishing equation (1.1) for certain quadratic extensions. This reduction step allows one to assume that K contains any given totally real field. For this reason, we have opted to redo these standard arguments, as we have found it convenient to make the field K contain certain totally real fields. Moreover, unlike Mazur–Rubin [19] we reduce to the case where L/K is quadratic instead of cyclic. This improvement was first noticed by Shlapentokh, see [20, Theorem 4.8].

The main result of Section 2 is that Theorem 2.4 implies Theorem 2.5 (which is just Theorem 1.2). The remainder of the paper is dedicated to establishing Theorem 2.4.

In Section 3 we develop some background theory. We perform 2-descent over general number fields, and give several results to compute the parity of 2-Selmer. Moreover, we construct suitable “starting” elliptic curves that we shall twist in the later sections. We end this section by giving a reasonable parametrization of $H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

In Section 4 we define a Markov chain process that computes the transition from the 2-Selmer rank of E^κ to $E^{\kappa\pi}$. Since the transition from the 2-Selmer rank of E^κ to $E^{\kappa\pi}$ is rather delicate, we spend a great amount of time to carefully control the 2-Selmer rank of E^κ in Section 5.

After having suitably prepared our Selmer groups for our additive combinatorics arguments, we finish the argument in Section 5. At this stage a final obstacle arises as one can not independently prescribe $n - a_1d$, $n - a_2d$, $n - a_3d$ modulo d . To work around this issue, we make use of infinite places to carefully control some terms arising from quadratic reciprocity.

Acknowledgements

This project was initiated when the second author visited the first author at the Institute for Theoretical Studies, and both authors wish to thank the institute for its financial support and excellent working conditions. The first author gratefully acknowledges the support of Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zürich Foundation. The first author also acknowledges the support of the Dutch Research Council (NWO) through the Veni grant “New methods in arithmetic statistics”.

The manuscript was completed while the authors were at the workshop *Nilpotent counting problems in arithmetic statistics* at AIM. We are very grateful to AIM and the organizers Brandon Alberts, Yuan Liu and Melanie Wood.

The authors are deeply indebted to Efthymios Sofos, who answered many questions about additive combinatorics during the project. Without his constant support, the project would most likely not have come to be. We would also like to thank Gunther Cornelissen, Christopher Frei, Andrew Granville, Ben Green, Emmanuel Kowalski, Vivian Kuperberg, Jeff Lagarias and Alexander Smith for helpful conversations. We are also indebted to Hector Pasten for explaining us how to reduce Hilbert’s tenth problem to rank growth in quadratic extensions instead of general cyclic extensions.

Finally, we would like to thank Adam Morgan for valuable feedback on Section 3. Moreover, we are most grateful to him for the shared time at the Max Planck Institute in Bonn, where he explained to us how to control the 2-Selmer group with the Markov chain from Section 4. In particular, his excellent notes formed the basis of our Section 4.

2 Initial reductions

In this section we reduce our main theorem to establishing a certain rank growth condition of elliptic curves. This reduction is standard in the literature, see for example [19, Section 8]. We redo the arguments here as we are going to impose some extra conditions on the relevant extensions of number fields that will ease some future arguments. We start by recalling three well-known results.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $K \subseteq L$ are number fields. Then*

(i) If $D_1, D_2 \subseteq O_L$ are Diophantine over O_L , then so is $D_1 \cap D_2$.

(ii) If $D \subseteq O_K$ is Diophantine over O_K , and O_K is Diophantine over O_L , then D is Diophantine over O_L .

(iii) If \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_L , then \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_K .

Proof. See [19, Lemma 8.3]. □

Lemma 2.2. *If L/K is a quadratic extension and K is totally real, then \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_L .*

Proof. This is the statement of [5, Corollary], which is a combination of the main theorems of [6] and [5]. □

Lemma 2.3. *If L/K is an extension of number fields and if there exists an elliptic curve E/K such that $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(L) > 0$, then O_K is Diophantine over O_L .*

Proof. See [31, Theorem 1.9]. □

Having stated these results, we will now perform our reduction step. Let us first state the theorem that we shall prove in the remaining sections of the paper. Condition (iii) ensures that K has enough real infinite places to perform some tricks later on.

Theorem 2.4. *Let K be a number field and set $L := K(i)$. Assume that*

(i) L is Galois,

(ii) K is the fixed field of the decomposition group of an infinite place (in L/\mathbb{Q}), so L/K is a quadratic extension ramified at some infinite place,

(iii) K contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{19})$.

Then there exists an elliptic curve E over K such that $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(L) > 0$.

We shall now prove that Theorem 2.4 implies Theorem 2.5. The remainder of this paper is dedicated to establishing Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 2.5. *Let F be a number field. Then \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_F .*

Proof that Theorem 2.4 implies Theorem 2.5. Let F be any number field. Define L to be the compositum of the normal closure of F together with $\mathbb{Q}(i, \sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{19})$. We claim that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_L . This implies that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_F by Lemma 2.1(iii).

To prove our claim, we make the subclaim that $O_{L(\sigma)}$ is Diophantine over O_L for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\langle \sigma \rangle = D_{v,L/\mathbb{Q}}$ for some infinite place v of L (here $D_{v,L/\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes the decomposition group). But our subclaim is a consequence of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 applied with $K := L(\sigma)$. Indeed, note that K must contain any totally real subfield of L , hence contains $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{19})$. Furthermore, since L/K is ramified at an infinite place, K can not contain i , thus $L = K(i)$. Hence the conditions of Theorem 2.4 are met, thus proving the subclaim.

Now write $M := \bigcap_{\sigma} L^{(\sigma)}$, where the intersection is over all σ generating a decomposition group at an infinite place of L . Since $O_{L^{(\sigma)}}$ is Diophantine over O_L for all σ by the subclaim, then so is

$$\bigcap_{\sigma} O_{L^{(\sigma)}} = O_M$$

by Lemma 2.1(i). Since M is totally real, we know that \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_M by Lemma 2.2, and therefore \mathbb{Z} is Diophantine over O_L by Lemma 2.1(ii). \square

3 Background theory

3.1 Elliptic curves

Given a_1, a_2, a_3 , define the quantities $\alpha = a_1 - a_2$, $\beta = a_1 - a_3$ and $\gamma = a_2 - a_3$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Let $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in K$ be distinct and let E be the elliptic curve defined by*

$$E : y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3).$$

Let $P_1 = (a_1, 0)$ and $P_2 = (a_2, 0)$. Let λ_i be the homomorphism $E[2] \rightarrow \mu_2$ given by

$$P \mapsto (P, P_i)_{\text{Weil}},$$

where $(-, -)_{\text{Weil}} : E[2] \times E[2] \rightarrow \mu_2$ is the Weil pairing. Under the isomorphism

$$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) : H^1(G_K, E[2]) \cong (K^*/K^{*2})^2,$$

the Kummer map $\delta : E(K)/2E(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(K, E[2]) \cong (K^*/K^{*2})^2$ is given explicitly by

$$P = (x, y) \mapsto \begin{cases} (x - a_1, x - a_2) & \text{if } P \neq P_1, P_2 \\ (\alpha\beta, \alpha) & \text{if } P = P_1 \\ (-\alpha, -\alpha\gamma) & \text{if } P = P_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [34, Proposition 1.4, Chapter 10]. \square

Throughout the paper we shall often implicitly identify $H^1(G_K, E[2])$ with $(K^*/K^{*2})^2 \cong H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F}_2)^2$. Whenever we do so, the implicit identification used is (λ_1, λ_2) .

In order to show that the rational point that we will construct later is not torsion, the following fact will be useful.

Lemma 3.2 (Torsion facts). *Let E/K be an elliptic curve and let v be a finite odd place of K of good reduction. Let $d \in K^*$ with $v(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Then*

$$E^d(K)[4] = E^d(K)[2].$$

Furthermore, for all but finitely many $d \in K^*/K^{*2}$, we have

$$E^d(K)^{\text{tors}} = E^d(K)[2].$$

Proof. For the first part, we will prove the stronger claim that $E^d(K_v)[4] \subseteq E^d(K_v)[2]$. Now, $E(K_v^{\text{sep}})[4]$ is an unramified G_{K_v} -module by the Néron–Ogg–Shafarevich criterion. But then inertia acts by -1 on $E^d(K_v^{\text{sep}})[4]$, and so

$$E^d(K_v)[4] = \left(E^d(K_v^{\text{sep}})[4]\right)^{G_{K_v}} \subseteq \left(E^d(K_v^{\text{sep}})[4]\right)^{I_v} \subseteq E^d(K_v^{\text{sep}})[2],$$

which implies $E^d(K_v)[4] \subseteq E^d(K_v)[2]$.

For the second part, we claim that for any fixed odd prime p there are at most 2 values of $d \in K^*/K^{*2}$ such that

$$E^d(K)[p] \neq 0.$$

Indeed, assume for the sake of contradiction that there are distinct elements $d_1, \dots, d_3 \in K^*/K^{*2}$ with $E^{d_i}(K)[p] \neq 0$. We write $E' = E^{d_1}$. Since $|\text{Gal}(K(\sqrt{d_1 d_2}, \sqrt{d_1 d_3})/K)| = 4$ is coprime with p , we have the decomposition

$$E'(K(\sqrt{d_1 d_2}, \sqrt{d_1 d_3}))[p] = E^{d_1}(K)[p] \oplus E^{d_2}(K)[p] \oplus E^{d_3}(K)[p] \oplus E^{d_1 d_2 d_3}(K)[p].$$

But such a decomposition is clearly impossible, as the LHS has dimension at most 2, while the RHS has dimension at least 3.

By Merel's theorem, there is a finite subset of the primes \mathcal{P} depending only on the degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ of K such that

$$E^d(K)[p] = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \notin \mathcal{P}.$$

Excluding the at most $2|\mathcal{P}|$ twists d with $E^d(K)[p] \neq 0$ for some odd prime $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and excluding all d with $K(\sqrt{d})/K$ ramified only at 2, infinite places and the bad places of E finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let K be a number field, let $d \in K^*/K^{*2}$ and set $L := K(\sqrt{d})$. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over K . Then*

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(L) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) + \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^d(K).$$

Proof. This is a standard result, which follows from decomposing $E(L) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ into its plus and minus part under the action of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. \square

3.2 Root numbers

The global root number $w(E/K)$ is defined by

$$w(E/K) = \prod_v w(E/K_v),$$

where $w(E/K_v)$ is the local root number. We shall not need the precise definition of this local root number as our next theorem provides a convenient way to calculate them.

Theorem 3.4 (Root number facts). *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a local field \mathcal{K} of characteristic 0. Let k be the residue field of \mathcal{K} and let v be the associated normalized valuation of \mathcal{K} . Then we have:*

- (i) *If E/\mathcal{K} has good reduction, then $w(E/\mathcal{K}) = +1$;*

(ii) If E/K has additive, potentially good reduction and $\text{char}(k) \geq 5$, then

$$w(E/K) = (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{v(\Delta)k}{12} \rfloor};$$

(iii) If K is archimedean, then $w(E/K) = -1$.

Proof. See [3, Theorem 2.3]. □

Our next lemma contains the main result on root numbers that we shall use.

Lemma 3.5. *Let K be a number field and let E be an elliptic curve over K with $w(E/K) = +1$. Define*

$$N := \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ bad for } E} \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let $d \in O_K$ be totally positive with $d \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$. Then $w(E^d/K) = +1$.

Proof. Write b for the number of primes \mathfrak{p} with $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ and $|O_K/\mathfrak{p}| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Since $d \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$, the primes of bad reduction of E^d equal the disjoint union of the primes of bad reduction of E and the primes satisfying $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(E^d/K) &= \prod_v w(E^d/K_v) = \prod_{v \text{ bad for } E} w(E^d/K_v) \cdot \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \text{ finite} \\ v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} w(E^d/K_v) \\ &= \left(\prod_{v \text{ bad for } E} w(E/K_v) \right) \cdot (-1)^b = (-1)^b. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used respectively Theorem 3.4(i), Theorem 3.4(ii), the isomorphism $E^d \cong E$ at all places of bad reduction and finally our assumption $w(E/K) = +1$. Applying Hilbert reciprocity to $\chi_d \cup \chi_{-1}$, we conclude that $b \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, ending the proof. □

The relevance of these root number computations is that we can relate them to the parity of the 2-Selmer rank by our next theorem. Write

$$\text{rk}_2(E/K) = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(K) + r_2(E/K),$$

where $r_2(E/K)$ is the \mathbb{Z}_2 -corank of $\text{III}(E/K)[2^\infty]$.

Theorem 3.6 (2-parity). *Let E/K be an elliptic curve with $E(K)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$. We have*

$$(-1)^{\text{rk}_2(E/K)} = w(E/K).$$

Proof. This was originally proven in [7, Theorem 1.8] and generalized in [1, Theorem 1.4]. □

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose $E(K)[4] = E(K)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$. Then*

$$(-1)^{\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_2(E/K)} = w(E/K).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6 combined with the fact that the Cassels–Tate pairing is alternating modulo the divisible part of $\text{III}(E/K)$, see e.g. [28]. □

Finally, we are ready to construct a starting curve that we will twist later. Since our methods are fairly flexible, we shall not need to demand too much on our starting curve. Given three distinct real numbers x_1, x_2, x_3 , we define $p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ to be the unique permutation $\sigma \in S_3$ such that

$$x_{\sigma(1)} < x_{\sigma(2)} < x_{\sigma(3)}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let K be a number field with at least 25 real embeddings. Then there exist elements $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in O_K$ such that*

- $-1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in K^*$ are linearly independent in K^*/K^{*2} ;
- there exist real embeddings $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{24}$ such that for every $f \in S_3$ there are precisely four indices i satisfying

$$p(\sigma_i(a_1), \sigma_i(a_2), \sigma_i(a_3)) = f;$$

- the elliptic curve

$$E : y^2 = (x - a_1)(x - a_2)(x - a_3)$$

satisfies $w(E/K) = +1$.

Proof. Fix some real embeddings $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{25}$. There exist $a'_1, a'_2, a'_3 \in O_K$ satisfying the second property by strong approximation, where we leave the absolute value of a'_1, a'_2, a'_3 at σ_{25} unspecified when applying strong approximation. Note that the first property is in fact a consequence of the second property.

Let \tilde{E} be the elliptic curve given by

$$\tilde{E} : y^2 = (x - a'_1)(x - a'_2)(x - a'_3).$$

Write N for the product of finite bad places of \tilde{E} . By the Mitsui prime ideal theorem, there exists an odd principal prime element π of good reduction that has the same sign as $w(\tilde{E}/K)$ at σ_{25} , is positive at all other real places and satisfies $\pi \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$. Applying Hilbert reciprocity to $\chi_\pi \cup \chi_{-1}$, we see that $|O_K/\pi| \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $w(\tilde{E}/K) = +1$ and $|O_K/\pi| \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ if $w(\tilde{E}/K) = -1$. We now take $E := \tilde{E}^\pi$. Since \tilde{E} and E are isomorphic at all finite places of bad reduction for \tilde{E} and are also isomorphic at all 2-adic and 3-adic places, it follows from Theorem 3.4(ii) and Theorem 3.4(iii) that $w(E/K) = +1$. \square

3.3 Number fields

Let K be a number field. We denote by Ω_K the set of places of K . Let $T \subseteq \Omega_K$ be any fixed finite set of places containing all 2-adic places of K and all infinite places of K . Fix elements $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s \in O_K^*$ that form a basis of O_K^*/O_K^{*2} and fix prime ideals $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n \in \Omega_K - T$ that form a basis of $\text{Cl}(K)[2]$. Also fix generators g_1, \dots, g_n of $\mathfrak{P}_1^2, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n^2$. By the Chebotarev density theorem, there exists a superset $T' \supseteq T$ disjoint from $\{\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n\}$ such that the natural map

$$\mathbb{F}_2^{s+n} \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T' - T} \frac{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^*}{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^{*2}}, \quad (a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_n) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^s \epsilon_i^{a_i} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n g_j^{b_j}$$

is an isomorphism.

Fix a basis $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$ for $\text{Cl}(K)^\vee[2]$. By the Chebotarev density theorem we may find for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ a prime $\mathfrak{p}_i \in \Omega_K - T' - \{\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_n\}$ such that

$$\chi_j(\mathfrak{p}_i) = \delta_{i,j}$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let S be the union of T' with $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$.

We define \mathfrak{m} to be the modulus $8\infty\mathfrak{r}$, where ∞ is the product of all infinite places and \mathfrak{r} is the product of all finite odd places in S . For a place $v \in S$, we write $m(v)$ for its exponent in \mathfrak{m} . The ray class group sequence reads

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S} (O_K/v^{m(v)})^*}{\text{im}(O_K^*)} \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(K) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $(O_K/v)^*$ is to be interpreted as $\{1, -1\}$ for real archimedean v and as $\{1\}$ for complex archimedean v .

From this sequence and the snake lemma we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S} \frac{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^*}{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^{*,2}}}{\text{im}(O_K^*) \cdot \delta(\text{Cl}(K)[2])} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})}{2\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow \frac{\text{Cl}(K)}{2\text{Cl}(K)} \rightarrow 0 \quad (3.1)$$

with δ denoting the connecting map

$$\delta : \text{Cl}(K)[2] \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S} (O_K/v^{m(v)})^* / \text{im}(O_K^*)}{(\prod_{v \in S} (O_K/v^{m(v)})^* / \text{im}(O_K^*))^2} \cong \frac{\prod_{v \in S} \frac{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^*}{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^{*,2}}}{\text{im}(O_K^*)}.$$

We remark that $\text{im}(O_K^*) \cdot \delta(\text{Cl}(K)[2])$ is generated by the images of $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s, g_1, \dots, g_n$. Since (3.1) is a sequence of vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_2 , we may fix a splitting

$$\frac{\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})}{2\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})} \cong \frac{\prod_{v \in S} \frac{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^*}{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^{*,2}}}{\text{im}(O_K^*) \cdot \delta(\text{Cl}(K)[2])} \oplus \frac{\text{Cl}(K)}{2\text{Cl}(K)}.$$

We let M be the maximal abelian extension of K of exponent 2 that is ramified only at S . We fix for each element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ a finite odd place $v(\sigma) \in \Omega_K - S$ with Artin symbol equal to σ .

Our goal is to define for each place $v \in \Omega_K - S$ a reasonable notion of global character $\chi_v : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$, and we shall do this in two stages. First, we will do this for places of the shape $v(\sigma)$, corresponding to some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}(\sigma)$ of K . For such places, we use that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ generate $\text{Cl}(K)/2\text{Cl}(K)$ to expand $\mathfrak{p}(\sigma)$ as

$$\mathfrak{p}(\sigma) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \dots \mathfrak{p}_n^{e_n} \cdot \mathfrak{b}^2 \cdot (\alpha) \quad (3.2)$$

for some exponents $e_1, \dots, e_n \in \{0, 1\}$ and some fractional ideal \mathfrak{b} of K that we may take coprime to S . Then there exists a unique vector $(a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{s+n}$ such that

$$\beta := \alpha \epsilon_1^{a_1} \dots \epsilon_s^{a_s} g_1^{b_1} \dots g_n^{b_n}$$

maps to the identity inside

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T' - T} \frac{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^*}{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^{*,2}}.$$

We define $\chi_{v(\sigma)} = \chi_\beta$.

Second, let $w \in \Omega_K - S$ be an arbitrary place with Artin symbol equal to σ . Write \mathfrak{q} for the prime ideal corresponding to w . Since w has the same Artin symbol as v in M , we read off the equation

$$\mathfrak{q}\mathfrak{p}(\sigma)^{-1} = \mathfrak{c}^2 \cdot (\gamma), \quad (3.3)$$

where γ may be taken so that γ is totally positive and $\gamma \equiv 1 \pmod{8\mathfrak{r}}$. Here we used that $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ corresponds to

$$\frac{\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})}{2\text{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})}$$

via the Artin map. We now define $\chi_w = \chi_{\beta\gamma}$. We codify the properties of χ_v in our next two lemmata.

Lemma 3.9. *The characters χ_v for $v \in \Omega_K - S$ satisfy:*

- (i) χ_v is ramified at v and unramified outside $S \cup \{v\}$;
- (ii) if v and w have the same Artin symbol in $\text{Gal}(M/K)$, then $\chi_v - \chi_w$ is locally trivial at all places in S ;
- (iii) every character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ can be uniquely written as

$$\chi = \chi(S) + \sum_{v \in \Omega_K - S} c_v \chi_v,$$

where at most finitely many $c_v \in \mathbb{F}_2$ are non-zero and where $\chi(S)$ is a character of G_K ramified only at S .

Proof. Property (i) follows immediately upon inspecting valuations in (3.2) and (3.3), while Property (ii) is a consequence of the defining properties of γ .

As for Property (iii), existence is proven by setting $c_v = 1$ if and only if χ is ramified at v . Then it is readily verified that the difference

$$\chi - \sum_{v \in \Omega_K - S} c_v \chi_v$$

is ramified only at S . For uniqueness, it suffices to consider the case that χ is the trivial character by linearity. Since χ_v is ramified at v , this forces $c_v = 0$ for all v , and then we get $\chi(S) = 0$ as desired. \square

We will now reap the benefits from previously enlarging the set T to T' .

Lemma 3.10. *The composite map ψ*

$$\text{Gal}(M/K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v | \infty} H^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in S - T'} \frac{H^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)}{H_{\text{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)},$$

where this map is defined by Lemma 3.9(ii) and the projection map, is surjective.

Proof. We recall our splitting

$$\mathrm{Gal}(M/K) \cong \frac{\mathrm{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})}{2\mathrm{Cl}(K, \mathfrak{m})} \simeq \frac{\prod_{v \in S} \frac{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^*}{(O_K/v^{m(v)})^{*,2}}}{\mathrm{im}(O_K^*) \cdot \delta(\mathrm{Cl}(K)[2])} \oplus \frac{\mathrm{Cl}(K)}{2\mathrm{Cl}(K)}.$$

From now on, we view every $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(M/K)$ as a pair (μ, \mathbf{v}) via our splitting. Under this splitting, the composite map ψ can be described as follows. We uniquely expand \mathbf{v} as

$$\mathbf{v} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in S-T'} \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}.$$

Then, for $v \in S - T'$, the projection of $\psi(\mu, \mathbf{v})$ on $\frac{H^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)}{H_{\mathrm{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)}$ is non-trivial precisely when $e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$. Finally, for $v \mid \infty$, the projection of $\psi(\mu, \mathbf{v})$ on $H^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2)$ can be found by normalizing μ with $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s, g_1, \dots, g_n$ in the unique way so that it projects trivially on

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in T'-T} \frac{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^*}{(O_K/\mathfrak{p})^{*,2}}$$

and then taking the sign of μ . Given this explicit description of $\psi(\mu, \mathbf{v})$, the lemma is now a consequence of the Mitsui prime ideal theorem. \square

4 Selmer ranks as a Markov process

The central result of this section is a description of the 2-Selmer rank in terms of a Markov process. Let K be given and let E be an elliptic curve with $E(K)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$. Take T to be the smallest set of places of K containing all 2-adic places of K , all infinite places of K and all places of bad reduction of E . Let $T \subseteq T' \subseteq S \subseteq \Omega_K$ be the set of places constructed in Subsection 3.3.

To describe the Selmer rank as a Markov chain, we need some further theory on Selmer groups. An important insight of Poonen–Rains [27] is that the local Tate pairing (given by the Weil pairing) naturally comes from a certain quadratic form $q_{E,v} : H^1(G_{K_v}, E[2]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K_v)[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2$. Let us start by summarizing the properties of this form that we will need.

Lemma 4.1. *The bilinear form associated to $q_{E,v}$ is precisely the local Tate pairing. Moreover, we have $q_{E^d,v} = q_{E,v}$ for every d .*

Proof. The first part is [27, Corollary 4.6], while the second part is [15, Lemma 5.2]. \square

We say that $A \subseteq H^1(G_{K_v}, E[2])$ is a maximal isotropic subspace if A vanishes under $q_{E,v}$ and is its own orthogonal complement under the local Tate pairing.

Lemma 4.2. *The local image of the Kummer map $\delta(E(K_v))$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $H^1(G_{K_v}, E[2])$.*

Proof. This is [27, Proposition 4.10]. \square

We will now describe how the Selmer rank transitions. In order to do so, we introduce a sequence of Selmer structures. Let A be a finite G_K -module. A Selmer structure $\mathcal{L} = (\mathcal{L}_v)_{v \in \Omega_K}$ (in the language of [23, Definition 8.7.8], this is called a collection of local conditions) is a

collection of subgroups $\mathcal{L}_v \subseteq H^1(G_{K_v}, A)$ such that $\mathcal{L}_v = H_{\text{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, A)$ for all but finitely many v . To a Selmer structure \mathcal{L} , we associate its Selmer group via the formula

$$\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}}(G_K, A) := \ker \left(H^1(G_K, A) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in \Omega_K} \frac{H^1(G_{K_v}, A)}{\mathcal{L}_v} \right).$$

Write M for the multiquadratic extension of K ramified only at S . Let $\chi \in \text{Gal}(M/K)^\vee \subseteq H^1(G_K, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and let $v_1, \dots, v_r \notin S$ be distinct places. We will assume that

$$\text{res}_v(\chi + \chi_{v_1} + \dots + \chi_{v_r}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } v \in T' \quad (4.1)$$

$$\text{res}_v(\chi + \chi_{v_1} + \dots + \chi_{v_r}) \in H_{\text{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, \mathbb{F}_2) \quad \text{for all } v \in S - T'. \quad (4.2)$$

Let d be such that $\psi_d = \chi + \chi_{v_1} + \dots + \chi_{v_r}$, and define for each integer $0 \leq i \leq r$ the Selmer structure $\mathcal{L}_{i,d} = (\mathcal{L}_{i,d,v})_{v \in \Omega_K}$

$$\mathcal{L}_{i,d,v} = \begin{cases} \delta(E(K_v)) & \text{if } v \in S \\ \langle \{(\alpha\beta, d\alpha), (-d\alpha, -\alpha\gamma)\} \rangle & \text{if } v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \\ H_{\text{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, E[2]) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In order to calculate the change in Selmer rank, a special role will be reserved for the space

$$A_{v_{i+1}} := \text{res}_{v_{i+1}} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}'_{i,d}}(G_K, E[2]),$$

where $\mathcal{L}'_{i,d}$ is the Selmer structure with

$$\mathcal{L}'_{i,d,v} = \begin{cases} \delta(E(K_v)) & \text{if } v \in S \\ \langle \{(\alpha\beta, d\alpha), (-d\alpha, -\alpha\gamma)\} \rangle & \text{if } v \in \{v_1, \dots, v_i\} \\ H^1(G_{K_v}, E[2]) & \text{if } v = v_{i+1} \\ H_{\text{nr}}^1(G_{K_v}, E[2]) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define $d_i := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i+1,d}}(G_K, E[2]) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,d}}(G_K, E[2])$ to be the change in Selmer rank.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume equations (4.1) and (4.2). Let $0 \leq i \leq r-1$. Then $A_{v_{i+1}}$ is a maximal isotropic subspace, and we have*

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{res}_{v_{i+1}}(\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,d}}(G_K, E[2])) = 0 \text{ and } A_{v_{i+1}} = \delta(E^d(K_{v_{i+1}})) \\ -2 & \text{if } \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{res}_{v_{i+1}}(\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,d}}(G_K, E[2])) = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [16, Proposition 7.2(iii)]. Note that the conditions in that result are satisfied thanks to Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. \square

The final step in the Markov chain is completely predictable because of the 2-torsion elements of E .

Lemma 4.4. *Assume equations (4.1) and (4.2). We have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}^2(E_d/K) = 2 + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-1,d}}(G_K, E[2]).$$

Proof. By definition we have

$$\text{Sel}^2(E_d/K) = \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r,d}}(G_K, E[2]).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that $d_{r-1} = 2$. We know that A_{v_r} is a maximal isotropic subspace, but it also clearly contains $(\alpha\beta, d\alpha)$ and $(-d\alpha, -\alpha\gamma)$ as these are the images of $E[2]$ under δ . Therefore A_{v_r} is spanned by $(\alpha\beta, d\alpha)$ and $(-d\alpha, -\alpha\gamma)$, and the result follows. \square

5 Proof of Theorem 2.4

In this section we combine the material from Section 3 and 4 to prove Theorem 2.4. For the remainder of the paper, we fix a number field K satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.4, and we set $L := K(i)$.

5.1 First steps

As a first step, we construct a starting curve E that we will quadratic twist later. Since the number of real embeddings of K is exactly the size of the centralizer of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ inside $\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ divided by 2, we see that the number of real embeddings is at least 32 thanks to the orbit-stabilizer theorem and the fact that only elements in

$$\text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{7}, \sqrt{11}, \sqrt{13}, \sqrt{17}, \sqrt{19}))$$

are conjugate to any element in $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Hence all conditions of Lemma 3.8 are met, and we fix an elliptic curve E satisfying the conclusion of that lemma. Write $a_1, a_2, a_3, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ for the elements associated to E . Henceforth we denote by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{24}$ the infinite places of K guaranteed by Lemma 3.8.

Define T to be the minimal set of places of K that include all 2-adic places, all infinite places and all places of bad reduction of E . We denote by T' and S the set of places as constructed in Subsection 3.3, so $T \subseteq T' \subseteq S \subseteq \Omega_K$, and we let M be the maximal abelian extension of K of exponent 2 and ramified only at S .

In the next two subsections, we will find an element $t \in K^*/K^{*2}$ satisfying

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}^2(E^t/K) = 2, \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{-t}(K) > 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Once we achieve this, Theorem 2.4 follows from Lemma 3.3 upon recalling the equality $L = K(i)$.

We will establish (5.1) with two reduction steps. Write N for the product of all finite prime ideals in T' coprime to (6). Furthermore, given an element $\eta \in K^*/K^{*2}$, recall that ψ_η denotes the corresponding quadratic character given by Kummer theory. We will identify $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{F}_2$, so that our invariant maps will naturally land in \mathbb{F}_2 .

Definition 5.1. By the fourth part of Lemma 3.8, we fix six infinite places τ_1, \dots, τ_6 of K among $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{24}$ such that

$$\tau_1(a_3) > \tau_1(a_1) > \tau_1(a_2), \quad \tau_2(a_3) > \tau_2(a_2) > \tau_2(a_1) \quad (5.2)$$

$$\tau_3(a_1) > \tau_3(a_3) > \tau_3(a_2), \quad \tau_4(a_2) > \tau_4(a_3) > \tau_4(a_1) \quad (5.3)$$

$$\tau_5(a_3) > \tau_5(a_1) > \tau_5(a_2), \quad \tau_6(a_3) > \tau_6(a_2) > \tau_6(a_1). \quad (5.4)$$

We say that an element $t \in O_K$ is a suitable twist if

(P1) we have that $t \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$, t is totally positive and ψ_t is unramified at all places in $S - T'$;

(P2) the quadratic character ψ_t associated to t can be decomposed as

$$\psi_t = \psi_\kappa + \psi_{p_1} + \psi_{p_2} + \psi_{p_3} + \psi_{p_4},$$

where the quadratic character ψ_κ associated to κ ramifies at exactly $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ primes outside of S (say $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$), where the class $\kappa \in K^*/K^{*2}$ admits an integral representative coprime to $24N$ and where p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 are prime elements that are pairwise coprime and also coprime to $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ and S ;

(P3) write S' for the places S together with $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$. Then there exists a basis

$$(z_1, z_2), (z_3, z_4), (z_5, z_6), (z_7, z_8), (z_9, z_{10}), (z_{11}, z_{12})$$

of $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_1 \cup \psi_{p_1}) &= \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_4 \cup \psi_{p_1}) = 1 \\ \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_5 \cup \psi_{p_2}) &= \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_8 \cup \psi_{p_2}) = 1 \\ \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_9 \cup \psi_{p_3}) &= \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_{12} \cup \psi_{p_3}) = 1 \\ \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_1}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 12\} - \{1, 4\} \\ \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_2}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{5, \dots, 12\} - \{5, 8\} \\ \sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_3}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \in \{9, \dots, 12\} - \{9, 12\}; \end{aligned}$$

(P4) we have $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{-t}(K) > 0$.

We are now ready to phrase our first reduction step.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $t \in O_K$ be suitable. Then t satisfies equation (5.1).*

Proof. Since the total number of ramified primes in $K(\sqrt{t})/K$ coprime to S equals $r + 4$, Lemma 4.4 allows us to rephrase the condition (5.1) as

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r+3,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = 0, \quad \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} E^{-t}(K) > 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Observe that we are indeed allowed to apply Lemma 4.4, because equations (4.1) and (4.2) hold thanks to our assumption (P1).

Therefore it remains to verify (5.5). Because t is suitable, (P4) holds and thus it suffices to show that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r+3,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = 0$$

for all suitable t . To this end, we will apply Lemma 4.3 three times, each time checking that we land in the case where the dimension drops by 2. In order to apply Lemma 4.3, note that

ψ_{p_i} and $\chi_{(p_i)}$ differ at most by a character ramified only at S , so that the expression in (P2) may be rewritten in the shape

$$\psi_t = \psi_\kappa + \psi_{p_1} + \psi_{p_2} + \psi_{p_3} + \psi_{p_4} = \chi + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \cdots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_r} + \chi_{(p_1)} + \chi_{(p_2)} + \chi_{(p_3)} + \chi_{(p_4)}$$

for some χ ramified only at S , where we recall that $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ form the ramification locus of ψ_κ outside of S . We make the intermediate claims that

$$\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r+1,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = \langle (z_5, z_6), (z_7, z_8), (z_9, z_{10}), (z_{11}, z_{12}) \rangle \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r+2,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = \langle (z_9, z_{10}), (z_{11}, z_{12}) \rangle.$$

Let us start by proving the first intermediate claim (5.6). To this end, we apply Hilbert reciprocity to obtain the relations

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_K} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_1}) = 0$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$. Then (P3) shows

$$\text{inv}_{p_1}(z_i \cup \psi_{p_1}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \in \{1, 4\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now note that $\text{inv}_{p_1}(z_i \cup \psi_{p_1})$ detects precisely the reduction of z_i locally at (p_1) . Hence Lemma 4.3 implies that the Selmer rank decreases by 2. Moreover, observe that this is only possible if $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r+1,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ equals $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ intersected with the kernel of the reduction map at p_1 . This gives the first intermediate claim. The second intermediate claim is proven similarly, and so is the final claim. \square

Thanks to Theorem 5.2, it remains to establish the existence of a suitable t .

5.2 Application of additive combinatorics

We will now construct a suitable t in two steps. First, we shall assume that the auxiliary twist κ has certain good properties. Under this assumption, we will apply the Green–Tao theorem [14] to find such t . The construction of κ is delicate and relies on the material in Section 4 in an essential way. This construction will occupy the entirety of our final subsection.

Definition 5.3. We say that $\kappa \in O_K$ is an auxiliary twist if

- (K1) the quadratic character ψ_κ ramifies at exactly $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ primes not in S (say $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$) and the class $\kappa \in K^*/K^{*2}$ admits an integral representative coprime to $24N$;
- (K2) the element κ is negative at τ_4, τ_5, τ_6 , but positive at τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 . Moreover, ψ_κ is unramified at the places in $S - T'$;
- (K3) there exists an invertible congruence class $\lambda_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$ such that for all $t' \in O_K$ with $t := t'\kappa$ totally positive, $t \equiv 1 \bmod 24N$, ψ_t unramified at $S - T'$ and $t' \equiv \lambda_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots$

\mathfrak{p}_r we have that $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L},t}(G_K, E[2])$ has a basis $(z_1, z_2), (z_3, z_4), (z_5, z_6), (z_7, z_8), (z_9, z_{10}), (z_{11}, z_{12})$ such that the signs of z_i at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 are given by the table

$$\begin{pmatrix} & \tau_1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 & \tau_5 & \tau_6 \\ \text{im}(\delta) & (-, +) & (+, -) & (-, +) & (+, -) & (-, +) & (+, -) \\ z_1 & - & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_2 & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_3 & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_4 & + & - & + & + & + & + \\ z_5 & + & + & - & + & + & + \\ z_6 & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_7 & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_8 & + & + & + & - & + & + \\ z_9 & + & + & + & + & - & + \\ z_{10} & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_{11} & + & + & + & + & + & + \\ z_{12} & + & + & + & + & + & - \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.7)$$

We are now ready to phrase our last reduction step, after which it remains to construct an auxiliary twist κ in Subsection 5.3.

Theorem 5.4. *Assume that there exists an auxiliary twist κ , i.e. κ satisfies (K1), (K2) and (K3). Then there exists a suitable $t \in O_K$.*

Proof. By (K1), we may fix an integral representative of κ coprime to $24N$. We now specify the behavior of our final primes p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 modulo $24N\kappa$ and the infinite places. We will make the following choices, to be verified soon:

(C1) we have

$$p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{24N\kappa}, \quad \kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}, \quad p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \equiv \lambda_1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r};$$

(C2) we also take p_4 to be positive at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 . Finally, we take $\kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ to be totally positive;

(C3) we let p_1, p_2, p_3 be positive at all real embeddings outside the set $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_6\}$;

(C4) at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 we now specify the signs of p_1, p_2, p_3 by completing the matrix (5.7) from before

$$\begin{pmatrix} & \tau_1 & \tau_2 & \tau_3 & \tau_4 & \tau_5 & \tau_6 \\ p_1 & - & - & + & + & + & + \\ p_2 & - & - & - & - & + & + \\ p_3 & + & + & - & + & - & - \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.8)$$

Therefore our twist $t := \kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ is totally positive, satisfies $t \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$ and is unramified at $S - T'$, so (P1) and (P2) hold. Since p_4 is positive at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 , we see that (K2) and equation (5.8) are consistent with (P1), i.e. $\kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ is indeed positive at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 .

Writing h for the class number of K , we let m be a generator of the ideal $24N^h$. Write $\lambda \in O_K$ for an element coprime to κ and the finite places in S that reduces to the inverse of

$\kappa \bmod 24N$ and also reduces to $\lambda_1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r$. We define linear forms $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 \in O_K[X, Y]$ through

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= m^2\kappa X + a_1 m^2\kappa(m^2\kappa Y + \lambda) + 1 \\ L_2 &:= m^2\kappa X + a_2 m^2\kappa(m^2\kappa Y + \lambda) + 1 \\ L_3 &:= m^2\kappa X + a_3 m^2\kappa(m^2\kappa Y + \lambda) + 1 \\ L_4 &:= m^2\kappa Y + \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We take $n = m^2\kappa X + 1$ and $d = m^2\kappa(m^2\kappa Y + \lambda)$. Write p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 for the prime elements attained by the above forms. In that case we see that

$$d(n + a_1 d)(n + a_2 d)(n + a_3 d) = m^2\kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4,$$

which equals $\kappa p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4$ up to squares. In order to apply [14, Theorem 12.1], we need to check that the technical conditions on the forms L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 are satisfied. We will do this in our next two lemmata.

Lemma 5.5. *The linear forms L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4 are admissible, i.e. for every prime \mathfrak{p} of O_K , there exist $u, v \in O_K$ such that $L_1(u, v)L_2(u, v)L_3(u, v)L_4(u, v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. For primes \mathfrak{p} dividing $m\kappa$, we use that λ is coprime to $m\kappa$ by construction, so that we may pick $u = v = 0$. It remains to verify the lemma for primes $\mathfrak{p} \nmid m\kappa$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p} \nmid 6$, so $|O_K/\mathfrak{p}| \geq 5$. Since $m^2\kappa$ is invertible modulo \mathfrak{p} , there exists v with $\mu := m^2\kappa v + \lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, using that $|O_K/\mathfrak{p}| \geq 5$ and using once more that $m^2\kappa$ is invertible modulo \mathfrak{p} , we may also choose u such that

$$m^2\kappa u \notin \{-a_1 m^2\kappa\mu - 1, -a_2 m^2\kappa\mu - 1, -a_3 m^2\kappa\mu - 1\}.$$

With these choices, we see that $L_1(u, v)L_2(u, v)L_3(u, v)L_4(u, v) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, as desired. \square

We will now construct a convex set Ω to which we apply [14, Theorem 12.1]. Fix an integral basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n$ of O_K . Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. We define Ω' through the simultaneous conditions

(I) we have

$$\tau \left(L_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \omega_i \right) \right) > 0 \quad (5.9)$$

for all real embeddings τ of K not equal to τ_1, \dots, τ_6 and all $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$;

(II) we have

$$\tau \left(L_4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \omega_i \right) \right) \tau(\kappa) > 0 \quad (5.10)$$

for all real embeddings τ of K not equal to τ_1, \dots, τ_6 ;

(III) for $j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ and $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, the sign of

$$\tau_j \left(L_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \omega_i \right) \right)$$

is precisely the entry (k, j) in the matrix (5.8);

(IV) we have

$$\tau_j \left(L_4 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \omega_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \omega_i \right) \right) > 0$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

Let $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, appealing to (K2) and the equality $d = m^2 \kappa p_4$, the condition $\tau_\ell(a_i) < \tau_\ell(a_j) < \tau_\ell(a_k)$ implies

$$\tau_\ell(n + a_i d) < \tau_\ell(n + a_j d) < \tau_\ell(n + a_k d)$$

for $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, while we have

$$\tau_\ell(n + a_k d) < \tau_\ell(n + a_j d) < \tau_\ell(n + a_i d)$$

for $\ell \in \{4, 5, 6\}$.

First, we observe that these inequalities are consistent with the matrix in (5.8). Therefore, for each real place τ_j with $j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$, the inequalities in (III) and (IV) may be rewritten as at most two inequalities, namely by specifying when $\tau_\ell(n + a_x d)$ transitions from negative to positive. We can also rewrite, for each given infinite place, the combined four inequalities from (5.9) and (5.10) as two inequalities (depending on which of a_1, a_2, a_3 is the smallest or largest in that embedding).

We now aim to calculate the volume of $\Omega := \Omega' \cap [-N, N]^{2n}$. To this end, we need a lemma about volumes of regions defined by linear inequalities. Write μ for the Lebesgue measure.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $m \geq k \geq 1$ be integers, let $A : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ be a surjective linear operator, and let $(c_1, \dots, c_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then there exists a positive real number c such that*

$$\mu \left(A^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (c_i, \infty) \right) \cap [-X, X]^m \right) \sim c \cdot X^m$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. It will be convenient to fix a linear operator $B : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-k}$ such that the linear operator $T := (A, B) : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is an isomorphism. The desired set then becomes

$$\mathcal{D} := T^{-1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k (c_i, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-X, X]^m.$$

We have the asymptotic expansion

$$\mu(\mathcal{D}) = \mu \left(T^{-1} \left((0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-X, X]^m \right) + O(X^{m-1})$$

as $X \rightarrow \infty$. Now observe that

$$T^{-1} \left((0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-X, X]^m = X \cdot \left(T^{-1} \left((0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-1, 1]^m \right).$$

Since $T([-1, 1]^m)$ is an open set containing 0, we conclude that $T^{-1} \left((0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-1, 1]^m$ is a non-empty open set and hence has positive Lebesgue measure. Choosing

$$c := \mu \left(T^{-1} \left((0, \infty)^k \times \mathbb{R}^{m-k} \right) \cap [-1, 1]^m \right) > 0$$

ends the proof of the lemma. \square

We use this lemma with m equal to twice the number of real places of K and with $k = m$. The operator A is given by m linear forms L'_1, \dots, L'_m . We will define these linear forms by specifying two linear forms $L_{\tau,1}, L_{\tau,2}$ for each real place τ .

So let τ be a real embedding of K . If $\tau \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_6\}$, we take $L_{\tau,1}$ to be the (non-constant part of the) linear form L_4 composed by τ . Moreover, we take $L_{\tau,2}$ to be the (non-constant part of the) linear form L_i composed with τ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Here we choose i as explained in the text preceding the lemma.

It remains to pick linear forms $L_{\tau,1}, L_{\tau,2}$ for $\tau \in \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_6\}$. In this case, we take $L_{\tau,1}, L_{\tau,2}$ to be the two (non-constant part of the) linear forms L_i with $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ where the sign of p_i transitions from negative to positive. We observe that this implies the sign for p_4 in (IV).

These linear forms are readily checked to be linearly independent, so the operator A is surjective. By Lemma 5.6, we conclude that the volume of Ω' grows as cN^{2n} for some $c > 0$.

Finally, we verify that our chosen t satisfies (P1), (P2), (P3) and (P4). Since [14, Theorem 12.1] provides an asymptotic formula, we get infinitely many quadruples p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 satisfying conditions (P1), (P2), (C1), (C2), (C3) and (C4) that generate distinct prime ideals coprime to $m\kappa$. We claim that these conditions imply (P3) and (P4) for all but finitely many such t . Once we establish the claim, we find in particular at least one suitable t , proving the theorem. Thus it remains to prove the claim.

Because t is of the shape $d(n + a_1d)(n + a_2d)(n + a_3d)$, one directly checks that

$$E^{-t} : d(n + a_1d)(n + a_2d)(n + a_3d)y^2 = (x + a_1)(x + a_2)(x + a_3)$$

has the rational point $(x, y) = (n/d, 1/d^2)$, which is also readily seen to be non-torsion for all but finitely many quadruples p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 by Lemma 3.2. Hence for infinitely many t also (P4) holds.

It remains to check (P3). Observe that $p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv p_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{24N\kappa}$ by (C1) and that p_1, p_2, p_3 are positive at all real embeddings outside τ_1, \dots, τ_6 by (C3), so we have

$$\sum_{v \in S'} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_j}) = \sum_{v | \infty} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_j}) = \sum_{v \in \{\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5, \tau_6\}} \text{inv}_v(z_i \cup \psi_{p_j})$$

for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, 12\}$. Thanks to (P1), we are allowed to apply (K3) to deduce that the signs of z_i at τ_1, \dots, τ_6 are given by the matrix (5.7). Then (P3) follows from a direct computation using the matrices (5.7) and (5.8). \square

5.3 Construction of an auxiliary twist

At this stage, it remains to prove that an auxiliary twist κ exists, i.e. some κ satisfying (K1), (K2) and (K3). It is possible to give a probabilistic proof of this statement, in the spirit of [35]. However, for the sake of brevity, we have opted for a direct construction.

Theorem 5.7. *There exists an auxiliary twist κ .*

Proof. Using the equations (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) and Lemma 3.1, we indeed get the local conditions displayed in equation (5.7), i.e. the row for $\text{im}(\delta)$. Here we used that t is totally positive. Further note that the matrix (5.7) has the property that (z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}) is in the span of the top entry of the corresponding column for every $0 \leq i \leq 5$, so these elements satisfy the Selmer condition at that infinite place.

At this stage, we shall already declare the shape of the auxiliary twist κ . As a first step we construct distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10} \notin S$ for some large integer $r \geq 10$. The last 10 steps consist of one prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_1 and prime elements q_2, \dots, q_{10} taken outside of S and obeying a number of constraints to be declared shortly. We will then complete the construction of the auxiliary twist κ by defining

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\kappa &:= \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}} + \chi_{\mathfrak{q}_1} + \psi_{q_2} + \dots + \psi_{q_{10}} \\ &= \chi + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}} + \chi_{\mathfrak{q}_1} + \chi_{(q_2)} + \dots + \chi_{(q_{10})} \end{aligned}$$

for some character χ ramified only at S .

From now on, we shall assume throughout that t is a totally positive element with $t \equiv 1 \pmod{24N}$ and ψ_t unramified at $S - T'$. It is a consequence of Corollary 3.7 (note that the hypothesis of this lemma is satisfied by Lemma 3.2), Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.5, Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.3 that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ is even for all $0 \leq i \leq r$.

We will start by showing that for some sufficiently large integer r , there exist distinct prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10} \notin S$ with

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-10,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

We warn the reader that $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ is not a function of $\chi, \mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_i$ exactly because of the dependence on the congruence of $t' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ for the shape of the local condition at each \mathfrak{p}_j . However, after specifying $t' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq i$, the Selmer spaces $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ are the same irregardless of the actual choice of t . In particular, $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{0,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ does not depend on t .

We let π_1 be the projection on the first factor of $E[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$ and we let π_2 be the projection on the second factor of $E[2] \cong \mathbb{F}_2^2$. For brevity, we also write $V_i := \text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{i,t}}(G_K, E[2])$. We claim that either there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_1 such that for all t we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_1 - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_0 = -2 \quad (5.12)$$

or $\pi_1(V_0) = 0$ or $\pi_2(V_0) = 0$ or $(\pi_1 + \pi_2)(V_0) = 0$. Indeed, if V_0 does not project trivially via π_1, π_2 or $\pi_1 + \pi_2$, then either there exists $\rho \in V_0$ such that $V_0 = \{(\rho, 0), (0, \rho)\}$ or there exists $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in V_0$ with ρ_1, ρ_2 linearly independent and $(\rho_3, \rho_4) \in V_0 - \langle (\rho_1, \rho_2) \rangle$ (as $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_0 \geq 2$). In both cases, one gets equation (5.12) from Lemma 4.3 by choosing \mathfrak{p}_1 appropriately.

We will now establish equation (5.11) by repeatedly using the claim. We may assume that $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_0 \geq 2$, as otherwise we are done. If there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_1 satisfying equation

(5.12), we use that prime ideal and we continue. Otherwise, by the claim, we have $\pi_1(V_0) = 0$, $\pi_2(V_0) = 0$ or $(\pi_1 + \pi_2)(V_0) = 0$. We will treat the case $\pi_1(V_0) = 0$, the other cases are similar.

In this case, we fix some non-trivial element $(0, \rho) \in V_0$. We may pick a prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_1 with $\alpha\beta$ not a square modulo \mathfrak{p}_1 and moreover $\rho(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}) = 1$. In this case we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_0,$$

as we are in the third case of Lemma 4.3. Note that the kernel of the linear map sending $(\rho_1, \rho_2) \in V_1$ to $\rho_2(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}_1})$ is a subspace of V_1 of codimension 1. Let (ρ_1, ρ_2) be an element of V_1 but not in this kernel. Then we claim that ρ_1 is not the trivial character. Once the claim is proven, we combine it with the previous claim (5.12) to conclude that there exists a prime \mathfrak{p}_2 such that for all t

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_2 - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} V_1 = -2,$$

and this readily implies equation (5.11). In order to prove the claim, note that $(0, \rho_2)$ can never be Selmer, as ρ_2 is ramified at \mathfrak{p}_1 , so that the local condition at \mathfrak{p}_1 forces $\alpha\beta$ to be a square modulo \mathfrak{p}_1 by Lemma 3.1 (contrary to the choice of \mathfrak{p}_1).

Thus far we had no need to specify $t' \bmod \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$ to control the steps in the Markov chain, but we shall do so shortly for $t' \bmod \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_{10}$ to control the last 10 steps. In order to construct the last 10 steps of the Markov chain, we first pick a subset of $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{24}\}$ of cardinality 6, disjoint from $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_6\}$. Furthermore, denoting the places of this subset as τ_7, \dots, τ_{12} , we demand that

$$\tau_i(x) \cdot \tau_{6+i}(x) > 0$$

for all $x \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ and for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$. This is possible by virtue of Lemma 3.8 combined with our choice of elliptic curve at the beginning of this section. In particular, we have identical Selmer conditions at τ_i and τ_{6+i} for all $i \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$.

The last 10 steps consist of one prime ideal \mathfrak{q}_1 and prime elements q_2, \dots, q_{10} taken outside of S and obeying the following demands:

- the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1, (q_2), \dots, (q_{10})$ are distinct prime ideals coprime to S and $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$;
- for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$ we have $\tau_i(q_{1+j}) \cdot \tau_{6+i}(q_{1+j}) > 0$. Moreover, $\tau_i(q_{1+j}) < 0$ if and only if $i = j$;
- for all real places $\tau \notin \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{12}\}$ we have $\tau(q_{1+j}) > 0$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, 6\}$;
- the prime elements q_8, q_9, q_{10} are totally positive;
- for \mathfrak{q}_1 , we demand that its Artin class in $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ is chosen in such a way that locally at τ_4, τ_5, τ_6 the character $\chi_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$ equals the restriction of $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}}$, while locally at τ_1, τ_2, τ_3 the character $\chi_{\mathfrak{q}_1}$ is different from the restriction of $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}}$. Furthermore, we demand that locally at each place of $S - T'$ it differs from $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}}$ by an unramified character. Observe that, no matter what $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \dots, \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}}$ are, there is always such an Artin class in $\text{Gal}(M/K)$ by virtue of Lemma 3.10;
- the prime elements q_2, \dots, q_{10} are squares locally at each of the primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$ and all of the finite primes in S . Furthermore, for each $2 \leq i < j \leq 10$ we have

$$\left(\frac{q_j}{q_i}\right) = -1 \iff (i, j) \in \{(2, 8), (3, 8), (4, 9), (5, 9), (6, 10), (7, 10)\};$$

- the prime elements q_2, \dots, q_{10} are squares modulo \mathfrak{q}_1 .

It is clearly possible to obtain such a set of 10 prime ideals by repeatedly applying Mitsui's theorem (combined with Lemma 3.10 for \mathfrak{q}_1 , as explained above). Before completing the construction of the auxiliary twist, we shall now derive, through Hilbert reciprocity, some additional vanishing of Hilbert symbols implied by the above requirements.

We claim that $-1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are all squares locally at q_2, \dots, q_{10} . To see this, we apply Hilbert reciprocity to the symbol (q_i, x) for each $i \in \{2, \dots, 10\}$ and $x \in \{-1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$. By construction, this symbol certainly vanishes at all finite places different from q_i . If $i \geq 8$, then (q_i, x) vanishes at all infinite places. If $2 \leq i \leq 7$, then the total contribution from the infinite places equals

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\tau_{i-1}(x)) \cdot \operatorname{sgn}(\tau_{6+i-1}(x)) = 1$$

by construction of the places τ_i . In either case, we find from Hilbert reciprocity the vanishing of the local Hilbert symbol at q_i , which gives precisely the desired claim.

We next claim that

$$\left(\frac{q_i}{q_j}\right) = \left(\frac{q_j}{q_i}\right)$$

for all $i \in \{2, \dots, 7\}$ and $j \in \{8, 9, 10\}$. Indeed, the local Hilbert symbol $(q_i, q_j)_v$ vanishes at all places v different from q_i and q_j , while at q_i and q_j we get precisely the above two Legendre symbols. Hence the claim follows from Hilbert reciprocity.

The quadratic character

$$\psi_\kappa := \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_1} + \dots + \chi_{\mathfrak{p}_{r-10}} + \chi_{\mathfrak{q}_1} + \psi_{q_2} + \dots + \psi_{q_{10}}$$

satisfies requirement (K1) and (K2) by construction. To specify the choice of λ_1 in (K3), we need only to prescribe it for the remaining 10 primes \mathfrak{q}_1 and $(q_2), \dots, (q_{10})$. To keep our notation consistent, let us write $\mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{10}$ for the ideals $(q_2), \dots, (q_{10})$. Observe that the choice of λ_1 modulo each of the final 10 primes is equivalent to specifying whether the local Selmer space at \mathfrak{q}_i equals

$$\langle (\alpha\beta, \pi_i\alpha), (-\pi_i\alpha, -\alpha\gamma) \rangle,$$

or

$$\langle (\alpha\beta, \epsilon_i\pi_i\alpha), (-\epsilon_i\pi_i\alpha, -\alpha\gamma) \rangle,$$

where π_i is a local uniformizer at \mathfrak{q}_i and ϵ_i is a quadratic non-residue (note that these are indeed the shape of the local Selmer spaces by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2). Recall that $-1, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are squares modulo $\mathfrak{q}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{10}$. Keeping this in mind, we declare λ_1 modulo $\mathfrak{q}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \mathfrak{q}_{10}$ to be the unique class (up to squares) that makes the local Selmer space equal to

$$\langle (1, \epsilon_i \cdot q_i), (\epsilon_i \cdot q_i, 1) \rangle.$$

At the prime \mathfrak{q}_1 , we choose λ_1 so that we are in the third case of Lemma 4.3.

We now explain how these choices imply (K3), so take some t as in (K3). By construction of $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$ and \mathfrak{q}_1 , we have the equalities

$$\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-10,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = \operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-9,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = \{0\}.$$

We will now study the transition from $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-9,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ to $\operatorname{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-8,t}}(G_K, E[2])$ using Lemma 4.3. The class $(q_2, 1)$ satisfies all of the Selmer conditions at $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$, at \mathfrak{q}_1 and all the

primes in S , including the infinite places by virtue of our sign choices. However, $(q_2, 1)$ fails the Selmer condition at \mathfrak{q}_2 : this forces us to be in the third case of Lemma 4.3. Similar arguments apply for each of the \mathfrak{q}_i with $2 \leq i \leq 7$. More formally, we define classes c_i through

$$c_2 := (q_2, 1), \quad c_3 := (1, q_3), \quad c_4 := (q_4, 1), \quad c_5 := (1, q_5), \quad c_6 := (q_6, 1), \quad c_7 := (1, q_7).$$

For each $2 \leq i \leq 7$, the class c_i satisfies all of the Selmer conditions at S , at $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}$ and at $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{i-1}$ (thanks to our assignment of Legendre symbols), but fails the Selmer condition at \mathfrak{q}_i . Hence we stay in the third case of Lemma 4.3 up to \mathfrak{q}_7 , i.e. $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r-3,t}}(G_K, E[2]) = \{0\}$. On the other hand, our assignment of Legendre symbols implies that the six elements

$$\begin{aligned} (z_1, z_2) &:= (q_2q_8, 1), & (z_3, z_4) &:= (1, q_3q_8), & (z_5, z_6) &:= (q_4q_9, 1) \\ (z_7, z_8) &:= (1, q_5q_9), & (z_9, z_{10}) &:= (q_6q_{10}, 1), & (z_{11}, z_{12}) &:= (1, q_7q_{10}) \end{aligned}$$

satisfy the Selmer conditions at S and the primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_{r-10}, \mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_{10}$, and therefore lie in $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r,t}}(G_K, E[2])$. The only possibility is that we have been in the first case of Lemma 4.3 for $\mathfrak{q}_8, \mathfrak{q}_9$ and \mathfrak{q}_{10} , and that the above six elements span $\text{Sel}_{\mathcal{L}_{r,t}}(G_K, E[2])$. Moreover, our choice of signs for q_2, \dots, q_{10} gives that they satisfy the conditions demanded by (K3). \square

References

- [1] K. Česnavičius. The p -parity conjecture for elliptic curves with a p -isogeny. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **2016** (2016), no. 719, 45–73.
- [2] G. Cornelissen, T. Pheidas and K. Zahidi. Division-ample sets and the Diophantine problem for rings of integers. *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **17** (2005), no. 3, 727–735.
- [3] L. Cowland Kellock and V. Dokchitser. Root numbers and parity phenomena. *arXiv preprint:2303.07883*.
- [4] M. Davis, H. Putnam, J. Robinson. The decision problem for exponential diophantine equations. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **74** (1961), 425–436.
- [5] J. Denef. Diophantine sets over algebraic integer rings. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **257** (1980), no. 1, 227–236.
- [6] J. Denef and L. Lipshitz. Diophantine sets over some rings of algebraic integers. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **18** (1978), no. 3, 385–391.
- [7] T. Dokchitser and V. Dokchitser. Root numbers and parity of ranks of elliptic curves. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik* **2011** (2011), no. 658, 39–64.
- [8] K. Eisenträger. Hilbert’s tenth problem and arithmetic geometry. *Ph.D. Thesis, UC Berkeley* (2003).
- [9] N. Garcia-Fritz and H. Pasten. Towards Hilbert’s tenth problem for rings of integers through Iwasawa theory and Heegner points. *Math. Ann.* **377** (2020), 989–1013.
- [10] B. Green and T. Tao. Linear equations in primes. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **171** (2010), no. 3, 1753–1850.

- [11] B. Green and T. Tao. The quantitative behaviour of polynomial orbits on nilmanifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175** (2012), no. 2, 465–540.
- [12] B. Green and T. Tao. The Möbius function is strongly orthogonal to nilsequences. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **175** (2012), no. 2, 541–566.
- [13] B. Green, T. Tao and T. Ziegler. An inverse theorem for the Gowers $U^{s+1}[N]$ -norm. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **176** (2012), no. 2, 1231–1372.
- [14] W. Kai. Linear patterns of prime elements in number fields. *arXiv preprint:2306.16983*.
- [15] Z. Klagsbrun, B. Mazur and K. Rubin. Disparity in Selmer ranks of quadratic twists of elliptic curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **178** (2013), no. 1, 287–320.
- [16] Z. Klagsbrun, B. Mazur and K. Rubin. A Markov model for Selmer ranks in families of twists. *Compos. Math.* **150** (2014), no. 7, 1077–1106.
- [17] D. Kundu, A. Lei and F. Sprung. Studying Hilbert’s 10th problem via explicit elliptic curves. *Math. Ann.* (2024).
- [18] Y. Matiyasevich. The Diophantineness of enumerable sets. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **191** (1970), 279–282.
- [19] B. Mazur and K. Rubin. Ranks of twists of elliptic curves and Hilbert’s Tenth Problem. *Invent. math.* **181** (2010), 541–575.
- [20] B. Mazur, K. Rubin and A. Shlapentokh. Existential definability and diophantine stability. *J. Number Theory* **254** (2024), 1–64.
- [21] A. Morgan and R. Paterson. On 2-Selmer groups of twists after quadratic extension. *J. London Math. Soc.* **105** (2022) 1110–1166.
- [22] M.R. Murty and H. Pasten. Elliptic curves, L -functions, and Hilbert’s tenth problem. *J. Number Theory* **182** (2018), 1–18.
- [23] J. Neukirch, A. Schmidt and K. Wingberg. *Cohomology of Number Fields*. Second. Vol. 323. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [24] H. Pasten. Superficies elípticas y el décimo problema de Hilbert. *Rev. Mat. Teor. Apl.* **30** (2023), no. 1, 113–120.
- [25] T. Pheidas. Hilbert’s tenth problem for a class of rings of algebraic integers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), no. 2, 611–620.
- [26] B. Poonen. Using elliptic curves of rank one towards the undecidability of Hilbert’s tenth problem over rings of algebraic integers. In: *Algorithmic Number Theory (Sydney, 2002)*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **2369**, Berlin: Springer-Verlag (2002) 33–42.
- [27] B. Poonen and E. Rains. Random maximal isotropic subspaces and Selmer groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (2012), no. 1, 245–269.
- [28] B. Poonen and M. Stoll. The Cassels–Tate pairing on polarized abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **150** (1999), 1109–1149.

- [29] H. Shapiro and A. Shlapentokh. Diophantine relationships between algebraic number fields. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), no. 8, 1113–1122.
- [30] A. Shlapentokh. Extension of Hilbert’s tenth problem to some algebraic number fields. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), no. 7, 939–962.
- [31] A. Shlapentokh. Elliptic curves retaining their rank in finite extensions and Hilbert’s tenth problem for rings of algebraic numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 7, 3541–3555.
- [32] A. Shnidman and A. Weiss. Rank growth of elliptic curves over N -th root extensions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B* **10** (2023), 482–506.
- [33] A. Skorobogatov. Diagonal quartic surfaces. Explicit methods in number theory. Abstracts from the workshop held July 12–18, 2009. Organized by Karim Belabas, Hendrik W. Lenstra and Don B. Zagier. *Oberwolfach Rep.* **6** (2009), no. 3, 1843–1920.
- [34] J.H. Silverman. The arithmetic of elliptic curves. Second edition. Grad. Texts in Math., 106. *Springer, Dordrecht*, 2009. xx+513 pp.
- [35] P. Swinnerton-Dyer. The effect of twisting on the 2-Selmer group. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **145** (2008), no. 3, 513–526.
- [36] C. Videla. Sobre el décimo problema de Hilbert. Atas da Xa Escola de Algebra, Vitoria, ES, Brasil. Colecao Atas 16 Sociedade Brasileira de Matematica (1989), 95–108.