

LARGE DEVIATIONS FOR INVARIANT MEASURES OF MULTIVALUED STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH JUMPS

HUIJIE QIAO

*School of Mathematics, Southeast University
Nanjing, Jiangsu 211189, China
hjiao@seu.edu.cn*

ABSTRACT. The work concerns about multivalued stochastic differential equations with jumps. First of all, by the weak convergence approach we establish the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform large deviation principle and the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform large deviation principle for these equations. Then based on these results, by proving upper and lower bounds large deviations for invariant measures of these equations are presented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following multivalued stochastic differential equation (SDE for short) with jumps on \mathbb{R}^d :

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\varepsilon \in -A(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + b(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^\varepsilon)dW_t + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{t-}^\varepsilon, u)\tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu), \\ X_0^\varepsilon = x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad t \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where A is a maximal monotone operator on \mathbb{R}^d (See Subsection 2.2), the coefficients $b : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times l}$, $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are Borel measurable, \mathbb{U} is a locally compact Polish space and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is a small parameter. Here (W_t) is an l -dimensional Brownian motion, $N^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu)$ is a Poisson random measure with the intensity $\varepsilon^{-1}dt\nu(du)$, where ν is a σ -finite measure on \mathbb{U} , $\tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu) := N^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu) - \varepsilon^{-1}dt\nu(du)$ is the compensated martingale measure of $N^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu)$, which are all defined on the complete filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$. Moreover, (W_t) and $N^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu)$ are mutually independent. The type of equations like Eq.(1) usually appears in physics, biology, chemistry and other fields and is used to describe some constrained models ([9, 12, 16]). Moreover, about Eq.(1) there have been some results ([11, 21, 36]).

The theory of large deviations principles (LDPs for short), developed in the 1960s by Freidlin, Wentzell and others, characterizes the asymptotic decay rate of rare probabilities ([22, 25, 26]). And the uniform LDPs is crucial for determining exit times and exit places ([8, 10]). Moreover, there are many uniform LDP results about stochastic partial differential equations ([15, 28–30, 34, 35]). For Eq.(1), if $A = 0$, Bao and Yuan [1] proved the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP for neutral functional SDEs with jumps. If $A = 0$ and the state space is infinite dimensional, Maroulas [18] obtained the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP

AMS Subject Classification(2020): 60H10, 60F10, 60A10.

Keywords: Multivalued SDEs with jumps, invariant measures, large deviations, the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP, the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform LDP.

This work was supported by NSF of China (No.12071071) and the Jiangsu Provincial Scientific Research Center of Applied Mathematics (No. BK20233002).

for Eq.(1). If $A \neq 0$ and the state space is finite dimensional, Wu [36] required that b, σ, f are bounded and f implicitly depends on u , and established the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP for Eq.(1). However, these restrictions exclude some important equations. Therefore, in this paper, our first aim is to remove some restriction in [36] and prove the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP and the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform LDP for Eq.(1). Our result can cover [36, Theorem 3.1] and [1, Theorem 2.2] partly.

Next, if b, σ, f satisfy the suitable conditions, Eq.(1) has an invariant probability measure μ^ε ([11]). So, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, does μ^ε weakly converge to μ^0 ? Here μ^0 is an invariant probability measure of the following multivalued differential equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^0 \in -A(\overline{X_t^0})dt + b(X_t^0)dt, \\ X_0^0 = x_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A), \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Then if μ^ε converges to μ^0 , what is the convergence rate? These two problems are closely related with the stability for solutions of Eq.(1) ([7, 14]).

For Eq.(1), if $A = 0$ and $f = 0$, Freidlin and Wentzell in [10] solved these two problems by the large deviation approach. Later, Huang et al [14] only obtain the convergence of μ^ε to μ^0 by the Fokker-Planck equation. For infinite dimensional state spaces, Sowers answered these two questions for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations by the large deviation approach in [31]. Then Cerrai and Röckner [5] and Wang [32] [33] extended the result in [31] to general stochastic reaction-diffusion equations. Recently, Brzezniak and Cerrai [2], Martirosyan [19] and Cerrai and Paskal [6] investigated $2D$ stochastic Navier-Stokes equations and solved these two problems through the large deviation method. At the same time, Martirosyan [20] established large deviations for invariant measures of stochastic nonlinear wave equations.

For Eq.(1), if $A = 0$ and $f \neq 0$, Ma and Xi [17] answered these two questions by the large deviation approach. Later, Chen et al. [7] gave the convergence for invariant measures of $2D$ stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by Lévy noise by verifying the tightness.

For Eq.(1), if $A \neq 0$ and $f = 0$, Zhang [37] presented large deviations for invariant measures of multivalued stochastic differential equations. In infinite dimensional state spaces, Zhang [38] proved large deviations for invariant measures of SPDEs with two reflecting walls.

At present, there is few result about large deviations for invariant measures of Eq.(1) with $A \neq 0$ and $f \neq 0$. Hence, our second aim is to study large deviations for invariant measures of Eq.(1). That is, we give the exponential convergence rate of μ^ε to μ^0 . Our result can cover [17, Theorem 3.2] and [37, Theorem 3.4].

In proving two uniform LDPs and large deviations for invariant measures of Eq.(1), we face two difficulties. One difficulty is from the maximal monotone operator A . Because of A , we can not directly estimate solution increments about the time. In order to overcome this difficulty, we use the property of A and some techniques. The other difficulty is from the discontinuity of sample paths for solution processes. Since the solution process X^ε is càdlàg in the time t , we must apply the Skorohod topology to characterize the convergence. Therefore, some proofs are complicated and highly skilled.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notations, maximal monotone operators and uniform LDPs. The main results are formulated in Section 3. And the proofs of main results are placed in Section 4 and 5, respectively.

The following convention will be used throughout the paper: C with or without indices will denote different positive constants whose values may change from one place to another.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some notations, maximal monotone operators and uniform LDPs.

2.1. Notation. In this subsection, we introduce some notations used in the sequel.

Let $|\cdot|, \|\cdot\|$ be the norms of a vector and a matrix, respectively. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product of vectors on \mathbb{R}^d . U^* denotes the transpose of the matrix U .

Let $\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all bounded Borel measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all functions which are continuous on \mathbb{R}^d . Let $C_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set of all continuous functions with compact supports.

For a locally compact Polish space \mathbb{U} endowed with a metric $\rho_{\mathbb{U}}$, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U})$ denotes the family of all measures ν on $(\mathbb{U}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U}))$ such that $\nu(K) < \infty$ for every compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{U}$. We endow $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U})$ with the weakest topology such that, for every $f \in C_c(\mathbb{U})$, the function $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U}) \ni \nu \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(u)\nu(du) \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Thus, $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U})$ is metrizable as a Polish space (see, e.g. [4]). Throughout the paper, we fix a $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{U})$.

Let $\mathbb{W} = C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^l , equipped with the uniform convergence topology. Let $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$ be the space of right continuous functions with left limits from $[0, T]$ to \mathbb{R}^l , equipped with the following metric: for any $X, Y \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$,

$$\rho_{D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)}(X, Y) := \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t - Y_{\lambda(t)}| + \sup_{0 \leq s < t \leq T} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \right\},$$

where

$$\Lambda = \left\{ \lambda = \lambda(t) : \begin{array}{l} \lambda \text{ is strictly increasing, continuous on } t \in [0, T] \\ \text{such that } \lambda(0) = 0, \lambda(T) = T \end{array} \right\}.$$

From [13, Chapter 15], we know that $\rho_{D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)}$ induces the Skorohod topology on $D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$ and the space $(D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l), \rho_{D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)})$ is a Polish space.

Let $\mathbb{M} = \mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U})$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{W} \times \mathbb{M}$. For any $\theta > 0$, let \mathbb{P}_{θ} be the unique probability measure on $(\mathbb{V}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{V}))$ such that (i) the canonical map $W : \mathbb{V} \mapsto \mathbb{W}, W(w, m) := w$ is a l -dimensional Brownian motion; (ii) the canonical map $N : \mathbb{V} \mapsto \mathbb{M}, N(w, m) := m$ is a Poisson random measure with the intensity measure $\theta Leb_T \otimes \nu$, where Leb_T is the Lebesgue measures on $[0, T]$; (iii) $\{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ and $\{N((0, t] \times B) - \theta t \nu(B)\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ are \mathcal{G}_t -martingales for $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U})$, where

$$\mathcal{G}_t := \sigma\{(W_s, N((0, s] \times B)) : s \in (0, t], B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U})\}.$$

In order to apply the weak convergence method to prove the uniform LDP, we introduce controlled random measures. Let $\bar{\mathbb{M}} = \mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U} \times [0, \infty))$ and $\bar{\mathbb{V}} = \mathbb{W} \times \bar{\mathbb{M}}$. Define $(\bar{\mathbb{P}}, \{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_t\})$ on $(\bar{\mathbb{V}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbb{V}}))$ analogous to $(\mathbb{P}_{\theta}, \{\mathcal{G}_t\})$ by replacing $(N, \theta Leb_T \otimes \nu)$ with $(\bar{N}, Leb_T \otimes \nu \otimes Leb_{\infty})$, where Leb_{∞} is the Lebesgue measures on $[0, \infty)$. Let $\{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t\}$ be the $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ -completion of $\{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_t\}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$ the predictable σ -field on $[0, T] \times \bar{\mathbb{V}}$ with respect to the filtration $\{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}$ on $(\bar{\mathbb{V}}, \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathbb{V}}))$. Set

$$\mathcal{A}_1 := \left\{ \xi : [0, T] \times \bar{\mathbb{V}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^l \mid \xi \text{ is } \bar{\mathcal{P}} \setminus \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^l)\text{-measurable, and } \int_0^T |\xi(s)|^2 ds < \infty, \bar{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.s.} \right\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_2 &:= \left\{ \zeta : [0, T] \times \bar{\mathbb{V}} \times \mathbb{U} \mapsto [0, \infty) \mid \zeta \text{ is } (\bar{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U})) \setminus \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))\text{-measurable} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{A} &:= \mathcal{A}_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $\zeta \in \mathcal{A}_2$, we define a controlled random measure N^ζ on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{U}$ by

$$N^\zeta((0, t] \times U) = \int_{(0, t]} \int_U \int_0^\infty 1_{[0, \zeta(s, u)]}(r) \bar{N}(ds du dr), \quad t \in [0, T], U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U}),$$

with ζ selecting the intensity for the points at location u and time s , in a possibly random but non-anticipating way. If $\zeta(s, \omega, u) = \theta$ for any $s \in [0, T], \omega \in \bar{\mathbb{V}}, u \in \mathbb{U}$, we write N^θ instead of N^ζ .

2.2. Maximal monotone operators. Given a multivalued operator $A : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$, where $2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$ stands for all the subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Set

$$\mathcal{D}(A) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : A(x) \neq \emptyset\}$$

and

$$Gr(A) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} : x \in \mathcal{D}(A), y \in A(x)\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A multivalued operator A is called monotone if

$$\langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for any } (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A).$$

A monotone operator A is called maximal monotone if

$$(x_1, y_1) \in Gr(A) \iff \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2 \rangle \geq 0, \forall (x_2, y_2) \in Gr(A).$$

Examples 2.2. Assume that a function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto (-\infty, +\infty]$ is lower semicontinuous convex, and $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\varphi)) \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{Dom}(\varphi) \equiv \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d; \varphi(x) < \infty\}$ and $\text{Int}(\text{Dom}(\varphi))$ is the interior of $\text{Dom}(\varphi)$. Define the subdifferential operator of the function φ :

$$\partial\varphi(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, z - x \rangle + \varphi(x) \leq \varphi(z), \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d\}.$$

Then $\partial\varphi$ is a maximal monotone operator.

Examples 2.3. Suppose that \mathcal{O} is a closed convex subset of \mathbb{R}^d , $\text{Int}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ and $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is the indicator function of \mathcal{O} , i.e.,

$$I_{\mathcal{O}}(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{O}, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{O}. \end{cases}$$

The subdifferential operator of $I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial I_{\mathcal{O}}(x) &:= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : \langle y, x - z \rangle \geq 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{O}\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } x \notin \mathcal{O}, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } x \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{O}), \\ E_x, & \text{if } x \in \partial\mathcal{O}, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

where E_x is the exterior normal cone at x . We know that $\partial I_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a maximal monotone operator.

Given $T > 0$. Let \mathcal{V}_0 be the set of all càdlàg functions $K : [0, T] \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ with finite variations and $K_0 = 0$. For $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$ and $s \in [0, T]$, we shall use $|K|_0^s$ to denote the variation of K on $[0, s]$. Set

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ (X, K) : X \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}), K \in \mathcal{V}_0, \right.$$

and $\langle X_t - x, dK_t - ydt \rangle \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in Gr(A)$ }.

We recall the following results.

Lemma 2.4. *For $X \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ and $K \in \mathcal{V}_0$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) For any $x, y \in D([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with $(x_t, y_t) \in Gr(A)$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - x_t, dK_t - y_t dt \rangle \geq 0.$$

(iii) For any $(X', K') \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds that

$$\langle X_t - X'_t, dK_t - dK'_t \rangle \geq 0.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that the interior of the set $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is non-empty, i.e. $Int(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$. For any $a \in Int(\mathcal{D}(A))$, there exist $M_1 > 0$, and $M_2, M_3 \geq 0$ such that for any $(X, K) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \leq s < t \leq T$,*

$$\int_s^t \langle X_r - a, dK_r \rangle \geq M_1 |K|_s^t - M_2 \int_s^t |X_r - a| dr - M_3 (t - s).$$

2.3. Uniform LDPs. In this subsection, we introduce the uniform LDPs.

Let $(\mathbb{X}, \rho_{\mathbb{X}})$ be a Polish space and let \mathbb{X}_0 be a set. Let $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ be a family of \mathbb{X} -valued random variables defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, \mathbb{P})$.

Definition 2.6. (i) For $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0$, a function $\Lambda_{x_0} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a rate function on \mathbb{X} , if for all $M \geq 0$, $\{\phi \in \mathbb{X} : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$ is a closed subset of \mathbb{X} .

(ii) For $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0$, a function $\Lambda_{x_0} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a good rate function on \mathbb{X} , if for all $M \geq 0$, $\{\phi \in \mathbb{X} : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{X} .

(iii) A family $\{\Lambda_{x_0}, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ of rate functions is said to have compact level sets on compacts if for all compact subsets B of \mathbb{X}_0 and each $M \geq 0$, $\bigcup_{x_0 \in B} \{\phi \in \mathbb{X} : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$ is a compact subset of \mathbb{X} .

Definition 2.7 (Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP). Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of \mathbb{X}_0 . $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ are said to satisfy a Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP with respect to the rate function Λ_{x_0} with the speed ε uniformly over \mathcal{A} , if

(a) For any $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $M, \delta, \theta > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho_{\mathbb{X}}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \phi) < \delta) \geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) + \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, x_0 \in B, \phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M)$, where $\Phi_{x_0}(M) := \{\phi \in \mathbb{X} : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$.

(b) For any $B \in \mathcal{A}$, $M, \delta, \theta > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho_{\mathbb{X}}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \Phi_{x_0}(M')) \geq \delta) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{M' - \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, x_0 \in B, 0 \leq M' \leq M$, where

$$\rho_{\mathbb{X}}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \Phi_{x_0}(M')) := \inf_{\phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M')} \rho_{\mathbb{X}}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \phi).$$

Definition 2.8 (Uniform Laplace principle). *Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of \mathbb{X}_0 . $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ are said to satisfy a uniform Laplace principle with respect to the rate function Λ_{x_0} with the speed ε uniformly over \mathcal{A} , if for any $B \in \mathcal{A}$ and any bounded continuous $\Psi : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x_0 \in B} \left| \varepsilon \log \mathbb{E} \exp \left(-\frac{\Psi(X^\varepsilon(x_0))}{\varepsilon} \right) + \inf_{\phi \in \mathbb{X}} \{ \Psi(\phi) + \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \} \right| = 0.$$

If \mathcal{A} is the collection of compact subsets of \mathbb{X}_0 , and the family $\{\Lambda_{x_0}, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ of rate functions has compact level sets on compacts, Theorem 2.5 in [27] assures that the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP and the uniform Laplace principle are equivalent. Thus, in order to obtain that the family of $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ satisfies the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP, we only need to prove that the family of $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), \varepsilon > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ satisfies the uniform Laplace principle. Let us state the conditions under which the uniform Laplace principle holds.

Set for $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathbf{D}_1^N = \left\{ h : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l \mid h \text{ is } \mathcal{B}([0, T])/\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^l) \text{ measurable, and } \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt \leq N \right\},$$

and we equip \mathbf{D}_1^N with the weak convergence topology in $L^2([0, T], \mathbb{R}^l)$. So, \mathbf{D}_1^N is metrizable as a compact Polish space. Also, let

$$\mathbf{D}_2^N = \left\{ g : [0, T] \times \mathbb{U} \rightarrow [0, \infty) \mid g \text{ is } \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U})/\mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \text{ measurable, and } \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt \leq N \right\},$$

where $\ell : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$\ell(r) = r \log r - r + 1, \quad r \in [0, \infty).$$

Then we identify $g \in \mathbf{D}_2^N$ with a measure $\nu_T^g \in \mathcal{M}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U})$, defined by

$$\nu_T^g(B) := \int_B g(s, u) \nu(du) ds, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U}).$$

Throughout we consider the topology on \mathbf{D}_2^N obtained through this identification, which makes \mathbf{D}_2^N a compact space. Let $\mathbf{D}^N = \mathbf{D}_1^N \times \mathbf{D}_2^N$ with the usual product topology and $\mathbf{D} = \bigcup_{N \geq 1} \mathbf{D}^N$. Let \mathcal{A}^N be the space of \mathbf{D}^N -valued random controls:

$$\mathcal{A}^N = \{ (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A} : (\xi(\omega), \zeta(\omega)) \in \mathbf{D}^N, \bar{\mathbb{P}} \text{ a.e. } \omega \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon : \mathbb{X}_0 \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ be a measurable mapping for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$.

Condition 2.9. *There exists a measurable mapping $\mathcal{G}^0 : \mathbb{X}_0 \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$ such that the following holds.*

(i) *Let $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon), (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N, x_0^\varepsilon, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0$ be such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon)$ converges in distribution to (ξ, ζ) and $x_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$. Then*

$$\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon \left(x_0^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon} W + \int_0^\cdot \xi^\varepsilon(s) ds, \varepsilon N^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon} \right) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_0, \int_0^\cdot \xi(s) ds, \nu_T^\zeta \right).$$

(ii) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $(h_n, g_n), (h, g) \in \mathbf{D}^N, x_{0,n}, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0$ be such that $(x_{0,n}, h_n, g_n) \rightarrow (x_0, h, g)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_{0,n}, \int_0^\cdot h_n(s) ds, \nu_T^{g_n} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_0, \int_0^\cdot h(s) ds, \nu_T^g \right).$$

For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{X}$, set

$$\mathbf{D}_\phi := \left\{ (h, g) \in \mathbf{D} : \phi = \mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_0, \int_0^\cdot h(s) ds, \nu_T^g \right) \right\}.$$

Then we define

$$\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) = \begin{cases} \inf_{(h,g) \in \mathbf{D}_\phi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt \right\}, & \mathbf{D}_\phi \neq \emptyset, \\ \infty, & \mathbf{D}_\phi = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

The following result is due to [18, Theorem 4.4] and [27, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 2.10. *Set $X^\varepsilon(x_0) = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon \left(x_0, \sqrt{\varepsilon}W, \varepsilon N^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \right)$. Suppose that Condition 2.9 holds and for all $\phi \in \mathbb{X}, x_0 \mapsto \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi)$ is a lower semicontinuous mapping from \mathbb{X}_0 to $[0, \infty]$. Then, for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0, \phi \mapsto \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi)$ is a rate function on \mathbb{X} and the family $\{\Lambda_{x_0}, x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_0\}$ of rate functions has compact level sets on compacts. Furthermore, the family $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0)\}$ satisfies a Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP on \mathbb{X} , with the rate function Λ_{x_0} , uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{X}_0 .*

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we formulate the main results in this paper.

3.1. Two uniform LDPs for multivalued SDEs with jumps. In this subsection, we state the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP and the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform LDP for multivalued SDEs with jumps.

Let us fix $T > 0$ and recall Eq.(1), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\varepsilon \in -A(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + b(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^\varepsilon)dW_t + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{t-}^\varepsilon, u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu), \\ X_0^\varepsilon = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Assume:

(\mathbf{H}_A) $0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$ and $0 \in A(0)$.

($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1$) There exists a constant $L_1 > 0$ such that for $x, x' \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$

$$|b(x) - b(x')| + \|\sigma(x) - \sigma(x')\| \leq L_1|x - x'|.$$

(\mathbf{H}_f^1) For any $x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, u \in \mathbb{U}, x + f(x, u) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$.

(\mathbf{H}_f^2) There exists a positive function $L_2(u)$ satisfying

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{U}} L_2(u) \leq \gamma_1 < 1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) < \infty,$$

such that for $x, x' \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ and $u \in \mathbb{U}$

$$|f(x, u) - f(x', u)| \leq L_2(u)|x - x'|,$$

and

$$|f(0, u)| \leq L_2(u).$$

(\mathbf{H}_f^3) There exists a constant $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that for any $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{U})$ with $\nu(U) < \infty$,

$$\int_U \exp\{\gamma_2 L_2^2(u)\} \nu(du) < \infty.$$

Remark 3.1. (i) (\mathbf{H}_A) is equivalent to $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A)) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1$) implies that

$$|b(x)| + \|\sigma(x)\| \leq (L_1 + |b(0)| + \|\sigma(0)\|)(1 + |x|).$$

(iii) (\mathbf{H}_f^2) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(x, u) - f(x', u)|^2 \nu(du) \leq \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) |x - x'|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(x, u)|^2 \nu(du) &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) (1 + |x|^2), \\ \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(x, u)|^4 \nu(du) &\leq 2^3 \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) (1 + |x|^4). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) (\mathbf{H}_A), ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1$), (\mathbf{H}_f^1) and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) assure the well-posedness for solutions of Eq.(3). And (\mathbf{H}_f^3) is used to prove two uniform LDPs.

Under (\mathbf{H}_A), ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1$), (\mathbf{H}_f^1) and (\mathbf{H}_f^2), by Theorem 3.1 in [11], we know that Eq.(3) has a unique strong solution $(X^\varepsilon(x_0), K^\varepsilon(x_0))$. That is, $(X^\varepsilon(x_0), K^\varepsilon(x_0))$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^\varepsilon(x_0) &= x_0 - K_t^\varepsilon(x_0) + \int_0^t b(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) dW_s \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dsdu). \end{aligned}$$

Next, set

$$\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) := \inf_{(h,g) \in \mathbf{D}, \phi = X^{h,g}(x_0)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt \right\},$$

and $(X^{h,g}(x_0), K^{h,g}(x_0))$ solves the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{h,g} \in -A(X_t^{h,g})dt + b(X_t^{h,g})dt + \sigma(X_t^{h,g})h(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_t^{h,g}, u)(g(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ X_0^{h,g} = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Now, we state the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP for Eq.(3), which is the first main result in this subsection.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that (\mathbf{H}_A), ($\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1$), (\mathbf{H}_f^1)-(\mathbf{H}_f^3) hold. Then the family $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), 0 < \varepsilon < 1, x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}\}$ of the solutions for Eq.(3) satisfies the Freidlin-Wentzell uniform LDP on $D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ with the good rate function Λ_{x_0} uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$.

Remark 3.3. By the above theorem, we know that:

(i) Λ_{x_0} is a good rate function, that is, for all $M \geq 0$, $\Phi_{x_0}(M) := \{\phi \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$ is compact in $D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$.

(ii) For any compact subset B of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, and any $M, \delta, \theta > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \phi) < \delta) \geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) + \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, x_0 \in B, \phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M)$.

(iii) For any compact subset B of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, and any $M, \delta, \theta > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \Phi_{x_0}(M')) \geq \delta) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{M' - \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0, x_0 \in B, 0 \leq M' \leq M$.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is placed in Section 4.

At present, we present the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform LDP for Eq.(3).

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $(\mathbf{H}_A), (\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1), (\mathbf{H}_f^1)$ - (\mathbf{H}_f^3) hold. Then the family $\{X^\varepsilon(x_0), 0 < \varepsilon < 1, x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}\}$ of the solutions for Eq.(3) satisfies the Dembo-Zeitouni uniform LDP on $D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ with the good rate function Λ_{x_0} uniformly on compact subsets of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$.

Remark 3.5. Theorem 3.4 implies that

(a) For any compact subset B of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ and any open $G \subset D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$,

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{x_0 \in B} (\varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon(x_0) \in G)) \geq - \sup_{x_0 \in B} \inf_{\phi \in G} \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi).$$

(b) For any compact subset B of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ and any closed $F \subset D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$,

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x_0 \in B} (\varepsilon \log \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon(x_0) \in F)) \leq - \inf_{x_0 \in B} \inf_{\phi \in F} \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 is postponed to Section 4.

3.2. A LDP for invariant measures of multivalued SDEs with jumps. In this subsection, we present a LDP for invariant measures of multivalued SDEs with jumps.

Consider Eq.(1), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^\varepsilon \in -A(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + b(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^\varepsilon)dW_t + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{t-}^\varepsilon, u)\tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dtdu), \\ X_0^\varepsilon = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume:

(\mathbf{H}_σ^2) There exists a constant $L_\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \|\sigma(x)\| \leq L_\sigma.$$

$(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$ “ $|f(0, u)| \leq L_2(u)$ ” in (\mathbf{H}_f^2) is replaced by “ $|f(x, u)| \leq L_2(u)$ for any $x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ ”, and other conditions in (\mathbf{H}_f^2) remain the same.

$(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ There exists a constant $L_3 > 0$ such that for all $x \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$

$$2\langle x, b(x) \rangle + \|\sigma(x)\|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(x, u)|^2 \nu(du) \leq -L_3|x|^2.$$

Remark 3.6. (i) $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$ is stronger than (\mathbf{H}_f^2) .

(ii) (\mathbf{H}_σ^2) and $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$ are used to obtain the exponential moment estimate for the solution of Eq.(3). And $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ assures the existence of invariant probability measures for the solution of Eq.(3).

Under (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^1) , (\mathbf{H}_f^2) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$, by [11, Theorem 4.1], we know that Eq.(3) has an invariant probability measure μ^ε . Then we continue to study the LDP of $\{\mu^\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$. In order to do this, for any $T > 0$ and $\phi \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$, set

$$\Lambda_0^T(\phi) := \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt : (h, g) \in \mathbf{D}, \phi = X^{h,g} \right\},$$

and $\Lambda_{x_0} = \Lambda_0^T$ with $\phi(0) = X_0^{h,g}(x_0) = x_0$. So, for $y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, define the following function V

$$V(y) := \inf \{ \Lambda_0^T(\phi) : \phi(0) = 0, \phi(T) = y, T > 0 \},$$

and it holds that the quasi-potential $V(y) \geq 0$ and $V(0) = 0$. The following conclusion describes the LDP estimate for $\{\mu^\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$.

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_σ^2) , (\mathbf{H}_f^1) , $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$, (\mathbf{H}_f^3) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ hold. Then $\{\mu^\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$ satisfies the LDP on $D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ with the good rate function V .*

The proof of the above theorem is postponed to Section 5.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2 AND 3.4

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.2 and 3.4.

First of all, for the unique strong solution $(X^\varepsilon(x_0), K^\varepsilon(x_0))$ of Eq.(3), by the definition of strong solutions, there exists a unique measurable mapping $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon : \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ such that

$$X^\varepsilon(x_0) = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon \left(x_0, \sqrt{\varepsilon} W, \varepsilon N^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \right).$$

Then for $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon) \in \mathcal{A}^N$ and $x_0^\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, consider the following controlled equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} \in -A(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}) dt + b(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}) dt + \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}) \xi^\varepsilon(t) dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sigma(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}) dW_t + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(t, u) - 1) \nu(du) dt \\ \quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{t-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dt du), \\ X_0^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} = x_0^\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dt du) := N^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dt du) - \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(t, u) \nu(du) dt$. Under (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^1) and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , by Theorem 3.1 in [11], we know that the above equation has a unique strong solution $(X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon))$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) &= x_0^\varepsilon - K_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) + \int_0^t b(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) \xi^\varepsilon(s) ds \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) dW_s + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) \nu(du) ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(ds du). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the Girsanov theorem for Brownian motions and random measures, it holds that

$$X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon \left(x_0^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon}W + \int_0^\cdot \xi^\varepsilon(s)ds, \varepsilon N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon} \right).$$

Next, for $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N$ and $x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, we consider the following multivalued differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{\xi, \zeta} \in -A(X_t^{\xi, \zeta})dt + b(X_t^{\xi, \zeta})dt + \sigma(X_t^{\xi, \zeta})\xi(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_t^{\xi, \zeta}, u)(\zeta(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ X_0^{\xi, \zeta} = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Under $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , by the penalization method, the above equation has a unique solution $(X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), K^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))$. That is, $(X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), K^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))$ solves the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) &= x_0 - K_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) + \int_0^t b(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))\xi(s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)(\zeta(s, u) - 1)\nu(du)ds. \end{aligned}$$

So, we define a measurable mapping $\mathcal{G}^0 : \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} \times \mathbb{V} \rightarrow D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ as follows

$$X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) = \mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_0, \int_0^\cdot \xi(s)ds, \nu_T^\zeta \right).$$

In the following, we verify that $\mathcal{G}^\varepsilon, \mathcal{G}^0$ satisfy Condition 2.9 and prove Theorem 3.2 and 3.4.

4.1. Some estimates for $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, X^{\xi, \zeta}$. In this subsection, we give some estimates for $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, X^{\xi, \zeta}$.

First, let us prepare some results (cf. [3, Remark 3.6]).

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_f^2) holds. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N} \int_0^T |\xi(s)|ds \leq (TN)^{1/2}, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \quad (6)$$

$$\sup_{(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u)\zeta(s, u)\nu(du)ds \leq M, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \quad (7)$$

$$\sup_{(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u)|\zeta(s, u) - 1|\nu(du)ds \leq M, \quad \mathbb{P} - a.s., \quad (8)$$

where the constant $M > 0$ is non random, and

$$\lim_{\iota \rightarrow 0} \sup_{(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N} \sup_{|t-s| \leq \iota} \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u)|\zeta(r, u) - 1|\nu(du)dr = 0. \quad (9)$$

Proof. First of all, by the Hölder inequality and $\xi(\omega) \in \mathbf{D}_1^N$ for \mathbb{P} a.s. ω , it holds that

$$\int_0^T |\xi(s)|ds \leq \left(\int_0^T |\xi(s)|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} T^{1/2} \leq (TN)^{1/2}.$$

So, we get (6).

In the following, we treat $\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta(s, u) \nu(du) ds$. By the super linear growth of the function ℓ , it holds that there exist two constants $\kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for any $x \geq \kappa_1, x \leq \kappa_2 \ell(x)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta(s, u) \nu(du) ds &= \int_{([0, T] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{\zeta \geq \kappa_1\}} L_2^2(u) \zeta(s, u) \nu(du) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{([0, T] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{\zeta < \kappa_1\}} L_2^2(u) \zeta(s, u) \nu(du) ds \\
&\leq \int_{([0, T] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{\zeta \geq \kappa_1\}} \kappa_2 \ell(\zeta(s, u)) \nu(du) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{([0, T] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{\zeta < \kappa_1\}} L_2^2(u) \kappa_1 \nu(du) ds \\
&\leq \kappa_2 N + \kappa_1 T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du),
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the assumption that

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbb{U}} L_2(u) \leq \gamma_1 < 1 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) < \infty.$$

That is, (7) is proved.

Next, we estimate $\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr$ for $0 \leq s < t \leq T$. Note that for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x - 1| &\leq c_1(\theta) \ell(x), \quad |x - 1| > \theta, \\
|x - 1|^2 &\leq c_2(\theta) \ell(x), \quad |x - 1| \leq \theta,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_1(\theta) > 0$ and $c_1(\theta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$ and $0 < c_2(\theta) < \infty$ is a constant (cf. [3, Remark 3.3]). Thus, from the Hölder inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \\
&= \int_{([s, t] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{|\zeta - 1| > \theta\}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr + \int_{([s, t] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{|\zeta - 1| \leq \theta\}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \\
&\leq \int_{([s, t] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{|\zeta - 1| > \theta\}} c_1(\theta) \ell(\zeta(r, u)) \nu(du) dr \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{([s, t] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{|\zeta - 1| \leq \theta\}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{([s, t] \times \mathbb{U}) \cap \{|\zeta - 1| \leq \theta\}} |\zeta(r, u) - 1|^2 \nu(du) dr \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq c_1(\theta) N + \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \right)^{1/2} (t - s)^{1/2} c_2^{1/2}(\theta) N^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $s = 0, t = T$, we obtain that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \leq c_1(\theta) N + \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \right)^{1/2} T^{1/2} c_2^{1/2}(\theta) N^{1/2}.$$

This is just (8).

Finally, we take $s, t \in [0, T], |t - s| < \iota$, and by the above deduction conclude (9). \square

In the following, we investigate $\{(X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)), 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, it holds that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4 \leq C(1 + |x_0|^4), \quad (10)$$

$$\sup_{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T \leq C(1 + |x_0|^2). \quad (11)$$

Proof. By the Itô formula, it holds that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ = & |x_0|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), b(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \rangle ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \xi^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\ & + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu) \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 2 \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \varepsilon f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \rangle \right] \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Then by Lemma 2.4 and (\mathbf{H}_A) , it holds that

$$-2 \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \rangle \leq 0,$$

which together with $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ \leq & |x_0|^2 + C \int_0^t (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds + C \int_0^t |\xi^\varepsilon(s)| (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\ & + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \left| \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \right| \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\ & + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu) \right| \\ \leq & |x_0|^2 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \left| \int_0^t \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \right| \\ & + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu) \right| \\ & + \int_0^t \left(C + C |\xi^\varepsilon(s)| + \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\times (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds,$$

where the fact that $|x| \leq 1 + |x|^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is used. So, (7), (8) and the Gronwall inequality imply that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ \leq & C \left(1 + |x_0|^2 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \right. \\ & \left. + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[\left| X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right|^2 - |X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

In the following, by the Hölder inequality and the BDG inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4 \\ \leq & C(1 + |x_0|^4) + 2C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right|^2 \right) \\ & + C\mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[\left| X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right|^2 - |X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right|^2 \right) \\ \leq & C(1 + |x_0|^4) + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4) dr \right) \\ & + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) \nu(du) \right) (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4) dr \\ \leq & C(1 + |x_0|^4) + \varepsilon C(T + M)(1 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4), \end{aligned}$$

which together with the arbitrariness of ε yields that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4 \leq C(1 + |x_0|^4).$$

This is just (10).

Next, we show (11). From (12) and Lemma 2.5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_T^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ \leq & |x_0|^2 - 2M_1 |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T + M_2 \int_0^T |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| ds + M_3 T + C \int_0^T (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\ & + C \int_0^T |\xi^\varepsilon(s)| (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^T \langle X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\ & + 2 \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[\left| X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right|^2 - |X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \right] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu), \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the expectation on two sides

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T \\
& \leq |x_0|^2 + M_3 T + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds + C \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |\xi^\varepsilon(s)| (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\
& \quad + 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\
& \leq |x_0|^2 + M_3 T + C(1 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) \\
& \leq C(1 + |x_0|^2).
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

Then the following proposition describes the property of $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x)$ about the initial value x .

Proposition 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, it holds that if for any $x_0^\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, $x_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$, then $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ converges in probability to $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)$.*

Proof. First of all, note that $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)$ belong to $D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$. Thus, we only need to prove that for any $\delta > 0$, and $\lambda^\varepsilon \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ with $\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| = 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta \right\} = 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta \right\} & \leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} \\
& \quad + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we divide into two steps to prove that the limits for two terms in the right side of the above inequality are zero as ε tends to 0.

Step 1. We prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Set $Z^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} = X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)$ and by the Itô formula, Lemma 2.4, the Taylor formula, $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , we obtain that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Z_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 \\
& = |x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, d(K_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) - K_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \rangle \\
& \quad + 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, b(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) - b(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \rangle ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, [\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) - \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))] \xi^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, [\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) - \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))] dW_s \rangle \\
& +2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, [f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) - f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)] (\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|Z_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left(f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) - f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right) |^2 - |Z_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 \right] \\
& \quad \times \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu) \\
& + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) - \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left(f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) - f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right) |^2 - |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2 \left\langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, \varepsilon \left(f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) - f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right) \right\rangle \right] \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) ds \\
\leq & |x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^2 + M_t^{\varepsilon, 1} + M_t^{\varepsilon, 2} + \int_0^t (2L_1 + 2L_1|\xi^\varepsilon(s)| + L_1^2) |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} 2L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_t^{\varepsilon, 1} &= 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}, [\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)) - \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))] dW_s \rangle, \\
M_t^{\varepsilon, 2} &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \left[|Z_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left(f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), u) - f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \right) |^2 - |Z_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 \right] \\
& \quad \times \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dsdu).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (6)-(8) and the Gronwall inequality imply that

$$\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2 \leq C(|x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^2 + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |M_s^{\varepsilon, 1}| + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |M_s^{\varepsilon, 2}|).$$

Next, from the BDG inequality, the mean value theorem, $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) and (7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^4 &\leq C \left(|x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^4 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |M_s^{\varepsilon, 1}|^2 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |M_s^{\varepsilon, 2}|^2 \right) \\
&\leq C|x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^4 + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^T |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^4 ds \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) \right) |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^4 ds \\
&\leq C|x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^4 + \varepsilon(CT + CM) \mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε is arbitrarily small, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Z_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^4 \leq C |x_0^\varepsilon - x_0|^4, \quad (14)$$

which together with the Chebyshev inequality implies (13).

Step 2. We prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} = 0. \quad (15)$$

Note that for $0 \leq t < v < T$

$$\begin{aligned} & X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \\ &= -K_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + K_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \int_t^v b(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dr + \int_t^v \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \xi^\varepsilon(r) dr \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_t^v \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r + \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) \nu(du) dr \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus, by the Itô formula, the Hölder inequality and $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ &= -2 \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \rangle \\ & \quad + 2 \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), b(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \rangle dr \\ & \quad + 2 \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \xi^\varepsilon(r) \rangle dr \\ & \quad + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle + \varepsilon \int_t^v \|\sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 dr \\ & \quad + 2 \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), f(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) dr \\ & \quad + \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) |^2 \\ & \quad \quad - |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \\ & \quad + \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) |^2 - |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 \\ & \quad \quad - 2 \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \rangle] \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq -2 \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \rangle + \int_t^v |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 dr \\ & \quad + C \int_t^v (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) dr + 2 \int_t^v |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \|\sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\| |\xi^\varepsilon(r)| dr \\ & \quad + 2 \int_t^v |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)| |\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \left| \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \\
& + \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 \right. \\
& \quad \left. - |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \right| \\
& + \varepsilon (1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2) M. \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute $-2 \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \rangle$. Note that $0 \in \text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$. Thus, there is a $\theta_0 > 0$ such that for any $R > 0$ and $\theta < \theta_0$,

$$\left\{ y \in B(R) : \rho_{\mathbb{R}^d}(y, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq \theta \right\} \neq \emptyset,$$

where $B(R) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| \leq R\}$ and $(\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c$ is the complement of $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$. Set

$$\rho_R(\theta) := \sup \left\{ |z| : z \in A(y) \text{ for all } y \in B(R) \text{ with } \rho_{\mathbb{R}^d}(y, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq \theta \right\},$$

and by the local boundedness of A on $\text{Int}(\mathcal{D}(A))$ it holds that

$$\rho_R(\theta) < +\infty.$$

Set for any $\iota > 0$

$$\vartheta_R(\iota) := \inf \left\{ \theta \in (0, \theta_0) : \rho_R(\theta) \leq \iota^{-1/2} \right\},$$

and it holds that

$$\rho_R(\iota + \vartheta_R(\iota)) \leq \iota^{-1/2} \text{ and } \lim_{\iota \downarrow 0} \vartheta_R(\iota) = 0.$$

Take $\iota_R > 0$ such that $\iota_R + \vartheta_R(\iota_R) < \theta_0$. For $0 < \iota < \iota_R \wedge 1$, let $X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R}(x_0)$ be the projection of $X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)$ on $\left\{ y \in B(R) : \rho_{\mathbb{R}^d}(y, (\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})^c) \geq \iota + \vartheta_R(\iota) \right\}$. Thus, for $Y_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R} \in A(X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R}(x_0))$, $\sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \leq R$ and $0 < v - t < \iota$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2 \int_t^v \left\langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \right\rangle \\
& = -2 \int_t^v \left\langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \right\rangle \\
& \quad -2 \int_t^v \left\langle X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \right\rangle \\
& \leq -2 \int_t^v \left\langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R}(x_0), Y_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, \iota, R} \right\rangle dr \\
& \quad + 2(\iota + \vartheta_R(\iota)) |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T \\
& \leq 4\iota^{1/2} R + 2(\iota + \vartheta_R(\iota)) |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T,
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore by (17)

$$\sup_{t \leq v \leq t + \iota} \left| X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) \right|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \leq R \right\}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left(4\iota^{1/2}R + 2(\iota + \vartheta_R(\iota)) |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta}(x_0)|_0^T\right) + 4R^2\iota + C(1 + R^2)\iota + \varepsilon(1 + R^2)M \\
&+ C(1 + R^2) \int_t^{t+\iota} |\xi^\varepsilon(r)|dr + 8(1 + R^2) \int_t^{t+\iota} \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| \nu(du)dr \\
&+ 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+\iota} \left| \int_t^v \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \right| \\
&+ 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+\iota} \left| \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \\
&+ 2\varepsilon R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+\iota} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| \\
&+ 2\varepsilon \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+\iota} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \rangle \right| \\
&+ 2\varepsilon \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+\iota} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right|. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| = 0$. Thus, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $t \in [0, T]$, $|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| < \iota$. And by the Chebyshev inequality it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} \\
&= \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2, \sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \leq R \right\} \\
&+ \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > \delta/2, \sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| > R \right\} \\
&\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \leq v \leq t + |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2 I_{\left\{ \sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| \leq R \right\}} > \delta^2/4 \right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{R^2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{v \in [0, T]} |X_v^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2. \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of (18), we estimate the first term of the right side for the above inequality. By (11) and the Chebyshev inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{P} \left\{ C(1 + R^2) |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|^{1/2} + 2(|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| + \vartheta_R(|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|)) |K^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta}(x_0)|_0^T + \varepsilon(1 + R^2)M \right. \\
&\quad \left. > \delta^2/28 \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{28}{\delta^2} [C(1 + R^2) |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|^{1/2} + 2C(|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| + \vartheta_R(|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|)) (1 + |x_0|^2) + \varepsilon(1 + R^2)M].
\end{aligned}$$

And the integrability of ξ^ε and (9) yield that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ C(1 + R^2) \int_t^{t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|} |\xi(r)|dr + 8(1 + R^2) \int_t^{t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|} \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| \nu(du)dr \right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& > \delta^2/28 \Big\} \\
= & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then from the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and (10), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}R \left(\int_t^{t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \mathbb{E} \|\sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq \frac{C}{\delta^2} \sqrt{\varepsilon}R (1 + |x_0|) |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t|^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} = 0.$$

By the same deduction to that for the above limit, one can obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \langle X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \rangle dW_r \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} = 0.$$

By the Chebyshev inequality and the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\varepsilon R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{56^2}{\delta^4} \varepsilon^2 R^2 \mathbb{E} \int_t^{t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) \nu(du) dr \\
& \leq \frac{2 \times 56^2}{\delta^4} \varepsilon R^2 \mathbb{E} (1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}|^2) M,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\varepsilon R \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} = 0.$$

Following the similar line to that for the above limit, we conclude that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\varepsilon \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \rangle \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ 2\varepsilon \sup_{t \leq v \leq t+|\lambda^\varepsilon(t)-t|} \left| \int_t^v \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right| > \delta^2/28 \right\} = 0.$$

Finally, combining the above deduction with (19) and (18), and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ first and then $R \rightarrow \infty$, one can obtain (15), which completes the proof. \square

By the same deduction to that for (10) and (11), we can obtain the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.2, it holds that for $(\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N$*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|^2 \leq C(1 + |x_0|^2), \text{ a.s.}, \quad (20)$$

$$|K^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|_0^T \leq C(1 + |x_0|^2), \text{ a.s.} \quad (21)$$

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Assume that $\zeta^\varepsilon(\omega), \zeta(\omega) \in \mathbf{D}_2^N$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, ζ^ε converges almost surely to ζ . Set*

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(t) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) \left((\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) - (\zeta(r, u) - 1) \right) \nu(du) dr.$$

Then it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t)| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}.a.s..$$

Proof. First of all, by (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , (20) and (8), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t)| &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)| \left(|\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| + |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \right) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) \left(|\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| + |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \right) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of ε . Then for $0 \leq s < t \leq T$ and $|t - s| < \delta$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) and (20) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t) - \Psi_\varepsilon(s)| &\leq \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)| \left(|\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| + |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \right) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq (1 + \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|) \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) \left(|\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| + |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \right) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|) \left(\int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_s^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) dr \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, from (9), it follows that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\varepsilon} \sup_{|s-t| < \delta} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t) - \Psi_\varepsilon(s)| = 0.$$

Now, collecting the above estimates, by the Ascoli-Arzelá lemma we conclude that $\{\Psi_\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$ is relatively compact in $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$.

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)|^2 \nu(du) dr &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} (1 + |X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|)^2 L_2^2(u) \nu(du) dr \\ &\leq (1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)|)^2 T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|^2) T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du), \end{aligned}$$

and for any $B \subset \mathcal{B}([0, T] \times \mathbb{U})$ with $(Leb_T \times \nu)(B) < \infty$,

$$\int_B \exp\{|f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)|\} \nu(du) dr \leq \int_B \exp\{C(1 + |x_0|) L_2(u)\} \nu(du) dr$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{B \cap \{L_2(u) > C(1+|x_0|)/\gamma_2\}} \exp\{C(1+|x_0|)L_2(u)\} \nu(du) dr \\
&\quad + \int_{B \cap \{L_2(u) \leq C(1+|x_0|)/\gamma_2\}} \exp\{C(1+|x_0|)L_2(u)\} \nu(du) dr \\
&\leq \int_{B \cap \{L_2(u) > C(1+|x_0|)/\gamma_2\}} \exp\{\gamma_2 L_2^2(u)\} \nu(du) dr \\
&\quad + \exp\{C(1+|x_0|)^2/\gamma_2\} \times (\text{Leb}_T \times \nu)(B) \\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where (\mathbf{H}_f^3) is used. So, by [3, Lemma 3.11], it holds that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t)| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}.a.s.,$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Psi_\varepsilon(t)| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}.a.s..$$

The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. Assume that $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon), (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N$, and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon)$ converges almost surely to (ξ, ζ) . Then it holds that $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)$ converges in probability to $X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. First of all, our aim is to prove that for $\lambda^\varepsilon \in \mathbf{\Lambda}$ with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\lambda^\varepsilon(t) - t| = 0$, and any $\delta > 0$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta \right\} = 0.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta \right\} &\leq \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} \\
&\quad + \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

And by the similar deduction to that in the second step of the proof for Lemma 4.3, it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) - X_{\lambda^\varepsilon(t)}^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} = 0.$$

Thus, we only need to show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| > \delta/2 \right\} = 0. \quad (22)$$

Our proof is divided into three steps.

Step 1. Set $Z_t^\varepsilon = X_t^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - X_t^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)$, and we estimate $\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z_t^\varepsilon|^2$.

First of all, by the Itô formula and Lemma 2.4, it holds that for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |Z_t^\varepsilon|^2 \\
= & -2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, dK_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - dK_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, b(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) - b(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) \rangle ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) \xi^\varepsilon(s) - \sigma(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) \xi(s) \rangle ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) (\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) - f(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) (\zeta(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\
& + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{s-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{s-}^\varepsilon|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(ds du) \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{s-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{s-}^\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \langle Z_{s-}^\varepsilon, \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \rangle \\
& \quad \varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) ds \\
\leq & 2L_1 \int_0^t |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, (\sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) - \sigma(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))) \xi^\varepsilon(s) \rangle ds \\
& + 2 \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) (\xi^\varepsilon(s) - \xi(s)) \rangle ds + \varepsilon C \int_0^t (1 + |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, (f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) - f(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)) (\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, f(X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) ((\zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) - 1) - (\zeta(s, u) - 1)) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\
& + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\
& + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{s-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{s-}^\varepsilon|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(ds du) \\
& + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 \\
\leq & \varepsilon (1 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) \left(CT + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(s, u) \nu(du) ds \right) \\
& + \int_0^t \left(2L_1 + 2L_1 |\xi^\varepsilon(r)| + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u) |\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1| \nu(du) \right) \sup_{s \in [0, r]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 dr \\
& + 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) (\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) \rangle dr \right|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) \left((\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) - (\zeta(r, u) - 1) \right) \rangle \nu(du) dr \right| \\
& + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \\
& + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{r-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{r-}^\varepsilon|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

So, the Gronwall inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{s \in [0, t]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 \\
\leq & \varepsilon C(1 + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) (CT + M) \\
& + 2C \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) (\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) \rangle dr \right| \\
& + C \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) \left((\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) - (\zeta(r, u) - 1) \right) \rangle \nu(du) dr \right| \\
& + 2C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \\
& + C \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{r-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{r-}^\varepsilon|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \right|,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (10) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 \\
\leq & C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2) + C\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) (\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) \rangle dr \right| \\
& + C\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u) \left((\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) - (\zeta(r, u) - 1) \right) \rangle \nu(du) dr \right| \\
& + C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \right| \\
& + C\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \left| \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{r-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{r-}^\varepsilon|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \right| \\
= & C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2) + I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

We first estimate I_3 . From the BDG inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 & \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 \|\sigma(X_r^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon| \left(\int_0^T \|\sigma(X_r^{\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + C\varepsilon \int_0^T (1 + \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) dr \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

For I_4 , the BDG inequality and the mean value theorem imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq C\mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|Z_{r-}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 - |Z_{r-}^\varepsilon|^2] N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} |Z_{r-}^\varepsilon|^2 |f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^2 N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)|^4 N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon| \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} (1 + |X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) L_2^2(u) N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} (1 + |X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^4) L_2^2(u) N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon}(drdu) \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) \nu(du) dr \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} (1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) \\
&\quad + C\varepsilon \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} (1 + |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) L_2^2(u) \zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) \nu(du) dr \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + CM\varepsilon \mathbb{E} (1 + \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) + C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |Z_r^\varepsilon|^2 + C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where we use (7) and (10).

Finally, combining (24), (25) with (23), we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 \leq C\varepsilon(1 + |x_0|^2) + 2I_1 + 2I_2. \tag{26}$$

In the following step, we estimate I_1 and I_2 .

Step 2. We investigate the limits of I_1 and I_2 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

First of all, we deal with I_1 . Set

$$\Sigma_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^t \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) (\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) dr,$$

and by the similar deduction to that in [22, Lemma 6.4], it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(t)| = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}.a.s.. \tag{27}$$

Besides, we notice that

$$\int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))(\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) \rangle dr = \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, d\Sigma_\varepsilon(r) \rangle,$$

and by the Itô formula obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \langle Z_r^\varepsilon, \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))(\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \xi(r)) \rangle dr \\ &= \langle Z_s^\varepsilon, \Sigma_\varepsilon(s) \rangle + \int_0^s \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), dK_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0) - dK_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0) \rangle \\ & \quad - \int_0^s \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), b(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) - b(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)) \rangle dr \\ & \quad - \int_0^s \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\xi^\varepsilon(r) - \sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))\xi(r) \rangle dr \\ & \quad - \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^s \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), \sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)) dW_r \rangle \\ & \quad - \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), f(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u)(\zeta^\varepsilon(r, u) - 1) \\ & \quad \quad - f(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), u)(\zeta(r, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) dr \\ & \quad - \varepsilon \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle \Sigma_\varepsilon(r), f(X_{r-}^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1} \zeta^\varepsilon}(dr du) \rangle \\ &=: I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13} + I_{14} + I_{15} + I_{16} + I_{17}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

We deal with them one by one.

For I_{11} , it is easy to see that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{11}(s)| \leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon| \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(s)|.$$

On the one hand, (27) implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{11}(s)| = 0.$$

On the other hand, by the linear growth of σ and (20), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(s)| &\leq \left(\int_0^T \|\sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))\| (|\xi^\varepsilon(r)| + |\xi(r)|) dr \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\int_0^T \|\sigma(X_r^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0))\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^T (|\xi^\varepsilon(r)|^2 + |\xi(r)|^2) dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|), \end{aligned}$$

which together with (10) and (20) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon| \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(s)| &\leq C(1 + |x_0|) \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon| \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|) \mathbb{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)| + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |X_s^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)| \right) \\ &\leq C(1 + |x_0|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, collecting the above deduction, by the dominated convergence theorem we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{11}(s)| = 0. \quad (29)$$

Let us treat I_{12} . Some computations present that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{12}(s)| \leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(s)| (|K^{r_\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|_0^T + |K^{r_\varepsilon, \xi, \zeta}(x_0)|_0^T).$$

And (27) implies that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{12}(s)| = 0,$$

which together with (11), (21) and the dominated convergence theorem yields that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{12}(s)| = 0. \quad (30)$$

By the similar deduction to that for (30), we can obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} (|I_{13}(s)| + |I_{14}(s)| + |I_{16}(s)|) = 0. \quad (31)$$

For I_{15} , from the BDG inequality, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{15}(s)| &\leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \left(\int_0^T |\Sigma_\varepsilon(r)|^2 \|\sigma(X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0))\|^2 dr \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathbb{E} \sup_{r \in [0, T]} |\Sigma_\varepsilon(r)|^2 + C \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^T (1 + \mathbb{E} |X_r^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0)|^2) dr \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\varepsilon} (1 + |x_0|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which yields that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{15}(s)| = 0. \quad (32)$$

Then based on the similar deduction to that for (32), it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |I_{17}(s)| = 0. \quad (33)$$

At present, collecting all the above deduction, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_1 = 0. \quad (34)$$

Finally, we calculate the limit of I_2 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 4.5, the similar deduction to that for (34) yields that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_2 = 0. \quad (35)$$

Step 3. We prove (22).

Combining (26) with (34) and (35), we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon|^2 = 0, \quad (36)$$

which together with the Chebyshev inequality yields that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \sup_{s \in [0, T]} |Z_s^\varepsilon| > \delta/2 \right\} = 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

4.2. Proof of Theorem 3.2. In this subsection, we verify Condition 2.9 and prove Theorem 3.2.

Verification of Condition 2.9 (i). Let $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon), (\xi, \zeta) \in \mathcal{A}^N, x_0^\varepsilon, x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ be such that, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon)$ converges in distribution to (ξ, ζ) and $x_0^\varepsilon \rightarrow x_0$. Then since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^\varepsilon \left(x_0^\varepsilon, \sqrt{\varepsilon}W + \int_0^\cdot \xi^\varepsilon(s)ds, \varepsilon N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon} \right) &= X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{G}^0 \left(x_0, \int_0^\cdot \xi(s)ds, \nu_T^\zeta \right) &= X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0), \end{aligned}$$

our goal is to prove that $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ converges in distribution to $X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)$.

Next, note that as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon)$ converges in distribution to (ξ, ζ) . By the Skorohod representation theorem, there exists a probability space $(\check{\Omega}, \check{\mathcal{F}}, \check{\mathbb{P}})$, and random variables $\{(\check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon), 0 < \varepsilon < 1\}$, $(\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta})$, an l -dimensional Brownian motion (\check{W}_t) , a Poisson random measure $\check{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(dtdu)$ with the intensity $\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon(t, u)dt\nu(du)$ such that

- (i) $\mathcal{L}_{(\check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon, \check{W}, \check{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon})} = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon, W, N^{\varepsilon^{-1}\zeta^\varepsilon})}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta})} = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \zeta)}$;
- (ii) $(\check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon)$ converges almost surely to $(\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta})$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon} \in -A(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon})dt + b(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon})dt + \sigma(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon})\check{\xi}^\varepsilon(t)dt \\ \quad + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon})d\check{W}_t + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}, u)(\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt \\ \quad + \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\check{X}_t^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}, u)\check{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(dtdu), \\ \check{X}_0^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon} = x_0^\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{cases}$$

where $\check{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(dtdu) := \check{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(dtdu) - \varepsilon^{-1}\check{\zeta}^\varepsilon(t, u)\nu(du)dt$. Under (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^1) and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , by Theorem 3.1 in [11], the above equation has a unique strong solution $(\check{X}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon), \check{K}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon))$, and $\check{X}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ and $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ have the same distribution, that is,

$$\check{X}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon) \stackrel{d}{=} X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon).$$

By Proposition 4.3 and 4.6, we know that $\check{X}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ converges in probability to $\check{X}^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}(x_0)$ and then $\check{X}^{\varepsilon, \check{\xi}^\varepsilon, \check{\zeta}^\varepsilon}(x_0)$ converges in distribution to $\check{X}^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}(x_0)$, where $(\check{X}^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}(x_0), \check{K}^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}(x_0))$ uniquely solves the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d\check{X}_t^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}} \in -A(\check{X}_t^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}})dt + b(\check{X}_t^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}})dt + \sigma(\check{X}_t^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}})\check{\xi}(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\check{X}_t^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}, u)(\check{\zeta}(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ \check{X}_0^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}} = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Note that

$$\check{X}^{\check{\xi}, \check{\zeta}}(x_0) \stackrel{d}{=} X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0).$$

Thus, the above deduction implies that $X^{\varepsilon, \xi^\varepsilon, \zeta^\varepsilon}(x_0^\varepsilon)$ converges in distribution to $X^{\xi, \zeta}(x_0)$.

Verification of Condition 2.9 (ii). Proposition 4.3 and 4.6 directly imply Condition 2.9 (ii).

It is the position to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. First of all, by the above deduction we know that Condition 2.9 holds.

Next, we prove that for all $\phi \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$, $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} \ni x_0 \mapsto \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \in [0, \infty]$ is a lower semicontinuous mapping. So, based on the equivalence of the lower semicontinuity and the close property, it is sufficient to show that for any $M > 0$, $\Gamma_M := \{x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : \Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M\}$ is close. Assume that $\{x_0^n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \Gamma_M$ and $x_0^n \rightarrow x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\Lambda_{x_0^n}(\phi) \leq M$, there exists a $h \in \mathbf{D}_1^{M+1/n}, g \in \mathbf{D}_2^{(M+1/n)/2}$ such that $\phi = X^{h,g}(x_0^n)$. By the similar deduction to that for Proposition 4.3, it holds that $X^{h,g}(x_0^n)$ converges to $X^{h,g}(x_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Note that $h \in \mathbf{D}_1^{M+1/n}, g \in \mathbf{D}_2^{(M+1/n)/2}$. Thus, $\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M + 1/n$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M$ and $x_0 \in \Gamma_M$. That is, Γ_M is close.

Finally, by Theorem 2.10, we conclude that Theorem 3.2 holds.

4.3. Proof of Theorem 3.4. In this section, we prove Theorem 3.4. We prepare a key lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^1) - (\mathbf{H}_f^3) hold and $x_{0,n} \rightarrow x_0$ in $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for any $M \geq 0$*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M)} \rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)), \sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)} \rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_0}(M)) \right\} = 0.$$

Proof. First of all, if $\phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M)$, $\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi) \leq M$. By the definition of $\Lambda_{x_0}(\phi)$, there exists a $(h, g) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $\phi = X^{h,g}(x_0)$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt \leq M,$$

which also implies that $\Lambda_{x_{0,n}}(X^{h,g}(x_{0,n})) \leq M$ and $X^{h,g}(x_{0,n}) \in \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)$. So,

$$\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)) \leq \rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, X^{h,g}(x_{0,n})).$$

Besides, note that $X^{h,g}(x_0)$ is continuous in t . Thus, by the similar deduction to that for (14), it holds that

$$\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)) \leq \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X_t^{h,g}(x_0) - X_t^{h,g}(x_{0,n})| \leq C|x_0 - x_{0,n}|,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of n, ϕ . That is,

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{x_0}(M)} \rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)) \leq C|x_0 - x_{0,n}|.$$

Similarly, it holds that

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Phi_{x_{0,n}}(M)} \rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(\phi, \Phi_{x_0}(M)) \leq C|x_0 - x_{0,n}|.$$

Finally, the above deduction implies the required result. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Lemma 4.7 and [27, Theorem 2.7] assure that Theorem 3.4 holds.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.7

In this section, we prove Theorem 3.7. By [10, Section 4.4], it is sufficient to prove three following points:

(I) compactness: for any $s \geq 0$, $\mathbf{K}(s) = \{y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : V(y) \leq s\}$ is compact.

(II) lower bounds: for any $\delta > 0, \theta > 0$ and $y^* \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mu^\varepsilon(y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : |y - y^*| < \delta) \geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{V(y^*) + \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$.

(III) upper bounds: for any $s \geq 0, \delta > 0, \theta > 0$, there exists a $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mu^\varepsilon(y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : \rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{s - \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},$$

for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, where

$$\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s)) := \inf_{z \in \mathbf{K}(s)} |y - z|.$$

We prove them in three following subsections.

5.1. Compactness. In this subsection, we prove the compactness. And we begin with some key lemmas.

Consider Eq.(2), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^0 \in -A(X_t^0)dt + b(X_t^0)dt, \\ X_0^0 = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

Lemma 5.1. *Under (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$, Eq.(37) has a unique solution $(X^0(x_0), K^0(x_0))$. Moreover, it holds that for any $t \geq 0$*

$$|X_t^0(x_0)|^2 \leq |x_0|^2 e^{-L_3 t}. \quad (38)$$

Proof. First of all, under (\mathbf{H}_A) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, Eq.(37) has a unique solution $(X^0(x_0), K^0(x_0))$. Then for any $\eta > 0$, we take the Yosida approximation A^η of the operator A (cf. [24]) and consider the following equation

$$\begin{cases} dX_t^{0,\eta} = -A^\eta(X_t^{0,\eta})dt + b(X_t^{0,\eta})dt, \\ X_0^{0,\eta} = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since A^η is a single-valued and Lipschitz continuous function, the above equation has a unique solution $X^{0,\eta}(x_0)$. Moreover, some computations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} d|X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0)|^2 &= -2\langle X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0), A^\eta(X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0)) \rangle dt + 2\langle X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0), b(X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0)) \rangle dt \\ &\leq -L_3 |X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0)|^2 dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the maximal monotone property of A^η and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$. By the comparison theorem for ordinary differential equations, it holds that

$$|X_t^{0,\eta}(x_0)|^2 \leq |x_0|^2 e^{-L_3 t}.$$

Note that $X^{0,\eta}(x_0)$ converges to $X^0(x_0)$ and the right side of the above inequality is independent of η . Thus, we obtain (38). \square

Next, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , (\mathbf{H}_f^3) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ hold. For any $\kappa \geq 0, r \geq 0$ and $T > 0$, set

$$S(\kappa, r, T) := \{\phi \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) : |\phi(0)| \leq \kappa, |\phi(t)| \geq r, \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]\}.$$

Then for any $\phi \in S(\kappa, r, T)$, $\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \rightarrow \infty$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. First of all, we establish that there exists a $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\theta := \inf\{\Lambda_0^{T_0}(\phi) : \phi \in S(\kappa, r, T_0)\} > 0.$$

If it is not true, for any $T > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{\phi_n\} \subset S(\kappa, r, T_0)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda_0^T(\phi_n) = 0.$$

Based on the definition of $\Lambda_0^T(\phi_n)$, there also exists a sequence $\{(h_n, g_n)\} \subset \mathbf{D}$ such that $\phi_n = X^{h_n, g_n}$, and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T |h_n(t)|^2 dt = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g_n(t, u)) \nu(du) dt = 0.$$

On one hand, (ϕ_n, k_n) satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(t) &= \phi_n(0) - k_n(t) + \int_0^t b(\phi_n(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\phi_n(s)) h_n(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\phi_n(s), u)(g_n(s, u) - 1) \nu(du) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{(h_n, g_n)\} \subset \mathbf{D}^N$ and $(h_n, g_n) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ as n tends to ∞ . So, the similar deduction to that for (14) and (36) yields that $\phi_n(t)$ converges to $X_t^0(\alpha)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$, where $\alpha := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(0)$ and $|\alpha| \leq \kappa$. Note that $\phi_n \in S(\kappa, r, T)$ and $|\phi_n(T)| \geq r$. Thus, $|X_T^0(\alpha)| \geq r$. However, for this r , by (38), there exists a $\hat{T} > 0$ such that for any $T \geq \hat{T}$, $|X_T^0(\alpha)| < r$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\theta > 0$.

Next, for any $T \geq nT_0$, we know that $\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \geq n\theta$ and the required result holds. \square

Now, for any $(h, g) \in \mathbf{D}$, we consider the following multivalued differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} d\phi(t) \in -A(\phi(t))dt + b(\phi(t))dt + \sigma(\phi(t))h(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\phi(t), u)(g(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ \phi(0) = 0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{cases}$$

Under (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$ and (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , the above equation has a unique solution (ϕ, k) . Moreover, we have the following result about ϕ .

Lemma 5.3. Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) and (\mathbf{H}_f^3) hold. Then T converges to ∞ as $|\phi(T)|$ tends to ∞ .

Proof. Assume that T converges to a finite number as $|\phi(T)|$ tends to ∞ . Then we investigate $\phi(t)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Note that (ϕ, k) satisfies the following equation: for $t \in [0, T]$

$$\phi(t) = -k(t) + \int_0^t b(\phi(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(\phi(s)) h(s) ds + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\phi(s), u)(g(s, u) - 1) \nu(du) ds.$$

Thus, the linear growth of b, σ, f and some calculations imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(t)|^2 &= -2 \int_0^t \langle \phi(s), dk(s) \rangle + 2 \int_0^t \langle \phi(s), b(\phi(s)) \rangle ds + 2 \int_0^t \langle \phi(s), \sigma(\phi(s))h(s) \rangle ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle \phi(s), f(\phi(s), u)(g(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\
&\leq 2C \int_0^t |\phi(s)|(1 + |\phi(s)|) ds + 2C \int_0^t |\phi(s)|(1 + |\phi(s)|)|h(s)| ds \\
&\quad + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} |\phi(s)|(1 + |\phi(s)|)L_2(u)|g(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and by the inequality $|x| \leq 1 + |x|^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$1 + |\phi(t)|^2 \leq 1 + \int_0^t \left(4C + 4C|h(s)| + 4 \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2(u)|g(s, u) - 1| \nu(du) \right) (1 + |\phi(s)|^2) ds.$$

Since $(h, g) \in \mathbf{D}$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(h, g) \in \mathbf{D}^N$, which together with (6), (8) and the Gronwall inequality yields that

$$|\phi(T)| \leq C < \infty.$$

When T converges to a finite number, $|\phi(T)|$ converges to a finite number, which is contradict with that $|\phi(T)|$ tends to ∞ .

Finally, by the above deduction, we conclude that $T \rightarrow \infty$ as $|\phi(T)| \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Having Lemma 5.2 and 5.3 in hand, we will obtain the following result.

Lemma 5.4. *Assume that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , (\mathbf{H}_f^3) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ hold. Then $V(y)$ converges to ∞ as $|y|$ tends to ∞ .*

Proof. By the definition of $V(y)$, for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a ϕ such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(T) = y$ and $\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \leq V(y) + \eta$. As $|y|$ tends to ∞ , $|\phi(T)|$ converges to ∞ . Then by Lemma 5.3, it holds that $T \rightarrow \infty$, which together with Lemma 5.2 yields that $\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \rightarrow \infty$ and $V(y)$ converges to ∞ . \square

Now, we prove the compactness.

Proof of (I). First of all, we show that $\mathbf{K}(s)$ is close. Assume that $\{y_j\} \subset \mathbf{K}(s)$ and y_j converges to y in $\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$. So, for any $\delta_1 > 0$, there exists a $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|y_{j_0} - y| < \frac{\delta_1}{2}.$$

Besides, note that

$$V(y) = \liminf_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \{ \Lambda_0^T(\phi) : \phi(0) = 0, \phi(T) \in B(y, \delta) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, T > 0 \},$$

where $B(y, \delta) := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^d : |z - y| \leq \delta\}$. Then, since $V(y_{j_0}) \leq s$, for any $\eta > 0$, there exist ϕ, T such that $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi(T) \in B(y_{j_0}, \frac{\delta_1}{2}) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ and

$$\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \leq s + \eta.$$

Based on the definition of $\Lambda_0^T(\phi)$, there exist a $(h, g) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $\phi = X^{h,g}$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^T |h(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{U}} \ell(g(t, u)) \nu(du) dt \leq s + \eta.$$

That is, $\phi(0) = 0$, $|\phi(T) - y| \leq \delta_1$, $\Lambda_0^T(\phi) \leq s + \eta$ and $V(y) \leq s + \eta$. The arbitrary of η assures that $y \in \mathbf{K}(s)$.

Next, we prove that $\mathbf{K}(s)$ is bounded. If $\mathbf{K}(s)$ is unbounded, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ satisfying that $|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $V(y_n) \leq s$. However, by Lemma 5.4 one can obtain that as $|y_n| \rightarrow \infty$, $V(y_n)$ converges to ∞ . For this s , there exists a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n > n_0$, $V(y_n) > s$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathbf{K}(s)$ is bounded.

5.2. Lower bounds. In this subsection, we prove lower bounds.

Lemma 5.5. *Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_σ^2) , (\mathbf{H}_f^1) , $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$, (\mathbf{H}_f^3) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ hold. Then for any $\eta > 0$, there exist $r_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $r > r_0$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$*

$$\mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Proof. Our proof is divided into two steps. In the first step, we prove the exponential moment estimate of $X^\varepsilon(x_0)$ and then establish the required result.

Step 1. We prove the exponential moment estimate of $X^\varepsilon(x_0)$.

First of all, note that $(X^\varepsilon(x_0), K^\varepsilon(x_0))$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^\varepsilon(x_0) &= x_0 - K_t^\varepsilon(x_0) + \int_0^t b(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0))ds + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0))dW_s \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u) \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dsdu), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Itô formula, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2 &= |x_0|^2 - \int_0^t 2\langle X_s^\varepsilon(x_0), dK_s^\varepsilon(x_0) \rangle + \int_0^t 2\langle X_s^\varepsilon(x_0), b(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0))\|^2 ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} |f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u)|^2 \nu(du) ds \\ &\quad + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \langle X_s^\varepsilon(x_0), \sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} [|X_s^\varepsilon(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dsdu). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\delta > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, applying the Itô formula to $e^{\delta t + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2}$, by Lemma 2.4, (\mathbf{H}_σ^2) , $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$ and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\delta t + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} &\leq e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} + \delta \int_0^t e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} ds - L_3 \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \langle X_s^\varepsilon(x_0), \sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} [|X_s^\varepsilon(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dsdu) \\ &\quad + 2\frac{\beta^2}{\varepsilon} \left(L_\sigma^2 + \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \right) \int_0^t e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$+2\beta^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \right) \int_0^t e^{\delta s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} ds.$$

Let $\delta = \frac{L_3}{4}$, and choose β small enough such that

$$2\beta L_\sigma^2 \leq \frac{L_3}{4}, \quad 2\beta \int_{\mathbb{U}} L_2^2(u) \nu(du) \leq \frac{L_3}{4}, \quad \beta \leq 1.$$

So, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{L_3}{4}t + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} &\leq e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} + \frac{L_3}{2} \int_0^t e^{\frac{L_3}{4}s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2\right) ds \\ &\quad + 2\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{\frac{L_3}{4}s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \langle X_s^\varepsilon(x_0), \sigma(X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)) dW_s \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} e^{\frac{L_3}{4}s + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} [|X_s^\varepsilon(x_0) + \varepsilon f(X_{s-}^\varepsilon(x_0), u)|^2 - |X_s^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2] \tilde{N}^{\varepsilon^{-1}}(dsdu). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the expectation on two sides of the above inequality, by the fact that $e^v(1-v) \leq 1$ for any $v \geq 0$ we have that

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\frac{L_3}{4}t + \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \leq e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} + \frac{L_3}{2} \int_0^t e^{\frac{L_3}{4}s} ds \leq e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} + 2e^{\frac{L_3}{4}t},$$

and furthermore

$$\mathbb{E} e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \leq e^{-\frac{L_3}{4}t} e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} + 2.$$

Step 2. We show the required estimate.

Note that μ^ε is an invariant probability measure for the Markov process $X^\varepsilon(x_0)$. Thus, by the Chebyshev inequality it holds that for any $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(X_t^\varepsilon(x_0) \in B^c(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(X_t^\varepsilon(x_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} > e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} r^2}) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\leq \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} r^2} \mathbb{E} e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |X_t^\varepsilon(x_0)|^2} \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\leq \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} e^{-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} r^2} e^{-\frac{L_3}{4}t} e^{\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} |x_0|^2} \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) + 2e^{-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The Fatou lemma implies that

$$\mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\beta}{\varepsilon} r^2}.$$

For any $\eta > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $r_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\beta} + \frac{\varepsilon_0 \ln 2}{\beta}}$ such that for all $r > r_0, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The proof is complete. \square

At present we prove the lower bounds.

Proof of (II). If there exists a $y^* \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ such that $V(y^*) = \infty$, the result obviously holds. Therefore, we assume that for any $y^* \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, $V(y^*) < \infty$. By the definition

of $V(y^*)$, for any $\theta > 0$, there exists a $T_0 > 0$ and $\bar{\phi} \in D([0, T_0], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})$ such that $\bar{\phi}(0) = 0, \bar{\phi}(T_0) = y^*$ and

$$\Lambda_0^{T_0}(\bar{\phi}) \leq V(y^*) + \frac{\theta}{2}.$$

Again based on the definition of $\Lambda_0^{T_0}(\bar{\phi})$, there exists $(\bar{h}, \bar{g}) \in \mathbf{D}$ such that $\bar{\phi} = X^{\bar{h}, \bar{g}}(0)$. That is, $(\bar{\phi}, \bar{k})$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{\phi}(t) \in -A(\bar{\phi}(t))dt + b(\bar{\phi}(t))dt + \sigma(\bar{\phi}(t))\bar{h}(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\bar{\phi}(t), u)(\bar{g}(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ \bar{\phi}(0) = 0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0. \end{cases}$$

Next, for any $T > 0$ set

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}(t) &:= \begin{cases} 0, & t \in [0, T], \\ \bar{h}(t - T), & t \in [T, T + T_0], \end{cases} \\ \hat{g}(t, u) &:= \begin{cases} 1, & (t, u) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{U}, \\ \bar{g}(t - T, u), & (t, u) \in [T, T + T_0] \times \mathbb{U}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and we construct the following multivalued differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} d\hat{\phi}(t) \in -A(\hat{\phi}(t))dt + b(\hat{\phi}(t))dt + \sigma(\hat{\phi}(t))\hat{h}(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\hat{\phi}(t), u)(\hat{g}(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ \hat{\phi}(0) = x_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T + T_0. \end{cases}$$

The above equation has a unique solution $(\hat{\phi}, \hat{k})$. Moreover, $\hat{\phi}(t) = X_t^0(x_0)$ for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\hat{\phi}(t) = X_{t-T}^{\bar{h}, \bar{g}}(X_T^0(x_0))$ for any $t \in [T, T + T_0]$. Put $\check{\phi}(t) := \hat{\phi}(t + T)$ for any $t \in [0, T_0]$, and $(\check{\phi}, \check{k})$ satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} d\check{\phi}(t) \in -A(\check{\phi}(t))dt + b(\check{\phi}(t))dt + \sigma(\check{\phi}(t))\bar{h}(t)dt \\ \quad + \int_{\mathbb{U}} f(\check{\phi}(t), u)(\bar{g}(t, u) - 1)\nu(du)dt, \\ \check{\phi}(0) = X_T^0(x_0) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T_0. \end{cases}$$

We compute $|\check{\phi}(t) - \bar{\phi}(t)|^2$ for any $t \in [0, T_0]$. By Lemma 2.4, $(\mathbf{H}_{b, \sigma}^1)$ and $(\mathbf{H}_f^{2'})$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\check{\phi}(t) - \bar{\phi}(t)|^2 \\ = & |X_T^0(x_0)|^2 - 2 \int_0^t \langle \check{\phi}(s) - \bar{\phi}(s), d\check{k}(s) - \bar{k}(s) \rangle \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \langle \check{\phi}(s) - \bar{\phi}(s), b(\check{\phi}(s)) - b(\bar{\phi}(s)) \rangle ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \langle \check{\phi}(s) - \bar{\phi}(s), (\sigma(\check{\phi}(s)) - \sigma(\bar{\phi}(s)))\bar{h}(s) \rangle ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{U}} \langle \check{\phi}(s) - \bar{\phi}(s), (f(\check{\phi}(s), u) - f(\bar{\phi}(s), u))(\bar{g}(s, u) - 1) \rangle \nu(du) ds \\ \leq & |X_T^0(x_0)|^2 + \int_0^t \left(2L_1 + 2L_1|\bar{h}(s)| + \int_{\mathbb{U}} 2L_2(u)|\bar{g}(s, u) - 1|\nu(du) \right) |\check{\phi}(s) - \bar{\phi}(s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\bar{h}, \bar{g}) \in \mathbf{D}$, there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\bar{h}, \bar{g}) \in \mathbf{D}^N$, which together with (6), (8) and the Gronwall inequality implies that

$$|\check{\phi}(T_0) - \bar{\phi}(T_0)|^2 \leq |X_T^0(x_0)|^2 \exp \left\{ \int_0^{T_0} \left(2L_1 + 2L_1|\bar{h}(s)| + \int_{\mathbb{U}} 2L_2(u)|\bar{g}(s, u) - 1|\nu(du) \right) ds \right\}.$$

Based on this and Lemma 5.1, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a $T_1 > 0$ such that for $T \geq T_1$

$$|\check{\phi}(T_0) - \bar{\phi}(T_0)| < \delta/2,$$

which together with $\check{\phi}(T_0) = \hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1)$, $\bar{\phi}(T_0) = y^*$ yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - y^*| &\leq |X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - \hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1)| + |\hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1) - y^*| \\ &= |X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - \hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1)| + |\check{\phi}(T_0) - \bar{\phi}(T_0)| \\ &< |X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - \hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1)| + \delta/2. \end{aligned}$$

Besides, by Lemma 5.5, there exists $r_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\mu^\varepsilon(B(r_0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Finally, collecting the above deduction, by Remark 3.3 (ii) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^\varepsilon(y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : |y - y^*| < \delta) &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(|X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - y^*| < \delta) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\geq \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(|X_{T_1+T_0}^\varepsilon(x_0) - \hat{\phi}(T_0 + T_1)| < \delta/2) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\geq \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0, T_1+T_0], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \hat{\phi}) < \delta/2) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\geq \int_{B(r_0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Lambda_{x_0}(\hat{\phi}) + \theta/2}{\varepsilon} \right\} \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &= \int_{B(r_0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\Lambda_0^{T_0}(\bar{\phi}) + \theta/2}{\varepsilon} \right\} \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\geq \exp \left\{ -\frac{V(y^*) + \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\} \mu^\varepsilon(B(r_0) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{V(y^*) + \theta}{\varepsilon} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

5.3. Upper bounds. In this subsection, we prove upper bounds.

First of all, we introduce some notation. Set for any $B, E \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$,

$$V(B, E) := \inf\{\Lambda_0^T(\phi) : \phi(0) \in B, \phi(T) \in E, T > 0\}.$$

Then it holds that $V(\{0\}, \{y\}) = V(y)$, $V(\{y_1\}, \{y_3\}) \leq V(\{y_1\}, \{y_2\}) + V(\{y_2\}, \{y_3\})$ for $y, y_i \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $V(\{y_1\}, \{y_2\})$ is continuous in (y_1, y_2) . And based on this we have the following key lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose that (\mathbf{H}_A) , $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma}^1)$, (\mathbf{H}_f^2) , (\mathbf{H}_f^3) and $(\mathbf{H}_{b,\sigma,f})$ hold. Then for any $\delta > 0, s > 0$, there exists a $R' > 0$ and $T' > 0$ such that for any $R \leq R'$ and $T \geq T'$*

$$\{\phi(T) : \phi \in \mathbf{K}_{B(R),0,T}(s)\} \subset \{y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : \rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s)) < \delta/2\},$$

where $\mathbf{K}_{B(R),0,T}(s) := \{\phi \in D([0, T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) : \phi(0) \in B(R) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}, \Lambda_0^T(\phi) \leq s\}$.

Proof. If this is not true, there exist $R_n \rightarrow 0, T_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\phi_n \in \mathbf{K}_{B(R_n),0,T_n}(s)$ such that $\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(\phi_n(T_n), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta/2$.

On the one hand, since $\phi_n(0) \in B(R_n) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$, $\phi_n(0) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then by the continuity of $V(\{0\}, \{y\}) = V(y)$ in y and $V(0) = 0$, for any $\eta > 0$ there exists a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $n \geq n_0$, $V(\{0\}, \{\phi_n(0)\}) \leq \eta$. On the other hand, $\phi_n \in \mathbf{K}_{B(R_n),0,T_n}(s)$ implies that $V(\{\phi_n(0)\}, \{\phi_n(T_n)\}) \leq s$. So,

$$V(\phi_n(T_n)) = V(\{0\}, \{\phi_n(T_n)\}) \leq V(\{0\}, \{\phi_n(0)\}) + V(\{\phi_n(0)\}, \{\phi_n(T_n)\}) \leq \eta + s,$$

and $\phi_n(T_n) \in \mathbf{K}(\eta + s)$. Note that $\mathbf{K}(\eta + s)$ is compact. Thus, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\{\phi_n(T_n)\}$ and $y^* \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ such that $\phi_n(T_n) \rightarrow y^*$, which together with $\phi_n(0) \rightarrow 0$ and $V(\{\phi_n(0)\}, \{\phi_n(T_n)\}) \leq s$ yields that $V(y^*) = V(\{0\}, \{y^*\}) \leq s$ and $y^* \in \mathbf{K}(s)$. However, since $\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(\phi_n(T_n), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta/2$ and $\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s))$ is continuous in y , $\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y^*, \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta/2$, which conflicts with $y^* \in \mathbf{K}(s)$. The proof is complete. \square

Keeping the above lemma in mind, we prove upper bounds.

Proof of (III). First of all, for any $s \geq 0, \delta > 0, \theta > 0$, we take $\eta > s - \theta > 0$. So, by Lemma 5.5, there exists a $r_1 > 0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\eta}{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

Next, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$\Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n) := \{\phi \in D([0, n], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) : |\phi(0)| \leq r_1, |\phi(j)| \geq R', j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where R' is the same as one in Lemma 5.6 corresponding to δ, s . By the similar deduction to that of Lemma 5.2, there exists a $n' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\inf\{\Lambda_0^{n'}(\phi) : \phi \in \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')\} > s,$$

which together with Theorem 3.4 yields that for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$

$$\sup_{x_0 \in B(r_1)} \mathbb{P}(X^\varepsilon(x_0) \in \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon}\right\}.$$

Now, by combining the above deduction, the invariance of μ^ε implies that for any $T > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu^\varepsilon(y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : \rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &= \int_{B^c(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ & \quad + \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\ &\leq \mu^\varepsilon(B^c(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \in \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
& + \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \notin \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
\leq & 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon} \right\} \\
& + \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \notin \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0). \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we estimate the last integration of the right side for the above inequality. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \notin \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
\leq & \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\cup_{k=1}^{n'} \{\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, |X_k^\varepsilon(x_0)| \leq R'\}) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
\leq & \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \mathbb{P}\{\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, |X_k^\varepsilon(x_0)| \leq R'\} \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $T > n'$, the Markov property of $X^\varepsilon(x_0)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \notin \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{n'} \sup_{x_0 \in B(R') \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_{T-k}^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta).
\end{aligned}$$

Besides, by Lemma 5.6, there exists a $T' > 0$ such that for any $x_0 \in B(R') \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ and $T \geq T'$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) & \leq \mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}_{B(R'), 0, T}(s)) \geq \delta/2) \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}_{\{x_0\}, 0, T}(s)) \geq \delta/2).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathbf{K}_{\{x_0\}, 0, T}(s) = \Phi_{x_0}(s)$, which together with Remark 3.3 (iii) yields that for any $x_0 \in B(R') \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}$

$$\mathbb{P}(\rho_{D([0,T], \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)})}(X^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}_{\{x_0\}, 0, T}(s)) \geq \delta/2) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Finally, we take $T = T' + n'$ and obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(r_1) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}} \mathbb{P}(\rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(X_T^\varepsilon(x_0), \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta, X^\varepsilon(x_0) \notin \Gamma(r_1, s, \delta, n')) \mu^\varepsilon(dx_0) \\
\leq & n' \exp \left\{ -\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which together with (39) implies that

$$\mu^\varepsilon(y \in \overline{\mathcal{D}(A)} : \rho_{\overline{\mathcal{D}(A)}}(y, \mathbf{K}(s)) \geq \delta) \leq (2 + n') \exp \left\{ -\frac{s-\theta}{\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bao and C. Yuan: Large deviations for neutral functional SDEs with jumps, *Stochastics*, 87(2015)48-70.
- [2] Z. Brzezniak and S. Cerrai: Large deviations principle for the invariant measures of the 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations on a torus, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 273(2017)1891-1930.
- [3] A. Budhiraja, J. Chen and P. Dupuis: Large deviations for stochastic partial differential equations driven by Poisson random measure, *Stoch. Process. Appl.*, 123 (2013)523-560.
- [4] A. Budhiraja, P. Dupuis and V. Maroulas: Variational representations for continuous time processes, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 47(2011)725-747.
- [5] S. Cerrai and M. Röckner: Large deviations for invariant measures of stochastic reaction-diffusion systems with multiplicative noise and non-Lipschitz reaction term, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 41(2005)69-105.
- [6] S. Cerrai and N. Paskal: Large deviations principle for the invariant measures of the 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with vanishing noise correlation, *Stoch PDE: Anal. Comp.*, 10(2022)1651-1681.
- [7] L. Chen, Z. Dong, J. Jiang and J. Zhai: On limiting behavior of stationary measures for stochastic evolution systems with small noise intensity, *Sci. China. Math.*, 63(2020)1463-1504.
- [8] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni: *Large deviations techniques and applications*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [9] C. A. D. Fraga Filho: On the boundary conditions in Lagrangian particle methods and the physical foundations of continuum mechanics, *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.*, 31(2019)475-489.
- [10] M. I. Freidlin and A. D. Wentzell: *Random Perturbations of Dynamical Systems*, Springer, New York, Third Edition, 2012.
- [11] Y. Guan and J. Wu: Exponential ergodicity for non-Lipschitz multivalued stochastic differential equations with Lévy jumps, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis, Quantum Probability and Related Topics*, 20(2017)1750002(21pages).
- [12] E. M. Hanks, D. S. Johnson and M. B. Hooten: Reflected Stochastic Differential Equation Models for Constrained Animal Movement, *Journal of Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics*, 22(2017)353-372.
- [13] S. He, J. Wang and J. Yan: *Semimartingale Theory and Stochastic Calculus*, Science Press and CRC Press Inc., 1992.
- [14] W. Huang, M. Ji, Z. Liu and Y. Yi: Concentration and limit behaviors of stationary measures, *Physica D*, 369(2018)1-17.
- [15] A. Kumar and M. T. Mohan: Uniform large deviation principle for the solutions of two-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations in vorticity form, *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 90(2024)9.
- [16] S. C. Leite and R. J. Williams: A constrained Langevin approximation for chemical reaction networks, *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 2019, 29: 1541-1608.
- [17] X. Ma and F. Xi: Large deviations for invariant measures of stochastic differential equations with jumps, *Stochastics*, 91(2019)528-552.
- [18] V. Maroulas: Uniform large deviations for infinite dimensional stochastic systems with jumps, *Mathematika*, 57(2011)175-192.
- [19] D. Martirosyan: Large deviations for invariant measures of the white-forced 2D Navier-Stokes equation, *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 18(2018)1245-1265.
- [20] D. Martirosyan: Large deviations for stationary measures of stochastic nonlinear wave equations with smooth white noise, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 70(2017)1754-1797.
- [21] J. L. Menaldi and M. Robin: Reflected diffusion processes with jumps, *Ann. Probab.*, 13(1985)319-341.
- [22] H. Qiao: Asymptotic behaviors of multiscale multivalued stochastic systems with small noises, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2306.06922>.
- [23] H. Qiao: Exponential ergodicity for SDEs with jumps and non-Lipschitz coefficients, *J.Theor. Probab.*, 27(2014)137-152.

- [24] H. Qiao and J. Gong: Backward multivalued McKean-Vlasov SDEs and associated variational inequalities, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-S*, 16(2023)819-845.
- [25] J. Ren, S. Xu and X. Zhang: Large deviations for multivalued stochastic differential equations, *J. Theor. Probab* 23 (2010), 1142-1156.
- [26] J. Ren, J. Wu and H. Zhang: General large deviations and functional iterated logarithm law for multivalued stochastic differential equations, *J. Theor. Probab.* 28 (2015), 550-586.
- [27] M. Salins: Equivalences and counterexamples between several definitions of the uniform large deviations principle, *Probab. Surv.*, 16(2019)99-142.
- [28] M. Salins: Systems of small-noise stochastic reaction-diffusion equations satisfy a large deviations principle that is uniform over all initial data, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 142(2021)159-194.
- [29] M. Salins, A. Budhiraja and P. Dupuis: Uniform large deviation principles for Banach space valued stochastic evolution equations, *Transactions of the AMS*, 372(2019)8363-8421.
- [30] M. Salins and L. Setayeshgar: Uniform large deviations for a class of Burgers-type stochastic partial differential equations in any space dimension, *Potential Analysis*, 58(2023)181-201.
- [31] R. Sowers: Large deviations for the invariant measures of a reaction-diffusion equation with non Gaussian perturbations, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 92(1992)393-421.
- [32] B. Wang: Large Deviations of Invariant Measures of Stochastic Reaction-Diffusion Equations on Unbounded Domains, *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 191(2024)96.
- [33] B. Wang: Large Deviation Principles of Invariant Measures of Stochastic Reaction-Diffusion Lattice Systems, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2405.02720>.
- [34] B. Wang: Uniform large deviation principles of fractional reaction-diffusion equations driven by superlinear multiplicative noise on \mathbb{R}^n , <http://arxiv.org/abs/2406.08722>.
- [35] B. Wang: Uniform large deviations of fractional stochastic equations with polynomial drift on unbounded domains, *Stochastics and Dynamics*, 23(2023)2350049.
- [36] J. Wu: Uniform large deviations for multivalued stochastic differential equations with Poisson jumps, *Kyoto J. Math.*, 51(2011)535-559.
- [37] H. Zhang: Large deviations for invariant measures of multivalued stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications*, 40(2022)798-811.
- [38] T. Zhang: Large deviations for invariant measures of SPDEs with two reflecting walls, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 122(2012)3425-3444.