Set Size Bound for Aperiodic Z-Complementary Sets

Cheng-Yu Pai, *Member, IEEE*, Yu-Che Tung, Zhen-Ming Huang, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE*, and Chao-Yu Chen, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—The widely and commonly adopted upper bound on the set size of aperiodic Z-complementary sets (ZCSs) in the literature has been a conjecture. In this letter, we provide detailed derivations for this conjectured bound. A ZCS is optimal when its set size reaches the upper bound. Furthermore, we propose a new construction of ZCSs based on extended generalized Boolean functions (EGBFs). The proposed method introduces optimal ZCSs with new parameters.

Index Terms—Z-complementary set (ZCS), set size upper bound, conjectured bound, extended generalized Boolean functions (EGBF).

I. INTRODUCTION

The Golay complementary set (GCS) was first introduced in [1], where the constituent sequences exhibit the zero aperiodic autocorrelation sum property for all non-zero time shifts. From the application standpoint, GCSs have been widely applied in various fields, such as radar [2], channel estimation [3], synchronization [4], and reduction of peak-to-average power ratio (PAPR) in orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) systems [5]–[8].

The complete complementary code (CCC) [9], which is a collection of mutually orthogonal GCSs, plays a critical role in mitigating both multiple access interference (MAI) and multipath interference (MPI) in multicarrier code division multiple access (MC-CDMA) systems [10]. However, CCCs have a limitation in supporting a large number of users, as the set size (i.e., the number of users) is constrained by the number of sequences in a constituent GCS. To address this issue, Fan *et al.* [11] introduced the Z-complementary set (ZCS), which relaxes aperiodic auto- and cross-correlation constraints, allowing for more flexible set sizes compared to CCCs. In [11], a conjectured upper bound on the set size of ZCSs was provided as well.

The conjectured bound was modified by Feng *et al.* in [12], but it remains unproven to date. Additionally, [12] presented another theoretical upper bound on the set size of ZCSs using the inner product theorem [13]; however, this bound is not tight. Therefore, the widely referenced bound in the literature

Cheng-Yu Pai and Chao-Yu Chen are with the Department of Electrical Engineering and the Institute of Computer and Communication Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan (e-mail: cy_pai@gs.ncku.edu.tw and super@mail.ncku.edu.tw).

Yu-Che Tung is with the Institute of Computer and Communication Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan (email:q36114132@gs.ncku.edu.tw).

Z.-M. Huang is with the Institute of Computer and Communication Engineering and the Department of Engineering Science, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan (e-mail: n98101012@gs.ncku.edu.tw).

is still a conjectured bound, even to the extent that the optimal ZCS is defined according to the conjectured bound [14]–[23]. In this letter, we provide a detailed proof for this conjecture. Furthermore, we propose an algebraic construction of ZCSs based on extended generalized Boolean functions (EGBFs). Compared with current state-of-the-art constructions of ZCSs, the proposed method allows for new parameters, where the set size exactly meets the proposed upper bound with equality.

II. PRELIMINARIES AND DEFINITIONS

Throughout this letter, the following notations will be used:

- $\mathbb{Z}_q = \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ represents the set of integers modulo a positive integer q;
- $\xi = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/q}$ is a primitive q-th root of unity;
- we consider q to be an even integer;
- $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugation;
- [..] denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to the given value.

Let $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{L-1})$ and $d = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{L-1})$ be two unimodular sequences of length L. Then, the *aperiodic crosscorrelation function* (ACCF) of c and d at shift u is defined as

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}; u) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{i=0\\L-1+u\\\sum_{i=0}}^{L-1-u} c_{i+u} d_i^*, & 0 \le u \le L-1; \\ \sum_{i=0}^{L-1+u} c_i d_{i-u}^*, & -L+1 \le u < 0. \end{cases}$$
(1)

When c = d, $\rho(c, c; u)$ can be simply expressed as $\rho(c; u)$ and referred to as the *aperiodic autocorrelation function* (AACF) of c.

Definition 1: Given a set of M sequence sets $C = \{C^0, C^1, \ldots, C^{M-1}\}$ where each constituent set is composed of N unimodular sequences with each sequence having a length of L, i.e., $C^p = \{c_0^p, c_1^p, \ldots, c_{N-1}^p\}$ for $p = 0, 1, \ldots, M - 1$. The set C is called an (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS, if

$$\rho(C^{p}, C^{t}; u) \triangleq \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \rho(\boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^{p}, \boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^{t}; u) \\
= \begin{cases} NL, & u = 0, \quad p = t; \\ 0, & 0 < |u| < Z, \quad p = t; \\ 0, & |u| < Z, \quad p \neq t. \end{cases}$$
(2)

where M, N, L, and Z represent the set size, flock size, sequence length, and the width of ZCZ width, respectively. If M = N and Z = L, the set is reduced to a *complete complementary code*, denoted by (M, M, L)-CCC. It is well known that the set size of the CCC is at most equal to the flock size [9], whereas the size of the ZCS can be larger than the flock size.

In [11], the upper bound on the set size of (M, N, L, Z)-ZCSs was first conjectured as

$$M \le N \left\lfloor \frac{L}{Z} \right\rfloor. \tag{3}$$

Later in [12], the conjectured bound was modified as

$$M \le \left\lfloor \frac{NL}{Z} \right\rfloor. \tag{4}$$

Note that the bound in (4) has been proven for periodic ZCSs in [12], but it is still a conjectured bound for aperiodic ZCSs. Moreover, [12] provided another theoretical upper bound on the set size of the (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS as given by

$$M \le \frac{N(L+Z-1)}{Z} \tag{5}$$

using the inner product theorem from [13]. However, it is much looser compared to the upper bound in (4). Therefore, even though the conjectured bounds in (3) and (4) have not been proven, they have been used in defining the optimal ZCS [14]–[23].

A. Generalized Boolean function

Given a GBF $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ that consists of m variables $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ [24], the product of r distinct variables is called a monomial of degree r. For instance, the term x_1x_3 represents a monomial of degree 2. The sequence f associated with a q-ary GBF f is expressed as $f = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{2^m-1})$, where $f_i = f(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m)$ and $i = \sum_{l=1}^m i_l 2^{l-1}$. The corresponding complex-valued sequence, denoted as $\phi(f)$, is represented by

$$\phi(\mathbf{f}) = \left(\xi^{f_0}, \xi^{f_1}, \dots, \xi^{f_{2^m-1}}\right).$$
(6)

To construct sequences of lengths not restricted to powers of 2, define the truncated sequence $f^{(L)}$ by omitting the last $2^m - L$ entries from f, i.e., $f^{(L)} = (f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_{L-1})$. For instance, given m = 3, q = 4, and L = 5, the sequence associated with the GBF $f = 3x_1$ is $f = 3x_1 = (03030303)$, and the truncated sequence is $f^{(5)} = 3x_1^{(5)} = (03030)$.

B. Extended Generalized Boolean function

An EGBF [25] of n variables is a function mapping $g: (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_b^n \to g(y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ where $y_l \in \mathbb{Z}_b$ for $l = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $2 \leq b \leq q$. The sequence g associated with the EGBF g is $g = (g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_{b^n-1})$ with $g_h = g(h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n)$ and $h = \sum_{l=1}^n h_l b^{l-1}$. For example, taking n = 2, b = 3, and q = 3, the sequences corresponding to the EGBFs y_1 and y_2 are $y_1 = (012012012)$ and $y_2 = (000111222)$, respectively. When b = 2, an EGBF reduces to a GBF and when b = q, it becomes an extended Boolean function (EBF).

III. DERIVATION OF THE UPPER BOUND ON THE SET SIZE OF ZCSs

First, we present two essential lemmas.

Lemma 1: [13] A matrix X of size $\overline{L} \times \overline{M}$ can be represented by

$$\boldsymbol{X} \triangleq \left[\boldsymbol{s}_{0}^{T}, \boldsymbol{s}_{1}^{T}, \dots, \boldsymbol{s}_{\overline{M}-1}^{T}\right],$$
(7)

where $s_v = (s_{0,v}, s_{1,v}, \dots, s_{\overline{L}-1,v})$ and $\sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} |s_{l,v}|^2 = E$, for $v = 0, 1, \dots, \overline{M} - 1$. Let $\delta_{\max} = \max \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} |s_{l,v}|^2 \right|$ be the

$$v = 0, 1, ..., M - 1$$
. Let $v_{\max} = \max_{v \neq t} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} s_{l,v} s_{l,t} \right|$ be the maximum value of the inner product between any two distinct

column vectors in X. Then we have

$$\overline{M}(\overline{M}-1)\delta_{\max}^{2} + \overline{M} \cdot (E)^{2} \geq \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\overline{M}-1} \left| \sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l,t}^{*} \right|^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} \sum_{l'=0}^{\overline{L}-1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l',v}^{*} \right|^{2}.$$
(8)

Lemma 2: Given two orthogonal sequences $c = (c_0, c_1, ..., c_{\overline{L}-1})$ and $d = (d_0, d_1, ..., d_{\overline{L}-1})$ where $|c_{\alpha}| = |d_{\alpha}| = 1$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \overline{L} - 1$, we have

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} c_l d_l^* \right|^2 = |-c_\alpha d_\alpha^*|^2 = 1.$$
 (9)

Proof: Since *c* and *d* are mutually orthogonal, i.e., $\sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} c_l d_l^* = 0$, we obtain the equality $\sum_{l=0, l \neq \alpha}^{\overline{L}-1} c_l d_l^* = -c_\alpha d_\alpha^*.$ Therefore, $\left|\sum_{l=0, l \neq \alpha}^{\overline{L}-1} c_l d_l^*\right|^2 = |-c_\alpha d_\alpha^*|^2 = 1$, which complete the proof.

Using the two preceding lemmas, we could prove the conjectured upper bound on the set size of ZCSs in (4).

Theorem 1: Given an (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS, the upper bound on set size is given by

$$M \le \left\lfloor \frac{NL}{Z} \right\rfloor. \tag{10}$$

Proof: First, define $S^u(c)$ as the *u*-th right cyclic shift of the sequence $c = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{\overline{L}-1})$, i.e.,

$$S^{u}(\boldsymbol{c}) \triangleq (c_{\overline{L}-u}, c_{\overline{L}-u+1}, \dots, c_{\overline{L}-1}, c_{0}, \dots, c_{\overline{L}-u-1}).$$
(11)

For an (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS $C = \{C^0, C^1, \dots, C^{M-1}\}$ as defined in *Definition 1*, let $\overline{M} = MZ$ and $\overline{L} = N(L+Z-1)$. Then let the matrix

$$\left[\boldsymbol{s}_{0}^{T}, \boldsymbol{s}_{1}^{T}, \dots, \boldsymbol{s}_{\overline{M}-1}^{T}\right]_{\overline{L} \times \overline{M}}, \qquad (12)$$

where $\mathbf{s}_{(pZ+u)} = S^u \left((\mathbf{c}_0^p, \mathbf{0}_{Z-1}, \mathbf{c}_1^p, \mathbf{0}_{Z-1}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{N-1}^p, \mathbf{0}_{Z-1}) \right)$ and $\mathbf{0}_{Z-1}$ denotes an all-zero vector of length Z - 1 for $p = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1$ and $u = 0, 1, \dots, Z - 1$. Note that (12) has the same form as (7) and every column of (12) has the same energy NL. Since $u \leq L - 1$, there exists some $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\overline{L}}$ such that $|s_{\alpha,v}| = 1$ for all $v = 0, 1, \dots, \overline{M} - 1$. Then, the summations on the right-hand side of the second equality in (8) can be further expressed as

$$\frac{\overline{L}-1}{\sum_{l=0}^{L-1}\sum_{l'=0}^{\overline{L}-1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l',v}^{*} \right|^{2}} = \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} \sum_{\substack{l'=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l',v}^{*} \right|^{2} + \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} s_{l,v} s_{\alpha,v}^{*} \right|^{2}} + \left| \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} s_{\alpha,v} s_{\alpha,v}^{*} \right|^{2} + \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1} s_{\alpha,v} s_{\alpha,v}^{*} \right|^{2}.$$
(13)

Through some manipulations of (13), we obtain

$$\frac{\overline{L} - 1}{\sum_{l=0}^{T} \sum_{l'=0}^{T-1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M} - 1} s_{l,v} s_{l',v}^* \right|^2 - \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L} - 1} \sum_{\substack{l'=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L} - 1} \sum_{\substack{v=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{M} - 1} s_{l,v} s_{\alpha,v}^* \right|^2 - 2 \sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L} - 1} \left| \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M} - 1} s_{l,v} s_{\alpha,v}^* \right|^2 \ge 0.$$
(14)

Subsequently, interchanging the order of summation leads to

$$\frac{\overline{M}-1}{\sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1}\sum_{t=0}^{\overline{L}-1}\left|\sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1}s_{l,v}s_{l,t}^{*}\right|^{2} - \sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1}\sum_{t=0}^{\overline{M}-1}\left|\sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1}s_{l,v}s_{l,t}^{*}\right|^{2} - \left|\sum_{v=0}^{\overline{M}-1}s_{\alpha,v}s_{\alpha,v}^{*}\right|^{2} \ge 0.$$
(15)

We have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\overline{L}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l,t}^* = \begin{cases} NL, & v = t; \\ 0, & v \neq t, \end{cases}$$
(16)

due to the properties of the ZCS. Additionally, $\left|\sum_{\substack{l=0\\l\neq\alpha}}^{\overline{L}-1} s_{l,v} s_{l,t}^*\right|^2 = 1 \text{ for } 0 \leq v \neq t \leq \overline{L} - 1 \text{ based on}$

Lemma 2, (15) can be rewritten as

$$\overline{M} \cdot (NL)^2 - \overline{M} \cdot (NL-1)^2 - \overline{M}(\overline{M}-1) \cdot 1 - \overline{M}^2 \ge 0$$
(17)

which is equivalent to

$$MNLZ - (MZ)^2 \ge 0 \tag{18}$$

by substituting \overline{M} as MZ. Since the set size M is an integer, we possess

$$M \le \left\lfloor \frac{NL}{Z} \right\rfloor,\tag{19}$$

which completes the proof.

Definition 2: An (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS is referred to as optimal if the equality of (10) holds.

Remark 1: Theorem 1 confirms the correctness of the conjectured upper bound on the set size of ZCSs as presented in [12].

IV. PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION OF ZCSs

In this section, we present a novel construction of ZCSs using the technique of EGBFs.

Theorem 2: For non-negative integers m and k, where $k \leq m$, define the nonempty sets W_1, W_2, \ldots, W_k as a partition of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$. Then let π_{γ} be a bijection from $\{1, 2, \ldots, m_{\gamma}\}$ to W_{γ} for $\gamma = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, where $m = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} m_{\gamma}$ and $m_{\gamma} = |W_{\gamma}|$. Let a GBF $f : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ be

$$f = \frac{q}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{m_{\gamma}-1} x_{\pi_{\gamma}(l)} x_{\pi_{\gamma}(l+1)} + \sum_{l=1}^{m} \beta_{l} x_{l} + \beta_{0}$$
(20)

where $\beta_l \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ for l = 0, 1, ..., m. Choose an integer b such that b|q and $b^n \leq 2^m$. Then, define EGBFs $g^p : \mathbb{Z}_b^n \to \mathbb{Z}_q$ for $p = 0, 1, ..., b^n - 1$ by

$$g^p = \frac{q}{b} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l y_l \tag{21}$$

where $(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ is the base-*b* representation of the integer *p*. If $\{\pi_1(1), \pi_2(1), ..., \pi_k(1)\} = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, let

$$C^{p} = \left\{ \phi \left(\boldsymbol{f}^{(b^{n})} + \boldsymbol{g}^{p} + \frac{q}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \lambda_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{x}^{(b^{n})}_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)} \right) : \lambda_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \right\}.$$
(22)

Then, the set $\{C^0, C^1, ..., C^{b^n-1}\}$ forms a $(b^n, 2^k, b^n, 2^k)$ -ZCS.

Proof: The proof is provided in the Appendix. *Remark 2:* The constructed $(b^n, 2^k, b^n, 2^k)$ -ZCS from *Theorem 2* is optimal since $b^n = (2^k b^n)/2^k$ according to *Definition 2*.

Example 1: For m = 3, n = 1, k = 2, b = 6, and q = 6, let $W_1 = \{1,3\}$ and $W_2 = \{2\}$ with $\pi_1 = (1,3)$ and $\pi_2 = (2)$. The function f is $f = 3x_1x_3$ where $\beta_l = 0$ for l = 0, 1, 2, 3 and functions $g^p = p_1y_1$ for $p = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$. The set $\{C^0, C^1, \ldots, C^5\}$ forms an optimal (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS, where

$$C^{p} = \left\{ \phi \left(\boldsymbol{f}^{(6)} + \boldsymbol{g}^{p} + 3\lambda_{1}\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{(6)} + 3\lambda_{2}\boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{(6)} \right) : \lambda_{l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \right\}$$

for p = 0, 1, ..., 5. The constructed (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS is presented in Table I, where constituent values represent the exponent of $\xi = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/6}$. To the best of our knowledge, this optimal (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS has not been previously reported.

TABLE IAn Optimal (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS

$C^0 = \begin{cases} 000003\\ 030300\\ 003303\\ 033000 \end{cases}$	$C^1 = \begin{cases} 012342\\ 042045\\ 015042\\ 045345 \end{cases}$	$C^2 = \begin{cases} 024021\\054324\\021321\\051024 \end{cases}$
$C^3 = \begin{cases} 030300\\000003\\033000\\003303 \end{cases}$	$C^4 = \begin{cases} 042045\\012342\\045345\\015042 \end{cases}$	$C^5 = \begin{cases} 054324\\ 024021\\ 051024\\ 021321 \end{cases}$

Table II compares the existing ZCS constructions based on Boolean functions. It can be observed that *Theorem 2* presents new parameters of optimal ZCSs that have never been previously reported, such as the (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS and the

 TABLE II

 CONSTRUCTIONS OF ZCSs Based on Boolean Functions

Method	Parameters (M, N, L, Z)	Based on	Note	Optimal ZCS
[14]	$(2^{k+v}, 2^k, 2^m, 2^{m-v})$	GBF	$m \ge 3; v \le m; k \le m - v.$	\checkmark
[16]	$(2^{k+1}, 2^{k+1}, 2^{m-1}+2, 3 \cdot 2^{m-3}+1)$	GBF	$m \ge 3.$	
[17]	$(v2^{k+1}, 2^{k+1}, v2^m, 2^m)$	Pseudo Boolean function	$m \ge 2$; v is prime.	\checkmark
[18]	$(2^{k+1}, 2^{k+1}, 3 \cdot 2^m, 2^{m+1})$	GBF	$k \ge 1; m \ge 1.$	
[20]	$\left(q^{k+1},q,q^m,q^{m-k}\right)$	EBF	$k \leq m.$	\checkmark
Theorem 2	$(b^n, 2^k, b^n, 2^k)$	EGBF	$b^n \le 2^m; k \le m.$	

(9, 4, 9, 4)-ZCS. Furthermore, the set size of the proposed ZCSs attains the theoretical upper bound as stated in Remark 2. Fig. 1 compares the upper bound from *Theorem 1* (i.e., the conjectured bound from [12]) with the conjectured bound from [11], and the theoretical bound from [12] based on inner product theorem, corresponding to (3) and (5), respectively. For comparison, we set N = 4 and L = 6, and the parameters of existing ZCSs are also marked in Fig. 1. It is clear that the set size bound in *Theorem 1* is tighter than the theoretical bound in [12]. Additionally, the constructed (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS from Table I meets the upper bound specified in Theorem 1, i.e., M = |(NL)/Z|, confirming that this bound on the set size of ZCSs is both tight and achievable. Note that the conjectured upper bound from [11] is not accurate since there exist ZCSs beyond this upper bound, e.g., the (6, 4, 6, 4)-ZCS in Table I.



Fig. 1. Comparison of known upper bounds on the set size of ZCSs with ${\cal N}=4$ and ${\cal L}=6.$

V. CONCLUSION

In the literature, there are two conjectured upper bounds on the set size of ZCSs, i.e., (3) and (4). We have found that the upper bound in (3) may not hold in some cases. Besides, we have provided a proof for the other conjectured bound in *Theorem 1*. Therefore, the parameters of an optimal (M, N, L, Z)-ZCS should satisfy $M = \lfloor (NL)/Z \rfloor$. Moreover, by leveraging the technique of EGBFs, we introduce a construction of optimal ZCSs with new parameters in *Theorem* 2.

APPENDIX PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Consider a ZCS $\{C^0, C^1, \ldots, C^{b^n-1}\}$ constructed from *Theorem 1*, where $C^p = \{\phi(\mathbf{c}_0^p), \phi(\mathbf{c}_1^p), \ldots, \phi(\mathbf{c}_{2^k-1}^p)\}$ and \mathbf{c}_{λ}^p is the *q*-ary sequence given by

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^{p} = \boldsymbol{f}^{(L)} + \frac{q}{b} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{l} \boldsymbol{y}_{l} + \frac{q}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{k} \lambda_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}^{(L)}, \qquad (23)$$

for $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$ and $L = b^n$. Hence, (2) is rewritten as

$$\rho(C^p, C^t; u) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{b^n - 1 - u} \xi^{c_{\lambda, i+u}^p - c_{\lambda, i}^t} \\
= \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < u < Z, \ p = t; \\ 0, & 0 \le u < Z, \ p \ne t. \end{cases}$$
(24)

Let j = i + u, and let $(j_1, j_2, ..., j_m)$ and $(i_1, i_2, ..., i_m)$ represent the binary forms of integers j and i, respectively. Two cases are considered below.

Case 1: 0 < u < Z. We possess $i_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)} \neq j_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}$ for some $\gamma \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$. Suppose not, i.e., $i_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)} = j_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}$ for all $\gamma = 1, 2, ..., k$. Since $\{\pi_1(1), \pi_2(1), ..., \pi_k(1)\} = \{1, 2, ..., k\}$, we have

$$u = j - i = \sum_{l=k+1}^{m} (j_l - i_l) 2^{l-1} \ge 2^k,$$
(25)

thereby contradicting the assumption of $u < 2^k$. Hence, we have $i_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)} \neq j_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}$ for some $\gamma \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$. For two sequences c_{λ}^p and c_{λ}^t , there exist sequences $c_{\lambda'}^p = c_{\lambda}^p + (q/2) \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}^{(L)}$ and $\boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda'}^t = \boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^t + (q/2) \boldsymbol{x}_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}^{(L)}$, respectively, satisfying

$$c_{\lambda,j}^{p} - c_{\lambda,i}^{t} - c_{\lambda',j}^{p} + c_{\lambda',i}^{t} = \frac{q}{2}(i_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)} - j_{\pi_{\gamma}(1)}) \equiv \frac{q}{2} \pmod{q},$$
(26)

and thus $\xi^{c_{\lambda,j}^{p}-c_{\lambda,i}^{t}} + \xi^{c_{\lambda',j}^{p}+c_{\lambda',i}^{t}} = 0.$ Case 2: u = 0 and $p \neq t$. From (23), we have

$$\boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^{p} - \boldsymbol{c}_{\lambda}^{t} \equiv \frac{q}{b} \sum_{l=1}^{n} (p_{l} - t_{l}) \boldsymbol{y}_{l} \pmod{q},$$
 (27)

for $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$, where (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) and (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) are base-*b* representations of *p* and *t*, respectively. It can be seen that (27) is the linear combination of sequences y_l associated with EGBFs y_l , implying that $\sum_{i=0}^{b^n-1} \xi^{c_{\lambda,i}^p - c_{\lambda,i}^t} = 0$ for all $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, 2^k - 1$.

 \ddot{B} g combining Case 1 and Case 2, the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- C.-C. Tseng and C. L. Liu, "Complementary sets of sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-18, pp. 644–652, Sep. 1972.
- [2] G. Welti, "Quaternary codes for pulsed radar," IRE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. IT-6, no. 3, pp. 400–408, Jun. 1960.
- [3] P. Spasojevic and C. N. Georghiades, "Complementary sequences for ISI channel estimation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 1145–1152, Mar. 2001.
- [4] J. M. Groenewald and B. T. Maharaj, "MIMO channel synchronization using Golay complementary pairs," in *Proc. AFRICON 2007*, Windhoek, South Africa, Sep. 2007, pp. 1–5.
- [5] S. Boyd, "Multitone signals with low crest factor," *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.*, vol. CAS-33, no. 10, pp. 1018–1022, Oct. 1986.
- [6] B. M. Popović, "Synthesis of power efficient multitone signals with flat amplitude spectrum," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 39, no. 7, pp. 1031– 1033, Jul. 1991.
- [7] R. V. Nee, "OFDM codes for peak-to-average power reduction and error correction," in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecommun. Conf.*, London, U.K., Nov. 1996, pp. 740–744.
- [8] J. A. Davis and J. Jedwab, "Peak-to-mean power control in OFDM, Golay complementary sequences, and Reed-Muller codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 2397–2417, 1999.
- [9] N. Suehiro and M. Hatori, "N-shift cross-orthogonal sequences," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 143–146, Jan. 1988.
- [10] H.-H. Chen, J.-F. Yeh, and N. Suehiro, "A multicarrier CDMA architecture based on orthogonal complete complementary codes for new generations of wideband wireless communications," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 39, pp. 126–134, Oct. 2001.
- [11] P. Fan, W. Yuan, and Y. Tu, "Z-complementary binary sequences," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 509–512, Aug. 2007.
- [12] L. Feng, P. Fan, and X. Zhou, "Lower bounds on correlation of Zcomplementary code sets," *Wireless Pers. Commun.*, vol. 72, pp. 1475– 1488, Mar. 2013.
- [13] L. Welch, "Lower bounds on the maximum cross-correlation of signals," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-20, no. 3, pp. 397–399, May 1974.

- [14] S.-W. Wu and C.-Y. Chen, "Optimal Z-complementary sequence sets with good peak-to-average power-ratio property," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1500–1504, Oct. 2018.
- [15] A. R. Adhikary and S. Majhi, "New construction of optimal aperiodic Z-complementary sequence sets of odd-lengths," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 55, no. 19, pp. 1043–1045, Sep. 2019.
- [16] P. Sarkar, A. Roy, and S. Majhi, "Construction of Z-complementary code sets with non-power-of-two lengths based on generalized Boolean functions," *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1607–1611, Aug. 2020.
- [17] P. Sarkar, S. Majhi, and Z. Liu, "Pseudo-Boolean functions for optimal Z-complementary code sets with flexible lengths," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 1350–1354, Jun. 2021.
- [18] C. Xie, Y. Sun, and Y. Ming, "Constructions of optimal binary Zcomplementary sequence sets with large zero correlation zone," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 28, pp. 1694–1698, Aug. 2021.
- [19] T. Yu, A. R. Adhikary, Y. Wang, and Y. Yang, "New class of optimal Z-complementary code sets," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 29, pp. 1477–1481, Jun. 2022.
- [20] B. Shen, H. Meng, Y. Yang, and Z. Zhao, "New constructions of Z-complementary code sets and mutually orthogonal complementary sequence sets," *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, vol. 91, pp. 353–371, Feb. 2023.
- [21] S.-W. Wu, A. Şahin, Z.-M. Huang, and C.-Y. Chen, "Z-complementary code sets with flexible lengths from generalized Boolean functions," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 4642–4652, Dec. 2021.
- [22] X. Men and Y. Li, "New construction of multiple complete complementary codes with inter-set zero cross-correlation zone," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 29, pp. 1958–1962, Sep. 2022.
- [23] K. Liu, J. Liu, and J. Ni, "Generalized construction of Z-complementary code sets of odd length," *IEEE Signal Process. Lett.*, vol. 30, pp. 354– 358, Mar. 2023.
- [24] K. G. Paterson, "Generalized Reed-Muller codes and power control in OFDM modulation," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, pp. 104–120, Jan. 2000.
- [25] C.-Y. Pai, Y.-J. Lin, and C.-Y. Chen, "Optimal and almost-optimal Golay-ZCZ sequence sets with bounded PAPRs," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 728–740, Feb. 2023.