

# THE SCHRIJVER SYSTEM OF THE LENGTH POLYHEDRON OF AN INTERVAL ORDER

ANDRÉ E. KÉZDY AND JENŐ LEHEL

**ABSTRACT.** The length polyhedron of an interval order  $P$  is the convex hull of integer vectors representing the interval lengths in possible interval representations of  $P$  in which all intervals have integer endpoints. This polyhedron is an integral translation of a polyhedral cone, with its apex corresponding to the canonical interval representation of  $P$  (also known as the minimal endpoint representation).

In earlier work, we introduced an arc-weighted directed graph model, termed the key graph, inspired by this canonical representation. We showed that cycles in the key graph correspond, via Fourier-Motzkin elimination, to inequalities that describe supporting hyperplanes of the length polyhedron. These cycle inequalities derived from the key graph form a complete system of linear inequalities defining the length polyhedron. By applying a theorem due to Cook, we establish here that this system of inequalities is totally dual integral (TDI).

Leveraging circulations, total dual integrality, and the special structure of the key graph, our main theorem demonstrates that a cycle inequality is a positive linear combination of other cycle inequalities if and only if it is a positive integral linear combination of smaller cycle inequalities (where ‘smaller’ here refers a natural weak ordering among these cycle inequalities). This yields an efficient method to remove redundant cycle inequalities and ultimately construct the unique minimal TDI-system, also known as the Schrijver system, for the length polyhedron. Notably, if the key graph contains a polynomial number of cycles, this gives a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the Schrijver system for the length polyhedron.

Lastly, we provide examples of interval orders where the Schrijver system has an exponential size.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we continue our investigation of the length polyhedron of an interval order, building on earlier work [2]. A partially ordered set  $P = ([n], <)$  is called an interval order if there exists an assignment of compact intervals from  $\mathbb{R}$  to elements of  $[n]$ , where each  $x \in [n]$  is assigned an interval  $I_x = [\ell_x, r_x] \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x < y$  if and only if  $r_x < \ell_y$ . For technical convenience and without loss of generality, we assume that  $r_x + 1 \leq \ell_y$ , for all comparable pairs  $x < y$ . This choice reflects our focus on finite interval orders and their interval representations having integer interval endpoints.

The length polyhedron has been the subject of much research. For example, it appears in Fishburn’s book [10] where he utilizes linear algebra and polyhedral techniques to explore properties of interval orders. His focus is primarily on the *interval count problem*. This problem seeks to determine the smallest number  $k$  of distinct interval lengths required to represent a given interval order. Interval orders that can be represented with a single interval

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06A06, 90C27, 05C62, 52B05, 05C20.

*Key words and phrases.* interval order, canonical representation, key graph, Fourier-Motzkin elimination, cycle inequalities, length polyhedron, convex cone, totally unimodular matrix, total dual integrality, graph circulation, Schrijver system.

length ( $k = 1$ ) are precisely the semiorders, which are well-studied and have well-known characterizations (see [20, 22]).

For  $k \geq 2$ , however, the interval count problem remains largely unresolved, with no general results available, even in the simplest case  $k = 2$ . Isaak [14, 15] proposes a directed graph model to address this, though it is different from our model. Progress also has been made by Doignon and Pauwels [9], who additionally contribute applications to knowledge space theory [8] and mathematical psychology [7].

Non-negative interval representations of an interval order  $P$  with  $n$  elements are characterized by the following system of linear inequalities. For every  $x, y \in [n]$ ,

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\ell_x \leq 0, \\ r_x - \ell_y \leq -1 & \text{if } x < y, \\ \ell_x - r_y \leq 0 & \text{if } x \not< y. \end{cases}$$

Each non-negative interval representation of  $P$  corresponds to a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  encoding a feasible solution of this linear system. These feasible vectors form a convex polyhedron, which we denote  $D_P$ . Doignon and Pauwels [9] studied this polyhedron, determining its facet-defining inequalities and proving that it is a pointed affine cone.

Interval count problems motivate our study of a related polyhedron, *the length polyhedron* of an interval order  $P$ , denoted  $Q_P$ . This polyhedron is the convex hull of integer vectors representing the interval lengths in possible interval representations of  $P$  in which all intervals have integer endpoints. The components of the integer vectors of  $Q_P$  correspond to interval lengths; we refer to these as  $\rho_x = r_x - \ell_x$ , for each  $x \in [n]$ . In [2] we demonstrate that  $Q_P$  is a pointed affine cone and we compute its Hilbert basis. In that investigation we introduce a novel directed graph, the key graph of  $P$ , which efficiently models the results of the Fourier-Motzkin process used to derive  $Q_P$  from (1). The length polyhedron is defined by the linear system of cycle inequalities associated with the directed cycles of the key graph (Theorem 2.5). We prove here (Proposition 3.3), applying a theorem due to Cook (Theorem 2.3), that these cycle inequalities yield a totally dual integral (TDI) system of inequalities.

Section 2 introduces these two central structures of an interval order that form the foundation of our analysis: the length polyhedron and the key graph. The length polyhedron,  $Q_P$ , provides a geometric framework to study the lengths of intervals in representations of an interval order  $P$ . In parallel, the key graph,  $G_P$ , serves as a combinatorial model for the inequalities defining the length polyhedron. This arc-colored, arc-weighted directed graph establishes a conceptual bridge between the length polyhedron and the interval order, offering deeper algorithmic insights. We rely heavily on the structure of key graph and its circulations in this work.

Our objective here is to determine the minimal TDI linear system for the length polyhedron of an interval order. This linear system, known as the Schrijver system, is unique for the length polyhedron, a consequence of a general theorem due Schrijver (see Theorem 2.4). Schrijver systems are closely related to min-max theorems in combinatorial optimization. We define Schrijver systems in Section 2. Our presentation there reflects the integer programming origins (see the classic text by Schrijver [19]) that inspired our work. In the literature, Schrijver systems have been characterized for various polyhedra, including  $b$ -matchings [6], odd-join polyhedra [21],  $T$ -cut polyhedra [17], and the flow cone of series-parallel graphs [1].

This paper advances the understanding of the length polyhedron, focusing on the computation of its Schrijver system. Because the cycle inequalities derived from cycles of the

key graph yield a TDI system, the unique Schrijver system may be obtained by discarding from this system cycle inequalities that can be expressed as a non-negative integral linear combination of others (Theorem 3.4). We show in Theorem 5.1 that all redundant cycle inequalities are necessarily integral linear combinations of smaller cycle inequalities with respect to the weak ordering of the cycle inequalities defined in Section 5.4.

Algorithmically, the ordered list of all cycle inequalities, referred as to the *Weak-list*, is first constructed from the key graph. The *Schrijver list*, which contains the minimal TDI system, is then populated in stages testing inequalities following the weak order. Irredundant inequalities are added as they are detected, applying Theorem 5.8 which guarantees that a redundant cycle inequality may be recognized by testing (via a single linear program) whether it is a positive linear combination of smaller inequalities. Graph circulations are introduced in Section 4 as the basic graph theory tool to detect and manipulate dependencies among cycle inequalities. Notably, if the key graph contains a polynomial number of cycles, this gives a polynomial-time algorithm to compute the Schrijver system.

It is also worth noting that neither total unimodularity (Theorem 3.1) nor TDI (Proposition 3.3) are sufficient to prove our main theorem (Theorem 5.1) which states that a redundant cycle inequality is a non-negative *integral* linear combination of smaller cycle inequalities. Section 4 introduces graph theory tools essential for establishing structural properties used later.

Section 5 includes a constructive proof of the main Theorem 5.1. Finally, we mention implementation considerations and provide an example to illustrate that the Schrijver system of an interval order may have exponential size.

For the reader's benefit, we include an example of an interval order to illustrate a canonical representation, key graph, cycle inequalities, and a Schrijver system. We chose, for this purpose, to use the interval order in Example 1 of Doignon and Pauwels [9]. For expedience, we identify interval orders with their ascent sequences (see Bousquet-Mélou et al. [3]). So, to introduce this example's interval order  $P$  corresponding to the ascent sequence  $(0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$  we write  $P = (0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$ . The canonical representation and key graph for  $P$  are displayed in Figure 2. Table 1 presents the ten inequalities of the Schrijver system together with cycles of the key graph  $G_P$  generating these inequalities.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce the two central structures associated with an interval order  $P$  that form the foundation of our analysis: the length polyhedron,  $Q_P$ , and the key graph,  $G_P$ . We begin with definitions and theorems that underpin the application of integer programming principles to  $Q_P$ . Further elaboration appears in Section 3. After summarizing these foundational tools, we present the canonical representation of an interval order and describe the key graph model, drawing on relevant prior results (see [2]) that frame the core object of this study: the length polyhedron.

**2.1. Integer programming tools.** A matrix is *totally unimodular* if all its square submatrices have a determinant equal to 0, 1, or  $-1$ . The following reformulation of a theorem due to Hoffman and Kruskal [13] explains the significance of totally unimodular matrices.

**Theorem 2.1** (Hoffman and Kruskal [13], see also [19], Corollary 19.2b). *An integral matrix  $A$  is totally unimodular if and only if for all integral vectors  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$  both sides of the linear programming duality equation*

$$\max\{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\} = \min\{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{b} : \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{c}\}$$

*are achieved by integral vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , if they are finite.*

Ghouila-Houri [11] proved a useful combinatorial characterization of total unimodularity. A  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -matrix  $A$  has an *equitable bicoloring* if its columns can be partitioned into blue columns and red columns so that, for every row of  $A$ , the sum of the entries in the blue columns differs from the sum of the entries in the red columns by at most one.

**Theorem 2.2** (Ghouila-Houri [11], see also [19], Theorem 19.3). *A  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -matrix  $A$  is totally unimodular if and only if every submatrix of  $A$  has an equitable bicoloring.*

A linear system  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  is *totally dual integral* (TDI) if the minimum in the LP-duality equation  $\max\{\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}\} = \min\{\mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y} : A^T \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y} \geq 0\}$  has an integer optimal solution  $\mathbf{y}$  for all integer vectors  $\mathbf{c}$  for which the optimum is finite.

The question whether total dual integrality of a linear system remains totally dual after eliminating a variable by Fourier-Motzkin Elimination is the subject of the following technical result due to Cook [5].

**Theorem 2.3** (Cook [5]). *Let  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  be a TDI-system. If each coefficient of the variable  $x_1$  is either 0, 1, or  $-1$ , then the system obtained by eliminating  $x_1$  by Fourier-Motzkin elimination is also TDI.*

A totally dual integral system is a *minimal TDI-system* if any proper subsystem which defines the same polyhedron is not TDI. Equivalently, a totally dual integral system is a minimal TDI-system if and only if every constraint in the system determines a supporting hyperplane and is not the non-negative integral combination of other constraints in the system. Schrijver proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4** (Schrijver [18]). *A full-dimensional rational polyhedron is determined by a unique minimal TDI-system  $A\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  of linear inequalities with  $A$  integral.*

The unique minimal TDI-system is now referred to as a *Schrijver system*, following the nomenclature introduced by Cook and Pulleyblank [6].

**2.2. The key graph and the length polyhedron.** Let  $P = ([n], <)$  be an interval order. The key graph  $G_P$  is a colored arc-weighted directed graph whose arcs are defined by certain pairs of the canonical representation of  $P$ .

Greenough proved [12, Theorem 2.4] that the *magnitude representation* of  $P$ , the interval representation of  $P$  minimizing the number of distinct endpoints, is essentially unique in the sense that, disregarding the ‘physical’ locations, the endpoint incidences agree in every minimal endpoint representation. We define the *canonical representation* of  $P$  as a magnitude representation on integral interval endpoints  $0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , where  $m$  is the *magnitude* of  $P$ .

In relation to the fundamental inequalities in (1) we define the concept of ‘slack’ as follows. Let  $\mathcal{R} = [\ell_x, r_x]_{x \in [n]}$  be an integral representation of  $P$ . For  $x, y \in [n]$  the *slack* in  $\mathcal{R}$  of the pair  $(x, y)$  is defined to be

$$s_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \ell_y - r_x - 1 & \text{if } x < y \\ r_y - \ell_x & \text{if } x \parallel y \\ \rho_x = r_x - \ell_x & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}.$$

Note that  $s_{\mathcal{R}}(x, y) \geq 0$ , and it is defined for every pair  $(x, y)$ , unless  $y < x$ .

A pair  $(x, y)$  is a *slack zero pair* provided that  $s_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y) = 0$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the canonical representation of  $P$ . A slack zero pair  $(x, y)$  is a *slack zero sharp pair* if  $x \parallel y$ ; it is a *slack zero cover pair* if  $x < y$ . Additionally, by definition,  $(x, x)$  is a slack zero pair if and only

if the interval assigned to  $x$  in  $C$  has length zero. Figure 1 displays slack zero pairs in the canonical representation.

According to the Slack theorem [2, Theorem 3.6], if  $C$  is the canonical representation of  $P$ , then  $s_C(x, y) \leq s_R(x, y)$ , for every  $x, y, y \not\prec x$ . As a corollary, the property of being a slack zero pair in  $P$  is independent of the particular representation of  $P$ .



FIGURE 1. Slack zero pairs shown in part of a canonical representation

Let  $\mathcal{R} = \{\ell_i, r_i\}_{i \in [n]}$  be an interval representation of  $P$ . The  $2n$  endpoint variables define the  $\rho$ -vector  $(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\rho_i = r_i - \ell_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Doignon and Pauwels's result [9, Theorem 4] (see also [2, Theorem 4.2]) implies that the existence of an interval representation of  $P$  with a given  $\rho$ -vector can be stated as the feasibility of the system

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \ell_x + \rho_x + 1 \leq \ell_y & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a slack zero cover pair,} \\ \ell_x \leq \ell_y + \rho_y & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a slack zero sharp pair,} \\ -\rho_x \leq 0 & \text{if } (x, x) \text{ is a slack zero pair.} \end{cases}$$

The arcs of the key graph of  $P$  are defined by slack zero cover pairs and slack zero sharp pairs. The *key graph*  $G_P$  is a colored arc-weighted directed graph that has vertex set  $V = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_n\}$ , and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_y$  is an arc (or a loop) in  $G_P$  if and only if  $(x, y)$  is a slack zero pair of  $P$ . The color and the weight of  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_y$  indicate the relation of the variables  $\rho_x, \rho_y$  in the corresponding inequalities of the linear system (2) defining the representation polyhedra. There are red loops, and blue or red arcs between  $\rho_x, \rho_y \in V$  with weights as follows:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \text{arc} & \text{weight} \\ \rho_x \rightarrow \rho_y & \ell_x + \rho_x + 1 \leq \ell_y & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a slack zero cover pair,} \\ \rho_x \rightarrow \rho_y & \ell_x \leq \ell_y + \rho_y & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a slack zero sharp pair,} \\ \rho_x \rightarrow \rho_x & -\rho_x \leq 0 & \text{if } (x, x) \text{ is a slack zero pair.} \end{cases}$$

The sum of the arc weights along a directed cycle  $C \subset G_P$  is an inequality containing only  $\rho$ -variables. Every such *cycle inequality* has the form

$$(4) \quad \gamma + \sum_{i \in A} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B} \rho_j,$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $A, B \subset X$  are disjoint sets defined as follows.

For every directed 3-path  $T = (\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z)$  along a cycle  $C$  of the key graph,  $y \in A$  if both arcs of  $T$  are blue,  $y \in B$  if both arcs of  $T$  are red, and  $y \notin A \cup B$ , otherwise. Furthermore,  $\gamma$  in (4) counts the number of blue arcs along  $C$ . A loop at  $\rho_y$  is considered as a singleton red cycle  $C = (\rho_y)$ , and it has weight  $\langle 0 \leq \rho_y \rangle$ .

Figure 2 presents the interval order  $P = (0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$  in Example 1 from Doignon and Pauwels [9]. The canonical representation and key graph for  $P$  are displayed in Figure 2. Table 1 presents the ten inequalities of the Schrijver system together with cycles of the key graph  $G_P$  generating these inequalities. For example, by the cycle interpretation rules, the cycle inequality (4) defined by the directed cycle  $C = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_7, \rho_4, \rho_8, \rho_6, \rho_5)$  is

$\langle 3 + \rho_2 + \rho_7 \leq \rho_5 + \rho_6 + \rho_8 \rangle$ . The key graph  $G_P$  has 25 directed cycles (including four loops) corresponding to 17 distinct inequalities. Seven of these cycle inequalities are redundant. For example,  $\langle 0 \leq \rho_3 + \rho_4 \rangle$  and  $\langle 3 + \rho_2 + \rho_6 \leq \rho_3 + \rho_5 + \rho_7 + \rho_8 \rangle$  are redundant.




FIGURE 2. The interval order  $P = (0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$ . Its canonical representation (left) and its key graph  $G_P$  (right).

TABLE 1. All ten inequalities in the Schrijver system of the length polyhedron of the interval order  $P = (0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$ .

#	facet-defining inequality	a corresponding key graph cycle
1	$0 \leq \rho_1$	$\rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow$
2	$0 \leq \rho_2$	$\rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow$
3	$0 \leq \rho_3$	$\rightarrow \rho_3 \rightarrow$
4	$0 \leq \rho_4$	$\rightarrow \rho_4 \rightarrow$
5	$1 \leq \rho_8$	$\rightarrow \rho_3 \rightarrow \rho_8 \rightarrow \rho_6 \rightarrow$
6	$1 \leq \rho_6$	$\rightarrow \rho_5 \rightarrow \rho_8 \rightarrow \rho_6 \rightarrow$
7	$1 \leq \rho_7$	$\rightarrow \rho_5 \rightarrow \rho_8 \rightarrow \rho_7 \rightarrow$
8	$2 + \rho_2 \leq \rho_5$	$\rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_6 \rightarrow \rho_5 \rightarrow$
9	$3 + \rho_2 + \rho_6 \leq \rho_5 + \rho_7 + \rho_8$	$\rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_6 \rightarrow \rho_3 \rightarrow \rho_8 \rightarrow \rho_7 \rightarrow \rho_5 \rightarrow$
10	$3 + \rho_2 + \rho_7 \leq \rho_5 + \rho_6 + \rho_8$	$\rightarrow \rho_1 \rightarrow \rho_2 \rightarrow \rho_7 \rightarrow \rho_3 \rightarrow \rho_8 \rightarrow \rho_6 \rightarrow \rho_5 \rightarrow$

We proved [2] that eliminating the left endpoint variables from the linear system (2) by Fourier-Motzkin elimination results in the equivalent linear system in variables  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  formed by the inequalities (4), one for each directed cycle  $C$  of  $G_P$ . Our prior results about the length polyhedron of an interval order are summarized next (c.f. [2, Theorem 5.1]).

**Theorem 2.5.** (Biro et al. [2]) *The length polyhedron  $Q_P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is the set of all feasible  $\rho$ -vectors satisfying the linear system of the inequalities (4) for all directed cycles of the the key graph;  $Q_P$  is a full-dimensional affine cone with apex at the length vector of the canonical representation of  $P$ .*

### 3. THE SCHRIJVER SYSTEM

Let  $P = ([n], <)$  be an interval order and let  $Q_P$  be its length polyhedron. Recall that  $Q_P$  is the projection of the conic polyhedron defined by the linear system (2), which may be presented this way:

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \ell_x + \rho_x - \ell_y \leq -1 & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a slack zero cover pair} \\ \ell_x - \ell_y - \rho_y \leq 0 & \text{if } (x, y) \text{ is a sharp pair} \\ -\rho_x \leq 0 & \text{if } (x, x) \text{ is a slack zero pair} \\ -\ell_x \leq 0 & \text{for every } x \in X. \end{cases}$$

Write the linear system (5) in matrix form as  $M_P \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_P$ , where  $M_P$  is the  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -matrix whose rows correspond the left-hand sides of the inequalities, its columns correspond to the  $2n$  variables  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  (in this order), and the entries are the coefficients of these variables. The  $0, -1$  entries of the vector  $\mathbf{b}_P$  are determined by the right-hand sides of these inequalities.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any interval order  $P = ([n], <)$ , the matrix  $M_P$  is totally unimodular.*

*Proof.* We apply the characterization of totally unimodular matrices due to Ghouila-Houri [11]. Consider an arbitrary submatrix  $A$  of  $M_P$  formed by selecting certain rows and columns. Color all columns of  $A$  blue, except in the case in which columns corresponding to  $\ell_x$  and  $\rho_x$  are both selected to form  $A$ . In this exceptional case, color the column corresponding to  $\ell_x$  blue and the column corresponding to  $\rho_x$  red. We claim that this is an equitable bicoloring of the columns of  $A$ . To prove this, consider a row of  $A$ . If this row corresponds to an inequality involving only one variable, then the blue sums and the red sums of the entries differ by at most one. So, it suffices to consider expressions of type  $\ell_x + \rho_x - \ell_y$  since the left-hand sides of the inequalities  $\ell_x + \rho_x - \ell_y \leq -1$  and  $\ell_x - \ell_y - \rho_y \leq 0$  from (5) can be rewritten into this form. Observe that if  $\ell_x$  and  $\rho_x$  are not both selected to form  $A$ , then this expression is equivalent to  $\ell_x - \ell_y$  or  $\rho_x - \ell_y$  and all of these terms are blue, hence their blue sum is in  $\{0, \pm 1\}$  while the red sum is zero. Similarly, if  $\ell_x$  and  $\rho_x$  are both selected to form  $A$ , then  $\ell_x + \rho_x - \ell_y$  has blue sum 0 or 1, depending upon whether the column corresponding to  $\ell_y$  is chosen to form  $A$ , whereas its red sum is one. So  $A$  has an equitable bicoloring. Because  $A$  is arbitrary, Theorem 2.2 implies that  $M_P$  is totally unimodular.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *For any interval order  $P = ([n], <)$  and any integral vector  $\mathbf{b}$ , the linear system  $M_P \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  is TDI.*

*Proof.* We apply the Hoffman and Kruskal characterization [13] of totally unimodular matrices stated here in Theorem 2.1 combined with the definition of total dual integrality. Then Theorem 3.1 implies that the linear system  $M_P \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  is TDI.  $\square$

To obtain a linear system in terms of the  $\rho$ -variables equivalent to (5) we eliminate the variables  $\ell_x$ ,  $x = 1, \dots, n$ , from (5) by using Fourier-Motzkin elimination (FME). Let  $N\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  be the linear system containing the  $n$   $\rho$ -variables only. The feasible solutions of this system define the length polyhedron  $Q_P$ . By Definition 2.5, given for  $Q_P$  in terms of the cycle inequalities, the linear system  $N\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  is equivalent to the system (4) for all

directed cycles of the key graph  $G_P$ . Notice that the inequalities of the form  $-\ell_x \leq 0$  in (5) are meaningless for  $Q_P$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The linear system  $N\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  of the cycle inequalities in the key graph of an interval order is TDI.*

*Proof.* Denote by  $N_i\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_i$  the linear system equivalent to (5) after eliminating  $\ell_x$  for  $x = 1, 2, \dots, i$ . Then  $N_n\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_n$  is identical with the system  $N\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  of cycle inequalities.

We apply a result due to Cook [5] that is stated here as Theorem 2.3. Because each coefficient of the variables in a cycle inequality (4) is either 0, 1, or  $-1$ , the conditions required by Theorem 2.3 are satisfied by  $N_i\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_i$ , for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The FME procedure starts with a TDI-system (5), by Corollary 3.2. Thus, repeated application of Theorem 2.3 implies that the system  $N\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$  of cycle inequalities is TDI.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The length polyhedron  $Q_P$  of an interval order  $P$  has a unique Schrijver system obtained from the system of cycle inequalities in the key graph by discarding each cycle inequality that can be expressed as a non-negative integral linear combination of other inequalities (not equivalent to the original inequality).*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.3, the system (4) of cycle inequalities in the key graph is TDI. As outlined in Theorem 2.5, the length polyhedron  $Q_P$  is defined by (4) and it is a full-dimensional integral affine cone. The claim now follows by Theorem 2.4.  $\square$

Theorem 3.4 combined with our main result Theorem 5.8 answers the question how to compute the Schrijver system of the length polyhedron  $Q_P$  of an interval order  $P$ . In fact, we prove in the second part of the paper that redundant cycle inequalities are necessarily integral linear combinations of smaller cycle inequalities with respect to the weak ordering of the cycle inequalities defined in Section 5.4.

As an example, we provide the Schrijver system for  $P = (0, 1, 2, 2, 0, 2, 2, 3)$  (shown in Figure 2). Table 1 contains the Schrijver system of the length polyhedron  $Q_P$  together with the cycles of the key graph  $G_P$  generating the corresponding inequalities.

#### 4. PATHS AND CYCLES IN THE KEY GRAPH

This section develops the structure of the key graph, focusing on the properties needed in later sections: local structure (the diamond lemma), color-alternating directed paths, and circulations.

**4.1. The diamond lemma.** Let  $P = ([n], <)$  be an interval order. Recall that the *key graph*,  $G_P$ , is a colored, arc-weighted directed graph derived from  $P$ . Two arcs of the *key graph* with the same color and common tail (or with a common head) determine a gap in the canonical representation of  $P$ , an interval between consecutive interval endpoints. The next lemma, dubbed the *diamond lemma*, details how such arcs (and their gaps) force other arcs in the *key graph*. This is a critical tool in detecting dependencies among cycle inequalities.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\rho_w, \rho_x, \rho_y, \rho_z$  be distinct vertices of  $G_P$ .*

- (I) *If  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x, \rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$ , and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , then  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  is an arc of  $G_P$ ,*
- (II) *If  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x, \rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$ , and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , then  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  is an arc of  $G_P$ ,*
- (III) *If  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x, \rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$ , and  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_x$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , then  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_y$  is an arc of  $G_P$ ,*
- (IV) *If  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x, \rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$ , and  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_x$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , then  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_y$  is an arc of  $G_P$ .*




FIGURE 3. The Diamond Lemma

*Proof.* For (I) and (IV),  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , hence  $\ell_x = r_w + 1 = \ell_y$ .

If  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  is in  $G_P$ , then  $r_z = \ell_x = \ell_y$  which implies  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  is in  $G_P$ .

If  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_x$  is in  $G_P$ , then  $r_z = \ell_x$   $r_z = \ell_y$  which implies  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_y$  is in  $G_P$ .




FIGURE 4. Diamonds in the canonical representation.

For (II) and (III),  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  are arcs of  $G_P$ , we have  $r_x = \ell_w = r_y$ .

If  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  is in  $G_P$ , then  $\ell_z = r_x + 1 = r_y + 1$  which implies  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  is in  $G_P$ .

If  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_x$  is in  $G_P$ , then  $\ell_z = r_x = r_y$  which implies  $\rho_z \rightarrow \rho_y$  is in  $G_P$ .  $\square$

Lemma 4.1 remains true if the vertices of the diamond are not distinct. Actually, the endpoints of any red arc can be identified making the arc a red loop. It is obviously cannot work for blue arcs, since  $G_P$  has no blue loops. Notice, that according to Lemma 4.1, if three among the four colored arcs/loops of a diamond are known, then the fourth arc/loop is in  $G_P$ , and its color is determined.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are color-alternating directed paths in the key graph from vertex  $\rho_a$  to vertex  $\rho_b$ , and they start with arcs of the same color, then  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  have the same length and they enter  $\rho_b$  with arcs of the same color.*

*Proof.* The proof is induction on the length  $h = |L_1| > 2$ . Let  $L_1 = (\rho_a, \rho_x, \rho_y, \dots, \rho_b)$  and  $L_2 = (\rho_a, \rho_u, \rho_v, \dots, \rho_b)$ . By assumption, the arcs  $\rho_u \rightarrow \rho_v$  and  $\rho_u \rightarrow \rho_y$  have the same color. So, by Lemma 4.1 (I) or (II), the claim follows for  $h = 3$ .

For  $h > 3$ , consider the paths  $L'_1 = (\rho_u, \rho_v, \dots, \rho_b)$  and  $L'_2 = (\rho_u, \rho_y, \dots, \rho_b)$ . These color-alternating directed paths from  $\rho_u$  to  $\rho_b$  start with arcs of the same color, as we saw when  $h = 3$ , and  $|L'_1| = h - 1$ . By induction, the paths  $L'_1$  and  $L'_2$ , hence  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  have the same length, and they enter  $\rho_b$  with arcs of the same color.  $\square$

**4.2. Circulations.** To identify the Schrijver system for the length polyhedron, we begin with the system of cycle inequalities derived from cycles of the *key graph* (which is TDI, by Proposition 3.3) and iteratively discard inequalities that are a non-negative integral linear

combination of smaller cycle inequalities (‘smaller’ here refers to the weak order defined in (10)). The process of detecting inequalities that must be discarded begins by NOT discarding all inequalities that correspond to loops of the *key graph*. These *loop inequalities* have the form  $\langle 0 \leq \rho_x \rangle$ , for  $x \in X$  such that  $x$  is assigned an interval of length zero in the canonical representation. These inequalities are clearly not non-negative linear combinations of other cycle inequalities, so they will form part of the Schrijver system.

By definition, a cycle inequality  $W$  is *redundant* if it is a non-negative linear combination of other cycle inequalities:

$$(6) \quad W = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i W_i,$$

where  $W_i$  is a cycle inequality not equivalent to  $W$ , and  $\alpha_i \geq 0$  is a rational coefficient, for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Our goal is to prove that a redundant cycle inequality is a non-negative *integral* linear combination of smaller cycle inequalities (Theorem 5.1). Thus, to decide whether a cycle inequality should be discarded, it suffices to verify the feasibility of a linear program (which can be done in polynomial-time provided the number of smaller inequalities is polynomially bounded). In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we employ circulations of the key graph, which we now introduce.

Given a directed graph  $D = (V, A)$  and a vertex  $v \in V$ , the set of arcs *entering*  $v$  is denoted by  $\delta^-(v) = \{a \in A : a = (u, v) \text{ for some } u \in V\}$ , and the set of arcs *exiting*  $v$  is denoted by  $\delta^+(v) = \{a \in A : a = (v, u) \text{ for some } u \in V\}$ . A *circulation* on  $D$  is a function  $f : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(a) \geq 0$ , for all  $a \in A$ , and  $\sum_{a \in \delta^-(v)} f(a) = \sum_{a \in \delta^+(v)} f(a)$ , for all  $v \in V$ .

Observe that if  $f$  is a circulation on digraph  $D$ , then  $cf$ , the scaling of all values of  $f$  by a positive constant  $c$ , is also a circulation. The value  $f(a)$  is called the *flow* along the arc  $a$ . A circulation  $f$  is an *integral circulation* if  $f(a)$  is integer, for all arcs  $a$ . The *zero circulation* is the circulation that assigns value zero to all arcs. The *support* of a circulation  $f$ , denoted  $\text{supp}(f)$ , is the subdigraph induced by the set of all arcs with non-zero flow, where it is understood that isolated vertices are eliminated if they appear.

The support of a circulation defined on the key graph  $G_P = (V, A)$  is a subdigraph inheriting all of the arc colors and arc weights. If  $f$  is a circulation of the key graph, define its weight to be

$$W(f) = \sum_{a \in A} f(a)w(a).$$

It is understood here that the arc weight inequalities can be added in the usual way with appropriate cancellations and simplifications in the sense that  $\langle a \leq b \rangle$  plus  $\langle c \leq d \rangle$  sums to  $\langle a + c \leq b + d \rangle$ . A *zero weight circulation* is one whose weight reduces to  $\langle 0 \leq 0 \rangle$ . Two circulations are *equivalent* if they have the same weight.

If  $C$  is a cycle of  $G_P$ , we identify it with the circulation assigning value 1 to all arcs of  $C$  and zero to all other arcs of  $G_P$ ; this is a *cycle circulation*. The cycle inequality for the length polyhedron  $Q_P$  determined (via Fourier-Motzkin elimination) by cycle  $C$  is precisely the weight of this cycle circulation, which we denote  $W(C)$ . Vertices of  $\text{supp}(f)$  that correspond to variables in  $W(f)$  are *basic*; other vertices are *non-basic*.

It is well known (see, for example, p.135 of [4]) that every (integral) circulation is a non-negative (integral) linear combination of cycle circulations. Here we quickly review this straightforward procedure that we call *rational decomposition*.

**Proposition 4.3.** *If a circulation  $f$  is not a single cycle, then it has a decomposition of the form  $f = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i C_i$ , where  $\alpha_i > 0$ ,  $C_i$  is a cycle in  $\text{supp}(f)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , and  $t \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a circulation  $f$  and a directed cycle  $C$  in  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Define

$$f_{\min}(C) := \min\{f(a) : a \text{ is an arc of } C\}.$$

The reduction of  $f$  by  $C$ , denoted  $f_C$ , is the circulation defined as

$$f_C(a) := \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \text{ is not in } C \\ f(a) - f_{\min}(C) & \text{if } a \text{ is in } C. \end{cases}$$

Circulation  $f_C$  is the result of reducing  $f$  by  $C$ . Note that  $W(f_C) = W(f) - f_{\min}(C)W(C)$ . Also note that  $\text{supp}(f_C)$  has fewer arcs than  $\text{supp}(f)$  since at least one arc of  $C$  is assigned zero flow by  $f_C$ .

Now  $f_C$  could be further reduced using any cycle in  $\text{supp}(f_C)$ . In this way, repeated reductions decompose the original circulation  $f$  into a finite, non-negative linear combination of cycle circulations. Indeed, this shows that there exists a positive integer  $t$ , cycles  $C_1, \dots, C_t$ , and positive scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  such that  $f$  takes the form

$$(7) \quad f = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i C_i,$$

called a decomposition of  $f$ , where  $\alpha_i$  is equal to the minimum flow among arcs of a cycle  $C_i$  in the support of the circulation that results from reducing  $f$  by  $C_1, \dots, C_{i-1}$ .  $\square$

Observe that if  $f$  is an integral circulation in Proposition 4.3, then all  $\alpha_i$ 's are integral; in this case,  $f$  decomposes into cycles, that is,  $\text{supp}(f)$  is a non-negative integral linear combination of cycle circulations. The rational decomposition (7) of a given circulation  $f$  is not unique; indeed, there may be many choices at each reduction step to choose a cycle to perform the reduction. Each rational decomposition of a circulation  $f$  corresponds to a non-negative linear combination of cycle inequalities:

$$(8) \quad W(f) = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i W(C_i).$$

Therefore, inequality  $W(f)$  is valid for the length polyhedron  $Q_P$  as the non-negative sum of cycle inequalities defining  $Q_P$ .

Notice that each cycle inequality in (4) contains a variable on the right-hand side, therefore, the only circulation that has the zero-weight inequality  $\langle 0 \leq 0 \rangle$  is the zero circulation, that is, a circulation having zero flow on each arc. The total dual integrality derived in Corollary 3.2 has an important consequence on the decomposition of a circulation into cycles.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that  $P$  is an interval order and  $f$  is a circulation of its key graph. If the weight of  $f$  is a cycle inequality, then  $W(f)$  is a non-negative integer linear combination of cycle inequalities determined by cycles from  $\text{supp}(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Cycle inequalities have the form shown in (4). Let  $\mathbf{z}\boldsymbol{\rho} \leq -\gamma$  be the cycle inequality  $W(f)$  defined by the circulation  $f$ , where  $\gamma$  is a non-negative integer,  $\mathbf{z}$  is a  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -vector of dimension  $n$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\rho} = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)^T$ . Recast this inequality for variables  $\mathbf{x} = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n)^T$  writing it as  $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} \leq -\gamma$ , where it is understood that  $\mathbf{c} = (0, \dots, 0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is  $2n$ -dimensional after padding by  $n$  leading zeros.

Consider  $M_P \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_P$  defined by (5), and let  $M_f \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_f$  be the subsystem that is determined by picking out the rows of  $M_P$  and  $\mathbf{b}_P$  corresponding to arcs of the key graph in  $\text{supp}(f)$ . Corollary 3.2 implies that the system  $M_f \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_f$  is TDI.

The linear programming duality equation

$$\max\{\mathbf{c}\mathbf{x} : M_f \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}_f\} = \min\{\mathbf{y}\mathbf{b}_f : \mathbf{y} \geq \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}M_f = \mathbf{c}\}$$

has value  $-\gamma$ , by assumption. Indeed, the circulation  $f$  witnesses that the maximum and minimum have solutions yielding  $-\gamma$ . The total dual integrality guarantees that there exists a non-negative integral optimal solution  $\mathbf{y}$  to the minimum. This corresponds to an integral circulation of  $\text{supp}(f)$ , call it  $g$ , such that  $W(g) = W(f)$ . Because every integral circulation is a non-negative integral linear combination of cycle circulations, the theorem follows.  $\square$

The integral decomposition obtained via Proposition 4.4 might seem to establish our main goal: that any redundant cycle inequality is a non-negative integral linear combination of other cycle inequalities. However, this is not entirely accurate, as  $\text{supp}(f)$  may include cycles that themselves realize the cycle inequality  $W(f)$ . In such cases, Proposition 4.4 would merely show that a cycle inequality can be expressed as an integral linear combination of itself - a triviality. To overcome this impasse, we need to remove these problematic cycles by appropriately reducing the circulation  $f$ , a task achieved by Proposition 5.6 within the Sublimation Loop. We tackle this task in the next section.

## 5. COMPUTING THE SCHRIJVER SYSTEM

Because cycle inequalities correspond to supporting hyperplanes of the length polyhedron (they are tight for the canonical representation) and the system of cycle inequalities is TDI (Proposition 3.3), the Schrijver system consists of cycle inequalities that are not the non-negative integral combination of other cycle inequalities.

The algorithm to construct the Schrijver system begins by listing all cycle inequalities (in order according to the weak order defined in Section 5.4); this list is referred as to the *Weak-list*. From this *Weak-list*, the appropriate inequalities are identified and incorporated into another list, called the *Schrijver list*, which eventually contains the minimal TDI system for the length polyhedron.

The Schrijver list is initialized with the loop inequalities as mentioned at the beginning of subsection 4.2. We show that the Schrijver list, initialized with these inequalities and then extended with all cycle inequalities that are not expressible as non-negative rational linear combinations of smaller cycle inequalities (in the weak order), constitutes the Schrijver system of the length polyhedron  $Q_P$ . According to Theorem 5.8, these are precisely the inequalities that should be included.

When the next inequality  $W$  of the Weak list is investigated, we may find that it is not a rational, non-negative linear combination of other cycle inequalities. In this case,  $W$  is clearly not a non-negative integral combination of other cycle inequalities, so it is added to the Schrijver list. On the other hand, if  $W$  is a rational, non-negative linear combination of other inequalities, the main theorem of this section (Theorem 5.1) implies that  $W$  is necessarily a non-negative integral combination of other cycle inequalities; therefore, it is not added to the Schrijver list, in this case. This is the main theorem of this section:

**Theorem 5.1.** *Suppose that  $P$  is an interval order. Each redundant cycle inequality of its length polyhedron can be expressed as a non-negative integral linear combination of other cycle inequalities.*

To prove Theorem 5.1, we begin with an inequality that is a rational, non-negative rational linear combination of other cycle inequalities and we must find an equivalent non-negative integral combination of other cycle inequalities. The algorithm to find this integral combination works with circulations of the key graph and involves two loops. The flow chart of the first loop that we call the Sublimation Loop is shown in Figure 7; the second one is the 2-cycle Loop whose flow chart is presented in Figure 9. The loops of the algorithm use basic properties of the key graph we introduce in the next subsection. The proof of Theorem 5.1 begins in subsection 5.2.

**5.1. Concordant cycles and their properties.** The structure of a key graph implies remarkable properties of its directed cycles. In this subsection we develop the notion of concordant cycles and their properties. Let  $f$  be a circulation of a key graph. A cycle  $C$  in  $\text{supp}(f)$  is *concordant* (with  $f$ ) if  $C$ , interpreted itself as a cycle circulation, and the circulation  $f$  are equivalent, that is,  $W(C) = W(f)$ . If  $W(C) \neq W(f)$  then  $C$  is *discordant*. A vertex of  $\text{supp}(f)$  is *mixed* if either all arcs entering it do not all have the same color or all arcs leaving the vertex do not have the same color.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose  $f$  is a circulation of a key graph. If  $C_1, C_2$  are concordant cycles in  $\text{supp}(f)$ , then  $C_1 \cup C_2$  does not contain a mixed vertex.*

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary, hypothetical mixed vertex  $\rho_x$  in  $C_1 \cup C_2$ . There are two cases: ( $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_u$  is in  $C_1$  and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_v$  is in  $C_2$ ) or ( $\rho_u \rightarrow \rho_x$  is in  $C_1$  and  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_x$  is in  $C_2$ ). Because both




FIGURE 5. No mixed vertex in the union of two concordant cycles.

$C_1$  and  $C_2$  are concordant, either case implies that  $\rho_x$  is a non-basic vertex. Consequently, incoming arcs to a mixed vertex must have opposite color (see left image of Figure 5).

Now assume, to the contrary, that there is a mixed vertex in  $C_1 \cup C_2$ . Among all pairs  $(\rho_b, \rho_w)$  such that  $\rho_b$  is basic and  $\rho_w$  is mixed, choose a pair minimizing the length of a shortest directed path in  $C_1$  or  $C_2$  from  $\rho_b$  to  $\rho_w$  in  $C_1 \cup C_2$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $L_i \subset C_i$  be the path from  $\rho_b$  to  $\rho_w$  (see right image of Figure 5). First observe that  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are internally vertex-disjoint by the choice of the pair  $(\rho_b, \rho_w)$ . Therefore,  $L_1, L_2$  are color-alternating directed paths. Furthermore,  $L_1, L_2$  start with the same color, because  $\rho_b$  is a basic vertex. By Lemma 4.2, the color of the arc of  $L_1$  entering  $\rho_w$  is the same as the color of the arc of  $L_2$  entering  $\rho_w$ , contradicting that  $\rho_w$  is a mixed vertex.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $C_1, \dots, C_t$  ( $t \geq 2$ ) be concordant cycles in  $\text{supp}(f)$ , for some circulation  $f$ . If  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$  contains no discordant cycle, then all cycles  $C_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, t$ , visit the basic vertices in  $\text{supp}(f)$  in the same circular order.*

*Proof.* The claim is trivial if  $\text{supp}(f)$  contains at most two basic vertices, so we presume there are at least three basic vertices. Assume, on the contrary, that there are basic vertices  $\rho_a, \rho_b, \rho_c$  and two concordant cycles  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  such that  $C_i$  visits these basic variables in order  $\rho_a, \rho_b, \rho_c$ , and  $C_j$  visits them in order  $\rho_a, \rho_c, \rho_b$ . Consider the digraph obtained from

$C_i \cup C_j$  by deleting vertex  $\rho_b$ . This digraph contains a path from  $\rho_a$  to  $\rho_c$  (from  $C_j$ ) and a path from  $\rho_c$  to  $\rho_a$  (from  $C_i$ ). Consequently, it contains a directed cycle. This cycle exists in  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$  and it must be discordant because it avoids basic vertex  $\rho_b$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The next concordant cycle property is an anti-Helly property that helps restrict the decomposition of the cycle analyzed in the Sublimation Loop into the linear combination of just two cycles.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $g$  be a circulation with concordant cycles  $C_1, \dots, C_t$  ( $t \geq 2$ ). If  $C_i \cup C_j$  contains no discordant cycle, for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$  has no discordant cycle.*

*Proof.* Applying Proposition 5.3 to every pair  $C_1, C_i$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, t$ , we find that all  $C_i$ 's visit basic vertices in the same circular order. The union of the directed paths between any two consecutive basic vertices along these cycles are color-alternating as described by Lemma 4.2. Because there are no mixed vertices (Lemma 5.2), variables that appear in discordant's cycle inequality are a proper subset of the variables appearing in the concordant cycle inequality. So, the only way that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t C_i$  has a discordant cycle is if some pair of concordant cycles, say  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , share a non-basic variable  $\rho_x$  that exists between different pairs of consecutive basic variables of these cycles. Let  $\rho_x$  lie between basic vertices  $\rho_a, \rho_b$




FIGURE 6. If there is no discordant cycle in the union of two concordant cycles, then the union do not share non-basic vertices.

on  $C_1$ , and between basic vertices  $\rho_c, \rho_d$  on  $C_2$  as seen in Figure 6 ( $\rho_b = \rho_c$  or  $\rho_d = \rho_a$  is possible). The pair  $C_1, C_2$  violates the hypothesis because the path from  $\rho_c$  to  $\rho_x$  along  $C_2$ , extended along  $C_1$  to  $\rho_b$ , and then further extended along any path from  $\rho_b$  to  $\rho_c$ , forms a digraph with a cycle that is discordant due to avoiding  $\rho_a$ .  $\square$

Contrapositive form of Proposition 5.4 is used to prepare the input for the 2-cycle Loop.

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^t \alpha_i C_i$  be a circulation, where  $t \geq 2$ ,  $C_1, \dots, C_t$  are concordant cycles, and  $\text{supp}(f)$  contains a discordant cycle. There exists a circulation  $g$  equivalent to  $f$  such that  $g = \frac{1}{2}C_i + \frac{1}{2}C_j$ , for some  $C_i$  and  $C_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$  and  $\text{supp}(g)$  contains a discordant cycle.*

**5.2. The Sublimation Loop.** Suppose that  $W$  is a redundant cycle inequality, say  $W = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i W_i$  expresses  $W$  as a non-negative rational linear combination of other cycle inequalities, where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  and  $r \geq 2$ . The algorithm begins by constructing a circulation  $f$  of the key graph. To construct this circulation, a cycle of the key graph representing the inequality  $W_i$  is chosen for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . The arcs of the circulation  $f$  are the arcs

appearing in these chosen representative cycles. The flow of an arc is defined as the sum of the coefficients belonging to the cycles containing that arc. Observe that  $W(f) = W$ . It is also important to note that, because  $W$  is redundant,  $\text{supp}(f)$  contains a discordant cycle.

The crucial step in the proof of Theorem 5.1 involves eliminating concordant cycles from  $\text{supp}(f)$ , resulting in a non-trivial integral decomposition of  $W$  in terms of discordant cycle inequalities. This step is realized in the next proposition by the *sublimation* of  $f$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *If  $f$  is a circulation and  $\text{supp}(f)$  contains at least one discordant and one concordant cycle, then there exists a circulation  $h$  equivalent to  $f$  such that  $\text{supp}(h)$  contains a discordant cycle. Moreover,  $\text{supp}(h)$  has fewer arcs than  $\text{supp}(f)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  and  $C$  be a discordant and a concordant cycle in  $\text{supp}(f)$ , respectively. Let  $f = \beta D + \alpha C + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i C_i$  be a rational decomposition of  $f$  as described in Proposition 4.3 starting with  $D$  and  $C$ . Consider the circulation  $g$  defined by

$$g(a) := \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{if } a \text{ is not in } C \\ f(a) - \alpha & \text{if } a \text{ is in } C. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $g = \beta D + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i C_i$  is a decomposition of  $g$  and  $W(g) = W(f)(1 - \alpha)$ . Observe that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , since  $g$  is not the zero circulation ( $\text{supp}(g)$  contains  $D$ ) and its weight cannot be a negative sum of cycle inequalities. Consequently, the circulation  $h = \frac{1}{1-\alpha}g$  is equivalent to  $f$ ; together with  $D$  every discordant cycle in  $\text{supp}(h)$  remains discordant, and  $\text{supp}(h)$  has fewer arcs than  $\text{supp}(f)$ .  $\square$

The Sublimation Loop either produces the required integral linear combination of the redundant cycle inequality  $W$  or it exits with an equivalent circulation  $g = \frac{1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are concordant cycles and  $\text{supp}(g)$  contains a discordant cycle. Dealing with this circulation is the task of the 2-cycle Loop that follows the Sublimation Loop.

The Sublimation Loop starts with the application of Proposition 4.4 to  $f$ . This produces an integral decomposition  $f = \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda_i C_i$ , where  $\lambda_i > 0$  is integer, and  $C_i$  is a cycle in  $\text{supp}(f)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . If  $C_i$  is discordant for every  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , then the procedure terminates with a required integral combination of  $W$ . Otherwise, the decomposition of  $f$  given by Proposition 4.4 is trivial ( $t = 1$ ) and we need to find another decomposition. Note that in this latter trivial case, a concordant cycle is returned and, from this concordant cycle, a rational decomposition can be started. Starting with this concordant cycle, Proposition 4.3 returns a rational decomposition containing at least two cycles (because  $f$  is not a single cycle circulation. Indeed, the decomposition begins with a concordant cycle and the circulation contains a discordant cycle).

If the decomposition is *heterogeneous*, that is, among the cycles there is a concordant and a discordant cycle, then we return to the Sublimation step in Procedure 5.6. The Sublimation step removes a concordant cycle from  $\text{supp}(f)$  and returns the rational decomposition of a circulation  $h$  that is equivalent to  $f$  and contains a discordant cycle. This  $h$  restarts the loop in the role of  $f$ . Since  $\text{supp}(h)$  contains fewer arcs than  $\text{supp}(f)$ , the Sublimation Loop is finite.

If all cycles are concordant in the rational decomposition returned by Proposition 4.3, then applying the corollary of Proposition 5.4 links the two loops of the procedure. This may be necessary if the attempted sublimation of a concordant cycle destroys all discordant ones, which actually occurs in the circulation shown in Figure 12b. Corollary 5.5 reduces the circulation to an equivalent circulation  $g = \frac{1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2$ .  $\square$




FIGURE 7. A flowchart depicting part of the algorithm that takes a cycle inequality that is a positive linear combination of other cycle inequalities and returns an equivalent integral sum of smaller cycle inequalities. This chart highlights the sublimation loop.

**5.3. The 2-cycle Loop.** If the Sublimation Loop does not find the desired non-negative integral linear combination, then it exits with a circulation  $g$  equivalent to  $f$  such that  $W(g) = W(f) = W$ , and  $g = \frac{1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are distinct concordant cycles and  $\text{supp}(g)$  contains a discordant cycle. Resolving these types of circulations is the task of the 2-cycle Loop.

The 2-cycle Loop consists of a procedure that proves the Proposition 5.7. The flow chart of the 2-cycle Loop is presented in Figure 9. The algorithm reduces circulation  $g$  through a sequence of equivalent circulations with a decreasing number of arcs. The reductions terminate producing a circulation that demonstrates the desired integer linear combination, possibly using arcs not found in  $\text{supp}(g)$ .

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $g = \frac{1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2$ , where  $C_1, C_2$  are distinct concordant cycles. If  $W(g)$  is a cycle inequality and  $\text{supp}(g)$  contains a discordant cycle, then there is an equivalent circulation  $g'$  that is the non-negative integral linear combination of discordant cycles.*

*Proof.* Lemma 5.2 implies that  $\text{supp}(g)$  has no mixed vertex. Consequently, variables that appear in an inequality corresponding to a discordant cycle of  $\text{supp}(g)$  must correspond to vertices that are basic. This means that a discordant cycle in  $\text{supp}(g)$  corresponds to an inequality containing a proper subset of the variables appearing in  $W(g)$ . In particular, this means that  $\text{supp}(g)$  (and therefore the concordant cycles  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) contain at least two basic vertices. Notice that if  $W$  is a 1-variable redundant inequality, then its integral decomposition into discordant cycle inequalities is realized in the Sublimation Loop.

Because  $C_1 \neq C_2$ , there exists a vertex  $\rho_w$  such that  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  ‘diverge’ after both passing through  $\rho_w$ , that is,  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  belongs to  $C_1$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  belongs to  $C_2$ , and  $\rho_x \neq \rho_y$ . The color of these divergent arcs is the same, because by Lemma 5.2,  $C_1 \cup C_2$  has no mixed vertex.

Case 1:  $\rho_x \in C_2$ . Let  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_x$  be the arc in  $C_2$ . Because there are no mixed vertices, there are four possible colored configurations listed in Figure 8. Observe that all of these vertices are necessarily basic.

For  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_x$ , the key graph contains the red arc  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_y$ , by Lemma 4.1. The removal of the arcs  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  from  $C_2$  leads to a partition of the vertices of cycle  $C_2$  into two disjoint cycles:  $C'_2$  beginning with the arc  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$ , and  $C''_2$  beginning with the arc  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_y$ . Because  $C_2$  is concordant and all of these vertices are basic, we obtain the required integer decomposition  $g' = C'_2 + C''_2$  into discordant cycles.




FIGURE 8.  $\rho_w$  is a monochromatic divergence, and  $\rho_x \in C_2$

Notice that if  $\rho_v = \rho_y$ , then  $C''_2$  becomes the red loop  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_y$ , thus in this case the decomposition of the equivalent circulation is  $g' = (C_2 - \rho_y) + (\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_y)$ .

For  $\rho_v \rightarrow \rho_x$ , Lemma 4.1 implies  $\rho_y \neq \rho_v$  when we apply it with  $\rho_w, \rho_x$  and  $\rho_y = \rho_v = \rho_z$  because there are no blue loops in  $G_P$ . Hence the blue triangle on the right of Figure 8 is




FIGURE 9. A flowchart depicting the 2-cycle Loop and the logical progression of the proof of Proposition 5.7. The process begins with a circulation  $g$ , defined as the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -weighted sum of two concordant cycles exhibiting a redundant cycle inequality weight. Termination occurs in one of three states, each of which yields a  $g$ -equivalent non-negative integral linear combination of discordant cycles.

actually not present in a key graph. A decomposition of  $C_2$  is obtained in the same way as for the red divergence.

Case 2:  $\rho_x \notin C_2$ . In this case either we obtain an integer decomposition of a concordant cycle (as in Case 1) or we reduce the number of arcs of the circulation producing a new equivalent circulation with a discordant cycle that is the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -weighted sum of two concordant cycles. This latter case we refer to as ‘shrinking’ the circulation.




FIGURE 10. The two cases of shrinking a diamond.

Let  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  belong to  $C_1$ . Because  $\rho_x \notin C_2$ , the arcs  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  have opposite color. Consequently, by Lemma 4.1, the four (not necessarily distinct) vertices,  $\rho_w, \rho_x, \rho_y, \rho_z$  form a diamond of the *key graph*. Namely,  $(\rho_w, \rho_x, \rho_z)$  and  $(\rho_w, \rho_y, \rho_z)$  are directed 3-paths such that  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  have the same color, and  $\rho_x \rightarrow \rho_z$  and  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  have the same opposite color.

Shrinking the diamond consists of removing  $(\rho_w, \rho_x, \rho_z)$  from  $C_1$ , and replacing it with  $(\rho_w, \rho_y, \rho_z)$ . Notice that  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$  is in  $C_2$ , and even if the arc  $\rho_y \rightarrow \rho_z$  of the key graph is not in  $supp(g)$ , shrinking reduces the number of arcs in the support. Also carefully note that, since  $\rho_x$  is not basic, the new circulation has the same weight and still has a discordant cycle.

To complete the analysis, we discuss the case  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_x$  and  $\rho_w \rightarrow \rho_y$ ; the case when both of these arcs are blue is essentially the same (details are not repeated). There are two cases to consider depending on whether  $\rho_y$  is a vertex of  $C_1$  or not (see Figure 10).

Case 2A:  $\rho_y \in C_1$ . Let  $C'_1, C''_1$  be the two cycles sharing the vertex  $\rho_y$  and replacing  $C_1$  after shrinking. We claim that the circulation  $g' = C'_1 + C''_1$  is equivalent to  $g$ , therefore, both cycles in the decomposition are discordant. The equivalence follows by checking that  $\rho_y$  is a basic vertex in the decomposition if and only if it was a basic vertex in  $C_1$ . This is true in each of the four possible colorings passing through  $\rho_y$  as shown in Figure 11 Thus we obtain the required non-negative integral linear combination  $W = W(g) = W(g') = W(C') + W(C'')$ .




FIGURE 11. The four possible colorings of arcs of  $C'_1$  and  $C''_1$ .

Case 2B:  $\rho_y \notin C_1$ . In this case,  $C_1$  is a concordant cycle, hence  $g' = \frac{1}{2}C_1 + \frac{1}{2}C_2$  is a circulation equivalent to  $g$ . Since no weight of a cycle in  $supp(g)$  changes,  $supp(g')$  has a discordant cycle. Observe that  $g'$  contains fewer arcs than  $g$ . The algorithm loops back to the beginning of the 2-cycle Loop. Thus, we obtain a circulation  $g'$  equivalent to  $g$  and its integral decomposition in a finite number of steps.  $\square$




FIGURE 12. A circulation whose weight is a redundant inequality that requires the 2-cycle Loop to resolve. Removing any concordant cycle destroys all discordant ones, so there is no heterogeneous decomposition.

Proposition 5.7 closes the proof of Theorem 5.1. Figure 12 is an example showing a case where the 2-cycle Loop obtains the required integral linear combination of a redundant cycle inequality. Consider the 9 elements interval order  $P = (0, 1, 2, 1, 0, 3, 2, 4, 2)$ . The canonical representation of  $P$  is shown on the left of Figure 12.

The redundant cycle inequality

$$(9) \quad \langle 4 + \rho_2 + \rho_6 \leq \rho_3 + \rho_5 + \rho_9 \rangle$$

is the weight of the circulation  $g$  defined on the right of Figure 12. Arcs of the two red triangles have flow  $\frac{1}{2}$ , all other arcs have flow 1. Note that  $g$  is the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -weighted sum of concordant cycles:  $C_1 = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_7, \rho_3, \rho_4, \rho_6, \rho_8, \rho_9, \rho_5)$  and  $C_2 = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_7, \rho_4, \rho_6, \rho_8, \rho_9, \rho_3, \rho_5)$ .

Ultimately, to show that cycle inequality (9) is a non-negative integral linear combination of smaller cycle inequalities, one may apply Lemma 4.1 (III) with  $\rho_w = \rho_3$  to deduce that arc  $\rho_7 \rightarrow \rho_5$  appears in the key graph of  $P$ . Hence cycle inequality (9) is the sum of the cycle inequalities arising from the cycle  $C'_2 = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_7, \rho_5)$  (with weight  $2 + \rho_2 \leq \rho_5$ ) not in  $\text{supp}(g)$  and from cycle  $C''_2 = (\rho_4, \rho_6, \rho_8, \rho_9, \rho_3)$  (with weight  $2 + \rho_6 \leq \rho_3 + \rho_9$ ).

This latter decomposition,  $g = C'_2 + C''_2$ , is given by Case 1 of the 2-cycle Loop with  $\rho_w = \rho_3$ ,  $\rho_x = \rho_4$ ,  $\rho_y = \rho_5$ , and  $\rho_v = \rho_7$ .

**5.4. A weak order on cycle inequalities.** In this subsection we introduce the following weak order on the cycle inequalities:

$$(10) \quad \left\langle \gamma_1 + \sum_{i \in A_1} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B_1} \rho_j \right\rangle < \left\langle \gamma_2 + \sum_{i \in A_2} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B_2} \rho_j \right\rangle$$

if and only if

$$(\gamma_1 < \gamma_2) \text{ or } (\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \text{ and } |A_2| < |A_1|) \text{ or } (\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 \text{ and } |A_2| = |A_1| \text{ and } |B_1| < |B_2|).$$

The weak order (10) is simply called *the weak order* on cycle inequalities. We say an inequality is *smaller* or *larger* than another inequality in accordance with (10). On the list of all cycle inequalities the smallest ones are the one-variable inequalities of the form  $\langle \gamma \leq \rho_x \rangle$ .

Recall that a cycle inequality is *redundant* if it is a non-negative linear combination of smaller cycle inequalities. The importance of this ordering is highlighted in the Theorem 5.8 below.

Loop inequalities (inequalities of the form  $\langle 0 \leq \rho_x \rangle$ ) initialize the Schrijver list since they are clearly irredundant cycle inequalities. We proved in Theorem 5.1 that redundant cycle inequalities are non-negative integral linear combinations of discordant cycle inequalities. In the next theorem, we show that these discordant components are smaller than the original redundant inequality in terms of the weak order.

**Theorem 5.8.** *A cycle inequality is redundant if and only if it is a non-negative integral linear combination of smaller inequalities.*

*Proof.* If a cycle inequality is a non-negative linear combination of smaller inequalities, then it is redundant, by definition. Conversely, suppose that the cycle inequality

$$(11) \quad \left\langle \gamma + \sum_{i \in A} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B} \rho_j \right\rangle$$

is redundant. This means it is not a loop inequality, so  $\gamma > 0$ . By Theorem 5.1, the sum (11) equals:

$$(12) \quad \alpha_1 \left\langle \gamma_1 + \sum_{i \in A_1} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B_1} \rho_j \right\rangle + \dots + \alpha_t \left\langle \gamma_t + \sum_{i \in A_t} \rho_i \leq \sum_{j \in B_t} \rho_j \right\rangle,$$

where  $t \geq 2$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$  are positive integers. If  $\gamma_i < \gamma$ , then the corresponding  $i$ th inequality is smaller than (11). If  $\gamma_i = \gamma$ , then for every  $j \neq i$ ,  $\alpha_j = 0$  and  $|A_j| = 0$  thus  $|B_j| = 1$ . Then  $|B_i| < |B|$ , hence the  $i$ th inequality and all the other inequalities in (12) are smaller than (11), as desired.  $\square$

Thanks to Theorem 5.1, the weak order on cycle inequalities, and Theorem 5.8, an algorithm is provided for identifying the irredundant inequalities from the full list of cycle inequalities. By Theorem 3.4, the remaining cycle inequalities form the unique minimal TDI subsystem, and thus the Schrijver system of the length polyhedron.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

In practice, to compute the Schrijver system given by Theorem 3.4 we have used Johnson's algorithm [16] to enumerate all directed cycles in the key graph. These cycles are converted to their corresponding inequalities (represented as vectors) and placed into a list realizing a linear extension of the weak order on cycle inequalities. Detecting a redundant cycle inequality amounts to testing whether an inequality (vector) is a non-negative linear combination of smaller irredundant inequalities (vectors); this is essentially a feasibility test for a linear program, which is reasonably efficient. Unfortunately, the number of irredundant inequalities may become exponential in the size of the interval order as the next example demonstrates.




FIGURE 13. The canonical representation of (twin-free) interval orders whose length polyhedra have unique minimal TDI-systems that grow exponentially.

Consider the interval orders whose canonical representations are depicted in Figure 13. Let  $P_m$  denote the interval order in this figure, where  $m$  is a positive integer. The canonical representation of  $P_m$  contains  $2m + 2$  intervals of length zero and  $2m$  intervals of length one. These intervals correspond to  $4m + 2$  irredundant cycle inequalities of the length polyhedron. There are also  $3^m$  irredundant cycle inequalities derived from cycles of the key graph that take the form  $(1, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2m}, a_{2m+1}, n-1, n)$ , where  $(a_{2i}, a_{2i+1})$  is one of three pairs from  $\{(4i-2, 4i-1), (4i-2, 4i+1), (4i, 4i-1)\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . After some work checking the  $5^m + 4m + 2$  directed cycles in the key graph (which we omit), one can verify that these are all of the irredundant cycle inequalities; so, the number of inequalities in the Schrijver system given by Theorem 3.4 for  $P_m$  has exactly  $3^m + 4m + 2$  inequalities.

It would be interesting to characterize interval orders whose key graph have bounded circumference (say circumference less than or equal to  $k$  for some positive integer  $k$ ) as this would guarantee a polynomial-sized Schrijver system determining the length polyhedron. In a related computational-complexity question, one wonders whether testing the irreducibility of a cycle inequality can be done in time polynomial in the size of the interval order, regardless of the total number of irreducible cycle inequalities ultimately.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Csaba Biró for stimulating discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Barbato, R. Grappe, M. Lacroix, E. Lancini, and R. Wolfler Calvo. The Schrijver system of the flow cone in series-parallel graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 308:162–167, 2022.
- [2] C. Biró, E. A. Kézdy, and J. Lehel. The length polyhedron of an interval order, November 2024.
- [3] M. Bousquet-Mélou, A. Claesson, M. Dukes, and S. Kitaev.  $(2+2)$ -free posets, ascent sequences and pattern avoiding permutations. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 117(7):884–909, 2010.
- [4] M. Conforti, G. Cornuéjols, and G. Zambelli. *Integer programming*, volume 271 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [5] W. Cook. Operations that preserve total dual integrality. *Oper. Res. Lett.*, 2(1):31–35, 1983.
- [6] W. Cook and W. R. Pulleyblank. Linear systems for constrained matching problems. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 12(1):97–120, 1987.
- [7] J.-P. Doignon, A. Ducamp, and J.-C. Falmagne. On realizable biorders and the biorder dimension of a relation. *J. Mathematical Psychology*, 28(1):73–109, 1984.
- [8] J.-P. Doignon and J.-C. Falmagne. Spaces for the assessment of knowledge. *International Journal of Man-Machine Studies*, 23:175–196, 1985.
- [9] J.-P. Doignon and C. Pauwels. The representation cone of an interval order. *Math. Sci. Hum. Math. Soc. Sci.*, (195):55–71, 2011.
- [10] P. C. Fishburn. *Interval orders and interval graphs*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1985. A study of partially ordered sets, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [11] A. Ghouila-Houri. Caractérisation des matrices totalement unimodulaires. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 254:1192–1194, 1962.

- [12] T. L. Greenough. *Representation and Enumeration of Interval Orders and Semiorders*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1976. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Dartmouth College.
- [13] A. J. Hoffman and J. B. Kruskal. Integral boundary points of convex polyhedra. In *Linear inequalities and related systems*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 38., pages 223–246. , 1956.
- [14] G. Isaak. Bounded discrete representations of interval orders. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 44(1-3):157–183, 1993.
- [15] G. Isaak. Interval order representations via shortest paths. In *The mathematics of preference, choice and order*, Stud. Choice Welf., pages 303–311. Springer, Berlin, 2009.
- [16] D. B. Johnson. Finding all the elementary circuits of a directed graph. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 4:77–84, 1975.
- [17] R. Rizzi. Minimum  $T$ -cuts and optimal  $T$ -pairings. *Discrete Math.*, 257(1):177–181, 2002.
- [18] A. Schrijver. On total dual integrality. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 38:27–32, 1981.
- [19] A. Schrijver. *Theory of linear and integer programming*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1986. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [20] D. Scott and P. Suppes. Foundational aspects of theories of measurement. *J. Symbolic Logic*, 23:113–128, 1958.
- [21] A. Sebő. The Schrijver system of odd join polyhedra. *Combinatorica*, 8(1):103–116, 1988.
- [22] W. T. Trotter. *Combinatorics and partially ordered sets*. Johns Hopkins Series in the Mathematical Sciences. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 1992. Dimension theory.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE, LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY, U.S.A.

*Email address:* kezdy@louisville.edu

ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY

*Email address:* lehelj@renyi.hu