# Articles Content<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$ <br>  $\frac{1$ HYPERGRAPHS ARISING FROM THE HADWIGER-NELSON PROBLEM\*

Sean Fiscus

Duke University sean.fiscus@duke.edu

Eric Myzelev University of Pennsylvania myzelev@sas.upenn.edu

Hongyi Zhang Haverford College hzhang3@haverford.edu

#### Abstract

There is a famous problem in geometric graph theory to find the chromatic number of the unit distance graph on Euclidean space; it remains unsolved. A theorem of Erdős and De-Bruijn simplifies this problem to finding the maximum chromatic number of a finite unit distance graph. Via a construction built on sequential finite graphs obtained from a generalization of this theorem, we have found a class of geometrically defined hypergraphs of arbitrarily large edge cardinality, whose proper colorings exactly coincide with the proper colorings of the unit distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We also provide partial generalizations of this result to arbitrary real normed vector spaces.

### 1 Introduction

 $\mathbb{Z}^+$  will denote the set of positive integers. A hypergraph is a pair  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  in which *V* is a non-empty set, the set of vertices of  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $E \subseteq 2^V$  (i.e. *E* is a set of subsets of *V*) satisfying  $e \in E$  implies  $|e| > 2$ . (In other definitions, singletons may be allowed in  $E$  and/or  $E$  may be a multi-set.)  $E$  is the set of hyperedges, or just edges, of  $H$ . A proper coloring of H is a function  $\varphi: V \to C$  = some set of colors, such that

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by NSF DMS grant no. 1950563

no  $e \in E$  is "monochromatic with respect to  $\varphi$ ". This means that for each  $e \in E$ ,  $\varphi|_e$ is not constant. The chromatic number of  $\mathcal H$  is the smallest cardinality  $|C|$  such that there is a proper coloring  $\varphi: V \to C$ .

If  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  is a hypergraph and  $U \subseteq V$ ,  $|U| > 2$ , the subhypergraph of  $\mathcal{H}$ induced by *U* is  $\mathcal{H}|_U = (U, E \cap 2^U)$ . That is, the vertex set of  $\mathcal{H}|_U$  is *U* and the edges of  $\mathcal{H}|_U$  are the edges of  $\mathcal{H}$  that lie in *U*. A hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  in which  $|e| = 2$  for every  $e \in E$  is a simple graph. The De Bruijn-Erdős theorem [1] concerning the chromatic numbers of infinite graphs is as follows:

If  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  is a graph, and  $\chi(\mathcal{H}|_F) \leq m$  for every finite set  $F \subseteq V$ , then  $\chi(\mathcal{H}) \leq m$ .

To prove our results we shall need a known generalization of this theorem to hypergraphs, which will be provided in the next section.

# 2 De Bruijn-Erdős for hypergraphs with finite edges

The following theorem generalizes the De Bruijn-Erdős Theorem to hypergraphs. A different proof may be found in [\[2\]](#page-9-0), Chapter 26.

**Theorem 2.1** (D-E). *Suppose that*  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$  *is a hypergraph with*  $2 \leq |e|$  <  $\infty$  *for every e*  $\in$  *E*, and  $\chi$   $(\mathcal{H}|_F)$   $\leq$  *m* for every finite subset *F* of *V*. Then  $\chi$   $(\mathcal{H})$   $\leq$  *m*.

*Remark* 2.1.1*.* Since enlarging the edges of a hypergraph – i.e. putting new vertices in some of the edges to join those that were there to begin with – would seem to make it easier to avoid monochromatic edges when coloring the vertices, we are wondering if we really need the hypothesis, in Theorem D-E, that all edges of  $\mathcal H$  are finite subsets of V. In the proof to come the hypothesis is actually used, and we do not see a way to avoid that use, except by trading the hypothesis for other, clumsier hypotheses. Is there a mathematical logician in the house?

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem D-E. Let  $[m] = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ . A coloring of V with colors  $1, \ldots, m$  is an element of  $X = [m]^V$  $\prod_{v \in V} [m]$ , the Cartesian product of  $[m]$  with itself |*V*| times. Let  $[m]$  have the discrete topology, in which every singleton is an open set. Since [*m*] is finite, obviously [*m*] is compact (in this or any other topology). By the Tychonoff theorem [\[3\]](#page-9-1), X, with the product topology, is compact.

In the usual definition of the product topology on  $X$ , the basic open neighborhoods of a coloring  $\varphi \in X$  are the sets  $N(\psi, F) = {\psi \in X | \psi|_F = \varphi|_F}, F \in \mathcal{F}(V) = {\text{finite}}$ subsets of *V* }. For  $F \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , let  $Y_F = \{ \varphi \in X \mid \varphi|_F : F \to [m]$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathscr{H}|_F$ . Since  $\chi(\mathscr{H}|_F) \le m, Y_F$  is nonempty. Also,  $Y_F$  is closed in X: if  $\psi \in X \backslash Y_F$ , then  $\psi|_F$  is not a proper coloring of  $H_F$ , so for some  $e \in E \cap 2^F$ ,  $\psi|_e$  is constant (i.e., the vertices in *e* are all assigned the same  $j \in [m]$  by  $\psi$ ). Any coloring in  $N(\psi, e)$  will assign that same *j* to each vertex of *e*; therefore,  $N(\psi, e) \subseteq X \setminus Y_F$ . Thus  $X \setminus Y_F$  is open in the product topology, so  $Y_F$  is closed.

If  $F_1, \ldots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , then  $F_1 \cup \cdots \cup F_t \in \mathcal{F}(V)$ , and we have

$$
\emptyset \neq Y_{\bigcup_{i=1}^t F_i} \subseteq Y_{F_1} \cap \cdots \cap Y_{F_t}
$$

Thus  ${Y_F|F \text{ is in } \mathcal{F}(V)}$  has the finite intersection property: any intersection of finitely many sets from the family is non-empty.

In a compact topological space, the intersection of all the sets in a collection of closed sets with the finite intersection property is non-empty. Therefore  $\bigcap_{F \in \mathscr{F}(V)} Y_F \neq \emptyset$ 0. Suppose  $\varphi \in \bigcap_{F \in \mathscr{F}(V)} Y_F$ . We claim that  $\varphi : V \to [m]$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathscr{H}$ . Suppose that  $e \in E$ . Then *e* is a finite subset of *V*. Since *e* is an edge of  $\mathcal{H}|_{e}$ ,  $\varphi \in Y_e$ implies that  $\varphi$  assigns at least two colors from [m] to the elements of  $e$ .

# 3 Hypergraphs Equivalent to the Unit Distance Graph

The motivation for this result is the following question: Does there exist a finite set of triangles S in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that the number of colors in a coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  required to forbid monochromatic copies of triangles in *S* is the same as the chromatic number of the unit Euclidean distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ? The answer is yes. In fact, we prove a stronger result: in addition to generalizing triangles to arbitrary *m*-point sets, we also show that there is such a set *S* so that a coloring  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  forbids congruent copies of *m*-gons in *S* if and only if  $\varphi$  forbids unit distance. To make this precise, we introduce a notion of equivalence of hypergraphs.

**Definition 3.1.** Let S be a set, and  $\mathcal{H} = (S, E_{\mathcal{H}}), \mathcal{G} = (S, E_{\mathcal{G}})$  be hypergraphs where *S* is the vertex set. We say  $\mathcal{H}$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{G}$  if  $\chi(\mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathcal{G})$  and  $\varphi : S \to C$ , *such that*  $|C| = \chi(\mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathcal{G})$ *, is a proper coloring of*  $\mathcal{H}$  *if and only if*  $\varphi$  *is a proper coloring of*  $\mathscr G$ *.* 

For the purposes of this paper, we define an *m*-gon as an arbitrary set of cardinality *m*. This means that some vertices of the *m*-gon can be collinear, which contradicts the standard geometric definition.

We also define a unit *m*-gon to be an *m*-gon in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  as defined above with the following additional property: there exists at least one pair of points *x*,*y* in *m* which are Euclidean distance 1 apart.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $M \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}^d}$  be a non-empty finite set of m-gons, for some  $m \geq 2$ . Define  $\mathscr{H}(M)$  as the hypergraph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with edge set  $E = \{X \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid X \text{ is congruent in } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ to } \}$ *some*  $T \in M$ *}*.

*Then there must exist some finite set S of*  $(m+1)$ *-gons, such that*  $\mathcal{H}(M)$  *is equivalent to*  $\mathcal{H}(S)$ *, where*  $\mathcal{H}(S)$  *is an* (*m*+1)*-uniform hypergraph with edge set*  $E = \{Y \in$  $\mathbb{R}^d$  | *Y* is congruent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to some element of S }.

*Proof.* We shall proceed by recursively obtaining sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots; F_1, F_2, \ldots$  satisfying:

- 1.  $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \ldots$
- 2. Each  $S_j$  is a finite set of  $(m+1)$ -gons in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with each  $(m+1)$ -gon containing some  $m$ -gon  $X \in M$  as a subset of its points.
- 3. Defining  $E_j := \{$  congruent copies in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of the  $(m+1)$ -gons in  $S_j\}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_j :=$  $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_j)$ , we obtain  $F_j$ , a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus M$  such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_j|_{F_j}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_j)$ .
- 4. *Sj*+<sup>1</sup> = *S<sup>j</sup>* ∪ {*X* ∪ {*z*}|*z* ∈ *F<sup>j</sup>* ,*X* ∈ *M*}.

Before giving the recursion, let us note that if the  $S_j$ ,  $F_j$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_j = (\mathbb{R}^d, E_j)$  are as above then we have the following observations.

- (i) Since, for each  $j = 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $e \in E_j$ , *e* contains a copy of some  $X \in M$ ,  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_i) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}(M)).$
- (ii) In view of the definition of  $E_j$ ,  $S_1 \subseteq S_2 \subseteq \dots$  implies that  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ , and thus  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_1) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}_2) \leq \ldots$
- (iii)  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(M))$  is finite. To see this, observe that in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the Euclidean norm || ||, the sets  $\{x, y\}$  congruent to a two-set  $\{u, v\}$  are just the sets satisfying  $||x - y|| = ||u - v||$ . Therefore, a coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  forbidding congruent copies of  $\{u, v\}$  is, in other jargon, the same as a coloring which forbids the distance  $||u - v||$ . For every positive distance, the smallest number of colors needed to forbid that distance is  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ , the chromatic number of the Euclidean unit distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Suppose that  $|M| = n$  (recall that *M* is finite). From each  $T \in M$  select a 2-set *D*; let the 2-sets selected be

 $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n$  and the distances determined by the 2 points in these sets be  $d_1, ..., d_n$ . For each  $i = 1, ..., n$ , let  $\varphi_i$  be a coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$  colors that forbids the distance  $d_i$ . Now color  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by an assignment  $\psi$  of n-tuples:  $\psi(r) = (\varphi_1(r), ..., \varphi_n(r))$ . We now have colored  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\chi(R^d, 1)^n < \infty$  colors, and it is easy to see that  $\psi$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathscr{H}(M)$ : For any  $T' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ congruent to some  $T \in M$ ,  $\mathscr{T}'$  will contain a doubleton congruent to one of the  $D_i$ ; to the two vectors in that doubleton,  $\varphi_i$  will assign different colors, which means that  $\psi$  will assign different n-tuples to the 2 vectors, which means that  $T'$ is not monochromatic.

- (iv) By (i), (iii), and Theorem D-E, it follows that for each *j* there is a finite set  $F_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_j|_{F_j}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_j)$ .
- (v) Suppose  $\rho$  is an isometry of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and F is a finite non-empty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Because  $\rho$  maps each  $e \in E_j$  to an edge  $\rho(e) \in E_j$ ,  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_j|_F) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_j|_{\rho(F)})$ .

The recursion:

Let  $S_1 = \{X \cup \{a\} \mid X \in M\}$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \bigcup_{T \in M} T$ . Let  $F_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a finite set such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_1|_{F_1}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_1)$ ; as explained in (iv) above, Theorem D-E guarantees the existence of such an  $F_1$ , and by (v), we can assume  $F_1 \cap (\bigcup_{T \in M} T) = \emptyset$ ; if  $F_1 \cap$  $(\bigcup_{T \in M} T) \neq \emptyset$ , replace  $F_1$  by a translate of itself.

From there, the recursion is dictated in 3 and 4: From  $S_j$  we get  $E_j$ ; the existence of  $F_j$  is guaranteed. Then we define  $S_{j+1}$  by 4, above, and roll on.

Since the integer sequence  $(\chi(\mathcal{H}_j))_j$  is non-decreasing and bounded above by  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(M))$ , clearly it will be eventually constant. If that eventual constant value were  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(M))$ , we would be almost done, except for showing that every proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_k$  also properly colors  $\mathcal{H}(M)$ . All will be accomplished by the following.

Clearly  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_1) \geq 2 > 1$  whereas  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}(M)) \leq k$  for  $k \geq \chi(\mathcal{H}(M))$ . Therefore there is a first value of  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq k$ .

$$
k-1 < \chi(\mathcal{H}_{k-1}) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}_k) \leq k
$$

whence  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{k-1}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_k) = k \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}(M)).$ 

Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{1, ..., k\}$  be a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_k$ , and since  $S_{k-1} \subset S_k$ ,  $\varphi$  is also a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1}$ . If  $\varphi$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}(M)$ , then  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(M)) = k$ , and, since  $\varphi$  is an arbitrarily chosen proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_k$ , the claim of this theorem will be affirmed, with  $S = S_k$ .

Suppose, on the contrary, that  $\varphi$  does not properly color  $\mathcal{H}(M)$ , implying that for some  $X' = \{a_1, ..., a_m\}$  a congruent copy of some  $X \in M$ ,  $\varphi(a_1) = \varphi(a_2) = ... =$  $\varphi(a_m)$ . We can, without loss of generality, convene that  $\varphi(a_1) = ... = \varphi(a_m) = k$ . Let  $\rho : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  be an isometry such that  $\rho(X) = X'$ . Consider any point  $\rho(z) \in \rho(F_{k-1}),$ and note that if it was colored with color *k* then  $X' \cup \{\rho(z)\}\$  would be monochromatic and congruent to  $X \cup \{z\}$ , an edge in  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1}$ , which is impossible since  $\varphi$  properly colors  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1}$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_{k-1} |_{F_{k-1}}$  with  $k-1$  colors. This means that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{k-1}) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_{k-1} |_{F_{k-1}}) \leq k-1$ , and contradicts the fact that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_{k-1}) = k$ . П П

**Corollary 3.1.1.** *For all integers*  $m \geq 2$ *, there exists a finite set S of unit m-gons, such that*  $\mathscr{H}(S)$ ) *is equivalent to*  $(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ *, where*  $\mathscr{H}(S) = \mathscr{H}(\mathbb{R}^d, E)$  *and*  $E = \{X \subset \mathbb{R}^d \mid X\}$ is congruent in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to some element of S and  $(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$  is the Euclidean unit distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement by induction. When  $m = 2$ , the corollary is trivially true.

Now consider  $m > 2$  and suppose that the corollary holds true for  $m - 1$ . Then there exists a finite set  $S_{m-1}$  of  $m-1$ -gons as asserted in the Corollary. By the Theorem, there exists a finite set  $S_m$  of *m*-gons such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_m)) = \chi(\mathcal{H}(S_{m-1}))$ , and any proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}(S_m)$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_m))$  colors is also a proper coloring of  $\mathscr{H}(S_{m-1}).$ 

According to the inductive hypothesis,

 $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_{m-1})) = \chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ , and any proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}(S_{m-1})$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_{m-1}))$ colors is also a proper coloring of the Euclidean unit distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus,  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_m)) = \chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ , and any proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}(S_m)$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(S_m))$  colors is also a proper coloring of the Euclidean unit distance graph on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

Also note that because there are two points distance 1 apart in all of the *m*−1-gons in *Sm*−1, for every *m*-gon in *Sm*, there must also be two points distance 1 apart. That is,  $S_m$  is a finite set of unit *m*-gons. п

# 4 Generalizing to Non-Euclidean Norms on R*<sup>d</sup>*

In the preceding sections, distance in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  was provided by the Euclidean norm, hereinafter to be denoted as  $|| \cdot ||_2$ . Some, but not all, of Theorem 3.1 and its corollary survives generalization to the setting of a finite-dimensional normed vector space over

 $\mathbb R.$  Without loss of generality, the vector space will be  $\mathbb R^d$  and the norm will be denoted as  $|| \cdot ||$ .

Two sets  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  are *congruent copies* of each other in  $(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||)$  if and only if one of them is the image of the other under a composition, in either order, of a surjective linear isometry of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||)$  and a translation. With this definition of congruence, we lose one of the support beams to our geometric intuition that may seem essential to the proof of Theorem 3.1: there can exist  $u, v, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $||u - v|| = ||x - y|| > 0$ and yet  $\{u, v\}$  and  $\{x, y\}$  are not congruent.

However, note: if  $\{u, v\}$  and  $\{x, y\}$  are congruent, then  $||u - v|| = ||x - y||$ . Consequently, if  $\varphi$  is a coloring of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $|| \cdot ||$  which forbids a distance  $a > 0$ , then  $|\varphi(e)| > 1$ if  $e \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  contains two points a distance *a* apart.

For  $a > 0$  let  $\chi((\mathbb{R}^{\overline{d}}, || \cdot ||), a)$  denote the smallest  $|C|$  such that some coloring  $\varphi$ :  $\mathbb{R}^d \to C$  forbids the distance *a*. By the properties of norms, it is clear that  $\chi((\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot$  $||$ ,*a*) =  $\chi((\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||), 1)$  for all *a* > 0. Also very important for our generalizations:

**Lemma 4.1.** *For all*  $|| \cdot ||$ ,  $\chi((\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||), 1) < \infty$ .

This is well known, but we will provide a proof outline in an Appendix.

From Lemma 4.1 and the definition of congruence we obtain, as in section 3, and by the same argument, the following.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that* § *is a finite collection of subsets of* R *d , each subset with at* least 2 elements, and  $\mathscr{H}(\S) = (\mathbb{R}^d,E(\S))$  is defined by  $E(\S) = \{T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d | T \text{ is congruent}\}$ *to some*  $S \in \S$ *}. Then*  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(\S)) < \infty$ *.* 

**Theorem 4.3.** For any norm  $||\cdot||$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , Theorem 3.1 holds with the Euclidean norm *replaced by* ||·||*, provided the phrase "congruent in* R *<sup>d</sup>" is replaced by "congruent in*  $(\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||).$ "

In view of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, the proof is straightforward; follow the path of argument in section 3.

However, generalizing Corollary 3.1.1 looks to us like a lost cause. In the case  $|| \cdot || = || \cdot ||_2$ , for any two unit vectors *u*, *v*, there is a linear isometry of  $(\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||_2)$  that takes *u* into *v*, whence  $\{0, u\}$  and  $\{0, v\}$  are congruent. Thus the graph  $((\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||), 1)$ is the hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}({0,u})$ , which makes the base of the induction proof of the Corollary, when  $m = 2$ , "trivial".

Given a non-Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we can, with reference to Theorem 4.3, find  $\S_2 \subseteq \S_3 \subseteq \dots$  such that  $\S_m$  is a finite set of unit *m*-gons in  $(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||)$ ,  $m = 2, 3, \ldots$ , and the hypergraphs  $\mathcal{H}(\S_m)$  are all equivalent, but we can only be sure that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}(\S_m)) \leq \chi((\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||), 1)$ , and even if we happen to have equality, we see no way of assuring that every proper coloring of  $\chi(\S_m)$  with number of colors equal to the chromatic number of graph will also properly color the unit distance graph on  $(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||).$ 

On the other hand, we have no proof that there is no generalization of Corollary 3.1.1 for some or all non-Euclidean norms  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d > 1$ . Perhaps the question is worth investigating. (Yes, we are aware that Corollary 3.1.1 will hold for every norm arising from an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ;  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with such a norm is linearly and isometrically isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||_2)$ .)

We can obtain *m*-uniform hypergraphs in  $(\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||)$  with the same chromatic number as  $((\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||), 1)$  if we abandon the practice of defining edge sets as the sets congruent to one of a finite set of *m*-gons. However, our method does not provably produce hypergraphs equivalent to the unit distance graph on  $(\mathbb{R}^d, || \cdot ||)$ .

Let  $Q$  be a collection of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , each with at least 2 elements, and let

$$
E_0 = \{ e \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \mid \text{for some } f \in \mathcal{Q}, e \text{ and } f \text{ are congruent} \}
$$

For each  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , let

$$
B_t = \{ f \cup T \mid f \in Q, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d, f \cap T = \emptyset, \text{ and } |T| = t \}
$$
  

$$
E_t = \{ e \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \mid \text{ for some } g \in B_t, e \text{ and } g \text{ are congruent} \}
$$

It will be important to notice that because, for each  $f \in Q$ , we form infinitely many sets in *B<sub>t</sub>* by taking the union of *f* with each and every *t*−subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus f$ , it follows that if  $e \in E_0$ ,  $T' \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus e$ , and  $|T'| = t$ , then  $e \cup T' \in E_t$ .

**Theorem 4.4.** With  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+, Q, B_t, E_0$ , and  $E_t$  as above, let  $\mathcal{H}_0 = (\mathbb{R}^d, E_0)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_t =$  $(\mathbb{R}^d, E_t)$ *.* If  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_0) < \infty$  then  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_t) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_0)$ *.* 

*Proof.* Since each  $e \in E_t$  contains an  $e' \in E_0$ , it follows that a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ will also serve as a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_t$ . Thus,

$$
\chi(\mathscr{H}_t) \leq \chi(\mathscr{H}_0) < \infty
$$

By the same argument,

$$
\chi(\mathcal{H}_t) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1}) \leq \chi(\mathcal{H}_0)
$$

It suffices to show that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_t) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1})$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

Let  $k = \chi(\mathcal{H}_t)$  and suppose that  $k < \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1})$ . Let  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{1, ..., k\}$  be such that no edge  $g \in E_t$  is monochromatic, with reference to  $\varphi$ .

Since  $k < \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1})$ , there must exist some  $B \in E_{t-1}$  such that  $\varphi$  assigns the same color to every element of *B*. Without loss of generality, we can assume that this color is *k*.

For every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B$ ,  $B \cup \{u\} \in E_t$ . Therefore  $\varphi(u) \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$ ; otherwise,  $B \cup \{u\}$  would be monochromatic under the coloring  $\varphi$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus B$  is a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_{\vert \mathbb{R}^d \setminus B}$  with  $k-1$  colors.

We shall now use Theorem  $D - E$  to prove the existence of a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_t$  with colors  $\{1,...,k-1\}$  which will contradict  $k = \chi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ . Since this contradiction descends from the assumption that

 $k = \chi(\mathcal{H}_t) < \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1})$  it will follow that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_t) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_{t-1})$  and the theorem will be proven.

Suppose  $F \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is finite and  $\chi(\mathcal{H}_t|_F) = \chi(\mathcal{H}_t)$ . We aim to show that  $\mathcal{H}_t|_F$  can be properly colored with no more than  $k - 1$  colors, which will imply that

$$
\chi(\mathcal{H}_t)=\chi(\mathcal{H}_t|_F)\leq k-1 < k=\chi(\mathcal{H}_t).
$$

Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be such that  $(v + F) \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $\varphi$  colors  $v + F$  with no more than

*k* − 1 colors so that for each  $\alpha \in 2^{\nu+F} \cap E_t$ ,  $\varphi$  assigns more than one color to the elements of  $\alpha$ . Now color *F* as follows: color  $f \in F$  with  $\varphi(v + f)$ . Since every translate of every  $\alpha \in E_t$  is in  $E_t$ , and no  $\alpha \in 2^{\nu+F} \cap E_t$  is monochromatic under coloring by  $\varphi$ , we have what we wanted, a proper coloring of  $\mathcal{H}_t|_F$  with colors from  $\{1, ..., k-1\}.$  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 4.4.1.** Let  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For each  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $m > 2$ , *define*  $E_m = \{ T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \mid |T| = m$  and T contains 2 points  $||\cdot||$ -distance 1 apart $\}$ . Let  $\mathscr{G}_m = (\mathbb{R}^d, E_m)$ . Then  $\chi(\mathscr{G}_m) = \chi((\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||), 1)$  for all m.

*Proof.* If  $Q = \{\{\underline{0}, u\}| u \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ and } ||u|| = 1\}$ , then, in terms used in the Theorem's statement,  $\mathscr{H}_0 = ((\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||), 1)$ , the unit distance graph on  $(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||)$  and, for each  $m > 2$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{t-2} = \mathcal{G}_m$ . The conclusion follows from the Theorem. □

# 5 Explicit Construction of Hypergraph

In this section, we are in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the usual Euclidean norm. We will give a "constructive" proof of a weaker version of Theorem 3.1.

By applying Theorem D-E for hypergraphs to Theorem 3.1, it can be shown that for every finite *m*-uniform hypergraph in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , there must exist a finite  $(m+1)$ -uniform hypergraph of equal chromatic number. However, this deduction is

non-constructive because all known proofs of Theorem D-E use the axiom of choice, and thus we have no control over what the finite hypergraph might look like. In this section, we show how to take a finite *m*-uniform hypergraph and construct a finite  $(m+1)$ -uniform hypergraph of equal chromatic number. This allows us to "construct", for any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $m > 2$ , a finite *m*-uniform hypergraph in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with chromatic number equal to  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ . We use "construct" in quotations, however, because this hypergraph construction will use a finite unit distance graph *G* with chromatic number  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a finite, m-uniform hypergraph with vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . There  $e$ xists a finite,  $(m+1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathscr{H}^{\prime}$  with vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^{d}$  such that  $\pmb{\chi}(\mathscr{H}^{\prime})=0$  $\chi(\mathscr{H})$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k = \chi(\mathcal{H})$ , and *F* be the vertex set  $V(\mathcal{H})$ . Note that for all translates  $F + v \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  of *F* where  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the chromatic number of corresponding hypergraph  $\mathcal{H} + v$ , with vertex set  $V(\mathcal{H} + v) = F + v$  and  $E(\mathcal{H} + v) = \{e + v \mid e \in E(\mathcal{H})\}$ , must also be *k*.

We now construct an  $(m+1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}'$  in the following way:

- 1. Let the vertex set of  $\mathcal{H}$  be the union of *k* disjoint translates of  $F = V(\mathcal{H})$ , which we will call  $F_1, F_2, ..., F_k$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_i$  the translate of  $\mathcal{H}$  onto  $F_i$ .
- 2. Define the edge set of  $\mathcal{H}'$  by

$$
E(\mathcal{H}') = \{ \{ e \cup v \} \mid e \in \mathcal{H}_i, v \in F_j, \text{ where } j > i \}
$$

In other words,  $E(\mathcal{H}')$  consists of all  $(m+1)$ -gons such that *m* points are from an edge in  $\mathcal{H}_i$  and the remaining point is from some  $F_i$  with  $j > i$ .

By construction,  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite and  $(m + 1)$ -uniform. Also, any proper coloring  $\varphi$  of  $\mathscr H$  can be extended to a proper coloring of  $\mathscr H'$  by coloring each copy  $F_i$  of *V*( $\mathcal{H}$ ) in *V*( $\mathcal{H}'$ ) by the same colors assigned to *V*( $\mathcal{H}$ ). Doing so, all  $e \in E(\mathcal{H}_i)$ will be properly colored, implying that all  $e \in E(\mathcal{H}')$  must be properly colored by construction. Thus, we have shown that  $k \geq \chi(H')$ , and need only to confirm that  $k \ngeq \chi(H')$ . To show this, we demonstrate that any coloring of  $\mathcal{H}'$  with fewer than *k* colors must yield a monochromatic edge.

Consider a coloring  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{1, ..., k-1\}$ . It is clear  $\varphi$  must not properly color  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for each  $\mathcal{H}_i \in \mathcal{H}_1, ..., \mathcal{H}_k$ . Thus for each  $\mathcal{H}_i$ , there must be some edge  $e =$  ${a_1, ..., a_m} \in E(\mathcal{H}_i)$  such that  $\varphi(a_1) = ... = \varphi(a_m)$ .

We now show that there must be an edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  which  $\varphi$  monochromatically colors.

Let  $e_1$  be a monochromatic edge in  $E(\mathcal{H}_1)$ . Without loss of generality suppose that  $\varphi(e_1) = \{k-1\}$ . If any vertex  $v \in F_2 \cup ... \cup F_k$  were colored by  $k-1$ , we would have found a monochromatic edge in  $\mathcal{H}'$ , by our construction of the edges in  $\mathcal{H}'$ . Thus, we can assume that  $\varphi(v) \in \{1, ..., k-2\}$  for  $v \in F_2 \cup ... \cup F_k$ .

Likewise, there must be a monochromatic edge  $e_2$  in  $E(\mathcal{H}_2)$ , because  $F_2$  is colored now with even fewer colors than  $F_1$  was. Because  $\varphi(F_2) \in \{1, ..., k-2\}$ , we can without loss of generality suppose that  $\varphi(e_2) = \{k-2\}.$ 

Continuing in this fashion until reaching  $F_k$ , we see that for each color  $\alpha \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$ 1}, there must appear a monochromatic edge in some  $\mathcal{H}_i$  for  $i \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$ . Now consider  $\varphi(v)$  for  $v \in F_k$ . No matter which color is chosen for *v*, there will appear a monochromatic edge in  $\mathcal{H}$  of the form  $e_i \cup v$  for some  $e_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ . We see that it is impossible to properly color  $\mathcal{H}'$  with fewer than *k* colors, implying that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}') = k$ . п

**Corollary 5.1.1.** *For all integers*  $m \geq 2$ *, there exists a finite, m-uniform hypergraph*  $\mathscr{H}'$  with vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\chi(\mathscr{H}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ .

*Proof.* We prove the statement by induction. When  $m = 2$ , the result follows directly from the D-E Theorem.

Now consider  $m > 2$  and suppose the theorem holds true for  $m - 1$ . Then there exists a finite,  $(m-1)$ -uniform hypergraph  $\mathscr H$  with vertices in  $\mathbb R^d$  such that  $\chi(\mathscr H)$  =  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ . By the previous theorem, there must exist an *m*-uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$ with vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\chi(\mathcal{H}') = \chi(\mathcal{H}) = \chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1)$ .  $\Box$  $\Box$ 

*Remark* 5.1.1. When  $m = 2$ , the hypergraph is not obtained constructively, but with this method, we still have control over the large-scale geometric structure of the *m*uniform hypergraph based on how we position the finite hypergraphs when *m* > 2, and hence the term "construct".

# Appendix

Summary of the proof that for any norm  $||\cdot||$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, 1) < \infty$ .

Let  $||\cdot||$  be a norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$  be the norm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defined by  $||(a_1,...,a_d)||_{\infty}$  $=$  max  $[|a_i|; 1 \le i \le d].$ 

The proof of this well-known result will rely on the even better-known fact that any two norms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are equivalent. The equivalence of  $||\cdot||$  and  $||\cdot||_{\infty}$  means that there exist *c*, *C* > 0 such that for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$
c||u||_{\infty} \leq ||u|| \leq C||u||_{\infty}.
$$

The rightmost inequality can be used to prove the existence of  $\epsilon > 0$  such that the  $||\cdot||$ -diameter of the d-dimensional cube  $[0,\varepsilon]^d$  is  $< 1$ . [Just take  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{c}$ .] Then the leftmost inequality above implies the existence of an integer  $m > 0$  such that for each of the unit coordinate vectors  $e_j = (S_{1j},...,S_{dj})$  (in which  $S_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta),  $||(m-1)e_j|| > 1.$ 

Next we partition the cube  $Q = [0, m\epsilon)^d$  into  $m^d$  little cubes,  $\sum_{t=0}^{d-1} [j_t \epsilon, (j_t + 1)\epsilon)$ , (*j*<sub>0</sub>,..., *j*<sub>*d*−1</sub>) ∈ {0,1,...,*m* − 1}<sup>*d*</sup>, and color *Q* with *m<sup>d</sup>* colors; one to each little cube. Finally we color  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with those same colors by tiling  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with translates (with colors attached) of *Q*.

This will be a proper coloring of  $((\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||), 1)$  because any two points bearing the same color are either within the same little cube— no two points of which are  $|| \cdot ||$ -distance  $\geq 1$  apart,— or in two different little cubes, in which case the distance between them is  $> 1$ .

Thus  $\chi(\mathbb{R}^d, ||\cdot||) \leq m^d < \infty$ .

### Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge that this paper was written with much advice from Dr. Peter Johnson, our mentor during the 2023 NSF-funded summer REU program at Auburn University. We extend our deepest gratitude for his generous guidance on this project and others throughout our time at Auburn.

# References

- [1] N. G. De Bruijn and P. Erdős, A color problem for infinite graphs and a problem in the theory of relations, Indagationes Mathematicae 13 (1951), 369-373.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>[2] Soifer, A. (2008) *The Mathematical Coloring Book: Mathematics of Coloring and the Colorful Life of its Creators*. Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-74642-5.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>[3] Munkres, J. (2000). Topology, Prentice Hall.