

Retract rational varieties are uniformly retract rational

Juliusz Banecki

Abstract

We prove that non-singular retract rational algebraic varieties are uniformly retract rational, over any infinite field. This implies that rational projective non-singular complex varieties are Gromov elliptic.

1 Introduction

In the paper, \mathbb{K} will denote an infinite field. An affine variety X over \mathbb{K} is a Zariski closed subset of \mathbb{K}^n for some n . By $\mathcal{P}(X)$ we denote the coordinate ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathcal{I}(X)$ of X . Given a point $x_0 \in X$, by $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$ we denote the localisation of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ at the maximal ideal at the point x_0 , which can be interpreted as the ring of germs of regular functions at x_0 . We say that the point x_0 is non-singular if the local ring at x_0 is regular. If X is irreducible, we denote the field of rational functions on X by $\mathbb{K}(X)$, it is canonically isomorphic to the field of fractions of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. We say that a rational function is regular at a point $x_0 \in X$ if it belongs to $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$ as an element of the field of fractions of the local ring.

Likewise, by an algebraic variety over \mathbb{K} we mean a Zariski locally closed subset of the projective space $\mathbb{K}\mathbb{P}^n$ over \mathbb{K} for some n .

We can now begin with some historical background on the study of certain classes of algebraic varieties, started by Gromov. In his foundational paper [7], he introduced the following notion, which is now called *algebraic Gromov ellipticity*:

Definition 1.1. Let X be a complex non-singular algebraic variety. X is then said to be *Gromov elliptic* if there exists an algebraic vector bundle E over X and a regular mapping $s : E \rightarrow X$ such that

1. $s(0_x) = x$ for $x \in X$, where $x \mapsto 0_x$ is the zero section,
2. the derivative of $s|_{E_x}$ is surjective at 0_x as a mapping into $T_x X$, where E_x is the fiber of the point x .

Gromov was able to provide some examples of complex algebraic varieties which are Gromov elliptic. In particular he noted that in the projective case, Gromov ellipticity follows from *uniform rationality*, defined as follows:

Definition 1.2 (Gromov). An algebraic variety X over an infinite field \mathbb{K} of dimension n is called *uniformly rational* if for every point $x \in X$ there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood V of x which is biregularly isomorphic to a Zariski open subset of \mathbb{K}^n .

In this context it is then natural to ask the following question:

Question 1.3 ([7, p. 883 and 885]). *Are all non-singular rational algebraic varieties uniformly rational? In particular are all non-singular rational complex projective varieties Gromov elliptic?*

Some consequences of a positive answer to the first part of the question were analysed in [6]. The question has been restated in different versions in [1, 8, 10].

Similar problems have also been considered in the real case. In the paper [5], Bochnak and Kucharz introduced the notion of *malleable* varieties, which is the real counterpart to the class of Gromov elliptic varieties:

Definition 1.4. Let X be a non-singular real algebraic variety. X is then said to be malleable if there exists an algebraic vector bundle E over X (see [4, Definition 12.1.6] for the definition of an algebraic vector bundle in the real case), and a regular mapping $s : E \rightarrow X$ such that

1. $s(0_x) = x$ for $x \in X$, where $x \mapsto 0_x$ is the zero section,
2. the derivative of $s|_{E_x}$ is surjective at 0_x as a mapping into $T_x X$, where E_x is the fiber of the point x .

Building on the work of Bochnak and Kucharz, the author introduced the following definition in [2]:

Definition 1.5. An algebraic variety over an infinite field \mathbb{K} is called *uniformly retract rational* if for every point $x \in X$ there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood V of x , a natural number m , a Zariski open set $U \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ and two regular mappings

$$V \rightarrow U \rightarrow V$$

such that their composition is the identity on V .

This definition begins to play an important role in the study of homotopy and approximation properties of regular mappings between real algebraic varieties as seen in the papers [2, 3, 9].

With the existing machinery it is easy to prove the following

Observation 1.6. *Complex (resp. real) projective uniformly retract rational varieties are Gromov elliptic (resp. malleable).*

We postpone the proof of Observation 1.6 until the end of this section.

Naturally, one can ask an analogue of Question 1.3 in the retract rational case:

Definition and Question 1.7. An algebraic variety X over an infinite field \mathbb{K} is called retract rational if there exists a Zariski open and dense subset $V \subset X$, a natural number m , a Zariski open set $U \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ and two regular mappings

$$V \rightarrow U \rightarrow V$$

such that their composition is the identity on V .

Are all non-singular retract rational algebraic varieties uniformly retract rational?

The goal of this paper is to answer the question affirmatively:


Theorem 1.8. *Let X be a non-singular retract rational algebraic variety over an infinite field \mathbb{K} . Then it is uniformly retract rational.*

As a corollary we obtain a partial answer to Question 1.3:

Corollary 1.9. *Non-singular rational complex projective varieties are Gromov elliptic.*

Proof. Clearly, rational varieties are retract rational. Then according to Theorem 1.8 they are uniformly retract rational, and hence thanks to Observation 1.6 they are Gromov elliptic. \square

In the end, the known implications between the different properties of an irreducible non-singular complex (resp. real) projective variety X now look as follows:



See also the diagram in [2, p. 5] to get a more complete picture in the real case.

Proof of Observation 1.6. In the real case this has already been established in [2, Theorem 2.11].

Let then X be a complex projective uniformly retract rational variety. Fix a Zariski open set $V \subset X$ such that there exists a Zariski open set $U \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and two regular mappings $i : V \rightarrow U, r : U \rightarrow X$ such that $r \circ i = \text{id}$. Since X is projective we can assume that $\mathbb{C}^m \setminus U$ is of codimension at least two. Then according to [7, Observation 3.5.C], U is Gromov elliptic. Let E be a bundle

over U with a dominating spray $s : E \rightarrow U$. We define a local spray over V on the pullback bundle i^*E by

$$s'(v, x) := r \circ s(v).$$

From [7, Localisation Lemma 3.5.B] it follows that X is Gromov elliptic. \square

2 Preliminaries

From now on let \mathbb{K} be a fixed infinite field. We begin with the following observation:

Observation 2.1. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{K}^m$ be two non-singular affine varieties over \mathbb{K} . Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow Y$ be a regular mapping such that its derivative at a point $x_0 \in X$ is an isomorphism (considered as a mapping between the Zariski tangent spaces of X at x_0 and Y at $\sigma(x_0)$). Then, the induced mapping*

$$\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$$

is injective. Moreover, if $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$ are such that $Q \circ \sigma$ divides $P \circ \sigma$ in the ring $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$, then Q divides P in $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$.

Proof. From the assumption it follows that σ induces an isomorphism between the formal completions of $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$ and $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$. Injectivity follows from the fact that the natural map from $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$ to its completion is injective. The second part follows from a similar argument; if $Q \circ \sigma$ divides $P \circ \sigma$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$, then Q divides P in the completion of $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$, and as the completion is flat over $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$ the quotient must belong to $\mathcal{P}(Y)_{\sigma(x_0)}$. \square

The following proposition will play an important role in our proof of Theorem 1.8:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a non-singular irreducible affine variety of dimension m , and let $x_0 \in X$. Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be a non-zero ideal such that $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$. Then, there exists a polynomial mapping $\sigma : X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$, such that*

1. $\sigma(x, 0) = x$ for $x \in X$,
2. the derivative of σ at $(x_0, 0)$ is a isomorphism,
3. the induced homomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_{x_0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}(X)[t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}] / I(t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}))_{\mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)}}$$

is surjective.

To prove that, we first develop two preliminary lemmas. In the following, a property is said to be satisfied for a *generic linear change of coordinates*, if it is satisfied a non-empty Zariski open subset of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $I \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ be an ideal of height at least $n - m + 1$ contained in (x_1, \dots, x_n) . Then, after a generic linear change of coordinates we have*

$$\sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m) + I} = (x_1, \dots, x_m).$$

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ be the algebraic closure of \mathbb{K} . Since the extension $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subset \overline{\mathbb{K}}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is integral, for an ideal J of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ we have

$$\sqrt{J\overline{\mathbb{K}}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]} = \sqrt{J}.$$

Hence, a linear change of coordinates satisfies the property over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ if and only if it satisfies it over \mathbb{K} . Since $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is Zariski dense in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ it follows that it suffices to consider the case when \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed.

Now prime ideals of $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ correspond to Zariski closed sets of \mathbb{K}^n . It suffices to show that after a generic linear change of coordinates, the only point of $\mathcal{Z}(I)$ with $x_1 = \dots = x_m = 0$ is the origin. This is done by computing the dimensions. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be an affine non-singular irreducible variety of dimension m , x_0 a point of X , $I \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ be an ideal satisfying $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ and let $\sigma : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ be a polynomial mapping such that $\sigma(x_0) = 0$ and:*

1. *the derivative of σ is an isomorphism at 0,*
2. *the induced extension $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m) \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite,*
3. *$\sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m) + I} = \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$, where x_1, \dots, x_m denotes the images of the variables of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m)$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$.*

Then the induced homomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m)_0 \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}(X)/I)_{\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}}$$

is surjective.

Proof. First note that from the first property it follows that $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}/\mathfrak{m}_{x_0}^2$ is generated by x_1, \dots, x_m as a vector space over \mathbb{K} . From Nakayama's lemma it follows that there exists $r \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$ such that $r\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} \subset (x_1, \dots, x_m) \subset (x_1, \dots, x_m) + I$. However, $(x_1, \dots, x_m) + I$ is \mathfrak{m}_{x_0} -primary, so $\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} = (x_1, \dots, x_m) + I$. Consider now

$$M := \mathcal{P}(X)/(I + \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m))$$

as an $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m)$ -module. It follows that $M \subset M(x_1, \dots, x_m)$, so from Nakayama's lemma there exists $s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m) \setminus (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ such that $sM = 0$. If now $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(X)/I$ are such that $q \notin \mathfrak{m}_{x_0}$, then $\frac{p}{q} = \frac{ps}{qs}$ is an image of an element from $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^m)_0$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Without loss of generality we can assume that $x_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{K}^n$. Applying Lemma 2.3 and Noether normalisation lemma, after a generic linear change of coordinates we can assume that the following conditions hold:

1. x_1, \dots, x_m make a system of parameters of X at x_0 ,
2. the induced extension $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_m] \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is finite,
3. $\sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m) + I} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

Now define σ in the following way

$$\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}) := (x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_{n-m}).$$

We claim that the following hold:

1. the derivative of σ is an isomorphism at 0,
2. the induced extension $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n) \subset \mathcal{P}(X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m})$ is finite,
3. $\sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_{n-m}) + I(t_1, \dots, t_{n-m})} = \mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)}$.

The first point follows from the fact that the classes of $x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_{n-m}$ in $\mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)}/\mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)}^2$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{K} .

To prove the second one, note that $\mathcal{P}(X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m})$ as an algebra over $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ is generated by the elements x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n , and these by assumption are integral over the algebra generated by x_1, \dots, x_m .

For the last point, note that since the radical of a product of ideals is equal to the intersection of their radicals, it suffices to prove the following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)} &\subset \sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_{n-m}) + I}, \\ \mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)} &\subset \sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1} + t_1, \dots, x_n + t_{n-m}, t_1, \dots, t_{n-m})}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \in \sqrt{(x_1, \dots, x_m) + I}$, and from that the first inclusion follows. The second one is trivial.

It remains to invoke Lemma 2.4. □

3 Proof of the main theorem

Lastly, before proving Theorem 1.8, we need the following crucial lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ two polynomials. Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^k$ be an irreducible non-singular affine variety, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ be a regular mapping, such $Q \circ \pi$ is not identically equal to zero and $\frac{P \circ \pi}{Q \circ \pi} \in \mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$ for some point $x_0 \in X$. Let $\varphi : (X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ be a germ of a regular mapping, such that for $1 \leq i \leq n$ its i -th coordinated φ_i satisfies*

$$\varphi_i - \pi_i \in (Q \circ \pi)\mathfrak{m}_{x_0} \text{ in the ring } \mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}.$$

Then, $Q \circ \varphi$ is not identically equal to zero and the rational mapping $\frac{P \circ \varphi}{Q \circ \varphi}$ is regular at x_0 .

Proof. Note that for $v, w \in \mathbb{K}^n$, the polynomials P and Q can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} P(v+w) &= P(v) + \sum_i w_i P_i(v, w), \\ Q(v+w) &= Q(v) + \sum_i w_i Q_i(v, w), \end{aligned}$$

for some $P_i, Q_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)$. Then

$$Q \circ \varphi(x) = Q \circ \pi(x) \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{\varphi_i(x) - \pi_i(x)}{Q \circ \pi(x)} Q_i(\pi(x), \varphi(x) - \pi(x)) \right)$$

is a product of two non-zero elements of $\mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}$ and

$$\frac{P}{Q} \circ \varphi(x) = \frac{P \circ \pi(x) + \sum_i \frac{\varphi_i(x) - \pi_i(x)}{Q \circ \pi(x)} P_i(\pi(x), \varphi(x) - \pi(x))}{1 + \sum_i \frac{\varphi_i(x) - \pi_i(x)}{Q \circ \pi(x)} Q_i(\pi(x), \varphi(x) - \pi(x))} \in \mathcal{P}(X)_{x_0}.$$

□

From the next proposition Theorem 1.8 will follow easily. The proposition may be of some interest on its own.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{K}^n, Y \subset \mathbb{K}^k$ be two affine non-singular irreducible varieties over \mathbb{K} , x_0 be a point of X and $F : \mathbb{K}^n \dashrightarrow Y$ be a rational mapping, such that all its coordinates belong to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_X$ and the restriction $F|_X : X \dashrightarrow Y$ is regular at x_0 . Then, there exists a regular germ $G : (\mathbb{K}^n, x_0) \rightarrow Y$ such that $G|_X = F|_X$ as rational mappings.*

Proof. Set $m := \dim(X)$. Write each coordinate F_i of F as $\frac{P_i}{Q_i}$ with $P_i, Q_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)$ and $Q_i \notin \mathcal{I}(X)$. Set $q := Q_1 \dots Q_k|_X \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Without loss of generality $q(x_0) = 0$, for otherwise we can take $G := F$. Applying Proposition 2.2 to the ideal $I = (q)$, we find a polynomial mapping $\sigma : X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ such that

1. $\sigma(x, 0) = x$ for $x \in X$,
2. the derivative of σ at $(x_0, 0)$ is a isomorphism,
3. the induced homomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_{x_0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}(X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m})/(q)(t_1, \dots, t_{n-m}))_{\mathfrak{m}_{(x_0, 0)}}$$

is surjective.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\psi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_{x_0}$ be a regular germ such that

$$\psi_i \circ \sigma - x_i \in (q)(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n).$$

These together give a regular germ $\psi : (\mathbb{K}^n, x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$. Set $G := F \circ \psi$.

By Lemma 3.1 applied to the mappings

$$\begin{aligned}\pi &: X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n \\ \pi(x, t) &:= x \in X \subset \mathbb{K}^n, \\ \varphi &: (X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m}, (x_0, 0)) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n \\ \varphi(x, t) &:= \psi \circ \sigma(x, t)\end{aligned}$$

we get that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$, $F_i \circ \psi \circ \sigma \in \mathcal{P}(X \times \mathbb{K}^{n-m})_{(x_0, 0)}$. As the derivative of σ is an isomorphism at $(x_0, 0)$, from Observation 2.1 we get that $G_i = F_i \circ \psi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_{x_0}$.

Furthermore, for $x \in X$ sufficiently close to x_0 by assumption $\psi(x) = \psi \circ \sigma(x, 0) = \pi(x, 0) = x$, so $G|_X = F|_X$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Let X be a non-singular retract rational variety, and let x_0 be a point of X . Retract rational varieties are irreducible, and the property of being uniformly retract rational is local, so passing to a neighbourhood we can assume that X is embedded in \mathbb{K}^n as an affine irreducible variety. Let $i : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ and $r : \mathbb{K}^m \dashrightarrow X$ be two rational mappings, such that their composition $r \circ i$ is defined and equal to the identity on X . Consider any rational extension $i_0 : \mathbb{K}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{K}^m$ of i . Then, the composition $F := r \circ i_0$ is a well defined rational mapping from \mathbb{K}^n to X , such that the restriction $F|_X$ is well defined (i.e. all coordinates of F belong to $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{K}^n)_X$) and it is equal to the identity $X \rightarrow X$. It now suffices to apply Proposition 3.2 to find a local regular retraction $G : (\mathbb{K}^n, x_0) \rightarrow X$ from a Zariski neighbourhood of x_0 in \mathbb{K}^n onto a Zariski neighbourhood of x_0 in X . \square

References

- [1] I. Arzhantsev, S. Kaliman, and M. Zaidenberg. Varieties covered by affine spaces, uniformly rational varieties and their cones. *Advances in Mathematics*, 437:109449, 2024.
- [2] Juliusz Banecki. Relative stone-weierstrass theorem for mappings between varieties. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2408.09233, 2024.
- [3] Marcin Bilski and Wojciech Kucharz. Approximation of maps from algebraic polyhedra to real algebraic varieties. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2410.23457, 2024.
- [4] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Françoise Roy. *Real Algebraic Geometry*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1998.
- [5] Jacek Bochnak and Wojciech Kucharz. On approximation of maps into real algebraic homogeneous spaces (with an appendix by János Kollár). *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 161:111–134, 2022.

- [6] Fedor Bogomolov and Christian Böhning. *On uniformly rational varieties*, pages 33–48. American Mathematical Society Translations: Series 2. American Mathematical Society, 2014.
- [7] Mikhail Gromov. Oka’s principle for holomorphic sections of elliptic bundles. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 2(4):851–897, 1989.
- [8] Shulim Kaliman and Mikhail Zaidenberg. Gromov ellipticity and subellipticity. *Forum Mathematicum*, 36(2):373–376, 2024.
- [9] Wojciech Kucharz. Spaces of maps between real algebraic varieties. *arXiv preprint*, arXiv:2408.13757, 2024. To be published in Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society.
- [10] Mikhail Zaidenberg. Algebraic Gromov Ellipticity: A Brief Survey. *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, pages 1 – 25, 2024.

JULIUSZ BANECKI, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,
JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, UL. LOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKOW, POLAND
E-mail address: `juliusz.banecki@student.uj.edu.pl`