

A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR k -TRANSVERSALS

DANIEL MCGINNIS AND NIKOLA SADOVEK

ABSTRACT. We establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d to admit a k -transversal, for any $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$. This result is a common generalization of Helly's theorem ($k = 0$) and the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem ($k = d - 1$). Additionally, we obtain an analogue in the complex setting by characterizing the existence of a complex k -transversal to a family of convex sets in \mathbb{C}^d , extending the work of McGinnis ($k = d - 1$). Our approach employs a Borsuk-Ulam-type theorem on Stiefel manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Helly's theorem [14] is a cornerstone result in discrete geometry that has motivated many interesting directions of research that continue to be explored to this day [1, 10]. It states that if a finite family \mathcal{F} of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d has the property that every subfamily of $d + 1$ or fewer sets have a nonempty intersection, then the intersection of all the sets in \mathcal{F} is nonempty. One question explored early on by Vincensini [23] is whether there exists a Helly-type condition on families \mathcal{F} of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d that guarantees the existence of a k -transversal to \mathcal{F} . A k -transversal to \mathcal{F} is a k -dimensional affine subspace (or k -flat) of \mathbb{R}^d that intersects each set in \mathcal{F} . In particular, Helly's theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for such families \mathcal{F} to have a 0-transversal. Vincensini asked if there exists a constant $r(k, d)$ such that the following statement holds for finite families \mathcal{F} of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d : if every subfamily of $r(k, d)$ sets have a k -transversal, then \mathcal{F} has a k -transversal. However, this was proven to be false by Santaló [22].

The first substantial progress toward determining a condition that guarantees the existence of a k -transversal for $k > 0$ was made by Hadwiger [13] in the case that $k = 1$, $d = 2$, and the family \mathcal{F} consists of *pairwise disjoint* convex sets. The key observation is that a 1-transversal to \mathcal{F} determines a *linear ordering* on the sets of \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 1.1 (Hadwiger [13]). *A finite family of pairwise disjoint convex sets in \mathbb{R}^2 has a 1-transversal if and only if the sets in the family can be linearly ordered such that any three sets have a 1-transversal consistent with the ordering.*

In 1990, a series of three papers among the authors Goodman, Pollack and Wenger [11, 20, 24] culminated into the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem, a generalization of Hadwiger's theorem for $(d - 1)$ -transversals to finite families of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d with no disjointness condition on the sets. See Section 2 for more details. This is a celebrated result in geometric transversal theory that has since been expanded upon in many different ways [2–4, 9, 16]. See also [10, 12] for surveys on related results in geometric transversal theory.

Up to this point, however, there was no known condition that guarantees the existence of a k -transversal to a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d for $0 < k < d - 1$. Arocha, Bracho, Montejano, Oliveros & Strausz [4] provided a sufficient condition for the existence of a *virtual* k -transversal, which is a broader notion coinciding with the existence of a k -transversal in the case when the set family has cardinality $k + 2$. Moreover, we note that in [19] a *complex analogue* of the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem was proven, and this was mistakenly claimed to imply a

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 52A35.

The research of D. McGinnis is funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under award no. 2402145.

The research of N. Sadovek is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy–The Berlin Mathematics Research Center MATH+ (EXC-2046/1, project ID 390685689, BMS Stipend).

necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of $(2d - 2)$ -transversals in \mathbb{R}^{2d} . However, this is incorrect and was later corrected in [18].

The main result of this paper is to establish a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite family of convex sets in a d -dimensional space to have a k -transversal, for any $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$. We do so in both the real and complex setting, with the real version being as follows.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $0 \leq k < d$ be integers and \mathcal{F} a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . Then, \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{R} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in a finite set of points $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ if and only if there exists a k -transversal to \mathcal{F} .*

The condition of being *dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in P* is defined in Definition 3.1, together with a version used in the Theorem 1.3 below. The case $k = 0$ of Theorem 1.2 is Helly's theorem, while $k = d - 1$ recovers Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem, as noted in Remark 3.2.

We prove a complex analogue of this result for the existence of a \mathbb{C} k -transversal to a family of convex sets in \mathbb{C}^d , extending the work of McGinnis [18] for $k = d - 1$. Here, a \mathbb{C} k -transversal is a complex k -dimensional affine subspace of \mathbb{C}^d that intersects each set in \mathcal{F} .

Theorem 1.3. *Let $0 \leq k < d$ be integers and \mathcal{F} a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{C}^d . Then, \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{C} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in a finite set of points $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k$ if and only if there exists a \mathbb{C} k -transversal to \mathcal{F} .*

In Section 3 we treat both Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 in a unifying way. Their proofs are topological and rely on a configuration space – test map scheme. The key ingredient is a Borsuk-Ulam-type result on Stiefel manifolds, which was proved in the real case by Chan, Chen, Frick & Hull [6] and in the complex case by Sadovek & Soberón [21].

2. THE GOODMAN-POLLACK-WENGER THEOREM AND A COMPLEX ANALOGUE

In this section, we recall prior work on the existence of a $(d - 1)$ -transversals in both the real and the complex case, which sets the stage for a formulation of our main result in Section 3.

Namely, to pass to a higher dimension setting, the linear ordering in Hadwiger's theorem is replaced by the notion of *order type* of a sets of points in \mathbb{R}^k for some $0 \leq k \leq d - 1$ in the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem. However, we will state the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem as it appears in [10], which is equivalent to the original formulation in [20]. The following definition will be needed.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . We say that \mathcal{F} *separates consistently* with a set of points $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ if there exists a map $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P$ such that for any two subfamilies $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ with $|\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_2| \leq k + 2$

$$\text{conv}(\mathcal{F}_1) \cap \text{conv}(\mathcal{F}_2) = \emptyset \implies \text{conv}(\phi(\mathcal{F}_1)) \cap \text{conv}(\phi(\mathcal{F}_2)) = \emptyset.$$

We note that it is a consequence of the well-known Kirchner's theorem [17] that the condition $|\mathcal{F}_1| + |\mathcal{F}_2| \leq k + 2$ in Definition 2.1 could be removed and it would still be an equivalent definition. The statement of the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2.2 (Goodman-Pollack-Wenger [20]). *A finite family of convex sets \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{R}^d has a $(d - 1)$ -transversal if and only if \mathcal{F} separates consistently with a set $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.*

As outlined in [19], Definition 2.1 has the following equivalent linear-algebraic formulation. We present this formulation as it is more similar to the condition present in our main result.

Proposition 2.3 ([19]). *A finite family of convex sets \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{R}^d separates consistently with a set of points $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ if and only if there exists a map $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P$ such that for any subfamily \mathcal{F}' with $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq k + 2$ and for any nontrivial affine dependence*

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F = 0, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F \phi(F) = 0,$$

there exist points $q_F \in F$ and real numbers $r_F \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F = 0, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} (r_F a_F) q_F = 0$$

is an affine dependence of the points q_F and the numbers $r_F a_F$ are not all 0.

An adaptation of the condition in Proposition 2.3 to complex dependencies was used in [19] to formulate the key definition in the complex analogue of the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem due to McGinnis. In what follows, a convex set in \mathbb{C}^d is understood to be convex in the usual sense, namely, that for any two points in the set the real line segment between them is contained in the set.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{C}^d , and let $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}^k$. We say that \mathcal{F} is *dependency-consistent* with P if there exists a map $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P$ such that for every subfamily \mathcal{F}' with $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq 2k + 3$ and every affine dependence

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F = 0, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F \phi(F) = 0$$

for complex numbers a_F , there exist real numbers $r_F \geq 0$ and points $q_F \in F$ for $F \in \mathcal{F}'$ such that

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F = 0, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} (r_F a_F) q_F = 0$$

where not all of the values $r_F a_F$ are 0.

Theorem 2.5 ([18]). *A finite family of convex sets \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{C}^d has a complex $(d - 1)$ -transversal if and only if \mathcal{F} is dependency-consistent with a set $P \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{d-1}$.*

3. THE MAIN RESULT

Definition 3.1. Let $0 \leq k < d$ be integers, $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ a field, \mathcal{F} a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{F}^d , and $P \subseteq \mathbb{F}^k$ a finite set of points. We say that \mathcal{F} is *\mathbb{F} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in P* if there is a map $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P$ such that for any subset $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ with $|\mathcal{F}'| \leq (k + 1)(d - k) \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F} + 1$ and any $d - k$ affine dependencies

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F^{(i)} = 0 \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F^{(i)} \phi(F) = 0 \in \mathbb{F}^k, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d - k,$$

which are not all trivial, there exist points $q_F \in F$ and real numbers $r_F \geq 0$, such that the affine dependencies

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F^{(i)} = 0 \in \mathbb{F}, \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F^{(i)} q_F = 0 \in \mathbb{F}^d, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d - k,$$

are not all trivial.

Remark 3.2. Inserting $k = 0$ in the real case in previous definition, we obtain precisely the condition in Helly's theorem:

- Assuming that \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{R} -dependency consistent with d -tuples in $P = \{0\} = \mathbb{R}^0$, Helly's condition follows by choosing, for a given subfamily $\mathcal{F}' = \{F_0, \dots, F_d\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, the coefficients in the i 'th affine dependency to be

$$a_{F_0}^{(i)} = 1, \quad a_{F_i}^{(i)} = -1, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{F_j}^{(i)} = 0, \quad \text{for } j \neq 0, i.$$

Such a choice implies that $q_{F_0} = \dots = q_{F_d}$ is a common point of sets in \mathcal{F}' .

- Assuming Helly's condition on \mathcal{F} , it follows that \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{R} -dependency consistent with d -tuples in $P = \{0\} = \mathbb{R}^0$ by choosing q_F 's to be the common point of the sets in \mathcal{F}' and $r_F = 1$, for $F \in \mathcal{F}'$.

On the other hand, putting $k = d - 1$ in Definition 3.1, we recover the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger condition as presented in Proposition 2.3 in the real case and the complex analogue from Definition 2.4.

We will denote by $W_n(\mathbb{F}^d)$ the Stiefel manifold of \mathbb{F} -orthonormal n -frames in \mathbb{F}^d . As a key ingredient for our proof, we will employ the following Borsuk-Ulam-type theorem. The real case is due to Chan, Chen, Frick & Hull [6], while the complex case was proved by Sadovek & Soberón [21].

Theorem 3.3 ([6, 21]). *Let $0 \leq n < d$ be integers and $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ a field. Then, every continuous \mathbb{Z}_2^n -equivariant map*

$$W_n(\mathbb{F}^d) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}^{d-1} \oplus \mathbb{F}^{d-2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}^{d-n}$$

has the origin in its image, where the group acts by product antipodal action on both spaces.

We are now ready to prove the main result. The contents of the proof below proves the “only if” direction of the theorem, and the “if” direction can be proven as follows. If \mathcal{F} has an \mathbb{F} k -transversal T , then for each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, we may choose points $q_F \in F \cap T$. Then, for any \mathbb{F} affine isomorphism $\psi : T \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^k$, \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{F} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in $\{\psi(q_F)\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $0 \leq k < d$ be integers, $\mathbb{F} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ a field, and \mathcal{F} a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{F}^d . Then, \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{F} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in a finite set of points in \mathbb{F}^k if and only if there exists a k -dimensional \mathbb{F} -transversal to \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. Let us assume that \mathcal{F} does not admit a k -dimensional \mathbb{F} -transversal. We may also assume the sets of \mathcal{F} are compact and we put them in a copy of \mathbb{F}^d lying in $\mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$, where $e_{d+1} := (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$. Throughout the proof, we will assume all scalar products to be with respect to the field \mathbb{F} , unless explicitly stated otherwise. For each $(d - k)$ -orthonormal frame $(v_1, \dots, v_{d-k}) \in W_{d-k}(\mathbb{F}^{d+1})$, we set

$$V := \text{span}_{\mathbb{F}}\{v_1, \dots, v_{d-k}\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$$

and denote by

$$\text{proj}_V : \mathbb{F}^{d+1} \longrightarrow V, \quad x \longmapsto \langle x, v_1 \rangle v_1 + \dots + \langle x, v_{d-k} \rangle v_{d-k}$$

the orthogonal projection. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let $p_{V,F}$ be the point of $\text{proj}_V(F) \subseteq V$ closest to the origin. Such a point is unique since $\text{proj}_V(F)$ is convex.

Let $P \subseteq \mathbb{F}^k$ and $\phi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow P$ be according to Definition 3.1. Define a \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-k} -equivariant test map as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{d-k}(\mathbb{F}^{d+1}) &\longrightarrow (\mathbb{F}^{k+1})^{d-k} \\ (v_1, \dots, v_{d-k}) &\longmapsto \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle, \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle \phi(F) \right)_{i=1}^{d-k} \end{aligned}$$

where the group has the product-antipodal action on both spaces. By Theorem 3.3, there exists a $(d - k)$ -frame $(v_1, \dots, v_{d-k}) \in W_{d-k}(\mathbb{F}^{d+1})$ that maps to the origin.

First, we observe that $e_{d+1} \notin V$, since otherwise $\text{proj}_V(F) \subseteq \mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1}$ and, in particular, $p_{V,F} \in \mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1}$, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$. The latter would imply

$$0 \neq \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} p_{V,F} = \sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{\langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle} v_i,$$

which contradicts the fact that $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \overline{\langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle} = 0$, for every $i = 1, \dots, d - k$.

Next, we may assume that there are sets $F \in \mathcal{F}$ for which $0 \notin \text{proj}_V(F)$, for otherwise $V^\perp \cap (\mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1})$ would be a k -transversal to \mathcal{F} , contradicting our initial assumption. Indeed, $0 \in \text{proj}_V(F)$ is equivalent to $V^\perp \cap F \neq \emptyset$, and $e_{d+1} \notin V$ implies that $V^\perp \cap (\mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1})$ is a k -flat in $\mathbb{F}^d + e_{d+1}$.

Therefore, the subfamily $\mathcal{G} := \{F \in \mathcal{F} : 0 \notin \text{proj}_V(F)\}$ is non-empty and for each $F \in \mathcal{G}$ there are values $\langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle$ which are nonzero. We have that the origin in $\mathbb{F}^{(k+1)(d-k)}$ is in the convex hull of the set of points

$$\left\{ \left(\langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle, \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle \phi(F) \right)_{i=1}^{d-k} \in \mathbb{F}^{(k+1)(d-k)} : F \in \mathcal{G} \right\}.$$

Thus, by Carathéodory's theorem, there exists a subfamily $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ of size at most $(k + 1)(d - k) \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F} + 1$ and positive real numbers a_F that sum up to one such that the affine dependencies

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} a_F \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle \phi(F) = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d - k,$$

are not all trivial. Since \mathcal{F} is \mathbb{F} -dependency consistent with $(d - k)$ -tuples in P , there exist points $q_F \in F$ and real numbers $r_F \geq 0$, for $F \in \mathcal{F}'$, such that the affine dependencies

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle q_F = 0, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d - k,$$

are not all trivial. After projecting each q_F onto V , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F \langle \text{proj}_V(q_F), p_{V,F} \rangle &= \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F \sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \langle \text{proj}_V(q_F), v_i \rangle \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d-k} \left\langle \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}'} r_F a_F \langle v_i, p_{V,F} \rangle \text{proj}_V(q_F), v_i \right\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

However, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}'$, we have $\text{Re} \langle \text{proj}_V(q_F), p_{V,F} \rangle = \langle \text{proj}_V(q_F), p_{V,F} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} > 0$. This is because $0 \neq p_{V,F} \in \text{proj}_V(F)$ is the closest point to the origin of the convex set $\text{proj}_V(F)$, which also contains $\text{proj}_V(q_F)$. Therefore, $\text{proj}_V(q_F)$ lies on the positive side of the real affine hyperplane

$$(p_{V,F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}} + p_{V,F} \subseteq V \cong (\mathbb{R}^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{F}})^{d-k},$$

and consequently $\langle \text{proj}_V(q_F), p_{V,F} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} > 0$. See Figure 1 for an illustration. This leads to a contradiction and completes the proof. \square




FIGURE 1. The point $\text{proj}_V(q_F)$ lies above the dashed line $(p_{V,F})^{\perp_{\mathbb{R}}} + p_{V,F}$.

4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

- The condition in Definition 3.1 does not seem to have a more combinatorial formulation as the condition in Definition 2.1. However, this is likely necessary since in [15] it was shown that there is no Hadwiger theorem for 1-transversals in \mathbb{R}^3 , and thus a condition based solely on the separation properties of a set of points in \mathbb{R} would not suffice.
- A colorful generalization of the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem was recently proven in [9]. We leave it as an open problem to determine if there is an analogous colorful generalization of Theorem 3.4.

- It would be interesting to see if Theorem 3.4 or the proof method can be applied to existing problems in geometric transversal theory. For example, it is known that if a family of pairwise disjoint unit balls in \mathbb{R}^d with a linear ordering such that every $2d$ balls has a 1-transversal consistent with the order, then the family has a 1-transversal [5, 8]. Furthermore, the constant $2d$ cannot be lowered to $2d - 2$ and it is unknown if it can be lowered to $2d - 1$ [7]. When $k = 1$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the constant in Theorem 3.4 is $2d - 1$, so perhaps Theorem 3.4 or our proof method has some bearing on this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Nina Amenta, Jesús A. De Loera, and Pablo Soberón, *Helly's theorem: new variations and applications*, Algebraic and geometric methods in discrete mathematics, 2017, pp. 55–95. MR3625571
- [2] Laura Anderson and Rephael Wenger, *Oriented matroids and hyperplane transversals*, Adv. Math. **119** (1996), no. 1, 117–125. MR1383885
- [3] Jorge L. Arocha, Javier Bracho, and Luis Montejano, *A colorful theorem on transversal lines to plane convex sets*, Combinatorica **28** (2008), no. 4, 379–384. MR2452840
- [4] Jorge L. Arocha, Javier Bracho, Luis Montejano, Deborah Oliveros, and Ricardo Strausz, *Separoids, their categories and a Hadwiger-type theorem for transversal*, Discrete & Computational Geometry **27** (2002), 377–385.
- [5] Ciprian Borcea, Xavier Goaoc, and Sylvain Petitjean, *Line transversals to disjoint balls*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **39** (2008), no. 1-3, 158–173. MR2383756
- [6] Yu Hin Chan, Shujian Chen, Florian Frick, and J. Tristan Hull, *Borsuk-Ulam theorems for products of spheres and Stiefel manifolds revisited*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.00935 (2020).
- [7] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, and Andreas Holmsen, *Lower bounds to Helly numbers of line transversals to disjoint congruent balls*, Israel J. Math. **190** (2012), 213–228. MR2956239
- [8] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, Andreas Holmsen, and Sylvain Petitjean, *Helly-type theorems for line transversals to disjoint unit balls*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **39** (2008), no. 1-3, 194–212. MR2383759
- [9] Otfried Cheong, Xavier Goaoc, and Andreas F. Holmsen, *Some New Results on Geometric Transversals*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **72** (2024), no. 2, 674–703. MR4800737
- [10] Jacob E. Goodman, Joseph O'Rourke, and Csaba D. Tóth (eds.), *Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry*, RC Press LLC, Boca Raton, FL, ISBN 978-1498711395, 2017.
- [11] Jacob E. Goodman and Richard Pollack, *Hadwiger's transversal theorem in higher dimensions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), no. 2, 301–309. MR928260
- [12] Jacob E. Goodman, Richard Pollack, and Rephael Wenger, *Geometric transversal theory*, New trends in discrete and computational geometry, 1993, pp. 163–198. MR1228043
- [13] Hugo Hadwiger, *Ueber Eibereiche mit gemeinsamer Treffgeraden*, Portugal. Math. **16** (1957), 23–29. MR99015
- [14] Eduard Helly, *Über Mengen konvexer Körper mit gemeinschaftlichen Punkte*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **32** (1923), 175–176.
- [15] Andreas Holmsen and Jiří Matoušek, *No Helly theorem for stabbing translates by lines in \mathbb{R}^3* , Discrete Comput. Geom. **31** (2004), no. 3, 405–410. MR2036947
- [16] Andreas F. Holmsen and Edgardo Roldán-Pensado, *The colored Hadwiger transversal theorem in \mathbb{R}^d* , Combinatorica **36** (2016), no. 4, 417–429. MR3537034
- [17] Paul Kirchberger, *Über Tchebychefsche Annäherungsmethoden*, Math. Ann. **57** (1903), no. 4, 509–540. MR1511222
- [18] Daniel McGinnis, *A complex analogue of the Goodman-Pollack-Wenger theorem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16467 (2023).
- [19] ———, *A necessary and sufficient condition for $(2d - 2)$ -transversals in \mathbb{R}^{2d}* , Combinatorica **43** (2023), no. 6, 1103–1109. MR4670571
- [20] Richard Pollack and Rephael Wenger, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for hyperplane transversals*, Combinatorica **10** (1990), no. 3, 307–311. MR1092546
- [21] Nikola Sadovek and Pablo Soberón, *Complex analogues of the Tverberg-Vrećica conjecture and central transversal theorems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.14337 (2024).
- [22] Luis A. Santaló, *A theorem on sets of parallelepipeds with parallel edges*, Publ. Inst. Mat. Univ. Nac. Litoral **2** (1940), 49–60. MR3726
- [23] Paul Vincensini, *Figures convexes et variétés linéaires de l'espace euclidien à n dimensions*, Bull. Sci. Math **59** (1935), 163–174.
- [24] Rephael Wenger, *A generalization of Hadwiger's transversal theorem to intersecting sets*, Discrete Comput. Geom. **5** (1990), no. 4, 383–388. MR1043720

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, USA
 Email address: dm7932@princeton.edu

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FREIE UNIVERSITÄT BERLIN, GERMANY
 Email address: nikolasdvk@gmail.com, nikola.sadovek@fu-berlin.de