

Two-loop master integrals for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process with account of electron mass.

Roman N. Lee

Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090, Novosibirsk, Russia

E-mail: r.n.lee@inp.nsk.su

ABSTRACT: We calculate a subset of two-loop master integrals relevant for the differential cross section of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process. We consider only those families for which the account of the electron mass m is necessary. Our results have the form of the Frobenius series in m with coefficients expressed via Goncharov's polylogarithms.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Details of the calculation	2
3	Results	7
4	Conclusion	7

1 Introduction

The process of muon pair production in electron-positron annihilation is probably the most fundamental QED process relevant for the electron-positron colliders. Consequently, it has a long history of investigation, starting from the calculation of the total Born cross section in Ref. [1]. The next-to-leading order (NLO) corrections to the differential cross section were considered in Refs. [2–4]. Nowadays, the experimental precision has reached the point where the NNLO theoretical results for the differential cross section is needed. For this goal the calculation of the corresponding two-loop four-point master integrals is required. At present, the master integrals with zero electron mass have been already calculated in Ref.s [5–7]. However, when inserted in the amplitude [8], they produce result which contains, in addition to the soft divergences, the collinear divergencies. While the former can be tamed by accounting for the soft photon contribution, the collinear divergences can not be treated in a similar way.¹ When the electron mass is taken into account, these collinear divergences turn into logarithms of the mass divided by some energy scale. Therefore, even though the electron mass is very small compared to any other scale in the whole kinematic region, one should take it into account when constructing physical observables.

However, not all diagrams contribute to the collinear divergences. In Fig. 1 the sets of diagrams which contribute to the two-loop amplitude of $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process are shown.

It can be shown that the collinear divergences appear only in the set (a) with $l_e > 0$ and in the set (b), i.e., in the sets of diagrams where at least one photon line connects points on the electron line. Since the one- and two-loop corrections to the electron and muon form factors and to the photon self-energy, which contribute to set (a), are already known, as well as the diagrams of the sets (c) and (d) at $m = 0$, we are left with the problem of calculating diagrams (b) at small but nonzero electron mass m . This is precisely the goal of the present paper.

¹Note that there is an essential difference between the QCD processes and QED ones. While in the former there are always only colorless (zero color charge) in- and out-states, in the latter the experiments include charged particles. Therefore, in QCD, the collinear divergences disappear when the hard cross sections are integrated with parton distribution functions. But this is entirely due to the fact that hadrons are colorless.




Figure 1. Gauge-invariant sets of diagrams that contribute to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ at NNLO. First set contains one- and two-loop corrections to the electron and muon form factors and to the photon self-energy, $l_e + l_\mu + l_\gamma = 2$. The diagrams considered in this paper correspond to the framed set (b).

Let us note that in this paper we do not consider the question of whether the master integrals for the diagrams of set (b) can be evaluated exactly in m in terms of polylogarithms or more complicated functions. Even if it were possible, the evaluation of a small-mass asymptotics in simpler form has its own value.

We use the approach based on the Frobenius expansion of the master integrals at small m and the differential equations for the coefficients of this expansion. We use these differential equations to obtain the coefficients in terms of Goncharov's polylogarithms. Our approach is similar to that used in Ref. [9] with two major differences. First, we manage to avoid using the complicated DRA approach by fixing boundary conditions using the asymptotics in several rather than in one kinematic limit. Second, in the present problem we initially have 4 scales m, m_μ, s, t , while in $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$ process we have had only 3 scales m, s, t .

2 Details of the calculation

We consider the process

$$e^-(p_1) + e^+(p_2) \longrightarrow \mu^-(q_1) + \mu^+(q_2) \quad (2.1)$$

and introduce conventional invariants

$$s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 = (q_1 + q_2)^2, \quad t = (p_1 - q_1)^2 = (p_2 - q_2)^2, \quad u = (p_1 - q_2)^2 = (p_2 - q_1)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

The momenta and invariants satisfy usual constraints

$$p_1 + p_2 = q_1 + q_2, \quad s + t + u = 2m^2 + 2m_\mu^2, \quad (2.3)$$

where $m^2 = p_1^2 = p_2^2$ and $m_\mu^2 = q_1^2 = q_2^2$ denote the squares of electron and muon masses, respectively. In what follows we put $m_\mu = 1$ for convenience. We use dimensional regularization, $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$.

The diagrams of the set (b) on Fig. 1 are expressed in terms of the integrals of two big families depicted in Fig. 2. We define one LiteRed basis, incorporating denominators of both diagrams:

$$j(n_1, \dots, n_9) = j(\mathbf{n}) = \int \frac{d^d l_1 d^d l_2}{(i\pi^{d/2})^2} \prod_{k=1}^9 D_k^{-n_k}, \quad (2.4)$$




Figure 2. Two families belonging to the set (b).

where $n_9 \leq 0$, only one of n_3 and n_4 can be positive, and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= -l_1^2, & D_2 &= m^2 - (p_1 - l_1)^2, & D_3 &= m^2 - (l_1 + p_2)^2, & D_4 &= m^2 - (l_2 - p_1)^2, \\ D_5 &= m^2 - (p_1 - l_1 - l_2)^2, & D_6 &= -l_2^2, & D_7 &= -(p_1 + p_2 - l_2)^2, & D_8 &= 1 - (l_2 - q_1)^2, \\ & & D_9 &= l_1 \cdot q_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using LiteRed2 [10, 11] we perform IBP reduction *exactly* in m (and other parameters) and reveal 61 master integrals, $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{61})^\top$ depicted in Fig. 2.

The differential equations for them have the form

$$\partial_{m^2} \mathbf{j} = M_{m^2} \mathbf{j}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\partial_s \mathbf{j} = M_s \mathbf{j}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{j} = M_t \mathbf{j}, \quad (2.7)$$

where M_m, M_s, M_t are some rational matrices depending on m, s, t and ϵ . For all transformations of the differential systems in this work we use **Libra** [12, 13] package. Then we find the transformation $\mathbf{j} = T\mathbf{J}$ which reduces the first system, Eq. (2.6), to normalized fuchsian form at $m^2 = 0$. Thus we obtain the systems

$$\partial_{m^2} \mathbf{J} = \widetilde{M}_{m^2} \mathbf{J}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\partial_s \mathbf{J} = \widetilde{M}_s \mathbf{J}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{J} = \widetilde{M}_t \mathbf{J}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\widetilde{M}_x = T^{-1}(M_x T - \partial_x T)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{m^2} = \frac{A_0}{m^2} + O(m^0)$. This allows us to apply the algorithm of Ref. [14] and to find the evolution operator (or fundamental matrix of solutions) $U = U(m^2, \underline{0})$ of Eq. (2.8), satisfying

$$\partial_{m^2} U = \widetilde{M}_{m^2} U, \quad (2.10)$$

in the form

$$U(m^2, \underline{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^6 (m^2)^{\lambda_i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{k_i} C(n + \lambda, k) (m^2)^n \frac{\ln^k(m^2)}{k!}. \quad (2.11)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_6\} &= \left\{0, \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon, -4\epsilon, -3\epsilon, -2\epsilon, -\epsilon\right\}, \\ \{k_1 \dots k_6\} &= \{0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$




Figure 3. Master integrals and their graphs. Note that the numbering does not strictly follow the complexity of the integrals and the integrals $j_{52} - j_{61}$ are the additional master integrals which appear in the second family on Fig. 2.

and $C(n + \lambda, k)$ are some matrices with rational dependence on s, t and ϵ . In the present paper we find $C(n + \lambda, k)$ with $n \leq 6$, thus we find the expansion of \mathbf{J} up to $O(m^{13})$.

The inverse operator can be found in a similar form:

$$U^{-1}(m^2, \mathbf{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^6 (m^2)^{-\lambda_i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{K_i} \tilde{C}(n + \lambda, k) (m^2)^n \frac{\ln^k(m^2)}{k!}. \quad (2.13)$$

Let us remark that the construction of the Frobenius expansions (2.11) and (2.13) was quite laborious and required extensive use of `Fermat` CAS [15]. From the practical point of view it is important that, in order to construct (2.13), instead of inverting Eq. (2.11), we can use the fact that $(U^{-1})^\top$ satisfies²

$$\partial_{m^2} (U^{-1})^\top = -\tilde{M}_{m^2}^\top (U^{-1})^\top \quad (2.14)$$

and apply the same code that we used for the calculation of U from Eq. (2.10).

The specific solution has the form $\mathbf{J} = U\mathbf{J}_0$, where \mathbf{J}_0 are the boundary constants depending on s, t , and ϵ . Using `Libra`'s procedure `GetLcs` [12], we relate \mathbf{J}_0 to specific $m \rightarrow 0$ asymptotic coefficients of the original integrals \mathbf{j} . Thus we obtain

$$\mathbf{J} = UL\mathbf{c}, \quad (2.15)$$

where L is some matrix rational in s, t, ϵ and $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}(\epsilon, s, t)$ is a column of asymptotic coefficients. To evaluate these coefficients, we construct differential equations for them with respect to s and t . We use the same approach as in Ref. [9]. Namely, we substitute (2.15) into (2.9) and obtain

$$\partial_s \mathbf{c} = \overline{M}_s \mathbf{c}, \quad \partial_t \mathbf{c} = \overline{M}_t \mathbf{c}, \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\overline{M}_x = L^{-1} U^{-1} \left[\tilde{M}_x UL - \partial_x (UL) \right], \quad (x = s, t). \quad (2.17)$$

Note that since \mathbf{c} is independent of m^2 , so are the matrices \overline{M}_s and \overline{M}_t . Therefore, our chopped series results for U and U^{-1} were sufficient to find the *exact form* of \overline{M}_s and \overline{M}_t .

Then, using `Libra` we find the transformation

$$\mathbf{c} = \overline{T}\mathbf{K} \quad (2.18)$$

reducing the differential equations (2.16) to ϵ -form. In order to do this, we were led to the necessity to pass from s to β , the velocity of muons in c.m.s.. We also found it convenient to pass from t to the scattering angle θ . For the reference we present the corresponding relations:

$$s = \frac{4}{1 - \beta^2}, \quad t = -\frac{1 + 2\beta c + \beta^2}{1 - \beta^2}, \quad (2.19)$$

where $c = \cos \theta$. The resulting differential system for the canonical basis \mathbf{K} can be represented in $d \log$ -form:

$$d\mathbf{K} = \epsilon dS\mathbf{K}, \quad (2.20)$$

²This equation may be easily established by differentiating $1 = UU^{-1}$.

where the matrix dS has the form

$$dS = \sum_{i=1}^8 S_i d \ln p_i \quad (2.21)$$

with the alphabet

$$\{p_1, \dots, p_8\} = \{1 - c, 1 + c, 1 - \beta, \beta, 1 + \beta, 1 - \beta c, 1 + \beta c, 1 + 2\beta c + \beta^2\}, \quad (2.22)$$

and S_i being the numerical matrices.

We fix the boundary conditions by evaluating the asymptotics of \mathbf{c} in different limits. We find it possible to express required asymptotic coefficients in terms of hypergeometric ${}_{q+1}F_q$ -functions which can be expanded in ϵ via alternating multiple zeta values. More precisely, in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$ we find all but 4 required coefficients out of 61. The missing information for the 3 out of these 4 constants was obtained by considering the $\beta \rightarrow 1$ asymptotics at $\theta = \pi/2$.

However, one constant $[j_{41}]_{m^{-2-4\epsilon}\beta^0}$, i.e., the coefficient in front of $m^{-2-4\epsilon}\beta^0$ in the double asymptotics $m \rightarrow 0, \beta \rightarrow 0$ of $j_{41} = \langle \text{triangle} \rangle$ required a special treatment. Namely, we had to consider a subset of 11 master integrals $\{j_2, j_3, j_6, j_7, j_{13}, j_{23}, j_{24}, j_{25}, j_{29}, j_{40}, j_{41}\}$ belonging to the hierarchy of integral j_{41} . Those are vertex-type integrals independent of muon mass and t . We have reduced the corresponding subsystem to ϵ -form treating the parameter m *exactly* and obtained boundary conditions from the asymptotics $s \rightarrow 4m^2$. This calculation allowed us to obtain the ϵ -expansion for the constant $[j_{41}]_{m^{-2-4\epsilon}\beta^0}$ and also provided a number of nontrivial cross-checks for the integrals from the above list.

In order to obtain expressions for $\mathbf{K}(\beta, \theta)$, we use the straight line path connecting the point $(0, \theta)$ and (β, θ) and represent the result in the form

$$\mathbf{K} = U_\beta \mathbf{K}_0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$U_\beta(\beta, \underline{0}) = \text{Pexp} \left[\epsilon \int_{\underline{0}}^{\beta} dS \right] = \lim_{\beta_0 \rightarrow 0} \text{Pexp} \left[\epsilon \int_{\beta_0}^{\beta} dS \right] \beta_0^{\epsilon S_4}. \quad (2.24)$$

where $\mathbf{K}_0 = \mathbf{K}_0(\epsilon)$ is a column of boundary constants. Using the constant transformations $T = T(\epsilon)$ satisfying $[T, dS] = 0$, we have secured the uniform transcendentality (UT) form of these constants. As a result, we obtain the UT ϵ -expansion of $\mathbf{K}(\beta, \theta)$ in terms of the generalized polylogarithms $G(\mathbf{a}|\beta)$ with alphabet $\{0, \pm 1, \pm(\cos \theta)^{-1}, -e^{\pm i\theta}\}$.

The UT form of our results for \mathbf{K} allowed us to examine possible linear relations between the elements of canonical basis. We searched for the constraints of the form

$$\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{K} = 0, \quad (2.25)$$

where \mathbf{C} is a vector of rational numbers. We have discovered 15 constraints, which we have checked to be compatible with the differential equations and boundary constants. This has left us with $61 - 15 = 46$ independent entries of \mathbf{K} .

3 Results

According to the considerations of the previous section, we present out results in the form

$$\mathbf{j} = T\mathbf{J}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = UL\bar{T}\mathbf{K} = \sum_{i=1}^6 \sum_{n=0}^{o_m} \sum_{k=0}^{k_i} (m^2)^{\lambda_i+n} \frac{\ln^k(m^2)}{k!} \mathbf{J}_{i,n,k} + O((m^2)^{o_m+1}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \sum_{n=0}^{o_\epsilon} \epsilon^n \sum_{\mathbf{a}, d_{\mathbf{a}} \leq n} r_{n,\mathbf{a}} G(\mathbf{a}|\beta) + O(\epsilon^{o_\epsilon+1}) \quad (3.3)$$

In the last relation $d_{\mathbf{a}} = d_{(a_1, \dots, a_k)} = k$ is the number of entries in \mathbf{a} , and $r_{n,\mathbf{a}}$ are constant coefficients of transcendental weight $n - d_{\mathbf{a}}$ expressed via alternating Euler sums $\zeta_{\mathbf{m}}$. Consequently, we present our results in three files:

1. `jtoJ.m` — first relation (3.1) in the form of *Mathematica* substitution rules.
2. `JtoK<om>.m` — second relation (3.2) in the form of *Mathematica* substitution rules.
3. `KtoG<oε>.m` — third relation (3.3) in the form of substitution rules.
4. `Numerics.nb` — an example of using the obtained results for obtaining the numerical estimates of the integrals.

Here $\langle o_m \rangle$ and $\langle o_\epsilon \rangle$ in the file names denote the orders of expansions in m^2 and in ϵ , respectively. As the size of our complete results is rather large, we attach to the present paper shallow expansions `JtoK2.m` and `KtoG4.m`, while the deeper expansions with $o_m = o_\epsilon = 6$ are available from the author by request. The attached results should be sufficient for our planned physical application. Note that in the files `JtoK<om>.m` and `KtoG<oε>.m` we present the results in terms of the reduced set $\{K_1, \dots, K_{46}\}$ which remains after the account of the constraints from Eq. (2.25).

Cross checks. As the calculation of the integral family considered in this paper was highly nontrivial, we have performed a thorough comparison of the presented results with the numerical results obtained using *Fiesta* [16]. As our results concern the expansion of the master integrals near $m = 0$, we have taken for comparison a small value of m . However, since we have obtained rather deep expansion, up to m^{13} , it was expected that the comparison will show convincing agreement already for not so small values of m , e.g., for $m = 1/2$, which we indeed observe. It worth noting that we were not able to obtain reliable *Fiesta* result for the most complicated integrals $j_{48} - j_{51}$ at $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$. Instead, we used dimensional recurrence relation and performed numerical comparison for those integrals at $d = 6 - 2\epsilon$.

4 Conclusion

In the present paper we have considered the master integrals for the two-loop QED corrections to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process. We have concentrated on the two families which in

the massless limit contribute to the collinear divergence of the process amplitude and thus require the account of the electron mass. We have calculated the master integrals of these two families in the form of the Frobenius expansion with respect to the electron mass with coefficients expressed via Goncharov's polylogarithms.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to V.S.Fadin for fruitful discussions. This work has been supported by Russian Science Foundation (RSF) through grant No. 20-12-00205.

References

- [1] V. B. Berestetskii and I. I. Pomeranchuk, *Formation of a μ - meson pair in positron annihilation*, *JETP* **2** (1956) 580.
- [2] F. A. Berends, R. Kleiss, S. Jadach and Z. Was, *Qed radiative corrections to electron-positron annihilation into heavy fermions*, *Acta Phys. Pol., Series B;(Poland)* **14** (1983) .
- [3] F. A. Berends, K. Gaemers and R. Gastmans, *α^3 -contribution to the angular asymmetry in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$* , *Nuclear Physics B* **63** (1973) 381.
- [4] S. Jadach and Z. Was, *Qed $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^3)$ radiative corrections to the reaction $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ including spin and mass effects*, *Acta Physica Polonica. Series B* **15** (1984) 1151.
- [5] P. Mastrolia, M. Passera, A. Primo and U. Schubert, *Master integrals for the nnlo virtual corrections to μe scattering in qed: the planar graphs*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2017** (2017) 1.
- [6] S. Di Vita, S. Laporta, P. Mastrolia, A. Primo and U. Schubert, *Master integrals for the nnlo virtual corrections to μe scattering in qed: the non-planar graphs*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2018** (2018) 1.
- [7] R. N. Lee and K. T. Mingulov, *Master integrals for two-loop C-odd contribution to $e^+e^- \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ process*, [1901.04441](#).
- [8] R. Bonciani, A. Broggio, S. Di Vita, A. Ferroglia, M. K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia et al., *Two-loop four-fermion scattering amplitude in qed*, *Physical Review Letters* **128** (2022) 022002.
- [9] R. N. Lee and V. A. Stotsky, *Master integrals for $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\gamma$ process at large energies and angles*, [2410.03336](#).
- [10] R. N. Lee, *LiteRed 1.4: a powerful tool for reduction of multiloop integrals*, vol. 523, p. 012059, 2014, [1310.1145](#), DOI.
- [11] R. N. Lee, "LiteRed2, essential update of LiteRed package."
<https://github.com/rnlg/LiteRed2>.
- [12] R. N. Lee, *Libra: A package for transformation of differential systems for multiloop integrals*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **267** (2021) 108058 [[2012.00279](#)].
- [13] R. N. Lee, "Libra, package for transforming first-order linear differential systems."
<https://github.com/rnlg/Libra>.
- [14] R. N. Lee, A. V. Smirnov and V. A. Smirnov, *Solving differential equations for Feynman integrals by expansions near singular points*, *JHEP* **03** (2018) 008 [[1709.07525](#)].

- [15] R. Lewis, “Computer Algebra System Fermat.” <http://home.bway.net/lewis/>.
- [16] A. V. Smirnov, N. D. Shapurov and L. I. Vysotsky, *FIESTA5: Numerical high-performance Feynman integral evaluation*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **277** (2022) 108386 [2110.11660].