

# The $J_1$ - $J_2$ spin chain and the $O(3)$ non-linear sigma model at $\theta = \pi$

Adam J. McRoberts,<sup>1</sup> Chris Hooley,<sup>2</sup> and A. G. Green<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Strada Costiera 11, 34151, Trieste, Italy*

<sup>2</sup>*Centre for Fluid and Complex Systems, Coventry University, Coventry, CV1 5FB, United Kingdom*

<sup>3</sup>*London Centre for Nanotechnology, University College London, Gordon St., London, WC1H 0AH, United Kingdom*

(Dated: November 14, 2024)

There are two competing pictures for how the  $O(3)$  non-linear sigma model (NLSM) with a topological theta term renormalises to the  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$  Wess-Zumino-Witten model. In the Affleck-Haldane picture, an ‘extension field’ parametrises the correlated fluctuations of the couplings and extends the target space from  $S^2$  to  $S^3$ ; recently, however, Zirnbauer has advanced a competing picture where the extension field is frozen out and the infrared theory is more naturally thought of as a compact free boson dual to  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$ . We show that the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  spin chain evinces a phase transition between these pictures – corresponding to whether the dimer field that parametrises local singlet order is part of a joint  $O(4)$  Néel-singlet order parameter or appears as a separate gapped mode. For the  $O(3)$  NLSM itself, this analysis supports the Zirnbauer picture.

*Introduction*— The  $O(3)$  non-linear sigma model with a topological theta term (which we refer to as  $O(3)_\theta$  for brevity) in two-dimensional spacetime is specified by

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathbf{m}} e^{-\mathcal{S}}, \quad \mathcal{S} = i\Theta(\theta) + \gamma \int d\tau dx (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{m}} \in S^2$ , and the topological theta term is

$$\Theta(\theta) = \int d\tau dx \frac{\theta}{4\pi} \hat{\mathbf{m}} \cdot (\partial_\tau \hat{\mathbf{m}} \times \partial_x \hat{\mathbf{m}}). \quad (2)$$

For generic  $\theta \neq \pi$ ,  $O(3)_\theta$  is gapped, with exponentially-decaying correlations and  $\gamma$  flowing to zero in the infrared (known as ‘strong-coupling’) [1]. At  $\theta = \pi$ , however, the model is known to be massless [2–5], and is generally believed (though no rigorous proof is known) to renormalise to the  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$  Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) conformal field theory (CFT) [1, 6–14]. The standard picture for how this happens is due to Affleck and Haldane [9, 15], where, in the course of the renormalisation semi-group (RG) flow, an ‘extension field’ parametrising correlated fluctuations of the couplings appears, its mass flows to zero, and the original target space  $S^2$  is thus extended to  $S^3$ .

In a recent pre-print [16], Zirnbauer has raised some objections to this Affleck-Haldane picture: namely, that the purported masslessness of the extension field is not protected by any symmetry; its bare mass is infinite; and there is no guarantee that the RG flow will induce the Wess-Zumino (WZ) term required to offset the curvature of  $S^3$  (a necessary condition for a fixed point [17, 18]). In Zirnbauer’s alternative picture, the extension field is an artefact of the early stages of the RG flow and is frozen out in the infrared. In this picture, a fixed point is reached when the target space flows, under Cauchy deformations, from  $S^2$  to  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Whilst this debate as to the nature of the RG flow may, at first, appear to be of little physical consequence, we show in this letter that a *phase transition* between




FIG. 1. (a) Sketch of the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  spin chain, showing the nearest neighbour  $J_1$  bonds (black, thick) and next-nearest neighbour  $J_2$  bonds (grey, thin). (b) Sketch of the phase diagram for  $J_1 > 0$ . A phase transition where the dimer component of the joint-order parameter becomes gapped and decouples from the magnetic components – corresponding to a transition between the two pictures of the RG flow for the  $O(3)$  NLSM – occurs at some  $J_2^*/J_1 < 0$ . The dimerisation transition occurs at  $J_2^d/J_1 \approx 0.24$  [19, 20].

the Affleck-Haldane and Zirnbauer pictures occurs in the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  chain,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i \left( J_1 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1} + J_2 \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+2} \right), \quad (3)$$

where the  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i$  are spin-1/2 operators, at some critical *ferromagnetic*  $J_2^* < 0$ , with antiferromagnetic  $J_1 > 0$ . *A priori*, since these interactions are not magnetically frustrated, a phase transition in this region is rather surprising. However, the ferromagnetic second-neighbour interactions suppress the formation of local spin singlets, which form the emergent field that extends the target space of the theory from  $S^2$  to  $S^3$  in the Affleck-Haldane picture. We show that this field is massive for  $J_2 < J_2^*$ , which corresponds to the Zirnbauer picture. In particular, for  $O(3)_\pi$  itself, this supports the Zirnbauer picture over the Affleck-Haldane picture.

*Renormalisation of the  $O(3)$  NLSM*— Let us first sum-

marise the competing pictures for how the RG flow takes  $O(3)_\pi \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$ . Both pictures start as follows: ‘weak-coupling’ (large  $\gamma$ ) perturbation theory shows that  $\gamma$  decreases towards the infrared – that is, we flow towards strong-coupling. But as we enter the strong-coupling regime,  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  should no longer be thought of as homogeneous in spacetime and develop correlated fluctuations, because the large variations in  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  which occur in this regime cannot be absorbed in a single RG step whilst holding  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$  constant [16].

As summarised in Ref. [16], this motivates the introduction of an interpolating field  $\alpha(\tau, x)$  to account for these correlated fluctuations, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &= \int \mathcal{D}\alpha \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathbf{m}} \sin^2 \alpha e^{-S[\alpha, \hat{\mathbf{m}}]}, \\ \mathcal{S} &= i\Theta(\theta(\alpha)) + \int d\tau dx \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} (\partial_\mu \alpha)^2 + \gamma(\alpha) (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + M^2 \cos^2 \alpha \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

From this point, the two competing pictures of the RG flow diverge. In the ‘Affleck-Haldane’ picture [9],  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$  is recovered as the mass  $M^2$  flows to zero, the target space is fully extended from  $S^2$  to  $S^3$ ,  $\Omega = \Theta(\theta(\alpha))$  becomes the Wess-Zumino (WZ) term, and the couplings depend on the interpolating field as

$$\gamma(\alpha) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sin^2 \alpha, \quad \theta(\alpha) = 2\alpha - \sin 2\alpha. \quad (5)$$

Zirnbauer’s objection, however, is that the bare mass is very large (*a priori* infinite), and proposes that the appearance of  $\alpha$  is a transient effect of the non-perturbative RG flow. In this picture, the fixed point is instead reached when the target space geometry flows from  $S^2$  to the parallelisable  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$  with a complex metric tensor. Zirnbauer shows that this theory is dual to a free boson compactified at the radius  $r = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , which is dual to  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$  [21].

*MPS field theory for the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  chain*— Rather than just appearing as an artefact of the non-perturbative RG flow of  $O(3)_\pi$ , however, the theory (4) with the ‘interpolating field’ also arises directly as the field theory for the  $J_1$ - $J_2$  spin chain in the MPS path integral formalism [22, 23]. In that context, the field  $\alpha$  has a physical, microscopic origin as the dimer field measuring local singlet order.

To briefly summarise the derivation of the MPS field theory, the overcomplete basis used in the construction of the path integral contains not only the spin-coherent state vectors  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_i$ , but also fields  $\alpha_i$  which control the entanglement on the bond  $(i-1, i)$ . These permit a *microscopic* construction of the  $O(4)$  joint-order parameter,

$$u = (u^0, \mathbf{u}) = (\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha \hat{\mathbf{m}}) \in S^3, \quad (6)$$

where  $(-1)^i \langle \sigma_i \rangle \sim \sin \alpha \hat{\mathbf{m}}$  is the Néel order, and

$$(-1)^i \langle \hat{\sigma}_i^+ \hat{\sigma}_{i+1}^+ + \hat{\sigma}_i^- \hat{\sigma}_{i+1}^- - \hat{\sigma}_{i-1}^+ \hat{\sigma}_i^+ - \hat{\sigma}_{i-1}^- \hat{\sigma}_i^- \rangle \sim \cos \alpha \quad (7)$$

is the singlet order. The MPS field theory also explicitly constructs the WZ term  $\Omega = \Theta(\theta(\alpha))$ , so one does not need to rely on the RG flow to induce it. The low-energy field theory, then (after rescaling  $x$  and  $\tau$  such that the ‘light speed’ is unity), is

$$\mathcal{S} = i\Omega + \int d\tau dx \left[ \gamma (\partial_\mu u)^2 + V(\alpha) \right], \quad (8)$$

where the (bare) coupling and dimerisation potential are, respectively,

$$\gamma = \left( \frac{J_1 - 2J_2}{32J_1} \right)^{1/2}, \quad V(\alpha) = -\frac{J_2}{\gamma J_1} \cos^2 \alpha. \quad (9)$$

For antiferromagnetic  $J_2 > 0$ , the dimerisation transition occurs at  $J_2^d/J_1 \approx 0.24$  [19, 20], above which  $V(\alpha)$  is relevant and the system spontaneously forms long-range dimer order ( $\alpha = 0$  or  $\pi$ ); for small  $J_2/J_1$ ,  $V(\alpha)$  is irrelevant and we explicitly recover  $\hat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_1$ .

*Transition at ferromagnetic  $J_2$* — For  $J_2 < 0$ , this is precisely the ‘interpolating field’ theory that appears during the renormalisation of  $O(3)_\pi$ , and the  $J_2$  interactions give some effective mass to the dimer field,

$$V(\chi) \sim M_0^2 \chi^2, \quad \alpha = \pi/2 + \chi, \quad (10)$$

with the bare mass  $M_0^2 = -J_2/(\gamma J_1)$ . We expect that, for  $J_2 \approx 0$ , this mass term is irrelevant and we have the  $O(4)$  NLSM plus the WZ term. Indeed, as pointed out by Senthil and Fisher [12], this  $O(4)$  model is the natural theory for the Heisenberg chain ( $J_2 = 0$ ) as both the dimer and Néel correlations decay with the same power-law.

On the other hand, if Zirnbauer’s picture of the RG flow of  $O(3)_\pi$  is correct, we would expect this mass term to be relevant below some critical  $J_2^*/J_1 < 0$ . But rather than being a mere artefact of the RG, the fact that the dimer component of the joint-order parameter decouples from the magnetic components and appears as a separate gapped mode is a physically-meaningful distinction separating two phases.

If the dimer field is gapped, we can expand around  $\chi = 0$ , and the action reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \sim i\Omega|_{\chi=0} + \int d\tau dx \left[ \gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{m}}} (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2 + \gamma_\chi (\partial_\mu \chi)^2 \right. \\ \left. + M^2 \chi^2 - g\chi^2 (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2 + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for some renormalised couplings. Using the same expansion, the measure in the path integral simply becomes  $\mathcal{D}u = \mathcal{D}\alpha \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathbf{m}} \sin^2 \alpha \sim \mathcal{D}\chi \mathcal{D}\hat{\mathbf{m}}$ , and we have also retained

the lowest order coupling between the dimer and magnetic sectors. The WZ term simply reduces to the theta term (at  $\theta = \pi$ ),

$$i\Omega|_{\chi=0} = i\Theta(\pi). \quad (12)$$

A relevant mass term, then, gives us  $O(3)_\pi$  and an extra massive boson corresponding to the gapped dimer field.

*Renormalisation of the MPS field theory*— Now, the standard way of thinking about the RG flow of NLSMs is to use real space, block spin transformations; momentum space methods are confounded by the hard constraints  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}(x)^2 = 1$ ,  $u(x)^2 = 1$ .

Here, however, we will work in momentum space anyway – we will *assume* that the topological term ensures that  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  is critical, and ask whether the phase where the dimer field is gapped is perturbatively stable. This means, of course, that we are not proving that  $O(3)_\pi$  renormalises to a critical theory, but it will allow us to self-consistently distinguish between the two pictures.

The bare values of the couplings in Eq. (11) are

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(0) &= \gamma_\chi(0) = g(0) = \gamma, \\ M^2(0) &= -\frac{J_2}{\gamma J_1} > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

and the bare propagator for  $\chi$  is simply

$$\text{-----} = \mathcal{G}_\chi^{(0)}(p) = \frac{1}{\gamma_\chi p^2 + M^2}. \quad (14)$$

As mentioned above, we assume the correlations of  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  are critical, with some scaling dimension  $\Delta > 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\mathbf{m}}(x) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}}(x') \rangle &\sim \frac{1}{|x - x'|^{2\Delta}} \\ \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(p) &= \langle \hat{\mathbf{m}}(p) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{m}}(-p) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{C}(\Delta)}{|p|^{2-2\Delta}} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

(we expect  $\Delta = 1/2$ , but we can leave it free), and so

$$\text{-----} = \mathcal{G}_{\partial\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(p) = |p|^2 \mathcal{G}_{\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(p) = \mathcal{C} |p|^{2\Delta}. \quad (16)$$

To obtain the flow equations we need the corrections to the propagator of the  $\chi$  field,

$$\mathcal{G}_\chi(p) = \text{-----} + \text{-----} \circlearrowleft + \dots, \quad (17)$$

which corrects the mass through the self-energy,

$$\Sigma = \text{-----} \circlearrowleft + \dots, \quad (18)$$

and the vertex corrections, which are captured perturbatively by the expansion

$$\bullet = \bullet + \bullet \circlearrowleft + \dots. \quad (19)$$




FIG. 2. Sketch of the flow diagram from the RG equations (21) & (24) of the decoupled MPS action (11). For sufficiently large bare values of  $M^2$  (depending on  $g$ ), we remain in the phase where the dimer field is gapped (orange trajectories). As the bare value of  $M^2$  is lowered, however, it will eventually flow to zero and the joint order parameter will recouple in the infrared (blue trajectories).

We begin with the vertex corrections. We have only one diagram to consider, which we evaluate assuming zero external momentum,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{-----} \circlearrowleft &= (-g)^2 \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{G}_\chi^{(0)}(p) \mathcal{G}_{\partial\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(-p) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} g^2 (-1)^\Delta \mathcal{C} \gamma_\chi^{-(1+\Delta)} M^{2\Delta} \mathcal{B}_{-\frac{\gamma_\chi \Lambda^2}{M^2}}(1 + \Delta, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  is the incomplete Beta function, and  $\Lambda$  is the ultraviolet cutoff. Noting that the bare vertex strength is  $-g$  (but  $g > 0$ ), and treating this purely perturbatively, we obtain the flow equation

$$\frac{dg}{dl} := -\Lambda \frac{dg}{d\Lambda} = \frac{\mathcal{C} \gamma_\chi^{-1} \Lambda^{2+2\Delta}}{2\pi (\gamma_\chi^{-1} M^2 + \Lambda^2)} g^2 = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 g^2}{M^2 + \mathcal{C}_2}, \quad (21)$$

where we collect the other couplings and the cutoff dependence into some other positive constants  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . We observe that the strength of the vertex always increases towards the infrared, which suggests a generic instability for the joint order parameter to recouple – however, this may be arrested by the flow of the mass  $M^2$ .

We require also the corrections to the mass. The lowest order term in the self-energy is given by

$$\text{-----} \circlearrowleft = -g \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \mathcal{G}_{\partial\hat{\mathbf{m}}}(p) = -\frac{g\mathcal{C}}{2\pi} \frac{\Lambda^{2+2\Delta}}{2 + 2\Delta}. \quad (22)$$

This self-energy is subtracted from the effective mass term  $M^2$ , and so contributes a term to the flow equation

$$-\frac{d\Sigma}{dl} = \Lambda \frac{d\Sigma}{d\Lambda} = -\frac{\mathcal{C} \Lambda^{2+2\Delta}}{2\pi} g \equiv -\mathcal{A}g, \quad (23)$$

where we have again collected the constants and cutoff-dependence into a single constant  $\mathcal{A} > 0$ . Now, since  $M^2$  clearly has engineering dimension 2, the flow equation at this order is

$$\frac{d(M^2)}{dl} = 2M^2 - \mathcal{A}g. \quad (24)$$

We sketch the flow diagram in Fig. 2. We observe that the flow equations predict a transition at a finite  $M^2_*$  (and thus finite  $J_2^*/J_1$ ), between a phase with a joint-order parameter, and a phase where the dimer component becomes gapped and decouples.

As mentioned, this corresponds to a transition between the Affleck-Haldane and Zirnbauer pictures of the RG flow to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$  – whereas Affleck and Haldane showed [9] that a perturbatively small mass term is irrelevant, we have shown that the phase where the dimer field is massive is stable for a sufficiently large (but finite) bare mass. For  $O(3)_\pi$  itself, where the bare mass is infinite, the present analysis is in favour of the Zirnbauer picture.

*Three ferromagnetically-coupled chains*— This transition is, of course, somewhat subtle, in that the phases differ only by the presence of a *gapped* boson, whilst the critical content of both is the same. We can, however, construct a simple example where a very similar (if not, indeed, the same) transition does affect the CFT content.

Consider three antiferromagnetic  $S = 1/2$  Heisenberg chains, coupled ferromagnetically. That is,

$$\hat{H} = J \sum_{i,a} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i^{(a)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1}^{(a)} - \kappa \sum_{i,a \neq b} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i^{(a)} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i^{(b)}, \quad (25)$$

for  $J, \kappa > 0$ , and where  $a, b = 1, 2, 3$  index the chains. In the limit  $\kappa = 0$ , we trivially have three decoupled chains with independent  $O(4)$  joint-order parameters, and the infrared limit is described by  $(\mathfrak{su}(2)_1)^3$  with central charge  $c = 3$ . On the other hand, we expect that at large  $\kappa/J$  the ferromagnetic interchain couplings will not only again suppress the dimer components, but also lock the magnetic components of the three chains together – giving us a single  $O(3)$  Néel order parameter and thus only a single copy of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$  in the infrared limit, with  $c = 1$ .

Since the interchain couplings are ferromagnetic, we expect that we will not need to account for entanglement on the interchain bonds. We can therefore just take three independent copies of the MPS ansatz from Ref. [23] when constructing the field theory using the MPS path integral, which gives the action

$$\mathcal{S} = i \sum_a \Omega^{(a)} + \int d\tau dx \left[ \gamma \sum_a (\partial_\mu u^{(a)})^2 - \frac{\kappa}{\gamma J} \sum_{a \neq b} \mathbf{u}^{(a)} \cdot \mathbf{u}^{(b)} \right]. \quad (26)$$

If  $\kappa/J$  is large, we expect that we can expand around zero entanglement ( $\chi = 0$ ), and that  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}^{(a)} \approx \hat{\mathbf{m}}^{(b)} \approx \hat{\mathbf{m}}$ . In

principle we should properly integrate out the modes associated to the difference in the magnetic order parameters between the chains; but so long as  $\chi$  remains massive it should be reasonable just to treat this as a constraint – again, our aim is to answer, self-consistently, the question of whether the phase where the  $\chi^{(a)}$  are massive is stable. Within these approximations, the action is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \sim 3i\Theta(\pi) + \int d\tau dx & \left[ 3\gamma (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2 + \sum_a \gamma (\partial_\mu \chi^{(a)})^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_a M^2 \chi^{(a)2} - \sum_a g \chi^{(a)2} (\partial_\mu \hat{\mathbf{m}})^2 + \dots \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the boson masses  $M^2$  and the recoupling strength  $g$  have the bare values

$$g(0) = \gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{32}}, \quad M^2(0) = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma J}. \quad (28)$$

Starting from the massive dimer phase (large  $\kappa/J$ ), we will clearly obtain the same flow equations for the mass and coupling, and thus this phase is stable for sufficiently large (but finite)  $\kappa > \kappa^*$ . Below  $\kappa^*$ , this phase is unstable, and presumably we instead end up with three independent joint-order parameters (although this is not contained in the action of Eq. (27), since two of the magnetic order parameters have been integrated out). Unlike the single chain case, however, the central charge changes from  $c = 1$  to  $c = 3$  across this transition as  $\kappa$  is lowered [24].

*Discussion and Conclusions*— In this letter, we have shown that the MPS field theory predicts a phase transition between two distinct critical antiferromagnetic phases of the  $J_1$ – $J_2$  chain. The transition is driven by adding ferromagnetic second-neighbour couplings  $J_2 < 0$  which do *not* compete magnetically with the nearest-neighbour antiferromagnetic interactions  $J_1 > 0$ , but decouple the joint Néel-dimer order parameter by gapping the dimer field, and we have shown this mass term is relevant below a finite  $J_2^*/J_1$ . We have also shown how a similar (and, from a certain point of view, identical) phase transition occurs in a model of three coupled spin chains.

We have shown how these phases distinguish two different pictures for the RG flow to  $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$ , and elucidated the physical differences between them, improving our understanding of one of the most well-studied and important field theories. Further, the validity of the Zirnbauer picture may, according to Ref. [16], have implications for the one-parameter renormalisability of NLSMs of other topological classes [25], including the Pruisken model of the integer quantum Hall transition [26–29].

In addition to detailing the critical phases of one of the canonical models of quantum magnetism, and providing strong evidence supporting the Zirnbauer picture for the

RG flow of  $O(3)_\pi$ , these results serve to demonstrate the utility of the MPS path integral – this phase transition is invisible to the standard spin-coherent state path integral for the  $J_1$ – $J_2$  chain. By including entanglement directly at the saddle-point level of the path integral, the MPS field theory is, perhaps, uniquely well-placed to sleuth out phase transitions involving a change in the entanglement structure.

We thank P. Fendley, M. Greiter, and F. Essler for helpful discussions and comments. This work was in part supported by NextGenerationEU under ‘Critical Properties of Quantum Ergodicity Breaking’ (project id CN00000013), by the EPSRC under EP/S005021/1 and EP/I031014, and by the ERU under ‘Perspectives of a Quantum Digital Transformation’. CH is grateful for the hospitality of the Max Planck Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems (MPI-PKS) in Dresden, Germany, where part of this work was carried out.

- 
- [1] A. Auerbach, *Interacting electrons and quantum magnetism* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- [2] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Ann. Phys.* **16**, 407 (1961).
- [3] A. M. Polyakov and P. Wiegmann, Goldstone fields in two dimensions with multivalued actions, *Phys. Lett. B* **141**, 223 (1984).
- [4] I. Affleck and E. H. Lieb, A proof of part of Haldane’s conjecture on spin chains, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12**, 57 (1986).
- [5] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Massless factorized scattering and sigma models with topological terms, *Nucl. Phys. B* **379**, 602 (1992).
- [6] F. D. M. Haldane, Continuum dynamics of the 1-D Heisenberg antiferromagnet: Identification with the  $O(3)$  nonlinear sigma model, *Phys. Lett. A* **93**, 464 (1983).
- [7] E. Witten, Non-abelian bosonization in two dimensions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **92**, 455 (1984).
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane, “ $\Theta$  physics” and quantum spin chains, *J. Appl. Phys.* **57**, 3359 (1985).
- [9] I. Affleck and F. Haldane, Critical theory of quantum spin chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **36**, 5291 (1987).
- [10] F. D. M. Haldane,  $O(3)$  nonlinear  $\sigma$  model and the topological distinction between integer-and half-integer-spin antiferromagnets in two dimensions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1029 (1988).
- [11] I. Affleck, Critical behaviour of  $SU(n)$  quantum chains and topological non-linear  $\sigma$ -models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **305**, 582 (1988).
- [12] T. Senthil and M. P. Fisher, Competing orders, nonlinear sigma models, and topological terms in quantum magnets, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 064405 (2006).
- [13] P. Francesco, P. Mathieu, and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal Field Theory* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- [14] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics* (Elsevier, 2016).
- [15] I. Affleck, Critical behavior of two-dimensional systems with continuous symmetries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**, 1355 (1985).
- [16] M. R. Zirnbauer, On the infrared limit of the  $O(3)$  nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model at  $\theta = \pi$ , [arXiv:2408.12215](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.12215) (2024).
- [17] D. H. Friedan, Nonlinear models in  $2 + \varepsilon$  dimensions, *Ann. Phys.* **163**, 318 (1985).
- [18] E. Braaten, T. L. Curtright, and C. K. Zachos, Torsion and geometrostasis in nonlinear sigma models, *Nucl. Phys. B* **260**, 630 (1985).
- [19] K. Okamoto and K. Nomura, Fluid-dimer critical point in  $S = 1/2$  antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with next nearest neighbor interactions, *Phys. Lett. A* **169**, 433 (1992).
- [20] K. Nomura and K. Okamoto, Critical properties of  $S = 1/2$  antiferromagnetic XXZ chain with next-nearest-neighbour interactions, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **27**, 5773 (1994).
- [21] P. Ginsparg, Curiosities at  $c = 1$ , *Nucl. Phys. B* **295**, 153 (1988).
- [22] A. Green, C. Hooley, J. Keeling, and S. Simon, Feynman path integrals over entangled states, [arXiv:1607.01778](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01778) (2016).
- [23] F. Azad, A. J. McRoberts, C. Hooley, and A. Green, A Generalised Haldane Map from the Matrix Product State Path Integral to the Critical Theory of the  $J_1$ – $J_2$  Chain, [arXiv:2404.16088](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.16088) (2024).
- [24] In the case of *two* coupled chains, there is a transition [30] from a gapless phase with  $c = 2$  to a gapped phase as  $\kappa/J$  increases, since the topological term for an even number of strongly coupled chains is trivial.
- [25] P. Heinzner, A. Huckleberry, and M. R. Zirnbauer, Symmetry classes of disordered fermions, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **257**, 725 (2005).
- [26] A. M. Pruisken, On localization in the theory of the quantized hall effect: A two-dimensional realization of the  $\theta$ -vacuum, *Nucl. Phys. B* **235**, 277 (1984).
- [27] M. R. Zirnbauer, Towards a theory of the integer quantum Hall transition: From the nonlinear sigma model to superspin chains, *Ann. Phys.* **506**, 513 (1994).
- [28] N. Read and H. Saleur, Exact spectra of conformal supersymmetric nonlinear sigma models in two dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **613**, 409 (2001).
- [29] M. R. Zirnbauer, The integer quantum Hall plateau transition is a current algebra after all, *Nucl. Phys. B* **941**, 458 (2019).
- [30] D. Shelton, A. Nersesyan, and A. Tsvelik, Antiferromagnetic spin ladders: Crossover between spin  $S = 1/2$  and  $S = 1$  chains, *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 8521 (1996).