

THE FOURIER EXTENSION CONJECTURE IN THREE DIMENSIONS

ERIC T. SAWYER[†]

ABSTRACT. The Fourier extension conjecture in $n \geq 2$ dimensions is,

$$\left\| \widehat{f d\sigma_{n-1}} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\sigma_{n-1})}, \text{ for } f \in L^p(\sigma_{n-1}) \text{ and } p > \frac{2n}{n-1},$$

where σ_{n-1} is surface measure on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . We give a proof of this conjecture in dimension $n = 3$ that uses trilinear estimates for Fourier transforms of smooth Alpert wavelets, corresponding local linear Fourier estimates for smooth Alpert wavelets with geometric decay, and the deterministic estimates from the author's paper on probabilistic Fourier extension.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Overview of the proof	2
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Young's inequality	3
2.2. Smooth Alpert wavelets	3
3. Locally and globally adapted functions	5
3.1. Local adaptedness of smooth Alpert wavelets	6
3.2. Convolutions of smooth Alpert wavelets with separation	8
4. Trilinear Fourier estimates in dimension $n = 3$	10
4.1. Estimates without transversality	10
4.2. Estimates with transversality	12
5. Local linear Fourier extension estimates in dimension $n = 3$	15
5.1. The recursive Whitney decomposition	15
5.2. The local linear extension theorem with geometric decay	16
6. Proof of the Fourier extension theorem in dimension $n = 3$	19
References	21

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we prove the three dimensional Fourier restriction conjecture, equivalently its dual formulation as a Fourier extension conjecture. To this end we repair an error made in version 4 of [Saw7]¹, with the help of trilinear estimates for Fourier transforms of smooth Alpert wavelets and corresponding local linear Fourier estimates in dimension $n = 3$. The trilinear estimates are similar to those of Bennett, Carbery and Tao [BeCaTa], but apply to smooth Alpert wavelets at a fixed scale. The local linear Fourier estimates are similar to those in Bourgain and Guth [BoGu], but also apply to smooth Alpert wavelets at a fixed scale, and result in estimates with geometric decay. The Fourier extension conjecture in three dimensions then follows by combining this with the deterministic estimates in version 12 of [Saw7, Proposition 27 on page 38]².

A significant advantage that is derived from the use of smooth Alpert wavelets, is that the convolutions of their pushforwards to the sphere with small approximate identities are much smoother in tangential

Date: December 20, 2024.

[†] Research supported in part by a grant from the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

¹pointed out to the author by Hong Wang and Ruixiang Zhang

²The case $n \geq 4$ is in preparation.

directions than in the radial direction. This phenomenon results in the improved decay arising in Lemma 9 below.

The Fourier extension conjecture arose from unpublished work of E. Stein in 1967, see e.g. [Ste2, see the Notes at the end of Chapter IX, p. 432, where Stein proved the restriction conjecture for $1 \leq p < \frac{4n}{3n+1}$] and [Ste]. The case $n = 2$ of the Fourier extension conjecture was proved over half a century ago by L. Carleson and P. Sjölin [CaSj], see also C. Fefferman [Fef] and A. Zygmund [Zyg]³.

Theorem 1. (*Fourier extension conjecture in three dimensions*) Suppose $n = 3$. Then for $p > 3$ we have

$$(1.1) \quad \left\| \widehat{f d\sigma} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^2)}, \quad \text{for all } p > 3 \text{ and } f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^2),$$

By interpolation with trivial bounds and embedding, (1.1) shows that for $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} < 1$ and $1 \leq p < 3$, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{f d\sigma} \right\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{p,q} \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

Even when combined with the Stein-Tomas L^2 result [Tom], this still omits the case when (p, q) lies on the Knapp arc,

$$A_{\text{Knapp}} \equiv \left\{ (p, q) : \frac{1}{p} + \frac{2}{q} = 1 \text{ and } 2 < p < 3 \right\},$$

and boundedness of the Fourier extension conjecture for $(p, q) \in A_{\text{Knapp}}$ in $n = 3$ dimensions remains an open question. Of course, the Fourier extension conjecture remains open for $n \geq 4$ as well.

Acknowledgement 2. *I thank Cristian Rios for many hours of fruitful conversations regarding Fourier extension.*

1.1. Overview of the proof. We begin by expanding $f = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} Q_s f$ into a sum of smooth ‘projections’ $Q_s^\eta f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \langle S^* f, h_I \rangle h_I^\eta = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \widetilde{f}(I) h_I^\eta$, where $\mathcal{G}_s[S]$ is a tiling of a square S by dyadic cubes of side length 2^{-s} , $\{h_I^\eta\}_{I \in \mathcal{G}[S]}$ is a frame of smoothed out Alpert wavelets $\{h_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{G}[S]}$, and S^* is a fixed operator bounded on all L^p spaces that is determined by the construction of the smooth wavelets h_I^η from h_I . Then the measures $Q_s f(x) dx$ are pushed forward (upward) to measures $\Phi_* Q_s f$ supported in the northern hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^2 , and we decompose the L^p norm of the Fourier transform $\widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f}$ into local and global pieces,

$$\left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^p = \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f} \right\|_{L^p(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^p + \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B(0, 2^{2s}))}^p.$$

Outside the ball $B(0, 2^{2s})$ is where stationary phase kicks in for $\widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f}$, and the global part of the norm can be analyzed using only stationary phase, together with the smoothness and vanishing moments of the Alpert wavelets and square function estimates. See [Saw7, Proposition 27] for the details of this part of the proof. The curvature of the sphere enters only through stationary phase in estimating the global piece.

The key properties of the Fourier transform, namely Plancherel’s theorem and the convolution identity, are only needed to estimate the far more delicate local piece. We first dominate the local norm as a sum of trilinear pieces,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f} \right\|_{L^3(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^3 &= \int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} \left| \left(\widehat{\Phi_* Q_s f} \right)^3 \right| = \int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} \left| \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \widetilde{f}(Q) h_Q^\eta \right)^3 \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} \left| \left(\widetilde{f}(Q_1) \widehat{h_{Q_1}^\eta} \right) \left(\widetilde{f}(Q_2) \widehat{h_{Q_2}^\eta} \right) \left(\widetilde{f}(Q_3) \widehat{h_{Q_3}^\eta} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

where the fact that the critical exponent 3 equals the dimension 3 seems to be crucial here. Then using the key properties of the Fourier transform, trilinear estimates with appropriate geometric decay in s are proved for projections of smooth Alpert wavelets in Proposition 10 (that doesn’t use transversality) and in Proposition 11 (which does). The latter estimates are similar to those of Bennett, Carbery and Tao [BeCaTa], and the rapid decay of Fourier transforms of convolutions of smooth Alpert wavelets (with improved tangential smoothness) in Lemma 9 plays a crucial role.

³A web search reveals much progress in the ensuing years. See e.g. the references in this paper, along with their references.

Then the squares Q_i are grouped according to a recursive Whitney decomposition that organizes the transversality of the groups, and the trilinear estimates are used to prove a local linear estimate that completes control of the local piece. The curvature of the sphere enters only through transversality in estimating the global piece.

The realization of geometric decay for the local piece in the argument outlined here requires the consideration of L^{3r} norms with $r > 1$, and this results in a great deal of arithmetic complexity via Hölder's and Young's inequalities. However, if one simply uses $r = 1$ instead, then the proofs are greatly decluttered as the only norms appearing are L^2 , $L^{\frac{4}{3}}$ and L^3 . The price paid for this simplicity is that geometric decay in s is replaced by polynomial growth in s in the local piece. But this, together with geometric decay control of the global piece for $p > 3$, is still sufficient for establishing the classical local Fourier estimate,

$$\left\| \widehat{f d\sigma} \right\|_{L^p(B(0,R))} \leq C_{p,\varepsilon} R^\varepsilon \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{S}^2)}, \quad \text{for all } R > 1, p > 3 \text{ and } f \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^2).$$

Using ε -removal, this estimate is well known to imply the Fourier extension conjecture, see e.g. [Tao] and [BoGu]. Because of this, we strongly encourage the reader to take $r = 1$ the first time through the arguments below, as that special case results in a proof that is perhaps easier to follow.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Here we recall Young's inequality and the definition and properties of smooth Alpert wavelets from [Saw7, Section 2] in \mathbb{R}^n .

2.1. Young's inequality. We will use Young's inequality in proving two multilinear estimates for smooth Alpert wavelets, c.f. [BeCaTa].

Theorem 3 (Young's inequality). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q, r > 0$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}.$$

Then for nonnegative functions f and g we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } p, q, r \geq 1, \\ \|f * g\|_{L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\geq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}, & \text{if } 0 < p, q, r \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Smooth Alpert wavelets. Next we recall the construction from [Saw7] of smooth Alpert projections $\{\triangle_{Q;\kappa}\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}}$ and corresponding wavelets $\{h_{Q;\kappa}^a\}_{Q \in \mathcal{D}, a \in \Gamma_n}$ of order κ in \mathbb{R}^n . In fact, $\{h_{Q;\kappa}^a\}_{a \in \Gamma_n}$ is an orthonormal basis for the finite dimensional vector subspace of L^2 that consists of linear combinations of the indicators of the children $\mathfrak{C}(Q)$ of Q multiplied by polynomials of degree at most $\kappa - 1$, and such that the linear combinations have vanishing moments on the cube Q up to order $\kappa - 1$:

$$L_{Q;\kappa}^2(\mu) \equiv \left\{ f = \sum_{Q' \in \mathfrak{C}(Q)} \mathbf{1}_{Q'} p_{Q';\kappa}(x) : \int_Q f(x) x_i^\ell d\mu(x) = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \ell \leq \kappa - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n \right\},$$

where $p_{Q';\kappa}(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\alpha| \leq \kappa - 1} a_{Q';\alpha} x^\alpha$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n of degree $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ at most $\kappa - 1$, and $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$. Let $d_{Q;\kappa} \equiv \dim L_{Q;\kappa}^2(\mu)$ be the dimension of the finite dimensional linear space $L_{Q;\kappa}^2(\mu)$. Moreover, for each $a \in \Gamma_n$, we may assume the wavelet $h_{Q;\kappa}^a$ is a translation and dilation of the unit wavelet $h_{Q_0;\kappa}^a$, where $Q_0 = [0, 1]^n$ is the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^n .

Given a small positive constant $\eta > 0$, define a smooth approximate identity by $\phi_\eta(x) \equiv \eta^{-n} \phi\left(\frac{x}{\eta}\right)$ where $\phi \in C_c^\infty(B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, 1))$ has unit integral, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x) dx = 1$, and vanishing moments of *positive* order less than κ , i.e.

$$(2.1) \quad \int \phi(x) x^\gamma dx = \delta_{|\gamma|=0}^0 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |\gamma| = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 < |\gamma| < \kappa \end{cases}.$$

The *smooth* Alpert 'wavelets' are defined by

$$h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta} \equiv h_{Q;\kappa}^a * \phi_{\eta \ell(Q)},$$

and we have for $0 \leq |\beta| < \kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta}(x) x^\beta dx &= \int \phi_{\eta\ell(I)} * h_{Q;\kappa}^a(x) x^\beta dx = \int \int \phi_{\eta\ell(I)}(y) h_{Q;\kappa}^a(x-y) x^\beta dx \\ &= \int \phi_{\eta\ell(I)}(y) \left\{ \int h_{Q;\kappa}^a(x-y) x^\beta dx \right\} dy = \int \phi_{\eta\ell(I)}(y) \left\{ \int h_{Q;\kappa}^a(x) (x+y)^\beta dx \right\} dy \\ &= \int \phi_{\eta\ell(I)}(y) \{0\} dy = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by translation invariance of Lebesgue measure.

Define

$$\Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \equiv \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \left\langle (S_\eta^\mathcal{D})^{-1} f, h_{I;\kappa}^a \right\rangle h_{I;\kappa}^{a,\eta} = (\Delta_{I;\kappa} f) * \phi_{\eta\ell(I)}.$$

Theorem 4 ([Saw7]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\kappa > \frac{n}{2}$. Then there is $\eta_0 > 0$ depending on n and κ such that for all $0 < \eta < \eta_0$, and for all grids \mathcal{D} in \mathbb{R}^n , and all $1 < p < \infty$, there is a bounded invertible operator $S_\eta^\mathcal{D} = S_{\kappa,\eta}^\mathcal{D}$ on L^p , and a positive constant $C_{p,n,\eta}$ such that the collection of functions $\{h_{I;\kappa}^{a,\eta}\}_{I \in \mathcal{D}, a \in \Gamma_n}$ is a $C_{p,n,\eta}$ -frame for L^p , by which we mean,*

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}, a \in \Gamma_n} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ and for all } f \in L^p,$$

where $\Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \equiv \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \left\langle (S_\eta^\mathcal{D})^{-1} f, h_{I;\kappa}^a \right\rangle h_{I;\kappa}^{a,\eta},$

and with convergence of the sum in both the L^p norm and almost everywhere, and

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{C_{p,n,\eta}} \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} |\Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_{p,n,\eta} \|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p.$$

Notation 5. *We will often drop the index a parameterized by the finite set Γ_n as it plays no essential role in most of what follows, and it will be understood that when we write*

$$\Delta_{Q;\kappa}^\eta f = \left\langle (S_\eta^\mathcal{D})^{-1} f, h_{Q;\kappa}^a \right\rangle h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta} = \check{f}(Q) h_{Q;\kappa}^\eta,$$

we actually mean the Alpert pseudoprojection,

$$\Delta_{Q;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \left\langle (S_\eta^\mathcal{D})^{-1} f, h_{Q;\kappa}^a \right\rangle h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta} = \sum_{a \in \Gamma_n} \check{f}_a(Q) h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta},$$

where $\check{f}_a(Q)$ is a convenient abbreviation for the inner product $\left\langle (S_\eta^\mathcal{D})^{-1} f, h_{Q;\kappa}^a \right\rangle$ when κ is understood. More precisely, one can view $\check{f}(Q) = \{\check{f}_a(Q)\}_{a \in \Gamma_n}$ and $h_{Q;\kappa}^\eta = \{h_{Q;\kappa}^{a,\eta}\}_{a \in \Gamma_n}$ as sequences of numbers and functions indexed by Γ_n , in which case $\check{f}(Q) h_{Q;\kappa}^\eta$ is the dot product of these two sequences.

2.2.1. A norm calculation. Fix a cube S centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with side length $\frac{1}{4}$ and let \mathcal{G} be a dyadic grid as in [Saw7], and for $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{G}_s[S]$ consist of the \mathcal{G} -dyadic subcubes of S with side length 2^{-s} . Now define the smooth Alpert ‘projection’ $Q_s^{n-1,\eta}$ at level $s \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$Q_s^{n-1,\eta} f \equiv \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f.$$

We will use the following norm calculation valid in all dimensions $n \geq 3$ and for all exponents $1 < q < \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_s^{n-1,\eta} f\|_{L^q(S)}^q &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \langle (S_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1} f, h_{I;\kappa}^{n-1} \rangle h_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta}(x) \right)^q dx \\
&\approx \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \left| \langle (S_{\kappa,\eta})^{-1} f, h_{I;\kappa}^{n-1} \rangle \right|^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |h_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta}(x)|^q dx = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} |\check{f}(I)|^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |h_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta}(x)|^q dx \\
&\approx \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} |\check{f}(I)|^q \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|I|}} \right)^q |I| = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} |\check{f}(I)|^q |I|^{1-\frac{q}{2}} = 2^{s(n-1)(\frac{q}{2}-1)} |\check{f}|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{G}_s[S])}^q,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(2.4) \quad \|Q_s^{n-1,\eta} f\|_{L^q(S)} \approx 2^{s(n-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{q})} |\check{f}|_{\ell^q(\mathcal{G}_s[S])}.$$

Note that when $q = n^* = \frac{2n}{n-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Q_s^{n-1,\eta} f\|_{L^{n^*}(S)}^{n^*} &\approx 2^{s(n-1)(\frac{n}{n-1}-1)} |\check{f}|_{\ell^{n^*}(\mathcal{G}_s[S])}^{n^*} = 2^s |\check{f}|_{\ell^{n^*}(\mathcal{G}_s[S])}^{n^*}, \\
\text{i.e. } \|Q_s^{n-1,\eta} f\|_{L^{n^*}(S)} &\approx 2^{\frac{s}{n^*}} |\check{f}|_{\ell^{n^*}(\mathcal{G}_s[S])}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. LOCALLY AND GLOBALLY ADAPTED FUNCTIONS

Here we establish a critical result concerning Fourier transforms of convolutions of functions that are smoothly adapted to rectangles. This result is then used to prove the transversal trilinear estimate for smooth Alpert wavelets in Proposition 11 below. Given a rectangle $\mathcal{R} = I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3$ in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at the origin (but sides not necessarily parallel to the coordinate axes), we say that a function $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is *globally adapted* to \mathcal{R} if for every multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$, there are positive constants C'_α such that

$$(3.1) \quad |F(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)| \leq C_\alpha \left(1 + \frac{|\xi_1|}{\ell(I_1)}\right)^{-\alpha_1} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi_2|}{\ell(I_2)}\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|\xi_3|}{\ell(I_3)}\right)^{-\alpha_3}$$

for all $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Let \mathcal{Q} be the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at the origin. We say that a function $F \in C_c^\infty(2\mathcal{Q})$ is *locally adapted* to \mathcal{Q} if for every multiindex $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^3$, there are positive constants C'_α such that

$$(3.2) \quad |\partial_x^\alpha F(x_1, x_2, x_3)| \leq C'_\alpha, \quad \text{for all } (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Given a rectangle \mathcal{R} centered at the origin, let $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ be the nonisotropic dilation taking \mathcal{R} to \mathcal{Q} . Then we say that $F \in C_c^\infty(2\mathcal{R})$ is *locally adapted* to \mathcal{R} if the rescaled function $F \circ \psi_{\mathcal{R}}^{-1}$ is locally adapted to \mathcal{Q} . Note that only decay and not smoothness of F plays a role in the definition of globally adapted, while only smoothness and not decay plays a role in the definition of locally adapted.

We say that F is *globally/locally adapted* to a rotation $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}$ of such a rectangle \mathcal{R} by $\Theta \in SO_2$, provided $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}$ satisfies the corresponding inequalities in the rotated coordinate system $\{\Theta \mathbf{e}_1, \Theta \mathbf{e}_2, \Theta \mathbf{e}_3\}$. Given a rotation Θ with axis in the horizontal plane, we define $\theta = \theta(\Theta)$ to be the angle through which the horizontal plane is rotated.

Finally, we say that $F \in C(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is globally/locally adapted to \mathcal{R} with *norm* $\|F\|_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{glob/loc adapt}} \equiv \|F\|_\infty$.

The Fourier transforms of smooth Alpert wavelets and their convolutions with each other, are the primary examples of globally adapted functions of finite norm in this paper. If $\mathcal{R} = [-2^{-s}, 2^{-s}] \times [-2^{-t}, 2^{-t}] \times [-2^{-u}, 2^{-u}]$, we define the *dual* rectangle \mathcal{R}^* by

$$\mathcal{R}^* \equiv [-2^s, 2^s] \times [-2^t, 2^t] \times [-2^u, 2^u].$$

Note that in the case where $s = t$ and $u = 2s$ are very large, then \mathcal{R} is essentially a thin pancake oriented horizontally, and \mathcal{R}^* is essentially a long relatively skinny tube oriented in the vertical direction. Here is a simple construction of a globally adapted function.

Lemma 6. *Suppose $h \in C_c^\infty(2\mathcal{Q})$ is locally adapted to the unit cube \mathcal{Q} centered at the origin. If $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the nonisotropic dilation taking \mathcal{R} to \mathcal{Q} , and if $f = h \circ \psi_{\mathcal{R}}$, then the Fourier transform \widehat{f} of f is globally adapted to the dual rectangle \mathcal{R}^* with norm $\|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{glob adapt}} = |\mathcal{R}| \|f\|_{\mathcal{R}}^{\text{loc adapt}}$. Moreover, the globally adapted constants C_α in (3.1) satisfy $C_\alpha \lesssim C'_\alpha |\mathcal{R}|$.*

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{R} = \prod_{j=1}^3 [-\ell(I_j), \ell(I_j)]$. Then $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \widehat{h \circ \psi_{\mathcal{R}^*}}(\xi)$ where $\psi_{\mathcal{R}^*}(x) = \left(\frac{x_1}{\ell(I_1)}, \frac{x_2}{\ell(I_2)}, \frac{x_3}{\ell(I_3)}\right)$, and so

$$\xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi) = \xi^\alpha \widehat{h \circ \psi_{\mathcal{R}^*}}(\xi) = \partial_x^\alpha (\widehat{h \circ \psi_{\mathcal{R}^*}})(\xi) = |\mathcal{R}| \ell(I_1)^{-\alpha_1} \ell(I_2)^{-\alpha_2} \ell(I_3)^{-\alpha_3} \widehat{\partial^\alpha h}(\psi_{\mathcal{R}^*}(\xi)),$$

which shows that

$$\left| \xi^\alpha \widehat{f}(\xi) \right| \leq |\mathcal{R}| \ell(I_1)^{-\alpha_1} \ell(I_2)^{-\alpha_2} \ell(I_3)^{-\alpha_3} \|\partial^\alpha h\|_{L^1} \lesssim |\mathcal{R}| \ell(I_1)^{-\alpha_1} \ell(I_2)^{-\alpha_2} \ell(I_3)^{-\alpha_3} C'_\alpha,$$

where we have used

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \widehat{\partial^\alpha h}(\zeta) \right| = \left| \int e^{-ix \cdot \zeta} \partial^\alpha h(x) dx \right| \leq \|\partial^\alpha h\|_{L^1}.$$

Combining these estimates gives

$$\left| \widehat{f}(\xi) \right| \lesssim C'_\alpha |\mathcal{R}| (1 + \ell(I_1) |\xi_1|)^{-\alpha_1} (1 + \ell(I_2) |\xi_2|)^{-\alpha_2} (1 + \ell(I_3) |\xi_3|)^{-\alpha_3}$$

which shows that \widehat{f} is globally adapted to the rectangle $\mathcal{R}^* = \prod_{j=1}^3 \left[-\frac{1}{\ell(I_j)}, \frac{1}{\ell(I_j)}\right]$ with constants $C_\alpha \lesssim C'_\alpha |\mathcal{R}|$. \square

We will be mainly interested in rectangles centered at the origin with two sides equal, because translates by unit vectors of rotations of such rectangles are the rectangles which essentially support smooth Alpert wavelets.

3.1. Local adaptedness of smooth Alpert wavelets. For a bump function φ locally adapted to the unit cube in \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\varphi_\varepsilon(x) \equiv \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^3 \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

where one can think of ε as the diameter of the support of φ_ε .

As in [Saw7, Subsection 1.4], we parameterize a patch of the northern hemisphere of \mathbb{S}^2 by $\Phi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ where S is a small square centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 , and we fix a translation \mathcal{G} of the standard dyadic grid on \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying the conditions in [Saw7, (1.10)], i.e. there is a small square S_0 with side length a negative power of 2 such that $S_0 \in \mathcal{G}$, the grandparent $\pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)} S_0$ of S_0 has the origin as a vertex, and S_0 is an interior grandchild of $S \equiv \pi_{\mathcal{G}}^{(2)} S_0$ so that $S_0, S \in \mathcal{G}$ with $S_0 \subset \frac{1}{2} S^4$. For convenience we relabel S_0 as S and suppose that S has side length $\frac{1}{2}$. Then we set $\mathcal{G}_s[S] \equiv \{I \in \mathcal{G} : \ell(I) = 2^{-s}\}$.

The following lemma fails if we replace the smooth Alpert wavelet $h_{I,\kappa}^\eta$ with a function $\mathbf{1}_I f_I$ bounded by 1, and this is one of the main advantages of Alpert wavelets in Fourier extension theory.

Lemma 7. *Suppose $I \equiv I_1 \times I_2$ is a square centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^2 of side length 2^{-s} , and that $\Delta_{I,\kappa}^\eta f = \check{f}(I) h_{I,\kappa}^\eta$ is a smooth Alpert ‘projection’. Let $g = (\Phi_* \Delta_{I,\kappa}^\eta f) - \mathbf{e}_3$ be the vertical translation of the pushforward of $\Delta_{I,\kappa}^\eta f$ that ‘centers’ the singular measure $\Phi_* \Delta_{I,\kappa}^\eta f$ at the origin. Fix $0 \leq m \leq s$ and set $F \equiv \varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * g$. Then F is locally adapted to the rectangle*

$$\mathcal{R} = I \times [-2^{m-2s}, 2^{m-2s}],$$

with norm $\|F\|_\infty \approx \frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m}$, and \widehat{F} is globally adapted to the rectangle

$$[-2^s, 2^s] \times [-2^s, 2^s] \times [-2^{2s-m}, 2^{2s-m}],$$

with norm $\frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} |\mathcal{R}|$. Moreover, if C'_α are the locally adapted constants for F , then the globally adapted constants C_α for \widehat{F} satisfy $C_\alpha \lesssim C'_\alpha |\mathcal{R}|$.

⁴this construction is used to start the proof in [Saw7, Standard reduction 3 in Section 3]

Proof. By Lemma 6, it suffices to show that $\varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * g$ is locally adapted to \mathcal{R} . To estimate the derivatives of $\varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * \left[\left(\Phi_* \triangle_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \right) - \mathbf{e}_3 \right]$, we use the curvature of the sphere to obtain the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \Big|_z &= \cos \theta_z D_{\mathbf{v}(z)} + \sin \theta_z D_{\mathbf{v}(z)^\perp}, \\ \text{where } \mathbf{v}(z) &\text{ is tangent to } \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ at } \Phi(z), \\ \text{and } \mathbf{v}(z)^\perp &\text{ is normal to } \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ at } \Phi(z), \\ \text{and } |\theta_z| &\lesssim \ell(I_1) = 2^{-s} \text{ for } z \in 2I, \end{aligned}$$

and where $D_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the directional derivative in the direction \mathbf{v} , and θ_z is the angle that the tangent vector $\mathbf{v}(z)$ makes with the horizontal (x_1, x_2) -plane. Then $\sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa}^\eta$ is locally adapted (in the sense of two dimensions) to the square I , and we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * \left(\Phi_* \triangle_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \right) &= \frac{\check{f}(I)}{\sqrt{|I|}} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\Phi_* \sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa}^\eta \right) (x-y) \varphi_{2^{m-2s}}(y) dy \\ &= \frac{\check{f}(I)}{\sqrt{|I|}} \int \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa}^\eta \circ \Phi \right) (x-y) \varphi_{2^{m-2s}}(y) dy \\ &= \frac{\check{f}(I)}{\sqrt{|I|}} \int \left(\cos \theta_{x-y} D_{\mathbf{v}(x-y)} + \sin \theta_{x-y} D_{\mathbf{v}(x-y)^\perp} \right) \left(\phi_{\eta \ell(I)} *_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa} \right) (\Phi(x-y)) \varphi_{2^{m-2s}}(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

and apply the estimate,

$$\left| \cos \theta_{x-y} D_{\mathbf{v}(x-y)} \left(\phi_{\eta \ell(I)} * \sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa} \right) (\Phi(x-y)) \right| \lesssim \left| \cos \theta_{x-y} \phi'_{\eta \ell(I)}(x-y) \right| \lesssim \ell(I)^{-1},$$

where we have passed the tangential derivative through the convolution to act on the function $\phi_{\eta \ell(I)} * \sqrt{|I|} h_{I;\kappa}$, and the estimate

$$\left| \sin \theta_{x-y} D_{\mathbf{v}(x-y)^\perp} (\varphi_{2^{m-2s}}(x-y)) \right| \lesssim \ell(I) \cdot 2^{2s-m} = 2^{-m} \ell(I)^{-1} \lesssim \ell(I)^{-1},$$

where we have passed the normal derivative through the convolution to act on the function $\varphi_{2^{m-2s}}$.

We obtain in this way that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * \left(\Phi_* \triangle_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} \ell(I_1)^{-1}, \\ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * \left(\Phi_* \triangle_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \right) \right| &\lesssim \frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} \ell(I_2)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\ell(I) = \ell(I_1) = \ell(I_2)$. For the x_3 derivative, we pass it through the convolution to act on the function $\varphi_{2^{m-2s}}$ and obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * \left(\Phi_* \triangle_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \right) \right| \lesssim \frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} \frac{1}{2^{m-2s}} = \frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} \ell(I_3)^{-1}.$$

Now we iterate these estimates to obtain that $\varphi_{2^{m-2s}} * g$ is locally adapted to \mathcal{R} with norm $\frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m}$. \square

Corollary 8. *If we replace the square I in Lemma 7 with an arbitrary $I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]$, then we still have the conclusions that F is locally adapted to the rectangle $I \times [-2^{m-2s}, 2^{m-2s}]$ with norm $\frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m}$, and that \hat{F} is globally adapted to the rectangle $[-2^s, 2^s]^2 \times [-2^{2s-m}, 2^{2s-m}]$ with norm $\frac{|\check{f}(I)|}{\sqrt{|I|}} 2^{2s-m} |\mathcal{R}|$. Moreover the constants C'_α and C_α in (3.2) and (3.1) respectively may be taken to be independent of $I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]$ (both in location c_I and scale s).*




FIGURE 1. The rectangles $\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ (black) and $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ (blue) and the region $\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s} + \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ (red).

Proof. If we rotate by $\Theta \in SO_3$ the pushforward $\Phi_* \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f$ to be centered at the north pole \mathbf{e}_3 , then the new phase $\widetilde{\Phi}_I$ in the integral $\int e^{-i\widetilde{\Phi}_I(x) \cdot \xi} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f(x) dx$ is the same rotation Θ applied to the patch of the sphere that is parameterized by the restriction Φ_I of Φ to the square I . The dilates of these phase functions $\widetilde{\Phi}_I$ to the unit square S form a family that is *equi-locally adapted* to S in the sense that one can take a fixed choice of constants C'_α in (3.2) that work simultaneously for all these dilates of the functions $\widetilde{\Phi}_I$. It now follows from Lemma 7 that the appropriate adapted constants for both F and \widehat{F} can be taken independent of $I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]$. \square

We need one more result regarding adapted functions, which plays a critical role in the proof of Theorem 1.

3.2. Convolutions of smooth Alpert wavelets with separation. Given a rectangle \mathcal{R} and a rotation $\Theta \in SO_2$, we denote the rotation of the rectangle by $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}$. The next lemma uses the fact that if \mathcal{R} is a rectangle with two sides equal, and if Θ is a rotation whose axis lies in the plane of the two equal sides (i.e. starting to flip a pancake), then the set sum $\mathcal{R} + \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}$ is essentially dual to the intersection $\mathcal{R}^* \cap (\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^*$ in the sense that $(\mathcal{R} + \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^*$ is sandwiched between positive multiples of $\mathcal{R}^* \cap (\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^*$. More precisely, simple geometry - see e.g. Figures ?? and ?? below - shows that there is a positive constant C such that,

$$\frac{1}{C} [\mathcal{R}^* \cap (\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^*] \subset (\mathcal{R} + \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^* \subset C [\mathcal{R}^* \cap (\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R})^*].$$

Lemma 9. *Let $0 \leq t \leq s$. Suppose that f is locally adapted to the three dimensional rectangle*

$$\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s} \equiv [-2^{-s}, 2^{-s}]^2 \times [-2^{-2s}, 2^{-2s}],$$

and that g is locally adapted to the rotation $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ of $\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ where Θ is a rotation with axis in the horizontal plane making an angle $\theta = 2^{t-s}$ relative to the horizontal plane.

*Then $\widehat{f * g} = \widehat{f} \widehat{g}$ is globally adapted to the rectangle*

$$\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s} = [-2^s, 2^s]^2 \times [-2^{2s-t}, 2^{2s-t}],$$

with norm

$$\|\widehat{f * g}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \leq \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \|\widehat{g}\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \lesssim |\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}|^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{loc adapt}} \|g\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{loc adapt}}.$$

Note: The rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s-t}$ is essentially the smallest rectangle containing the sum $\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s} + \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}$ when $\theta = 2^{t-s}$. Moreover, its dual rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s}$ is essentially the smallest rectangle containing the intersection

$$(\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s})^* \cap (\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s})^* = \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s} \cap \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s},$$

and is shorter by a factor of 2^{-t} than the rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}$ to which \widehat{f} is locally adapted - this thanks to Lemma 9 and the separation of f and g in the convolution $f * g$.




FIGURE 2. The dual rectangles $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}$ (black), $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}$ (blue) and the rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s}$ (red)

Proof. From the hypotheses, we have that \hat{f} is globally adapted to $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}$, and that \hat{g} is globally adapted to $\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s}$. We now claim that $\hat{f}(\xi)\hat{g}(\xi)$ is globally adapted to the shorter rectangle $\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s}$ with norm $\left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left\| \hat{g} \right\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}}$. For this we use the containment,

$$\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s} \cap \text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,-2s} \subset C\mathcal{R}_{-s,-s,t-2s}.$$

We may suppose without loss of generality that the axis of the rotation Θ is the x_1 -axis, and then we may restrict our attention to the (x_2, x_3) - plane and derivatives in x_2 and x_3 .

Then in the orthogonal case where the angle $\theta = 2^{t-s}$ is comparable to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, we may ignore the x_1 variable since the rotation fixes it, and the rotated function \hat{g} has its variables interchanged. Thus from the locally adapted hypotheses, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(x_2, x_3)| &\leq C_\alpha \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^{2s}} \right)^{-\alpha_3}, \\ |\hat{g}(x_2, x_3)| &\leq C_\alpha \left\| \hat{g} \right\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^{2s}} \right)^{-\alpha_3}, \end{aligned}$$

and so we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{f}(x_2, x_3)\hat{g}(x_2, x_3)| &\leq C^2 \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left\| \hat{g} \right\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^{2s}} \right)^{-\alpha_3} \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^{2s}} \right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_3} \\ &= C^2 \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left\| \hat{g} \right\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^s} \right) \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^{2s}} \right) \right]^{-\alpha_2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^{2s}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^s} \right) \right]^{-\alpha_3} \\ &\approx C^2 \left\| \hat{f} \right\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left\| \hat{g} \right\|_{\text{Rot}_\Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \frac{|x_2|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \frac{|x_3|}{2^s} \right)^{-\alpha_3}, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired inequality in this case since $t \approx s$.

A linear change of variable that takes the x_2 and x_3 axes to the y_2 and y_3 axes parallel to the long sides of the rectangles, then establishes the case of general Θ with $|\theta| \geq 2^{-s}$. Indeed, the change of variable

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2^{t-s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 + y_3 \\ 2^{t-s} y_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

with matrix $M \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2^{t-s} \end{bmatrix}$ and $y = (y_2, y_3)$ and $x = (x_2, x_3)$, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(y) &= \int e^{-iy \cdot v} f(v) dv = \int e^{-iMx \cdot v} f(v) dv = \int e^{-ix \cdot M^{\text{tr}} v} f(v) dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\det M} \int e^{-ix \cdot u} f((M^{\text{tr}})^{-1} u) du = \frac{1}{\det M} \widehat{f_M}(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_M(u) \equiv f((M^{\text{tr}})^{-1} u)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{f}(y) \widehat{g}(y)| &= \frac{1}{(\det M)^2} |\widehat{f_M}(x) \widehat{g_M}(x)| \\ &\leq \frac{C^2}{(\det M)^2} \|\widehat{f_M}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \|\widehat{g_M}\|_{\text{Rot } \Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y_2 + y_3}{2^s}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \left|\frac{2^{t-s} y_3}{2^s}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_3} \\ &= C^2 \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \|\widehat{g}\|_{\text{Rot } \Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y_2 + y_3}{2^s} + \frac{y_3}{2^s}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y_3}{2^{2s-t}}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_3} \\ &\approx C^2 \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \|\widehat{g}\|_{\text{Rot } \Theta \mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y_2}{2^s}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_2} \left(1 + \left|\frac{y_3}{2^{2s-t}}\right|\right)^{-\alpha_3}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\widehat{f_M}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}} = (\det M) \|\widehat{f}\|_{\mathcal{R}_{s,s,2s}}^{\text{glob adapt}}$. □

4. TRILINEAR FOURIER ESTIMATES IN DIMENSION $n = 3$

We adopt the notation of [Saw7, Subsection 1.4] in regard to local coordinates on the sphere, and push-forwards of smooth Alpert wavelets near the origin in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . We begin with a trilinear estimate for smooth Alpert wavelets at level s , that requires no transversality, but compensates by being restricted to a small ball $B(0, 2^{2s-m})$. Then we extend the small ball estimate to the large ball $B(0, 2^{2s})$ using transversality of cubes in conjunction with Lemma 9 on globally adapted Fourier transforms.

4.1. Estimates without transversality. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and $K \in \mathcal{G}[S]$, with $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ centered at the origin as above, and with $\ell(K) \geq 2^{-s}$, we set

$$(4.1) \quad Q_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f \equiv \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[K]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ and } f_{s,K}^\Phi \equiv \Phi_* Q_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[K]} \Phi_* \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f.$$

Let $f \in L^2(S)$. We will consider triples (K_1, J_2, J_3) of squares in which J_2 and J_3 have equal side lengths that may be smaller than that of K_1 , and it is for this reason that we use J as opposed to K to label the smaller squares. Using (4.1), we define for convenience in notation,

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1 &\equiv Q_{s,K_1;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ and } f_j \equiv Q_{s,J_j;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ for } j = 2, 3; \\ f_1^\Phi &\equiv f_{s,K_1}^\Phi = \Phi_* Q_{s,K_1;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ and } f_j^\Phi \equiv f_{s,J_j}^\Phi \text{ for } j = 2, 3, \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$K^\Phi \equiv \Phi(K) \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ for } K \subset S.$$

Proposition 10. *Suppose $0 \leq n \leq m \leq s$, and let $K_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]$ and $J_2, J_3 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-n}[S]$. Then for $1 \leq r < 2$ we have*

$$(4.3) \quad \left\| \widehat{f_{s,K_1}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_2}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_3}^\Phi} \right\|_{L^r(B(0, 2^{2s-m}))} \leq C_r A(m, r, s) \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)},$$

where the constant C_r is independent of n, m and s , and

$$(4.4) \quad A(m, r, s) \equiv 2^{-\frac{1}{r} m 2^{\left(\frac{4}{r}-3\right)s}}.$$

Note: In (4.3), there are uniform bounds in s for $r > 1$ if and only if $m = s$.

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be nonnegative, take the value 1 on the unit cube \mathcal{Q} , and be supported in $2\mathcal{Q}$.

Proof. The following arguments involve elementary but complicated arithmetic when $r > 1$, and the reader is encouraged to keep in mind the case $r = 1$ when $p = \frac{3r}{5r-2} = \frac{4}{3}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)' = 3$. Of course $r > 1$ is needed for appropriate decay.

Set $\delta = 2^{m-2s}$ and let $f_{s,K,\delta}^\Phi \equiv f_{s,K}^\Phi * \varphi_\delta$. Since $|\widehat{\varphi_\delta}(\xi)| \gtrsim \mathbf{1}_{B(0,2^{2s-m})}(\xi)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(0,2^{2s-m})} \left| \widehat{f_{s,K_1}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_2}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_3}^\Phi} \right|^r \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{\varphi_\delta} \widehat{f_{s,K_1}^\Phi} \widehat{\varphi_\delta} \widehat{f_{s,J_2}^\Phi} \widehat{\varphi_\delta} \widehat{f_{s,J_3}^\Phi} \right|^r \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{\varphi_\delta * f_{s,K_1}^\Phi} \widehat{\varphi_\delta * f_{s,J_2}^\Phi} \widehat{\varphi_\delta * f_{s,J_3}^\Phi} \right|^r = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{f_{s,K_1,\delta}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_2,\delta}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_3,\delta}^\Phi} \right|^r. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience in notation we further set

$$(4.5) \quad g_j = f_{s,K_j}^\Phi \text{ and } g_{j,\delta} \equiv f_{s,K_j,\delta}^\Phi$$

where we are suppressing K_j and s , and thus we must estimate the expression

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{g_{1,\delta}} \widehat{g_{2,\delta}} \widehat{g_{3,\delta}}|^r.$$

Applying Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{2}{r}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)' = \frac{2}{2-r}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{g_{1,\delta}} \widehat{g_{2,\delta}} \widehat{g_{3,\delta}}|^r \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{g_{1,\delta}}|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\widehat{g_{2,\delta}} \widehat{g_{3,\delta}}|^{r \frac{2}{2-r}} \right)^{\frac{2-r}{2}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g_{1,\delta}|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |g_{2,\delta} * g_{3,\delta}|^{\frac{2r}{3r-2}} \right)^{\frac{3r-2}{2r} r} = \|g_{1,\delta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^r \|g_{2,\delta} * g_{3,\delta}\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{3r-2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^r, \end{aligned}$$

by the Hausdorff-Young inequality since $\frac{2r}{2-r} > 2$ and $\left(\frac{2r}{2-r}\right)' = \frac{2r}{3r-2}$ for $1 \leq r < 2$.

Now we use Young's inequality with

$$\frac{2}{p} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p} = 1 + \frac{3r-2}{2r} = \frac{5r-2}{2r},$$

to obtain

$$\|g_{2,\delta} * g_{3,\delta}\|_{L^{\frac{2r}{3r-2}}} \leq \|g_{2,\delta}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g_{3,\delta}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \text{where } p = \frac{4r}{5r-2}.$$

Next we instead apply Hölder's inequality with exponents $\frac{2}{p}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)' = \frac{2}{2-p}$, and compute that for $i = 2$ and 3 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad & \|g_{i,\delta}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left\| \mathbf{1}_{J_i^\Phi} f_i d\sigma_\delta \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \approx \left(\int_{J_{i,\delta}^\Phi} \left| f_i(x_1, x_2) \frac{d\sigma_\delta}{dx}(x_3) \right|^p dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{J_{i,\delta}^\Phi} |f_i(x_1, x_2)|^{p \frac{2}{p}} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{p}{2} \frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{J_{i,\delta}^\Phi} \left| \frac{d\sigma_\delta}{dx}(x_3) \right|^{p \frac{2}{2-p}} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2} \frac{1}{p}} \\ & = \left(\int_{J_{i,\delta}^\Phi} |f_i(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{J_{i,\delta}^\Phi} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \right)^{\frac{2p}{2-p}} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} \approx \left(\int_{J_i} \delta |f_i(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\delta} |J_{i,\delta}^\Phi|^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} \\ & \approx \|\mathbf{1}_{J_i} f_i\|_{L^2(S)} \sqrt{\delta} \frac{1}{\delta} (2^{-2s} \delta)^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} = \|\mathbf{1}_{J_i} f_i\|_{L^2(S)} \sqrt{2^{m-2s}} \frac{1}{2^{m-2s}} (2^{-2s} 2^{m-2s})^{\frac{2-p}{2p}} = 2^{-\frac{p-1}{p} m} 2^{(3-\frac{4}{p})s} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_i} f_i\|_{L^2(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - 4 \frac{2-p}{2p} &= \frac{2p}{2p} - \frac{8-4p}{2p} = \frac{2p - (8-4p)}{2p} = \frac{6p-8}{2p} = 3 - \frac{4}{p}, \\ \text{and } -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2-p}{2p} &= -\frac{p}{2p} + \frac{2-p}{2p} = \frac{2-2p}{2p} = -\frac{p-1}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the functions f_j defined in (4.2) for $j = 2, 3$ are supported in the cube J_j , and so the insertion of the indicator $\mathbf{1}_{J_i}$ is redundant, but helpful nonetheless in keeping track of supports.

We also have

$$(4.7) \quad \|g_{1,\delta}\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_{K_{1,\delta}^\Phi} \left| f_1(x_1, x_2) \frac{d\sigma_\delta}{dx}(x_3) \right|^2 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\int_{K_{1,\delta}^\Phi} |f_1(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \approx \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\delta \int_{K_{1,\delta}^\Phi} |f_1(x_1, x_2)|^2 dx_1 dx_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}m} 2^s \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)},$$

and altogether we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\widehat{g}_1 \widehat{g}_2 \widehat{g}_3\|_{L^r(B(0, 2^{2s}))} \lesssim \|g_{1,\delta}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g_{2,\delta}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|g_{3,\delta}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ & \lesssim \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}m} 2^s \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \right) \left(2^{-\frac{p-1}{p}m} 2^{(3-\frac{4}{p})s} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \right) \left(2^{-\frac{p-1}{p}m} 2^{(3-\frac{4}{p})s} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right) \\ & = 2^{-(\frac{1}{2}+2\frac{p-1}{p})m} 2^{(1+2(3-\frac{4}{p}))s} \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \\ & = 2^{-\frac{1}{r}m} 2^{(\frac{4}{r}-3)s} \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $p = \frac{4r}{5r-2}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 2 \left(3 - \frac{4}{p} \right) &= 1 + 2 \left(3 - 4 \frac{5r-2}{4r} \right) = 1 + \left(6 - \frac{10r-4}{r} \right) = 7 - 10 + \frac{4}{r} = \frac{4}{r} - 3 \\ \text{and } \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{p-1}{p} &= \frac{1}{2} + 2 \left(1 - \frac{5r-2}{4r} \right) = \frac{5}{2} - \frac{5r-2}{2r} = \frac{1}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 10. \square

4.2. Estimates with transversality. In order to enlarge the ball $B(0, 2^{2s-m})$ on the left hand side of (4.3) to $B(0, 2^{2s})$, without adversely affecting the right hand side, we will need to assume a pairwise separation condition and apply Lemma 9 on globally adapted Fourier transforms.

Proposition 11. *Suppose $0 \leq n \leq m \leq s$, $K_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]$, $J_2, J_3 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-n}[S]$ and the cubes K_1, J_2, J_3 satisfy the following pairwise separation condition,*

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{dist}(K_1, J_2) &\approx \text{dist}(K_1, J_3) \approx \ell(K_1) = 2^{m-s}, \\ \text{dist}(J_2, J_3) &\approx \ell(J_2) = \ell(J_3) = 2^{n-s}. \end{aligned}$$

With f_1 and f_j for $j = 2, 3$ defined as in (4.1) and (4.2), and for $1 < r_1 < r < 2$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \left\| \widehat{f_1^\Phi d\sigma f_2^\Phi d\sigma f_3^\Phi d\sigma} \right\|_{L^r(B(0, 2^{2s}))} \leq C_{r,r_1} 2^{3(1-\frac{r_1}{r})s} A(m, r, s) \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)},$$

where the constant C_{r,r_1} is independent of n, m and s , and $A(m, r, s) = 2^{-\frac{1}{r}m} 2^{(\frac{4}{r}-3)s}$ as in (4.4).

The large ball estimate in (4.9) is worse than the nontransversal estimate (4.3), taken over the smaller ball $B(0, 2^{2s-m})$, but only by a growth factor $2^{3(1-\frac{r_1}{r})s}$, whose exponent can be made arbitrarily small by taking r_1 sufficiently close to r .

Remark 12. *If $n = 0$, i.e. $\ell(J_2) = \ell(J_3) = 2^{-s}$, then we no longer need the second line in the pairwise separation condition (4.8), and hence we can include the case where $\text{dist}(J_2, J_3) \leq C$.*

Proof. With $\varepsilon = 2^{-2s}$, and integration restricted to the ball $B(0, 2^{2s})$ on the left hand side, we can use $|\widehat{\varphi_\varepsilon}| \geq c \mathbf{1}_{B(0, 2^{2s})}$ to convolve the measure $f_{s,K}^\Phi$ with φ_ε , and obtain the bound,

$$\int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} \left| \widehat{f_{s,K_1}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_2}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_3}^\Phi} \right|^r \lesssim \int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} \left| \widehat{f_{s,K_1,\varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_2,\varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s,J_3,\varepsilon}^\Phi} \right|^r = \int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r,$$

where $g_{j,\varepsilon}$ is as in (4.5). We write

$$\int_{B(0, 2^{2s})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r = \int_{B(0, 2^{2s-m})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \int_{A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r,$$

where the first term on the right satisfies

$$(4.10) \quad \int_{B(0, 2^{2s-m})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r \lesssim C_r A(m, r, s)^r \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)}^r \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)}^r \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)}^r$$

by Proposition 10, where $A(m, r, s)^r = 2^{-m} 2^{(4-3r)s} = 2^{-3(r-1)s} 2^{s-m}$.

In order to estimate the integral over the annulus $A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})$ we will need to apply Lemma 9 to the product $\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}}$. For $0 \leq \lambda \leq m \leq s$, we claim that

$$(4.11) \quad \left\| \widehat{f_1^\Phi} \widehat{f_2^\Phi} \right\|_{L^\infty(A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)}))} \leq C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \|f_1^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_2^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Indeed, suppose $I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_s[K_1]$ and $I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_s[J_2]$ and that $\widehat{I_j}$ is the $2^s \times 2^s \times 2^{2s}$ rectangle associated with I_j that is oriented in the direction normal to $\Phi(c_{I_j})$ as pictured in Figure ?? . If $|\xi| \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda}$, and if $\xi \in \widehat{I_1}$, then

$$\text{dist}(\xi, \widehat{I_2}) \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda} \sin(\angle(I_1, I_2)) \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda} 2^{m-s} = 2^{s+\lambda},$$

and so by Lemma 9 with $\alpha = (N, N, N)$, the function $f_{s, I_2}^\Phi \equiv \Phi_* Q_{s, I_2; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f$ satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi}(\xi)}{\left\| \widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi} \right\|_\infty} \right| \leq C_N \left(\frac{\text{dist}(\xi, \widehat{I_2})}{2^s} \right)^{-N} = C_N 2^{-\lambda N}.$$

The same inequality holds for $\frac{\widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi}(\xi)}{\left\| \widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi} \right\|_\infty}$ if $\xi \in \widehat{I_2}$, and since the product $\left| \widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi} \right|$, when restricted to the annulus $|\xi| \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda}$, is essentially maximized in these locations, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi}(\xi) \right| &\leq C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \left\| \widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi} \right\|_\infty \left\| \widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi} \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \|f_{s, I_1}^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_{s, I_2}^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad |\xi| \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \right| &= \left| \sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_s[K_1]} \widehat{f_{s, I_1}^\Phi}(\xi) \sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_s[J_2]} \widehat{f_{s, I_2}^\Phi}(\xi) \right| \\ &\leq C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_s[K_1]} \|f_{s, I_1}^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_s[J_2]} \|f_{s, I_2}^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\ &\approx C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \|f_1^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_2^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad |\xi| \approx 2^{2s-m+\lambda}, \end{aligned}$$

which is (4.11).

Thus for $1 < r_1 < r < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi \\ &\leq \left\| \widehat{f_1^\Phi} \widehat{f_2^\Phi} \right\|_{L^\infty(A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)}))}^{r-r_1} \left\| \widehat{f_3^\Phi} \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{r-r_1} \int_{A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^{r_1} d\xi \\ &\leq \left(C_N 2^{-\lambda N} \|f_1^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_2^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_3^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)^{r-r_1} \int_{B(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^{r_1} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &C_N^{r-r_1} 2^{-\lambda N(r-r_1)} \left(\|f_1^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_2^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|f_3^\Phi\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)^{r-r_1} \\ &\lesssim C_N^{r-r_1} 2^{-\lambda N(r-r_1)} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^1(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^1(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^1(S)} \right)^{r-r_1} \\ &\lesssim C_N^{r-r_1} 2^{-\lambda N(r-r_1)} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \sqrt{|K_1|} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \sqrt{|J_2|} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \sqrt{|J_3|} \right)^{r-r_1} \\ &\lesssim C_N^{r-r_1} 2^{-\lambda N(r-r_1)} 2^{\frac{r-r_1}{2}(m+2n-3s)} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^{r-r_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{B(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^{r_1} d\xi \lesssim A(m-\lambda, r_1, s)^{r_1} \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1},$$

$$\text{where } A(m-\lambda, r_1, s)^{r_1} = 2^{-(m-\lambda)2^{(4-3r_1)s}},$$

by Proposition 10, with r and m replaced by r_1 and $m-\lambda$ respectively. Altogether we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r \\ & \lesssim C_N^{r-r_1} 2^{-\lambda N(r-r_1)} 2^{\frac{r-r_1}{2}(m+2n-3s)} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^{r-r_1} \\ & \quad \times 2^{-(m-\lambda)2^{(4-3r_1)s}} \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)}^{r_1} \\ & = C_N^{r-r_1} C_{r,r_1} \left(2^{-\lambda(N(r-r_1)-1)} \right) \left(2^{-m(1-\frac{r-r_1}{2})} \right) \left(2^{n(r-r_1)} \right) \left(2^{s(4-3r_1-\frac{3}{2}(r-r_1))} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r. \end{aligned}$$

When $r > 1$ we have $A(m, r, s)^r = \left(2^{-\frac{1}{r}m2^{(\frac{4}{r}-3)s}} \right)^r = 2^{-m2^{(4-3r)s}}$, and if we define the quantity Ratio to be the quotient of the bound in the last line above, over the r^{th} power $C_r^r A(m, r, s)^r$ of the bound in Proposition 10, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ratio} &= \frac{C_N^{r-r_1} C_{r,r_1}}{C_r^r} \frac{\left(2^{-\lambda(N(r-r_1)-1)} \right) \left(2^{-m(1-\frac{r-r_1}{2})} \right) \left(2^{n(r-r_1)} \right) \left(2^{s(1+\frac{3}{2}(2-r-r_1))} \right)}{2^{-m2^{(4-3r)s}}} \\ &\approx \left(2^{-\lambda(N(r-r_1)-1)} \right) \left(2^{m\frac{r-r_1}{2}} \right) \left(2^{n(r-r_1)} \right) \left(2^{s\frac{3}{2}(r-r_1)} \right) \\ &= \left(2^{-\lambda(N(r-r_1)-1)} \right) \left[\left(2^{\frac{3}{2}\frac{m+2n}{3}} \right) \left(2^{s\frac{3}{2}} \right) \right]^{r-r_1} = \left(2^{-\lambda(N-\frac{1}{r-r_1})} \right)^{r-r_1} \left(2^{\frac{3}{2}s+\frac{m+2n}{2}} \right)^{r-r_1}, \end{aligned}$$

which with $N = \frac{2}{r-r_1}\rho$ for $\rho > 1$ to be chosen momentarily, gives

$$\text{Ratio} \lesssim 2^{-\lambda(N(r-r_1)-1)2^{3(r-r_1)s}} = 2^{-\lambda(2\rho-1)2^{3(r-r_1)s}} \leq 2^{-\lambda\rho 2^{3(r-r_1)s}} \equiv \text{Ratiobound}.$$

Thus for $N = \rho \frac{2}{r-r_1}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.12) \quad & \int_{A(0, 2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi \\ & C_N^{r-1} C_r \text{Ratiobound} A(m-\lambda, r, s)^r \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r \\ & \lesssim \left(2^{-\lambda\rho 2^{3(r-r_1)s}} \right) \left(2^{-(m-\lambda)2^{(4-3r)s}} \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r \\ & \leq 2^{-\lambda(\rho-1)2^{[3(r-r_1)-3(r-1)]s}} 2^{s-m} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r, \end{aligned}$$

provided $0 \leq \lambda \leq m \leq s$. Here the implied constants depend on $N = \rho \frac{2}{r-r_1}$.

As a consequence we obtain from (4.10) and (4.12), that for $\rho > 1$, i.e. $N > \frac{2}{r-r_1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B(0,2^{2s})} |\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}|^r = \int_{B(0,2^{2s})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi \\
&= \int_{B(0,2^{2s-m})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m \int_{A(0,2^{2s-(m-\lambda)})} \left| \widehat{f_1^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_2^\Phi}(\xi) \widehat{f_3^\Phi}(\xi) \right|^r d\xi \\
&\lesssim \left\{ 2^{-3(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^m 2^{-\lambda(\rho-1)} 2^{[3(r-r_1)-3(r-1)]s} 2^{s-m} \right\} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r \\
&\lesssim 2^{3(r-r_1)s} 2^{-3(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r \\
&= 2^{3(r-r_1)s} 2^{-3(r-1)s} \left(2^{(3r-3)s} \right) \left\{ 2^{-m} 2^{(4-3r)s} \left(\|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\widehat{g_{1,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{2,\varepsilon}} \widehat{g_{3,\varepsilon}}\|_{L^r(B(0,2^{2s}))} \lesssim 2^{3\frac{r-r_1}{r}s} \left\{ A(m, r, s) \|\mathbf{1}_{K_1} f_1\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_2} f_2\|_{L^2(S)} \|\mathbf{1}_{J_3} f_3\|_{L^2(S)} \right\},$$

for K_1, J_2, J_3 satisfying (4.8). \square

5. LOCAL LINEAR FOURIER EXTENSION ESTIMATES IN DIMENSION $n = 3$

In order to use Proposition 11 to obtain a local linear Fourier extension inequality in dimension $n = 3$, we will need a three dimensional *recursive* Whitney decomposition, to which we now turn.

5.1. The recursive Whitney decomposition. Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We now decompose the triples $(I_1, I_2, I_3) \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]^3$ into a recursive Whitney decomposition. Define

$$\mathcal{G}_s^{\text{up}}[S]^3 \equiv \left\{ (W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathcal{G}[S]^3 : \ell(W_1) \geq \ell(W_2) \geq \ell(W_3) \geq 2^{-s} \right\},$$

and define the subset \mathbb{W}_s to consist of those triples of dyadic cubes $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathcal{G}_s^{\text{up}}[S]^3$ satisfying

$$\text{dist}(W_1, W_2 \cup W_3) \approx \ell(W_1) \gtrsim \text{dist}(W_2, W_3) \approx \ell(W_2) = \ell(W_3),$$

and

$$\mathbb{W}_{(m,n)} \equiv \left\{ (W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_s : \ell(W_1) = 2^{m-s} \text{ and } \ell(W_2) = \ell(W_3) = 2^{n-s} \right\}.$$

For each $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_s$ define

$$\mathcal{W}_s(W_1, W_2, W_3) \equiv \left\{ (I_1, I_2, I_3) \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]^3 : I_j \subset W_j \right\},$$

and for each permutation σ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and each $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_s$, set

$$\mathcal{W}_s^\sigma(W_1, W_2, W_3) \equiv \left\{ (I_1, I_2, I_3) \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]^3 : (I_{\sigma_1}, I_{\sigma_2}, I_{\sigma_3}) \in \mathcal{W}_s(W_1, W_2, W_3) \right\}.$$

Lemma 13. (*recursive Whitney decomposition*) *We have*

$$\mathcal{G}_s[S]^3 = \bigcup_{\sigma} \left\{ \bigcup_{n=0}^s \bigcup_{m=n}^s \bigcup_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m,n)}} \mathcal{W}_s^\sigma(W_1, W_2, W_3) \right\},$$

provided the constants implicit in \approx and \gtrsim above are chosen correctly.

Proof. Fix $(I_1, I_2, I_3) \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]^3$. Consider the three distances

$$d_1 \equiv \text{dist}(I_1, I_2 \cup I_3), \quad d_2 \equiv \text{dist}(I_2, I_3 \cup I_1), \quad d_3 \equiv \text{dist}(I_3, I_1 \cup I_2),$$

and let $d \equiv \max(d_1, d_2, d_3)$. After a permutation we may assume that $d = d_1$, and moreover that $d_1 \geq C2^{-s}$ for a sufficiently large constant C to be determined. We then choose W_1 to be a dyadic square containing I_1 with side length roughly equal to d_1 , and lying at a distance roughly d_1 from $I_2 \cup I_3$, i.e.

$$I_1 \subset W_1 \text{ and } \ell(W_1) \approx d_1 \approx \text{dist}(I_1, I_2 \cup I_3).$$

Now both $\text{dist}(I_2, I_3 \cup I_1)$ and $\text{dist}(I_3, I_1 \cup I_2)$ are at most $d_1 \approx \ell(W_1)$, and it follows that I_2 and I_3 have distance roughly $\ell(W_1)$ from W_1 . Now consider $d_{2,3} \equiv \text{dist}(I_2, I_3)$, and choose dyadic squares W_2 and W_3 containing I_2 and I_3 respectively such that

$$d_{2,3} \approx \text{dist}(W_2, W_3) + 2^{-s} \approx \ell(W_2) = \ell(W_3).$$

Then $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(s+\log_2 \ell(W_1), s+\log_2 \ell(W_2))}$ and $I_j \subset W_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$. \square

In the sequel we will drop the superscript σ , and use that for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq n \leq m \leq s$, and an element $(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m,n)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(W_1) &= 2^{m-s}, & \ell(W_2) = \ell(W_3) &= 2^{n-s}, \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_2 \cup W_3) &\approx 2^{m-s}, & \text{dist}(W_2, W_3) &\lesssim 2^{n-s}, \end{aligned}$$

without further comment on the permutation.

5.2. The local linear extension theorem with geometric decay. We can now prove a local linear Fourier extension theorem with geometric decay, which for the author was motivated by the alternative proof of the $L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ result of Bourgain and Guth in [BoGu, Section 2].

Recall that $\mathcal{G}_s[S] = \{I \in \mathcal{G} : I \subset S \text{ and } \ell(I) = 2^{-s}\}$ where $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is as above. For $f \in L^{3r}(S)$ that satisfy the moment vanishing conditions in [Saw7, see The Standard Reduction 3 in Section 3 just before (3.1)], let

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}[S]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f, \\ \text{where } \mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f &\equiv \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f. \end{aligned}$$

Recall also the notation introduced in (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f &\equiv \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[K]} \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ and } f_{s,K}^\Phi \equiv \Phi_* \mathbf{Q}_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[K]} \Phi_* \Delta_{I;\kappa}^\eta f, \\ f_K &\equiv \mathbf{Q}_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f \text{ and } f_K^\Phi \equiv f_{s,K}^\Phi = \Phi_* \mathbf{Q}_{s,K;\kappa}^\eta f. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 14. *Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $r > 1$ and $f \in L^{3r}(S)$. Then there is a positive constant C_r independent of s and f such that*

$$\left\| \widehat{\Phi_* \mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f} \right\|_{L^{3r}(B(0, 2^{2s}))} \leq C_r s^{\frac{1}{3r}} 2^{-\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{r} s} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } f \in L^{3r}(S).$$

Proof. Recall that the r^{th} power of the constant in part (1) of Proposition 11 is

$$(5.1) \quad \left(2^{3(1-\frac{r_1}{r})s} C_{r,r_1} A(m, r, s) \right)^r = 2^{3(r-r_1)s} C_{r,r_1}^r 2^{3(1-r)m} 2^{(4-3r)(s-m)}.$$

Set $\varepsilon = 2^{-2s}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \widehat{\Phi_* \mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f} \right\|_{L^{3r}(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^{3r} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \Phi_* \widehat{\Delta_{I,\varepsilon}^\eta f}(\xi) \right)^3 \right|^r d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \sum_{I_1, I_2, I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \widehat{f_{I_1, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{I_2, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{I_3, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \right|^r d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m,n)}} \widehat{f_{W_1, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{W_2, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{W_3, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \right|^r d\xi \end{aligned}$$

is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \widehat{f_{W_1, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{W_2, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \widehat{f_{W_3, \varepsilon}^\Phi} \right|^r d\xi \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \# \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} 2^{2(s-n)} \right)^{r-1} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} \\ & \quad \times 2^{3(r-r_1)s} C_{r, r_1}^r 2^{3(1-r)m} 2^{(4-3r)(s-m)} \left(\|f_{W_1}\|_{L^2(S)} \|f_{W_2}\|_{L^2(S)} \|f_{W_3}\|_{L^2(S)} \right)^r, \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 11 and (5.1), where after some lengthy arithmetic,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} 2^{2(s-n)} \right)^{r-1} 2^{3(r-r_1)s} 2^{-3(r-1)m} 2^{(4-3r)(s-m)} \\ & \lesssim \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq s} (s-n) 2^{2(s-n)} \right)^{r-1} 2^{3(r-r_1)s} 2^{-3m(r-1)+(4-3r)(s-m)} \\ & \lesssim s 2^{2(r-1)s} 2^{3s(r-r_1)-3m(r-1)+(4-3r)(s-m)} = s 2^{[3(r-r_1)-(r-1)]s} 2^{s-m}, \\ & \text{and } \|f_{W_j}\|_{L^2(S)} = \left(\sum_{I_j \in \mathcal{G}_s[W_j]} |\widetilde{f}(I_j)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose $r_1 = \theta + (1-\theta)r$ with $0 < \theta < 1$ close to 0, so that $1 < r_1 < r$ and the constant in the middle line above becomes

$$s 2^{[3(r-r_1)-(r-1)]s} 2^{s-m} = s 2^{[3\theta(r-1)-(r-1)]s} 2^{s-m} = s 2^{-(1-3\theta)(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} = s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m},$$

if we choose $\theta \leq \frac{1}{6}$, i.e. $r_1 \geq \frac{5r+1}{6}$. Altogether then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (5.2) \quad \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s^\eta f} \right\|_{L^{3r}(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^{3r} & \lesssim s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} 2^{s-m} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} \|f_{W_1}\|_{L^2(S)}^r \|f_{W_2}\|_{L^2(S)}^r \|f_{W_3}\|_{L^2(S)}^r. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we must bound the norm of $\widehat{\Phi_* Q_s^\eta f}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* Q_s^\eta f} \right\|_{L^{3r}(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^{3r} \lesssim s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} 2^{s-m} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} \prod_{j=1}^3 \|f_{W_j}\|_{L^2(S)}^r \\ & = s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} 2^{s-m} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_1)|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} |\widetilde{f}(I_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\sum_{I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_3]} |\widetilde{f}(I_3)|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\begin{aligned} & s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m, n)}} 2^{s-m} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_1)|^2 \sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} |\widetilde{f}(I_2)|^2 \sum_{I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_3]} |\widetilde{f}(I_3)|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ & \equiv s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m \leq s} 2^{s-m} \Lambda_{(m, n)}, \end{aligned}$$

by a constant multiple of the norm of $\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f$,

$$\|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r} \approx 2^{(3r-2)s} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_s[S]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I) \right|^{3r},$$

where the above approximation is from (2.4).

For this, we momentarily fix $0 \leq n \leq m \leq s$, and compute that

$$\begin{aligned} & s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \Lambda_{(m,n)} \\ &= s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m,n)}} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \sum_{I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_3]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \left| \widetilde{f}(I_3) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &= s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \sum_{(W_1, W_2, W_3) \in \mathbb{W}_{(m,n)}} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \sum_{I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_3]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \left| \widetilde{f}(I_3) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned} & s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ & \times \sum_{\substack{W_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{m-n}[W_1] \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_2) \approx \ell(W_1)}} \sum_{\substack{W_3 \in \mathcal{G}_{m-n}[W_1] \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_3) \approx \ell(W_1) \\ \text{dist}(W_2, W_3) \approx \ell(W_2)}} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \sum_{I_3 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_3]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \left| \widetilde{f}(I_3) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

By squaring the sum over I_2 and I_3 , this is at most a multiple of

$$\begin{aligned} & s 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{\substack{W_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{m-n}[W_1] \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_2) \approx \ell(W_1)}} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \right)^r \\ & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{\substack{W_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{m-n}[W_1] \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_2) \approx \ell(W_1)}} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \right)^r \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

upon using Hölder's inequality on the first sum with exponents 3 and $\frac{3}{2}$. Then using $r \geq 1$, this is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} 2^{s-m} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_1) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ & \times \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{\substack{W_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{m-n}[W_1] \\ \text{dist}(W_1, W_2) \approx \ell(W_1)}} \sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n[W_2]} \left| \widetilde{f}(I_2) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

This in turn is approximately

$$\begin{aligned}
& s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_1)|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& \approx s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_1 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_1)|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{3}} \left\{ \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I_2 \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I_2)|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{3}} \\
& = s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m} \sum_{W_1 \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_m[W_1]} |\widetilde{f}(I)|^2 \right)^{\frac{3r}{2}} \\
& \lesssim s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_m[W]} |\widetilde{f}(I)|^{3r} \right)^{\frac{2}{3r}} (\#\mathcal{G}_m[W])^{(1-\frac{2}{3r})\frac{3r}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

by Hölder's inequality on the inner sum with exponents $\frac{3r}{2}$ and $(\frac{3r}{2})'$, where $\frac{1}{(\frac{3r}{2})'} = 1 - \frac{2}{3r}$. Since $\#\mathcal{G}_m[W] \approx 2^{2m}$, this last expression is approximately

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{G}_{s-m}[S]} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_m[W]} |\widetilde{f}(I)|^{3r} (2^{2m})^{(\frac{3r}{2}-1)} \\
& = s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m}2^{mr}2^{2m(r-1)} \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}_m[S]} |\widetilde{f}(I)|^{3r} \\
& = s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}2^{s-m}2^{mr}2^{2m(r-1)}2^{-(3r-2)s} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r} = s2^{[3(m-s)-\frac{1}{2}s](r-1)} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r},
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s + s - m + mr + 2m(r-1) - 3rs + 2s \\
& = 3(r-1)(m-s) - \frac{1}{2}(r-1)s = \left[3(m-s) - \frac{1}{2}s \right] (r-1).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing in $0 \leq n \leq m \leq s$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & \left\| \widehat{\Phi_* \mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f} \right\|_{L^{3r}(B(0, 2^{2s}))}^{3r} \lesssim \sum_{m=0}^s \sum_{n=0}^m s2^{[3(m-s)-\frac{1}{2}s](r-1)} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r} \\
& = s \sum_{m=0}^s m2^{[3(m-s)-\frac{1}{2}s](r-1)} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r} \lesssim s2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s} \|\mathbf{Q}_s^\eta f\|_{L^{3r}(S)}^{3r},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the final inequality we have used that when $m = s$, we have $2^{[3(m-s)-\frac{1}{2}s](r-1)} = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(r-1)s}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 14. \square

6. PROOF OF THE FOURIER EXTENSION THEOREM IN DIMENSION $n = 3$

We can now prove the Fourier extension theorem in dimension $n = 3$. To prove Theorem 1, we first recall the main deterministic result proved in [Saw7] in the setting of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Let $1 < p < \infty$. Using expansions of $f \in L^p(S)$ and $g \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into sums of smooth Alpert wavelets $h_I^{n-1, \eta}$ and $h_J^{n, \eta}$ respectively, i.e.

$$f = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{G}[S]} \Delta_I^{n-1, \eta} f \text{ and } g = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}[S]} \Delta_J^{n, \eta} g,$$

we wrote the bilinear form $\langle T_S f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ as a sum of subforms (with some bounded overcounting of inner products, such that the sum of the moduli $\left| \langle T_S \Delta_{I; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f, \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \rangle \right|$ is controlled) according to the geometric

relation between the cubes I and J ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_S f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D}} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_0} + \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{R}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{upper}}} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{lower}}} \right\} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle \\ &\equiv \mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}(f, g) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{above}}(f, g) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{upper}}(f, g) + \mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g), \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{P}_0 , \mathcal{R} , $\mathcal{P}_m^{\text{upper}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_m^{\text{lower}}$ are pairs of dyadic cubes in $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D}$ defined as follows. Divide the collection of pairs $(I, J) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D}$ according to the relative size and location of their associated pseudoballs $\Phi(I)$ and $\pi_{\tan}(J)$, as dictated by the uncertainty principle:

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{P}^-, \text{ where } \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_0 \cup \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_m \cup \mathcal{R} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}^- = \{(I, -J) : (I, J) \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

and where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_0 &\equiv \{(I, J) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} : \pi_{\tan}(J) \subset \Phi(C_{\text{pseudo}}I)\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_m &\equiv \left\{ (I, J) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} : 2^{m+1}I \subset S \text{ and } \pi_{\tan}(J) \subset \Phi(2^{m+1}C_{\text{pseudo}}I) \setminus \Phi\left(2^m \frac{1}{C_{\text{pseudo}}}I\right) \right\}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \mathcal{R} &\equiv \{(I, J) \in \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{D} : \Phi(I) \subset \pi_{\tan}(C_{\text{pseudo}}J)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{upper}} &\equiv \{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}_m : \ell(I)^2 \text{dist}(J, \{0\}) \geq 1\}, \\ \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{lower}} &\equiv \{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}_m : \ell(I)^2 \text{dist}(J, \{0\}) < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is some bounded overlap among the pairs in this decomposition, but this overcounting is inconsequential. On the other hand, the cases where $\pi_{\tan}(J) \cap \Phi(2S) = \emptyset$ are not included in the above decomposition, but they are easily handled by the method used for the case $m = s$. We note that the error in version 4 of [Saw7] occurred when estimating the lower disjoint form $\mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g)$, whose correct estimation is accomplished in this paper.

Define the larger positive sublinear forms,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}|(f, g) &\equiv \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_0} \left| \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle \right|, \\ |\mathbf{B}_{\text{above}}|(f, g) &\equiv \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{R}} \left| \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle \right|, \\ |\mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{upper}}|(f, g) &\equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{upper}}} \left| \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The following deterministic global estimates were obtained in [Saw7, Proposition 27 in arXiv version 12]. These estimates were proved using only elementary arguments involving integration by parts, vanishing moments of smooth Alpert wavelets, stationary phase and square function estimates.

Theorem 15. Fix $n \geq 2$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p, g \in L^{p'}$. Then with for $p > \frac{2n}{n-1}$, there is a positive constant $C_{n,p}$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad |\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}|(f, g) + |\mathbf{B}_{\text{above}}|(f, g) + |\mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{upper}}|(f, g) \leq C_{n,p} \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^p, g \in L^{p'}.$$

Next we observe that

$$|\langle T_S f, g \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n} - \mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g)| \leq |\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}|(f, g) + |\mathbf{B}_{\text{above}}|(f, g) + |\mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{upper}}|(f, g).$$

and that with

$$\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g) \equiv \sum_{(I,J) \in \mathcal{P}_0^{\text{lower}}} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I;\kappa}^{n-1,\eta} f, \Delta_{J;\kappa}^{n,\eta} g \right\rangle,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_{\text{disjoint}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g) - \mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{lower}}} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f, \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{(I, J) \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{P}_m^{\text{lower}}} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f, \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \right\rangle = \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{G}[S] \times \mathcal{D}: \ell(I)^2 \text{dist}(J, \{0\}) < 1} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f, \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(I, J) \in \mathcal{G}_s[S] \times \mathcal{D}[B(0, 2^{2s})]} \left\langle T_S \Delta_{I; \kappa}^{n-1, \eta} f, \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \right\rangle = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\langle T_S Q_s^{n-1, \eta} f, \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}[B(0, 2^{2s})]} \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{n, \eta} g \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}^{\text{lower}}(f, g)| \lesssim |\mathbf{B}_{\text{below}}|(f, g).$$

Altogether then, in order to complete the proof of Theorem 1, we take $n = 3$ and note that it remains only to obtain the following estimate for all $f \in L^p, g \in L^{p'}$,

$$(6.3) \quad \left| \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\langle T_S Q_s^{2, \eta} f, \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}[B(0, 2^{2s})]} \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{3, \eta} g \right\rangle \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(S)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

But for $p = 3r > 3$, Theorem 14 implies that

$$\left\| \widehat{Q_s^{2, \eta} f d\sigma} \right\|_{L^p(B(0, 2^{2s}))} \leq C_r s^{\frac{1}{p}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{p})s} \|Q_s^{2, \eta} f\|_{L^p(S)}, \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{N},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.4) \quad & \left| \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \left\langle T_S Q_s^{2, \eta} f, \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}[B(0, 2^{2s})]} \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{3, \eta} g \right\rangle \right| \leq \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \|T_S Q_s^{2, \eta} f\|_{L^p(S)} \left\| \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}: J \subset B(0, 2^{2s})} \Delta_{J; \kappa}^{3, \eta} g \right\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} s 2^{-\varepsilon_p s} \|Q_s^{2, \eta} f\|_{L^p(S)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} s 2^{-\varepsilon_p s} \|f\|_{L^p(S)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p(S)} \|g\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^3)},
\end{aligned}$$

since $Q_s^{2, \eta}$ is bounded on $L^p(S)$ by the square function estimate (2.3).

REFERENCES

- [BeCaTa] J. BENNETT, A. CARBERY AND T. TAO, *On the multilinear restriction and Kakeya conjectures*, Acta. Math. **196** (2006), 261–302.
- [BoGu] J. BOURGAIN AND L. GUTH, *Bounds on oscillatory integral operators based on multilinear estimates*, [arXiv:1012.3760v3](#).
- [CaSj] L. CARLESON AND P. SJÖLIN, *Oscillatory integrals and a multiplier problem for the disc*, Studia Math. **44** (1972), 287–299.
- [Fef] C. FEFFERMAN, *A note on spherical summation multipliers*, Israel J. Math. (1973) **15**, 44–52.
- [Saw7] E. SAWYER, *A probabilistic analogue of the Fourier extension conjecture*, [arXiv:2311.03145v11](#).
- [Ste] E. M. STEIN, *Some problems in harmonic analysis*, Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1978), Part 1, pp. 3–20, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **XXXV**, Part, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [Ste2] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993.
- [Tao] T. TAO, *The Bôchner-Riesz conjecture implies the restriction conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), no. 2, 363–375.
- [Tom] P.A. TOMAS, *A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 477–478.
- [Zyg] A. ZYGMUND, *On Fourier coefficients and transforms of functions of two variables*, Studia Mathematica **50** (1974), no. 2, 189–201.

ERIC T. SAWYER, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MCMASTER UNIVERSITY, 1280 MAIN STREET WEST, HAMILTON, ONTARIO L8S 4K1 CANADA
Email address: sawyer@mcmaster.ca