

ON THE ARITHMETIC INNER PRODUCT FORMULA AND CENTRAL DERIVATIVES OF L-FUNCTIONS

TUOPING DU

ABSTRACT. This research provides a formal definition of the arithmetic theta lift for cusp forms of weight $3/2$ and establishes the arithmetic inner product formula, thereby completing the Kudla program on modular curves. This formula is demonstrated to be equivalent to the Gross-Zagier formula, for which we provide a new proof.

Additionally, the authors introduce a new arithmetic representation for the central derivatives of L-functions associated with cusp forms of higher weight. Although this representation differs from Zhang's higher weight Gross-Zagier formula, it maintains a significant connection to it. This study also proposes a conjecture indicating that the vanishing of derivatives of L-functions is determined by the algebraicity of the coefficients of harmonic weak Maass forms.

A consistent approach is employed to study both parts of this work.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	2
0.1. Main results	6
0.2. Plan of proof	10
Part 1. Arithmetic theta lift	11
1. Preliminaries	11
1.1. Modular form	12
1.2. The signature $(1, 2)$	13
1.3. Twisted theta functions	15
1.4. Green functions	17
2. Arithmetic theta function	19
2.1. Arithmetic intersection on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$	19
2.2. Arithmetic theta function	21
2.3. Decomposition of $\widehat{\text{CH}}_1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$	23

Date: December 3, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11G15, 11G18, 11F37.

On the arithmetic inner product formula	2
3. Arithmetic theta lift	25
3.1. $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ component	25
3.2. Vertical component	26
3.3. Smooth component and spectral decomposition	27
3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.2	29
Part 2. Green functions and CM values	30
4. Automorphic Green functions	30
4.1. Automorphic Green functions	30
4.2. Regularized theta integral	31
4.3. Theta lift of Non-holomorphic Poincaré series	32
5. Twisted Heegner divisors	36
5.1. Generalized genus character	37
5.2. Twisted Heegner divisors	40
6. CM values of automorphic Green functions	42
6.1. Eisenstein series	42
6.2. Derivatives of Eisenstein series	44
6.3. On the constant term	51
Part 3. On the derivative of L-function	54
7. Twisted L-function and Shimura correspondence	54
7.1. Twisted L-function	54
7.2. Shimura correspondence	57
7.3. L-function of newforms	58
8. Intersection on the Heegner cycles	59
8.1. Heegner cycles	60
8.2. Moduli stack	61
8.3. Finite intersection	63
8.4. Main theorem	67
9. Arithmetic inner product formula and the Gross- Zagier-Zhang formula	68
9.1. Gross-Zagier formula	68
9.2. Arithmetic inner product formula	69
9.3. Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula	72
Acknowledgements	73
References	73

0. INTRODUCTION

There are primarily two approaches to study the derivatives of L-functions in arithmetic geometry. The first approach involves representing the central derivative as the height of certain cohomological

trivial cycles, such as the Gross-Zagier formula [GZ], Zhang's higher weight Gross-Zagier formula [Zh], and the higher derivatives of certain L-functions over function fields [YZ]. The second approach involves expressing the central derivative as the self-intersection number of the arithmetic theta lift, a framework known as the Kudla program, which has recently been generalized to the arithmetic inner product formula. The first case involving Shimura curves was introduced by Kudla, Rapoport, and Yang in their works [KRY1][KRY2]. Recently, Li and Liu have made significant contributions [LL1][LL2] to the study of higher-dimensional unitary Shimura varieties.

One aim of this paper is to formulate the arithmetic inner product formula and to provide a new proof of the Gross-Zagier formula on the modular curve $X_0(N)$, while also demonstrating their equivalence.

Curve Type	Modular curve	Division Shimura curve
Gross-Zagier	Gross-Zagier [GZ]	Yuan-Zhang-Zhang [YZZ]
AIPF	Theorem 0.11	Kudla-Rapoport-Yang [KRY2]

Here we denote the arithmetic inner product formula as AIPF.

In addition, this study will focus on the arithmetic representation of the derivatives of L-functions for higher weight, which can be represented by the height of Heegner cycles [Zh]. In this work, we will present a new representation. The approach taken in this research relies on the Borcherds lift [Bo1] and CM values [BO] [BY].

Let (V, Q) be a quadratic space over \mathbb{Q} with signature $(n, 2)$, and let $L \subset V$ denote an even lattice. We denote the dual of L as L^\sharp and define $\Gamma' = \text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ as the full inverse image of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ within the two-fold metaplectic cover of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Consequently, there exists a Weil representation ρ_L acting on the finite-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$, with the standard basis represented as $\{e_\mu | \mu \in L^\sharp/L\}$.

We denote the space of harmonic weak Maass forms of weight k with the representation ρ_L as H_{k, ρ_L} . There exist differential operators

$$\xi_k : H_{k, \rho_L} \rightarrow S_{2-k, \bar{\rho}_L},$$

Maass raising operator R_k and lowering operator L_k .

Borcherds studied the theta lift of weakly modular forms [Bo1], which is generalized to the harmonic weak Maass forms H_{k, ρ_L} by Bruinier [Br]. In this paper, we will extend these works to the general cases.

Let Δ be a fundamental discriminant and r be an integer such that $\Delta \equiv r^2 \pmod{4N}$.

If $\Delta = r = 1$, we will omit the indices Δ and r in this paper.

For $n = 1$, we define

$$(0.1) \quad V = \{w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_3 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}) : \text{tr}(w) = 0\},$$

with the quadratic form $Q(w) = N \det w$, and we define

$$(0.2) \quad L = \{w = \begin{pmatrix} b & \frac{a}{N} \\ c & -b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset V,$$

to be the lattice in V . For any $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$, and a positive rational number $m \in \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) + \mathbb{Z}$, the twisted Heegner divisor $Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu)$ in modular curve $X_0(N)$ is defined by Bruinier and Ono in [BO], which is a generalization of the Heegner divisor introduced by Gross and Zagier in [GZ].

For any negative definite two-dimensional subspace $U \subseteq V$, there exists a CM cycle $Z(U)$ in the Shimura variety. Indeed, $Z(U)$ consists of two copies of the ideal class group of an imaginary quadratic field. Then the genus character χ_Δ can be used to define the twisted CM divisor $Z_{\Delta,r}(U)$.

The Gross-Zagier formula has been extended to eigenforms G of weight 2κ , for any $\kappa \geq 1$. Deligne conjectured and Zhang proved that the derivative $L'(G, \chi, \kappa)$ corresponds to the heights of Heegner cycles. This result is now referred to as the higher weight Gross-Zagier formula [Zh].

Let $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(N)$ be the Kuga-Sato variety. For any CM point x on $X_0(N)$, we denote $S_\kappa(x)$ as the Heegner cycle over x in \mathcal{Y} . The class of this cycle in $H^{2\kappa}(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ is zero.

We define the twisted Heegner cycles as follows

$$(0.3) \quad Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu) = m^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \sum_{x \in Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu)} \chi_\Delta(x) S_\kappa(x).$$

In a similar manner, we define the Heegner cycle $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U)$ over $Z_{\Delta,r}(U)$.

For $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}$, we denote

$$(0.4) \quad Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f) = \sum_{m>0} c^+(-m, \mu) Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu).$$

where $c^+(m, \mu)$ are Fourier coefficients of its holomorphic part.

The Gillet-Soulé height $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{GS}$ extends the Néron-Tate height $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT}$, as introduced by Gillet and Soulé [GS]. Building on this work, Zhang developed the global height pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for higher Heegner cycles, which can be expressed as a sum of local height pairings.

A primary aim of this study is to calculate the following intersection number

$$\langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle.$$

We will demonstrate that the archimedean component of this intersection number is represented by the Borcherds lift.

For any $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \rho_L}$, when $\kappa = 2j + 1$ is odd, we define the higher twisted regularized theta lift as follows

$$(0.5) \quad \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, h, f) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \int_{\mathcal{F}}^{reg} \langle R_{3/2-\kappa}^j f(\tau), \Theta_{\Delta, r}(\tau, z, h) \rangle d\mu(\tau).$$

In the case where κ is even, we substitute the twisted Siegel theta function $\Theta_{\Delta, r}(\tau, z, h)$ with the Millson theta function $\Theta_{\Delta, r}^M(\tau, z, h)$. Indeed, these lifts are higher Green functions.

When $\Delta = 1$, Bruinier and Yang [BY], as well as Bruinier, Ehlen and Yang [BEY] investigated the CM value $\Phi^j(Z(U), f)$. This value can be interpreted as the archimedean component of the global height pairing and provides the derivatives of L-functions.

For any newform $G \in S_{2\kappa}^{new}(N) := S_{2\kappa}^{new}(\Gamma_0(N))$, let $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu)$ denote the G -isotypical component of the Heegner cycle. Now we denote the Petersson norm as $\|G\| := \sqrt{\langle G, G \rangle_{Pet}}$.

The following theorem corresponds to Gross-Zagier formula [GZ] when $\kappa = 1$, and to Zhang's higher Gross-Zagier formula [Zh] when $\kappa > 1$.

Theorem 0.1 (Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula). *For any normalized newform $G \in S_{2\kappa}^{new}(N)$, one has*

$$\langle Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu), Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) \rangle = \frac{(2\kappa - 2)! \sqrt{|D|}}{2^{4\kappa - 2} \pi^{2\kappa} \|G\|^2} m^{\kappa - 1} L'_K(G, \chi, \kappa),$$

where χ denotes the genus character associated with the decomposition of the fundamental discriminant as

$$D = -4Nm|\Delta| = \Delta D_0.$$

The term $m^{\kappa - 1}$ appears because we multiply by $m^{\frac{\kappa - 1}{2}}$ in the definition of $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu)$, as shown in equation (0.3).

It is straightforward to observe that for $\kappa = 1$,

$$Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) = 0 \iff L'_K(G, \chi, \kappa) = 0.$$

However, for $\kappa > 1$, this reason cannot be applied because there is no established non-degeneracy of the pairings $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Furthermore, determining whether a cycle is trivial in the Chow group is quite hard. Consequently, it is essential to provide a new representation of derivatives of L-functions for higher weight κ . We will study cusp forms, extending our focus beyond merely newforms. The proposed approach is outlined in the subsequent sections of this introduction.

0.1. **Main results.** We denote

$$(0.6) \quad \tilde{\rho}_L = \begin{cases} \rho_L, & \Delta > 0; \\ \bar{\rho}_L, & \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

For a normalized newform $G \in S_{2\kappa}^{new}(N)$, we denote by F_G the total real number field generated by the eigenvalues of G . There exists a newform $g \in S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L}^{new}$ that corresponds to G under the Shimura correspondence Sh_{m_0, μ_0} as given in [GKZ]. We normalize g such that all its coefficients are contained in F_G . Furthermore, there exists a function $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L}(F_G)$ such that

$$\xi_{3/2-\kappa}(f) = \|g\|^{-2} g,$$

where the coefficients of the principal part of f are also contained in F_G . Roughly speaking, up to normalization, this is represented by the following map

$$(0.7) \quad H_{3/2-\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L} \xrightarrow{\xi_{3/2-\kappa}} S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \rho_L} \xrightarrow{Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}} S_{2\kappa}(N).$$

Conjecture 0.2. *Assume that $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L}(F_G)$ is given as above. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $L'(G, \chi_\Delta, \kappa) = 0$.
- (2) $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f)$ vanishes in the Chow group $\text{CH}^\kappa(\mathcal{Y})$.
- (3) $c^+(|\Delta|, \mu_r) \in F_G$.

Remark 0.3. (1) When $\kappa = 1$, this conjecture has been proved by Gross and Zagier [GZ], Borcherds [Bo2], Bruinier and Ono [BO].

(2) The implication

$$(2) \Rightarrow (1)$$

follows from Theorem 0.4.

(3) This conjecture implies that for **almost all** newform G , the order of the L-function

$$\text{ord}_{s=\kappa} L(G, \chi_\Delta, s) \leq 1.$$

The higher order ($\kappa > 1$) relies on the algebraicity of $c^+(|\Delta|, \mu_r)$.

(4) Alfes, Bruinier and Schwagenscheidt constructed specific modular forms [ABS], whose Fourier coefficients are included in $i\pi\sqrt{\Delta}F_G$ if and only if $c^+(|\Delta|, \mu_r) \in F_G$. We propose the conjecture that the vanishing of Heegner cycles is determined by the algebraicity of the Fourier coefficients.

In the work [BY], Bruinier and Yang put forth a conjecture concerning the derivatives of L-functions, proposing that these derivatives can be expressed in terms of the intersections of CM cycles. Following this, Bruinier, Howard, and Yang made a significant contribution to unitary Shimura varieties [BHY]. In this paper, we propose a twisted version of this conjecture, which is relevant to modular curves and Kuga-Sato varieties, as detailed below.

We have the following result in Theorem 0.4

Δ	positive	negative
κ		
odd	(II)	(I), (II)
even	(I)	(I)

When $\Delta > 0$ and κ is odd, if Δ has a prime factor p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then the equation (I) holds.

Theorem 0.4. *For any $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}$ and Heegner cycle $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(m, \mu)$, there are infinitely many Heegner cycles $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(U)$, such that the global heights are given by*

(I)

$$\langle Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(U) \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{N|\Delta|}\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} L'(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa} f), \chi_{\Delta}, \kappa).$$

and

(II)

$$\langle Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(m, \mu), Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(U) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{N|\Delta|}\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} L'(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa} F_{m, \mu}), \chi_{\Delta}, \kappa).$$

Remark 0.5. (1) When $\Delta = 1$, this theorem was proved in [BY] and [BEY].

(2) Both cycles are cohomologically trivial in $H^{2\kappa}(Y(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$, so we can use the global height $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ in the above theorem.

This theorem offers a novel representation of the derivatives of L-functions, which is useful for the exploration of subsequent works.

- (1) When $\kappa = 1$, this formula allows for a direct proof of the arithmetic inner product formula-Theorem 0.11, as well as the Gross-Zagier formula [GZ]. As a result, we provide a new proof of this formula.
- (2) For $\kappa > 1$, this formula gives a new approach to the Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula.

Corollary 0.6. *If $Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f) = 0$, then $L'(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa} f), \chi_{\Delta}, \kappa) = 0$. This indicates that the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) in Conjecture 0.2.*

Conjecture 0.7 (Modularity hypothesis). *The following generating function is a cusp form,*

$$(0.8) \quad \sum_{m>0, \mu} Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) q^m e_{\mu} = g(\tau) \otimes Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f).$$

When $\kappa = 1$, this conjecture has been proved in [GKZ], [BO] and [Bo2]. In the case of $\kappa > 1$, the image of Heegner cycles under the p-adic Abel-Jacobi map is at most one-dimensional in [Ne].

According to Theorem 0.4, we have the following result.

Proposition 0.8.

Modularity hypothesis 0.7 \Rightarrow Theorem 0.1.

Kudla has proposed a research program that known as the Kudla program, which focuses on the arithmetic geometric properties of the derivatives of L-functions. This program is structured into two primary steps:

- (1) To establish the arithmetic Siegel-Weil formula and to construct an arithmetic theta function.
- (2) To prove the arithmetic inner product formula.

Kudla, Rapoport, and Yang investigated the Shimura curve, presenting the initial case of the Kudla program [KRY1][KRY2]. Recent progress within this program has yielded significant advancement, particularly in unitary cases; however, challenges continue to persist with the modular curve, the most fundamental case, which remained unresolved until the works of Yang and the author [DY1] [DY2]. They completed the first step of the Kudla program and constructed the arithmetic theta functions, which is defined as follows

$$(0.9) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau) = \sum_{n, \mu} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v) q_{\tau}^n e_{\mu} \in \mathbb{C}[L^{\#}/L] \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N)),$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v) \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$ are arithmetic Heegner divisors. This function is a vector valued modular form for Γ' of weight $\frac{3}{2}$.

We will complete the Kudla program on modular curves in this work.

Definition 0.9. The arithmetic theta lift is defined by

$$(0.10) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r} : S_{\frac{3}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_L} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N)), \quad g \mapsto \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}, g(\tau) \rangle_{\text{Pet}}.$$

The arithmetic Chow group is decomposed into Mordell-Weil component and orthogonal complementary component as [KRY2],

$$\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N)) = \widetilde{\text{MW}} \oplus \widetilde{\text{MW}}^{\perp}, \quad \widetilde{\text{MW}} \simeq J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}) \otimes \mathbb{R}.$$

The modularity of $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau)$ has been proved for square-free levels N , while the Mordell-Weil component $\widehat{\phi}_{MW}$ is a modular form and can be defined for all levels N . Another arithmetic theta lift is defined as follows

$$(0.11) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}, g \rangle_{Pet}.$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 0.10. *Let the notation be as above. Then*

- (1) $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g) = \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g)$.
- (2) $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g) \in \widetilde{MW}$.
- (3) For any $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \in \widetilde{MW}^\perp$, $\langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle_{GS} = 0$.

Both lifts $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g)$ and $\widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g)$ belong to the Mordell-Weil part \widetilde{MW} of arithmetic Chow group. As a result, we can employ the Néron-Tate height. Then we can prove the following result

Theorem 0.11 (Arithmetic inner product formula). *Let the notation be as above. For any $g \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, then*

$$(0.12) \quad \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{NT} = \frac{2 \|g\|^2 \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_\Delta, 1).$$

When N is square free,

$$(0.13) \quad \langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g), \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g) \rangle_{NT} = \frac{2 \|g\|^2 \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_\Delta, 1).$$

We find the following relations

Corollary 0.12.

Arithmetic inner product formula \iff Gross-Zagier formula.

So we can drop Assumption A on Δ in the Theorem 0.11.

The arithmetic inner product formula (0.13) may be generalized to arbitrary level N . Zhu constructed a scalar valued arithmetic theta function in [Zh]. We will prove analogy arithmetic inner formula for general level N in future.

Corollary 0.13.

$$(0.14) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g) \neq 0 \iff L'(G, \chi_\Delta, 1) \neq 0.$$

For each $G \in S_2^{new}(N)$, there is a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi(G) \simeq \bigotimes_{p \leq \infty} \pi_p$ of $PGL_2(\mathbb{A})$. According to Waldspurger's work [Wa], there exists an irreducible genuine cuspidal representation $\sigma \simeq \bigotimes \sigma_p$, s.t., $Wald(\sigma, \psi) = \pi(G)$.

Now we denote $\pi = \text{Wald}(\sigma, \psi_{-1})$. Waldspurger proved an important result as follows.

Theorem 0.14. [Wa] *When the global root number $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) = 1$,*

$$(0.15) \quad \text{the theta lift } \theta_\psi(\sigma, V^B) \neq 0 \iff L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) \neq 0;$$

when the global root number $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) = -1$, $L(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) = 0$.

Kudla, Rapoport and Yang proved the following result [KRY2, Chapter 9].

Theorem 0.15. *Assume that $\epsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) = -1$. For some genuine cuspidal representations σ , there exist indefinite quaternion algebras B with $D(B) > 1$, such that*

$$\text{the arithmetic theta lift } \theta_\psi^{\text{ar}}(\sigma, V^B) \neq 0 \iff L'(\frac{1}{2}, \pi) \neq 0.$$

We extend the above result to the modular curve ($D(B) = 1$) in Corollary 0.13.

0.2. Plan of proof. In Section 1, we will present preliminary concepts related to modular forms and Green functions.

In Section 2, we will introduce the arithmetic theta function $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}$. According to the decomposition of arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$, we write it as follows

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r} = \widehat{\phi}_{\text{MW}} + \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r})\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty + \widehat{\phi}_{\text{vert}} + a(\phi_{SM}).$$

Each term in the summation is a modular form of weight $3/2$.

In Section 3, we will define the arithmetic theta lift $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g)$ and prove Theorem 0.10,

$$\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) \in \widetilde{\text{MW}}, \text{ for any } g \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \widehat{\rho}_L}.$$

In Section 4, we will introduce several Green functions, including automorphic Green functions and the regularized Borchers lift.

In Section 5, we will define the translated twisted Heegner divisors for general level N . We will study it by adelic language.


In Section 6, we will study the CM values of Green functions. The archimedean intersection number $\langle Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(U) \rangle_\infty$ is given by this value.

In Section 7, we will elucidate the relationship between $\Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f)$ and the derivative of L-functions in Theorem 7.2, which leads us to conclude that the archimedean intersection number can provide the derivative of L-functions.

In Section 8, we will compute the intersection numbers at finite places. Combining this with archimedean part -Theorem 7.2, we will prove Theorem 0.4.

In Section 9, we will study the self-intersection of the arithmetic theta lift. According to Theorem 0.4, we will demonstrate the arithmetic inner product formula- Theorem 0.11 and the Gross-Zagier formula. Furthermore, we will clarify the relationship between Theorem 0.4 and Theorem 0.1.

The subsequent diagram illustrates these relationships.



Part 1. Arithmetic theta lift

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the metaplectic double cover of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, which can be viewed as pairs $(g, \phi(g, \tau))$, where $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\phi(g, \tau)$ is a holomorphic function of $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\phi(g, \tau)^2 = j(g, \tau) = c\tau + d$. Let $\Gamma' = \mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the preimage of $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$, then Γ' is generated by

$$S = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{\tau} \right) \quad T = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right).$$

Let (V, Q) be a quadratic space of signature (p, q) and let $L \subset V$ be an even lattice. We write L^\sharp for its dual lattice. The quadratic form on L induces a \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} -valued quadratic form on the discriminant group L^\sharp/L . The standard basis of $S_L = \mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ is denoted by

$$\{e_\mu = L_\mu \mid \mu \in L^\sharp/L\}.$$

Then the Weil representation ρ_L of Γ' on $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ ([Bo1]) is given by

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_L(T)e_\mu &= e(Q(\mu))e_\mu, \\ \rho_L(S)e_\mu &= \frac{e\left(-\frac{p-q}{8}\right)}{\sqrt{|L^\sharp/L|}} \sum_{\mu' \in L^\sharp/L} e(-(\mu, \mu'))e_{\mu'}. \end{aligned}$$

For a non-zero integer Δ , we consider the quadratic form $Q_\Delta := \frac{Q}{|\Delta|}$. The Weil representation of the quadratic lattice $L^\Delta = (\Delta L, Q_\Delta)$ is denoted by ρ_{L^Δ} .

1.1. Modular form. A twice continuously differentiable function $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ is called a weak Maass form of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with representation ρ_L if

- 1). $f|_{k, \rho_L} \gamma = f$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma'$;
- 2). there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ that $\Delta_k f = \lambda f$;
- 3). there is a $C > 0$, such that $f(\tau) = O(e^{Cv})$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for u .

Here the slash operator is given by

$$f|_{k, \rho_L} \gamma(\tau) = \phi(\tau)^{-2k} \rho_L^{-1}(\gamma') f(\gamma\tau).$$

When $\lambda = 0$, f is a harmonic weak Maass form. The space of harmonic weak Maass forms is denoted by H_{k, ρ_L} . The function

$$P_f(\tau) = \sum_{\mu, n \leq 0} c(n, \mu) q^n e_\mu$$

is called the principal part of f . For any $f \in H_{k, \rho_L}$, it has a unique decomposition $f = f^+ + f^-$ by Fourier expansion, where

$$(1.2) \quad f^+ = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{n \gg 0} c^+(n, \mu) e(n\tau) e_\mu$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad f^- = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{n < 0} c^-(n, \mu) \Gamma(1 - k, 2\pi|n|v) e(n\tau) e_\mu.$$

Here $\Gamma(a, x) = \int_{-x}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{a-1} dt$ is the incomplete Γ function. For any field F , we write $H_{k, \rho_L}(F)$ denote the space of harmonic Maass forms with principal part defined over F . There is a differential operator defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \xi_k(f) : H_{k, \bar{\rho}_L} \rightarrow S_{k, \rho_L}$$

where $\xi_k(f) = 2iv^k \frac{\bar{\partial} f}{\partial \bar{\tau}}$. The exact sequence is given by

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow M_{k, \bar{\rho}_L}^! \rightarrow H_{k, \bar{\rho}_L} \xrightarrow{\xi_k} S_{2-k, \rho_L} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $M_{k, \bar{\rho}_L}^!$ is the space of weakly modular form. We also define the Maass lowering and raising operators in weight k by

$$(1.6) \quad L_k = -2iv^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}, \text{ and } R_k = 2i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} + kv^{-1}.$$

The standard scalar product on the space $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ is defined as

$$(1.7) \quad \left\langle \sum_{\mu} f_{\mu} e_{\mu}, \sum_{\mu} g_{\mu} e_{\mu} \right\rangle = \sum_{\mu} f_{\mu} g_{\mu}.$$

For any modular form $f, g \in M_{k, \rho_L}$, the Petersson scalar product is defined by

$$(1.8) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{Pet} = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \langle f, \bar{g} \rangle v^k \mu(\tau),$$

where $\mu(\tau) = \frac{dudv}{v^2}$ is the hyperbolic measure.

Given a sublattice $M \subseteq L$ with finite index, we have the inclusions $M \subseteq L \subseteq L^\sharp \subseteq M^\sharp$,

$$L/M \subseteq L^\sharp/M \subseteq M^\sharp/M$$

and natural quotient map $\pi : L^\sharp/M \rightarrow L^\sharp/L, h \rightarrow \bar{h}$.

The restriction map and the trace map are defined as follows: for any $f \in A_{k, \rho_L}$ and any $g \in A_{k, \rho_M}$,

$$(f_M)_h = \begin{cases} f_{\bar{h}} & \text{if } h \in L^\sharp/M, \\ 0 & \text{if } h \notin L^\sharp/M, \end{cases}$$

$$(g^L)_\mu = \sum_{\alpha \in L/M} g_{\alpha + \mu},$$

where $h \in M^\sharp/M$ and $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$. Here A_{k, ρ_L} is the space of modular forms with weight k and representation ρ_L .

Lemma 1.1. [BY, Lemma 3.1]

$$res_{L/M} : A_{k, \rho_L} \rightarrow A_{k, \rho_M}, f \mapsto f_M$$

and

$$tr_{L/M} : A_{k, \rho_M} \rightarrow A_{k, \rho_L}, g \mapsto g^L$$

such that for $f \in A_{k, \rho_L}$ and $g \in A_{k, \rho_M}$, one has

$$\langle f, \bar{g}^L \rangle = \langle f_M, \bar{g} \rangle.$$

1.2. The signature (1, 2). Let

$$(1.9) \quad V = \{w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_3 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \text{tr}(w) = 0\},$$

with the quadratic form $Q(w) = N \det w = -Nw_2w_3 - Nw_1^2$, where N is a positive integer. Let

$$(1.10) \quad L = \left\{ w = \begin{pmatrix} b & \frac{-a}{N} \\ c & -b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

be the lattice in V . We will identify

$$\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z} \cong L^\sharp/L, \quad r \mapsto \mu_r = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r}{2N} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{r}{2N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $H = \mathrm{GSpin}(V) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2$, which acts on V by conjugation, i.e., $g.w = gwg^{-1}$. Notice that $\Gamma_0(N)$ preserves L and acts on L^\sharp/L trivially.

For each $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$, denote $L_\mu = L + \mu$, and

$$(1.11) \quad L_\mu[n] = \{w \in L_\mu \mid Q(w) = n\}.$$

Let $\Delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a fundamental discriminant, s.t., $\Delta \equiv r^2 \pmod{4N}$, and let $L^\Delta = (\Delta L, Q_\Delta)$. It is easy to see that its dual lattice is L^\sharp .

The generalized genus character [BO, Section 4]

$$\chi_\Delta : L^\sharp/L^\Delta \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

is defined by

$$(1.12) \quad \chi_\Delta \left(\begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2N} & \frac{-a}{N} \\ c & -\frac{b}{2N} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right), & \text{if } \Delta \mid b^2 - 4Nac \text{ and } \frac{b^2 - 4Nac}{\Delta} \text{ is a} \\ & \text{square modulo } 4N \text{ and } (a, b, c, \Delta) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Here n is any integer prime to Δ represented by one of the quadratic forms $[N_1 a, b, N_2 c]$ with $N_1 N_2 = N$ and $N_1, N_2 > 0$. The generalized genus character $\chi_\Delta(w) = \chi_\Delta([a, b, Nc])$ is defined in [GKZ, Section 1]. It is invariant under the action of $\Gamma_0(N)$ and the action of all Atkin-Lehner involutions [GKZ], i.e.,

$$(1.13) \quad \chi_\Delta(\gamma w \gamma^{-1}) = \chi_\Delta(w), \quad \chi_\Delta(W_M w W_M^{-1}) = \chi_\Delta(w),$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$ and W_M is the Atkin-Lehner involution with $M \parallel N$.

Let \mathbb{D} be the Hermitian domain of oriented negative 2-dimensional subspace of $V(\mathbb{R})$. Then \mathbb{D} can be identified with $\mathbb{H} \cup \bar{\mathbb{H}}$ via

$$(1.14) \quad z = x + iy \mapsto \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{A} \begin{pmatrix} z & -z^2 \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{R}\mathfrak{J} \begin{pmatrix} z & -z^2 \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2N} & \frac{-a}{N} \\ c & -\frac{b}{2N} \end{pmatrix} \in L^\sharp$, we denote the CM point by

$$(1.15) \quad z(w) = \frac{b}{2Nc} + \frac{\sqrt{b^2 - 4Nac}}{2N|c|} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

For any $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$ and a positive rational number $n \in \mathrm{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) + \mathbb{Z}$, the twisted Heegner divisor is defined by

$$(1.16) \quad Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu) := \sum_{w \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta]} \chi_\Delta(w) z(w) \in \mathrm{Div}(X_0(N))_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

which is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$. We count each point $z(w)$ with multiplicity $\frac{2}{|\Gamma_w|}$ in the orbifold $X_0(N)$, where Γ_w is the stabilizer of w in

$\Gamma_0(N)$. This definition is the same as that in [AE, Section 5] and [BO, Section 5].

Following [AE, Section 3.1], we let

$$(1.17) \quad \psi_{\Delta,r}(e_\mu) = \sum_{\substack{\delta \in L^\sharp/L^\Delta \\ \pi(\delta)=r\mu \\ Q_\Delta(\delta) \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu)(\mathbb{Z})}} \chi_\Delta(\delta) e_\delta,$$

where π denotes the quotient map $\pi : L^\sharp/L^\Delta \rightarrow L^\sharp/L$.

For $f \in A_{k,\rho_{L^\Delta}}$ and $g \in A_{k,\tilde{\rho}_L}$, we define two operators by

$$(1.18) \quad \psi(f) = \sum_{\mu \in L^\sharp/L} \langle \psi_{\Delta,r}(e_\mu), f \rangle e_\mu$$

and

$$(1.19) \quad \phi(g) = \sum_{\substack{\delta, \pi(\delta)=r\mu \\ Q_\Delta(\delta) \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu)(\mathbb{Z})}} \chi_\Delta(\delta) g_\mu e_\delta.$$

For any $f \in A_{k,\rho_{L^\Delta}}$, $\psi(f) \in A_{k,\tilde{\rho}_L}$, one can see [AE, Section 3.1]. Then we obtain the following result

Proposition 1.2. *Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. For any $f \in A_{k,\rho_{L^\Delta}}$ and $g \in A_{k,\tilde{\rho}_L}$, we have*

$$\psi(f) \in A_{k,\tilde{\rho}_L}, \quad \phi(g) \in A_{k,\rho_{L^\Delta}}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\langle \psi(f), \bar{g} \rangle = \langle f, \overline{\phi(g)} \rangle.$$

1.3. Twisted theta functions. For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we let

$$(1.20) \quad w(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Ny}} \begin{pmatrix} -x & z\bar{z} \\ -1 & x \end{pmatrix} \in V(\mathbb{R}).$$

Then one has the following decomposition

$$V(\mathbb{R}) = z \oplus z^\perp.$$

For each $w \in V(\mathbb{R})$, we can write it as $w = w_z + w_{z^\perp}$.

We let

$$(1.21) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{\sqrt{|\Delta|}}{\sqrt{2}} w(z) = \frac{\sqrt{|\Delta|}}{\sqrt{2Ny}} \begin{pmatrix} -x & x^2+y^2 \\ -1 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

be the normalized vector. For any $w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2N} & -\frac{a}{N} \\ c & -\frac{b}{2N} \end{pmatrix} \in L^\sharp$, we define

$$(1.22) \quad p_z(w) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w, \lambda(z))_\Delta = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{N|\Delta|}y} (Nc|z|^2 - bx + a).$$

Then it follows that

Lemma 1.3.

$$(1.23) \quad \sqrt{Q_\Delta(w_{z^\perp})} = |p_z(w)|.$$

Proof. For $w = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 & w_2 \\ w_3 & -w_1 \end{pmatrix} \in V(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$(1.24) \quad (w, w(z))_\Delta = -\frac{\sqrt{N}}{y|\Delta|}(w_3 z \bar{z} - w_1(z + \bar{z}) - w_2).$$

Since

$$(1.25) \quad (w(z), w(z))_\Delta = \frac{2}{|\Delta|},$$

we have

$$w_{z^\perp} = -\frac{\sqrt{N}}{2y}(w_3 z \bar{z} - w_1(z + \bar{z}) - w_2)w(z).$$

Then we obtain the result. \square

We define

$$(1.26) \quad R(w, z)_\Delta = -(w_z, w_z)_\Delta,$$

and it can be written as

$$(1.27) \quad R(w, z)_\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(w, w(z))_\Delta^2 - (w, w)_\Delta.$$

We consider the associated majorant

$$(1.28) \quad (w, w)_z = (w_{z^\perp}, w_{z^\perp})_\Delta + R(w, z)_\Delta,$$

which is a positive definite quadratic form on the space $V(\mathbb{R})$.

The following Gaussian φ_∞ belongs to $S(V(\mathbb{R}))$,

$$(1.29) \quad \varphi_\infty(w, z) = e^{-\pi(w, w)_z}.$$

We denote

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\Delta^S(w, \tau, z) &= ve(Q_\Delta(w)\tau)e^{-2\pi R(\sqrt{v}w, z)_\Delta} \\ &= ve(Q(w_{z^\perp})\tau + Q(w_z)\bar{\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

By the Weil representation ω , we have

$$(1.31) \quad v^{\frac{1}{4}}\omega(g_\tau)\varphi_\infty(w, z) = \varphi_\Delta^S(w, \tau, z),$$

where $g_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}$.

Let

$$\varphi_\Delta^0(w, z) = \left((w, w(z))_\Delta^2 - \frac{1}{2\pi} \right) e^{-2\pi R(w, z)_\Delta} \mu(z)$$

and

$$(1.32) \quad \varphi_\Delta(w, \tau, z) = e(Q_\Delta(w)\tau)\varphi_\Delta^0(\sqrt{v}w, z),$$

be the differential forms on $V(\mathbb{R})$, where $\mu(z) = \frac{dx dy}{y^2}$.

The Siegel theta function, Millson theta function and the Kudla-Millson theta function are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Theta(\tau, z) &= \sum_{\delta \in L^\sharp/L^\Delta} \sum_{w \in L_\delta^\Delta} \varphi_\Delta^S(w, \tau, z) e_\delta \\
 (1.33) \quad &= v \sum_{\delta \in L^\sharp/L^\Delta} \sum_{w \in L_\delta^\Delta} e(Q(w_{z^\perp})\tau + Q(w_z)\bar{\tau}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.34) \quad \Theta^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z) = \sum_{w \in L_\delta^\Delta} p_z(w) \varphi_\Delta^S(w, \tau, z) e_\delta,$$

and

$$(1.35) \quad \Theta^{KM}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\delta \in L^\sharp/L^\Delta} \sum_{w \in L_\delta^\Delta} \varphi_\Delta(w, \tau, z) e_\delta,$$

where $L_\delta^\Delta = \Delta L + \delta$. These theta functions can be defined by adelic language, and we will introduce them in the later sections.

The twisted Siegel theta function is defined as

$$(1.36) \quad \Theta_{\Delta, r}(\tau, z) = \psi(\Theta(\tau, z)),$$

which is a modular form of weight $-1/2$ associated with the representation $(\Gamma', \tilde{\rho}_L)$ with respect to τ . Furthermore, it is $\Gamma_0(N)$ -invariant as a function of z .

Similarly, the weight $1/2$ twisted Millson theta function and weight $3/2$ twisted Kudla-Millson theta function are defined in [AE, Section 4] as,

$$(1.37) \quad \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z, h) = \psi(\Theta^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z)),$$

and

$$(1.38) \quad \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, z) = \psi(\Theta_{L^\Delta}^{KM}(\tau, z)).$$

These two theta functions take values in $\Omega^{1,1}(X_\Gamma)$.

It is important to note that when $\Delta = 1$, we often omit the indices Δ and r .

1.4. Green functions. We consider X as a compact Riemann surface, with Ω^1 representing the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms. The global sections on X are denoted by $\Gamma(X, \Omega^1)$, which possesses a scalar product

$$\langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle = \frac{i}{2} \int_X \omega_1 \wedge \bar{\omega}_2.$$

We denote the orthogonal basis by $\{f_1 dz, \dots, f_g dz\}$ with the genus g , and the Arakelov canonical $(1, 1)$ -form

$$\nu_{can} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2g} \sum_{i=1}^{i=g} |f_i|^2 dz \wedge d\bar{z}.$$

A form ν is called volume form, if it is smooth, positive, real $(1, 1)$ -form with $\int_X \nu = 1$.

A ν -admissible Green function $g(z_1, z_2)$ is a real valued function on $X \times X$ and smooth out the diagonal Δ_X of $X \times X$ and satisfies

1) Near the diagonal it has the following expansion

$$g(z_1, z_2) = -\log |z_1 - z_2|^2 + \text{smooth}.$$

2) $g(z_1, z_2) = g(z_2, z_1)$.

3) It has the current equation

$$d_{z_1} d_{z_1}^c [g(z_1, z_2)] + \delta_{z_2} = [\nu(z_1)].$$

It is normalized if

$$\int_X g(z_1, z_2) \nu(z_1) = 0.$$

The generalized Green function $g(z_1, z_2)$ if the item 3) generalized to

$$d_{z_1} d_{z_1}^c [g(z_1, z_2)] + \delta_{z_2} = [\mu(z_1)]$$

for some $(1, 1)$ -form μ .

From now on, we denote $g_{z_1}(z_2) = g(z_1, z_2)$. For any given divisor $D = \sum n_i P_i$, we will denote

$$g_D = \sum n_i g_{P_i}.$$

Suppose that $g_{z_1}(z_2)$ is ν -admissible. In this case, the following equality holds

$$d_{z_1} d_{z_1}^c [g_D(z_2)] + \delta_D = \deg(D) [\nu(z_1)].$$

The positive elliptic Laplacian differential operator Δ_z is defined as

$$(1.39) \quad dd^c f = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} f = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z f \nu.$$

Here $d^c = \frac{i}{4\pi} (\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ and $d = \bar{\partial} + \partial$.

2. ARITHMETIC THETA FUNCTION

The arithmetic theta function $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau)$ is defined in [DY1] and [DY2]. In this section, we write it as

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r} = \widehat{\phi}_{\text{MW}} + \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r})\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} + \widehat{\phi}_{\text{Vert}} + a(\phi_{SM}).$$

Each component of this summation is a vector valued modular form of weight $3/2$.

2.1. Arithmetic intersection on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. Recall the definition in [KM], let $\mathcal{Y}_0(N)$ ($\mathcal{X}_0(N)$) be the moduli stack over \mathbb{Z} of cyclic isogenies of degree N of elliptic curves (generalized elliptic curves) $\pi : E \rightarrow E'$, such that $\ker \pi$ meets every irreducible component of each geometric fiber. The stack $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ is regular, flat over \mathbb{Z} and is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$. Notice that $\mathcal{X}_0(N)(\mathbb{C}) = X_0(N)$.

Let $D = -4Nm$ be a discriminant and the order $\mathcal{O}_D = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{D+\sqrt{D}}{2}]$ of discriminant D . Assume that $D \equiv r_{\mu}^2 \pmod{4N}$ and $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\mu} \\ \frac{r_{\mu}}{2N} \\ -\frac{r_{\mu}}{2N} \end{pmatrix}$.

Then $\mathfrak{n} = [N, \frac{r_{\mu}+\sqrt{D}}{2}]$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_D with norm N .

Let $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mu)$ be the moduli stack over \mathbb{Z} of the pairs (x, ι) that defined in [BY, Section 7], where

- (1) $x = (\pi : E \rightarrow E') \in \mathcal{Y}_0(N)$,
- (2) $\iota : \mathcal{O}_D \hookrightarrow \text{End}(x) = \{\alpha \in \text{End}(E) : \pi\alpha\pi^{-1} \in \text{End}(E')\}$ is a CM action of \mathcal{O}_D on x satisfying $\iota(\mathfrak{n}) \ker \pi = 0$.

It actually descends to a DM stack over \mathbb{Z} . The forgetful map

$$\mathcal{Z}(m, \mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0(N)$$

$$(\pi : E \rightarrow E', \iota) \rightarrow (\pi : E \rightarrow E')$$

is a finite and étale map. Then $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mu)$ is a Cartier divisor on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$.

Lemma 2.1. [BEY, Lemma 6.10] *Let c be the conductor of the order \mathcal{O}_D and $N' = (N, c)$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(m, \mu)$ be the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mu)$ in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Z}(m, \mu) \cong \bar{\mathcal{Z}}(m, \mu)$$

as stacks over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N'}]$.

We assume that N is square free for easier. When $p|N$, the special fiber $\mathcal{X}_0(N) \pmod{p}$ has two irreducible components \mathcal{X}_p^{∞} and \mathcal{X}_p^0 . Here we denote the component which contain the cusp $\mathcal{P}_{\infty} \pmod{p}$ and $\mathcal{P}_0 \pmod{p}$ by \mathcal{X}_p^{∞} and \mathcal{X}_p^0 , and \mathcal{P}_{∞} and \mathcal{P}_0 are Zariski closure of cusp infinity and zero.

Following the Gillet-Soulé intersection theory [GS], a height pairing has been established for the arithmetic Chow group $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$. However, this height pairing is insufficient. It has been extended to arithmetic divisors with log-log singularities ([BKK], [Kü2]), and arithmetic divisor with L_1^2 -Green functions [Bost]. Similarly as in the work [DY1], we will employ Kühn's method here.

Let $S = \{\text{cusp}\}$ and let $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N), S)$ denote the quotient of the \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of the arithmetic divisors of $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ that exhibit log-log growth along S divided by \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of the principal arithmetic divisors with log-log growth along S . For an arithmetic divisor $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} = (\mathcal{Z}, g) \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N), S)$ with log-log-singularity along S , the function g is smooth on $X_0(N) \setminus \{\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{C}) \cup S\}$, and satisfies the following conditions:

$$(2.1) \quad dd^c[g] + \delta_Z = [\omega],$$

$$(2.2)$$

$$\text{near } S_j, \quad g(t_j) = -2\alpha_j \log(-\log(|t_j|^2)) - 2\beta_j \log|t_j| - 2\psi_j(t_j)$$

where ψ_j is a smooth function, ω is a $(1, 1)$ -form which is smooth away from S , and t_j is a local parameter at cusp S_j .

There exists an extension height pairing [Kü1, Proposition 1.4]

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N), S) \times \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N), S) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

such that if \mathcal{Z}_1 and \mathcal{Z}_2 are divisors intersect properly, then

$$\langle (\mathcal{Z}_1, g_1), (\mathcal{Z}_2, g_2) \rangle_{GS} = (\mathcal{Z}_1 \cdot \mathcal{Z}_2)_{fin} + \frac{1}{2} g_1 * g_2.$$

The star product is defined as

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1 * g_2 &= g_1(Z_2 - \sum_j \text{ord}_{S_j}(Z_2)S_j) + 2 \sum_j \text{ord}_{S_j} Z_2 (\alpha_{1,j} - \psi_{1,j}(0)) \\ &- \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left(2 \sum_j (\text{ord}_{S_j} Z_2) \alpha_{1,j} \log(-2 \log \epsilon) - \int_{X_\epsilon} g_2 \omega_1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, let $B_\epsilon(S_j)$ be the open disc of radius ϵ centered at S_j , and $X_\epsilon = X_0(N) - \bigcup_j B_\epsilon(S_j)$.

We view the metrized line bundle as an arithmetic divisor. Let ω_N be the Hodge bundle and $\mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ be the line bundle of weight k modular form on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. The normalized Petersson metric for modular forms gives a metrized line bundle

$$(2.5) \quad \widehat{\omega}_N^k \cong \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_k(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

For a modular form f of weight k , the normalized Petersson norm is defined by

$$(2.6) \quad \|f(z)\|_{Pet} = |f(z)(4\pi e^{-C}y)^{\frac{k}{2}}|$$

where $C = \frac{\log 4\pi + \gamma}{2}$ and γ is Euler constant. The modular form $\Delta_N(z)$ is constructed in [DY1, (1.6)],

$$(2.7) \quad \Delta_N(z) = \prod_{t|N} \Delta(tz)^{a(t)}$$

with

$$a(t) = \sum_{r|t} \mu\left(\frac{t}{r}\right) \mu\left(\frac{N}{r}\right) \frac{\varphi(N)}{\varphi\left(\frac{N}{r}\right)},$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the the Möbius function, $\varphi(N)$ is the Euler function and weight $k = 12\varphi(N)$. Then we can identify

$$(2.8) \quad \widehat{\omega}_N = \frac{1}{k} (\text{Div}(\Delta_N), -\log \|\Delta_N\|_{Pet}^2),$$

where

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Div} \Delta_N = \frac{tk}{12} \mathcal{P}_\infty - k \sum_{p|N} \frac{p}{p-1} \mathcal{X}_p^0, \quad t = N \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1}).$$

2.2. Arithmetic theta function. For $r > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$(2.10) \quad \beta_s(r) = \int_1^\infty e^{-rt} t^{-s} dt,$$

and

$$(2.11) \quad \xi_\Delta(w, z) = \beta_1(2\pi R(w, z)_\Delta).$$

For $n \in \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) + \mathbb{Z}$, the twisted Kudla's Green functions is defined in [DY2, Section 3] as

$$(2.12) \quad \Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)(z) = \sum_{0 \neq w \in L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta]} \chi_\Delta(w) \xi_\Delta(\sqrt{v}w, z),$$

which is a $\Gamma_0(N)$ -invariant function as z .

Theorem 2.2. 1) [Ku1] *When $n > 0$, $\Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)(z)$ is a Green function for $Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)$ on $Y_0(N) = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H}$, and satisfies the following current equation,*

$$dd^c[\Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)(z)] + \delta_{Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)} = [\omega_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)],$$

where $\omega_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)$ is the differential form

$$\omega_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v) = \sum_{w \in L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta]} \chi_{\Delta}(w) \varphi_{\Delta}^0(w, z).$$

Moreover, when $n \leq 0$, $\Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)(z)$ is smooth on $Y_0(N)$.

2)[DY1][DY2] Around cusps,

$$\Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)(z) \text{ has } \begin{cases} \log \text{ singularities} & \text{if } \Delta = 1, -4Nn = \square > 0, \\ \log(-\log) \text{ singularities} & \text{if } \Delta = 1, n = 0, \\ \text{no singularities} & \text{if others.} \end{cases}$$

When $n \neq 0$, the arithmetic Heegner divisors are defined in [DY1] and [DY2] as follows,

(2.13)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v) = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu), \Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)) & \text{if } n > 0, \\ (g(n, \mu, v) \sum_P \text{cusps } \mathcal{P}, \Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)) & \text{if } -4Nn = \square > 0, \\ (0, \Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)) & \text{if others,} \end{cases}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)$ and \mathcal{P} are the Zariski closures of $Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)$ and cusp P in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. When $\Delta = 1$, $\Xi_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v)$ has log singularities at cusps, and the multiplicity $g(n, \mu, v)$ is given in [DY1] as follows

$$g(n, \mu, v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{4\pi\sqrt{v}} \beta_{3/2}(-4nv\pi) & \text{if } n \neq 0, \mu \notin \frac{1}{2}L/L, \\ \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi\sqrt{v}} \beta_{3/2}(-4nv\pi) & \text{if } n \neq 0, \mu \in \frac{1}{2}L/L, \\ \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi\sqrt{v}} & \text{if } n = 0, \mu = 0, \end{cases}$$

when $\Delta \neq 1$, the multiplicity $g(n, \mu, v) = 0$.

When $\Delta = 1$, we define

(2.14)

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(0, 0, v) = (g_{\Delta,r}(0, 0, v) \sum_P \text{cusps } \mathcal{P}, \Xi_{\Delta,r}(0, 0, v)) + \widehat{\omega} - (0, \log(\frac{v}{N})),$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad \widehat{\omega} := -\widehat{\omega}_N - W_N^* \widehat{\omega}_N = -2\widehat{\omega}_N - \sum_{p|N} \mathcal{X}_p^0;$$

when $\Delta \neq 1$, define

$$(2.16) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(0, 0, v) = (0, \Xi_{\Delta,r}(0, 0, v)).$$

It is known that all these arithmetic divisors belong to $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$, which is the arithmetic Chow group with real coefficients in the sense of Gillet-Soulé.

Theorem 2.3. [DY1, Theorem 1.1][DY2, Theorem 1.6] *The generating function*

$$(2.17) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{n \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \mu \in L^\sharp/L}} \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, v) q_\tau^n e_\mu,$$

is a vector valued modular form for Γ' of weight $\frac{3}{2}$, valued in $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L] \otimes \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$. Here Γ' acts on $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ via the Weil representation $\tilde{\rho}_L$ and $q_\tau = e(\tau)$.

Then $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau)$ is called an arithmetic theta function.

2.3. Decomposition of $\widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$. Let ν be a smooth, positive $(1, 1)$ -form on $X_0(N)$, and let $g(w, z)$ be the ν -admissible Green function. We define

$$(2.18) \quad g_\infty(z) = g(P_\infty, z),$$

which is the Green function associated with the infinity cusp P_∞ . We denote the arithmetic divisor by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = (\mathcal{P}_\infty, g_\infty)$.

Let $A(X_0(N))$ to be the space of smooth functions f on X that are invariant under conjugation ($Frob_\infty$ -invariant), and $A^0(X_0(N))$ to be the subspace of functions $f \in A(X_0(N))$ with

$$\int_X f(z) \nu(z) = 0.$$

Then we denote the associated arithmetic divisor by $a(f) = (0, f)$.

The vertical component is defined by

$$(2.19) \quad \text{Vert} = \sum_{p|N} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}^0 + \mathbb{R}\mathcal{X}^\infty.$$

We identify the vertical divisor \mathcal{X} with arithmetic divisor $\widehat{\mathcal{X}} = (\mathcal{X}, 0)$. Then we have

$$(2.20) \quad \mathcal{X}^\infty + \mathcal{X}^0 = 2(0, \log p) = 2\mathbf{1} \log p.$$

So $\mathbf{1} = (0, 1)$ can be viewed as a vertical arithmetic divisor. It follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \text{Vert} = \sum_{p|N} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{Y}_p^\vee + \mathbb{R}\mathbf{1}.$$

Here $\mathcal{Y}_p^\vee = \frac{1}{\langle \mathcal{Y}_p, \mathcal{Y}_p \rangle} \mathcal{Y}_p$, $\mathcal{Y}_p = \mathcal{X}_p^0 - p\mathcal{X}_p^\infty$ with $\langle \mathcal{Y}_p, \widehat{\omega}_N \rangle_{GS} = 0$.

Proposition 2.4. ([KRY2, Propositions 4.1.2, 4.1.4])

$$(2.22) \quad \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N)) = \widetilde{\text{MW}} \oplus (\mathbb{R}\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \oplus \text{Vert} \oplus a(A^0(X))).$$

For every $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} = (\mathcal{Z}, g_{\mathcal{Z}})$, it decomposes into

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} = \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{MW} + \deg(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} + \sum_{p|N} \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}, \mathcal{Y}_p \rangle_{GS} \mathcal{Y}_p^{\vee} + 2\kappa(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})\mathbf{1} + a(f_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}})$$

for some $f_{\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}} \in A^0(X)$, where

$$\kappa(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}) = \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} - \deg(\widehat{\mathcal{Z}})\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} \rangle_{GS}.$$

According to this decomposition, we have

Proposition 2.5.

$$(2.23) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r} = \widehat{\phi}_{MW} + \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r})\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} + \widehat{\phi}_{Vert} + a(\phi_{SM}).$$

More precisely, the vertical component is equal to

$$(2.24) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{Vert} = \sum_{p|N} \phi_p(\tau) \mathcal{Y}_p^{\vee} + 2\phi_1(\tau)\mathbf{1},$$

where

$$(2.25) \quad \phi_p = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}, \mathcal{Y}_p \rangle_{GS}$$

and

$$(2.26) \quad \phi_1(\tau) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau) - \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau))\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} \rangle_{GS}.$$

The archimedean part of $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau)$ is given as follows.

Lemma 2.6.

$$(2.27) \quad \Xi_{\Delta,r}(\tau, z) = g_{MW}(\tau, z) + \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r})g_{\infty}(z) + 2\phi_1(\tau) + \phi_{SM}(\tau, z),$$

where

$$(2.28) \quad g_{MW}(\tau, z) = \sum_{n,\mu} g_{MW}(n, \mu, \nu)(z) q^n e_{\mu},$$

and

$$(2.29) \quad \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) = \sum \phi_{SM}(n, \mu, \nu; z) q^n e_{\mu}.$$

Each term in the summation is a modular form of weight $3/2$. Here $g_{MW}(n, \mu, \nu)$ is the ν -admissible Green function for the divisor

$$y_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu) := Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu) - \deg(Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu))P_{\infty},$$

and $\phi_{SM}(n, \mu, \nu; z) \in A^0(X)$ are smooth functions.

3. ARITHMETIC THETA LIFT

In this section, we will study the arithmetic theta lift as follows.

Definition 3.1. For any cusp form $g \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \widehat{\rho}_L}$, define the arithmetic theta lift by

$$(3.1) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}, g \rangle_{Pet} \in \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N)).$$

We will prove the following result (Theorem 0.10) in this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let the notation be as above. Then*

- (1) $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) = \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g)$.
- (2) $\widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) \in \widehat{\text{MW}}$.
- (3) For any $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \in \widehat{\text{MW}}^\perp$, we have $\langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle_{GS} = 0$.

3.1. $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty$ component. We will prove that the following result in this subsection

$$(3.2) \quad \langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} = 0.$$

Lemma 3.3.

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0(N)} g_\infty(z) \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, z).$$

Proof. According to [DY1, Theorem 6.9], we know that

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v), \widehat{\Delta}_N \rangle_{GS} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} \int_{X_0(N)} \log \|\Delta_N\|_{Pet}^2 \omega_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v)(z) & \text{if } n \neq 0; \\ \int_{X_0(N)} \log \|\Delta_N\|_{Pet}^2 (\omega_{\Delta, r}(0, 0, v)(z) - \frac{dx dy}{2\pi y^2}) & \text{if } n = 0, \mu = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 0, \mu \neq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{\Delta}_N = \left(\frac{tk}{12} \mathcal{P}_\infty, -\log \|\Delta_N\|_{Pet}^2 \right).$$

By the same argument, replace $\widehat{\Delta}_N$ by $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty = (\mathcal{P}_\infty, g_\infty)$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0(N)} g_\infty(z) \omega_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, v)(z) & \text{if } n \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0(N)} g_\infty(z) (\omega_{\Delta, r}(0, 0, v)(z) - \frac{dx dy}{2\pi y^2}) & \text{if } n = 0, \mu = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of Kudla-Millson theta function $\Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, z)$ in Section 2, we obtain the result. \square

Proposition 3.4.

$$(3.6) \quad \langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} = 0.$$

Proof. According to [Al, Theorem 5.1], for any cusp form $g(\tau) \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_L}$,

$$(3.7) \quad \langle \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, z), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Following Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0(N)} g_\infty(z) \langle \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, z), g \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

3.2. Vertical component. The vertical component of $\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau)$ is given as

$$(3.9) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{\text{Vert}}(\tau) = \sum_{p|N} \phi_p(\tau) \mathcal{Y}_p^\vee + 2\phi_1(\tau) \mathbf{1}.$$

We will prove the following result in this subsection

Proposition 3.5.

$$(3.10) \quad \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\text{Vert}}, g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.7 and equation (3.9). \square

We define the normalized Eisenstein series as

$$(3.11) \quad \mathcal{E}_L(\tau, s) = -\frac{s}{4} \pi^{-s-1} \Gamma(s) \zeta^{(N)}(2s) N^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2}s} \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma'_\infty \setminus \Gamma'} (v^{\frac{s-1}{2}} e_0) |_{3/2, \tilde{\rho}_L} \gamma',$$

and

$$\zeta^{(N)}(s) = \zeta(s) \prod_{p|N} (1 - p^{-s}).$$

The following result has been proved in [DY1][DY2].

Proposition 3.6.

$$\deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau)) = 2 \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \mathbf{1} \rangle_{GS} = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{\varphi(N)} \mathcal{E}_L(\tau, 1), & \Delta = 1; \\ 0, & \Delta \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \mathcal{X}_p^0 \rangle_{GS} = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \mathcal{X}_p^\infty \rangle_{GS} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varphi(N)} \mathcal{E}_L(\tau, 1) \log p, & \Delta = 1; \\ 0, & \Delta \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 3.7.

$$(3.12) \quad \langle \phi_1(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = \langle \phi_p(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.6, $\deg \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau)$ is a constant multiple of $\mathcal{E}_L(\tau, 1)$. Then we have

$$(3.13) \quad \langle \deg \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau), g \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

According to Proposition 3.4, we have

$$(3.14) \quad \langle \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS}, g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Combining it with equation (3.13), we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_1(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} \\ &= \langle \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau), \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS}, g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} - \langle \deg \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r}(\tau), g \rangle_{Pet} \langle \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\infty \rangle_{GS} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 3.6, $\phi_p(\tau)$ is a constant multiple of $\mathcal{E}_L(\tau, 1)$. It follows that

$$(3.16) \quad \langle \phi_p(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Thus, we finish the proof. \square

3.3. Smooth component and spectral decomposition. In this subsection, we will prove the following result

$$(3.17) \quad \langle \phi_{SM}(\tau, z), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Let Δ_z be the Laplacian operator with respect to ν such that

$$(3.18) \quad d_z d_z^c f = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_z(f) \nu.$$

Then the space $A^0(X)$ has an orthogonal normal basis $\{f_{\lambda_j}\}$ with

$\Delta_z f_{\lambda_j} + \lambda_j f_{\lambda_j} = 0$, $\langle f_{\lambda_j}, f_{\lambda_j} \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, and $\lambda_0 = 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$, where the inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{X_0(N)} f \bar{g} \nu.$$

Then for any $f \in A^0(X)$, one has

$$f = \sum \langle f, f_\lambda \rangle f_\lambda.$$

It follows that

$$(3.19) \quad \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\lambda > 0} \langle \phi_{SM}, f_\lambda \rangle f_\lambda.$$

By [DY1, Theorem 8.4], we have

$$\langle \phi_{SM}, f_\lambda \rangle = -\frac{2}{\lambda} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, f_\lambda),$$

where

$$(3.20) \quad \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, f) = \int_{X_0(N)} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, z) f,$$

and

$$\Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, f_0) = \int_{X_0(N)} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, z), \text{ for } f_0 = 1.$$

Thus we obtain the following spectral decomposition.

Lemma 3.8.

$$(3.21) \quad \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) = -2 \sum_{\lambda > 0} \lambda^{-1} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, f_\lambda) f_\lambda,$$

and

$$(3.22) \quad \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, z) = \sum_{\lambda} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, f_\lambda) f_\lambda.$$

Let $g(w, z)$ be the real function on $X_0(N) \times X_0(N)$ such that

$$d_z d_z^c [g(w, z)] + \delta_w = [\nu(z)],$$

which is a Green function associated to ν .

Proposition 3.9. *Let the notation be as above. Then*

$$(3.23) \quad \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) = - \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, w).$$

Proof. For $f(z) \in A^0(X)$, by the current function

$$d_z d_z^c [g(w, z)] + \delta_w = [\nu(z)],$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \Delta_z f(z) \nu(z) &= 2 \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) d_z d_z^c f(z) \\ &= 2 \left(\int_{X_0(N)} f(z) \nu(z) - f(w) \right) \\ &= -2f(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.24) \quad f(w) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \Delta_z f(z) \nu(z).$$

According to the proof of [DY1, Theorem 8.5], we have

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} d_z d_z^c \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) &= \sum d_z d_z^c \phi_{SM}(m, \mu, v; z) q^m e_\mu \\ &= \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{KM}(\tau, z) - \deg \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta,r} \nu(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\phi_{SM}(m, \mu, v; z)$ is an element of $A^0(X)$. By equations (3.24) and (3.25),

$$(3.26) \quad \phi_{SM}(\tau, z) = - \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, w).$$

Thus we finish the proof. \square

Now we prove the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *If $g \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, then*

$$(3.27) \quad \langle \phi_{SM}(\tau, z), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.9, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \phi_{SM}(\tau, z), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} \\ &= - \left\langle \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, w), g(\tau) \right\rangle_{Pet} \\ &= - \int_{X_0(N)} g(w, z) \langle \Theta_{\Delta, r}^{KM}(\tau, w), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we finish the proof. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proof. According to Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(3.29) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r} = \widehat{\phi}_{MW} + \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}) \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty} + \widehat{\phi}_{\text{Vert}} + a(\phi_{SM}).$$

According to Theorem 3.10 and Proposition 3.5, we have

$$(3.30) \quad \langle \phi_{SM}(\tau, z), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{\text{Vert}}, g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet} = 0.$$

Recall that

$$(3.31) \quad \langle \deg(\widehat{\phi}_{\Delta, r}), g \rangle_{Pet} = 0$$

By this equation and equation (3.30), we obtain that

$$(3.32) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) = \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(\tau), g(\tau) \rangle_{Pet}.$$

It implies that

$$(3.33) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) \in \widetilde{MW},$$

and

$$\langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \rangle_{GS} = 0, \text{ for any } \widehat{\mathcal{Z}} \in \widetilde{MW}^{\perp}.$$

Thus we finish the proof.

Part 2. Green functions and CM values

4. AUTOMORPHIC GREEN FUNCTIONS

In this section, we mainly study the twisted theta lift of non-holomorphic Hejhal-Poincaré series.

4.1. Automorphic Green functions. For $s > 0$, $t > 1$, the Legendre function of the second kind is defined as follows

$$(4.1) \quad Q_{s-1}(t) = \int_0^\infty (t + \sqrt{t^2 - 1} \cosh u)^{-s} du.$$

It can be expressed as

$$(4.2) \quad Q_{s-1}(t) = \frac{\Gamma(s)^2}{2\Gamma(2s)} \left(\frac{2}{1+t} \right)^s F(s, s, 2s; \frac{2}{1+t}),$$

where $F(a, b, c; z)$ is the hypergeometric function. For any points $z, z' \in \mathbb{H}$ and $z \neq z'$, we denote

$$(4.3) \quad g_s(z, z') = -2Q_{s-1} \left(1 + \frac{|z - z'|^2}{2\Im z \Im z'} \right).$$

When $z \rightarrow z'$, $g_s(z, z') = 2 \log |z - z'| + O(1)$. The sum

$$(4.4) \quad G_{N,s}(z, z') = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)} g_s(z, \gamma z'), \quad z' \notin \Gamma_0(N)z$$

absolutely converges for $\Re(s) > 1$. It is known as the resolvent kernel or automorphic Green function, and it is also a $\Gamma_0(N)$ -invariant function,

$$G_{N,s}(z, z') = G_{N,s}(\gamma z, \gamma z').$$

It has a simple pole of residue κ_N at $s = 1$,

$$\kappa_N = -12N^{-1} \prod_{p|N} (1 + p^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Gross and Zagier constructed the revised Green functions for Heegner point in [GZ] as,

$$G(z, z') = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \left[G_{N,s}(z, z') + 4\pi E_N(w_N z, s) + 4\pi E_N(z', s) + \frac{\kappa_N}{s-1} \right] + C,$$

where $C = 2\kappa_N - \lambda_N$,

$$\lambda_N = \kappa_N \left[\log N + 2 \log 2 - 2\gamma + 2 \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(2) - 2 \sum_{p|N} \frac{p \log p}{p^2 - 1} \right],$$

and γ is the Euler constant.

When $\kappa > 1$, $G_{N,\kappa}(z, z')$ is known as the higher Green functions.

4.2. Regularized theta integral. We write $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{A})$ the twofold metaplectic cover of group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. The group $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{A})$ and $O(V)(\mathbb{A})$ act on the Schwartz-Bruhat space $S(V(\mathbb{A}))$ via the Weil representation ω with the standard character ψ of \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{Q} . For any $\varphi \in S(V(\mathbb{A}))$, the theta function is defined by

$$\Theta(g, h, \varphi) = \sum_{w \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g)\varphi(h^{-1}w),$$

where $g \in \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{A})$ and $h \in O(V)(\mathbb{A})$.

Taking special Schwartz-Bruhat function φ , one can obtain different theta functions, and one can see in Section 1.3.

For $\tau = u + iv \in \mathbb{H}$, we set

$$g_\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & v^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $g'_\tau = (g_\tau, 1) \in \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the associated Gaussian is defined by

$$\varphi_\infty(w, z) = e^{-\pi(w, w)z},$$

which belongs to $S(V(\mathbb{R}))$. The theta function

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\tau, z, h_f, \varphi_f) &:= v^{-n/4+1/2} \Theta(g'_\tau, h_f, \varphi_f) \\ &= v^{-n/4+1/2} \sum_{w \in V(\mathbb{Q})} \omega(g'_\tau) \varphi_\infty(w, z) \otimes \varphi_f(h_f^{-1}w) \\ (4.5) \quad &= v \sum_{w \in V(\mathbb{Q})} e(Q(w_{z^\perp})\tau + Q(w_z)\bar{\tau}) \varphi_f(h_f^{-1}w). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$, denote

$$\phi_\mu = \mathrm{char}(\widehat{L} + \mu) \in S(V(\mathbb{A}_f)),$$

where $\widehat{L} = L \otimes \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$. Bruinier and Yang defined the theta function

$$(4.6) \quad \Theta(\tau, z, h_f) = \sum_{\mu \in L'/L} \Theta(\tau, z, h_f, \phi_\mu) \phi_\mu.$$

We identify ϕ_μ with e_μ . Then $\Theta(\tau, z, h_f)$ is a modular form of weight $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ like

$$\Theta(\gamma\tau, z, h_f) = \phi(\tau)^{n-2} \rho_L(\gamma') \Theta(\tau, z, h_f).$$

Then the representation ρ_L can be identified with the restriction to Γ' of the complex conjugate of the Weil representation ω on $S(V(\mathbb{A}_f))$.

For any $f \in H_{1-n/2, \bar{\rho}_L}$, the regularized theta integral is defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \Phi(z, h, f) = \int_{\mathcal{F}}^{reg} \langle f(\tau), \Theta(\tau, z, h) \rangle d\mu(\tau).$$

This regularized integral is defined as the constant term of Laurent expansion. When $n = 1$, it is given by

$$CT_{s=0} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} F(\tau) \frac{1}{v^s} d\mu(\tau),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_T = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H} \mid |u| \leq \frac{1}{2}, v < T \text{ and } |\tau| > 1\}$ denotes the truncated fundamental domain.

The twisted regularized theta integral [BO, Section 5] is defined as

$$(4.8) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, f) = \int_{\mathcal{F}}^{reg} \langle f(\tau), \Theta_{\Delta,r}(\tau, z, h) \rangle d\mu(\tau).$$

Here $\Theta_{\Delta,r}(\tau, z, h)$ is the twisted theta function. The reader can check more details in Section 1.3.

Theorem 4.1. [BO, Proposition 5.2] *For any $f \in H_{1/2, \bar{\rho}_L}$, the function $\Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, f)$ is smooth on $Y_0(N) \setminus Z_{\Delta,r}(f)$ with logarithmic singularities along the divisor $-2Z_{\Delta,r}(f)$. If Δ_z denotes the invariant Laplace operator on \mathbb{H} , one has*

$$\Delta_z \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, f) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{0}\right) c^+(0, 0),$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\Delta}{0}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \Delta = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \Delta \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

The function $\Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, f)$ is a Green function for the divisor

$$Z_{\Delta,r}(f) + C_{\Delta,r},$$

where $C_{\Delta,r}$ is a divisor supported at the cusps. Notice that, when $\Delta \neq 1$, $C_{\Delta,r} = 0$.

Now we write the arithmetic divisor

$$(4.9) \quad \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f) = (\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(f), \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, f)) \in \widehat{\text{CH}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

4.3. Theta lift of Non-holomorphic Poincaré series. Let $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $M_{\mu,\nu}(v)$ denote the usual Whittaker functions. We put

$$(4.10) \quad \mathcal{M}_{s,k}(v) := v^{-\frac{k}{2}} M_{-\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(v).$$

For any pair (n, μ) , $n > 0$ and $n \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, the non-holomorphic Hejhal-Poincaré series of index (n, μ) and weight k is studied in [Br, Chapter 1], and it is also generalized in [JKK] and [Al],

$$(4.11) \quad F_{n,\mu}(\tau, s, k) = \frac{1}{2\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{\gamma \in \widetilde{\Gamma_\infty} \backslash Mp_2(\mathbb{Z})} [\mathcal{M}_{s,k}(4\pi n v) e(-nu) e_\mu] |_{k, \bar{\rho}_L} \gamma.$$

We denote $s_0 = 1 - \frac{k}{2}$ and define

$$(4.12) \quad F_{n,\mu}(\tau) = F_{n,\mu}(\tau, s_0, k).$$

It is known that $\mathcal{M}_{s,k}(4\pi n v) e(-nu)$ is an eigenfunction of the weight k hyperbolic Laplacian

$$(4.13) \quad \Delta_k = -v^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v^2} \right) + ikv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right),$$

and has eigenvalue $(s - \frac{k}{2})(1 - \frac{k}{2} - s)$. This implies that $F_{n,\mu}(\tau)$ is harmonic and has a principal part

$$(4.14) \quad e(-n\tau)(e_\mu + (-1)^{k+\frac{b^- - b^+}{2}} e_{-\mu}) + C,$$

for some constant $C \in \mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$.

Lemma 4.2. *When $k = 1/2$, the principal part of $F_{n,\mu}$ is given by $e(-n\tau)e_\mu + e(-n\tau)e_{-\mu} + C$, if $\Delta > 0$, resp. $e(-n\tau)e_\mu - e(-n\tau)e_{-\mu} + C$, if $\Delta < 0$.*

It is known that

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{(4\pi n)^j} R_{k-2j}^j F_{n,\mu}(\tau, s_0 + j, k - 2j) = j! F_{n,\mu}(\tau, s_0 + j, k).$$

For simplicity, here we write

$$(4.16) \quad R_{k-2j}^j = R_{k-2} \circ \cdots \circ R_{k-2j} \circ R_{k-2j}.$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{H}$, let

$$(4.17) \quad \lambda(z) = \frac{\sqrt{|\Delta|}}{\sqrt{2Ny}} \begin{pmatrix} -x & x^2 + y^2 \\ -1 & x \end{pmatrix}$$

be the normalized vector. For any $w \in L^\sharp$, we define

$$p_z(w) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w, \lambda(z))_\Delta.$$

The Millson theta function is defined in Section 1.3 as

$$(4.18) \quad \Theta^\mathcal{M}(\tau, z, h) = v \sum_{\delta \in L^\sharp/L^\Delta} \sum_{w \in hL_\delta^\Delta} p_z(w) e(Q_\Delta(w_{z^\perp})\tau + Q_\Delta(w_z)\bar{\tau}) e_\delta,$$

which is a modular form of weight $1/2$ in τ and transforms with the representation ρ_{L^Δ} . Kudla and Millson studied it in [KM1].

The twisted Millson theta function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z, h) &= \psi(\Theta^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z, h)) \\ &= v \sum_{\substack{\mu \in L^{\sharp}/L \\ \pi(\delta) = r\mu \\ Q_{\Delta}(\delta) \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu)(\mathbb{Z})}} \chi_{\Delta}(\delta) \sum_{w \in hL_{\delta}^{\Delta}} p_z(w) e(Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})\tau + Q_{\Delta}(w_z)\bar{\tau}) e_{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

which transforms of weight $1/2$ with representation $\tilde{\rho}_L$.

Similarly as in [BEY], we can define the twisted regularized theta integral by

$$(4.19) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, h, f) = \int_{\mathcal{F}}^{reg} \langle f(\tau), \Theta_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(\tau, z, h) \rangle d\mu(\tau).$$

Then we have the following result.

Theorem 4.3. *Let the notation be as above. Then we have*

$$(4.20) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s, 1/2)) = -\frac{2}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})} G_{N,2s-\frac{1}{2}}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu));$$

and

$$(4.21) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s, -1/2)) = \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{4})} G_{N,2s-\frac{1}{2}}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

Especially, when $\Delta \neq 1$,

$$(4.22) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}) = -2G_{N,1}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

Proof. According to [Br, Theorem 2.14], utilizing the unfolding methods yields the following equation

$$\Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) = \frac{2}{\Gamma(2s)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 \mathcal{M}_{s,\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi|n|v) e(-nu) \Theta_{\Delta,r,\mu}(\tau, z) v^{-2} dudv,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\Theta_{\Delta,r,\mu}(\tau, z) \\ &= v \sum_{\substack{w \in L_{r\mu} \\ Q(w) \equiv \Delta Q(\mu)(\Delta)}} \chi_{\Delta}(w) \exp(-2\pi Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})v + 2\pi Q_{\Delta}(w_z)v) e(Q_{\Delta}(w)u). \end{aligned}$$

From this equation, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.23) \quad &\Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) \\ &= \frac{2(4\pi|n|)^{-\frac{k}{2}}}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{w \in L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta]} \chi_{\Delta}(w) \int_0^{\infty} M_{-\text{sgn}(n)\frac{k}{2}, s-\frac{1}{2}}(4\pi|n|v) \\ &\quad \times \exp(-4\pi Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})v + 2\pi nv) v^{-1-\frac{k}{2}} dv. \end{aligned}$$

By the Laplace transforms, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) &= \frac{2\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{4})}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{w \in L_{r\mu}[|\Delta|n]} \chi_{\Delta}(w) \left(\frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})} \right)^{s - \frac{1}{4}} \\ &\times F\left(s - \frac{1}{4}, s + \frac{1}{4}, 2s; \frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

According to [BEY, Proposition 6.2], we have

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})} \right)^{s - \frac{1}{4}} F\left(s - \frac{1}{4}, s + \frac{1}{4}, 2s; \frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})}\right) \\ &= \frac{2^{\frac{3}{2} - 2s} \Gamma(4s - 1)}{\Gamma(2s - \frac{1}{2})^2} Q_{2s - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|z - z(w)|^2}{2y\Im(z(w))} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with equation (4.24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) \\ &= \frac{2^{\frac{5}{2} - 2s} \Gamma(s - \frac{1}{4}) \Gamma(4s - 1)}{\Gamma(2s) \Gamma(2s - \frac{1}{2})^2} \sum_{\substack{w \in L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta] \\ Q(w) \equiv \Delta Q(\mu)(\Delta)}} \chi_{\Delta}(w) Q_{2s - \frac{3}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|z - z(w)|^2}{2y\Im(z(w))} \right). \\ &= -\frac{2}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})} \sum_{w \in \Gamma_0(N) \setminus L_{r\mu}[n|\Delta]} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)} \chi_{\Delta}(\gamma w) g_{2s - \frac{1}{2}}(z, z(\gamma w)). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$,

$$\gamma z(w) = z(\gamma w), \quad \chi_{\Delta}(\gamma w) = \chi_{\Delta}(w).$$

Then it follows that

$$(4.26) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) = -\frac{2}{\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})} G_{N, 2s - \frac{1}{2}}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

Similarly, we have

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) &= \frac{2\Gamma(s + \frac{1}{4})}{\Gamma(2s)} \sum_{w \in L_{r\mu}[|\Delta|n]} \chi_{\Delta}(w) p_z(w) \left(\frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})} \right)^{s + \frac{1}{4}} \\ &\times F\left(s + \frac{1}{4}, s - \frac{1}{4}, 2s; \frac{n}{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It is known in equation (1.23) that

$$\sqrt{Q_{\Delta}(w_{z^{\perp}})} = |p_z(w)|.$$

Then we have

$$(4.28) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, F_{n,\mu}(\cdot, s)) = \frac{2\sqrt{n}}{\Gamma(s - \frac{1}{4})} G_{N, 2s - \frac{1}{2}}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

Thus we obtain the result. \square

For $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \bar{\rho}}$, we define the twisted higher regularized theta lift by

$$(4.29) \quad \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, h, f) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \times \begin{cases} \Phi_{\Delta, r}(z, h, R_{\frac{1}{2}-2j}^j f), & \kappa = 2j + 1; \\ \Phi_{\Delta, r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, h, R_{-\frac{1}{2}-2j}^j f), & \kappa = 2j + 2. \end{cases}$$

It is higher Green function for the divisor

$$(4.30) \quad Z_{\Delta, r}^j(f) = \sum_{n>0, \mu \in L^\sharp/L} c^+(-n, \mu) n^j Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu).$$

We denote

$$(4.31) \quad \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}) = \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}(\cdot, 1/4 + \kappa/2, 3/2 - \kappa)).$$

Then we have the following result:

Proposition 4.4.

$$(4.32) \quad \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}) = (-1)^\kappa 2n^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} G_{N, \kappa}(z, Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu)).$$

Proof. When $\kappa = 2j + 1$, we have

$$\Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}) = \frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \Phi_{\Delta, r}(z, R_{\frac{1}{2}-2j}^j F_{n, \mu}(\cdot, 3/4 + j, 1/2 - 2j)).$$

Combining it with equations (4.15) and (4.20), we obtain

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}) &= j! n^j \Phi_{\Delta, r}(z, F_{n, \mu}(\cdot, 3/4 + j, 1/2)) \\ &= -2n^j G_{N, 2j+1}(z, Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $\kappa = 2j + 2$, we have

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z, F_{n, \mu}) &= j! n^j \Phi_{\Delta, r}^{\mathcal{M}}(z, F_{n, \mu}(\cdot, 5/4 + j, -1/2)) \\ &= 2n^{j+\frac{1}{2}} G_{N, \kappa}(z, Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we complete the proof. \square

5. TWISTED HEEGNER DIVISORS

In this section, we will study the translated twisted Heegner divisor. Bruinier, Ehlen and Yang studied the case when level $N = 1$ in [BEY]. We will generalize it to general level N .

Let V to be the space $\{x \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}) \mid \text{tr}(x) = 0\}$ with quadratic form $Q = N \det$, and L to be the lattice in V as follows.

$$(5.1) \quad L = \left\{ w = \begin{pmatrix} b & \frac{-a}{N} \\ c & -b \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We identify $\text{GSpin}(V) = \text{GL}_2$.

5.1. Generalized genus character. Now we recall the definition of the generalized genus character in [GKZ] and [BO].

For any $w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2N} & -\frac{a}{N} \\ c & -\frac{b}{2N} \end{pmatrix} \in L^\sharp$, define

$$(5.2) \quad \chi_\Delta(w) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right), & \text{if } \Delta \mid b^2 - 4Nac \text{ and } \frac{b^2 - 4Nac}{\Delta} \text{ is a} \\ & \text{square modulo } 4N \text{ and } (a, b, c, \Delta) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here n is any integer prime to Δ represented by one of the quadratic forms $[aN_1, b, cN_2] = aN_1x^2 + bxy + cN_2y^2$, for any decomposition $N_1N_2 = N$. Especially, when the level $N = 1$ and $D = b^2 - 4ac$ is a fundamental discriminant, χ_Δ is exactly the genus character that corresponds to the decomposition $D = \Delta \times \frac{D}{\Delta}$.

Lemma 5.1. *When $(\Delta, N) = 1$,*

$$(5.3) \quad \chi_\Delta(w) = \chi_{\Delta}^{N=1}(Nw),$$

where $\chi_{\Delta}^{N=1}$ is the generalized character for level $N = 1$.

Proof. Assume that $w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2N} & -\frac{a}{N} \\ c & -\frac{b}{2N} \end{pmatrix}$, and the associated quadratic form is given by $[a, b, Nc]$.

Since $(\Delta, N) = 1$, we have

$$(a, b, Nc, \Delta) = 1 \Leftrightarrow (aN_1, b, cN_2, \Delta) = 1,$$

for any $N_1N_2 = N$. Therefore, it suffices to consider the form $[a, b, Nc]$.

We assume that $(a, b, Nc, \Delta) = 1$. Then the form $[a, b, Nc]$ represents an integer n which is prime to Δ . So we have

$$(5.4) \quad \chi_\Delta(w) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right).$$

Moreover, we have $Nw = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{2} & -a \\ Nc & -\frac{b}{2} \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$(5.5) \quad \chi_{\Delta}^{N=1}(Nw) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right) = \chi_\Delta(w).$$

Thus we obtain the result. \square

The generalized character $\chi_{\Delta}^{N=1}$ can be defined locally [BEY], one can see as follows:

when $p \nmid \Delta$, let $\chi_{\Delta, p}^{N=1}$ be the characteristic function;

when $p \mid \Delta$, let

$$(5.6) \quad \chi_{\Delta, p}^{N=1}(w) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{p^*}{n}\right), & \text{if } (a, b, c, \Delta) = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $p^* = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p$, and n is any integer that is prime to Δ and is represented by the quadratic form $[a, b, c]$.

Thus, we can write it locally as

$$\chi_\Delta(w) = \prod_p \chi_{\Delta,p}(w) = \prod_p \chi_{\Delta,p}^{N=1}(Nw).$$

Now we define K_p the compact open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ as

$$(5.7) \quad K_p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid c \in N\mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $(\Delta, N) = 1$. For any $h \in K_p$, $w \in L_p^\sharp$, then*

$$(5.8) \quad \chi_{\Delta,p}(h \cdot w) = (\det(h), \Delta)_p \chi_{\Delta,p}(w),$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_p$ is the local Hilbert symbol.

Proof. When $h \in K_p$, it is proved in [BEY, Lemma 7.3] that

$$(5.9) \quad \chi_{\Delta,p}^{N=1}(h \cdot Nw) = (\det(h), \Delta)_p \chi_{\Delta,p}^{N=1}(Nw).$$

Combining it with Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Delta,p}(h \cdot w) &= \chi_{\Delta,p}^{N=1}(h \cdot Nw) = (\det(h), \Delta)_p \chi_{\Delta,p}^{N=1}(Nw) \\ &= (\det(h), \Delta)_p \chi_{\Delta,p}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

Let U denote a negative definite 2-dimensional subspace of V . By Clifford algebra, we assume that $U \cong k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$, where D is a fundamental discriminant. We identify $\mathrm{GSpin}(U) = k^\times$.

We let Cl_k to be the ideal class group. It is known that each genus character corresponds to a decomposition of fundamental discriminants [Si]. Let

$$\chi : \mathrm{Cl}_k / \mathrm{Cl}_k^2 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

denote the genus character that is given by the discriminant decomposition $D = \Delta D_0$. We write $k^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_{k,f}$ for the finite idele class group. Then the map

$$k^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_{k,f} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_k, \quad h = (h_p) \rightarrow [h] = \prod_{p \neq \infty} \mathfrak{p}^{v_p(h_p)}$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(5.10) \quad k^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_{k,f} / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times \cong \mathrm{Cl}_k,$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times = \prod_{p \neq \infty} \mathcal{O}_p^\times$.

We denote the finite Hilbert symbol as $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathbb{A}_f} = \prod_{p < \infty} (\cdot, \cdot)_p$. Then we have the following result:

Proposition 5.3. For any $h \in \mathrm{GSpin}(U) = \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times$,

$$(5.11) \quad (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} = \chi([h]).$$

Proof. The Hilbert symbol is multiplicatively bilinear. Thus it suffices to prove it locally as follows

$$(5.12) \quad (\Delta, \det(h))_q = \chi([h]), \text{ for } h \in k_q^\times.$$

We will divide the proof into two distinct cases: $h = \pi_q$ and $h \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times$.

(1) $h = \pi_q$.

When \mathfrak{q} is inert, $\pi_q = q$ is prime to Δ , we have

$$(5.13) \quad (\Delta, \det(\pi_q))_q = (\Delta, q^2)_q = 1 = \left(\frac{\Delta}{N(\mathfrak{q})} \right) = \chi([\mathfrak{q}]).$$

When \mathfrak{q} is split, we have

$$(\Delta, \det(\pi_q))_q = (\Delta, q)_q = \left(\frac{\Delta}{N(\mathfrak{q})} \right) = \chi([\mathfrak{q}]).$$

When \mathfrak{q} is ramified, either $q \mid \Delta$ or $q \mid D_0$. Let $\pi_q = \sqrt{D} \in k_q^\times$, and denote the associated maximal ideal by $\mathfrak{q} = (\pi_q)$.

If $q \mid \Delta$, we find that

$$(5.14) \quad (\Delta, \det(\pi_q))_q = (\Delta, -D)_q = (\Delta, D_0)_q = \left(\frac{D_0}{\mathfrak{q}} \right) = \chi([\mathfrak{q}]);$$

if $q \mid D_0$, we similarly obtain

$$(5.15) \quad (\Delta, \det(\pi_q))_q = (\Delta, -D)_q = (\Delta, D_0)_q = \left(\frac{\Delta}{\mathfrak{q}} \right) = \chi([\mathfrak{q}]).$$

In summary, for any π_q , we have

$$(5.16) \quad (\Delta, \det(\pi_q))_q = \chi([\mathfrak{q}]).$$

(2) $h \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times$.

When $q \mid \Delta$, it is easy to know that $N_{k_q/\mathbb{Q}_q}(\mathcal{O}_q^\times) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_q^{\times 2}$. Consequently, for any $h \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times$, we find that

$$(\Delta, \det(h))_q = 1.$$

Moreover, when $q \nmid \Delta$,

$$(\Delta, \det(h))_q = 1.$$

Therefore, for any $h \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times$, we have

$$(5.17) \quad (\Delta, \det(h))_q = 1 = \chi([h]).$$

Combining it with equation (5.16), we obtain the result. \square

5.2. Twisted Heegner divisors. For any compact open subgroup K of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the modular curve is defined as follows

$$(5.18) \quad X_K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbb{H}^\pm \times \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f) / K.$$

According to the following decomposition

$$\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f) = \coprod \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+ hK,$$

we have

$$(5.19) \quad \coprod \Gamma_h \backslash \mathbb{H} = X_K,$$

where the mapping is given by $z \rightarrow (z, h)$, and $\Gamma_h = hKh^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+$.

Now we define the compact open subgroup as

$$K = \prod_p K_p, \quad K_p = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid c \in N\mathbb{Z}_p \right\}.$$

According to the strong approximation, $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+ K$, we assume that $h = \gamma k$, where $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+$ and $k \in K$. Up to a factor in $\Gamma_0(N)$, the decomposition of h is unique.

The modular curve X_K has only one connected component and the map

$$(5.20) \quad \Gamma_h \backslash \mathbb{H} = X_{K^h} \cong X_K = \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H},$$

is given by

$$(5.21) \quad z \mapsto (z, 1) \mapsto (z, h) \mapsto \gamma^{-1}z.$$

We define the subgroup of K as follows

$$K_\Delta = \{h \in K \mid (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} = 1\},$$

which has index 2 in K . Then we can write $K = K_\Delta \sqcup \xi K_\Delta$. Then X_{K_Δ} has two components which are given as follows

$$\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathbb{H} \sqcup \Gamma_\xi \backslash \mathbb{H} \rightarrow X_{K_\Delta}.$$

It is easy to find that $K_\Delta \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+ = \Gamma_0(N)$. For any $\xi \in K \setminus K_\Delta$, $\xi K_\Delta \xi^{-1} = K_\Delta$ and $\Gamma_\xi = \xi K_\Delta \xi^{-1} \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+ = \Gamma_0(N)$.

Definition 5.4. For any $h \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$, the translated twisted Heegner divisor on X_{K_Δ} is defined as follows

$$(5.22) \quad Z_{\Delta, r}(n, \mu, h) = \sum_{x \in \Gamma_h \backslash hL_{r\mu}[\Delta|n]} \chi_\Delta(h^{-1}x) Z(x, h).$$

By virtue of Witt's theorem, we assume the following conditions hold

$$\{x \mid x \in V(\mathbb{Q}), Q(x) = |\Delta|n\} = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})x_0$$

and

$$hL_{r\mu}[|\Delta|n] = \coprod_i K^h x_i,$$

where $x_i = h_i^{-1}x_0 \in \Gamma_h \backslash hL_{r\mu}[|\Delta|n]$ and $h_i \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Consequently, we can express

$$(5.23) \quad Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, h) = \sum \chi_{\Delta}(h^{-1}x_i)Z(x_i, h).$$

We write $h = \gamma h_0 k$, $\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})_+$, $k \in K_{\Delta}$, $h_0 = 1$ or ξ . Then we have

$$L_{r\mu}[|\Delta|n] = \coprod_i K(h_i h)^{-1}x_0 = \coprod_i K\gamma^{-1}x_i.$$

It follows that

$$(5.24) \quad Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu) = \sum \chi_{\Delta}(\gamma^{-1}x_i)Z(\gamma^{-1}x_i).$$

According to lemma 5.2, we have

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, h) &= (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} \sum \chi_{\Delta}(\gamma^{-1}x_i)Z(x_i, h) \\ &= (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu). \end{aligned}$$

We denote the function by

$$(5.26) \quad G_{N,s}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, h)) = (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} G_{N,s}(z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

We define

$$(5.27) \quad G_{N,s}((z, h), Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu, h)) = (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} G_{N,s}(\gamma^{-1}z, Z_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)).$$

It can be viewed a function on $\Gamma_h \backslash \mathbb{H}$ under the map (5.21).

Proposition 5.5. *For any $h \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_f)$, we have*

$$(5.28) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, F_{n,\mu}) = (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} \Phi_{\Delta,r}(\gamma^{-1}z, F_{n,\mu}).$$

Moreover, if $h \in \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^{\times}$,

$$(5.29) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, F_{n,\mu}) = \chi([h])\Phi_{\Delta,r}(\gamma^{-1}z, F_{n,\mu}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.3, we have

$$(5.30) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}(z, h, F_{n,\mu}) = (\Delta, \det(h))_{\mathbb{A}_f} \Phi_{\Delta,r}(\gamma^{-1}z, F_{n,\mu}).$$

By Proposition (5.3), we obtain the second equation. \square

For any negative definite 2-dimensional subspace $U \simeq k \subset V$, we identify the group $\mathrm{GSpin}(U) = k^\times$, which can be viewed as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GSpin}(V) = \mathrm{GL}_2$. It is known that $K \cap \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times$. The CM cycle is defined as follows

$$(5.31) \quad Z(U) = k^\times \setminus \{z_U^\pm\} \times \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times \cong \{z_U^\pm\} \times \mathrm{Cl}_k \rightarrow X_K,$$

where each point is counted with multiplicity $\frac{2}{w_k}$ and $w_k = |\mathcal{O}_k^\times|$. Here two points $\{z_U^\pm\}$ are given by $U(\mathbb{R})$ with the two possible choices of orientation.

It is also known that

$$(5.32) \quad \deg(Z(U)) = \frac{4}{\mathrm{vol}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times)} = \frac{4h_k}{w_k}.$$

We define the twisted CM values as follows.

Definition 5.6. For $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \bar{\rho}}$, we define

$$(5.33) \quad \Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(Z(U), f) = \frac{2}{w_k} \sum_{(z,h) \in \mathrm{supp}(Z(U))} \Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(z, h, f).$$

6. CM VALUES OF AUTOMORPHIC GREEN FUNCTIONS

According to the work [BY] and [BEY], when $\Delta = 1$, the CM value $\Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(Z(U), f)$ is equal to the sum of derivative of L-function and a constant term(CT). In this section, we will prove that, when $\Delta \neq 1$, the constant term vanishes under some conditions.

6.1. Eisenstein series. Let V be a quadratic space with dimension m . We denote the Gram determinant of V by $\det(V)$. The character is defined by the Hilbert symbol as

$$\chi_V(x) = (x, (-1)^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} \det(V))_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

For any standard section $\Phi(\cdot, s)$ in the induced representation $I(s, \chi_V)$, the Eisenstein series is defined by

$$(6.1) \quad E(g, s, \Phi) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \tilde{\mathrm{SL}}_2(\mathbb{Q})} \Phi(\gamma g, s),$$

where $P(\mathbb{Q})$ is the parabolic subgroup. It has a Fourier expansion

$$E(g, s, \Phi) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} E_n(g, s, \Phi),$$

where

$$(6.2) \quad E_n(g, s, \Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} E(n(b)g, s, \Phi) \psi(-nb) db, \quad n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

When $\Phi = \otimes \Phi_p$ is factorizable, it can be computed by

$$(6.3) \quad E_n(g, s, \Phi) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} W_{n,p}(g_p, s, \Phi_p)$$

where

$$(6.4) \quad W_{n,p}(g_p, s, \Phi_p) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Phi_p(w n(b) g_p, s) \psi(-nb) db, \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix},$$

is the local Whittaker function.

For any Schwartz-Bruhat function $\varphi \in S(V(\mathbb{A}))$, there exists a unique standard section $\lambda(\varphi) = \Phi(\cdot, s) \in I(s, \chi_V)$, such that

$$\Phi(g, s_0) = \omega(g) \varphi(0), \quad s_0 = \frac{m}{2} - 1.$$

Here ω is the Weil representation.

Now we also write

$$E(g, s, \varphi) = E(g, s, \Phi)$$

and

$$W_{n,p}(g_p, s, \varphi_p) = W_{n,p}(g_p, s, \Phi_p),$$

for easier to read.

For $\mu \in L^\sharp/L$, we denote the function as $\varphi_\mu = \text{char}(L_\mu) \in S(V(\mathbb{A}_f))$. Then we define the weight l Eisenstein series by

$$(6.5) \quad E_L(\tau, s; l) = v^{-\frac{l}{2}} \sum_{\mu} E(g_\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^l \otimes \lambda(\varphi_\mu)) \varphi_\mu,$$

where Φ_∞^l is the unique archimedean standard section such that

$$\Phi_\infty^l \left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, s \right) = e^{il\theta}, \quad \theta \in [0, 2\pi].$$

We identify φ_μ with e_μ . Then this Eisenstein series is a $\mathbb{C}[L^\sharp/L]$ -valued Eisenstein series. According to [BY, Section 2], we can write it as follows

$$(6.6) \quad E_L(\tau, s; l) = \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma_\infty \setminus \Gamma'} (v^{\frac{s+1-l}{2}} e_0) |_{l, \rho_L} \gamma'.$$

When V is a negative definite two-dimensional space, $E_L(\tau, s; 1)$ is an incoherent Eisenstein series and vanishes at $s_0 = 0$. Furthermore, $E_L(\tau, s; -1)$ is holomorphic at s_0 . The following relationship holds

$$(6.7) \quad L_1 E_L(\tau, s; 1) = \frac{s}{2} E_L(\tau, s; -1),$$

where $L_l = -2iv^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\tau}}$ is the Maass lowering operators in weight l .

If the lattice L is replaced by L^Δ , we have the same result.

6.2. Derivatives of Eisenstein series. Let the lattice $L \subset V$ be defined by equation (5.1).

We assume that $D = -4N|\Delta|m_0 = \Delta D_0$ is a fundamental discriminant such that $D \equiv R^2 \pmod{4N}$. We choose

$$(6.8) \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{R}{2N} & \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{D-R^2}{4N} & -\frac{R}{2N} \end{pmatrix} \in L_{r\mu_0}[|\Delta|m_0],$$

and define two sublattices of L as follows

$$(6.9) \quad \mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2, \quad \mathcal{P} = \mathbb{Z}\frac{2N}{t}w,$$

where $e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -R & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{N} \\ \frac{R^2-D}{4N} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $t = (R, 2N)$.

It is known in [BY] that

$$(6.10) \quad \mathcal{P}^\sharp = \mathbb{Z}\frac{t}{D}w, \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^\sharp \cap L^\sharp = \mathbb{Z}w.$$

We can write the incoherent Eisenstein series as follows

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, s; 1) &= v^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}^\sharp / \mathcal{N}^\Delta} E(g_\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^1 \otimes \lambda(\varphi_\delta))e_\delta \\ &= \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}^\sharp / \mathcal{N}^\Delta} \sum_n A_\delta(s, n, v)q^n e_\delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_\delta = \text{char}(N_\delta^\Delta)$ is the characteristic function of $\mathcal{N}_\delta^\Delta$. It has the following Taylor expansion

$$A_\delta(s, n, v) = b_\delta(n, v)s + O(s^2).$$

Consequently, we have

$$(6.12) \quad E'_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, s_0; 1) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}^\sharp / \mathcal{N}^\Delta} \sum_n b_\delta(n, v)q^n e_\delta,$$

which is a harmonic weak Maass form of weight 1. Here $s_0 = 0$. The following terms are studied in [Ku2] and [Scho],

$$(6.13) \quad k(n, \delta) = \begin{cases} \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} b_\delta(n, v), & \text{if } n \neq 0 \text{ or } \delta \neq 0, \\ \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} b_0(0, v) - \log(v), & \text{if } n = 0 \text{ and } \delta = 0. \end{cases}$$

For $n > 0$, $b_\delta(n, v)$ is independent of v , while for $n < 0$, $k(n, \delta) = 0$. When $n = 0$, $\delta \neq 0$, $k(n, \delta) = 0$.

Then we denote

$$(6.14) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau) = \sum_\delta \sum_{n \geq 0} k(n, \delta)q^n e_\delta,$$

which is the holomorphic part of $E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, s_0; 1)$. We will compute $k(n, \delta)$ in this section.

The (n, δ) -th Fourier coefficients of $E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, s; 1)$ are given by the product of local Whittaker functions as

$$(6.15) \quad E_n(\tau, s, \varphi_\delta) = W_{n, \infty}(\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^1) \prod_{p < \infty} W_{n, p}(s, \varphi_{\delta, p}),$$

where

$$W_{n, \infty}(\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^1) = v^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{n, \infty}(g_\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^1)$$

and

$$W_{n, p}(s, \varphi_{\delta, p}) = W_{n, p}(1, s, \lambda(\varphi_{\delta, p})).$$

The archimedean Whittaker function $W_{n, \infty}(\tau, s, \Phi_\infty^1)$ is studied in [KRY1, Section 15]. We will take Yang's method [Ya] to compute non-archimedean Whittaker functions $W_{n, p}(s, \varphi_{\delta, p})$.

Yang studied the following local density,

$$(6.16) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \int_{\mathcal{N}_\delta^\Delta} \psi(bQ(x)) dx \psi(-nb) db,$$

where dx is the standard Haar measure on \mathbb{Z}_p^2 and $\psi : \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the standard additive character with $\psi_\infty(x) = e(x)$.

It is known that

$$(6.17) \quad W_{n, p}(s_0, \varphi_{\delta, p}) = \gamma_p |S|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p),$$

where γ_p denotes the local splitting index and S is the Gram matrix.

By the product formula (6.15), we obtain the coefficient $E_n(\tau, s, \varphi_\delta)$, and so $k(n, \delta)$.

We define the subset of primes by

$$(6.18) \quad \text{Diff}(n) = \{p \mid \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1\},$$

where

$$\chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = (D, -nN|\Delta|)_p.$$

By the product formula, we have

$$\prod_{p \leq \infty} (D, -nN|\Delta|)_p = 1.$$

Since $(D, -nN|\Delta|)_\infty = -1$, the cardinality of $\text{Diff}(n)$ is odd.

We denote

$$(6.19) \quad \rho(n) = \#\{\mathbf{a} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_k \mid N(\mathbf{a}) = n\},$$

which can be expressed locally as

$$\rho(n) = \prod_p \rho(p^{\text{ord}_p(n)}).$$

We identify $\mathcal{N}^\Delta \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^2$, and the Gram matrix is given by

$$(6.20) \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} -2|\Delta|N & -|\Delta|R \\ -|\Delta|R & -\frac{R^2-D}{2N}|\Delta| \end{pmatrix},$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2N} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{r}{2N} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -2|\Delta|N & \frac{D|\Delta|}{2N} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the local density formula given in [Ya] and [KY1], we have the following result.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $\delta \in \mathcal{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$(6.21) \quad \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1 \Rightarrow W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0.$$

(1) *If $W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) \neq 0$, then*

$$W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - p^{-1} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)\right) \rho_p(nN), & p \nmid D; \\ 2, & p \mid D_0; \\ 2p, & p \mid \Delta, \delta_p = 0; \\ p, & p \mid \Delta, \delta_p \neq 0; \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0$, then*

$$W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \begin{cases} (1 + p^{-1}) \frac{\text{ord}_p(nN) + 1}{2} \ln p, & p \nmid D; \\ (\text{ord}_p(n) + 1) \ln p, & p \mid D_0; \\ (p \text{ord}_p(n) + 1) \ln p, & p \mid \Delta, \delta_p = 0; \\ (p + 1) \ln p, & p \mid \Delta, \delta_p \neq 0; \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is divided into three distinct cases.

Case 1 $p \nmid D$.

According to the density formula, we have

$$(6.22) \quad W_p(s + s_0, n, \delta_p) = \left(1 - p^{-1} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) X\right) \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \text{ord}_p(nN)} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)^k X^k,$$

where $X = p^{-s}$.

We have

$$\chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{D}{p}\right) = -1 \text{ and } \text{ord}_p(nN) \text{ is odd.}$$

It follows that

$$(6.23) \quad \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1 \Leftrightarrow W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$(6.24) \quad W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = (1 + p^{-1}) \frac{\text{ord}_p(nN) + 1}{2} \ln p.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$(6.25) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \left(1 - p^{-1} \left(\frac{D}{p}\right)\right) \rho_p(nN).$$

Case 2 When $p|D_0$.

In this case, we have

$$(6.26) \quad W_p(s + s_0, n, \delta_p) = 1 + \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|)X^{a+1}.$$

Then we know that

$$(6.27) \quad \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1 \Leftrightarrow W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(6.28) \quad W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = (\text{ord}_p(n) + 1) \ln p.$$

Otherwise, we have

$$W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 2.$$

Case 3 When $p|\Delta$.

Firstly, we consider the case where $\delta_p \equiv 0$.

It follows that $\chi_\Delta(\delta) = 0$ in this case.

If $\text{ord}_p(n) = 0$, we have

$$W_p(s, n, \delta_p) = 1 - p^{-s},$$

$$(6.29) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0, \quad W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \ln p.$$

Conversely, if $\text{ord}_p(n) \geq 1$, then

$$W_p(s, n, \delta_p) = 1 + (p-1)X + p\chi_p(-nN | \Delta |)X^{\text{ord}_p(n)+1}$$

and

$$(6.30) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = p(1 + \chi_p(-nN | \Delta |)).$$

If $\chi_p(-nN | \Delta |) = -1$, then

$$(6.31) \quad W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = (p \text{ord}_p(n) + 1) \ln p.$$

Then we have

$$W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{ord}_p(n) = 0, \text{ or } \text{ord}_p(n) \geq 1, \chi_p(-nN | \Delta |) = -1.$$

Secondly, we consider the case where $\delta_p \neq 0$.

We denote

$$n_\delta = n - Q_\Delta(\delta_p) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ and } a = \text{ord}_p(n_\delta) \geq 0.$$

We assume that $\delta_p = (0, \frac{i}{p})$ for some i , $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

It is easy to see that when $\text{ord}_p(n) = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{there exists } n_\delta = n - \frac{D|\Delta|}{4Np^2}i^2 \in p\mathbb{Z}_p &\Leftrightarrow \exists i, \frac{4Np^2}{D|\Delta|}n \equiv i^2 \pmod{p} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $a \geq 1$, then we have

$$\text{ord}_p(n) = 0 \text{ and } \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = 1.$$

By the local density formula, we have

$$(6.32) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = p.$$

If $\chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1$, then $a = 0$. Thus we have

$$(6.33) \quad W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0, \quad W'_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = \ln p.$$

Then we have

$$W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \text{ord}_p(n) = 0, n_\delta \in p\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

which implies that $\chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = 1$.

In summary, based on the three cases discussed as above, we have

$$(6.34) \quad \chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = -1 \Rightarrow W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

We define two subsets of $\mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta$ as follows

$$(6.35) \quad S = \left\{ \delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta \mid n - Q_\Delta(\delta_p) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for any } p \mid \Delta \right\},$$

and

$$(6.36) \quad S_q = \left\{ \delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta \mid n - Q_\Delta(\delta_p) \in p\mathbb{Z}_p, \text{ for any } p \mid \frac{\Delta}{q} \right\}.$$

Each $\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta$ with $Q_\Delta(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as

$$\delta_i = Rie_1 + 2Nie_2 = i \begin{pmatrix} R & 2 \\ -\frac{R^2-D}{2} & -R \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{N}, \quad 0 \leq i < |\Delta|.$$

At the place $p \mid \Delta$, it gives $\delta_p = (0, \frac{2Ni}{|\Delta|})$.

It follows that

$$(6.37) \quad \delta_i \in S \Leftrightarrow \frac{4Np^2}{D|\Delta|}n \equiv \left(\frac{2Npi}{|\Delta|} \right)^2 \pmod{p}, \text{ for any } p \mid \Delta.$$

We write the two solutions of the following equation as $\pm \mathbf{i}$,

$$(6.38) \quad \frac{4Np^2}{D|\Delta|}n \equiv x^2 \pmod{p}.$$

Then we know that $\mathbf{i} = \frac{2Npi}{|\Delta|} \pmod{p}$. The number of S is equal to $|S| = 2^{o(\Delta)}$.

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 6.2. (1) *We have*

$$(6.39) \quad \sum_{\delta \in S_q} \chi_\Delta(\delta) = 0.$$

(2) **Assumption A** *The discriminant Δ has a prime factor p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.*

Then we have

$$(6.40) \quad \sum_{\delta \in S} \chi_\Delta(\delta) = 0.$$

Proof. (1) There is a bijective map between the set

$$\{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta \mid Q_\Delta(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

and the set $\{\delta_i, 0 \leq i < |\Delta|\}$, where $\delta_i = i \begin{pmatrix} R & 2 \\ -R^2-D & -R \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$(6.41) \quad \sum_{\substack{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta \\ Q_\Delta(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}}} \chi_\Delta(\delta) = \sum_{i=0}^{|\Delta|-1} \chi_\Delta(\delta_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{|\Delta|-1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2Ni} \right) = 0.$$

According to the Chinese Remainder Theorem, there is a bijective map between S_q and the set of vectors $\{(\delta_p)_{p|\Delta}\}$, where $\delta_p = \pm(0, \frac{i}{p})$ if $p|\frac{\Delta}{q}$, and $\delta_q = (0, \frac{j}{q})$, with $0 \leq j < q$. Then we have

$$(6.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta_i \in S_q} \chi_\Delta(\delta_i) &= \left(\frac{\Delta}{2N} \right) \sum_{\delta_i \in S_q} \left(\frac{\Delta}{i} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta}{2N} \right) \prod_{p|\frac{\Delta}{q}} \left(\left(\frac{p^*}{i} \right) + \left(\frac{p^*}{-i} \right) \right) \times \left(\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \left(\frac{q^*}{j} \right) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Locally, here $2Ni \equiv \frac{|\Delta|}{p}i \pmod{p}$.

(2) If $p \mid (\Delta, n)$, then we have $S = \{0\}$.

Now we assume that $(\Delta, n) = 1$.

There is a bijective map between S and the set of vectors $\{(\delta_p)_{p|\Delta}\}$, where $\delta_p = \pm(0, \frac{i}{p})$.

Thus, we have

$$(6.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\delta_i \in S} \chi_\Delta(\delta_i) &= \sum_{\delta_i \in S} \left(\frac{\Delta}{2Ni} \right) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{2N} \right) \sum_{\delta_i \in S} \left(\frac{\Delta}{i} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta}{2N} \right) \sum_{\delta_i \in S} \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(\frac{p^*}{i} \right) = \left(\frac{\Delta}{2N} \right) \prod_{p|\Delta} \left(\left(\frac{p^*}{i} \right) + \left(\frac{p^*}{-i} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If one of the factor $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$\left(\frac{p^*}{i}\right) + \left(\frac{p^*}{-i}\right) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

We let

$$\Lambda(\chi_D, s) = |D|^{\frac{s}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{s+1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) L(\chi_D, s)$$

to be the completed L-function and χ_D to be the quadratic Dirichlet character. Notice that $\Lambda(\chi_D, 1) = \frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{\pi} L(\chi_D, 1)$. According to the preceding Lemma 6.1, we can derive the following result.

Proposition 6.3. *Let the notation be as above. Then $k(n, \delta) = 0$ unless $|\text{Diff}(n)| = 1$. If $k(n, \delta) \neq 0$, then it depends only on n and we denote it as $k(n)$. Assuming that $\text{Diff}(n) = \{p\}$, then we have the following equations.*

(1) *If $p|\Delta$, then*

$$\Lambda(\chi_D, 1)k(n) = -2^{o(D_0)+1} \rho(nN) \frac{1}{p} \ln p,$$

where $o(D_0)$ denotes the number of prime factors of D_0 .

(2) *If $p|D_0$, then*

$$\Lambda(\chi_D, 1)k(n) = -2^{o(D_0)} \rho(nN) (\text{ord}_p(n) + 1) \ln p.$$

(3) *If p is inert in k , then*

$$\Lambda(\chi_D, 1)k(n) = -2^{o(D_0)} \rho\left(\frac{nN}{p}\right) (\text{ord}_p(nN) + 1) \ln p.$$

Proof. According to equations (6.14) and (6.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.44) \quad k(n, \delta) &= E'_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta, n}(\tau, 0; 1) q^{-n} \\ &= q^{-n} W_{n, \infty}(\tau, s_0, \Phi_\infty^1) \prod_{p < \infty} W_{n, p}(\tau, s_0, \varphi_{\delta, p}). \end{aligned}$$

The following archimedean Whittaker function is studied in [KY1, Section 2],

$$(6.45) \quad q^{-n} W_{n, \infty}(\tau, s_0, \Phi_\infty^1) = -2\pi i.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k(n, \delta) &= -2\pi i \prod_{p < \infty} W_{n,p}(s_0, \varphi_{\delta,p}) = -2\pi i \prod_{p < \infty} \gamma_p |S|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) \\
&= -2\pi i \prod_{p < \infty} \gamma_p \prod_{p < \infty} |S|_p^{\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{p < \infty} W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p) \\
(6.46) \quad &= -\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{|D\Delta^2|}} \prod_{p < \infty} W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\prod_{p \leq \infty} \gamma_p = 1 \text{ and } \gamma_\infty = i.$$

The local densities $W_p(s_0, n, \delta_p)$ are given in Lemma 6.1.

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

6.3. On the constant term. The Siegel theta function for the lattice \mathcal{P}^Δ is defined by

$$(6.47) \quad \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}(\tau) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}^\Delta / \mathcal{P}^\Delta} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_h^\Delta} e(Q_\Delta(\lambda)\tau) e_h \in M_{1/2, \rho_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}}.$$

Schofer investigated the CM values of Borcherds lifts of weakly modular forms in [Scho]. It has been extended to harmonic weak Maass forms in [BY] and [BEY]. Our focus will be on the twisted cases.

For any $f \in H_{1/2, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, by Proposition 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.48) \quad \langle f(\tau), \Theta_{\Delta, r}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle &= \langle f(\tau), \psi(\Theta_{L^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h)) \rangle \\
&= \langle \phi(f(\tau)), \Theta_{L^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1.1, it follows that

$$(6.49) \quad \langle \phi(f(\tau)), \Theta_{L^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle = \langle \phi(f(\tau))_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta} \oplus_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}, \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta} \oplus_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle.$$

Now we can assume that $L^\Delta = \mathcal{P}^\Delta \oplus \mathcal{N}^\Delta$. This lead us to the splitting equation

$$(6.50) \quad \Theta_{L^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) = \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \theta_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h).$$

Combining it with equation (6.48), we obtain

$$(6.51) \quad \langle f(\tau), \Theta_{\Delta, r}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle = \langle \phi(f(\tau)), \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \theta_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle.$$

By the same argument as given in [BY] and [BEY], we have the following result.

Lemma 6.4. *If $f \in H_{1/2-2j, \bar{\rho}_L}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(z_U^\pm, h, f) \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle \phi(R_{k-2j}^j f(\tau)), \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \theta_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, z_U^\pm, h) \rangle d\mu(\tau) - A_0 \log(T) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(6.52) \quad A_0 = (-1)^j CT \left(\langle (\phi(f)^+)^{(j)}, \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta} \otimes e_{0+\mathcal{N}^\Delta} \rangle \right),$$

and $g^{(j)} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^j} \frac{\partial^j}{\partial \tau^j} g$.

According to the above Lemma and the Siegel-weil formula, we have the following result.

Proposition 6.5.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) &= \frac{\deg(Z(U))}{2} \\ &\times \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle \phi(R_{\frac{1}{2}-2j}^j f(\tau)), \theta_{\mathcal{P}^\Delta}(\tau) \otimes E_{\mathcal{N}^\Delta}(\tau, 0; -1) \rangle d\mu(\tau) - 2A_0 \log(T) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $\Delta = 1$, it has been proved in [BY] and [BEY]. When $\Delta \neq 1$, we can prove it by the same method. \square

Moreover, we have the following result.

Lemma 6.6. *When $n \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 0$, we have*

$$(6.53) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta) = 0.$$

Proof. Because $k(0, \delta) = 0$ for any $\delta \neq 0$, and $\chi_\Delta(\delta) = 0$ if $\delta = 0$, so

$$\chi_\Delta(\delta) k(0, \delta) = 0$$

for any $\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta$.

Now we assume that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\text{Diff}(n) = \{p\}$.

Then we have

$$(6.54) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\delta \in S} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta), & p \nmid \Delta; \\ \sum_{\delta \in S_p} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta), & p \mid \Delta. \end{cases}$$

According to Proposition 6.3, we know that $k(n, \delta)$ is a constant $k(n)$ for $\delta \in S$ or S_p .

By Lemma 6.2, we have

$$(6.55) \quad \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain the result. \square

We write

$$(6.56) \quad \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) = \sum_{h \in \mathcal{P}^\sharp / \mathcal{P}\Delta} r(m, h) q^m e_h.$$

For any $f \in H_{1/2-2j, \bar{\rho}_L}$, we denote

$$(6.57) \quad M = \max\{n \mid c^+(-n, \mu) \neq 0, n > 0\},$$

where $c^+(n, \mu)$ are Fourier coefficients of holomorphic part f^+ . Then we have the following result.

Proposition 6.7. *We assume that Δ satisfies the Assumption A. For any $f \in H_{1/2-2j, \bar{\rho}_L}$, if $m_0 > M$, then*

$$(6.58) \quad CT(\langle \phi(f)^+, [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}]_j \rangle) = 0.$$

Moreover, when $f = F_{n, \mu}$, we don't need the Assumption A.

Proof. To simplify the proof, we assume that $j = 0$ and omit this index. The argument can be generalized to the general cases.

The constant term is equal to

$$(6.59) \quad \begin{aligned} & CT(\langle \phi(f)^+, \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta} \rangle) \\ &= 2 \sum_{\substack{h+\delta \in L^\sharp / L^\Delta \\ h+\delta \equiv r\mu(L) \\ n>0}} \chi_\Delta(h+\delta) c^+(-n, \mu) \sum_{n \geq m \geq 0} r(n-m, h) k(m, \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\delta \in (\mathcal{N}^\Delta)^\sharp \cap L^\sharp = \mathcal{N}$ and $h \in \mathcal{P}^\sharp \cap L^\sharp = \mathbb{Z}w$.

Taking m_0 sufficiently large, specifically

$$(6.60) \quad Q_\Delta(w) = m_0 > M,$$

then the non-vanishing term $r(n-m, h)$ simplifies to $r(0, 0)$. It implies that $n = m$, $h = 0$. Since $n \in \frac{1}{4N}\mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \frac{1}{\Delta}\mathbb{Z}$, we have $n = m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

According to Lemma 6.6, we have

$$(6.61) \quad \begin{aligned} & CT(\langle \phi(f)^+, \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta} \rangle) \\ &= 2 \sum_{n>0} c^+(-n, 0) \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{N}/\mathcal{N}^\Delta} \chi_\Delta(\delta) k(n, \delta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Especially, we fix $f = F_{n, \mu}$.

If $\text{ord}_p(n) > 0$ for some $p \mid \Delta$ and $\text{Diff}(n) \neq \{p\}$, then all $k(n, \delta) = 0$ for $\delta \neq 0$; if $\text{Diff}(n) = \{p\}$, according to Lemma 6.6, we have

$$(6.62) \quad \begin{aligned} & CT(\langle \phi(f)^+, \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta} \rangle) \\ &= 2c^+(-n, 0)k(n) \sum_{\delta \in S_p} \chi_\Delta(\delta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{ord}_p(n) = 0$ for some $p \mid \Delta$, according to Lemma 8.7, we can choose infinitely many fundamental discriminants D_0 such that

$$\chi_p(-nN|\Delta|) = (\Delta D_0, -nN|\Delta|)_p = -1.$$

Then we obtain the result by the same reason. Thus we complete the proof without the Assumption A. \square

Remark 6.8.

(1) If $\Delta < 0$, there exists a factor $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$; if $\Delta > 0$ and κ is even, then $c^+(-n, 0) = 0$. Thus we need the Assumption A only when $\Delta > 0$ and κ is odd.

(2) For any $f \in H_{-1/2-2j, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, the equality holds if $\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau)$ is replaced by the Millsion theta function as follows

$$(6.63) \quad \tilde{\theta}_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) = \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{P}^\#/\mathcal{P}^\Delta} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}_\delta^\Delta} p_{z_u^+}(\lambda) e(Q_\Delta(\lambda)) e_\delta \in M_{3/2, \rho_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}}.$$

Part 3. On the derivative of L-function

7. TWISTED L-FUNCTION AND SHIMURA CORRESPONDENCE

7.1. **Twisted L-function.** For any cusp form $g(\tau) \in S_{\frac{3}{2}+2j, \rho_L}$, the L-function is defined in [BY] and [BEY].

For any cusp form $g \in S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, we define the twisted L-function by,

$$(7.1) \quad L(g, U, \chi_\Delta, s) = \begin{cases} \langle [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, s; 1)]_j, \phi(g) \rangle_{Pet}, & \kappa = 2j + 1; \\ \langle [\tilde{\theta}_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, s; 1)]_j, \phi(g) \rangle_{Pet}, & \kappa = 2j + 2. \end{cases}$$

We assume that $U = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ and $D = -4N|\Delta|m_0$ is a fundamental discriminant.

Lemma 7.1. *For any $g = \sum_{m>0, \mu} b(m, \mu) q^m e_\mu \in S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & L(g, U, \chi_\Delta, s) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\kappa-1} \Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s + \kappa)}{2^{2s+2\kappa-2} \pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}+\kappa} m_0^{\frac{s+\kappa}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1) (\kappa - 1)!} \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{k} \right) \overline{b(k^2 m_0, k \mu_0)} k^{-s-\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) = (\kappa - \frac{3}{2})! \sqrt{\pi}$.

Proof. When $\kappa = 2j + 1$, by the same argument as in [BEY, Lemma 5.3], we have

$$(7.2) \quad L(g, U, \chi_\Delta, s) = \binom{2j-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1 + j)}{(4\pi)^j \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1)} \langle \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta} \otimes E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, s; 1 + 2j), \phi(g) \rangle_{Pet}.$$

By the unfolding method, we obtain the result.

Similarly, for $\kappa = 2j + 2$, we find

$$(7.3) \quad L(g, U, \chi_\Delta, s) = \binom{2j+\frac{1}{2}}{j} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1 + j)}{(4\pi)^j \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1)} \langle \tilde{\theta}_{P\Delta} \otimes E_{N\Delta}(\tau, s; 1 + 2j), \phi(g) \rangle_{Pet}.$$

Recall that

$$\lambda(z_U^+) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m_0}} w,$$

and

$$p_{z_U^+}(w) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (w, \lambda(z_U^+))_\Delta = -\sqrt{m_0}.$$

Using the unfolding method, we get

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \tilde{\theta}_{P\Delta} \otimes E_{N\Delta}(\tau, s; 1 + 2j), \phi(g) \rangle_{Pet} \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(\frac{s+3}{2} + j) \sqrt{m_0}}{(\pi m_0)^{\frac{s+3}{2}+j} 2^{s+2j+2}} \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{k} \right) \overline{b(k^2 m_0, k \mu_0)} k^{-s-2j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with equation (7.3), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & L(g, U, \chi_\Delta, s) \\ &= -\frac{\binom{2j+\frac{1}{2}}{j} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1 + j) \Gamma(\frac{s+3}{2} + j)}{2^{s+4j+2} \pi^{\frac{s+3}{2}+2j} m_0^{\frac{s}{2}+j+1} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1)} \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{k} \right) \overline{b(k^2 m_0, k \mu_0)} k^{-s-2j-2} \\ &= -\frac{\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(s + \kappa)}{2^{2s+2\kappa-2} \pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}+\kappa} m_0^{\frac{s+\kappa}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{s}{2} + 1) (\kappa - 1)!} \sum_{k \geq 1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{k} \right) \overline{b(k^2 m_0, k \mu_0)} k^{-s-\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the result. \square

The CM value $\Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f)$ provides the derivative of L-functions as follows.

Theorem 7.2. *For any $f \in H_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}$, if $m_0 > M$ and $(\Delta, 2N) = 1$, then*

$$(7.5) \quad \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) = -\deg(Z(U)) L'(\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa} f, U, \chi_\Delta, 0).$$

Proof. Now we assume that $\kappa = 2j + 1$. When $\kappa = 2j + 2$, it can be proved by the same method.

It is known that the raising operator is a nearly self-adjoint operator.

For any $f \in H_{1/2-2j, \bar{\rho}_L}$, by Proposition 6.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) \\ = & \frac{\deg(Z(U))}{2} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{(4\pi)^j} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle \phi(R_{\frac{1}{2}-2j}^j f(\tau)), \theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; -1) \rangle d\mu(\tau) \right. \\ & \left. - 2A_0 \log(T) \right] \\ = & \frac{\deg(Z(U))}{2} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} [I_T(f) - 2A_0 \log(T)], \end{aligned}$$

where the integral

$$I_T(f) = \frac{1}{(-4\pi)^j} \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle \phi(f(\tau)), R_{-\frac{1}{2}}^j(\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; -1)) \rangle d\mu(\tau).$$

By [BEY, Theorem 5.4], we have

$$R_{-\frac{1}{2}}^j(\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}(\tau) \otimes E_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; -1)) = 2(-4\pi)^j L[\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; 1)]_j.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_T(f) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle \phi(f(\tau)), L[\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; 1)]_j \rangle d\mu(\tau) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} L\langle \phi(f(\tau)), [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; 1)]_j \rangle d\mu(\tau) \\ (7.6) \quad &- 2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_T} \langle L\phi(f(\tau)), [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; 1)]_j \rangle d\mu(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

By the Stokes' theorem and Proposition 6.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\mathcal{F}_T} L\langle \phi(f(\tau)), [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\tau, 0; 1)]_j \rangle d\mu(\tau) - A_0 \log(T) \right] \\ = & \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{iT}^{iT+1} \langle \phi(f), [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\cdot, 0; 1)]_j \rangle d\tau \\ = & CT(\langle \phi(f), [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}]_j \rangle) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second summand in (7.6) can be written as the Petersson product.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) &= -\deg(Z(U)) \langle [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, E'_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}(\cdot, 0; 1)]_j, \xi_{\frac{1}{2}-2j} \phi(f) \rangle_{Pet} \\ &= -\deg(Z(U)) L'(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}-2j} f, U, \chi_{\Delta}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(Z(U), f) &= \frac{2}{w_k} \sum_{(z,h) \in \text{supp}(Z(U))} \Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(z, h, f) \\
(7.7) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \frac{2}{w_k} \sum_{h \in k^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times / \hat{\mathcal{O}}_k^\times} \chi([h]) \Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(\gamma^{-1} z_U^\pm, f),
\end{aligned}$$

7.2. Shimura correspondence. Let $m_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mu_0 \in L^\sharp/L$ such that $m_0 \in Q(\mu_0) + \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that $D_0 = -4N \text{sgn}(\Delta)m_0$ is a fundamental discriminant.

The Shimura lift $Sh_{m_0, \mu_0} : S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L} \rightarrow S_{2\kappa}(N)$ (see [GKZ]) is given by

$$g = \sum_{\mu} \sum_{m>0} b(m, \mu) q^m e_{\mu} \mapsto Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n} d^{\kappa-1} \left(\frac{D_0}{d}\right) b\left(\frac{m_0 n^2}{d^2}, \frac{n}{d} \mu_0\right) q^n,$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z} \geq 1$.

The Fourier coefficients of $Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g)$ is denoted by $B(n)$. The twisted L-functions is defined by

$$(7.8) \qquad L(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g), \chi_{\Delta}, s) = \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right) B(n) n^{-s}.$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 7.3. *Assume that $D = D_0 \Delta$ is a fundamental discriminant. For any $g \in S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}$ with real coefficients,*

$$L(g, U, \chi_{\Delta}, s) = (-1)^{\kappa-1} \frac{\Gamma(s+\kappa) \Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) L(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g), \chi_{\Delta}, s+\kappa)}{2^{2s+2\kappa-2} \pi^{\frac{s-1}{2}+\kappa} m_0^{\frac{s+\kappa}{2}} \Gamma(\kappa) \Gamma(\frac{s}{2}+1) L(\chi_D, s+1)}.$$

Moreover,

$$L'(g, U, \chi_{\Delta}, 0) = (-1)^{\kappa-1} \frac{2^{4-2\kappa} \Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{m_0^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \deg(Z(U)) \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa}} L'(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g), \chi_{\Delta}, \kappa).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
L(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g), \chi_{\Delta}, s) &= \sum_{n>0} \sum_{d|n} d^{\kappa-1} \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right) \left(\frac{D_0}{d}\right) b\left(\frac{m_0 n^2}{d^2}, \frac{n}{d} \mu_0\right) n^{-s} \\
(7.9) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= L(\chi_D, s - \kappa + 1) \sum_{n>0} \left(\frac{\Delta}{n}\right) b(m_0 n^2, n \mu_0) n^{-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.1, we obtain the result. \square

7.3. L-function of newforms. Let $S_{2\kappa}^\varepsilon(N)$ denote the space of cusp forms G of weight 2κ for $\Gamma_0(N)$, with

$$G|W_N = \varepsilon(-1)^\kappa G$$

under the Fricke involution W_N , where $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cl}_K$ be a given ideal class and $r_{\mathcal{A}}(n)$ be the number of integral ideals of norm n in this class. The Dirichlet series is defined in [GZ, Section IV],

$$(7.10) \quad L_{\mathcal{A}}(G, s) = \sum_{(n, N)=1} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) n^{-2s+2\kappa-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) r_{\mathcal{A}}(n) n^{-s}.$$

Let χ be a character of Cl_K , and the associated L -function is defined by

$$(7.11) \quad L_K(G, \chi, s) = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \text{Cl}_K} \chi(\mathcal{A}) L_{\mathcal{A}}(G, s),$$

which has an analytic continuation and satisfies the function equation

$$(7.12) \quad L_K^*(G, \chi, s) = \chi_{\Delta}(-N) \varepsilon L_K^*(G, \chi, 2\kappa - s),$$

where $L_K^*(G, \chi, s) = (2\pi)^{-2s} N^s |D|^s \Gamma(s)^2 L_K(G, \chi, s)$.

When $\Delta > 0$, the Shimura lift G belongs to the space $S_{2\kappa}^{\text{new}, -}(N)$. The sign is given by

$$\chi_{\Delta}(-N) \varepsilon = -\text{sgn}(\Delta) = -1.$$

When $\Delta < 0$, the Shimura lift G belongs to the space $S_{2\kappa}^{\text{new}, +}(N)$. The sign is given by

$$\chi_{\Delta}(-N) \varepsilon = \text{sgn}(\Delta) = -1.$$

It implies that $L_K(G, \chi, s)$ vanishes at $s = \kappa$.

Assume that χ is a genus character associated to the decomposition $D = D_0 \Delta$, then

$$(7.13) \quad L_K(G, \chi, s) = L(G, \chi_{D_0}, s) L(G, \chi_{\Delta}, s),$$

where $\chi_{\Delta} = \left(\frac{\Delta}{\cdot}\right)$ and $\chi_{D_0} = \left(\frac{D_0}{\cdot}\right)$.

According to [SZ], [GKZ], and [Sk], the space $S_{1/2+\kappa, \rho_L}^{\text{new}}$ is isomorphic to the $S_{2\kappa}^{\text{new}, -}(N)$ as a module over the Hecke algebra, which is given by the Shimura correspondence. Similarly, $S_{1/2+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}^{\text{new}}$ is isomorphic to the space $S_{2\kappa}^{\text{new}, +}(N)$.

For any fundamental discriminant D_0 , the sign of $L(G, \chi_{D_0}, s)$ is given by $\chi_{\Delta}(-N) \varepsilon = 1$. Then we have the following result

Lemma 7.4. *Let $G \in S_{2\kappa}^{new}(N)$ be the Shimura lift of $g \in S_{1/2+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}^{new}$. Then there exists a fundamental discriminant $D_0 = -4Nm \operatorname{sgn}(\Delta)$, such that*

$$(7.14) \quad L'_K(G, \chi, \kappa) = L(G, \chi_{D_0}, \kappa) L'(G, \chi_\Delta, \kappa), \quad L(G, \chi_{D_0}, \kappa) \neq 0.$$

For a normalized newform $G \in S_{2\kappa}^{new}(N)$, we denote by F_G the total real number field generated by the eigenvalues of G . There exists a newform $g \in S_{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}^{new}$ that corresponds to G under the Shimura correspondence. We normalize g such that all its coefficients are contained in F_G . Therefore,

$$(7.15) \quad L(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(g), \chi_\Delta, s) = b(m_0, \mu_0) L(G, \chi_\Delta, s).$$

The classical Shimura lift from the weight $\frac{3}{2}$ cusp forms to weight 2 cusp forms is important in several areas, including Tunnell's congruent number problem. Recently, Qin provided a new method to study this problem in [Qi].

Lemma 7.5. [BO, Lemma 7.3] *There is a $f \in H_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}(F_G)$ such that*

$$\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa}(f) = \|g\|^{-2} g.$$

Here the coefficients of the principal part P_f belong to F_G and $\|g\|$ denotes the Petersson norm.

Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 7.6. *Let the notation be as above. Then*

$$\Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) = (-1)^\kappa \frac{2^{4-2\kappa} \Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{m_0^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa} \|g\|^2} b(m_0, \mu_0) L'(G, \chi_\Delta, \kappa).$$

Proof. By Theorem 7.2 and Lemma 7.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\Delta, r}^j(Z(U), f) &= -\deg(Z(U)) L'(\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa} f, U, \chi_\Delta, 0) \\ &= (-1)^\kappa \frac{2^{4-2\kappa} \Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2}) \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{m_0^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}+\kappa}} L'(Sh_{m_0, \mu_0}(\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa} f), \chi_\Delta, \kappa). \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with equation (7.15), we obtain the result. \square

8. INTERSECTION ON THE HEEGNER CYCLES

In this section, we will prove Theorem 0.4.

8.1. Heegner cycles. We recall certain details about Kuga-Sato varieties and their CM cycles as discussed in [Zh]. Let κ be a positive integer, and let D be a discriminant. Consider E as an elliptic curve with complex multiplication by \sqrt{D} , and let $Z(E)$ be the divisor class on $E \times E$ defined by $\Gamma - (E \times \{0\}) + D(\{0 \times E\})$. Here Γ refers to the graph of multiplication by \sqrt{D} . Then $Z(E)^{\kappa-1}$ generates a cycle in $E^{2\kappa-1}$ with codimension $\kappa - 1$. Now we denote the following cycle

$$c \sum_{\sigma \in P_{2\kappa-1}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma^*(Z(E)^{\kappa-1})$$

by $S_\kappa(E)$, where $P_{2\kappa-1}$ is the symmetric group of $2\kappa - 2$ letters which acts on $E^{2\kappa-1}$ by permuting the factors, and c is a real number such that the self-intersection of $S_\kappa(E)$ on each fiber is $(-1)^{\kappa-1}$.

For N a product of two relatively prime integers ≥ 3 . The Kuga-Sato variety $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Y}_\kappa(N)$ is defined to be a canonical resolution of the $2\kappa - 2$ -tuple fiber product of $\mathcal{E}(N)$ over $\mathcal{X}(N)$, where $\mathcal{E}(N)$ is a regular semistable elliptic curve. If y is a CM point in $\mathcal{X}(N)$, the CM cycle $S_\kappa(y)$ over y is defined to be $S_\kappa(\mathcal{E}_y)$ in \mathcal{Y} .

Let $\pi : \mathcal{X}(N) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0(N)$ be the projection map. If x is a CM point in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ and $\pi^*(x) = \frac{w(x)}{2} \sum x_i$, where $w(x) = |\text{Aut}(x)|$. Then the cycle over x is defined to be

$$(8.1) \quad S_\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{\text{deg}(\pi)} \sum S_\kappa(x_i).$$

Let x be a CM point in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$, and \bar{x} be the Zariski closure in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. Then $S_\kappa(\bar{x})$ has zero intersection with any cycle of dimension κ in \mathcal{Y} which is supported in the special fibers [Zh]. The class of $S_\kappa(\bar{x})$ in $H^{2\kappa}(\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ vanishes. There is a Green current $g_\kappa(x)$ on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\partial}}{\pi i} g_\kappa(x) = \delta_{S_\kappa(x)}.$$

In the sense of Gillet and Soulé [GS], the codimension κ arithmetic cycle on \mathcal{Y} is defined as

$$\hat{S}_\kappa(x) = (S_\kappa(\bar{x}), g_\kappa(x)).$$

The intersection number is defined by

$$(8.2) \quad \langle S_\kappa(x), S_\kappa(y) \rangle = (-1)^\kappa \langle \hat{S}_\kappa(x), \hat{S}_\kappa(y) \rangle_{GS}.$$

According to [Zh], it can decompose into local height pairings,

$$(8.3) \quad \langle S_\kappa(x), S_\kappa(y) \rangle = \sum_{p \leq \infty} \langle S_\kappa(x), S_\kappa(y) \rangle_p.$$

More precisely,

$$(8.4) \quad \langle S_\kappa(x), S_\kappa(y) \rangle_\infty = \frac{1}{2} G_{N,\kappa}(x, y),$$

$$(8.5) \quad \langle S_\kappa(x), S_\kappa(y) \rangle_p = (-1)^\kappa (S_\kappa(\bar{x}) \cdot S_\kappa(\bar{y}))_p,$$

where $G_{N,\kappa}(x, y)$ is the higher Green function.

We generalize the higher Heegner cycles to twisted case by

$$(8.6) \quad Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu) = m^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \sum_{x \in Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu)} \chi_\Delta(x) S_\kappa(x),$$

$$(8.7) \quad Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) = m_0^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}} \sum_{x \in Z_{\Delta,r}(U)} \chi_\Delta(x) S_\kappa(x),$$

$$(8.8) \quad Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f) = \sum_{m>0} c^+(-m, \mu) Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu).$$

8.2. Moduli stack. Let $D_0 = -4Nm_0$ be a discriminant and the order $\mathcal{O}_{D_0} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{D_0 + \sqrt{D_0}}{2}]$ of discriminant D_0 . Assume that $D_0 \equiv r_0^2 \pmod{4N}$. Then $\mathfrak{n}_0 = [N, \frac{r_0 + \sqrt{D_0}}{2}]$ is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{D_0} with norm N .

The moduli stack $\mathcal{Z}(m_0, \mu_0)$ over \mathbb{Z} is defined in [BY, Section 7], which is a horizontal divisor on $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. We denote $\mu_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{r_0}{2N} & \\ & -\frac{r_0}{2N} \end{pmatrix}$.

Now we let D_0 to be a fundamental discriminant and $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_0})$.

Let \mathcal{C} be the moduli stack over \mathbb{Z} representing the moduli problem which assigns to every scheme S over \mathbb{Z} the set $\mathcal{C}(S)$ of pairs (E, ι) , where

- E is an CM elliptic curves over S ;
- the map

$$\iota : \mathcal{O}_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_E := \text{End}_S(E)$$

is an \mathcal{O}_k action on E such that the main involution on \mathcal{O}_E gives the complex conjugation on k .

For any pair $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, we let

$$(8.9) \quad V(E, \iota) = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_E \mid \iota(\alpha)x = x\iota(\bar{\alpha}), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_k \text{ and } \text{tr } x = 0\}$$

be the space of special endomorphisms with the definite quadratic form $N(x) := \text{deg}(x)$. It is a \mathcal{O}_k module.

Let ∂ denote the different of k and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal. For any $m > 0$ and $\delta \in \partial^{-1}\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}$, we let $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathfrak{a}, \delta)$ be an algebraic stack, which can be viewed as a cycle in \mathcal{C} via $(E, \iota, \beta) \mapsto (E, \iota)$. Now we will recall more details in [KY2] and [BY].

We consider the moduli problem that assigns to each scheme S the set of triples (E, ι, β) , where the following conditions hold

- $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(S)$;
- $\beta \in V(E, \iota)\partial^{-1}\mathbf{a}$ such that

$$N(\beta) = mN\mathbf{a}, \quad \delta + \beta \in \mathcal{O}_E\mathbf{a}.$$

This moduli problem is represented by an algebraic stack $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{a}, \delta)$ of dimension 0. The forgetful map is a finite étale map from $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{a}, \delta)$ into \mathcal{C} [BY, Section 6].

The arithmetic degree of a 0-dimensional DM-stack \mathcal{Z} is defined by

$$(8.10) \quad \widehat{\deg}(\mathcal{Z}) = \sum_p \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Z}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}(x)} i_p(x) \log p,$$

where $i_p(x)$ is the length of strictly Henselian local ring as follows

$$(8.11) \quad i_p(x) = \text{Length}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{Z}, x}).$$

For any $x = (E, \iota, \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{a}, \delta)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, according to [KY2, Section 4], we know that $i_p(x)$ depends only on m . Then we denote this length by $i_p(m)$.

For any elliptic curve $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(S)$, we let $E_{\mathbf{n}_0} = E/E[\mathbf{n}_0]$ and let $\pi : E \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{n}_0}$ be the natural map. We denote $\mathcal{O}_{E, \mathbf{n}_0} = \text{End}_S(\pi)$.

Now we let D_1 be another discriminant such that D_0D_1 is not a square. According to [BY, Lemma 7.10], the natural isomorphism of stacks is given by

$$(8.12) \quad j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(m_0, \mu_0), \quad j((E, \iota)) = (\pi : E \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{n}_0}, \iota).$$

Then the intersection can be viewed as a fiber product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} j^* \mathcal{Z}(m_1, \mu_1) & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{Z}(m_1, \mu_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_0(N) \end{array}$$

where $j^* \mathcal{Z}(m_1, \mu_1) = \mathcal{Z}(m_1, \mu_1) \times_{\mathcal{X}_0(N)} \mathcal{C}$ consists of triples (E, ι, ϕ) and $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{D_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E, \mathbf{n}_0}$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{n}_1)E[\mathbf{n}_0] = 0$. Here $\pi_1((E, \iota, \phi)) = (E, \iota)$ and $\pi_2((E, \iota, \phi)) = (E \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{n}_0}, \phi)$.

Lemma 8.1. [BY, Lemma 7.10] *The isomorphism*

$$(8.13) \quad j^* \mathcal{Z}(m_1, \mu_1)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p) \cong \bigsqcup_{\substack{n \equiv r_0 r_1 \pmod{2N}, \\ n^2 \leq D_0 D_1}} \mathcal{Z}\left(\frac{D_0 D_1 - n^2}{4N|D_0|}, \mathbf{n}_0, \frac{n + r_1 \sqrt{D_0}}{2\sqrt{D_0}}\right)(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p),$$

is given by $(E, \iota, \phi) \rightarrow (E, \iota, \beta)$ via

$$\beta = \phi\left(\frac{r_1 + \sqrt{D_1}}{2}\right) - \frac{n + r_1\sqrt{D_0}}{2\sqrt{D_0}}.$$

8.3. Finite intersection. It is known that every Elliptic curve E with CM by \mathcal{O}_k is isomorphic to $E_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbb{C}/\mathbf{a}$, where \mathbf{a} is a fractional ideal in $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_0})$. There are two \mathcal{O}_k -actions on $E_{\mathbf{a}}$ which are given as,

$$\iota(\alpha)z = \alpha z, \quad \bar{\iota}(\alpha)z = \bar{\alpha}z.$$

When $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, the bijective map is given by [BY, Lemma 6.1],

$$(8.14) \quad Z(U) = \{z_U^\pm\} \times \text{Cl}_k \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}), (z_U^\pm, [\mathbf{a}]) \mapsto (E_{\mathbf{a}}, \iota \text{ or } \bar{\iota}).$$

For any pair $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$, we write $E(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$. Then for any idele $h \in \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times$, one has the action $hE(\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}/(h)\Lambda$, where (h) denotes the ideal generated by h . When $S = \text{Spec}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the action of $\mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times$ on $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is defined in [KRY3, Section 5].

For any pair $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, when p splits in k , $V(E, \iota) = \{0\}$; when p is non-split, the endomorphism ring \mathcal{O}_E is a maximal order of the quaternion algebra \mathbb{B} , which is ramified precisely at ∞ and p . Consequently, $V(E, \iota)$ is a positive definite lattice of rank 2 with $N(x) = -x^2$ representing the reduced norm.

Choosing a prime $p_0 \nmid 2pD_0$ such that

$$\text{inv}_q \mathbb{B} = \begin{cases} (D_0, -pp_0)_q, & p \text{ is inert in } k; \\ (D_0, -p_0)_q, & p \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\text{inv}_q \mathbb{B} = -1$ exactly when $q = p$ or ∞ . Thus implies that p_0 splits, so $p_0 \mathcal{O}_k = \mathfrak{p}_0 \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_0$.

Following [KRY3], we define

$$(8.15) \quad \kappa_p = \begin{cases} pp_0, & p \text{ is inert}; \\ p_0, & p \text{ is ramified.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we can express

$$\mathbb{B} = k \oplus k\delta_{\mathbb{B}},$$

such that $\iota(\alpha)\delta_{\mathbb{B}} = \delta_{\mathbb{B}}\iota(\bar{\alpha})$ and $N(\delta_{\mathbb{B}}) = \kappa_p$, for any $\alpha \in k$.

Proposition 8.2. [KRY3, Proposition 5.13] *For any $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, there is a fractional ideal \mathbf{b} in k such that*

$$(8.16) \quad V(E, \iota) \cong \mathbf{b}\bar{\mathbf{b}}^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_0^{-1}\delta_{\mathbb{B}},$$

where \mathfrak{p}_0 is a fixed prime ideal lying above p_0 . Moreover, if $h \in \mathbb{A}_{k,f}^\times$,

$$(8.17) \quad V(h(E, \iota)) = (h)\overline{(h)}^{-1}V(E, \iota).$$

For any ideal class $[\mathbf{a}] \in \text{Cl}_k$, we define

$$(8.18) \quad \rho(m, [\mathbf{a}]) = \#\{\mathbf{c} \subset \mathcal{O}_k \mid N(\mathbf{c}) = m, \mathbf{c} \in [\mathbf{a}]\}.$$

By the similar argument as presented in [KRY3, Section 5], we have the following result.

Lemma 8.3.

$$(8.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \#\{\beta \mid \beta \in V(h(E_0, \iota_0))\partial^{-1}\mathbf{n}_0, N(\beta) = mN\} \\ & = w_k \cdot \rho(m|D_0|p_0/\kappa_p, [h^{-1}]^2[\mathbf{p}_0\partial\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0^{-1}]), \end{aligned}$$

where $w_k = |\mathcal{O}_k^\times|$.

Proof. Fixing (E_0, ι_0) , such that

$$(8.20) \quad V(E_0, \iota_0) \simeq \mathbf{p}_0^{-1}\delta_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

For any $\beta = \alpha\delta_{\mathbb{B}} \in V(h(E_0, \iota_0))\partial^{-1}\mathbf{n}_0$, it follows that

$$\alpha \in (h)(\bar{h})^{-1}\mathbf{p}_0^{-1}\partial^{-1}\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0.$$

Consequently, the ideal $\mathbf{c} = \alpha(h)^{-1}(\bar{h})\mathbf{p}_0\partial\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0^{-1}$ is integral and is contained within the ideal class $[h^{-1}]^2[\mathbf{p}_0\partial\bar{\mathbf{n}}_0^{-1}] \in \text{Cl}_k$ with the norm given by $N(\mathbf{c}) = \frac{m|D_0|p_0}{\kappa_p}$.

Therefore, the quantity of β is determined by the integral ideal within an ideal class characterized by the norm $\frac{m|D_0|p_0}{\kappa_p}$. This leads us to the conclusion. \square

According to [BY, Lemma 7.10], the isomorphism of stacks is given by

$$(8.21) \quad j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(|\Delta|m_0, r\mu_0), \quad j((E, \iota)) = (\pi : E \rightarrow E_{\mathbf{n}_0}, \iota).$$

Then we view $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)$ as a cycle in $\mathcal{Z}(|\Delta|m_0, r\mu_0)$ under the forgetful map. Then the twisted cycle $\mathcal{Z}_{\Delta, r}(m_0, \mu_0)$ provides the twisted degree of $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)$. We define it locally by

$$(8.22) \quad \deg_{\Delta}(\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \frac{\chi_{\Delta}(x)i_p(x)}{\#\text{Aut}(x)} \log p.$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma 8.4. *When p is non-split, we have*

$$(8.23) \quad \deg_{\Delta}(\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) = 0.$$

Proof. For any $x = (E, \iota, \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, the length $i_p(x)$ of the local ring depends only on m and we denote it as $i_p(m)$. We have

$$(8.24) \quad \deg_{\Delta}(\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) = \frac{i_p(m)}{w_k} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \chi_{\Delta}(x) \log p.$$

For each $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, we write it as $(E, \iota) = h(E_0, \iota_0)$ or $h(E_0, \bar{\iota}_0)$.

Then one has

$$(8.25) \quad \sum_{x=(E,\iota,\beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \chi_\Delta(x) = \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \sum_{(h(E_0, \iota_0), \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \chi_\Delta([h]) \\ + \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \sum_{(h(E_0, \bar{\iota}_0), \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \chi_\Delta([h]).$$

By Lemma 8.3, the cardinality

$$\#\{\beta \mid (h(E_0, \iota_0), \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)\} = w_k \rho([h^{-1}]^2).$$

Here we write $\rho([h]^2) = \rho(m|D_0|p_0/\kappa_p, [h]^2[\mathbf{p}_0 \partial \bar{\mathbf{n}}_0^{-1}])$ for easier.

Then we have

$$(8.26) \quad \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \sum_{(h(E_0, \iota_0), \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \chi_\Delta([h]) = w_k \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \chi_\Delta([h]) \rho([h^{-1}]^2).$$

For any class $[g] \in \text{Cl}_k[2]$ with $[g]^2 = 1$, we know that

$$\rho([hg]^2) = \rho([h]^2).$$

Consequently, we have

$$(8.27) \quad \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \chi_\Delta([h]) \rho([h^{-1}]^2) \\ = \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k / \text{Cl}_k[2]} \sum_{g \in \text{Cl}_k[2]} \chi_\Delta([hg]) \rho([h^{-1}g^{-1}]^2) \\ = \sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k / \text{Cl}_k[2]} \chi_\Delta([h]) \rho([h^{-1}]^2) \sum_{g \in \text{Cl}_k[2]} \chi_\Delta([g]) = 0,$$

where the group $\text{Cl}_k[2]$ is non-trivial.

Combining it with equation (8.26), we obtain that

$$\sum_{[h] \in \text{Cl}_k} \sum_{x=(h(E_0, \iota_0), \beta) \in \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)} \frac{\chi_\Delta([h]) i_p(x)}{\#\text{Aut}(x)} \log p = 0.$$

We obtain the same result if replace (E_0, ι_0) by $(E_0, \bar{\iota}_0)$. Combining it with equations (8.24) and (8.25), we obtain the result. \square

In order to study the intersection number, it is essential to analyze the fiber product

$$j^* \mathcal{Z}(|\Delta|m_1, r\mu_1) \cong \bar{Z}(|\Delta|m_1, r\mu_1) \times \bar{Z}(|\Delta|m_0, r\mu_0).$$

According to the decomposition (8.13), it suffices to consider $\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)$. We define $D_1 = -4N|\Delta|m_1$, $D_0 = -4N|\Delta|m_0$. We assume that D_0 is a fundamental discriminant and $D_1 D_0$ is not a perfect square.

Theorem 8.5. *Assume that $\Delta \neq 1$. Then we have*

$$\langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_1, \mu_1), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_p = 0.$$

Proof. Firstly, we consider the case where $\kappa = 1$ and omit this index.

Case 1: When p is split in $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_0})$.

For any pair $(E, \iota) \in \mathcal{Z}(|\Delta|m_0, r\mu_0)$, the elliptic curve E is ordinary, as p splits in $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D_0})$. Since D_1D_0 is not a square, there is no additional action of \mathcal{O}_{D_1} on E , then

$$(8.28) \quad \langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(m_1, \mu_1), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_p = 0.$$

Case 2: When p is non-split in k .

According to the decomposition (8.13), we assume that

$$\bigsqcup_{m,\delta} \mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta) = j^* \mathcal{Z}(|\Delta|m_1, r\mu_1),$$

where $m = \frac{D_0D_1-n^2}{4N|D_0|}$ and $\delta = \frac{n+r_1\sqrt{D_0}}{2\sqrt{D_0}}$.

According to Lemma 8.4, we have

$$(8.29) \quad \deg_{\Delta}(\mathcal{Z}(m, \mathbf{n}_0, \delta)(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)) = 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$(8.30) \quad \langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(m_1, \mu_1), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_p = 0.$$

Assuming now that $\kappa > 1$.

Let $x_i \in \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r}(m_i, \mu_i)$ and \bar{x}_i denote the Zariski closure of x_i in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. According to the findings in [BEY, Section 6], we have

$$(8.31) \quad \langle S_{\kappa}(x_1), S_{\kappa}(x_0) \rangle_p = -P_{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{n}{D_0D_1}\right) \langle \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_0 \rangle_p,$$

where

$$(8.32) \quad P_{\kappa}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{\kappa}\kappa!} \frac{d^{\kappa}}{dx^{\kappa}}(x^2 - 1)^{\kappa}$$

is the κ -th Legendre polynomial.

Notice that $P_{\kappa-1}\left(\frac{n}{D_0D_1}\right)$ depends only on $\delta = \frac{n+r_1\sqrt{D_0}}{2\sqrt{D_0}}$.

By Lemma 8.4 and equation (8.31), we obtain

$$(8.33) \quad \langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_1, \mu_1), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_p = 0.$$

Thus, we complete the proof. \square

According to the above theorem, we have the following result.

Corollary 8.6. *Let the notations be as above. Then we have the following equation*

$$(8.34) \quad \langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_1, \mu_1), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{fin} = 0.$$

8.4. Main theorem.

Lemma 8.7. *Let S be a finite set of primes including all those dividing N , and let S' be another disjoint finite set of primes. For any cusp form $g = \sum_{m,\mu} b(m,\mu)q^n e_\mu \in S_{1/2+\kappa,\bar{\rho}_L}^{new}$, there exist infinitely many fundamental discriminants D satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $\text{sgn}(\Delta)D < 0$,
- (2) p splits in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ for all $p \in S$, and p is inert for any $p \in S'$.
- (3) $b(m_0, \mu_0) \neq 0$ for $m_0 = -\frac{\text{sgn}(\Delta)D}{4N}$ and $\mu_0 \in L^\# / L$ such that $m_0 \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu_0) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Proof. The proof for the case when $\Delta = 1$ has already been established in [BY, Lemma 7.5].

By the non-vanishing theorem for L -functions in [BFH], [OS] and the Waldspurger type formula (9.17), we obtain the result. \square

When $\Delta > 0$ and κ is odd, we assume that Δ satisfies Assumption A. We can prove the following result.

Theorem 8.8. *For any $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa,\bar{\rho}_L}$, the global height is given by*

$$(8.35) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle = \frac{2\sqrt{N|\Delta|}\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} L'(Sh_{m_0,\mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa}f), \chi_\Delta, \kappa).$$

When $\Delta = 1$ and $\kappa = 1$, it should plus a constant term as

$$L'(Sh_{m_0,\mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa}f), \chi_\Delta, \kappa) + c^+(0,0)k(0,0).$$

Proof. When $\Delta = 1$, it has been proved in [BY, Theorem 7.14] and [BEY, Theorem 6.5].

Now we assume that $\Delta \neq 1$.

According to the definition given in (8.3), we have

$$(8.36) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^\kappa \langle \widehat{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), \widehat{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{GS} \\ &= \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle_\infty + \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle_{fin}. \end{aligned}$$

We let M denote the least common multiple of the discriminant associated with the Heegner divisors present in the support of the divisor $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f)$. According to Lemma 8.7, we can select a pair (m_0, μ_0) such that $D = -\text{sgn}(\Delta)4Nm_0$ is coprime to $2MN\Delta$, $b(m_0, \mu_0) \neq 0$, and all prime factors of N split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$.

Now the can split the proof into two cases:

Case 1: finite intersection

We assume that $D_0 = -4Nm_0|\Delta| = \Delta D$ is a fundamental discriminant. By Theorem 8.6, it follows that

$$(8.37) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle_{fin} = (-1)^\kappa \langle \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), \mathcal{Z}_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{fin} = 0.$$

Case 2: infinite intersection

According to equation (8.4), the archimedean intersection number is given by

$$(8.38) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle_\infty = \frac{1}{2} G_{N,\kappa}(Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U)).$$

By Proposition 4.4 and Theorem 7.2, we have

$$(8.39) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle_\infty \\ &= (-1)^\kappa \frac{(m_0)^{\frac{\kappa-1}{2}}}{2} \Phi_{\Delta,r}^j(Z(U), f) \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{N|\Delta|}L'(Sh_{m_0,\mu_0}(\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa}f), \chi_\Delta, \kappa)}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining it with equations (8.36) and (8.37), we obtain that

$$(8.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle \\ &= \frac{2\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{N|\Delta|}L'(Sh_{m_0,\mu_0}(\xi_{\frac{3}{2}-\kappa}f), \chi_\Delta, \kappa)}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we finish the proof. \square

Now we have the following result without the Assumption A.

Theorem 8.9. *When κ is an odd integer, there are infinitely many cycles $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) = Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m_0, \mu_0)$, such that*

$$(8.41) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U) \rangle = \frac{\sqrt{N|\Delta|}\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} L'(Sh_{m_0,\mu_0}(\xi_{3/2-\kappa}F_{m,\mu}), \chi_\Delta, \kappa).$$

Proof. By proposition 6.7, there are infinitely many cycles $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(U)$, such that the constant term $CT(\langle \phi(F_{m,\mu})^+, [\theta_{\mathcal{P}\Delta}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}\Delta}]_j \rangle) = 0$.

We have $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(F_{m,\mu}) = 2Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(m, \mu)$. Following the proof of Theorem 8.8, we obtain the result. \square

9. ARITHMETIC INNER PRODUCT FORMULA AND THE GROSS-ZAGIER-ZHANG FORMULA

9.1. Gross-Zagier formula. We define

$$(9.1) \quad y_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu) = Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu) - \deg(Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu))P_\infty \in J_0(N)(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}))$$

and

$$(9.2) \quad y_{\Delta,r}(f) = \sum_{\mu, m > 0} c^+(-m, \mu) y_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu).$$

When $\Delta \neq 1$, $Z_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu) = y_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu)$ and $Z_{\Delta,r}(f) = y_{\Delta,r}(f)$.

For any divisor $D \in \text{Div}(X_0(N))$, let \mathcal{D} be the Zariski closure in $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$ and g_D be the associated ν -admissible Green function. Let

$$i_\infty : J_0(N) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{CH}}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(\mathcal{X}_0(N))$$

be given by $i_\infty(D) = (\mathcal{D} + \Phi(D), g_D)$, where $\Phi(D)$ is the vertical divisor such that $\mathcal{D} + \Phi(D)$ has degree zero on every irreducible component of vertical fiber of $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$. The following result provides a method to compute the Néron-Tate height by Arakelov arithmetic intersection.

Theorem 9.1 (Faltings-Hailjac).

$$(9.3) \quad -\langle D_1, D_2 \rangle_{NT} = \langle i_\infty(D_1), i_\infty(D_2) \rangle_{GS}.$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT}$ is Néron-Tate height.

Lemma 9.2. *The divisor $y_{\Delta,r}(n, \mu)$ has degree zero on every irreducible component of vertical fiber of $\mathcal{X}_0(N)$.*

Let $G \in S_2^{\text{new}}(N)$ be a normalized newforms and let $y_{\Delta,r}^G(m, \mu)$ denote the projection of the $y_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu)$ onto its G -isotypical component. Now we recall the Gross-Zagier formula as follows.

Theorem 9.3. [GZ, Theorem 6.3]

$$(9.4) \quad \langle y_{\Delta,r}^G(m, \mu), y_{\Delta,r}^G(m, \mu) \rangle_{NT} = \frac{\sqrt{|D|}}{4\pi^2 \|G\|^2} L'(G, \chi, 1),$$

where $D = -4N|\Delta|m$ is a fundamental discriminant.

9.2. Arithmetic inner product formula. It has been proved in [BO, Section 6] that the generating function

$$(9.5) \quad A_{\Delta,r}(\tau) = \sum_{m > 0, \mu} y_{\Delta,r}(m, \mu) q^m e_\mu,$$

is a cusp form of weight $3/2$ with respect to $\tilde{\rho}_L$. According to Proposition 2.5. this function is the generic component of $\widehat{\phi}_{MW}$.

We identify

$$\widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) = \langle A_{\Delta,r}, g \rangle_{Pet}.$$

Lemma 9.4. *For any $f \in H_{\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\rho}_L}$, we have*

$$(9.6) \quad \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)) = y_{\Delta,r}(f).$$

Proof. For any $f \in H_{\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\rho}_L}$, $\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\tau$ is invariant under the action of Γ' . Therefore, we have

$$(9.7) \quad \begin{aligned} d(\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\tau) &= \bar{\partial}(\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\tau) \\ &= \langle \bar{\partial} A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\bar{\tau} d\tau + \langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \bar{\partial} f \rangle d\bar{\tau} d\tau \\ &= \langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \bar{\partial} f \rangle d\bar{\tau} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that

$$\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \bar{\partial} f \rangle d\bar{\tau} d\tau = -\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), L_{\frac{1}{2}} f \rangle \mu(\tau).$$

Combining it with equation (9.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)) &= \langle A_{\Delta, r}, \xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) \rangle_{Pet} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_t} \langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), \overline{\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)} \rangle v^{\frac{3}{2}} \mu(\tau) = - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{F}_t} d(\langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\tau) \\ &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \mathcal{F}_t} \langle A_{\Delta, r}(\tau), f \rangle d\tau = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle A_{\Delta, r}(u + it), f(u + it) \rangle du \\ &= \sum_{\mu, m > 0} c^+(-m, \mu) y_{\Delta, r}(m, \mu) = y_{\Delta, r}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the result. \square

We choose a newform $g \in S_{\frac{3}{2}, \bar{\rho}_L}^{new}$ that corresponds to G under the Shimura lift. Let $f \in H_{\frac{1}{2}, \bar{\rho}_L}$ be given as $\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f) = \|g\|^{-2} g$.

It is known in [BO, Theorem 7.7] that

$$(9.8) \quad A_{\Delta, r}^G(\tau) = g(\tau) \otimes y_{\Delta, r}(f),$$

where $A_{\Delta, r}^G(\tau) = \sum_{m, \mu} y_{\Delta, r}^G(m, \mu) q^n e_{\mu}$.

Theorem 9.5 (Arithmetic inner product formula). *Let the notation be as above. Then*

$$\langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{NT} = \frac{2 \|g\|^2 \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_{\Delta}, 1).$$

When N is square free,

$$\langle \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g), \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta, r}(g) \rangle_{NT} = \frac{2 \|g\|^2 \sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_{\Delta}, 1).$$

Proof. Following Theorem 8.5 and Lemma 8.7, we can find a pair (m_0, μ_0) , such that,

- (1) $b(m_0, \mu_0) \neq 0$, $D = -4N|\Delta|m_0$ is odd;
- (2) the finite intersection $\langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta, r}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{fin} = 0$.

From equation (9.8), we have

$$(9.9) \quad b(m_0, \mu_0)y_{\Delta,r}(f) = y_{\Delta,r}^G(m_0, \mu_0).$$

method 1 According to Lemma 9.4, we derive the following equation

$$(9.10) \quad \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(\xi_{\frac{1}{2}}(f)) \rangle_{NT} = \langle y_{\Delta,r}(f), y_{\Delta,r}(f) \rangle_{NT}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(9.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & |b(m_0, \mu_0)|^2 \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{NT} \\ &= |b(m_0, \mu_0)|^2 \|g\|^4 \langle y_{\Delta,r}(f), y_{\Delta,r}(f) \rangle_{NT} \\ &= \|g\|^4 \langle y_{\Delta,r}^G(m_0, \mu_0), y_{\Delta,r}^G(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{NT}. \end{aligned}$$

According to the Gross-Zagier formula (9.4) and the following Waldspurger type formula (9.17),

$$(9.12) \quad |b(m, \mu)|^2 = \frac{\|g\|^2 \sqrt{|D_0|}}{8\pi\sqrt{N}\|G\|^2} L(G, \chi_{D_0}, 1),$$

we have

$$(9.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{NT} \\ &= \frac{2\|g\|^2 \sqrt{|N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_{\Delta}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(9.14) \quad \widehat{\theta}_{\Delta,r}(g) = \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g).$$

Therefore, we obtain the second equation.

method 2 We assume that $\Delta \neq 1$, and a similar argument can be applied when $\Delta = 1$.

For the pair (m_0, μ_0) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} b(m_0, \mu_0) \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f) \rangle_{GS} &= \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}^G(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{GS} \\ &= \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(m_0, \mu_0) \rangle_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 8.8, we have

$$b(m_0, \mu_0) \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f) \rangle_{GS} = -2b(m_0, \mu_0) \frac{\sqrt{|N|\Delta|}}{\pi\|g\|^2} L'(G, \chi_{\Delta}, 1).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{NT} &= -\langle \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g), \widehat{\phi}_{MW}(g) \rangle_{GS} \\ &= -\|g\|^4 \langle \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f), \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_{\Delta,r}(f) \rangle_{GS} \\ &= \frac{2\|g\|^2 \sqrt{|N|\Delta|}}{\pi} L'(G, \chi_{\Delta}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove the second equation. \square

From this proof, we find the following relation.

Corollary 9.6.

Arithmetic inner product formula \Leftrightarrow The Gross-Zagier formula.

Remark 9.7. The first proof is valid for all Δ , whereas the second method relies on the assumption that Δ possesses a prime factor p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ when $\Delta > 0$. We select a negative odd fundamental discriminant D that contains such a prime factor.

9.3. Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula. Let G be a newform of weight 2κ . There exists a newform $g \in S_{\frac{\kappa}{2}+\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}^{new}$ that corresponds to G under the Shimura correspondence and there exists a function $f \in H_{3/2-\kappa, \bar{\rho}_L}$ such that

$$\xi_{3/2-\kappa}(f) = \|g\|^{-2} g.$$

Taking the G -component, by the multiplicity one, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 9.8 (Modularity). *The following generating function is a cusp form,*

$$(9.15) \quad \sum_{m>0, \mu} Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) q^m e_\mu = g(\tau) \otimes Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f).$$

More precisely,

$$(9.16) \quad Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) = b(m, \mu) Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}(f),$$

where $b(m, \mu)$ are Fourier coefficients of g .

When $\kappa = 1$, this has been proved by Gross, Kohnen and Zagier [GKZ], Borcherds [Bo2], and Bruinier and Ono [BO].

The Waldspurger type formula for the L -function in [GKZ, Chapter 2] is given by

$$(9.17) \quad |b(m, \mu)|^2 = \frac{(\kappa - 1)! \|g\|^2 |D_0|^{\kappa-1/2}}{2^{2\kappa+1} \pi^\kappa N^{\kappa-1/2} \|G\|^2} L(G, \chi_{D_0}, \kappa),$$

where $m = -\frac{\text{sgn}(\Delta)D_0}{4N}$ and $m \equiv \text{sgn}(\Delta)Q(\mu) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The following result reveals the relationship between Theorem 8.8 and the higher weight Gross-Zagier-Zhang formula [Zh].

Theorem 9.9. *If Conjecture 9.15 is true, then the following formula holds*

$$(9.18) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu), Z_{\Delta, r, \kappa}^G(m, \mu) \rangle = \frac{(2\kappa - 2)! \sqrt{|D|}}{2^{4\kappa-2} \pi^{2\kappa} \|G\|^2} m^{\kappa-1} L'(G, \chi, \kappa),$$

where $D = -4N|\Delta|m$ is a fundamental discriminant.

Proof. The proof follows a similar approach of Theorem 9.5. Assuming the validity of modularity conjecture 9.15, we have

$$(9.19) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f) \rangle = \frac{2\Gamma(\kappa - \frac{1}{2})\sqrt{N|\Delta|}}{(4\pi)^{\kappa-1}\pi^{\frac{3}{2}} \|g\|^2} L'_K(G, \chi_{\Delta}, \kappa).$$

If $Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}^G(m, \mu) = b(m, \mu)Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f)$, then we have

$$(9.20) \quad \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}^G(m, \mu), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}^G(m, \mu) \rangle = |b(m, \mu)|^2 \langle Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f), Z_{\Delta,r,\kappa}(f) \rangle.$$

By the Waldspurger formula (9.17), we obtain the result. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank T.H. Yang for suggestion to do this research, and for helping us to check some details of the proof.

REFERENCES

- [Al] *C. Alfes*, Formulas for the coefficients of half-integral weight harmonic maass forms, *Math. Z.*, 277(2014), 769–795.
- [ABS] *C. Alfes, J. Bruinier and M. Schwagenscheidt*, Harmonic weak Maass forms and periods II, *Math. Ann.*, 2024, online.
- [AE] *C. Alfes and S. Ehlen*, Twisted traces of CM values of weak Maass forms, *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(2013), 1827-1845.
- [Bo1] *R. Borcherds*, Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians, *Invent. Math.*, 132(1998), 491-562.
- [Bo2] *R. Borcherds*, The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions, *Duke Math. J.* 97 (1999), 219-233.
- [Bost] *J. B. Bost*, Potential theory and Lefschetz theorems for arithmetic surfaces, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.* 32(1999), 241-312.
- [Br] *J. Bruinier*, Borcherds products on $O(2, l)$ and Chern classes of Heegner divisors, *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, 1780(2002).
- [BBK] *J. Bruinier, J. Burgos Gil and U. Kühn*, Borcherds products and arithmetic intersection theory on Hilbert modular surfaces, *Duke Math. J.*, 139 (2007), 1-88.
- [BEY] *J. Bruinier, S. Ehlen and T. Yang*, CM values of higher automorphic Green functions for orthogonal groups, *Invent. math.*, (2021) 225, 693–785
- [BFH] *D. Bump, S. Friedberg and J. Hoffstein*, Nonvanishing theorems for L -funtions of mouldlar forms and their derivatives, *Invent. Math.*, 102(1990), 543-618.
- [BHY] *J. Bruinier, B. Howard and T. Yang*, Heights of Kudla-Rapoport divisors and derivatives of L-functions, *Invent. Math.*, 201 (2015), 1-95.
- [BY] *J. Bruinier and T. Yang*, Faltings heights of CM cycles and derivatives of L-functions, *Invent. Math.*, 177 (2009), 631-681.

- [BS] *J. Bruinier and M. Schwagenscheidt*, Algebraic formulas for the coefficients of mock theta functions and Weyl vectors of Borcherds products, *J. Algebra*, 478 (2017), 38-57.
- [BO] *J. Bruinier and K. Ono*, Heegner divisors, L-functions and harmonic weak maass forms, *Ann. Math.*, 172(2010), 2135–2181.
- [BKK] *J. Burgos Gil, J. Kramer and U. Kühn*, Cohomological arithmetic Chow rings. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 6 (2007), 1-172.
- [DY1] *T. Du and T. Yang*, Arithmetic Siegel-Weil formula on $X_0(N)$, *Advances in mathematics*, 345(2019), 702-755.
- [DY2] *T. Du and T. Yang*, Twisted arithmetic Siegel-Weil formula on $X_0(N)$, *J. Number theory*, 203(2019), 95-117.
- [EZ] *M. Eichler and D. Zagier*, *The Theory of Jacobi Forms*, *Progr. Math.*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 55(1985).
- [Eh] *S. Ehlen*, CM values of regularized theta lifts, PhD Thesis.
- [GKZ] *B. Gross, W. Kohlen and D. Zagier*, Heegner points and derivatives of L-series. II. *Math. Ann.*, 278 (1987), 497-562.
- [GS] *H. Gillet and C. Soulé*, Arithmetic intersection theory, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 72(1990), 94-174
- [GZ] *B. Gross and D. Zagier*, Heegner points and derivatives of L-series, *Invent. Math.*, 84 (1986), 225–320.
- [Qi] *H. Qin*, Congruent numbers, quadratic forms and K_2 , *Math. Ann.*, 38(2022), 1647–1686.
- [JKK] *D. Jeon, S. Kang and C. H. Kim*, Weak Maass–Poincaré series and weight $3/2$ mock modular forms, *Journal of Number Theory*, 133(2013), 2567-2587.
- [KM] *N. Katz and B. Mazur*, *Arithmetic moduli of elliptic curves*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 108, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1985).
- [KM_i] *S. Kudla and J. Millson*, Intersection numbers of cycles on locally symmetric spaces and Fourier coefficients of holomorphic modular forms in several complex variables, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 71(1990), 121–172.
- [Ku1] *S. Kudla*, Central derivatives of Eisenstein series and height pairings, *Ann. of Math.*, 146(1997), 545-646.
- [Ku2] *S. Kudla*, Integrals of Borcherds forms, *Compositio Math.*, 137 (2003), 293-349.
- [Ku3] *S. Kudla*, Algebraic cycles on Shimura varieties of orthogonal type, *Duke Math. J.*, 86(1997), 39–78.
- [KRY1] *S. Kudla, M. Rapoport, and T. Yang*, Derivatives of Eisenstein Series and Faltings heights. *Compositio Math.*, 140 (2004), 887-951.
- [KRY2] *S. Kudla, M. Rapoport and T. Yang*, *Modular forms and special cycles on Shimura curves*. *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, 161, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.
- [KRY3] *S. Kudla, M. Rapoport and T. Yang*, On the derivative of an Eisenstein series of weight one, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, 7 (1999), 347–385.
- [KY1] *S. Kudla and T. Yang*, Eisenstein series for $SL(2)$, *Science China Mathematics*, 53(2010), 2275–2316.

- [KY2] *S. Kudla and T. Yang*, On the pullback of an arithmetic theta function, *manuscripta math.*, 140(2013), 393-440.
- [Kü1] *U. Kühn*, Néron-Tate heights on algebraic curves and subgroups of the modular group, *Manuscripta Math.*, 116(4) (2005), 401-419.
- [Kü2] *U. Kühn*, Generalized arithmetic intersection numbers, *J. reine angew. Math.*, 534 (2001), 209-236.
- [LL1] *C. L and Y. L*, Chow groups and L-derivatives of automorphic motives for unitary groups, *Ann. of Math.*, 194 (2021), 817-901.
- [LL2] *C. L and Y. L*, Chow groups and L-derivatives of automorphic motives for unitary groups, II, *Forum Math. Pi*, 10 (2022), 77pp.
- [Ne] *J. Nekovář*, Kolyvagin's method for Chow groups of Kuga-Sato varieties, *Invent. Math.*, 107(1992) , 99-125.
- [OS] *K. Ono and C. Skinner*, Non-vanishing of quadratic twists of modular L-functions, *Invent. math.*, 134(1998), 651-660.
- [Scho] *J. Schofer*, Borcherds forms and generalizations of singular moduli, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 629(2009), 1-36.
- [Si] *C. L. Siegel*, *Lectures on Advanced Analytic Number Theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1961.
- [Sk] *N. P. Skoruppa*, Developments in the theory of Jacobi forms, *Proceedings of the Conference on Automorphic Forms and its Applications*, Khabarovsk, 1990, 167-185.
- [So] *C. Soulé*, *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*. With the collaboration of D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer. *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [SZ] *N. P. Skoruppa and D. Zagier*, Jacobi forms and a certain space of modular forms, *Invent. Math.*, 94 (1988), 113-146.
- [Wa] *J. L. Waldsupurger*, Correspondence de Shimura et quaternions, *Forum Math.*, 3(1991), 219-307.
- [Ya] *T. Yang*, An explicit formula for local densities of quadratic forms. *J. Number theory*, 72 (1998), no. 2, 309-356.
- [YZZ] *X. Yuan, S. Zhang and W. Zhang*, The Gross-Zagier Formula on Shimura Curves, *Princeton University Press, A. M. S.*, 184(2013).
- [YZ] *Z. Yun and W. Zhang*, Shtukas and the Taylor expansion of L -functions, *Ann. of Math.*, 186 (2017), 767-911.
- [Zh] *S. Zhang*, Heights of Heegner cycles and derivatives of L-series, *Invent. Math.*, 130(1997), 99-152.
- [Zh] *B. Zhu*, Arithmetic Siegel-Weil formula on $X_0(N)$: singular terms, preprint.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, NORTH CHINA ELECTRIC POWER UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 102206, P.R. CHINA

Email address: ntp1982@163.com