

Categorical approach to graph limits

Martin Doležal*
dolezal@math.cas.cz

Wiesław Kubiś†
kubis@math.cas.cz

Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences

December 3, 2024

Abstract

We define and study a natural category of graph limits. The objects are pairs (π, μ) , where π (the distribution of vertices) is an abstract probability measure on some abstract measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ (the distribution of edges) is an abstract finite measure on the square $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$. Morphisms are random maps between the underlying measurable spaces which preserve the distribution of vertices as well as the distribution of edges. We also define a convergence notion (inspired by s-convergence) for sequences of graph limits. We apply tools from category theory to prove the compactness of the space of all graph limits.

MSC: 05C80, 60B10, 05C35.

Keywords: Square-graphon, graph limit, Markov kernel.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Markov kernels	3
2.2	Categories	5
2.3	Pushforward measures	6
2.4	Inverse limits of measures	8
3	The category of \square-graphons	10
4	Convergence	13
4.1	Existence of limits	15

*Supported by EXPRO project 20-31529X awarded by the Czech Science Foundation and by the Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO 67985840).

†Supported by EXPRO project 20-31529X awarded by the Czech Science Foundation and by the Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO 67985840).

5 Comparison with s-convergence 28

6 Final remarks 37

1 Introduction

It is quite common to apply category theory in the study of graphs, see e.g. the early survey paper [8], or the more recent monograph [9]. We devote this paper to showing that category theory may be useful in the world of graph limits. As it is emphasized in the preface of [9], not only graphs themselves, but also graph homomorphisms should be of central interest in graph theory. This resembles the general approach from abstract category theory, where morphisms are (at least) at the same level of interest as objects. This leads to the question whether there is some natural notion of a morphism between two graph limit objects. We provide such a notion and we use it to prove the compactness of the space of all graph limits (see Theorem 4.5). While we still have to deal with certain technical difficulties, the main idea of the presented proof is quite straightforward and, as we believe, it nicely demonstrates that category theory may provide a very useful theoretical framework for the study of certain problems arising in the theory of graph limits.

We define graph limits, which we call \square -graphons, as pairs (π, μ) , where π is an abstract probability measure on some abstract measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ is an abstract finite measure on the square $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$. This is an obvious generalization of graphs: the measure π describes the distribution of vertices, while the measure μ describes the distribution of edges. Convergence of sequences of \square -graphons (and, in particular, convergence of graph sequences) is defined in a very similar way as in [11]. However, in our abstract setting, it immediately seems to be a good idea to employ the language of category theory. To accomplish that, we establish morphisms between some pairs of \square -graphons. We define them as random maps (formally represented by Markov kernels) between the underlying measurable spaces which, in a certain sense, preserve the distribution of vertices as well as the distribution of edges. The values of these random maps are not given deterministically; we only know the probability that a given point is mapped to a given (measurable) set. This is not a new idea. Indeed, it was discovered by Lawvere in an unpublished paper [12] (and later described by Giry in [7]) that one can define a category with measurable spaces as objects and Markov kernels as morphisms. For more information on this category, the interested reader may also consult the paper [4], where applications to probabilistic programming are presented. The preservation of measures by Markov kernels was also considered in the above papers, so the only (but crucial) novelty of our definition is the requirement that two measures (the distribution of vertices and the distribution of edges) are preserved at the same time.

The main advantage of our approach is that we do not restrict ourselves to \square -graphons on some fixed measurable space. This allows us to construct \square -graphons whose underlying measurable spaces are, for example, products of

some given families of other measurable spaces. Representing such \square -graphons, let us say, on the unit interval would only cause unnecessary technical difficulties. This advantage is clearly demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 4.5, where we prove the compactness of the space of all graph limits. Namely, the limit of the convergent sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ from Theorem 4.5 is constructed as a \square -graphon on the infinite product of finite measurable spaces.

Although our definition of convergence, described by convergence of all k -shapes in the corresponding Vietoris topologies, is heavily inspired by s -convergence from [11], let us emphasize one subtle difference. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the k -shape of a given \square -graphon as a set of certain weighted graphs on k vertices, where weights are assigned to all edges and to all vertices. In [11], the k -shape of a given s -graphon can be also interpreted as a set of certain weighted graphs on k vertices, but weights are assigned to edges only. This corresponds to the fact that we define \square -graphons as pairs of measures (one of them representing vertices and the other representing edges), while s -graphons are defined as single measures (representing edges) on the square of the unit interval (where the distribution of vertices is fixed as the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval). Nevertheless, in Section 5, we show that this little difference has no real effect on the notion of convergence.

Let us say a few words about the overall strategy of the proof of the compactness of all graph limits (Theorem 4.5). For a given convergent sequence of \square -graphons, we are asked to find its limit. By the definition of convergence, we know exactly how the k -shapes of the limit should look like. We will use this information to construct two inverse systems of measure spaces (which correspond to the distributions of edges and vertices, respectively) and we find their inverse limits. These two inverse limits will describe the distribution of vertices and edges, respectively, of a new \square -graphon. By the construction, it will follow that this new \square -graphon is, indeed, a limit of our fixed sequence.

In Section 5, we compare our definition of convergence with s -convergence from [11] and, as a corollary, we reprove the compactness of the space of all s -graphons (which was one of the main results of [11]).

Finally, in Section 6, we characterize isomorphisms in the category of all \square -graphons (on reasonable measurable spaces) and we start exploring the equivalence relation of ‘having the same k -shapes’.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Markov kernels

A *Markov kernel* from a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) to another measurable space (Y, \mathcal{B}) is a map $\kappa: X \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with the following properties:

- for every $x \in X$, the map $\kappa(x, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on (Y, \mathcal{B}) ,
- for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the map $\kappa(\cdot, B)$ is measurable.

To simplify the notation, for a bounded measurable function $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in X$, we write shortly $\int_Y f(y)\kappa(x, dy)$ instead of $\int_Y f(y) d\kappa(x, \cdot)(y)$.

We will often interpret maps between measurable spaces as Markov kernels in the following way. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces. A measurable map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is represented by the Markov kernel κ_f from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) given by

$$\kappa_f(x, B) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(x) \in B, \\ 0, & f(x) \notin B, \end{cases} \quad x \in X, B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In other words, for every $x \in X$, the probability measure $\kappa(x, \cdot)$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at $f(x)$.

For later references, we present some auxiliary results here.

Let L be a non-empty finite set. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l) , $l \in L$, be measurable spaces. Let κ_l be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l) , $l \in L$. Then we define a map $\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l: X \times (\prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (here, $\prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l$ denotes the corresponding product σ -algebra) by

$$\left(\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l \right)(x, R) = \left(\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l(x, \cdot) \right)(R), \quad x \in X, R \in \prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l, \quad (1)$$

where $\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l(x, \cdot)$ is the corresponding product measure on the measurable space $\prod_{l \in L} (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L be a non-empty finite set. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l) , $l \in L$, be measurable spaces. Let κ_l be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l) , $l \in L$. Then the map $\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l$ defined by (1) is a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to $\prod_{l \in L} (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l)$.*

Proof. The only nontrivial thing to prove is that, for every fixed set $R \in \prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l$, the map

$$x \mapsto \left(\prod_{l \in L} \kappa_l(x, \cdot) \right)(R), \quad x \in X,$$

is measurable. Let \mathcal{R} be the collection of all sets $R \in \prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l$ for which this holds true. It is clear that all measurable rectangles (i.e., sets of the form $\prod_{l \in L} B_l$, where $B_l \in \mathcal{B}_l$, $l \in L$) belong to \mathcal{R} . Moreover, it is easy to check that the collection \mathcal{R} is closed under complements and countable disjoint unions. So, by the π - λ theorem (see [10, Theorem 10.1 (iii)]), it holds that $\mathcal{R} = \prod_{l \in L} \mathcal{B}_l$. \square

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces. Let κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Then we define a map $\kappa^{\otimes 2}: X^2 \times \mathcal{B}^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (here, again, \mathcal{B}^2 is the corresponding product σ -algebra) by

$$\kappa^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), \tilde{B}) = (\kappa(x_1, \cdot) \times \kappa(x_2, \cdot))(\tilde{B}), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X^2, \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}^2. \quad (2)$$

Similarly, for a measurable map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, let $f^{\otimes 2}: X^2 \rightarrow Y^2$ be the (measurable) map given by

$$f^{\otimes 2}(x_1, x_2) = (f(x_1), f(x_2)), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X^2.$$

Example 2.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (Y, \mathcal{B})$ be measurable spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a measurable map. Then $\kappa_f^{\otimes 2} = \kappa_{f \otimes 2}$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{A}), (Y, \mathcal{B})$ be measurable spaces. Let κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Then the map $\kappa^{\otimes 2}$ is a Markov kernel from $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$ to $(Y, \mathcal{B})^2$.

Proof. It is easy to check that, for both $i = 1, 2$, the map

$$((x_1, x_2), B) \mapsto \kappa(x_i, B), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in X^2, B \in \mathcal{B},$$

is a Markov kernel from $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$ to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Now it is enough to apply Lemma 2.1. \square

2.2 Categories

By a *category*, we mean a structure consisting of

- a collection of *objects*,
- a collection of *morphisms* from a to b , whenever a and b are objects,
- a partial binary operation \circ on morphisms,

which satisfies the following conditions:

- $g \circ f$ is defined if and only if there are objects a, b, c such that f is a morphism from a to b and g is a morphism from b to c ; in that case, $g \circ f$ is a morphism from a to c ,
- if f is a morphism from a to b , g is a morphism from b to c and h is a morphism from c to d then $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$,
- for every object a there is a morphism 1_a from a to a such that, for every object b , every morphism f from a to b and every morphism g from b to a , it holds that $f \circ 1_a = f$ and $1_a \circ g = g$.

The operation \circ is called *composition* and the morphism 1_a is called the *identity morphism* for a .

A morphism f from a to b is called an *isomorphism* if there exists a morphism g from b to a such that $g \circ f = 1_a$ and $f \circ g = 1_b$.

The following example will play a crucial role when we introduce our category of graph limits in Section 3.

Example 2.4. In the unpublished paper [12] (and in the later paper [7]), the category of measurable spaces was defined as follows:

- objects are measurable spaces,
- morphisms are Markov kernels,

- the composition of a morphism κ from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) and a morphism κ' from (Y, \mathcal{B}) to (Z, \mathcal{C}) is given by

$$\kappa' \circ \kappa(x, C) = \int_Y \kappa'(y, C) \kappa(x, dy), \quad x \in X, C \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (3)$$

Let us also mention that, in [6], this category (explicitly described in Section 4 of that paper) is shown to be a special case of a so called Markov category.

Example 2.5. Suppose that (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) , (Z, \mathcal{C}) are measurable spaces. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $f': Y \rightarrow Z$ be measurable maps. Then $\kappa_{f'} \circ \kappa_f = \kappa_{f' \circ f}$, where $\kappa_{f'} \circ \kappa_f$ is defined as in (3).

Later, we will need the following basic fact.

Lemma 2.6. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) , (Z, \mathcal{C}) be measurable spaces. Let κ a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) and κ' be a Markov kernel from (Y, \mathcal{B}) to (Z, \mathcal{C}) . Then*

$$(\kappa' \circ \kappa)^{\otimes 2} = \kappa'^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa^{\otimes 2},$$

where \circ is the operation given by (3).

Proof. For every $(x_1, x_2) \in X^2$ and every $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa' \circ \kappa)^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), C_1 \times C_2) \\ &= \kappa' \circ \kappa(x_1, C_1) \kappa' \circ \kappa(x_2, C_2) \\ &= \int_Y \kappa'(y_1, C_1) \kappa(x_1, dy_1) \int_Y \kappa'(y_2, C_2) \kappa(x_2, dy_2) \\ &= \int_{Y^2} \kappa'^{\otimes 2}((y_1, y_2), C_1 \times C_2) \kappa^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), d(y_1, y_2)) \\ &= \kappa'^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), C_1 \times C_2). \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $(x_1, x_2) \in X^2$, the probability measures $(\kappa' \circ \kappa)^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), \cdot)$ and $\kappa'^{\otimes 2} \circ \kappa^{\otimes 2}((x_1, x_2), \cdot)$ coincide on the collection of all measurable rectangles, and so they must be the same. The conclusion follows. \square

2.3 Pushforward measures

Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces and κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Let π be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Then we define the *pushforward* $\kappa_*\pi$ of the measure π along the Markov kernel κ as the measure on (Y, \mathcal{B}) given by

$$\kappa_*\pi(B) = \int_X \kappa(x, B) d\pi(x), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}. \quad (4)$$

Example 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a measurable map. Let π be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) and $f_*\pi$ be the (classical) pushforward of π along f . Then $f_*\pi = (\kappa_f)_*\pi$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces, κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) and μ be a measure on the measurable space $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$. Suppose that the measure μ is symmetric, that is, for every $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds that $\mu(A_1 \times A_2) = \mu(A_2 \times A_1)$. Then the measure $\kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu$ on the measurable space $(Y, \mathcal{B})^2$ is symmetric, as well.*

Proof. For every $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(B_1 \times B_2) &= \int_{X^2} \kappa(x_1, B_1) \kappa(x_2, B_2) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \int_{X^2} \kappa(x_1, B_2) \kappa(x_2, B_1) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(B_2 \times B_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

We will need the following basic results.

Lemma 2.9. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces and κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Let π be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Then $\kappa_* \pi(Y) = \pi(X)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\kappa_* \pi(Y) = \int_X \kappa(x, Y) d\pi(x) = \pi(X).$$

□

Lemma 2.10. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces. Let π be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) and κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Then, for every measurable function $f: Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, it holds that*

$$\int_Y f(y) d\kappa_* \pi(y) = \int_X \int_Y f(y) \kappa(x, dy) d\pi(x). \quad (5)$$

Proof. If f is a characteristic function of a measurable set, then (5) is just a reformulation of (4). If f is a simple function, we apply the linearity of integration. The general case follows by monotone convergence theorem. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) , (Z, \mathcal{C}) be measurable spaces. Let π be a measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Let κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) and κ' be a Markov kernel from (Y, \mathcal{B}) to (Z, \mathcal{C}) . Then*

$$(\kappa' \circ \kappa)_* \pi = \kappa'_* (\kappa_* \pi),$$

where $\kappa' \circ \kappa$ is the Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Z, \mathcal{C}) given by (3).

Proof. For every $C \in \mathcal{C}$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\kappa' \circ \kappa)_* \pi(C) &= \int_X \kappa' \circ \kappa(x, C) d\pi(x) \\
&= \int_X \int_Y \kappa'(y, C) \kappa(x, dy) d\pi(x) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Lemma 2.10}}{=} \int_Y \kappa'(y, C) d\kappa_* \pi(y) \\
&= \kappa'_*(\kappa_* \pi)(C).
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.4 Inverse limits of measures

Inverse systems of measure spaces, as well as their inverse limits, were introduced in [2, Chapter 5] as a natural generalization of product measures. While inverse limits of inverse systems of measure spaces do not always exist (see, e.g., [1]), there are many instances where the existence is guaranteed. The aim of this subsection is to formulate one such instance, where the inverse system is of a very special form. First, we will assume that the inverse system is indexed by a countable set. Second, we will assume that all the measure spaces from the inverse system have finite underlying sets. Third, we will assume that all the bounding maps of the inverse system are projections (which also requires to assume a special form of the underlying sets).

To keep things simple, we refrain from repeating the general definitions of inverse systems of measure spaces and their inverse limits (the interested reader can find them in [2], or in [3]). Instead, we define only the following special cases of these notions.

Let D be a countable index set. For every $d \in D$, let F_d be a non-empty finite set and 2^{F_d} be the discrete σ -algebra on F_d . Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all non-empty finite subsets of D . For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, let ρ^I be a finite measure on $\prod_{d \in I} (F_d, 2^{F_d})$. Then, for the purposes of this paper, we say that the collection $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a *simple inverse system* of measures if

$$\rho^I = (P_{I,J})_* \rho^J, \quad I, J \in \mathcal{I}, I \subseteq J, \quad (6)$$

where $P_{I,J}$ is the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in J} F_d$ to $\prod_{d \in I} F_d$. Moreover, we say that a finite measure ρ on $\prod_{d \in D} (F_d, 2^{F_d})$ is the *inverse limit* of the simple inverse system $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ if

$$\rho^I = (P_I)_* \rho, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (7)$$

where P_I is the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in D} F_d$ to $\prod_{d \in I} F_d$.

Lemma 2.12. *Every simple inverse system of measures has a unique inverse limit.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a simple inverse system of measures, where we follow the notation preceding the lemma. Namely, ρ^I is a finite measure on $\prod_{d \in I} (F_d, 2^{F_d})$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Let \mathcal{R} be the collection of all subsets of $\prod_{d \in D} F_d$ which are of the form

$$P_I^{-1}(F), \quad F \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} F_d, I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Then \mathcal{R} is closed under finite intersections, and so every finite measure on $\prod_{d \in D} (F_d, 2^{F_d})$ is uniquely determined by its values on \mathcal{R} . Further, if ρ is the inverse limit of $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, then its values on \mathcal{R} are uniquely determined by (7). This shows uniqueness.

Now we prove existence. It is easy to check that the collection \mathcal{R} is a ring (that is, it is closed under relative complements and finite unions). We define a map $\rho' : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\rho'(P_I^{-1}(F)) = \rho^I(F), \quad F \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} F_d, I \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (8)$$

To verify the correctness of this definition, suppose that $I_i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $F_i \subseteq \prod_{d \in I_i} F_d$, $i = 1, 2$, are such that

$$P_{I_1}^{-1}(F_1) = P_{I_2}^{-1}(F_2),$$

and put $F = P_{I_1 \cap I_2, I_1}(F_1)$. Then it clearly holds

$$F_1 = P_{I_1 \cap I_2, I_1}^{-1}(F) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2 = P_{I_1 \cap I_2, I_2}^{-1}(F).$$

So, by (6), we have

$$\rho^{I_1}(F_1) = \rho^{I_1 \cap I_2}(F) = \rho^{I_2}(F_2),$$

which verifies the correctness of the definition of ρ' .

Now we will show that ρ' is finitely additive. So suppose that $I_i \in \mathcal{I}$ and $F_i \subseteq \prod_{d \in I_i} F_d$, $i = 1, 2$, are such that

$$P_{I_1}^{-1}(F_1) \cap P_{I_2}^{-1}(F_2) = \emptyset,$$

and put $F = P_{I_1, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_1) \cup P_{I_2, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_2)$. It clearly holds

$$P_{I_1}^{-1}(F_1) \cup P_{I_2}^{-1}(F_2) = P_{I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F)$$

and

$$P_{I_1, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_1) \cap P_{I_2, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_2) = \emptyset.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'(P_{I_1}^{-1}(F_1) \cup P_{I_2}^{-1}(F_2)) &= \rho'(P_{I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F)) \\ &= \rho^{I_1 \cup I_2}(F) \\ &= \rho^{I_1 \cup I_2}(P_{I_1, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_1)) + \rho^{I_1 \cup I_2}(P_{I_2, I_1 \cup I_2}^{-1}(F_2)) \\ &\stackrel{(6)}{=} \rho^{I_1}(F_1) + \rho^{I_2}(F_2) \\ &= \rho'(P_{I_1}^{-1}(F_1)) + \rho'(P_{I_2}^{-1}(F_2)), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies finite additivity of ρ' .

Let us equip $\prod_{d \in D} F_d$ with the product topology (where we consider the discrete topology on each of the finite sets F_d , $d \in D$). Then every set from \mathcal{R} is open and compact. So, whenever $R \in \mathcal{R}$ (which is compact) is the disjoint union of $R_n \in \mathcal{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (each of which is open), then only finitely many of the sets $R_n \in \mathcal{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are non-empty. So, by finite additivity of ρ' , it follows that

$$\rho'(R) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho'(R_n).$$

This shows that ρ' is even σ -additive.

We verified all assumptions of Carathéodory's extension theorem. As the ring \mathcal{R} generates the product σ -algebra $\prod_{d \in D} 2^{F_d}$, we conclude that the map ρ' extends to a measure ρ on $\prod_{d \in D} (F_d, 2^{F_d})$. Then, by (8), ρ satisfies (7), and so it is the inverse limit of the simple inverse system $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. \square

Remark 2.13. The presented proof of Lemma 2.12 is inspired by [11, Lemma 2.2]. However, the assertion concerning existence in Lemma 2.12 is just a very special case of [2, Theorem 5.1.1] (or, alternatively, of [3, Theorem 2.2]).

3 The category of \square -graphons

The key notion of this paper is the following generalization of a graph.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be a measurable space. A \square -*graphon* on (X, \mathcal{A}) is a pair (π, μ) where π is a probability measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) and μ is a finite measure on $(X, \mathcal{A})^2$.

To define the categorical structure on the class of all \square -graphons, we first introduce the notion of a morphism.

Definition 3.2. Let (π_X, μ_X) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and (π_Y, μ_Y) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (Y, \mathcal{B}) . A *morphism* from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) is a Markov kernel κ from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) such that $\pi_Y = \kappa_* \pi_X$ and $\mu_Y = \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_X$.

Because of the importance of the definition above, we explicitly write down the formula for the measure $\kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_X$ (which is obtained by putting (2) and (4) together):

$$\kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_X(\tilde{B}) = \int_{X^2} (\kappa(x_1, \cdot) \times \kappa(x_2, \cdot))(\tilde{B}) d\mu_X(x_1, x_2), \quad \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{B}^2.$$

It is a classical result (easily obtainable from the π - λ theorem) that, if two finite measures on $(Y, \mathcal{B})^2$ coincide on the collection of all measurable rectangles, then they are the same. So, to verify that $\mu_Y = \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_X$, it is enough to check that

$$\mu_Y(B_1 \times B_2) = \int_{X^2} \kappa(x_1, B_1) \kappa(x_2, B_2) d\mu_X(x_1, x_2), \quad B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Example 3.3. Let (π_X, μ_X) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and (π_Y, μ_Y) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a measurable map. Then κ_f is a morphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) if and only if $\pi_Y = f_*\pi_X$ and $\mu_Y = f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_X$.

Next, we introduce the composition operation.

Definition 3.4. Suppose that (π_X, μ_X) , (π_Y, μ_Y) , (π_Z, μ_Z) are \square -graphons on measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) , (Z, \mathcal{C}) , respectively. Let κ be a morphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) and κ' be a morphism from (π_Y, μ_Y) to (π_Z, μ_Z) . Then we define the morphism $\kappa' \circ \kappa$ from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Z, μ_Z) by (3).

To verify the correctness of Definition 3.4, it is necessary to check that the Markov kernel $\kappa' \circ \kappa$ from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Z, \mathcal{C}) defined by (3) satisfies $(\kappa' \circ \kappa)_*\pi_X = \pi_Z$ and $(\kappa' \circ \kappa)_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_X = \mu_Z$. The former equation follows immediately from Corollary 2.11. The latter equation follows by an easy combination of Lemma 2.6 and Corollary 2.11.

It is straightforward to verify that morphisms from Definition 3.2, together with the composition operation from Definition 3.4, define a categorical structure on the class of all \square -graphons. Indeed, the associativity of the composition operation is granted for free by the associativity in the category of measurable spaces from Example 2.4 (it can also be easily verified using Fubini's theorem). Now assume that (π_X, μ_X) is a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) , and let i_X be the identity map on X . Then the Markov kernel κ_{i_X} from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (X, \mathcal{A}) is the identity morphism for (π_X, μ_X) .

We conclude this section by a few examples.

Example 3.5. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a finite graph with a non-empty vertex set V . We may allow any combination of the following: loops, multiple edges, weighted vertices and/or edges, directed edges. Then G can be naturally understood as a \square -graphon (π_G, μ_G) on the measurable space $(V, 2^V)$, where 2^V is the discrete σ -algebra on the vertex set V . Indeed, let π_G be the normalized counting measure on $(V, 2^V)$ (with an obvious modification in the case of weighted vertices) and let μ_G be the measure on $(V, 2^V)^2$ defined such that, for every $v_1, v_2 \in V$, $\mu_G(\{(v_1, v_2)\})$ equals the number of edges from v_1 to v_2 (with an obvious modification in the case of weighted edges).

Sometimes, it is useful to additionally use some kind of normalization to the measure μ_G .

Example 3.6. A *graphon (in the general form)* is defined as a pair (\mathcal{X}, W) where $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{A}, \pi_X)$ is a probability space and $W: X^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a symmetric function which is measurable with respect to the completion of the σ -algebra \mathcal{A}^2 (see [13, p. 217]).

Every graphon (\mathcal{X}, W) , where $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mathcal{A}, \pi_X)$, can be naturally understood as a \square -graphon (π_X, μ_W) on the measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . Indeed, just define

$$\mu_W(\tilde{A}) = \int_{\tilde{A}} W(x_1, x_2) d\pi_X^2(x_1, x_2), \quad \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}^2.$$

Example 3.7. In [11, Definition 4.6], s-graphons are defined as symmetric Borel probability measures on the square of the unit interval (which is equipped with the Borel σ -algebra and with the Lebesgue measure). Then every s-graphon can be naturally viewed as a \square -graphon.

Example 3.8. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) , (Y, \mathcal{B}) be measurable spaces. Suppose that (π, μ) is a \square -graphon on (X, \mathcal{A}) . Let κ be a Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) . Then $(\kappa_*\pi, \kappa_*^{\otimes 2}\mu)$ is a \square -graphon on (Y, \mathcal{B}) and κ is a morphism from (π, μ) to $(\kappa_*\pi, \kappa_*^{\otimes 2}\mu)$.

Example 3.9. Let Ω_j be a non-empty finite set and 2^{Ω_j} be the discrete σ -algebra on Ω_j , $j = 1, 2$. Suppose that $f: \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_1$ is a surjective map. Let (ρ_1, ν_1) be a \square -graphon on $(\Omega_1, 2^{\Omega_1})$. Let κ be the Markov kernel from $(\Omega_1, 2^{\Omega_1})$ to $(\Omega_2, 2^{\Omega_2})$ given by

$$\kappa(\omega_1, F) = \frac{|F \cap f^{-1}(\{\omega_1\})|}{|f^{-1}(\{\omega_1\})|}, \quad \omega_1 \in \Omega_1, F \subseteq \Omega_2.$$

Let (ρ_2, ν_2) be the \square -graphon on $(\Omega_2, 2^{\Omega_2})$ defined by

$$\rho_2 = \kappa_*\rho_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_2 = \kappa_*^{\otimes 2}\nu_1$$

(so that κ is a morphism from (ρ_1, ν_1) to (ρ_2, ν_2)). Then the Markov kernel κ_f from $(\Omega_2, 2^{\Omega_2})$ to $(\Omega_1, 2^{\Omega_1})$ is a morphism from (ρ_2, ν_2) to (ρ_1, ν_1) .

Example 3.10. Let (π_X, μ_X) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . Suppose that all singleton subsets of X are measurable and put

$$A = \{x \in X : \pi_X(\{x\}) > 0\}.$$

Let (Y, \mathcal{B}) be the measurable space obtained from (X, \mathcal{A}) by replacing each $x \in A$ by a copy I_x of the interval $[0, \pi_X(\{x\})]$ with its Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_x . More precisely, we put

$$Y = (X \setminus A) \cup \bigcup_{x \in A} I_x$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \subseteq Y : B \setminus A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } B \cap I_x \in \mathcal{B}_x, x \in A\}.$$

For every $x \in A$, let λ_x be the Lebesgue measure on (I_x, \mathcal{B}_x) . Let κ be the Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to (Y, \mathcal{B}) given by

$$\kappa(x, B) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in X \setminus A \text{ and } x \in B, \\ 0, & x \in X \setminus A \text{ and } x \notin B, \\ \frac{\lambda_x(B \cap I_x)}{\pi_X(\{x\})}, & x \in A, \end{cases}$$

$$B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Let (π_Y, μ_Y) be the \square -graphon on (Y, \mathcal{B}) defined by

$$\pi_Y = \kappa_*\pi_X \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_Y = \kappa_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_X$$

(so that κ is a morphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y)). Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the (measurable) map defined by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} y, & y \in X \setminus A, \\ x, & y \in I_x, x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then the Markov kernel κ_f from (Y, \mathcal{B}) to (X, \mathcal{A}) is a morphism from (π_Y, μ_Y) to (π_X, μ_X) .

Obviously, if the measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) is standard Borel (see, e.g., [10, Section 12.B]) then (Y, \mathcal{B}) is standard Borel as well.

4 Convergence

In this section, we introduce so called k -shapes and the notion of convergence for sequences of \square -graphons. Both these notions are heavily inspired by definitions of k -shapes and s -convergence from [11].

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a measurable space \mathcal{F}_k by

$$\mathcal{F}_k = ([k], 2^{[k]}),$$

where $2^{[k]}$ is the discrete σ -algebra on the set $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$. For every \square -graphon (ρ, ν) on \mathcal{F}_k , we can define $e_k(\rho, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$ by

$$e_k(\rho, \nu)(i) = \rho(\{i\}), \quad i \in [k],$$

and

$$e_k(\rho, \nu)(i, j) = \nu(\{(i, j)\}), \quad (i, j) \in [k]^2.$$

Then e_k is an embedding of the set of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k into $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$. We equip the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k with the topology which makes the embedding e_k a homeomorphism of the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k onto its image (which is endowed with the topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$). In particular, a sequence $(\rho_n, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k is convergent to a \square -graphon (ρ, ν) on \mathcal{F}_k if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n(\{i\}) = \rho(\{i\}), \quad i \in [k],$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n(\{(i, j)\}) = \nu(\{(i, j)\}), \quad (i, j) \in [k]^2.$$

Let \preceq be the preorder on the class of all \square -graphons given by

$$(\pi_Y, \mu_Y) \preceq (\pi_X, \mu_X) \iff \text{there exists a morphism from } (\pi_X, \mu_X) \text{ to } (\pi_Y, \mu_Y).$$

For a given \square -graphon (π, μ) , let $(\pi, \mu)^\downarrow$ be the \preceq -downward closure of (π, μ) . That is, $(\pi, \mu)^\downarrow$ is the class of all \square -graphons $(\rho, \nu) \preceq (\pi, \mu)$. For a \square -graphon (π, μ) and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we also define

$$(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow = \{(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)^\downarrow : (\rho, \nu) \text{ is a } \square\text{-graphon on } \mathcal{F}_k\}.$$

Definition 4.1. Suppose that (π, μ) is a \square -graphon. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ of (π, μ) as the topological closure of $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$ in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k .

Lemma 4.2. For every \square -graphon (π, μ) and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ is a nonempty compact set.

Proof. We fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a \square -graphon (π, μ) on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . For every Markov kernel κ from (X, \mathcal{A}) to \mathcal{F}_k , the \square -graphon $(\kappa_*\pi, \kappa_*^{\otimes 2}\mu)$ belongs to $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$ (by Example 3.8). In particular, the set $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$ is non-empty. Consequently, the same is true for the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$.

It remains to show that $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ is a compact set. That is, we must show that the closure of $e_k((\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow)$ in the image of the embedding e_k is a compact set. We note that the image of e_k is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$. Indeed, it contains exactly those $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$ which have all entries non-negative and which satisfy $\sum_{i \in [k]} \alpha(i) = 1$. So the closure of $e_k((\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow)$ in the image of e_k is the same as its closure in $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$. So, to prove the compactness, it is enough to verify that $e_k((\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow)$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$.

For every $(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$, there is a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ, ν) , and so $\nu([k]^2) = \mu(X^2)$ (by Lemma 2.9). This, together with the fact that ρ is a probability measure on \mathcal{F}_k , gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i \in [k]} e_k(\rho, \nu)(i) + \sum_{(i, j) \in [k]^2} e_k(\rho, \nu)(i, j) \\ &= \sum_{i \in [k]} \rho(\{i\}) + \sum_{(i, j) \in [k]^2} \nu(\{(i, j)\}) \\ &= 1 + \mu(X^2). \end{aligned}$$

So the set $e_k((\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow)$ is bounded, which completes the proof. \square

For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{K}_k be the space of all non-empty compact subsets of the space of all \square -graphons on the measurable space \mathcal{F}_k . We equip the space \mathcal{K}_k with the Vietoris topology (see, e.g., [10, Section 4.F]). By Lemma 4.2, each k -shape is an element of \mathcal{K}_k .

Definition 4.3. We say that a sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons is *convergent* if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ of the corresponding k -shapes is convergent in \mathcal{K}_k .

If, moreover, (π, μ) is another \square -graphon then we say that (π, μ) is a *limit* of the sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ of (π, μ) is the limit of the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{K}_k .

We conclude this section by an easy example.

Example 4.4. Suppose that (π, μ) is a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . Suppose also that the measure μ is not symmetric. Then there is $(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_3^\downarrow \subseteq \mathbb{S}_3(\pi, \mu)$ such that ν is not symmetric.

In order to see it, fix $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu(A_1 \times A_2) \neq \mu(A_2 \times A_1)$. If the sets A_1, A_2 are not disjoint, then it is easy to see that either

$$\mu((A_1 \setminus A_2) \times A_2) \neq \mu(A_2 \times (A_1 \setminus A_2)),$$

or

$$\mu((A_1 \cap A_2) \times (A_2 \setminus A_1)) \neq \mu((A_2 \setminus A_1) \times (A_1 \cap A_2)).$$

So, without loss of generality, we may assume that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow [3]$ be the (measurable) map given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A_1, \\ 2, & x \in A_2, \\ 3, & x \in X \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2). \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\rho = f_*\pi \quad \text{and} \quad \nu = f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu.$$

Then $(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_{\mathfrak{S}_3}^{\downarrow}$ (as witnessed by the morphism κ_f) and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\{1\} \times \{2\}) &= f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu(\{1\} \times \{2\}) \\ &= \mu(A_1 \times A_2) \\ &\neq \mu(A_2 \times A_1) \\ &= f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu(\{2\} \times \{1\}) \\ &= \nu(\{2\} \times \{1\}). \end{aligned}$$

4.1 Existence of limits

This subsection is devoted to the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 4.5. *Every convergent sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of \square -graphons has a limit.*

We start with some auxiliary lemmata.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $L_1 \subseteq L_2$ be non-empty finite sets. Let (π, μ) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and, for every $l \in L_2$, let (ρ_l, ν_l) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (Y_l, \mathcal{B}_l) . Suppose that κ_l is a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ_l, ν_l) , $l \in L_2$. We put*

$$\rho^{L_i} = \left(\prod_{l \in L_i} \kappa_l \right)_* \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \nu^{L_i} = \left(\prod_{l \in L_i} \kappa_l \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Let $P_{L_1 L_2}$ be the canonical projection from $\prod_{l \in L_2} Y_l$ to $\prod_{l \in L_1} Y_l$. Then the Markov kernel $\kappa_{P_{L_1 L_2}}$ is a morphism from (ρ^{L_2}, ν^{L_2}) to (ρ^{L_1}, ν^{L_1}) .

Proof. We must show that

$$\rho^{L_1} = (P_{L_1 L_2})_* \rho^{L_2} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\nu^{L_1} = (P_{L_1 L_2})_*^{\otimes 2} \nu^{L_2}. \quad (10)$$

For every $R \in \prod_{l \in L_1} \mathcal{B}_l$ and every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right) (x, R) &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l(x, \cdot) \right) (R) \\ &= \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l(x, \cdot) \right) \left(P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R) \right) \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right) \left(x, P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

So, for every $R \in \prod_{l \in L_1} \mathcal{B}_l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{L_1}(R) &= \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right)_* \pi(R) \\ &= \int_X \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right) (x, R) d\pi(x) \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \int_X \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right) (x, P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R)) d\pi(x) \\ &= \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right)_* \pi(P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R)) \\ &= \rho^{L_2}(P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R)), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (9).

Similarly, for every $R_1, R_2 \in \prod_{l \in L_1} \mathcal{B}_l$ and every $(x_1, x_2) \in X^2$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right)^{\otimes 2} ((x_1, x_2), R_1 \times R_2) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right) (x_1, R_1) \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right) (x_2, R_2) \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right) (x_1, P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R_1)) \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right) (x_2, P_{L_1 L_2}^{-1}(R_2)) \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right)^{\otimes 2} \left((x_1, x_2), ((P_{L_1 L_2}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(R_1 \times R_2)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

So, for every $R_1, R_2 \in \prod_{l \in L_1} \mathcal{B}_l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^{L_1}(R_1 \times R_2) &= \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(R_1 \times R_2) \\
&= \int_{X^2} \left(\prod_{l \in L_1} \kappa_l \right)^{\otimes 2} ((x_1, x_2), R_1 \times R_2) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\
&\stackrel{(12)}{=} \int_{X^2} \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right)^{\otimes 2} ((x_1, x_2), (P_{L_1 L_2}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(R_1 \times R_2)) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\
&= \left(\prod_{l \in L_2} \kappa_l \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu((P_{L_1 L_2}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(R_1 \times R_2)) \\
&= \nu^{L_2}((P_{L_1 L_2}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(R_1 \times R_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

which verifies (10). \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let (π, μ) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Suppose that (ρ_i, ν_i) is a \square -graphon on \mathcal{F}_k and that κ_i is a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ_i, ν_i) , $i = 1, 2$. Let $P^j: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be the projection on the j th coordinate, $j = 1, 2$. Let γ be the measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) given by*

$$\gamma = \pi + P_*^1 \mu + P_*^2 \mu. \quad (13)$$

Let $\eta > 0$ be such that

$$\|\kappa_1(\cdot, \{m\}) - \kappa_2(\cdot, \{m\})\|_{L^1(\gamma)} < \eta, \quad m \in [k]. \quad (14)$$

Then it holds that

$$|\rho_1(\{m\}) - \rho_2(\{m\})| < \eta, \quad m \in [k],$$

and

$$|\nu_1(\{(m_1, m_2)\}) - \nu_2(\{(m_1, m_2)\})| < 2\eta, \quad (m_1, m_2) \in [k]^2.$$

Proof. For every $m \in [k]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\rho_1(\{m\}) - \rho_2(\{m\})| &= |(\kappa_1)_* \pi(\{m\}) - (\kappa_2)_* \pi(\{m\})| \\
&= \left| \int_X \kappa_1(x, \{m\}) d\pi(x) - \int_X \kappa_2(x, \{m\}) d\pi(x) \right| \\
&\leq \|\kappa_1(\cdot, \{m\}) - \kappa_2(\cdot, \{m\})\|_{L^1(\pi)} \\
&\stackrel{(13), (14)}{<} \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for every $(m_1, m_2) \in [k]^2$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\nu_1(\{(m_1, m_2)\}) - \nu_2(\{(m_1, m_2)\})| \\
&= |(\kappa_1)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\{(m_1, m_2)\}) - (\kappa_2)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\{(m_1, m_2)\})| \\
&= \left| \int_{X^2} \kappa_1(x_1, \{m_1\}) \kappa_1(x_2, \{m_2\}) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{X^2} \kappa_2(x_1, \{m_1\}) \kappa_2(x_2, \{m_2\}) d\mu(x_1, x_2) \right| \\
&\leq \int_{X^2} |\kappa_1(x_1, \{m_1\}) \kappa_1(x_2, \{m_2\}) - \kappa_2(x_1, \{m_1\}) \kappa_2(x_2, \{m_2\})| d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\
&\leq \int_{X^2} \kappa_1(x_1, \{m_1\}) \cdot |\kappa_1(x_2, \{m_2\}) - \kappa_2(x_2, \{m_2\})| d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\
&\quad + \int_{X^2} \kappa_2(x_2, \{m_2\}) \cdot |\kappa_1(x_1, \{m_1\}) - \kappa_2(x_1, \{m_1\})| d\mu(x_1, x_2) \\
&\leq \|\kappa_1(\cdot, \{m_2\}) - \kappa_2(\cdot, \{m_2\})\|_{L^1(P_*^2 \mu)} + \|\kappa_1(\cdot, \{m_1\}) - \kappa_2(\cdot, \{m_1\})\|_{L^1(P_*^2 \mu)} \\
&\stackrel{(13), (14)}{<} 2\eta.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Let (ρ, ν) and (ρ', ν') be \square -graphons, both on the same measurable space $(\Omega, 2^\Omega)$, where the set Ω is non-empty and finite and 2^Ω is the discrete σ -algebra on Ω . Let κ be a Markov kernel from $(\Omega, 2^\Omega)$ to a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) . We put*

$$m = \max_{\omega \in \Omega} |\rho(\{\omega\}) - \rho'(\{\omega\})|$$

and

$$M = \max_{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2} |\nu(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2)\}) - \nu'(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2)\})|.$$

Then it holds that

$$|\kappa_* \rho(A) - \kappa_* \rho'(A)| \leq m|\Omega|, \quad A \in \mathcal{A},$$

and

$$|\kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \nu(\tilde{A}) - \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \nu'(\tilde{A})| \leq M|\Omega|^2, \quad \tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}^2.$$

Proof. For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\kappa_* \rho(A) - \kappa_* \rho'(A)| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \kappa(\omega, A) d\rho(\omega) - \int_{\Omega} \kappa(\omega, A) d\rho'(\omega) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} |\rho(\{\omega\}) - \rho'(\{\omega\})| \kappa(\omega, A) \\
&\leq m|\Omega|.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for every $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \nu(\tilde{A}) - \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \nu'(\tilde{A})| \\
&= \left| \int_{\Omega^2} \kappa^{\otimes 2}((\omega_1, \omega_2), \tilde{A}) d\nu(\omega_1, \omega_2) - \int_{\Omega^2} \kappa^{\otimes 2}((\omega_1, \omega_2), \tilde{A}) d\nu'(\omega_1, \omega_2) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2} |\nu(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2)\}) - \nu'(\{(\omega_1, \omega_2)\})| \kappa^{\otimes 2}((\omega_1, \omega_2), \tilde{A}) \\
&\leq M |\Omega|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we are ready for the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. We fix a convergent sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons. By Definition 4.3, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a compact set S_k which is the limit of the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ in the Vietoris topology of the space \mathcal{K}_k . We fix a countable dense subset \overline{D}_k of S_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and we put $D = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{D}_k$.

The main idea of the proof is as follows. Let \mathcal{I} be the set of all non-empty finite subsets of D . We will construct a collection $(\rho^I, \nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ of \square -graphons which will give rise to two simple inverse systems of measures (which we introduced in Section 2.4):

- the system given by the probability measures ρ^I , $I \in \mathcal{I}$,
- the system given by the finite measures ν^I , $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

We will then apply Lemma 2.12 to deduce that both these simple inverse systems have inverse limits. The two inverse limits will give rise to a \square -graphon (π, μ) . It will follow from the construction that, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the k -shape of (π, μ) contains the set \overline{D}_k . We will also show that the k -shapes of (π, μ) are not much bigger than that, namely, that $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu) = \overline{D}_k = S_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. This will prove that the \square -graphon (π, μ) is the desired limit of the sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

For every $d = (\rho, \nu) \in D$, let $k_d \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $d \in \overline{D}_{k_d} \subseteq S_{k_d}$. Since S_{k_d} is the limit of the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_{k_d}(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ in the Vietoris topology of the space \mathcal{K}_{k_d} , there is a sequence $(\rho_n, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons with $(\rho_n, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{S}_{k_d}(\pi_n, \mu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which converges to $d = (\rho, \nu)$ in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_{k_d} . As $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{k_d}^\downarrow$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{S}_{k_d}(\pi_n, \mu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that $(\rho_n, \nu_n) \in (\pi_n, \mu_n)_{k_d}^\downarrow$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every \square -graphon $d = (\rho, \nu) \in D$, we fix such a sequence $(\rho_n, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ for once and for all. Further, for every $d = (\rho, \nu) \in D$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we also fix a morphism κ_n^d from (π_n, μ_n) to (ρ_n, ν_n) for once and for all.

In the following, we denote by (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) the underlying measurable space of the \square -graphon (π_n, μ_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We also denote by \mathcal{F}^I the measurable space $\prod_{d \in I} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Now we will construct the collection $(\rho^I, \nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ of \square -graphons. We fix some $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.1, we know that $\prod_{d \in I} \kappa_n^d$ is a Markov kernel from (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) to \mathcal{F}^I . We define a \square -graphon (ρ_n^I, ν_n^I) on \mathcal{F}^I by

$$\rho_n^I = \left(\prod_{d \in I} \kappa_n^d \right)_* \pi_n \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n^I = \left(\prod_{d \in I} \kappa_n^d \right)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_n,$$

so that the Markov kernel $\prod_{d \in I} \kappa_n^d$ is a morphism from (π_n, μ_n) to (ρ_n^I, ν_n^I) . By compactness and the diagonal argument, there is an increasing sequence $(n_p)_{p=1}^\infty$ of natural numbers such that each of the countably many sequences

$$\left(\rho_{n_p}^I(R) \right)_{p=1}^\infty, \quad R \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} [k_d], \quad I \in \mathcal{I},$$

and

$$\left(\nu_{n_p}^I(\tilde{R}) \right)_{p=1}^\infty, \quad \tilde{R} \subseteq \left(\prod_{d \in I} [k_d] \right)^2, \quad I \in \mathcal{I},$$

is convergent. Let us note that it is enough to prove that the subsequence $(\pi_{n_p}, \mu_{n_p})_{p=1}^\infty$ has a limit as then the original sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$, being convergent, has necessarily the same limit. So, without loss of generality, we may assume that $n_p = p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, that there is no need to pass to a subsequence. Then, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we can define the \square -graphon (ρ^I, ν^I) on \mathcal{F}^I by

$$\rho^I(R) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n^I(R), \quad R \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} [k_d], \quad (15)$$

and

$$\nu^I(\tilde{R}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n^I(\tilde{R}), \quad \tilde{R} \subseteq \left(\prod_{d \in I} [k_d] \right)^2. \quad (16)$$

Later, we will show that $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a simple inverse system of measures. Ideally, we would like to know that $(\nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is also a simple inverse system of measures. Then the inverse limits of these systems would represent the distributions of vertices and edges, respectively, of the desired limit \square -graphon. However, this is not completely correct as one has to distinguish between product spaces of the form $(\prod_d [k_d])^2$ and $\prod_d [k_d]^2$. Indeed, the underlying set of each ν^I is $(\prod_{d \in I} [k_d])^2$. But to obtain a simple inverse system indexed by \mathcal{I} , we need measures whose underlying sets are $\prod_{d \in I} [k_d]^2$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$. To deal with this small technical issue, let

$$\theta: \left(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \right)^2 \rightarrow \prod_{d \in D} [k_d]^2$$

be the obvious bijection. Similarly, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, let

$$\theta^I: \left(\prod_{d \in I} [k_d] \right)^2 \rightarrow \prod_{d \in I} [k_d]^2$$

be the obvious bijection. Further, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, let P_I be the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ to $\prod_{d \in I} [k_d]$, and let \tilde{P}_I be the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]^2$ to $\prod_{d \in I} [k_d]^2$. Similarly, for every $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \subseteq J$, let $P_{I,J}$ be the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in J} [k_d]$ to $\prod_{d \in I} [k_d]$, and let $\tilde{P}_{I,J}$ be the canonical projection from $\prod_{d \in J} [k_d]^2$ to $\prod_{d \in I} [k_d]^2$. We note that

$$\theta^I \circ P_I^{\otimes 2} = \tilde{P}_I \circ \theta, \quad I \in \mathcal{I}, \quad (17)$$

and

$$\theta^I \circ P_{I,J}^{\otimes 2} = \tilde{P}_{I,J} \circ \theta^J, \quad I, J \in \mathcal{I}, I \subseteq J. \quad (18)$$

Now we will show that $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(\theta_*^I \nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ are simple inverse systems of measures. We need to verify that

$$\rho^I = (P_{I,J})_* \rho^J, \quad I, J \in \mathcal{I}, I \subseteq J, \quad (19)$$

and

$$\theta_*^I \nu^I = (\tilde{P}_{I,J})_* (\theta_*^J \nu^J), \quad I, J \in \mathcal{I}, I \subseteq J. \quad (20)$$

So we fix $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \subseteq J$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by Lemma 4.6, the Markov kernel $\kappa_{P_{I,J}}$ is a morphism from (ρ_n^J, ν_n^J) to (ρ_n^I, ν_n^I) . In particular, this means that

$$\rho_n^I = (P_{I,J})_* \rho_n^J \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_n^I = (P_{I,J})_*^{\otimes 2} \nu_n^J, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (21)$$

Consequently, for every $R \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} [k_d]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^I(R) &\stackrel{(15)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n^I(R) \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_{I,J})_* \rho_n^J(R) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_n^J(P_{I,J}^{-1}(R)) \\ &\stackrel{(15)}{=} \rho^J(P_{I,J}^{-1}(R)), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (19). Similarly, for every $\tilde{R} \subseteq \prod_{d \in I} [k_d]^2$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_*^I \nu^I(\tilde{R}) &= \nu^I((\theta^I)^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n^I((\theta^I)^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &\stackrel{(21)}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_{I,J})_*^{\otimes 2} \nu_n^J((\theta^I)^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_n^J((\theta^I \circ P_{I,J}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \nu^J((\theta^I \circ P_{I,J}^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &\stackrel{(18)}{=} \nu^J((\tilde{P}_{I,J} \circ \theta^J)^{-1}(\tilde{R})), \end{aligned}$$

which verifies (20).

By Lemma 2.12, both simple inverse systems $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ and $(\theta_*^I \nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ have inverse limits. Let π be the inverse limit of $(\rho^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$, and let μ' be the inverse limit of $(\theta_*^I \nu^I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. In particular, π is a finite measure on the measurable space $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$, and μ' is a finite measure on the measurable space $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}^2$. In fact, π is a probability measure as each of the probability measures ρ^I , $I \in \mathcal{I}$, is the pushforward of π (along the corresponding projection). We define a measure μ on the measurable space $(\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d})^2$ by

$$\mu = \theta_*^{-1} \mu'. \quad (22)$$

Then (π, μ) is a \square -graphon on $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$.

By the definition of inverse limits of simple inverse systems, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, it holds that

$$\rho^I = (P_I)_* \pi \quad (23)$$

and

$$\theta_*^I \nu^I = (\tilde{P}_I)_* \mu'. \quad (24)$$

For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and every $\tilde{R} \subseteq (\prod_{d \in I} [k_d])^2$ we further have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^I(\tilde{R}) &= \theta_*^I \nu^I(\theta^I(\tilde{R})) \\ &\stackrel{(24)}{=} (\tilde{P}_I)_* \mu'(\theta^I(\tilde{R})) \\ &= \theta_*^{-1} \mu'(\theta^{-1}(\tilde{P}_I^{-1}(\theta^I(\tilde{R})))) \\ &\stackrel{(22)}{=} \mu(\theta^{-1}(\tilde{P}_I^{-1}(\theta^I(\tilde{R})))) \\ &= \mu((\tilde{P}_I \circ \theta)^{-1}(\theta^I(\tilde{R}))) \\ &\stackrel{(17)}{=} \mu((\theta^I \circ P_I^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(\theta^I(\tilde{R}))) \\ &= \mu((P_I^{\otimes 2})^{-1}(\tilde{R})) \\ &= (P_I)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\tilde{R}). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

By (23), (25) and Example 3.3, the Markov kernel κ_{P_I} is a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ^I, ν^I) , $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

In the rest of the proof, we will show that (π, μ) is a limit of the sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. That is, we will show that

$$\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu) = S_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To this end, for the rest of the proof, we fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We also fix $d = (\rho, \nu) \in D_k \subseteq D$ for a while. We recall that

$$\rho_n^{\{d\}} = (\kappa_n^d)_* \pi_n = \rho_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (26)$$

and

$$\nu_n^{\{d\}} = (\kappa_n^d)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu_n = \nu_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (27)$$

Since the sequence $(\rho_n, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $d = (\rho, \nu)$ in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_{k_d} , we obtain from (15), (16), (26) and (27) that

$$d = (\rho^{\{d\}}, \nu^{\{d\}}). \quad (28)$$

We already know that the Markov kernel $\kappa_{P_{\{d\}}}$ is a morphism from (π, μ) to $(\rho^{\{d\}}, \nu^{\{d\}})$, and so it follows from (28) that $d \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$. As this is true for every $d \in D_k$, we conclude that

$$S_k = \overline{D_k} \subseteq \overline{(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow} = \mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu).$$

To complete the proof, it only remains to show the reverse inclusion. But before we continue, we need a little detour.

For every $J \in \mathcal{I}$ and every $\alpha = (\alpha_d)_{d \in J} \in \prod_{d \in J} [k_d]$, we put

$$U_\alpha = \left\{ (\beta_d)_{d \in D} \in \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] : \beta_d = \alpha_d \text{ whenever } d \in J \right\}.$$

Claim 4.9. *Let γ be a finite measure on $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$. Let $\psi_m : \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $m = 1, \dots, k$, be measurable functions with $\sum_{m=1}^k \psi_m \equiv 1$. Let $\eta > 0$ be given. Then there are $J \in \mathcal{I}$ and functions $\psi'_m : \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $m = 1, \dots, k$, with $\sum_{m=1}^k \psi'_m \equiv 1$ such that, for every $m = 1, \dots, k$, we have*

- for every $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J} [k_d]$, the function ψ'_m is constant on U_α ,
- $\|\psi_m - \psi'_m\|_{L^1(\gamma)} < \eta$.

Proof. We put

$$W = \gamma\left(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]\right).$$

The assertion is trivial if $W = 0$, so we may assume that $W > 0$.

We equip the set $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ with the product topology (where we consider the discrete topology on each of the finite sets $[k_d]$, $d \in D$); then $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ becomes a metrizable compact space. The sets U_α , where $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in I} [k_d]$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$, form a base of the topology. As the topology generates the product σ -algebra $\prod_{d \in D} 2^{[k_d]}$, by [5, Theorem 7.8], every finite measure on $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$ is regular. In particular, the measure γ is regular.

By Lusin's Theorem (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 7.10]) and regularity of γ , there are a compact set $K \subseteq \prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ and continuous functions $\psi_m^1 : \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $m = 1, \dots, k$, such that

$$\gamma\left(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \setminus K\right) < \frac{\eta}{2k},$$

and such that

$$\psi_m(\beta) = \psi_m^1(\beta) \quad \text{whenever } \beta \in K, \quad m = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then, for every $\beta \in K$, we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^k \psi_m^1(\beta) = \sum_{m=1}^k \psi_m(\beta) = 1.$$

By an easy modification of the functions ψ_m^1 , $m = 1, \dots, k$, on the set $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \setminus K$, we may assure that $\sum_{m=1}^k \psi_m^1 \equiv 1$.

We put

$$\delta = \frac{\eta}{2kW}.$$

By continuity of the functions ψ_m^1 , $m = 1, \dots, k$, every element of $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ is contained in some set U_α (for some $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in I} [k_d]$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$) such that, for every $m = 1, \dots, k$, each two values of ψ_m^1 on U_α differ by at most δ . As the space $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ is compact, it can be covered by finitely many such sets, let us say by $U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_r}$. For every $j = 1, \dots, r$, let $I_j \in \mathcal{I}$ be such that $\alpha_j \in \prod_{d \in I_j} [k_d]$. We put $J = \cup_{j=1}^r I_j$. Then it is easy to verify that the cover

$$\left\{ U_\alpha : \alpha \in \prod_{d \in J} [k_d] \right\} \quad (29)$$

(consisting of sets which are open and compact at the same time) of $\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ is actually a refinement of the cover $\{U_{\alpha_1}, \dots, U_{\alpha_r}\}$. Consequently, for every $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J} [k_d]$ and every $m = 1, \dots, k$, each two values of ψ_m^1 on U_α differ by at most δ . For every $m = 1, \dots, k$, let $\psi_m^2 : \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the function given by

$$\psi_m^2(\beta) = \min_{U_\alpha} \psi_m^1, \quad \beta \in U_\alpha, \alpha \in \prod_{d \in J} [k_d].$$

Finally, we define the functions $\psi'_m : \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $m = 1, \dots, k$, by

$$\psi'_m = \psi_m^2, \quad m = 1, \dots, k-1,$$

and

$$\psi'_k = 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \psi_m^2;$$

the fact that all values of ψ'_k belong to $[0, 1]$ follows by the obvious fact that

$$0 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \psi_m^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \psi_m^1 \leq 1.$$

Then the functions ψ'_m , $m = 1, \dots, k$, sum to 1 and each of them is constant on each set from the collection (29). We clearly have

$$\|\psi_m^2 - \psi_m^1\|_{L^\infty(\gamma)} \leq \delta, \quad m = 1, \dots, k-1.$$




Figure 1: The red arrow represents the convergence which we want to prove. Black arrows represent morphisms in the category of all \square -graphons. The orange arrow represents convergence in the sense of (15) and (16). Blue arrows represent convergence in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k .

It follows that, for every $m = 1, \dots, k-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_m - \psi'_m\|_{L^1(\gamma)} &\leq \|\psi_m - \psi_m^1\|_{L^1(\gamma)} + \|\psi_m^1 - \psi'_m\|_{L^1(\gamma)} \\
&< \gamma \left(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \setminus K \right) + \|\psi_m^1 - \psi_m^2\|_{L^\infty(\gamma)} \gamma \left(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \right) \\
&\leq \frac{\eta}{2k} + W\delta \\
&= \frac{\eta}{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Finally, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_k - \psi'_k\|_{L^1(\gamma)} &= \left\| \left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \psi_m \right) - \left(1 - \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \psi'_m \right) \right\|_{L^1(\gamma)} \\
&\leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \|\psi_m - \psi'_m\|_{L^1(\gamma)} \\
&\stackrel{(30)}{<} \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of the claim. \square

The basic structure of the rest of the proof is captured in Figure 1.

As we already explained, it remains to show that $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu) \subseteq S_k$ (where k still remains fixed). In fact, it suffices to show that $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow \subseteq S_k$, because $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ is the topological closure of $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$ and the set S_k is closed. So we fix $(\rho, \nu) \in$

$(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$. Let κ be a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ, ν) . Let $P^j: (\prod_{d \in D} [k_d])^2 \rightarrow \prod_{d \in D} [k_d]$ be the projection on the j th coordinate, $j = 1, 2$. Let γ be the measure on $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$ given by

$$\gamma = \pi + P_*^1 \mu + P_*^2 \mu.$$

We fix $\eta > 0$ arbitrarily small. By Claim 4.9 applied to the functions

$$\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}), \quad m = 1, \dots, k,$$

we obtain $J^\eta \in \mathcal{I}$ and functions $\psi_m^\eta: \prod_{d \in D} [k_d] \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $m = 1, \dots, k$, which sum to 1, such that, for every $m = 1, \dots, k$, we have

- for every $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d]$, the function ψ_m^η is constant on U_α ,
- $\|\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}) - \psi_m^\eta\|_{L^1(\gamma)} < \eta$.

Let κ^η be the Markov kernel from $\prod_{d \in D} \mathcal{F}_{k_d}$ to \mathcal{F}_k given by

$$\kappa^\eta(u, F) = \sum_{m \in F} \psi_m^\eta(u), \quad u \in \prod_{d \in D} [k_d], F \subseteq [k].$$

Then, for every $m = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\|\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}) - \kappa^\eta(\cdot, \{m\})\|_{L^1(\gamma)} = \|\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}) - \psi_m^\eta\|_{L^1(\gamma)} < \eta. \quad (31)$$

Let $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)})$ be the \square -graphon on \mathcal{F}_k given by

$$\rho^{(\eta)} = \kappa_*^\eta \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \nu^{(\eta)} = (\kappa^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu. \quad (32)$$

Then (31) and Lemma 4.7 immediately imply that $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)}) \rightarrow (\rho, \nu)$ in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k as $\eta > 0$ tends to 0. We will show that $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)}) \in S_k$ for every $\eta > 0$. Since S_k is a closed set, it will follow that $(\rho, \nu) \in S_k$, which will conclude the proof.

So we fix $\eta > 0$ for the rest of the proof. We recall that the Markov kernel κ^η is a morphism from (π, μ) to $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)})$ (by (32)) and that the Markov kernel $\kappa_{P_{J^\eta}}$ is a morphism from (π, μ) to $(\rho^{J^\eta}, \nu^{J^\eta})$. We will use these facts to find a morphism from $(\rho^{J^\eta}, \nu^{J^\eta})$ to $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)})$. By our definition of κ^η , for every $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d]$ and every $F \subseteq [k]$, the function $\kappa^\eta(\cdot, F)$ is constant on U_α . In other words, for every $\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d]$, the probability measure $\kappa^\eta(u, \cdot)$ on \mathcal{F}_k does not depend on the choice of $u \in U_\alpha$; let us denote this probability measure by p_α^η . Let $\tilde{\kappa}^\eta$ be the Markov kernel from \mathcal{F}^{J^η} to \mathcal{F}_k given by

$$\tilde{\kappa}^\eta(\alpha, F) = p_\alpha^\eta(F), \quad \alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d], F \subseteq [k].$$

Then, for every $F \subseteq [k]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{(\eta)}(F) &= \kappa_*^\eta \pi(F) \\
&= \int_{\prod_{d \in D} [k_d]} \kappa^\eta(u, F) d\pi(u) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d]} \pi(U_\alpha) p_\alpha^\eta(F) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in \prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d]} \rho^{J^\eta}(\{\alpha\}) \tilde{\kappa}^\eta(\alpha, F) \\
&= \tilde{\kappa}_*^\eta \rho^{J^\eta}(F).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Similarly, for every $\tilde{F} \subseteq [k]^2$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^{(\eta)}(\tilde{F}) &= (\kappa^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\tilde{F}) \\
&= \int_{(\prod_{d \in D} [k_d])^2} (\kappa^\eta)^{\otimes 2}((u_1, u_2), \tilde{F}) d\mu(u_1, u_2) \\
&= \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (\prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d])^2} \mu(U_{\alpha_1} \times U_{\alpha_2}) (p_{\alpha_1}^\eta \times p_{\alpha_2}^\eta)(\tilde{F}) \\
&= \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in (\prod_{d \in J^\eta} [k_d])^2} \nu^{J^\eta}(\{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)\}) (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta(\alpha_1, \cdot) \times \tilde{\kappa}^\eta(\alpha_2, \cdot))(\tilde{F}) \\
&= (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \nu^{J^\eta}(\tilde{F}).
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

By (33) and (34), we obtain that $\tilde{\kappa}^\eta$ is a morphism from $(\rho^{J^\eta}, \nu^{J^\eta})$ to $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)})$.

Let us recall that the \square -graphon $(\rho^{J^\eta}, \nu^{J^\eta})$ was defined from the sequence $(\rho_n^{J^\eta}, \nu_n^{J^\eta})_{n=1}^\infty$ as in (15) and (16). Thus, Lemma 4.8 easily implies that the sequence $(\tilde{\kappa}_*^\eta \rho_n^{J^\eta}, (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \nu_n^{J^\eta})_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons converges to $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)}) = (\tilde{\kappa}_*^\eta \rho^{J^\eta}, (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \nu^{J^\eta})$ in the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the Markov kernel $\tilde{\kappa}^\eta \circ (\prod_{d \in J^\eta} \kappa_n^d)$ is a morphism from (π_n, μ_n) to $(\tilde{\kappa}_*^\eta \rho_n^{J^\eta}, (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \nu_n^{J^\eta})$ (see Figure 1). In particular,

$$(\tilde{\kappa}_*^\eta \rho_n^{J^\eta}, (\tilde{\kappa}^\eta)_*^{\otimes 2} \nu_n^{J^\eta}) \in (\pi_n, \mu_n)_k^\downarrow \subseteq \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consequently, as the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ of compact sets converges to S_k , we easily obtain that $(\rho^{(\eta)}, \nu^{(\eta)}) \in S_k$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.10. The underlying measurable space of the limit \square -graphon (π, μ) constructed in the proof of Theorem 4.5 is the countable product of finite spaces. In particular, all its singleton subsets are measurable. By Example 3.10, there is a \square -graphon (π', μ') on a standard Borel measurable space such that the measure π' is continuous (that is, all singletons have π' -measure zero) and such that there are morphisms between (π, μ) and (π', μ') in both directions. Then (π, μ) and (π', μ') have the same k -shapes, and so (π', μ') is also a limit of the convergent sequence $(\pi_n, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.

This shows that the limit \square -graphon of a given convergent sequence can be always chosen in such a way that its underlying measurable space is standard Borel and such that the probability measure describing the distribution of vertices is continuous. Consequently, by an application of the isomorphism theorem for measures (see e.g. [10, Theorem 17.41]), we can even find the limit \square -graphon in such a way that its underlying measurable space is the unit interval and that the distribution of vertices is the Lebesgue measure.

5 Comparison with s-convergence

The notion of convergence of \square -graphons from Definition 4.3 is inspired by s-convergence of graph sequences introduced in [11]. Both these convergence notions are defined as convergence of certain k -shapes in the Vietoris topology; the only difference is hidden in the definition of the k -shapes. In Definition 4.1, we defined the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$ of a \square -graphon (π, μ) as the topological closure of the set

$$(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow = \{(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)^\downarrow : (\rho, \nu) \text{ is a } \square\text{-graphon on } \mathcal{F}_k\}.$$

Alternatively, in the spirit of [11], we could require all elements of the k -shape to have the same fixed distribution of vertices, namely the normalized counting measure on \mathcal{F}_k , which we denote by γ_k . To realize this idea, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let us consider the space of all finite measures on \mathcal{F}_k^2 as a topological subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{[k]^2}$, and let us define the k -shape $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu)$ of a \square -graphon (π, μ) as the topological closure of the set

$$\{\nu : (\gamma_k, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow\}.$$

Up to a simple identification of non-negative k -by- k matrices with finite measures on \mathcal{F}_k^2 , this is a straightforward generalization of the k -shapes (of finite graphs/s-graphons) introduced in [11]. The main goal of this section is to show that, no matter what definition of k -shapes we use (either $\mathbb{S}_k(\cdot)$ or $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\cdot)$), we obtain the same convergence notion.

In the following, for any non-empty finite set F , we denote by d_∞^F the maximum metric on \mathbb{R}^F . We identify finite measures on the measurable space $(F, 2^F)$ with the corresponding elements of \mathbb{R}^F , so that we can measure the d_∞^F -distance of two such measures. We also identify \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k with the corresponding elements of $\mathbb{R}^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$, so that we can measure the $d_\infty^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$ -distance of two such \square -graphons, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that (π, μ) is a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$. Suppose that*

$$d := d_\infty^{[k]}(\rho, \gamma_k) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2}. \quad (35)$$

Then there is a finite measure ν' on \mathcal{F}_k^2 such that $(\gamma_k, \nu') \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\perp$ and such that

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu, \nu') \leq 4(1 + 2\mu(X^2))k(k-1)d.$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is taken from the proof of [11, Lemma 3.1].

Let κ be a morphism from (π, μ) to (ρ, ν) . Inductively, we will modify the Markov kernel κ (in finitely many steps) to obtain a new Markov kernel κ' from (X, \mathcal{A}) to \mathcal{F}_k such that (among other properties) it holds that $\kappa'_* \pi = \gamma_k$. As the first step, we put $\kappa^1 = \kappa$. Now suppose that, for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have already constructed a Markov kernel κ^j from (X, \mathcal{A}) to \mathcal{F}_k such that

$$d_\infty^{[k]}(\kappa_*^j \pi, \gamma_k) \leq d, \quad (36)$$

and such that

$$|\{m \in [k] : \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m\}) = \frac{1}{k}\}| \geq j - 1. \quad (37)$$

If $\kappa_*^j \pi = \gamma_k$ then we put $\kappa' = \kappa^j$ and stop the construction. Otherwise, as $\kappa_*^j \pi$ is a probability measure, there are $m_1, m_2 \in [k]$ such that

$$\kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_1\}) > \frac{1}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_2\}) < \frac{1}{k}. \quad (38)$$

Then it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k} \stackrel{(38)}{<} \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_1\}) \\ &= \int_{\{x \in X : \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) \leq 2dk\}} \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) d\pi(x) \\ & \quad + \int_{\{x \in X : \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) > 2dk\}} \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) d\pi(x) \\ & \leq 2dk + \pi(\{x \in X : \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) > 2dk\}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

For every $\beta \geq 0$, let $F_\beta : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the function defined by

$$F_\beta(x) = \max\{\kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) - \beta, 0\}, \quad x \in X. \quad (40)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X F_0(x) d\pi(x) &= \int_X \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) d\pi(x) \\ &= \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_1\}) \\ &\stackrel{(38)}{>} \frac{1}{k} \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_X F_{2dk}(x) d\pi(x) &= \int_{\{x \in X : \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) > 2dk\}} (\kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) - 2dk) d\pi(x) \\
&\leq \int_X \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) d\pi(x) - 2dk\pi(\{x \in X : \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) > 2dk\}) \\
&\stackrel{(39)}{<} \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_1\}) - 2dk\left(\frac{1}{k} - 2dk\right) \\
&\stackrel{(36)}{\leq} \frac{1}{k} - d + 4d^2 k^2 \\
&\stackrel{(35)}{\leq} \frac{1}{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

By the obvious continuity of the map

$$\beta \mapsto \int_X F_\beta(x) d\pi(x), \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

it follows from (41) and (42) that there is some $\beta_0 \in (0, 2dk)$ such that

$$\int_X F_{\beta_0}(x) d\pi(x) = \frac{1}{k}. \tag{43}$$

Let κ^{j+1} be the Markov kernel from (X, \mathcal{A}) to \mathcal{F}_k given by

$$\kappa^{j+1}(x, \{m\}) = \begin{cases} \kappa^j(x, \{m\}), & m \in [k] \setminus \{m_1, m_2\}, \\ F_{\beta_0}(x), & m = m_1, \\ \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) + \kappa^j(x, \{m_2\}) - F_{\beta_0}(x), & m = m_2, \end{cases} \quad x \in X. \tag{44}$$

Then it holds that

$$\kappa_*^{j+1} \pi(\{m\}) = \kappa_*^j \pi(\{m\}), \quad m \in [k] \setminus \{m_1, m_2\}, \tag{45}$$

as well as

$$\kappa_*^{j+1} \pi(\{m_1\}) = \frac{1}{k} \tag{46}$$

(by (43)), and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \kappa_*^{j+1} \pi(m_2) - \frac{1}{k} \right| \\
&= \left| \int_X \kappa^{j+1}(x, \{m_2\}) d\pi(x) - \frac{1}{k} \right| \\
&= \left| \int_X \kappa^j(x, \{m_1\}) d\pi(x) + \int_X \kappa^j(x, \{m_2\}) d\pi(x) - \int_X F_{\beta_0}(x) d\pi(x) - \frac{1}{k} \right| \\
&\stackrel{(43)}{=} \left| \left(\kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_1\}) - \frac{1}{k} \right) + \left(\kappa_*^j \pi(\{m_2\}) - \frac{1}{k} \right) \right| \\
&\stackrel{(36), (38)}{<} d.
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

By (36), (45), (46) and (47), it follows that

$$d_\infty^{[k]}(\kappa_*^{j+1}\pi, \gamma_k) \leq d.$$

Also, (37), (38), (45) and (46) imply that

$$|\{m \in [k] : \kappa_*^{j+1}\pi(\{m\}) = \frac{1}{k}\}| \geq j.$$

This finishes the inductive step. We note that, by (40) and (44), it holds that

$$|\kappa^{j+1}(x, \{m\}) - \kappa^j(x, \{m\})| \leq \beta_0 < 2dk, \quad x \in X, m \in [k]. \quad (48)$$

By (37), since each $\kappa_*^j\pi$ is a probability measure, the construction stops at the l th step for some $l \leq k$. Then, as $\kappa = \kappa^1$ and $\kappa' = \kappa^l$, we obtain from (48) that

$$|\kappa(x, \{m\}) - \kappa'(x, \{m\})| \leq 2k(k-1)d, \quad x \in X, m \in [k]. \quad (49)$$

Let $P^j: X^2 \rightarrow X$ be the projection on the j th coordinate, $j = 1, 2$, and let γ be the measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) given by

$$\gamma = \pi + P_*^1\mu + P_*^2\mu.$$

Then, by (49), it holds that

$$\|\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}) - \kappa'(\cdot, \{m\})\|_{L^1(\gamma)} \leq 2(1 + 2\mu(X^2))k(k-1)d, \quad m \in [k].$$

So, if we put $\nu' = (\kappa')_*^{\otimes 2}\mu$ (so that $(\gamma_k, \nu') \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$), then Lemma 4.7 implies that

$$|\nu(\{(m_1, m_2)\}) - \nu'(\{(m_1, m_2)\})| \leq 4(1 + 2\mu(X^2))k(k-1)d, \quad (m_1, m_2) \in [k]^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 5.2. *Let (π, μ) be a \square -graphon on a measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. Then*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu) = \{\nu : (\gamma_k, \nu) \in \mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\nu \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu)$. By definition, that means that there is a sequence $(\nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of measures on \mathcal{F}_k^2 , with $(\gamma_k, \nu_n) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which converges to ν . Consequently, the sequence $(\gamma_k, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons converges to (γ_k, ν) , and so $(\gamma_k, \nu) \in \mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$. This proves the inclusion ‘ \subseteq ’.

Now suppose that ν is a measure on \mathcal{F}_k^2 such that $(\gamma_k, \nu) \in \mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$. Then there is a sequence $(\rho_n, \nu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons, with $(\rho_n, \nu_n) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which converges to (γ_k, ν) . This means that

$$d_\infty^{[k]}(\rho_n, \gamma_k) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty \quad (50)$$

and

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu_n, \nu) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (51)$$

We may assume that $d_\infty^{[k]}(\rho_n, \gamma_k) \leq \frac{1}{4k^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can apply Lemma 5.1 to find a finite measure ν'_n on \mathcal{F}_k^2 such that $(\gamma_k, \nu'_n) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\perp$ and such that

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu_n, \nu'_n) \leq 4(1 + 2\mu(X^2))k(k-1)d_\infty^{[k]}(\rho_n, \gamma_k). \quad (52)$$

By (50) and (52), it follows that

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu_n, \nu'_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently, by (51), we also have

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu'_n, \nu) \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that $\nu \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\pi, \mu)$, which completes the proof of the inclusion ‘ \supseteq ’. \square

For any non-empty finite set F , let d_H^F be the Hausdorff distance on the hyperspace of all non-empty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^F , arising from the maximum metric d_∞^F on \mathbb{R}^F .

Corollary 5.3. *Let (π_X, μ_X) and (π_Y, μ_Y) be \square -graphons on measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) , respectively. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that*

$$d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) < \frac{1}{4k^2}. \quad (53)$$

Let

$$U = \max \{ \mu_X(X^2), \mu_Y(Y^2) \}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & d_H^{[k]^2}(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) \\ & \leq \left(1 + (1 + 2U)4k(k-1) \right) d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By symmetry, it is enough to show that, for every $\nu^1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X)$ and every (small enough) $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\nu^2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$ such that

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu^1, \nu^2) \leq \left(1 + (1 + 2U)4k(k-1) \right) \left(d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) + \varepsilon \right).$$

So we fix $\nu^1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4k^2} - d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) \quad (54)$$

(which is possible by (53)). By Corollary 5.2, it holds that $(\gamma_k, \nu^1) \in \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X)$. As $(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)_k^\downarrow$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, we can find $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu}) \in (\pi_Y, \mu_Y)_k^\downarrow$ such that

$$d_\infty^{[k] \cup [k]^2}((\gamma_k, \nu^1), (\bar{\rho}, \bar{\nu})) \leq d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) + \varepsilon. \quad (55)$$

Then, in particular, we have

$$d_\infty^{[k]}(\bar{\rho}, \gamma_k) \stackrel{(55)}{\leq} d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) + \varepsilon \stackrel{(54)}{<} \frac{1}{4k^2}.$$

By Lemma 5.1, there is a finite measure ν^2 on \mathcal{F}_k^2 such that $(\gamma_k, \nu^2) \in (\pi_Y, \mu_Y)_k^\downarrow$ and such that

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\bar{\nu}, \nu^2) \leq 4(1 + 2\mu_Y(Y^2))k(k-1)d_\infty^{[k]}(\bar{\rho}, \gamma_k). \quad (56)$$

Then

$$d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\bar{\nu}, \nu^2) \stackrel{(55), (56)}{\leq} 4(1 + 2\mu_Y(Y^2))k(k-1) \left(d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) + \varepsilon \right). \quad (57)$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu^1, \nu^2) &\leq d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\nu^1, \bar{\nu}) + d_\infty^{[k]^2}(\bar{\nu}, \nu^2) \\ &\stackrel{(55), (57)}{\leq} \left(1 + 4(1 + 2\mu_Y(Y^2))k(k-1) \right) \left(d_H^{[k] \cup [k]^2}(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X), \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) + \varepsilon \right), \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 5.4. *Let (π_X, μ_X) and (π_Y, μ_Y) be \square -graphons on measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) , respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X) = \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (ii) $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X) = \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The implication (ii) \implies (i) follows by Corollary 5.2.

To prove the opposite implication, suppose that there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X) \neq \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$; let us say that $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X) \setminus \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y) \neq \emptyset$. As $(\pi_X, \mu_X)_k^\downarrow$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_X, \mu_X)$ and the k -shape $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$ is a closed set, we can fix some $(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi_X, \mu_X)_k^\downarrow \setminus \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, together with a morphism κ from (π_X, μ_X) to (ρ, ν) .

Next, we construct $(\rho', \nu') \in (\pi_X, \mu_X)_k^\downarrow \setminus \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$ such that all values of the probability measure ρ' are positive rational numbers. This can be achieved by an application of Lemma 4.7 as follows. We fix $\eta \in (0, 1)$. We also fix some $m_0 \in [k]$ with $\rho(\{m_0\}) > 0$. Further, for every $m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}$, we fix some

$$c_m \in \left(0, \frac{\eta}{k(1 + 2\mu_X(X^2))} \right)$$

(then, in particular, $\sum_{m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}} c_m < \eta < 1$) such that

$$\rho(\{m\}) + c_m \rho(\{m_0\}) \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}. \quad (58)$$

Then we define a Markov kernel κ' from (X, \mathcal{A}) to \mathcal{F}_k by

$$\kappa'(x, \{m\}) = \begin{cases} \kappa(x, \{m\}) + c_m \kappa(x, \{m_0\}), & m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}, \\ (1 - \sum_{m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}} c_m) \kappa(x, \{m_0\}), & m = m_0, \end{cases} \quad x \in X. \quad (59)$$

Then it is easy to see that, if $\gamma = \pi + P_*^1 \mu_X + P_*^2 \mu_X$ (where $P^j: X^2 \rightarrow X$ is the projection on the j th coordinate, $j = 1, 2$), then

$$\|\kappa(\cdot, \{m\}) - \kappa'(\cdot, \{m\})\|_{L^1(\gamma)} < \eta(1 + 2\mu_X(X^2)), \quad m \in [k].$$

So, by Lemma 4.7, if we define

$$\rho' = \kappa'_* \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \nu' = (\kappa')_*^{\otimes 2} \mu$$

for η sufficiently close to 0, then $(\rho', \nu') \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$ does not belong to the closed set $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$. Also, for every $m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'(\{m\}) &= \kappa'_* \pi(\{m\}) \\ &= \int_X \kappa'(x, \{m\}) d\pi(x) \\ &\stackrel{(59)}{=} \int_X \kappa(x, \{m\}) d\pi(x) + c_m \int_X \kappa(x, \{m_0\}) d\pi(x) \\ &= \rho(\{m\}) + c_m \rho(\{m_0\}) \stackrel{(58)}{\in} \mathbb{Q}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\rho'(\{m_0\}) = 1 - \sum_{m \in [k] \setminus \{m_0\}} \rho'(\{m\}) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

Also, by the definition of κ' , it is easy to see that all values of $\rho' = \kappa'_* \pi$ are positive. So the \square -graphon (ρ', ν') has all the required properties.

As all values of ρ' are positive rational numbers, there are $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\rho'(\{m\}) = \frac{r_m}{s}, \quad m \in [k].$$

We fix a map $f: [s] \rightarrow [k]$ such that $|f^{-1}(\{m\})| = r_m$, $m \in [k]$. Let $\bar{\kappa}$ be the Markov kernel from \mathcal{F}_k to \mathcal{F}_s given by

$$\bar{\kappa}(m, F) = \frac{1}{r_m} |F \cap f^{-1}(\{m\})|, \quad m \in [k], F \subseteq [s].$$

We define a \square -graphon (ρ'', ν'') on \mathcal{F}_s by

$$\rho'' = \bar{\kappa}_* \rho' \quad \text{and} \quad \nu'' = \bar{\kappa}_*^{\otimes 2} \nu'.$$

Then, for every $F \subseteq [s]$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho''(F) &= \int_{[k]} \bar{\kappa}(m, F) d\rho'(m) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m \in [k]} |F \cap f^{-1}(\{m\})| \\ &= \frac{1}{s} |F|, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\rho'' = \gamma_s$. Thus

$$(\gamma_s, \nu'') = (\rho'', \nu'') \preceq (\rho', \nu') \preceq (\pi_X, \mu_X),$$

and so, in particular, $\nu'' \in \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_s(\pi_X, \mu_X)$. We will show that $(\gamma_s, \nu'') \notin \mathbb{S}_s(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$; that will imply that $\nu'' \notin \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_s(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, and so $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_s(\pi_X, \mu_X) \neq \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_s(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, which will complete the proof.

By Example 3.9, the Markov kernel κ_f is a morphism from (γ_s, ν'') to (ρ', ν') . By Lemma 4.8, the map ϕ_f from the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_s to the space of all \square -graphons on \mathcal{F}_k given by

$$\phi_f(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\nu}) = ((\kappa_f)_* \tilde{\rho}, (\kappa_f)_*^{\otimes 2} \tilde{\nu})$$

is continuous. Obviously, it holds

$$\phi_f((\pi_Y, \mu_Y)_s^\downarrow) \subseteq (\pi_Y, \mu_Y)_k^\downarrow.$$

By continuity, it follows that

$$\phi_f(\mathbb{S}_s(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y).$$

So, as

$$\phi_f(\gamma_s, \nu'') = (\rho', \nu') \notin \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_Y, \mu_Y),$$

we must have $(\gamma_s, \nu'') \notin \mathbb{S}_s(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)$, as we needed. \square

Let \sim be the equivalence relation on the class of all \square -graphons given by

$$(\pi_1, \mu_1) \sim (\pi_2, \mu_2) \Leftrightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N} (\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_1, \mu_1) = \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_2, \mu_2)).$$

By Proposition 5.4, the relation \sim can be equivalently described by

$$(\pi_1, \mu_1) \sim (\pi_2, \mu_2) \Leftrightarrow \forall k \in \mathbb{N} (\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_1, \mu_1) = \tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_2, \mu_2)).$$

For every \square -graphon (π, μ) , let $[(\pi, \mu)]$ denote the \sim -equivalence class of (π, μ) . Let \mathcal{G} be the space of all \sim -equivalence classes. Let us consider the following two embeddings of \mathcal{G} into the product of hyperspaces of compact sets:

$$[(\pi, \mu)] \mapsto (\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad [(\pi, \mu)] \mapsto (\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Each of the hyperspaces is equipped with the corresponding Vietoris topology, and their products are equipped with the product topologies. These embeddings provide two topologies on the space \mathcal{G} : the topology of convergence of k -shapes $\mathbb{S}_k(\cdot)$ and the topology of convergence of k -shapes $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\cdot)$, respectively. The following theorem states that these two topologies on the space \mathcal{G} coincide.

Theorem 5.5. *Let (π_n, μ_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (π, μ) be \square -graphons on measurable spaces (X_n, \mathcal{A}_n) , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (X, \mathcal{A}) , respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent (and its limit is $\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu)$),*
- (b) *for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent (and its limit is $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu)$).*

Remark 5.6. By Definition 4.3, condition (a) from Theorem 5.5 can be equivalently reformulated as

- (a') the sequence (π_n, μ_n) of \square -graphons is convergent (and its limit is (π, μ)).

Proof of Theorem 5.5. If $\sup\{\mu_n(X_n^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$, then neither of the sequences

$$(\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

and

$$(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_n, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

of compact sets is uniformly bounded (cf. the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.2). In particular, neither of these sequences is convergent in the corresponding Vietoris topology, and so neither of the conditions (a) and (b) holds.

So let us assume that

$$K := \sup\{\mu_n(X_n^2) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty.$$

Let ι be the embedding

$$[(\pi, \mu)] \mapsto (\mathbb{S}_k(\pi, \mu))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

of the space \mathcal{G} into the product of hyperspaces. Let $\mathcal{G}_K \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ be the space of \sim -equivalence classes of all those \square -graphons (π, μ) on some measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) for which $\mu(X^2) \leq K$. Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the projection of $\iota(\mathcal{G}_K)$ to the k th coordinate contains only (some of) those compact sets which are bounded by $1 + K$ in the $d_\infty^{[k] \cup [k]^2}$ -norm (again, cf. the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.2). It follows that the topology of convergence of k -shapes $\mathbb{S}_k(\cdot)$ restricted to \mathcal{G}_K is compact. Further, Corollary 5.3 easily implies that the identity map on \mathcal{G}_K is continuous from the topology of convergence of k -shapes $\mathbb{S}_k(\cdot)$ to the topology of convergence of k -shapes $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\cdot)$. Combining the continuity of the identity map with the compactness of the former topology, both topologies must coincide on \mathcal{G}_K . The conclusion follows. \square

As a corollary, we provide a proof of a variant of [11, Theorem 4.5] (we just formulate the result for sequences of s-graphons instead of graph sequences). We recall that an s-graphon is a symmetric Borel probability measure on the square of the unit interval. Let $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$ be the Borel σ -algebra on $[0, 1]$, and let λ be the Lebesgue measure on the measurable space $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})$. We further recall that a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of s-graphons is s-convergent (and its s-limit is an s-graphon μ) if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\lambda, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is convergent in the Vietoris topology (and its limit is $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\lambda, \mu)$).

Corollary 5.7 ([11]). *Let $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an s-convergent sequence of s-graphons. Then there is an s-graphon μ which is an s-limit of the sequence $(\mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.5, the sequence $(\lambda, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of \square -graphons on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})$ is convergent (in the sense of Definition 4.3). By Theorem 4.5, there is a \square -graphon (π, μ) on some measurable space (X, \mathcal{A}) , which is a limit of the sequence $(\lambda, \mu_n)_{n=1}^\infty$. By Theorem 5.5, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\lambda, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi, \mu)$.

By Remark 4.10, we may assume that

$$(X, \mathcal{A}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi = \lambda.$$

So it remains to show that the measure μ on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})^2$ is a symmetric probability measure.

The facts that each μ_n is a probability measure and that the sequence $(\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1(\lambda, \mu_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_1(\lambda, \mu)$ easily imply that μ is a probability measure.

Finally, by Proposition 2.8, all 3-shapes $\mathbb{S}_3(\lambda, \mu_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consist only of (some of) those \square -graphons whose distributions of edges are symmetric measures. By convergence, the 3-shape $\mathbb{S}_3(\lambda, \mu)$ also consists only of (some of) those \square -graphons whose distributions of edges are symmetric measures. So the symmetry of μ follows by Example 4.4. \square

6 Final remarks

In the next proposition, we show that isomorphisms between \square -graphons with reasonable underlying measurable spaces correspond to isomorphisms between the underlying measurable spaces which preserve the distributions of vertices and edges.

Proposition 6.1. *Let (π_X, μ_X) and (π_Y, μ_Y) be \square -graphons on measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) , respectively. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be an isomorphism between the measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) such that $f_*\pi_X = \pi_Y$ and $f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_X = \mu_Y$. Then the Markov kernel κ_f is an isomorphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) in the category of all \square -graphons.*

If all singleton subsets of X and Y are measurable, then every isomorphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) is of this form.

Proof. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism between the measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) such that $f_*\pi_X = \pi_Y$ and $f_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_X = \mu_Y$. Then $f_*^{-1}\pi_Y = \pi_X$ and $(f^{-1})_*^{\otimes 2}\mu_Y = (f^{\otimes 2})_*^{-1}\mu_Y = \mu_X$. To prove that κ_f is an isomorphism, we will show that $\kappa_f \circ \kappa_{f^{-1}} = 1_{(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)}$ and $\kappa_{f^{-1}} \circ \kappa_f = 1_{(\pi_X, \mu_X)}$. By symmetry, it is enough to show the former equation. So we fix $y \in Y$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_f \circ \kappa_{f^{-1}}(y, B) &= \int_X \kappa_f(x, B) \kappa_{f^{-1}}(y, dx) \\ &= \kappa_{f^{-1}}(y, f^{-1}(B)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & y \in B, \\ 0, & y \notin B, \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

which we needed.

Now suppose that all singleton subsets of X and Y are measurable and that κ is an isomorphism from (π_X, μ_X) to (π_Y, μ_Y) . Then there is a morphism κ' from (π_Y, μ_Y) to (π_X, μ_X) such that $\kappa \circ \kappa' = 1_{(\pi_Y, \mu_Y)}$ and $\kappa' \circ \kappa = 1_{(\pi_X, \mu_X)}$. In particular, for every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= 1_{(\pi_X, \mu_X)}(x, \{x\}) \\ &= \kappa' \circ \kappa(x, \{x\}) \\ &= \int_Y \kappa'(y, \{x\}) \kappa(x, dy),\end{aligned}$$

and so $\kappa'(\cdot, \{x\}) = 1$ on a set of full $\kappa(x, \cdot)$ -measure. So, for every $x \in X$, there is at least one $y \in Y$ such that $\kappa'(y, \{x\}) = 1$. By symmetry, for every $y \in Y$, there is at least one $x \in X$ such that $\kappa(x, \{y\}) = 1$.

Suppose that there is $x \in X$ such that there are two distinct elements $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ with $\kappa'(y_i, \{x\}) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Let $x_i \in X$ be such that $\kappa(x_i, \{y_i\}) = 1$, $i = 1, 2$. Then either $x_1 \neq x$ or $x_2 \neq x$. Let us assume that $x_1 \neq x$ (the other case is analogous). Then

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= 1_{(\pi_X, \mu_X)}(x_1, \{x\}) \\ &= \kappa' \circ \kappa(x_1, \{x\}) \\ &= \int_Y \kappa'(y, \{x\}) \kappa(x_1, dy) \\ &= \kappa'(y_1, \{x\}) \\ &> 0,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. It follows that, for every $x \in X$, there is a unique $f(x) \in Y$ such that $\kappa'(f(x), \{x\}) > 0$, and then $\kappa'(f(x), \{x\}) = 1$. By symmetry, for every $y \in Y$, there is a unique $g(y) \in X$ such that $\kappa(g(y), \{y\}) > 0$, and then $\kappa(g(y), \{y\}) = 1$. This defines maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$.

For every $x \in X$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{(\pi_X, \mu_X)}(g(f(x)), \{x\}) &= \kappa' \circ \kappa(g(f(x)), \{x\}) \\ &= \int_Y \kappa'(y, \{x\}) \kappa(g(f(x)), dy) \\ &= \kappa'(f(x), \{x\}) \\ &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

and so $g \circ f$ is the identity on X . By symmetry, $f \circ g$ is the identity on Y . Altogether, f is a bijection and $g = f^{-1}$.

For every $B \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$f^{-1}(B) = g(B) = \{x \in X : \kappa(x, B) = 1\},$$

so f is measurable by the definition of a Markov kernel. By symmetry, g is also measurable. It follows that f is an isomorphism between the measurable spaces (X, \mathcal{A}) and (Y, \mathcal{B}) .

Finally, it holds $\kappa = \kappa_f$, as

$$\kappa(x, \{f(x)\}) = \kappa(g(f(x)), \{f(x)\}) = 1, \quad x \in X,$$

and the conclusion follows. \square

The next example shows that the situation can be more tricky if singletons are not required to be measurable.

Example 6.2. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{A}_k be the trivial σ -algebra on the set $[k]$, that is,

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{\emptyset, [k]\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is only one probability measure on $([k], \mathcal{A}_k)$. Consequently, for every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, there is exactly one Markov kernel from $([k], \mathcal{A}_k)$ to $([l], \mathcal{A}_l)$. If (π_k, μ_k) and (π_l, μ_l) are \square -graphon on $([k], \mathcal{A}_k)$ and $([l], \mathcal{A}_l)$, respectively, and if $\mu_k([k]^2) = \mu_l([l]^2)$, then the unique Markov kernel from $([k], \mathcal{A}_k)$ to $([l], \mathcal{A}_l)$ is an isomorphism from (π_k, μ_k) to (π_l, μ_l) .

Let C be the Cantor set, \mathcal{B}_C be the Borel σ -algebra on C and π_C be the natural probability measure on (C, \mathcal{B}_C) . In [11, Lemma 3.1], it was shown that, if μ is a symmetric finite measure on $(C, \mathcal{B}_C)^2$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to π_C^2 , then

$$\tilde{\mathbb{S}}_k(\pi_C, \mu) = \overline{\{(\gamma_k, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow\}} = \{(\gamma_k, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow\}.$$

This suggests that, in some cases, the topological closure from Definition 4.1 is redundant. However, in the following example, we will show that it is not the case in general.

Example 6.3. Let $(q_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an enumeration (without repetitions) of all rational numbers from the interval $(0, 2)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let μ_n be the measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})^2$ which is uniquely given by the following properties:

- μ_n is concentrated on the line segment $\{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : x + y = q_n\}$,
- the restriction of μ_n to the above line segment is a multiple of the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on that segment,
- $\mu_n([0, 1]^2) = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Let μ be the measure on $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]})^2$ given by $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$. Let ν be the measure on \mathcal{F}_2^2 given by

$$\nu(\{(i, j)\}) = \begin{cases} 0, & i = j, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & i \neq j, \end{cases} \quad i, j \in [2].$$

Then the \square -graphon (γ_2, ν) on \mathcal{F}_2 belongs to $\mathbb{S}_2(\lambda, \mu)$, but not to $(\lambda, \mu)_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}$.

Towards the proof, we first show that $(\gamma_2, \nu) \notin (\lambda, \mu)_{\downarrow}^{\downarrow}$. Suppose for a contradiction that κ is a morphism from (λ, μ) to (γ_2, ν) . Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \nu(\{(1, 1)\}) \\ &= \kappa_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\{(1, 1)\}) \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \kappa(x_1, \{1\}) \kappa(x_2, \{1\}) d\mu(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $q_{n_0} = 1$. Then μ_{n_0} is concentrated on the line segment $\{(x, y) \in [0, 1]^2 : x + y = 1\}$ and its restriction to this line segment is the $\frac{1}{2^{n_0 + \frac{1}{2}}}$ -multiple of the one-dimensional Lebesgue measure on that segment. So, (60) together with the fact that $\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n$ easily imply that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{[0,1]^2} \kappa(x_1, \{1\}) \kappa(x_2, \{1\}) d\mu_{n_0}(x_1, x_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{n_0}} \int_0^1 \kappa(x, \{1\}) \kappa(1-x, \{1\}) d\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for λ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$, either $\kappa(x, \{1\}) = 0$ or $\kappa(1-x, \{1\}) = 0$. So

$$\lambda(\{x \in [0, 1] : \kappa(x, \{1\}) = 0\}) \geq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{61}$$

On the other hand, it holds that

$$\int_0^1 \kappa(x, \{1\}) d\lambda(x) = \kappa_* \lambda(\{1\}) = \gamma_2(\{1\}) = \frac{1}{2}. \tag{62}$$

Combining (61) and (62) together, we obtain that $\kappa(\cdot, \{1\})$ is, up to a modification on a λ -null set, the characteristic function of some Borel set $A \subseteq [0, 1]$ with $\lambda(A) = 1/2$. By our definition of the measure μ , the function

$$(x_1, x_2) \mapsto \kappa(x_1, \{1\}) \kappa(x_2, \{1\}), \quad (x_1, x_2) \in [0, 1]^2,$$

coincides with the characteristic function of A^2 μ -almost everywhere. Thus, by (60), we must have $\mu(A^2) = 0$.

By Lebesgue's density theorem, we can find rational numbers $0 < q < q' < 1$ such that

$$\lambda(A \cap [q, q']) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda([q, q']). \quad (63)$$

As the map $x \mapsto q + q' - x$ is an automorphism of the interval $[q, q']$ which preserves the Lebesgue measure, it follows that

$$\lambda(\{x \in [q, q'] : q + q' - x \in A\}) = \lambda(\{A \cap [q, q']\}) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda([q, q']). \quad (64)$$

By (63) and (64), it holds that

$$\lambda(\{x \in [q, q'] : x \in A \text{ and } q + q' - x \in A\}) > 0. \quad (65)$$

Let $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $q_{n_1} = q + q'$. Then, by definition of the measure μ_{n_1} , equation (65) implies that $\mu_{n_1}(A^2) > 0$, which is a contradiction with the proven fact that $\mu(A^2) = 0$.

It remains to prove that $(\gamma_2, \nu) \in \mathbb{S}_2(\lambda, \mu)$. To this end, we must show that (γ_2, ν) can be approximated, with an arbitrary precision, by elements of $(\lambda, \mu)_2^\downarrow$. So let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily small. We find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2^{N+1}} < \varepsilon. \quad (66)$$

Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the rational numbers q_1, \dots, q_N can be written in the form

$$q_n = \frac{r_n}{s}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N,$$

for some $r_1, \dots, r_N \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2s\}$, we put

$$I_k = \left(\frac{k-1}{2s}, \frac{k}{2s} \right).$$

We define a measurable map $f_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow [2]$ by

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in I_k \text{ for some odd } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2s\}, \\ 2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to see that, by our choice of s , it holds that

$$\mu_n(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{1\}) \times f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{2\})) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_n([0, 1]^2) = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, \dots, N. \quad (67)$$

Obviously, the pushforward $(f_\varepsilon)_*\lambda$ equals γ_2 . We put $\nu_\varepsilon = (f_\varepsilon)_*^{\otimes 2}\mu$. Then the Markov kernel κ_{f_ε} is a morphism from (λ, μ) to $(\gamma_2, \nu_\varepsilon)$, and so $(\gamma_2, \nu_\varepsilon) \in (\lambda, \mu)_2^\downarrow$. We will show that

$$\left| \nu_\varepsilon(\{(1, 2)\}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (68)$$

In a complete analogy, one could also show that

$$\left| \nu_\varepsilon(\{(2, 1)\}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (69)$$

As μ is a probability measure, ν_ε is a probability measure as well, and so it will follow from (68) and (69) that

$$|\nu_\varepsilon(\{(i, j)\}) - \nu(\{(i, j)\})| < 2\varepsilon, \quad i, j \in [2].$$

This is all we need to finish the proof, as $\varepsilon > 0$ was chosen arbitrarily small.

To verify (68), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \nu_\varepsilon(\{(1, 2)\}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ &= \left| (f_\varepsilon)_*^{\otimes 2} \mu(\{(1, 2)\}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ &= \left| \mu(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{1\}) \times f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{2\})) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \mu_n(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{1\}) \times f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{2\})) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right| \\ &\stackrel{(67)}{=} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \left| \mu_n(f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{1\}) \times f_\varepsilon^{-1}(\{2\})) - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Applying the fact that $\mu_n([0, 1]^2) = \frac{1}{2^n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can continue (70) by

$$\left| \nu_\varepsilon(\{(1, 2)\}) - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \stackrel{(66)}{<} \varepsilon,$$

as we wanted.

Let \sim be the equivalence relation on the class of all \square -graphons given by

$$(\pi_1, \mu_1) \sim (\pi_2, \mu_2) \iff \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \ (\mathbb{S}_k(\pi_1, \mu_1) = \mathbb{S}_k(\pi_2, \mu_2)).$$

It is natural to ask for some simple characterization of the relation \sim (cf. the problem of a characterization of the isomorphism relation between s-graphons, [11, p. 36]). It is clear that if (π, μ) and (π', μ') are \square -graphons such that there are morphisms between them in both directions, then $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow = (\pi', \mu')_k^\downarrow$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and so $(\pi, \mu) \sim (\pi', \mu')$. However, this is not a characterization, as we show in the next example.

Example 6.4. There exist \square -graphons (π, μ) and (π', μ') such that $(\pi, \mu) \sim (\pi', \mu')$ and such that there is no morphism from (π', μ') to (π, μ) .

Indeed, by Example 6.3, there are \square -graphons (π', μ') and (ρ, ν) such that

$$(\rho, \nu) \in \mathbb{S}_2(\pi', \mu') \setminus (\pi', \mu')_2^\downarrow.$$

Consider the constant sequence of \square -graphons, where each element of the sequence equals (π', μ') . Of course, this sequence trivially has a limit, but we are interested in the limit constructed by the procedure described in the proof of Theorem 4.5. Let us recall that, if we follow that proof, we have the freedom to choose quite arbitrary countable dense subsets D_k of $S_k = \mathbb{S}_k(\pi', \mu')$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and then we construct the limit \square -graphon (π, μ) in such a way that (among other properties) every element of D_k belongs to $(\pi, \mu)_k^\downarrow$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we can choose the set D_2 such that $(\rho, \nu) \in D_2$. Then $(\pi, \mu) \sim (\pi', \mu')$ (because (π, μ) is a limit of the constant sequence) and

$$(\rho, \nu) \in (\pi, \mu)_2^\downarrow. \quad (71)$$

So if there was a morphism from (π', μ') to (π, μ) then, by (71), there would also be a morphism from (π', μ') to (ρ, ν) , a contradiction.

Conclusion. We have defined a natural category capturing the well-established concepts of graph limits: graphons and, a bit more general, s-graphons. Our framework allowed us proving the convergence and compactness results, at the same time extending the concept of a graphon in the spirit of weighted directed graphs. We expect that our category-theoretic framework will find applications in the classification of graph limits, possibly discovering new relationships between various types of \square -graphons.

References

- [1] Erik Sparre Andersen and Børge Jessen. On the introduction of measures in infinite product sets. *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.*, 25(4):8, 1948.
- [2] Salomon Bochner. *Harmonic analysis and the theory of probability*. University of California Press, Berkeley-Los Angeles, Calif., 1955.
- [3] J. R. Choksi. Inverse limits of measure spaces. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 8:321–342, 1958.
- [4] Fredrik Dahlqvist, Alexandra Silva, Vincent Danos, and Ilias Garnier. Borel kernels and their approximation, categorically. In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Fourth Conference on the Mathematical Foundations of Programming Semantics (MFPS XXXIV)*, volume 341 of *Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci.*, pages 91–119. Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2018.
- [5] Gerald B. Folland. *Real analysis*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York). John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, second edition, 1999. Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

- [6] Tobias Fritz. A synthetic approach to Markov kernels, conditional independence and theorems on sufficient statistics. *Adv. Math.*, 370:107239, 105, 2020.
- [7] Michèle Giry. A categorical approach to probability theory. In *Categorical aspects of topology and analysis (Ottawa, Ont., 1980)*, volume 915 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 68–85. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [8] Pavol Hell. An introduction to the category of graphs. In *Topics in graph theory (New York, 1977)*, volume 328 of *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, pages 120–136. New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1979.
- [9] Pavol Hell and Jaroslav Nešetřil. *Graphs and homomorphisms*, volume 28 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [10] Alexander S. Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [11] Dávid Kunszenti-Kovács, László Lovász, and Balázs Szegedy. Measures on the square as sparse graph limits. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 138:1–40, 2019.
- [12] F. William Lawvere. The category of probabilistic mappings. 1962.
- [13] László Lovász. *Large networks and graph limits*, volume 60 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.