

DW-DP OPERATORS AND DW-LIMITED OPERATORS ON BANACH LATTICES

JIN XI CHEN AND JINGGE FENG

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of two classes of operators related to disjointly weakly compact sets, which we call *DW-DP* operators and *DW-limited* operators, respectively. They carry disjointly weakly compact subsets of a Banach lattice onto Dunford-Pettis sets and limited sets, respectively. We show that *DW-DP* (resp. *DW-limited*) operators are precisely the operators which are both weak Dunford-Pettis and order Dunford-Pettis (resp. weak* Dunford-Pettis and order limited) operators. Furthermore, the approximation properties of positive *DW-DP* and positive *DW-limited* operators are given.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, X, Y will denote real Banach spaces, and E, F will denote real Banach lattices. B_X is the closed unit ball of X , and E^+ is the positive cone of the Banach lattice E . The solid hull of a subset A of E is denoted by $Sol(A) := \{y \in E : |y| \leq |x| \text{ for some } x \in A\}$.

Recall that a bounded subset A of X is called a *Dunford-Pettis* (resp. *limited*) *set* if every weakly null (resp. weak*-null) sequence (x'_n) of X' converges uniformly to zero on A , i.e., $\sup_{x \in A} |x'_n(x)| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), or equivalently, if every weakly compact (resp. continuous) operator $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ carries A to a relatively compact set (see [2, 3, 6]). X is said to have the *Dunford-Pettis property* (resp. *DP* property*) if every relatively weakly compact subset of X is a Dunford-Pettis (resp. limited) set, or equivalently, if each weakly compact (resp. continuous) linear operator from X to c_0 is a Dunford-Pettis operator [5, 7]. Note that a Dunford-Pettis operator maps relatively weakly compact sets onto relatively compact ones. Clearly, a relatively compact subset of a Banach space is a Dunford-Pettis and limited set. Therefore, Aliprantis and Burkinshaw [1], and El Kaddouri et al. [13, 14] introduced the class of operators which map relatively weakly compact sets onto Dunford-Pettis (resp. limited) sets. A bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces is called

- a *weak Dunford-Pettis* (abbr. *wDP*) *operator* if $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X and $y'_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in Y' imply $y'_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently, if T carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X onto Dunford-Pettis subsets of Y (see [1, 2]).
- a *weak* Dunford-Pettis* (abbr. *w*DP*) *operator* whenever $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X and $y'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ in Y' imply $y'_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently, whenever T carries relatively weakly compact subsets of X onto limited subsets of Y [13, 14].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B42; Secondary 46B50, 47B65.

Key words and phrases. disjointly weakly compact set, *DW-DP* operator, *DW-limited* operator, weak Dunford-Pettis operator, weak* Dunford-Pettis operator, Banach lattice.

In the Banach lattice context, Aqzzouz and Bouras [4], El Kaddouri et al. [12] and H'michane et al. [14] considered the operators which take order bounded subsets of a Banach lattice to Dunford-Pettis (resp. limited) sets, which are called *order Dunford-Pettis* (resp. *order limited*) *operators*. By the well-known Riesz-Kantorovic formula, we can easily see that a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ is order Dunford-Pettis (resp. order limited) if and only if $|T'x'_n| \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ in E' whenever $x'_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ (resp. $x'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$) in X' .

Note that every relatively weakly compact set and every order bounded set in a Banach lattice belongs to a more general class of sets, the so-called disjointly weakly compact sets. Following W. Wnuk [21], we call a bounded subset A of a Banach lattice E a *disjointly weakly compact set* if every disjoint sequence from $Sol(A)$ converges weakly to zero. Many other classes of sets in a Banach lattice, e.g., weakly precompact sets, Dunford-Pettis sets and limited sets are disjointly weakly compact sets (see [22, Remark 2.4]). It is well known that, for a Banach lattice E , E' has order continuous norm if and only if B_E is disjointly weakly compact. Xiang, Chen and Li [22] extensively investigated disjointly weak compactness properties in Banach lattices. Recently, Chen and Li [11] proved that a bounded subset K of a Banach lattice E is disjointly weakly compact if and only if $T(K)$ is a relatively weakly compact set for every Banach space X and every Dunford-Pettis operator $T : E \rightarrow X$, if and only if K is a V^* -set of A. Pelczyński. Here, a bounded subset K of a Banach space X is called a V^* -set if $\sup_{x \in K} |x'_n(x)| \rightarrow 0$ for each weakly unconditionally Cauchy series $\sum_n x'_n$ in X' (see [19]). Therefore, it follows that a bounded linear operator between two Banach lattices always preserves the disjointly weak compactness of a set [11, Corollary 0.2].

In [10], the authors of the present paper defined a class of operators called *DW-compact operators*, which map disjointly weakly compact subsets of a Banach lattice to relatively compact sets. Motivated by the notion of *DW-compact operators* we introduce two new classes of operators: *DW-DP operators* and *DW-limited operators*, which carry disjointly weakly compact sets to Dunford-Pettis sets and limited sets, respectively. Clearly, every *DW-compact operator* is *DW-DP* and *DW-limited*, and every *DW-DP operator* (resp. *DW-limited operator*) is weak Dunford-Pettis and order Dunford-Pettis (resp. weak* Dunford-Pettis and order limited). We show that *DW-DP* (resp. *DW-limited*) operators are precisely those operators which are wDP and order Dunford-Pettis (resp. w*DP and order limited) operators (Theorem 2.12 & 2.13). Furthermore, the approximation properties of positive *DW-DP* and positive *DW-limited operators* are also given (Theorem 3.1 & 3.3).

2. DW-DP OPERATORS AND DW-LIMITED OPERATORS

Definition 2.1. A bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice E to a Banach space X is called a *DW-DP* (resp. *DW-limited*) operator if $T(A)$ is a Dunford-Pettis (resp. limited) set for each disjointly weakly compact subset A of E .

Recall that a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow E$ is a *disjointly weakly compact operator* if $T(B_X)$ is a disjointly weakly compact subset of E [22, Definition 3.1]. *DW-DP operators* can be characterized by Dunford-Pettis operators and disjointly

weakly compact operators. By saying that $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset E$ is a disjointly weakly compact sequence, we mean that the set $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is disjointly weakly compact.

Theorem 2.2. *For a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice to a Banach space the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $T : E \rightarrow X$ is a DW-DP operator.
- (2) For each disjointly weakly compact sequence (x_n) of E and each weakly null sequence (f_n) of X' , $f_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) For an arbitrary Banach space Y and each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : Y \rightarrow E$, the adjoint of TS is a Dunford-Pettis operator.
- (4) For each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : l^1 \rightarrow E$, the adjoint of TS is a Dunford-Pettis operator.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that (x_n) is an arbitrary disjointly weakly compact sequence of E . Then $\{T(x_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Dunford-Pettis set. Therefore, for each weakly null sequence (f_n) in X' , it follows that $|f_n(Tx_n)| \leq \sup_k |f_n(Tx_k)| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

(2) \Rightarrow (3) It suffices to prove that $\|(TS)'(f_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ whenever $f_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in X' . Assume by way of contradiction that $\|(TS)'(f_n)\| > \varepsilon_0$ for some weakly null sequence $(f_n) \subset X'$ and some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists some y_n of B_Y such that

$$|(TS)'(f_n)(y_n)| = |f_n(TS(y_n))| \geq \varepsilon_0.$$

Note that $(S(y_n))$ is a disjointly weakly compact sequence since S is disjointly weakly compact. Therefore, $|\langle f_n, T(Sy_n) \rangle| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), which leads to a contradiction.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious.

(4) \Rightarrow (1) Let A be a disjointly weakly compact subset of E and let (f_n) be an arbitrary weakly null sequence of X' . For an arbitrary sequence (x_n) from A , let us define $S : l^1 \rightarrow E$ by $S((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)) = \sum_1^\infty \alpha_n x_n$. Then S is a disjointly weakly compact operator. See the proof of [10, Theorem 2.4]. Therefore, $(TS)'$ is a Dunford-Pettis operator, and hence we have $\|(TS)'(f_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$|f_n(Tx_n)| = |(TS)'(f_n)(e_n)| \rightarrow 0$$

This implies that $T(A)$ is a Dunford-Pettis set and hence T is DW-DP. \square

In [10] the authors introduced the (d)-DP and (d)-DP* properties. A Banach lattice E is said to have the (d)-DP (resp. (d)-DP*) property if every disjointly weakly compact subset of E is a Dunford-Pettis (resp. limited) set, or equivalently, if each weakly compact (resp. continuous) operator from E to c_0 is DW-compact. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 the following is clear.

Corollary 2.3. *For a Banach lattice E the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) E has the (d)-DP property, i.e., the identity operator $I : E \rightarrow E$ is a DW-DP operator.
- (2) For each disjointly weakly compact sequence (x_n) of E , each weakly null sequence (f_n) of E' , $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) For an arbitrary Banach space Y and each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : Y \rightarrow E$, S' is a Dunford-Pettis operator.
- (4) For each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : l^1 \rightarrow E$, S' is a Dunford-Pettis operator.

It should be noted that a bounded set A of a Banach space X is a Dunford-Pettis set if and only if every weakly compact operator from X into an arbitrary Banach space carries A onto a relatively compact set (see, e.g., [2, p.350]). Next, we can see that DW -DP operators can also be characterized in terms of DW -compact operators.

Proposition 2.4. *For a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice to a Banach space the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a DW -DP operator.
- (2) For each weakly compact operator S from X to an arbitrary Banach space Y , ST is a DW -compact operator.
- (3) For each weakly compact operator S from X to c_0 , ST is a DW -compact operator.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) are obvious.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) Let (x_n) be an arbitrary disjointly weakly compact sequence of E and let (f_n) be a weakly null sequence of X' . Define $S : X \rightarrow c_0$ by $S(x) = (f_n(x))_n$. Since S is a weakly compact operator (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 5.26]), by our hypothesis, $ST : E \rightarrow c_0$ is DW -compact. Therefore $\{ST(x_n) = (f_k(Tx_n))_k\}$ is relatively compact in c_0 , that is to say, $\sup_n |f_k(Tx_n)| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have $f_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$, i.e., T is a DW -DP operator. \square

Recall that a bounded linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ between Banach spaces X, Y is called a *limited operator* if TB_X is a limited subset of Y [6]. Clearly, $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is limited if and only if $\|T'y'_n\| \rightarrow 0$ whenever $y'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ in Y' . A Banach space in which every limited set is relatively compact is called a *Gelfand-Phillips space*. All separable Banach spaces and all WCG-spaces are Gelfand-Phillips spaces. A σ -Dedekind complete Banach lattice E is a Gelfand-Phillips space if and only if E has order continuous norm (see, e.g., [21, Theorem 4.5]). For the case of DW -limited operators, we can obtain the analogues of the preceding results and omit the proofs.

Theorem 2.5. *For a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice to a Banach space the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) T is a DW -limited operator.
- (2) For each disjointly weakly compact sequence (x_n) of E and each weak*-null sequence (f_n) of X' , we have $f_n(T(x_n)) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) For an arbitrary Banach space Y and each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : Y \rightarrow E$, TS is a limited operator.
- (4) For each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : l^1 \rightarrow E$, TS is a limited operator.

Corollary 2.6. *For a Banach lattice E the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) E has the (d) -DP* property, i.e., the identity operator $I : E \rightarrow E$ is a DW -limited operator.
- (2) For each disjointly weakly compact sequence (x_n) of E , each weak*-null sequence (f_n) of E' , $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$.
- (3) For an arbitrary Banach space Y and each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : Y \rightarrow E$, S is a limited operator.

- (4) For each disjointly weakly compact operator $S : l^1 \rightarrow E$, S is a limited operator.

Proposition 2.7. For a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice to a Banach space the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) T is a DW-limited operator.
- (2) For each bounded operator S from X to an arbitrary Gelfand-Phillips space Y , ST is a DW-compact operator.
- (3) For each bounded operator S from X to c_0 , ST is a DW-compact operator.

Remark 2.8. (1) Clearly, if E has the (d)-DP (resp. (d)-DP*) property, then every bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from E to an arbitrary Banach space X is a DW-DP (resp. DW-limited) operator. For two Banach lattices E and F , if either E or F has the (d)-DP property (resp. (d)-DP* property), then every bounded linear operator from E to F is DW-DP (resp. DW-limited) since the disjointly weak compactness can be preserved by bounded linear operators between Banach lattices [11, Corollary 0.2].

(2) It is obvious that every DW-limited operator is a DW-DP operator, but the converse is not necessarily true. The identity operator $I : c \rightarrow c$ is an example of a DW-DP operator which is not DW-limited.

(3) Clearly, every DW-DP (resp. DW-limited) operator from a Banach lattice to a Banach space is a wDP (w*DP) operator. The identity operator $I : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$ is a w*DP operator, but I is not a DW-DP operator since l^∞ has the DP* property, but l^∞ does not have the (d)-DP property [10, Remark 3.5]. It should be noted that a Banach lattice E is a KB-space if and only if each disjointly weakly compact set of E is relatively weakly compact [22, Proposition 2.6]. Therefore, every wDP (resp. w*DP) operator from a KB-space to an arbitrary Banach space is DW-DP (resp. DW-limited).

Note that every DW-limited operator into c_0 is DW-compact since c_0 is a Gelfand-Phillips space. Therefore, the following characterization of the (d)-DP* property tells us when every DW-DP operator is DW-limited.

Proposition 2.9. For a Banach lattice E the following assertions are equivalent.

- (1) E has the (d)-DP* property.
- (2) Each bounded linear operator from E into an arbitrary Banach space X is a DW-limited operator.
- (3) Each DW-DP operator from E into an arbitrary Banach space X is a DW-limited operator.
- (4) Each DW-DP operator from E into c_0 is a DW-limited (and hence DW-compact) operator.

Proof. It suffices to prove that (4) \Rightarrow (1). We claim that every bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow c_0$ is DW-DP. To this end, let A be a disjointly weakly compact subset of E . Then $T(A)$ is likewise disjointly weakly compact (see [11, Corollary 0.2]). Therefore, $T(A)$ is a Dunford-Pettis set since c_0 has the (d)-DP property [10, Remark 3.5 (1)]. This implies that T is a DW-DP operator. Hence, our desired result follows from Proposition 3.2 of [10]. \square

It is easy to see that Dunford-Pettis sets coincide with limited sets in a Grothendieck space. The class of weak Grothendieck operators was introduced by Oughajji et

al. in [18]. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *weak Grothendieck operator* if $f_n(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ for every weakly null and Dunford-Pettis sequence (x_n) of X and every weak*-null sequence (f_n) of Y' , or equivalently, if T carries Dunford-Pettis sets of X onto limited sets of Y . Obviously, every DW -limited operator is a weak Grothendieck operator since every Dunford-Pettis subset of a Banach lattice is disjointly weakly compact [22, Remark 2.4 (1)]. However, the converse does not hold. The identity operator $I : l^\infty \rightarrow l^\infty$ is an example of a weak Grothendieck operator which is not a DW -limited operator since l^∞ is a Grothendieck space without the (d)-DP* property.

Proposition 2.10. *For a Banach lattice E the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *Each weak Grothendieck operator from E into an arbitrary Banach space X is a DW -limited operator.*
- (2) *Each weak Grothendieck operator from E into c_0 is a DW -limited operator.*
- (3) *E has the (d)-DP property.*

Proof. It suffices to prove (2) \Rightarrow (3). Assume by way of contradiction that E does not have the (d)-DP property. Then there exists a disjointly weakly compact subset A of E which is not a Dunford-Pettis set. This implies that there exists a weakly null sequence (f_n) of E' such that $\sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x)| \geq \epsilon_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Let us define $T : E \rightarrow c_0$ by $T(x) = (f_n(x))$ for all $x \in E$. Then T is a weakly compact operator and hence T is a weak Grothendieck operator (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 5.26, Theorem 5.98]). However, $T(A)$ is not relatively compact and hence $T(A)$ is not limited in c_0 since c_0 is a Gelfand-Phillips space. This implies that T is not DW -limited, which leads to a contradiction. \square

It should be noted that a wDP operator is not necessarily a w*DP operator. For instance, the identity operator $I : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ is DW -DP and hence it is wDP. However, it is not a w*DP operator since c_0 does not have the DP* property.

Proposition 2.11. *For a Banach lattice X the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1) *X has the DP* property.*
- (2) *Each bounded linear operator from X into an arbitrary Banach space is a w*DP operator.*
- (3) *Each wDP operator from X into an arbitrary Banach space is a w*DP operator.*
- (4) *Each wDP operator from X into c_0 is a w*DP operator.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that (4) \Rightarrow (1). Assume by way of contradiction that there exists a relatively weakly compact subset A of X which is not limited. Then we can find a weak*-null sequence (f_n) of X' such that $\sup_{x \in A} |f_n(x)| > \epsilon_0$ for all n and some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Define $T : X \rightarrow c_0$ by $T(x) = (f_n(x))$. For each relatively weakly compact subset B of X , $T(B)$ is likewise relatively weakly compact, and hence $T(B)$ is a Dunford-Pettis set since c_0 has the DP property. This implies that T is a wDP operator. However, $T(A)$ is not limited in c_0 . Therefore, T is not a w*DP operator. \square

By definition, every DW -DP (resp. DW -limited) operator is wDP and order Dunford-Pettis (resp. w*DP and order limited). As we have pointed out in Remark 2.8 (3), a w*DP operator is not necessarily a DW -DP operator. Furthermore, an

order limited operator need not be DW -DP operator. For instance, since l^p ($1 < p < \infty$) is a discrete Banach lattice with order continuous norm and every order interval in l^p is compact, the identity operator $I : l^p \rightarrow l^p$ is order limited. However, $I : l^p \rightarrow l^p$ is not DW -DP (since B_{l^p} is disjointly weakly compact, but B_{l^p} is not a Dunford-Pettis set.) The following result shows that DW -DP operators are precisely those operators which are both wDP and order Dunford-Pettis.

Theorem 2.12. *Let $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator from a Banach lattice E into a Banach space X . Then T is a DW -DP operator if and only if T is a wDP and order Dunford-Pettis operator.*

Proof. Assume that $T : E \rightarrow X$ is a wDP and order Dunford-Pettis operator. Let A be a disjointly weakly compact subset of E . By definition, we can assume without loss of generality that A is solid. For every weakly null sequence (f_n) of X' , we have to show that (f_n) converges uniformly to zero on $T(A)$. To this end, let us define $\rho(x) = \sup_k |f_k(x)|$ for every $x \in X$. Then ρ is a norm continuous seminorm on X .

For each disjoint sequence (x_n) of A , we have $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in E since A is a disjointly weakly compact. We claim that $\rho(Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Otherwise, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\rho(Tx_n) > \epsilon_0$ for each n . Then, for each n , we can find some $k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f_{k_n}(Tx_n)| > \epsilon_0$. We can easily see that the set $\{k_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not finite since $Tx_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$. Hence, without loss of generality we can assume that

$$k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n < \dots$$

Then $f_{k_n} \xrightarrow{w} 0$, and $\epsilon_0 < |f_{k_n}(Tx_n)| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) since T is a wDP operator. This is impossible. So we have $\lim_n \rho(Tx_n) = 0$ for each disjoint sequence (x_n) of A . Therefore, from [2, Theorem 4.36] it follows that, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists some $u \in E^+$ lying in the ideal generated by A such that

$$\rho(T[(|x| - u)^+]) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

holds for all $x \in A$. Therefore, from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} x = x^+ - x^- &= [x^+ \wedge u + (x^+ - u)^+] - [x^- \wedge u + (x^- - u)^+] \\ &= [x^+ \wedge u - x^- \wedge u] + [(x^+ - u)^+ - (x^- - u)^+] \end{aligned}$$

and $x^+ \wedge u - x^- \wedge u \in [-u, u]$, we can see that $T(A) \subset T[-u, u] + \epsilon B_\rho$, where $B_\rho = \{z \in X : \rho(z) \leq 1\}$. Note that $T[-u, u]$ is a Dunford-Pettis set since T is an order Dunford-Pettis operator. Hence, (f_n) converges uniformly to zero on $T[-u, u]$. For each $x \in A$, there exists some $y \in [-u, u]$, some $z \in B_\rho$ such that $Tx = Ty + \epsilon z$. Therefore, from

$$|f_n(Tx)| \leq |f_n(Ty)| + \epsilon \leq \sup_{y \in [-u, u]} |f_n(Ty)| + \epsilon$$

it follows that $\limsup_n \{|f_n(Tx)| : x \in A\} \leq \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (f_n) converges uniformly to zero on $T(A)$. This implies that $T(A)$ is a Dunford-Pettis set, and hence T is a DW -DP operator. \square

Similarly, we have the following result and the proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 2.12 if “ $f_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ ” is replaced with “ $f_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ ”.

Theorem 2.13. *Let $T : E \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator from a Banach lattice E into a Banach space X . Then T is a DW -limited operator if and only if T is a w^* DP and order limited operator.*

Next, we come to the domination problem of DW -DP operators and DW -limited operators. Let $S, T : E \rightarrow F$ be two positive operators between Banach lattices E and F such that $0 \leq S \leq T$. Kalton and Saab [16] proved that S is also a w DP operator if T is a w DP operator. Chen et al. [8] proved that if F is σ -Dedekind complete and T is a w^* DP operator, then S is likewise w^* DP operator. For the domination properties of positive order Dunford-Pettis operators and order limited operators on Banach lattices, H'michane and El Fahri [15] have proved that every positive operator dominated by a positive order Dunford-Pettis operator is also order Dunford-Pettis, and if the range space is σ -Dedekind complete, then every positive operator dominated by a positive order limited operator is also order limited. Then, As immediate consequences of Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.13, we have the following results about the domination properties of DW -DP operators and DW -limited operators.

Corollary 2.14. *Let S and T be two positive operators between two Banach lattices E and F such that $0 \leq S \leq T$. If T is a DW -DP operator, then S is likewise a DW -DP operator.*

Corollary 2.15. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices with F σ -Dedekind complete and let $S, T : E \rightarrow F$ be two positive operators such that $0 \leq S \leq T$. If T is a DW -limited operator, then S is also a DW -limited operator.*

The following example shows that the condition “ F is σ -Dedekind complete” is essential in Corollary 2.15.

Example 2.16. Let us consider the case when $E = L^1[0, 1]$ and $F = c$. Since $L^1[0, 1]$ is a KB -space, disjointly weakly compact sets and relatively weakly compact sets coincide in $L^1[0, 1]$ [22, Proposition 2.6]. Therefore, the class of DW -limited operators and the class of Dunford-Pettis operators from $L^1[0, 1]$ into c are the same (since c is a Gelfand-Phillips space). Now, since $L^1[0, 1]$ does not have weakly sequentially continuous lattice operations and c does not have order continuous norm, from the converse for the Kalton-Saab theorem proved by W. Wickstead [20] it follows that there exists a positive operator $S : L^1[0, 1] \rightarrow c$ such that S is dominated by a Dunford-Pettis operator while S is not Dunford-Pettis. This implies that the assertion in Corollary 2.15 does not necessarily hold when the target space is not σ -Dedekind complete.

3. THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF DW -DP OPERATORS AND DW -LIMITED OPERATORS

Aliprantis and Burkinshaw gave a result on the approximation property of positive w DP operators (see, e.g., [2, Theorem 5.100]). For the approximation property of positive w^* DP operators see Theorem 2.3 & 2.4 of Chen et al. [8]. In this section, we consider the approximation properties of positive DW -DP operators and positive DW -limited operators.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a positive DW-DP operator between Banach lattices. If $W \subset E$ and $V \subset F'$ are two disjointly weakly compact sets, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *For every disjoint sequence (x_n) in the solid hull of W , the sequence (Tx_n) converges uniformly to zero on the solid hull of V .*
- (2) *For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in E^+$ satisfying*

$$\langle |f|, T[(|x| - u)^+] \rangle < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in W$ and all $f \in V$.

Proof. By definition, we can assume without loss of generality that W and V are solid.

(1) Let (x_n) be a disjoint sequence in $W \cap E^+$. Then $0 \leq x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in E since W is disjointly weakly compact, and hence the positivity of T implies that $0 \leq Tx_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in F . Assume by way of contradiction that $\sup_{f \in V} |f(Tx_n)| \not\rightarrow 0$. By passing

to a subsequence if necessary, there would exist a sequence $(f_n) \subset V$ satisfying $|f_n|(Tx_n) \geq |f_n(Tx_n)| > \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $0 \leq Tx_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$, by induction there exists a strictly increasing subsequence $(n_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of \mathbb{N} such that $\langle 4^m \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}|, Tx_{n_{m+1}} \rangle < 2^{-m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. See the proof of [9, Theorem 2.5] for details. Let

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} |f_{n_k}|, \quad g_m = \left(|f_{n_{m+1}}| - 4^m \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}| - 2^{-m} f \right)^+.$$

Then, by Lemma 4.35 of [2] (g_m) is a disjoint sequence in V since V is solid. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_m(Tx_{n_{m+1}}) &= \left\langle \left(|f_{n_{m+1}}| - 4^m \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}| - 2^{-m} f \right)^+, Tx_{n_{m+1}} \right\rangle \\ &\geq \left\langle \left(|f_{n_{m+1}}| - 4^m \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}| - 2^{-m} f \right), Tx_{n_{m+1}} \right\rangle \\ &= |f_{n_{m+1}}|(Tx_{n_{m+1}}) - \left\langle 4^m \sum_{k=1}^m |f_{n_k}|, Tx_{n_{m+1}} \right\rangle - 2^{-m} f(Tx_{n_{m+1}}) \\ &> \epsilon - 2^{-m} - 2^{-m} f(Tx_{n_{m+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g_m(Tx_{n_{m+1}}) > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ holds when m is sufficiently large. On the other hand, since $(g_m) \subset V$ is a disjoint sequence and V is disjointly weakly compact, it follows that $g_m \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in F' . Then

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} < g_m(Tx_{n_{m+1}}) \leq \sup_{x \in W} |g_m(Tx)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

since $T(W)$ is a Dunford-Pettis set. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore, (Tx_n) converges uniformly to zero on V .

Now, for the general case, let (x_n) be a disjoint sequence in W . Then (x_n^+) and (x_n^-) are disjoint sequences in W . Therefore, (Tx_n^+) and (Tx_n^-) converge uniformly to zero on V . It follows that Tx_n converges uniformly to zero on V , as desired.

(2) Let us define a seminorm ρ_V on F as $\rho_V(y) = \sup_{f \in V} |f(y)|$ for $y \in F$. Since V is solid, by the Riesz-Kantorovich Formula we have $\rho_V(y) = \sup_{f \in V} |f|(|y|)$. It is obvious that ρ_V is a norm continuous seminorm on F . From Part (1) and [2, Theorem 4.36] it follows that for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in E^+$ lying in the ideal generated by W such that $\rho_V(T[(|x| - u)^+]) < \epsilon$ for all $x \in W$, or equivalently, $T(W) \subset [-Tu, Tu] + \epsilon B_{\rho_V}$. Therefore,

$$\langle |f|, T[(|x| - u)^+] \rangle < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in W$ and all $f \in V$. \square

Let us recall that a bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow X$ from a Banach lattice into a Banach space is called an *almost Dunford-Pettis operator* if $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$ for each disjoint sequence (x_n) in E satisfying $x_n \xrightarrow{w} 0$. Chen and Li [19] proved that an almost Dunford-Pettis operator carries disjointly weakly compact sets onto relatively weakly compact sets. The identity operator $I : c_0 \rightarrow c_0$ is an example of a *DW-DP operator* which is not almost Dunford-Pettis.

Corollary 3.2. *Let E and F be two Banach lattices such that F'' has order continuous norm. Then every positive DW-DP operator from E into F is an almost Dunford-Pettis operator.*

Proof. Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a positive DW-DP operator and let (x_n) be a disjoint weakly null sequence of E . Then, the set $W = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively weakly compact and hence disjointly weakly compact. Since F'' has order continuous norm, $V = B_{F'}$ is a disjointly weakly compact set. From Theorem 3.1 it follows that (Tx_n) converges uniformly to zero on V , that is, $\|Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$. \square

For our convenience, we call a bounded subset A of E' *disjointly weak*-compact* if $x'_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$ for every disjoint sequence $(x'_n) \subset \text{Sol}(A)$. We know that a relatively weakly compact subset of a Banach lattice E is disjointly weakly compact [2, Theorem 4.34]. However, a bounded subset of E' is not necessarily disjointly weak*-compact although it is certainly relatively weak*-compact by Alaoglu's theorem. It is well known that a Banach lattice E has order continuous norm if and only if $B_{E'}$ is disjointly weak*-compact (see, e.g., [17, Corollary 2.4.3]).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $T : E \rightarrow F$ be a positive DW-limited operator between Banach lattices. If $W \subset E$ is a disjointly weakly compact set and $V \subset F'$ is a disjointly weak*-compact set, then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *For every disjoint sequence (x_n) in the solid hull of W , the sequence (Tx_n) converges uniformly to zero on the solid hull of V .*
- (2) *For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists some $u \in E^+$ satisfying*

$$\langle |f|, T[(|x| - u)^+] \rangle < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in W$ and all $f \in V$.

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 3.1. We follow the notation used in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Here, the disjointly weak*-compactness of V guarantees that the disjoint sequence $(g_m) \subset V$ constructed in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is weak*-null. Since $T(W)$ is a limited set in F , we have $\sup_{x \in W} |g_m(Tx)| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Dunford-Pettis operators on Banach lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 227–238.
- [2] C.D. Aliprantis and O. Burkinshaw, *Positive Operators* (Reprint of the 1985 original), Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [3] K.T. Andrews, *Dunford-Pettis sets in the space of Bochner integrable functions*, Math. Ann. **241** (1979), 35–41.
- [4] B. Aqzzouz and K. Bouras, Dunford-Pettis sets in Banach lattices, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **81** (2012), 185–196.
- [5] J. Borwein, M. Fabian and J. Vanderwerff, *Characterizations of Banach spaces via convex and other locally Lipschitz functions*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **22** (1997), 53–69.
- [6] J. Bourgain and J. Diestel, *Limited Operators and Strict Cosingularity*, Math. Nachr. **119** (1984), 55–58.
- [7] H. Carrión, P. Galindo and M.L. Lourenço, *A stronger Dunford-Pettis property*, Studia Math. **184** (2008), 205–216.
- [8] J.X. Chen, Z.L. Chen and G.X. Ji, *Domination by positive weak* Dunford-Pettis operators on Banach lattices*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **90** (2013), 311–318.
- [9] J.X. Chen, Z.L. Chen and G.X. Ji, *Almost limited sets in Banach lattices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **412** (2014), 547–553.
- [10] J.X. Chen and J. Feng, *DW-compact operators on Banach lattices*, 2024, submitted. arXiv: 2406.02714
- [11] J.X. Chen and X. Li, *Reciprocal Dunford-Pettis sets and V^* -sets in Banach lattices*, (2024). arXiv: 2406.09853
- [12] A. El Kaddouri and M. Moussa, *About the class of ordered limited operators*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), 37–43.
- [13] A. El Kaddouri, J. H'michane, K. Bouras and M. Moussa, *On the class of weak* Dunford-Pettis operators*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **62** (2013), 261–265.
- [14] J. H'michane, A. El Kaddouri, K. Bouras and M. Moussa, *On the class of limited operators*, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae **85** (2016), 191–196.
- [15] J. H'michane, K. El Fahri, *On the domination of limited and order Dunford-Pettis operators*, Ann. Math. Québec **39** (2015), 169–176.
- [16] N.J. Kalton and P. Saab, *Ideal properties of regular operators between Banach lattices*, Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), 382–400.
- [17] P. Meyer-Nieberg, *Banach Lattices*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [18] F.Z. Oughajji, I. Essadki, K. El Fahri, L. Zraoula and B. El Wahbi, *Weak and almost Grothendieck operators in Banach lattices*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) **73** (2024), 2435–2445.
- [19] A. Pelczyński, *Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **10** (1962), 641–648.
- [20] A.W. Wickstead, *Converses for the Dodds-Fremlin and Kalton-Saab theorems*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **120** (1996), 175–179.
- [21] W. Wnuk, *Banach Lattices with Order Continuous Norms*, Polish Scientific Publishers PWN, Warsaw, 1999.
- [22] B. Xiang, J.X. Chen and L. Li, *Disjointly weak compactness in Banach lattices*, Positivity **27** (2023), Paper No. 58. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11117-023-01012-5>

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610031, CHINA
 Email address: jinxichen@swjtu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTHWEST JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU 610031, CHINA
 Email address: fjj0825@my.swjtu.edu.cn