

Adding the algebraic Ryu-Takayanagi formula to the algebraic reconstruction theorem

Mingshuai Xu* and Haocheng Zhong†

Shing-Tung Yau Center and School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

(Dated: November 13, 2024)

A huge progress in studying holographic theories is that holography can be interpreted via the quantum error correction, which makes equal the entanglement wedge reconstruction, the Jafferis-Lewkowycz-Maldacena-Suh formula, the radial commutativity and the Ryu-Takayanagi formula. We call the equivalence the reconstruction theorem, whose infinite-dimensional generalization via algebraic language was believed to exclude the algebraic version of the Ryu-Takayanagi formula. However, recent developments regarding gravitational algebras have shown that the inclusion of the algebraic Ryu-Takayanagi formula is plausible. In this letter, we prove that such inclusion holds for the cases of type I/II factors, which are expected to describe holographic theories.

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the milestones in understanding quantum gravity in the last few decades is the AdS/CFT correspondence [1–3], which conjectures an equivalence between d -dimensional quantum gravity on anti-de Sitter (AdS $_d$) spacetime and $(d-1)$ -dimensional conformal field theory (CFT $_{d-1}$). Practically, the dual CFT $_{d-1}$ holographically lives at the boundary of the AdS $_d$ which is hence denoted as the bulk theory. The equivalence between the boundary theory and the bulk theory is realized as several statements about correspondences between quantities in the respective theories, which can be summarized as follows,

- Entanglement wedge reconstruction (or subregion duality) [4–13]: given a subregion of the boundary, one is able to reconstruct the entanglement wedge of the boundary subregion. To be specific, any bulk operator inside the entanglement wedge can be reconstructed via the information on the boundary subregion.
- Jafferis-Lewkowycz-Maldacena-Suh (JLMS) formula [12]: given two density operators (or density matrices) ρ_A, σ_A restricted on the boundary subregion A whose entanglement wedge in the bulk is denoted by a , we have

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\rho_A|\sigma_A) = S_{\text{rel}}(\tilde{\rho}_a|\tilde{\sigma}_a) \quad (1)$$

where S_{rel} is the quantum relative entropy and $\tilde{\rho}_a, \tilde{\sigma}_a$ are density operators in a which dual to ρ_A, σ_A respectively.

- Radial commutativity [14–16]: any bulk operator at a bulk time-slice should commute with all boundary operators localized at the boundary of that time-slice.
- Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula [17–23][24]: given a density operator ρ_A on the boundary subregion A

and the dual density operator $\tilde{\rho}_a$ in the entanglement wedge a , their von Neumann entropies satisfy

$$S(\rho_A) = \mathcal{L}_A + S(\tilde{\rho}_a) \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{L}_A is the area of the Ryu-Takayanagi surface of A over $4G$.

One major progress in understanding holographic theories is that the above statements can be put on an equal footing by using the language of quantum error correction (QEC) [25]. Furthermore, the equivalence between the above statements is independent of the specific details of the holographic theories. In this letter, we call the equivalence the *reconstruction theorem*. Early proof of the reconstruction theorem assumes the holographic models are finite-dimensional [13, 15, 26][27], which is later generalized into infinite-dimensional cases [28, 29].

In studying infinite-dimensional quantum theories, von Neumann algebra serves as a natural mathematical tools. Especially for quantum field theory, von Neumann algebra provides an axiomatic way to formalize quantum field theory, where one focus on observables rather than quantum states, and observables of a quantum system form a von Neumann algebra after assuming some physical conditions like causality, Poincaré symmetry, etc. We call the algebraic generalization of the reconstruction theorem by using the language of von Neumann algebra the *algebraic reconstruction theorem*.

Unlike the quantum relative entropy, the von Neumann entropy is ill-defined in infinite-dimensional cases, which further implies the algebraic generalization of the RT formula is problematic. As we will briefly discuss, von Neumann algebras are classified into factors of three types labeled by type I/II/III, and the von Neumann entropy is only ill-defined for type III factors which naturally occur in quantum field theory [30], so it was believed that the algebraic reconstruction theorem should exclude the algebraic version of the RT formula [29, 31]. However, recent developments in studying algebraic implications in quantum gravity have shown that it is possible to describe gravitational algebras without type III factors [32–37], in which cases the algebraic RT formula is plausible. Motivated by this, we refine the algebraic reconstruction

* xumingshuai@seu.edu.cn

† zhonghaocheng@outlook.com

theorem by including the algebraic RT formula, whose proof is the main work of the letter.

The letter is organized as follows. In section II, we introduce the necessary basics of von Neumann algebra and the modular theory. The modular theory is an important tool in describing entanglement via the algebraic language, which is discussed in section III. In section IV, we present the refined algebraic reconstruction theorem with the proof. We end with section V by giving further discussions about the algebraic RT formula.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give a very brief review and establish our notations for von Neumann algebra and modular theory (or Tomita-Takesaki theory), which are necessary for the algebraic reconstruction theorem and its proof presented in section IV. This section basically follows [29, 31, 38], and readers who are interested in more rigorous details are encouraged to consult, for examples [39–42].

A. Basics of von Neumann algebra

Definition II.1. A bounded operator is a linear operator \mathcal{O} satisfying $\|\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle\| \leq K\|\psi\rangle\|$, $\forall |\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ for some $K \in \mathbb{R}$. The infimum of all such K is called the norm of \mathcal{O} . The algebra of all bounded operators on \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition II.2. The commutant of a subset $S \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a subset $S' \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$S' \equiv \{\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) | [\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}] = 0, \forall \mathcal{P} \in S\} \quad (3)$$

where we write $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ to denote that the Hilbert space can be decomposed according to subregions. Other notions will be introduced shortly. As we will see, the relative entropy has an algebraic generalization (denoted as $S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\xi; \mathcal{A})$) for factors of any type, while the generalized von Neumann entropy (denoted as $S(\psi; \mathcal{A})$) can only be well-defined in type I/II due to the lack of well-defined notions of the trace function and density operators in factor of type III.

i.e. every element in S' commutes with all elements in S .

Definition II.3. The hermitian conjugate (or adjoint) of an operator \mathcal{O} is an operator \mathcal{O}^\dagger satisfying $\langle \psi | \mathcal{O} \xi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{O}^\dagger \psi | \xi \rangle$. A hermitian (or self-adjoint) operator \mathcal{O} satisfies $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}^\dagger$.

Definition II.4. A von Neumann algebra on \mathcal{H} is a sub-algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying

- $\mathbb{I} \in \mathcal{A}$,
- \mathcal{A} is closed under hermitian conjugation,
- $\mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A}$.

Definition II.5. A von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} is a factor if it has a trivial center \mathcal{Z} :

$$\mathcal{Z} \equiv \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A}' = \{\lambda \mathbb{I} | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \quad (4)$$

otherwise \mathcal{A} is called a non-factor.

In fact, any non-factor can be “decomposed” into factors [40]. Especially in finite-dimensional cases [26], non-factors can always be decomposed into a “block-diagonal” form by choosing an appropriate basis, with each block being a factor. In other words, when consider classifications of von Neumann algebra, we only need to consider factors, which are classified into three types: type I/II/III. In this letter, we do not need the explicit classifications of factors. Instead, we are interested in whether some notions are well-defined or not in different types of factors, as summarized as follows,

Type of factor	$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$	Tr	ρ_ψ	$S(\psi; \mathcal{A})$	$\Delta_{\xi \psi}$	$S_{\text{rel}}(\psi \xi; \mathcal{A})$
I	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
II	×	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
III	×	×	×	×	✓	✓

B. Modular theory

Definition II.6. A subset $\mathcal{H}_0 \subset \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} if for every vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a vector $|\phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_0$ such that $\|\psi\rangle - |\phi\rangle\| < \epsilon$.

Definition II.7. $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic with respect to a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} if $\mathcal{A}|\psi\rangle \equiv \{\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle | \forall \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

Definition II.8. $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is separating with respect to a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} if $\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle = 0$ implies $\mathcal{O} = 0$ for $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem II.9. $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is cyclic with respect to \mathcal{A} if and only if $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is separating with respect to \mathcal{A}' , and vice versa.

Proof. See [38]. \square

A direct consequence is that when we assume $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is both cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , then $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is also both cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A}' .

Definition II.10. A relative Tomita operator on \mathcal{A} is an anti-linear operator satisfying [43]

$$S_{\xi|\psi}(\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle) = \mathcal{O}^\dagger|\xi\rangle, \quad \forall \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A} \quad (5)$$

Notice that $S_{\xi|\psi}$ is densely defined (i.e. whose domain is a dense subset of \mathcal{H}) if and only if $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} . The cyclic condition ensures that the domain $\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle$ is dense while the separating condition is to avoid the possibility that $\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle = 0$, $\mathcal{O}^\dagger|\xi\rangle \neq 0$. Hereafter we mostly assume the cyclic separating condition of $|\psi\rangle$ for $S_{\xi|\psi}$.

Theorem II.11. Provided both $|\psi\rangle, |\xi\rangle$ are cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , we have

$$S_{\xi|\psi}^{-1} = S_{\psi|\xi}, \quad (6)$$

Proof. $S_{\psi|\xi}S_{\xi|\psi}(\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle) = S_{\psi|\xi}(\mathcal{O}^\dagger|\xi\rangle) = \mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle$, $\forall \mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}$. \square

Theorem II.12. Provided $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , we have

$$S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger = S'_{\xi|\psi} \quad (7)$$

where $S'_{\xi|\psi}$ is a relative Tomita operator on \mathcal{A}' .

Proof. Note that a proper definition of anti-linear operators acting on the bra first is

$$(\langle\phi|S|\chi\rangle \equiv [\langle\phi|(S|\chi\rangle)]^*) \quad (8)$$

which implies

$$\langle\phi|S|\chi\rangle = [(\langle\phi|S|\chi\rangle)^*]^* = [(S^\dagger\phi|\chi)]^* = \langle\chi|S^\dagger|\phi\rangle \quad (9)$$

then due to anti-linearity of the relative Tomita operator, we only need to prove that

$$\langle\chi|S'_{\xi|\psi}|\phi\rangle = \langle\phi|S_{\xi|\psi}|\chi\rangle \quad (10)$$

Since by assumption and theorem II.9, $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic with respect to both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , we can set $|\phi\rangle = \mathcal{O}'|\psi\rangle$, $|\chi\rangle = \mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle$ where $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\chi|S'_{\xi|\psi}|\phi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\mathcal{O}'\mathcal{O}^\dagger|\xi\rangle = \langle\psi|\mathcal{O}'^\dagger\mathcal{O}^\dagger|\xi\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi|S_{\xi|\psi}|\chi\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the second equality uses that $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{A}' \Rightarrow [\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}'] = 0 \Rightarrow [\mathcal{O}^\dagger, \mathcal{O}'^\dagger] = 0$. \square

Definition II.13. Provided $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , the relative modular operator on \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$\Delta_{\xi|\psi} \equiv S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger S_{\xi|\psi} \quad (12)$$

and the relative modular Hamiltonian on \mathcal{A} is defined by

$$h_{\xi|\psi} \equiv -\log \Delta_{\xi|\psi} \quad (13)$$

Theorem II.14. The relative modular operator $\Delta_{\xi|\psi}$ on \mathcal{A} is Hermitian.

Proof. $\Delta_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger = (S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger S_{\xi|\psi})^\dagger = S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger S_{\xi|\psi}$. \square

Theorem II.15. Provided both $|\psi\rangle, |\xi\rangle$ are cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , we have

$$\Delta_{\psi|\xi}^{-1} = \Delta'_{\xi|\psi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h_{\psi|\xi} = -h'_{\xi|\psi} \quad (14)$$

where $\Delta'_{\xi|\psi}$ and $h'_{\xi|\psi}$ are the relative modular operator and the relative modular Hamiltonian on \mathcal{A}' respectively.

Proof. $\Delta'_{\xi|\psi} = S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger S'_{\xi|\psi} = S_{\xi|\psi} S_{\xi|\psi}^\dagger = S_{\psi|\xi}^{-1} (S_{\psi|\xi}^\dagger)^{-1} = (S_{\psi|\xi}^\dagger S_{\psi|\xi})^{-1} = \Delta_{\psi|\xi}^{-1}$. \square

Definition II.16. Provided $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} , we define the Tomita operator $S_\psi \equiv S_{\psi|\psi} : \mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle \mapsto \mathcal{O}^\dagger|\psi\rangle$; the modular operator $\Delta_\psi \equiv \Delta_{\psi|\psi} = S_\psi^\dagger S_\psi$; the modular Hamiltonian $h_\psi \equiv h_{\psi|\psi} = -\log \Delta_\psi$.

Theorem II.17.

$$\langle\psi|\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\mathcal{P}\Delta_\psi\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle, \quad \forall \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{A} \quad (15)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\psi|\mathcal{P}\Delta_\psi\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\mathcal{P}S_\psi^\dagger S_\psi\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle = \langle\mathcal{P}^\dagger\psi|S_\psi^\dagger|\mathcal{O}^\dagger\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\mathcal{O}^\dagger\psi|S_\psi|\mathcal{P}^\dagger\psi\rangle = \langle\mathcal{O}^\dagger\psi|\mathcal{P}\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi|\mathcal{O}\mathcal{P}|\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where in the second line we use (9). \square

III. ALGEBRAIC ENTROPIES

In this section, we discuss the algebraic version of relative entropy and von Neumann entropy.

A. Araki's relative entropy

The quantum relative entropy is defined by

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\rho_\psi|\rho_\phi) \equiv \text{Tr}[\rho_\psi(\log \rho_\psi - \log \rho_\phi)] \quad (16)$$

which measures how much the state ψ differs from another state ϕ . Its algebraic generalization is defined due to Araki [44, 45]:

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\phi; \mathcal{A}) \equiv \langle \psi | h_{\phi|\psi} | \psi \rangle \quad (17)$$

with $|\psi\rangle$ being cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} .

To see that Araki's relative entropy (17) is indeed a generalization of the quantum relative entropy (16), we now give a finite-dimensional example (factor of type I) [38] where the two relative entropies coincide with each other. Consider a finite-dimensional bipartite system $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ with $\dim A = \dim B = n$, we define a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{A} to be of form

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B | \forall \mathcal{O}_A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)\} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_A) \otimes \mathbb{I}_B \quad (18)$$

then the commutant is given by

$$\mathcal{A}' = \{\mathbb{I}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_B | \forall \mathcal{O}_B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B)\} = \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_B) \quad (19)$$

A lesson here is that identifying the algebras of localized observables $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}'$ is equivalent to identifying a "decomposition" of the total system just like $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$, while the former is well-defined in factors of any type. In this sense, von Neumann algebras are associated with subregions of the system. Symbolically, we write

$$\mathcal{A} \sim A, \quad \mathcal{A}' \sim B, \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \sim \text{Tr}_A, \quad \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}'} \sim \text{Tr}_B. \quad (20)$$

Next, we consider two vectors in \mathcal{H} :

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k |\psi_k\rangle_A \otimes |\psi'_k\rangle_B, \quad |\phi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n d_\alpha |\phi_\alpha\rangle_A \otimes |\phi'_\alpha\rangle_B \quad (21)$$

with all c_k being nonzero. The condition that $c_k \neq 0$ implies that if $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B \in \mathcal{A}$ annihilates $|\psi\rangle$, then $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B$ must annihilates all $|\psi_k\rangle_A$, which further implies that $\mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathbb{I}_B = 0$, i.e. $|\psi\rangle$ is separating with respect to \mathcal{A} . Likewise, we can argue that $|\psi\rangle$ is separating with respect to \mathcal{A}' . Recall that $|\psi\rangle$ is separating with respect to \mathcal{A}' if and only if $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic with respect to \mathcal{A} , we now have $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} . In this case, $S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\phi; \mathcal{A})$ is well-defined.

To compute Araki's relative entropy $S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\phi; \mathcal{A})$, we first compute the relative Tomita operator $S_{\phi|\psi}$ and then $\Delta_{\phi|\psi}, h_{\phi|\psi}$. Consider an operator \mathcal{O}_A defined by

$$\mathcal{O}_A |\psi_i\rangle_A = |\phi_\alpha\rangle_A, \quad \mathcal{O}_A |\psi_j\rangle_A = 0 \text{ if } j \neq i \quad (22)$$

whose adjoint is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_A^\dagger |\phi_\alpha\rangle_A = |\psi_i\rangle_A, \quad \mathcal{O}_A^\dagger |\phi_\beta\rangle_A = 0 \text{ if } \beta \neq \alpha \quad (23)$$

According to the definition of $S_{\phi|\psi}$, we have

$$S_{\phi|\psi}(|\phi_\alpha\rangle_A \otimes |\psi'_i\rangle_B) = \frac{d_\alpha}{c_i^*} |\psi_i\rangle_A \otimes |\phi'_\alpha\rangle_B \quad (24)$$

where we can see the reason why c_i should be nonzero, otherwise the coefficients of $S_{\phi|\psi}$ may diverge. One can now compute $\Delta_{\phi|\psi} = S_{\phi|\psi}^\dagger S_{\phi|\psi}$:

$$\Delta_{\phi|\psi}(|\phi_\alpha\rangle_A \otimes |\psi'_i\rangle_B) = \frac{|d_\alpha|^2}{|c_i|^2} |\phi_\alpha\rangle_A \otimes |\psi'_i\rangle_B \quad (25)$$

If we further define two pure states as follows,

$$\rho_\psi \equiv |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|, \quad \rho_\phi \equiv |\phi\rangle \langle \phi| \quad (26)$$

whose reduced density operators are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\psi;B} &= \text{Tr}_A \rho_\psi = \sum_{i=1}^n |c_i|^2 |\psi'_i\rangle_B \langle \psi'_i|_B, \\ \rho_{\phi;A} &= \text{Tr}_B \rho_\phi = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |d_\alpha|^2 |\phi_\alpha\rangle_A \langle \phi_\alpha|_A \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{\phi|\psi} = \rho_{\phi;A} \otimes \rho_{\psi;B}^{-1} \quad (28)$$

Recall that previously we associate von Neumann algebras with subregions. In this case we have

$$\rho_{\phi;A} \sim \rho_{\phi;A}, \quad \rho_{\psi;A'} \sim \rho_{\psi;B} \quad (29)$$

which reformulates (28) into

$$\Delta_{\phi|\psi} = \rho_{\phi;A} \otimes \rho_{\psi;A'}^{-1} \quad (30)$$

This relation holds generally in factors of type I/II [46]. Physically, (30) implies that the usual modular Hamiltonian of a physical system is splittable [47]. Now we continue our computation for Araki's relative entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | h_{\phi|\psi} | \psi \rangle &= -\text{Tr} [\rho_\psi (\log \rho_{\phi;A} \otimes \mathbb{I}_B - \mathbb{I}_A \otimes \log \rho_{\psi;B})] \\ &= -\text{Tr}_A (\rho_{\psi;A} \log \rho_{\phi;A}) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}_B (\rho_{\psi;B} \log \rho_{\psi;B}) \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where one notices that the second term is the von Neumann entropy $S(\rho_{\psi;B})$ which is equal to $S(\rho_{\psi;A})$ since ρ_ψ is a pure state. We therefore have

$$S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\phi; \mathcal{A}) \equiv \langle \psi | h_{\phi|\psi} | \psi \rangle = S_{\text{rel}}(\rho_{\psi;A} | \rho_{\phi;A}) \quad (32)$$

i.e. Araki's relative entropy reduces to quantum relative entropy between states restricted in subregion A , with which the algebra \mathcal{A} of localized observables is associated.

B. Algebraic von Neumann entropy

The von Neumann entropy is defined by

$$S(\rho_\psi) \equiv -\text{Tr} (\rho_\psi \log \rho_\psi) \quad (33)$$

whose algebraic generalization in factors of type I/II is given by [48–50]:

$$S(\psi; \mathcal{A}) \equiv -S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\tau; \mathcal{A}) = -\langle \psi | h_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle \quad (34)$$

where $|\psi\rangle$ is cyclic and separating with respect to \mathcal{A} and τ is a tracial state. A tracial state is a state $|\tau\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$\langle \tau | \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P} | \tau \rangle = \langle \tau | \mathcal{P} \mathcal{O} | \tau \rangle, \quad \forall \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{A} \quad (35)$$

and each tracial state defines a trace function on \mathcal{A} :

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\tau}(\mathcal{O}) \equiv \langle \tau | \mathcal{O} | \tau \rangle \quad (36)$$

The non-existence of algebraic von Neumann entropy in factors of type III is due to the non-existence of tracial state or trace function. Hereafter we abuse the notation to use τ to denote the tracial states on both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' (which are in general not the same state) for simplicity, and one should distinguish them from the relevant context. In fact, there is a theorem ensuring that every factor (not of type III) admits a unique trace up to a rescaling [40, 50][51]. In this sense, we drop the superscript of the trace function defined in (36).

To compare the von Neumann entropy with the algebraic von Neumann entropy, we need to compute $h_{\tau|\psi}$. We start with

$$\Delta_{\tau|\psi} = S_{\tau|\psi}^{\dagger} S_{\tau|\psi}, \quad S_{\tau|\psi} |\psi\rangle = |\tau\rangle, \quad (37)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | \Delta_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | S_{\tau|\psi}^{\dagger} S_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | S_{\tau|\psi}^{\dagger} | \tau \rangle \\ &= \langle \tau | S_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle = \langle \tau | \tau \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

on the other hand, we have

$$\langle \psi | \Delta_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle = \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \Delta_{\tau|\psi}) = \langle \tau | \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \Delta_{\tau|\psi} | \tau \rangle \quad (39)$$

where we use $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{O}) = \langle \psi | \mathcal{O} | \psi \rangle$, $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{O}) = \langle \tau | \mathcal{O} | \tau \rangle$ successively. We therefore have

$$\langle \tau | \tau \rangle = \langle \tau | \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \Delta_{\tau|\psi} | \tau \rangle \quad (40)$$

which implies

$$\mathbb{I} = \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \Delta_{\tau|\psi} \Rightarrow \Delta_{\tau|\psi} = \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}^{-1} \Rightarrow h_{\tau|\psi} = \log \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \quad (41)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\tau; \mathcal{A}) &= \langle \psi | h_{\tau|\psi} | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \log \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} | \psi \rangle \\ &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \log \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}) \equiv -S(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

$$\Rightarrow S(\psi; \mathcal{A}) \equiv -S_{\text{rel}}(\psi|\tau; \mathcal{A}) = S(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}) \quad (43)$$

i.e. the algebraic von Neumann entropy in \mathcal{A} coincides with the von Neumann entropy of reduced density operator restricted in a subregion associated with the algebra \mathcal{A} of localized observables.

Now we give a comment about the coincidence between the von Neumann entropy and its algebraic generalization. Recall the variation of von Neumann entropy with respect to the variation of state is given by

$$S(\rho + \delta\rho) - S(\rho) \approx -\text{Tr}(\delta\rho \log \rho) \quad (44)$$

at leading order. Algebraically, we consider the case that $|\psi\rangle = |\phi\rangle + \epsilon|\theta\rangle$ with infinitesimal ϵ , then the leading infinitesimal term of entropy difference is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S(\phi + \epsilon\theta, \phi; \mathcal{A}) &\equiv S(\phi + \epsilon\theta; \mathcal{A}) - S(\phi; \mathcal{A}) \\ &\approx -\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}}(\rho_{\epsilon\theta; \mathcal{A}} \log \rho_{\phi; \mathcal{A}}) \\ &= \epsilon^2 \langle \theta | h_{\tau|\phi} | \theta \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

as an algebraic generalization of (44).

IV. ALGEBRAIC RECONSTRUCTION THEOREM FOR TYPE I/II FACTORS

To algebraically generalize the finite-dimensional reconstruction theorem into factors of type I/II, we first introduce our algebraic setup which basically follows [29, 31], but our notations follow [26]:

- Let $V : \mathcal{H}_{\text{code}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ be an isometry and $\mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}, \mathcal{A}_{\text{phys}}$ be von Neumann factors of type I/II on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$ respectively, with $\mathcal{A}'_{\text{code}}, \mathcal{A}'_{\text{phys}}$ respectively being the commutants.
- Notations: vectors in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$ and operators in $\mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$ are labeled by the tilde sign, and operators in commutants are labeled by the prime sign. For example, $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \in \mathcal{A}'_{\text{code}}$. (For simplicity, relative operators on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$ are not labeled by the tilde sign, but one can tell from the states they apply.)
- Suppose that the set of cyclic and separating vectors w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$ (\Leftrightarrow the set of cyclic and separating vectors w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}'_{\text{code}}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$).
- Suppose that if $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle$ is cyclic and separating w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$, then $V|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle$ is cyclic and separating w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{phys}}$.

Theorem IV.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. For any $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}' \in \mathcal{A}'_{\text{code}}$, there exist $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{phys}}$, $\mathcal{O}' \in \mathcal{A}'_{\text{phys}}$ such that

$$V\tilde{\mathcal{O}}|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle = \mathcal{O}V|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle, V\tilde{\mathcal{O}}'|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle = \mathcal{O}'V|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle, \forall \tilde{\Psi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}. \quad (46)$$

2. For any $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle, |\tilde{\Phi}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{code}}$ with $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle, |\tilde{\Phi}\rangle$ both cyclic and separating w.r.t. $\mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{rel}}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{\text{code}}) &= S_{\text{rel}}(\Psi|\Phi; \mathcal{A}_{\text{phys}}), \\ S_{\text{rel}}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}'_{\text{code}}) &= S_{\text{rel}}(\Psi|\Phi; \mathcal{A}'_{\text{phys}}), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $|\Psi\rangle \equiv V|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle$, $|\Phi\rangle \equiv V|\tilde{\Phi}\rangle$.

3. For any $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{A}_{phys}$, $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{A}'_{phys}$,

$$V^\dagger \mathcal{P} V \in \mathcal{A}_{code}, \quad V^\dagger \mathcal{P}' V \in \mathcal{A}'_{code}. \quad (48)$$

4. For any cyclic and separating $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{code}$ w.r.t. \mathcal{A}_{code} ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) &= S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}), \\ S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}'_{code}) &= S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}'_{phys}). \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

The equivalences between the first three statements are rigorously proved in [29] for factors of general type. We identify the last statement as the algebraic version of the Ryu-Takayanagi formula, and we now prove that the equivalence between the first three statements and the statement 4. Practically, we prove that $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 4 \Rightarrow 2$.

- $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2) \Rightarrow 4$: We first prove a useful statement that the tracial state under isometry is also tracial. We start with $S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) \equiv -S_{rel}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\tau}; \mathcal{A}_{code})$ where $\tilde{\tau}$ is the trace on \mathcal{A} . We define $|\tau\rangle \equiv V|\tilde{\tau}\rangle$ such that $\forall \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{A}_{phys}$, $\exists \tilde{\mathcal{O}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{A}_{code}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau | \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P} | \tau \rangle &= \langle \tilde{\tau} | V^\dagger \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P} V | \tilde{\tau} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\tau} | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} V^\dagger V \tilde{\mathcal{P}} | \tilde{\tau} \rangle \\ &= \langle \tilde{\tau} | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} | \tilde{\tau} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where the second equality is according to the statement 1 and the third equality uses $V^\dagger V = \mathbb{I}$, then

$$\langle \tau | \mathcal{O} \mathcal{P} | \tau \rangle = \langle \tilde{\tau} | \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}} | \tilde{\tau} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\tau} | \tilde{\mathcal{P}} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} | \tilde{\tau} \rangle = \langle \tau | \mathcal{P} \mathcal{O} | \tau \rangle \quad (51)$$

so that $|\tau\rangle$ is tracial. Recall that the trace is unique up to a rescaling, we are free to choose $|\tau\rangle$ to be the tracial state that induces the trace on \mathcal{A}_{phys} , i.e. we can define

$$S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \equiv -S_{rel}(\Psi|\tau; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \quad (52)$$

up to a rescaling constant, then according to the statement 2 with setting $\tilde{\Phi} = \tilde{\tau}$, we arrive at

$$S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) = S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \quad (53)$$

Likewise for $S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}'_{code}) = S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}'_{phys})$.

- $4 \Rightarrow 2$: Since we are dealing with factors of type I/II, the splittable condition of modular Hamiltonian (30) holds,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\tilde{\Phi}|\tilde{\Psi}} &= \rho_{\tilde{\Phi}} \otimes \rho_{\tilde{\Psi}}^{-1} = \Delta_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}}^{-1} \otimes \Delta_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Psi}} \\ \Rightarrow h_{\tilde{\Phi}|\tilde{\Psi}} &= -\log \Delta_{\tilde{\Phi}|\tilde{\Psi}} = h'_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Psi}} - h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

which implies

$$S_{rel}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) = -S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}'_{code}) - \langle \tilde{\Psi} | h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} | \tilde{\Psi} \rangle. \quad (55)$$

Similarly,

$$S_{rel}(\Psi|\Phi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) = -S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}'_{phys}) - \langle \Psi | h_{\tau|\Phi} | \Psi \rangle. \quad (56)$$

Since we assumed that the statement 4 holds, i.e. $S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}'_{code}) = S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}'_{phys})$, subtracting the above two equations implies

$$\begin{aligned} S_{rel}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) - S_{rel}(\Psi|\Phi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \\ = \langle \Psi | h_{\tau|\Phi} | \Psi \rangle - \langle \tilde{\Psi} | h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} | \tilde{\Psi} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

i.e. we only need to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | h_{\tau|\Phi} | \Psi \rangle - \langle \tilde{\Psi} | h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} | \tilde{\Psi} \rangle \\ = \langle \tilde{\Psi} | (V^\dagger h_{\tau|\Phi} V - h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}}) | \tilde{\Psi} \rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

to arrive at the statement 2. In fact, we can prove a stronger condition that

$$V^\dagger h_{\tau|\Phi} V - h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} = 0 \quad (59)$$

We consider the case that $|\tilde{\Psi}\rangle = |\tilde{\Phi}\rangle + \epsilon |\tilde{\Theta}\rangle$ with infinitesimal ϵ , the leading infinitesimal term of entropy difference is given by

$$\Delta S(\tilde{\Phi} + \epsilon \tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) \approx \epsilon^2 \langle \tilde{\Theta} | h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} | \tilde{\Theta} \rangle, \quad (60)$$

according to (45). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta S(\Phi + \epsilon \Theta, \Phi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) &\approx \epsilon^2 \langle \Theta | h_{\tau|\Phi} | \Theta \rangle \\ &= \epsilon^2 \langle \tilde{\Theta} | V^\dagger h_{\tau|\Phi} V | \tilde{\Theta} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

From the statement 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\tilde{\Phi} + \epsilon \tilde{\Theta}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) &= S(\tilde{\Phi} + \epsilon \Theta; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \\ S(\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) &= S(\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \\ \Rightarrow \Delta S(\tilde{\Phi} + \epsilon \tilde{\Theta}, \tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}) &= \Delta S(\tilde{\Phi} + \epsilon \Theta, \Phi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

which should hold in the leading order, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{\Theta} | V^\dagger h_{\tau|\Phi} V | \tilde{\Theta} \rangle &= \langle \tilde{\Theta} | h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} | \tilde{\Theta} \rangle \\ \Rightarrow V^\dagger h_{\tau|\Phi} V &= h_{\tilde{\tau}|\tilde{\Phi}} \quad \text{as } |\tilde{\Theta}\rangle \text{ is arbitrary.} \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

which ends the proof. Likewise, $S_{rel}(\tilde{\Psi}|\tilde{\Phi}; \mathcal{A}'_{code}) - S_{rel}(\Psi|\Phi; \mathcal{A}'_{phys}) = 0$. \square

V. DISCUSSIONS

In the above derivation, we choose $|\tau\rangle$ to coincide with the trace on \mathcal{A}_{phys} . If the trace on \mathcal{A}_{phys} differs the trace associated with $|\tau\rangle$ by a rescaling, an extra constant appears and shifts the algebraic von Neumann entropy on

\mathcal{A}_{phys} . Naively, it seems like the extra constant coincides with the area term in the RT formula,

$$S_{bdy} = \mathcal{L} + S_{bulk} \quad (64)$$

which however is not the case.

Unlike the shifting constant can be manually chosen to be as small as we want, the area term diverges. Furthermore, it diverges in the same way as the boundary von Neumann entropy does. If we rewrite the RT formula as

$$S_{bdy} - \mathcal{L} = S_{bulk} \quad (65)$$

then the leading divergence on the LHS cancels. Since recent investigations have argued for an algebraic way to regulate S_{bulk} [32–37], which implies that $S_{bdy} - \mathcal{L}$ should

be regarded as the outcome after algebraic regulation, then we should have

$$S_{bulk} = S(\tilde{\Psi}; \mathcal{A}_{code}), \quad S_{bdy} - \mathcal{L} = S(\Psi; \mathcal{A}_{phys}). \quad (66)$$

One future direction is to investigate how the area term \mathcal{L} emerges in the algebraic regulation of S_{bdy} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Yixu Wang, Antony J. Speranza, Qiang Wen, Yiwei Zhong for helpful discussions. The authors thank the Shing-Tung Yau Center of Southeast University for support. HZ was supported by SEU Innovation Capability Enhancement Plan for Doctoral Students (Grant No.CXJH_SEU 24137).

-
- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9711200.
- [2] E. Witten, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 253 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802150.
- [3] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, *Phys. Lett. B* **428**, 105 (1998), arXiv:hep-th/9802109.
- [4] B. Czech, J. L. Karczmarek, F. Nogueira, and M. Van Raamsdonk, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29**, 155009 (2012), arXiv:1204.1330 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Hamilton, D. N. Kabat, G. Lifschytz, and D. A. Lowe, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 066009 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0606141.
- [6] I. A. Morrison, *JHEP* **05**, 053, arXiv:1403.3426 [hep-th].
- [7] R. Bousso, S. Leichenauer, and V. Rosenhaus, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 046009 (2012), arXiv:1203.6619 [hep-th].
- [8] R. Bousso, B. Freivogel, S. Leichenauer, V. Rosenhaus, and C. Zukowski, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 064057 (2013), arXiv:1209.4641 [hep-th].
- [9] V. E. Hubeny and M. Rangamani, *JHEP* **06**, 114, arXiv:1204.1698 [hep-th].
- [10] A. C. Wall, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31**, 225007 (2014), arXiv:1211.3494 [hep-th].
- [11] M. Headrick, V. E. Hubeny, A. Lawrence, and M. Rangamani, *JHEP* **12**, 162, arXiv:1408.6300 [hep-th].
- [12] D. L. Jafferis, A. Lewkowycz, J. Maldacena, and S. J. Suh, *JHEP* **06**, 004, arXiv:1512.06431 [hep-th].
- [13] X. Dong, D. Harlow, and A. C. Wall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 021601 (2016), arXiv:1601.05416 [hep-th].
- [14] J. Polchinski, L. Susskind, and N. Toumbas, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 084006 (1999), arXiv:hep-th/9903228.
- [15] A. Almheiri, X. Dong, and D. Harlow, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015**, 10.1007/jhep04(2015)163 (2015).
- [16] D. Harlow, *PoS TASI2017*, 002 (2018), arXiv:1802.01040 [hep-th].
- [17] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 181602 (2006), arXiv:hep-th/0603001.
- [18] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, *JHEP* **07**, 062, arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th].
- [19] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. C. Myers, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2011**, 10.1007/jhep05(2011)036 (2011).
- [20] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *JHEP* **08**, 090, arXiv:1304.4926 [hep-th].
- [21] T. Nishioka, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 035007 (2018), arXiv:1801.10352 [hep-th].
- [22] T. Faulkner, A. Lewkowycz, and J. Maldacena, *JHEP* **11**, 074, arXiv:1307.2892 [hep-th].
- [23] N. Engelhardt and A. C. Wall, *JHEP* **01**, 073, arXiv:1408.3203 [hep-th].
- [24] Some refer to the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) or Hubeny-Rangamani-Takayanagi (HRT) formula as the one without bulk correction, and call (2) the quantum extremal surface (QES) formula. Accordingly, the RT/HRT surface is also called the quantum extremal surface.
- [25] M. Grassl, T. Beth, and T. Pellizzari, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 33 (1997), arXiv:quant-ph/9610042.
- [26] D. Harlow, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **354**, 865 (2017), arXiv:1607.03901 [hep-th].
- [27] See also appendix A of [52] for a summary proof, and [53–55] for an incomplete list of related developments.
- [28] H. Kamal and G. Penington, (2019), arXiv:1912.02240 [hep-th].
- [29] M. J. Kang and D. K. Kolchmeyer, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **400**, 1665 (2023), arXiv:1811.05482 [hep-th].
- [30] J. Yngvason, *Rept. Math. Phys.* **55**, 135 (2005), arXiv:math-ph/0411058.
- [31] M. J. Kang and D. K. Kolchmeyer, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 126018 (2021), arXiv:1910.06328 [hep-th].
- [32] E. Witten, *JHEP* **10**, 008, arXiv:2112.12828 [hep-th].
- [33] V. Chandrasekaran, R. Longo, G. Penington, and E. Witten, *JHEP* **02**, 082, arXiv:2206.10780 [hep-th].
- [34] K. Jensen, J. Sorce, and A. J. Speranza, *JHEP* **12**, 020, arXiv:2306.01837 [hep-th].
- [35] J. Kudler-Flam, S. Leutheusser, A. A. Rahman, G. Satishchandran, and A. J. Speranza, (2023), arXiv:2312.07646 [hep-th].
- [36] E. Colafranceschi, X. Dong, D. Marolf, and Z. Wang, (2023), arXiv:2310.02189 [hep-th].

- [37] T. Faulkner and A. J. Speranza, (2024), arXiv:2405.00847 [hep-th].
- [38] E. Witten, Rev. Mod. Phys. **90**, 045003 (2018), arXiv:1803.04993 [hep-th].
- [39] V. F. Jones, Von neumann algebras, <https://math.berkeley.edu/~vfr/MATH20909/VonNeumann2009.pdf> (2009).
- [40] J. Sorce, Rev. Math. Phys. **36**, 2430002 (2024), arXiv:2302.01958 [hep-th].
- [41] M. Reed, B. Simon, B. Simon, and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics*, Vol. 1 (Academic press New York, 1972).
- [42] M. Takesaki, *Tomita's theory of modular Hilbert algebras and its applications*, Vol. 128 (Springer, 2006).
- [43] Some literatures use the convention that interchanges the positions of ψ and ξ in the subscript of S .
- [44] H. Araki, Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences **11**, 809 (1975).
- [45] H. Araki, Les rencontres physiciens-mathématiciens de Strasbourg-RCP25 **22**, 1 (1975).
- [46] V. Chandrasekaran, G. Penington, and E. Witten, JHEP **04**, 009, arXiv:2209.10454 [hep-th].
- [47] The splittable condition is crucial in deriving the generalized second law for the generalized entropy in gravitational background [34].
- [48] I. E. Segal, Journal of Mathematics and Mechanics , 623 (1960).
- [49] M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum entropy and its use* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2004).
- [50] R. Longo and E. Witten, Pure Appl. Math. Quart. **19**, 2501 (2023), arXiv:2202.03357 [math-ph].
- [51] If we rescale the trace by a constant $C > 0$:

$$\overline{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{1}{C} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{A}} \Rightarrow \bar{\rho}_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} = C \rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} \quad (67)$$

which is to ensure that $\overline{\text{Tr}}_{\mathcal{A}} \bar{\rho}_{\psi;\mathcal{A}} = 1$. Such rescaling shifts the von Neumann entropy:

$$\bar{S}(\bar{\rho}_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}) = S(\rho_{\psi;\mathcal{A}}) - \log C \quad (68)$$

It seems like only the difference of von Neumann entropy is physically sensible, see [35] for a possible algebraic generalization of the difference of von Neumann entropy.

- [52] H. Zhong, (2024), arXiv:2408.15104 [hep-th].
- [53] T. Faulkner, (2020), arXiv:2008.04810 [hep-th].
- [54] C. Akers and G. Penington, SciPost Phys. **12**, 157 (2022), arXiv:2109.14618 [hep-th].
- [55] E. Gesteau, (2023), arXiv:2302.01938 [hep-th].