ON POLYNOMIALLY HYPO-EP OPERATORS.

R. SEMMAMI AND H. EZZAHRAOUI

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to present a new class of operators known as polynomially hypo-EP operators, extending the notation of hypo-EP, *n*-hypo-EP, and polynomially EP. The paper explores numerous properties and characterizations of polynomially hypo-EP operators and polynomially EP operators. In addition, we characterize polynomially hypo-EP operators applying the adequate operator matrix representations. By employing these findings, we derive new characterizations of EP, hypo-EP, *n*-EP and *n*-hypo-EP.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ represent the space of all bounded linear operators defined on \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of operators with closed range. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, T^* , N(T) and R(T) stand for its adjoint, kernel and range, respectively. For $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we write [T, S] := TS - ST for the commutator of S and T.

A closed subspace $E \subset \mathcal{H}$ is said to be *T*-invariant if $T(E) \subset E$. We define $T_E : E \to E$ as $T_E x = Tx$, $x \in E$. Also \overline{E} it is called reducing for T if it is invariant for both T and T^* , or equivalently, if $T(\overline{E}) \subset E$ and $T(E^{\perp}) \subset E^{\perp}$, where E^{\perp} is the orthogonal complement of E.

For $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$, the Moore-Penrose inverse T^{\dagger} of T, is defined as the unique solution of the following four operator equations:

$$TT^{\dagger}T = T, \ T^{\dagger}TT^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}, \ (T^{\dagger}T)^* = T^{\dagger}T \text{ and } (TT^{\dagger})^* = TT^{\dagger}.$$

It follows immediately that

$$TT^{\dagger} = P_{R(T)}, \ T^{\dagger}T = P_{R(T^*)}, \ N(T^{\dagger}) = N(T^*) \text{ and } N(T^{\dagger *}) = N(T).$$

The Cauchy dual of an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ with closed range is defined as $\omega(T) = T(T^*T)^{\dagger}$. For equivalent definitions and further properties of $\omega(T)$, see [8].

The concept of EP matrices was defined by Schwerdtfeger [19] and generalized to Hilbert space operators with closed range in [2]. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called EP if R(T) is closed and $R(T) = R(T^*)$. EP operators are significant because they commute with their Moore–Penrose inverse. Normal operators with a closed range and nonsingular operators are

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B15; 47B20; 47A05.

Key words and phrases. Moore-Penrose inverse of an operator. *n*-EP, *n*-hypo-EP, *n*-normal, polynomially EP and polynomially hypo-EP operator.

particular cases of EP operators. Several authors have thoroughly examined different established characterizations of EP matrices and EP operators. See for example [1, 2, 3, 7, 20, 9, 12].

An operator $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ is SD (star-dagger), if $[T^*, T^{\dagger}] = 0$. This class been studied in detail by several authors. See [20, 9, 10].

The class of *n*-EP matrices was introduced in [18] as a generalization of EP matrices. The notion of *n*-EP operators was defined in [21] extending the definition of *n*-EP matrices. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called *n*-EP and we write $T \in (n\text{-}\text{EP})$ if R(T) is closed and $T^nT^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}T^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For further properties of *n*-EP operators, we refer to [8, 11]. Generalizing the notion of *n*-EP operators, polynomially EP operators were presented in [12].

M. Itoh introduced in [13] the class of hypo-EP operators as follows. An operator $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ is an hypo-EP operator if $[T^{\dagger}, T] \geq 0$. Let (HEP) be the class of hypo-EP operators. Several authors have thoroughly examined different established characterizations of hypo-EP matrices and hypo-EP operators. See [1, 20, 17, 22] for detailed study. Some research characterized hypo-EP operators through factorizations and when the product of two hypo-EP matrices or hypo-EP operators is also hypo-EP, was studied. See for example [1, 15, 17].

As an extension of hypo-EP operators, *n*-hypo-EP operators were introduced in [20] as follows: $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ is *n*-hypo-EP $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ if $R(T^n) \subseteq R(T^*)$. Let (n-HEP) be the class of *n*-hypo-EP operators.

The operator T is called normal if $[T^*, T] = 0$. As an extension of normal operators, *n*-normal operators were introduced in [14] as follows: $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is *n*-normal $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ if $[T^n, T^*] = 0$. Generalizing the notion of *n*-normal operators, polynomially normal operators were presented in [5].

We use $Poly_1$ to denote the set of all complex polynomials in one variable. Taking conjugate coefficients of $p \in Poly_1$, we obtain $\overline{p} \in Poly_1$: $\overline{p}(z) := \overline{p(\overline{z})}, z \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ be nontrivial. If $[p(T), T^*] = 0$, then we say that T is p-normal. $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is polynomially normal, if T is q-normal for some $q \in Poly_1$. Observe that, by [5, Lemma 1], $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is pnormal if and only if p(T) is normal. Recent results related to polynomially normal operators can be found in [4].

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ be nontrivial. Following [12], we say that T is p-EP, if $p(T)T^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}p(T)$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is polynomially EP, if T is q-EP for some $q \in Poly_1$. It is proved in [12, Lemma 2.4] that T is p-EP if and only if T^* is \bar{p} -EP where $(p(T))^* = \bar{p}(T^*)$. It has been shown in [12] that every polynomially normal operator with a closed range is a polynomially EP operator and under additional assumptions. It has been verified that the converse is valid.

Motivated by recent research and useful properties of EP, hypo-EP, *n*-EP, *n*-hypo-EP, polynomially normal operators and polynomially EP operators, we define a new class of closed range operators called polynomially hypo-EP

operators. The class of polynomially hypo-EP operators is a wider class than the classes of all hypo-EP, *n*-hypo-EP and polynomially EP operators. We prove many properties and characterizations of polynomially hypo-EP operators and we give some additional properties of polynomially EP operators. We show that every polynomially EP operator is a polynomially hypo-EP operator and under additional assumptions, we verify that the converse is valid. What is more, we characterize polynomially hypo-EP operators by the adequate operator matrix representations (Theorems 3 and 4) and we obtain new characterizations of *n*-hypo-EP and hypo-EP operators.

2. Polynomially EP operators

It's easily seen that if T is EP, then T is p-EP for an arbitrary $p \in Poly_1$. So, we proposed a wider class of operators which includes EP and n-EP operators. Obviously, when T is p-EP for p(t) = t (or $p(t) = t^n$), $t \in \mathbb{C}$, then T is EP (n-EP). From [12, Exemple 2.2], we observe that a polynomially EP operator is not EP in general. In the following proposition, we give a necessary condition of $p \in Poly_1$ such that an operator m-EP is p-EP.

Proposition 1. Let $T \in (m - EP)$ where $m \ge 1$. Then $T \in (p - EP)$ for all $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^j \in Poly_1$ such that $m \le k = \min\{j \ge 1 : a_j \ne 0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that T is m-EP and $p(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j t^j$, where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have

$$p(T) = a_n T^n + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \dots + a_k T^k = T^m (a_n T^{n-m} + \dots + a_k T^{k-m}).$$

Thus

$$R(p(T)) = R(T^m(a_n T^{n-m} + \dots + a_k T^{k-m})) \subseteq R(T^m) \subseteq R(T^*).$$

And

$$R(p(T)^*) = R(T^{*m}(a_n T^{*n-m} + \dots + a_k T^{*k-m})) \subseteq R(T^{*m}) \subseteq R(T).$$

From [12, Theorem 2.5], T is p-EP.

In the special case that $p(t) = t^n$ in Proposition 1, we obtain one wellknown property of *n*-EP operators (see for example [21, Theorem 3.3]).

Corollary 1. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $n \ge 1$ be an integer. If T is n-EP, then T is (n+k)-EP for an arbitrary integer $k \ge 0$.

It well known that T is EP operator if and only if $TT^{\dagger 2}T = T^{\dagger}T$ and $T^{\dagger}T^{2}T^{\dagger} = TT^{\dagger}$, or equivalently T and T^{\dagger} are both hypo-EP operators. For class (n-EP) we have $T \in (n$ -EP) if and only if $TT^{\dagger}(T^{\dagger}T^{n})^{*} = (T^{\dagger}T^{n})^{*}$ and $T^{\dagger}T^{n+1}T^{\dagger} = T^{n}T^{\dagger}$. For class of polynomially EP operators we have the following general setting.

Theorem 1. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0) = 0. The following assertions are equivalent:

(1)
$$T$$
 is p - EP ;
(2) $TT^{\dagger}(T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*} = (T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*}$ and $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}$;
(3)

$$p(T)TT^{\dagger} + \left(p(T)TT^{\dagger}\right)^{*} = p(T) + \overline{p}(T^{*}) \text{ and } T^{\dagger}p(T)T + \left(T^{\dagger}p(T)T\right)^{*} = p(T) + \overline{p}(T^{*}).$$

Proof. $(1) \Longrightarrow (2)$ By assumption and from [12, Theorem 2.5] we have,

$$\begin{split} R((T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*}) &\subset R(T) \text{ and } R(p(T)T^{\dagger}) \subset R(T^{*}) \\ \implies P_{R(T)}(T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*} = (T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*} \text{ and } P_{R(T^{*})}p(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger} \\ \implies TT^{\dagger}(T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*} = (T^{\dagger}p(T))^{*} \text{ and } T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}. \end{split}$$

 $(2) \Longrightarrow (1)$ We have

$$TT^{\dagger}(T^{\dagger}p(T))^* = (T^{\dagger}p(T))^* \text{ and } T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}.$$

Multiplying right by T^* and by T respectively, we get

$$P_{R(T)}\overline{p}(T^*) = TT^{\dagger}\overline{p}(T^*) = \overline{p}(T^*) \text{ and } P_{R(T^*)}p(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T).$$

Thus, $R(p(T)) \subset R(T^*)$ and $R(\overline{p}(T^*)) \subset R(T)$. Therefore by [12, Theorem 2.5], T is p-EP.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (3)$ Is derived from [12, Theorem 2.5(iv)].

(3) \Longrightarrow (1) Multiplying $p(T)TT^{\dagger} + (p(T)TT^{\dagger})^* = p(T) + \overline{p}(T^*)$ by TT^{\dagger} from the right side, we get

$$p(T)TT^{\dagger} + TT^{\dagger}\overline{p}(T^{*})TT^{\dagger} = p(T)TT^{\dagger} + \overline{p}(T^{*})TT^{\dagger},$$

which gives $TT^{\dagger}\overline{p}(T^*) = \overline{p}(T^*)TT^{\dagger} = \overline{p}(T^*)$. Thus, $p(T) = p(T)TT^{\dagger}$. In the same way, we show that $T^{\dagger}p(T)T + (T^{\dagger}p(T)T)^* = p(T) + \overline{p}(T^*)$ implies $p(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp(T)$. Therefore by [12, Theorem 2.5(iv)], T is p-EP. \Box

Proposition 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0) = 0 and R(p(T)) is closed.

- (1) If $p(T) \in (EP)$ then $T \in (p-EP)$.
- (2) If $T \in (SD)$ then $T \in (p-EP)$ if and only if $p(T) \in (EP)$.

Proof. (1) If $p(T) \in (EP)$, then $R(p(T)) = R(p(T)^*) \subseteq R(T^*)$ and $R(p(T)^*) \subset R(T)$, using [20, Theorem 2] and [12, Theorem 2.5] we get $T \in (p\text{-}EP)$. (2) Suppose that $T \in (p\text{-}EP) \cap (SD)$ and let A = p(T), $B = T^{\dagger}$. We have $A^*AN(B^*) = p(T)^*p(T)N(T^{\dagger *}) = p(T)^*p(T)N(T) = 0 \subseteq N(B^*)$. On the other hand, for $x \in N(A) = N(p(T))$ and since T is SD, we have $ABB^*x = p(T)T^{\dagger}T^{\dagger *}x = T^{\dagger}p(T)T^{\dagger *}x = T^{\dagger}T^{\dagger *}p(T)x = 0$. Thus $BB^*N(A) \subseteq N(A)$. So, by [20, Remark 1], we derive that $(p(T)T^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger \dagger}p(T)^{\dagger} = Tp(T)^{\dagger}$. Similarly, for $A = T^{\dagger}$ and B = p(T), we have $A^*AN(B^*)\subseteq N(B^*)$ and $BB^*N(A) \subseteq N(A)$. Thus, $(T^{\dagger}p(T))^{\dagger} = (p(T))^{\dagger}T$. Since by assumption T is p-EP, we get $Tp(T)^{\dagger} = p(T)^{\dagger}T$ and hence $p(T)p(T)^{\dagger} = p(T)^{\dagger}p(T)$ or equivalently p(T) is EP.

In the special case where $p(t) = t^n$ in Propsition 2, we obtain one wellknown property of *n*-EP operators (see [20, Theorem 3.6]).

3. POLYNOMIALLY HYPO-EP OPERATORS

At the start of this section, we introduce and study the concept of polynomially hypo-EP operators, which extends the notion of *n*-hypo-EP operators.

Definition 1. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ be nontrivial. If $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T)T^{\dagger}T$, then we say that T is p-hypo-EP (or p-HEP, for short). An operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is polynomially hypo-EP, if S is q-hypo-EP for some $q \in Poly_1$.

It is clear that both T and T^* are in hypo-EP if and only if T is EP. Also, for *n*-EP operators, T and T^* are simultaneously in (*n*-HEP) if and only if T is in (*n*-EP). For *p*-EP operators, by [12, Theorem 2.5] and Theorem 2 below, we have for $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0) = 0, T is in (*p*-EP) if and only T is in (*p*-HEP) and T^* is in (\bar{p} -HEP).

From [13, Theorem 2.4], $T \in (HEP)$ if and only if $T = T^{\dagger}T^2$, or equivalently, $R(T) \subset R(T^*)$ ([17]). Obviously, when T is p-HEP for p(t) = t (or $p(t) = t^n$), then T is hypo-EP (n-hypo-EP).

The following proposition, we prove that if T is hypo-EP, then T is p-HEP for an arbitrary $p \in Poly_1$.

Proposition 3. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $p \in Poly_1$. If $T \in (HEP)$, then $T \in (p\text{-}HEP)$.

Proof. Suppose that $T \in (\text{HEP})$ and $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i t^i$. We have

$$p(T)T^{\dagger}T = (a_nT^n + \dots + a_1T + a_0I)T^{\dagger}T$$

$$= a_nT^nT^{\dagger}T + \dots + a_1TT^{\dagger}T + a_0T^{\dagger}T$$

$$= a_nT^n + \dots + a_1T + a_0T^{\dagger}T$$

$$= a_nT^{\dagger}TT^n + \dots + a_1T^{\dagger}TT + a_0T^{\dagger}T$$

$$= T^{\dagger}Tp(T).$$

Therefore, T is p-HEP.

The converse of this implication is generally false. In the following examples, we observe that a polynomially hypo-EP operator is not hypo-EP in general.

Thus, T is p-HEP where $p(t) = t^3 - t^2$. Since

$$TT^{\dagger} - T^{\dagger}T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5} & 0 & -\frac{2}{5} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ -\frac{2}{5} & 0 & \frac{1}{5} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not negative operator, we conclude that T is not hypo-EP. Therefore T is p-HEP but is not hypo-EP.

Example 2. Let $p(t) = t^3 + 4it^2 - (1+4i)t + 2, t \in \mathbb{C}$, and $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

on $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2$. Since $T^2 = T$, we obtain $p(T) = T^3 + 4iT^2 - (1+4i)T + 2I = 2I$. Thus, T is p-HEP. Using

$$T^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

notice that

$$T^{\dagger}T - TT^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not positive operator. Hence T is not hypo-EP.

The next theorem provides characterizations of polynomially hypo-EP operators.

Theorem 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$, $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0)=0. The following statements are equivalent:

(i)
$$T \in (p\text{-}HEP);$$

(ii) $p(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp(T);$
(iii) $R(p(T)) \subset R(T^{*});$
(iv) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger};$
(v) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + (T^{\dagger}Tp(T))^{*} = p(T) + p(T)^{*}.$

Proof. (i) \iff (ii) Suppose that T is p-HEP and $p(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i t^j$. Then, $p(T)T^{\dagger}T = (a_n T^n + \dots + a_1 T)T^{\dagger}T = (a_n T^{n-1} + \dots + a_1)TT^{\dagger}T = p(T).$ (ii) \iff (iii) Evident because $p(T) = P_{R(T^*)}p(T) \iff R(p(T)) \subset R(T^*)$).

(iii) \Longrightarrow (iv) By the previous equivalence, we get $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T)$, thus, $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}$. (iv) \Longrightarrow (iii) We have

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}.$$

Multiplying right by T, we get

$$P_{R(T^*)}p(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T).$$

Therefore, $R(p(T)) \subset R(T^*)$.

(ii) \implies (v) is obvious.

(v) \Longrightarrow (ii) Multiplying $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + (T^{\dagger}Tp(T))^* = p(T) + (p(T))^*$ by $T^{\dagger}T$ from the right side, we obtain that

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + p(T)^{*}T^{\dagger}TT^{\dagger}T = p(T)T^{\dagger}T + (p(T))^{*}T^{\dagger}T,$$

which gives $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T)$.

In the particular case that $p(t) = t^n$ in Theorem 2, we obtain new characterizations of *n*-hypo-EP operators with a closed range.

Corollary 2. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $T \in (n \text{-} HEP);$
- (ii) $R(T^n) \subset R(T^*);$
- (iii) $T^{\dagger}T^{n+1}T^{\dagger} = T^nT^{\dagger};$
- (iv) $T^{\dagger}T^{n+1} + (T^{\dagger}T^{n+1})^* = T^n + (T^n)^*.$

Proposition 4. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$, $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0)=0. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $T \in (p\text{-}HEP);$
- (ii) $p(T)^* = p(T)^* T^{\dagger} T;$
- (iii) $||p(T)^*x|| \le k ||Tx||$ for some $k \ge 0$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$;
- (iv) $||p(T)^*x|| \le k ||\omega(T)x||$ for some $k \ge 0$ and all $x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The equivalences (i) \iff (iii) and (i) \iff (iv) follow from the fact that $R(T^{\dagger}) = R(T^*)$ and the results of Douglas [6].

The class of hypo-EP operators is closed under unitary equivalence and restrictions to reducing subspaces, see [22]. For p-hypo-EP class, we have the following properties.

Proposition 5. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ be unitarily equivalent to some $S \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and let $p \in Poly_1$.

- (1) If M is a reducing subspace for T, then, $T_{|M}$ is p-HEP;
- (2) S is p-HEP if and only if T is p-HEP.

Proof. (1) Evident.

(2) Suppose that $S = UTU^*$ for some unitary operator U. Since $S^{\dagger} = UT^{\dagger}U$ and $p(S) = Up(T)U^*$, we get

$$p(S) = S^{\dagger}Sp(S) \iff Up(T)U^* = UT^{\dagger}U^*UTU^*Up(T)U^*$$
$$\iff Up(T)U^* = UT^{\dagger}Tp(T)U^*$$
$$\iff p(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp(T).$$

Thus, S is p-HEP if and only if T is p-HEP.

In the following theorem, we show that a *p*-HEP operator is p_k -HEP, where $p_k(t) = t^k(p(t) - p(0))$, for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$. If T is p-HEP, then T is p_k -HEP, where $p_k(t) = t^k(p(t) - p(0))$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Notice that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_k(0) = 0$. Suppose that T is p-HEP and $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i t^i$, where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. For k = 1, $p_1(t) = t(p(t) - p(0)) = a_n t^{n+1} + \cdots + a_1 t^2$. By assumption $a_n T^n + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0 I = T^{\dagger} T(a_n T^n + \cdots + a_1 T + a_0 I)$.

Multiplying by T from the right hand side, we get

$$a_n T^{n+1} + \dots + a_1 T^2 + a_0 T = T^{\dagger} T (a_n T^{n+1} + \dots + a_1 T^2 + a_0 T).$$

Therefore,

$$p_1(T) = T^{\dagger}Tp_1(T).$$

So, by Theorem 2, T is p_1 -HEP. Assume that T is p_k -HEP, where

$$p_k(t) = t^k(p_k(t) - p(0)) = a_n t^{n+k} + \dots + a_1 t^{k+1}.$$

Then

$$a_n T^{n+k} + \dots + a_1 T^{k+1} = T^{\dagger} T(a_n T^{n+k} + \dots + a_1 T^{k+1}),$$

multiplying by T from the right hand side, we get

$$p_{k+1}(T) = T^{\dagger}T(a_nT^{n+k+1} + \dots + a_1T^{k+2}) = T^{\dagger}Tp_{k+1}$$

Hence, T is p_{k+1} -HEP. Therefore, T is p_k -HEP for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 3. $(n\text{-}HEP) \subseteq (n + k\text{-}HEP)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The next proposition is a generalization of [20, Proposition 13] for *n*-hypo-EP operator.

Proposition 6. Let T be in $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0) = 0. If one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) $[T^{\dagger}T, p(T) + T^{\dagger}] = 0,$ (2) $[T^{\dagger}T, p(T) + T^{*}] = 0,$

then, $T \in (p\text{-}HEP)$.

Proof. Let us first note that since p(0) = 0, then,

$$p(T)T^{\dagger}T = TT^{\dagger}p(T) = p(T) \text{ and } p(T)^{*}TT^{\dagger} = T^{\dagger}Tp(T)^{*} = p(T)^{*}.$$

Suppose that (1) is verified and $p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i t^j$, where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,

$$0 = [T^{\dagger}T, p(T) + T^{\dagger}] = T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + T^{\dagger}TT^{\dagger} - p(T)T^{\dagger}T - T^{\dagger 2}T = T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + T^{\dagger} - p(T) - T^{\dagger 2}T.$$

Multiplying by T^{\dagger} from the right hand side, we get

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2, we conclude that $T \in (p\text{-HEP})$. Suppose that (2) is satisfied, then

$$0 = [T^{\dagger}T, p(T) + T^{*}]$$

= $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + T^{\dagger}TT^{*} - p(T)T^{\dagger}T - T^{*}T^{\dagger}T$
= $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) + T^{*} - p(T) - T^{*}T^{\dagger}T.$

Multiplying right by T^{\dagger} ,

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{\dagger} = p(T)T^{\dagger}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2, we derive that $T \in (p\text{-HEP})$.

In the following proposition, we provide sufficient conditions for a polynomially hypo-EP operator to be a polynomially EP operator.

Proposition 7. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ such that p(0) = 0. Suppose that $T \in (p\text{-}HEP)$. If T satisfies one of the following statements,

- (1) $[T, p(T)T^{\dagger}] = 0;$ (2) $[TT^{\dagger}, p(T) + T^{\dagger}] = 0;$
- (2) $[TT^{\dagger}, p(T) + T^{\dagger}] = 0;$ (3) $[TT^{\dagger}, p(T) + T^{*}] = 0;$
- (3) $[II^{+}, p(I) + I^{-}] = 0$

then $T \in (p-EP)$.

Proof. (1) We have

$$[T, p(T)T^{\dagger}] = 0 \iff Tp(T)T^{\dagger} - p(T)T^{\dagger}T = 0$$

$$\iff p(T)TT^{\dagger} = p(T)$$

$$\iff TT^{\dagger}(p(T))^{*} = (p(T))^{*}$$

$$\iff R(p(T)^{*}) \subset R(T)$$

$$\iff T^{*} \in (p\text{-HEP}).$$

Since by assumption $T \in (p\text{-HEP})$, we derive that $T \in (p\text{-EP})$. (2) We have

$$0 = [TT^{\dagger}, p(T) + T^{\dagger}]$$

= $TT^{\dagger}p(T) + TT^{\dagger}T^{\dagger} - p(T)TT^{\dagger} - T^{\dagger}TT^{\dagger}$
= $p(T) + TT^{\dagger 2} - p(T)TT^{\dagger} - T^{\dagger}$

Multiplying by TT^{\dagger} from the left hand side, we get,

$$p(T) = p(T)TT^{\dagger}$$

Since T is assumed to be p-HEP, the result derives from [12, Theorem 2.5]. (3) Similarly, we get this assertion.

The next theorem is generalization of [22, Theorem 3.1] for hypo-EP operator. We use Riesz representation to give a necessary and sufficient condition for an operator to be polynomially HEP operator.

Theorem 2. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$ is such that p(0) = 0, then T is p-HEP if and only if for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists c > 0 such that

(1)
$$|\langle p(T)x, y \rangle| \le c ||Ty||, \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{H}$$

Proof. Assume T is p-HEP. If $x \in N(p(T))$, then the result is trivial. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \notin N(p(T))$. Then $p(T)x \in R(p(T)) \subset R(T^*)$. Therefore there exists a non-zero $s \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $T^*s = p(T)x$. Then for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$|\langle p(T)x, y\rangle| = |\langle T^*s, y\rangle| = |\langle s, Ty\rangle| \le ||s|| ||Ty||.$$

Taking c = ||s||, we get $|\langle p(T)x, y \rangle| \leq k||Ty||$. Conversely, assume that for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists c > 0 such that

$$|\langle p(T)x, y\rangle| \le c \|Ty\|$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be fixed.

Then, for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$c||Ty|| \ge |\langle x, p(T)^*y \rangle| = |f_x(p(T)^*y)|$$

where we set $f_x(p(T)^*y) = \langle p(T)^*y, x \rangle$. Hence

$$|(p(T)f_x^*)^*y)| \le c ||(T^*)^*y||,$$

for some c > 0, for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$. By Douglas's theorem,

$$p(T)f_x^* = T^*D,$$

for some $D \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{H})$. Taking adjoint on both sides gives

$$f_x p(T)^* = g_x T,$$

where $g_x = D^* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C})$. By Riesz representation theorem, there exists $x' \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$g_x(Ts) = \left\langle Ts, x' \right\rangle$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{H}$. Hence for $s \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$f_x p(T)^* s = g_x T s$$

implies that

$$\langle p(T)^*s, x \rangle = \langle Ts, x' \rangle.$$

Hence for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists $x' \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $p(T)x = T^*x'$. Thus, $R(p(T)) \subset R(T^*)$, i.e., T is p-HEP.

Applying Theorem 2, for $p(t) = t^n$ we get the following consequence which involve characterization of *n*-hypo-EP operators.

Corollary 4. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$. Then T is n-hypo-EP if and only if for each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists c > 0 such that

(2)
$$|\langle T^n x, y \rangle| \le c ||Ty||, \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Recall that the closed range operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the following matrix representation

(3)
$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : \begin{bmatrix} R(T^*) \\ N(T) \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} R(T^*) \\ N(T) \end{bmatrix},$$

with respect to the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = R(T^*) \oplus N(T)$$

and $D = T_1^*T_1 + T_2^*T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(R(T^*))$ is an invertible operator. An easy computation shows that the Moore-Penrose inverse of T is represented by

(4)
$$T^{\dagger} = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-1}T_1^* & D^{-1}T_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the representation (3), we obtain the following characterizations of p-HEP operators.

Theorem 3. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be with a closed range. Suppose that T is represented by (3), $p(z) = a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_0$ and $q(z) = a_n z^{n-1} + a_{n-1} z^{n-2} + \cdots + a_1$, where $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is p-HEP;
- (ii) $T_2q(T_1) = 0.$

In addition, if T is p-HEP then

$$p(T) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} p(T_1) & 0\\ 0 & a_0 I \end{array} \right].$$

Proof. Since T is assumed to be represented as in (3), using the equality (4) and the following representation

$$p(T) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} p(T_1) & 0\\ T_2 q(T_1) & a_0 I \end{array} \right],$$

we derive that

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1) & 0\\ T_2q(T_1) & a_0I \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1) & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$p(T)T^{\dagger}T = \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1) & 0 \\ T_2q(T_1) & a_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1) & 0 \\ T_2q(T_1) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence, $T^{\dagger}Tp(T) = p(T)T^{\dagger}T$ if and only if $T_2q(T_1) = 0$.

Theorem 4. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and polynomials p, q be as in Theorem 3. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is p-HEP;
- (ii) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*}T = p(T)T^{*}T;$
- (iii) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*} = p(T)T^{*}$.

Proof. (i) \iff (ii): Let the polynomial q be as in Theorem 3. Since

$$p(T)T^*T = \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1) & 0 \\ T_2q(T_1) & a_0I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1^* & T_2^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1)T_1^* & p(T_1)T_2^* \\ T_2q(T_1)T_1^* & T_2q(T_1)T_2^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1)T_1^*T_1 + p(T_1)T_2^*T_2 & 0 \\ T_2q(T_1)T_1^*T_1 + T_2q(T_1)T_2^*T_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p(T_1)D & 0 \\ T_2q(T_1)D & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

And

$$T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*}T = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p(T_{1})D & 0\\ T_{2}q(T_{1})D & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p(T_{1})D & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We deduce that $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*}T = p(T)T^{*}T$ if and only if $T_{2}q(T_{1}) = 0$. Therefore by Theorem 3 we get equivalent.

(ii) \iff (iii): Multiplying the identities of assertions (ii) and (iii) from the right hand sides by T and T^{\dagger} respectively allows us to find this equivalence.

.

Applying Theorem 4, we get the following consequences which involve characterizations of p-EP operators

Corollary 5. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $p \in Poly_1$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is p-EP;
- (ii) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*}T = p(T)T^{*}T$ and $TT^{\dagger}\overline{p}(T^{*})TT^{*} = \overline{p}(T^{*})TT^{*};$
- (iii) $T^{\dagger}Tp(T)T^{*} = p(T)T^{*}$ and $TT^{\dagger}\overline{p}(T^{*})T = \overline{p}(T^{*})T$.

Theorem 4 implies new characterizations of n-hypo-EP and hypo-EP operators.

Corollary 6. Let $T \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{H})$ and $n \geq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) T is n-hypo-EP;
- (ii) $T^{\dagger}T^{n+1}T^*T = T^nT^*T;$
- (iii) $T^{\dagger}T^{n+1}T^* = T^nT^*.$

In particular, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) T is hypo-EP;
- (ii) $T^{\dagger}T^{2}T^{*}T = TT^{*}T;$
- (iii) $T^{\dagger}T^{2}T^{*} = TT^{*}$.

References

- [1] Bourdon, Paul, et al, *Closed-range posinormal operators and their products* Linear Algebra and its Applications, **671** (2023), 38-58.
- [2] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer, EP Operators and Generalized Inverses, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 18 (1975), 327-333.
- [3] S. Cheng, Y.Tian, Two sets of new characterizations for normal and EP matrices. Linear Algebr. Appl.375(2003), 181–195.
- M.D. Cvetković, D. Mosić, Drazin invertibility, characterizations and structure of polynomially normal operators., Linear Multilinear Algebr. (2021).
- [5] D.S. Djordjević, M. Chō, D. Mosić, Polynomially normal operators, Ann. Funct. Anal. 11 (2020), 493–504.
- [6] R.G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17.2 (1966), 413-415.
- [7] D. Drivaliaris, S. Karanasios, D. Pappas, Factorizations of EP operators, Linear Algebr. Appl. 429(7) (2008), 1555–1567.
- [8] H. Ezzahraoui, M. Mbekhta and E. H. Zerouali, On the Cauchy dual of closed range operators, Acta Sci.Math, 85 (2019), 231–248.
- [9] D.E. Ferreyra, F.E. Levis, Saroj B. Malik, A.N. Priori, On star-dagger matrices and the core-EP decomposition, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 438 (2024), 0377-0427.
- [10] R. Hartwig and K. Spindelböck, Matrices for which A* and A[†] commute, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 14 (1983), 241-256.
- [11] S. Menkad, and E. Anissa. Some Results of n-EP Operators on Hilbert Spaces. International Journal of Analysis and Applications, 22 (2024), 78-78.
- [12] D.Mosić, and Miloš D. Cvetković, Polynomially EP operators, Aequationes mathematicae 96.5 (2022): 1075-1087.
- [13] M. Itoh. On some EP operators, Nihonkai Mathematical Journal, 16 (2005), 49-56.

- [14] A. A. S. Jibril, On n-Power Normal Operators, The Journal for Science and Engenering, 33 (2008), 247–253.
- [15] Johnson, P. Sam and Vinoth, A., Product and factorization of hypo-EP operators Special Matrices, vol. 6, no. 1, 2018, pp. 376-382.
- [16] M. H. Pearl, On generalized inverses of matrices, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 62 (1966), 673-677.
- [17] A. B. Patel and M. P. Shekhawat, *Hypo-EP Operators*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 47 (2016), 73-84.
- [18] Saroj B. Malik, Laura Rueda and Néstor Thome The class of m-EP and m-normal matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 64.11 (2016) 2119-2132.
- [19] H. Schwerdtfeger, Introduction to Linear Algebra and the Theory of Matrices, P. Noordhoff N.V., Groningen-Holland, 1961.
- [20] R. Semmami, H. Ezzahraoui and E. H. Zerouali, on some properties of n-EP and n-hypo-EP operators, arXiv, arXiv:2410.20920.
- [21] Wang Xiunan and Chunyuan Deng, Properties of m-EP operators. Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 65.7 (2017) 1349-1361.
- [22] A. Vinoth and P. Sam Johnson, On Sum and Restriction of Hypo-EP Operators, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation 9.1 (2017), 37–41.

R. SEMMAMI, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, FAC-ULTY OF SCIENCES, MOHAMMED V UNIVERSITY IN RABAT, RABAT, MOROCCO. *Email address:* semmami.rachi@gmail.com;rachid_semmami@um5.ac.ma

H. EZZAHRAOUI, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, MOHAMMED V UNIVERSITY IN RABAT, RABAT, MOROCCO. *Email address:* hamid.ezzahraoui@fsr.um5.ac.ma;h.ezzahraoui@um5r.ac.ma