

Evolution of cooperation in a three-strategy game combining snowdrift and stag hunt games

Hirofumi Takesue*

Tokyo Metropolitan University

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the evolutionary dynamics of a three-strategy game that combines snowdrift and stag hunt games. This game is motivated by an experimental study, which found that individual solution lowers cooperation levels. Agents adopting this option aim to address a problem to the extent necessary to remove negative impact on themselves, although they do not free ride on cooperation effort provided by others. This property of the individual solution is similar to that of option defection in the stag hunt. Thus, the role of the interplay of defection in the snowdrift game and individual solution was examined in this study. The well-mixed population has two asymptotically stable rest points, one wherein the individual solution occupies the population, and the other wherein cooperation and defection coexist. The interactions on a square lattice enlarge the parameter region wherein cooperation survives, and the three strategies often coexist. The scrutinization of the evolutionary process shows that multiple mechanisms lead to the coexistence of the three strategies depending on parameter values. Our analysis suggests that considering the individual solution adds complexity to the evolutionary process, which might contribute to our understanding on the evolution of cooperation.

Introduction

Research conducted over more than half a century has revealed that various mechanisms support the evolution of cooperation [1]. The prisoner's dilemma game is considered as one of the most canonical models of the problem of cooperation. The payoffs for mutual cooperation (R) are larger than those of mutual defection (P). However, unilateral defection achieves further individually beneficial outcomes (T) compared with mutual cooperation. In addition, the outcome of mutual defection is preferable to unilateral cooperation (S). The relationship $T > R > P > S$ characterizes the prisoner's dilemma and represents the contradiction between the social benefits of mutual cooperation and the temptation of free riding. Other games are also widely adopted to examine different social dilemmas, such as snowdrift (SD) and stag hunt (SH) games. The payoff order of the SD game is $T > R > S > P$, whereas that of SH game is

$R > T \geq P > S$. These games have different issues compared with the prisoner's dilemma [2, 3]. Various mechanisms have been proposed, including kin selection [4], direct reciprocity in repeated interactions [5], reputation [6, 7], selection of interaction partners [8], punishment on non-cooperation [9], and interactions on networks [10, 11], to explain the cooperation observed in societies.

Despite the progress in understanding the evolution of cooperation, recent experiments have suggested that the problem becomes complicated, and cooperation is impeded by the introduction of the third behavioral option, that is, individual solutions [12, 13]. People who adopt this third option do not free ride on the cooperation investment provided by others, but they try to solve the problem to the extent necessary to remove negative impact on themselves and do not contribute to collective solutions. Considering climate change, some people may try to collectively solve the problem itself, but others who adopt individual solutions may move away from susceptible areas and avert the damage of environmental problems [13]. In their

*Electronic address: hir.takesue@gmail.com

innovative studies, Gross and colleagues introduce experiments with three behavioral options [12, 13]. Investment into public pool corresponds to cooperation in classic social dilemmas, and all the members can enjoy the benefits provided by collective solutions if sufficient investment is made. Keeping resource corresponds to defection, and participants can enjoy the benefits of collective solutions for free (as long as the collective benefit is provided by others). The individual solution is a novel option. Each participant can ensure payoffs to a certain extent even the provision of collective solution has failed. This solution is less efficient than the successful provision of collective solutions because of the lack of scale merit. However, many participants in the experiment selected this safe option, and socially efficient outcomes achieved by the collective solution are impeded.

In this paper, a three-strategy game that combines the SD game and the SH game was considered to examine the role of the individual solution. We call this game the SDSH game. The original game introduced in the experiment has complex components such as repeated interactions and threshold of collective solutions. This study aims to examine the mechanism by which an individual solution affects the evolutionary dynamics by considering a stylized game. Two action options of the SDSH game correspond to investing in collective solutions and keeping resources in the experimental game. These two strategies are cooperation and defection in the classical SD game. Temptation to free riding urges to keep one's own resources, but failure to provide collective goods leads to serious ramifications. The individual solution is the third action, and its function is similar to defection in the SH game. The payoff for selecting the individual solution is smaller than that of mutual cooperation; thus, the individual solution is socially less efficient. However, the individual solution ensures constant payoffs regardless of the action of the partner, which prevents the solitary contribution to the collective solution. Therefore, cooperation and individual solution are similar to the two strategies in the SH game.

Analysis of the SDSH game shows two stable equilibria in a well-mixed population. In the first equilibrium, all the agents select the individual solution, and this equilibrium is always asymptotically stable. The second equilibrium is the mixture of cooperation and defection, and its stability depends on parameter values. Games in a structured population support cooperation, and all the strategies coexist in some stationary states. In addition, the coexistence of

the three strategies is achieved by multiple mechanisms depending on parameter values. This analysis documents the role of a spatial structure in overcoming the reliance on the individual solution.

Model

The SDSH game, which is a three-strategy game, has the properties of the SD and SH games. This game is represented by the following payoff matrix:

$$\begin{array}{c} C \\ D \\ I \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} b - c & b - 2c & b - 2c \\ b & 0 & 0 \\ b - c_I & b - c_I & b - c_I \end{pmatrix},$$

where C , D , and I represent the cooperation, defection, and individual solution, respectively. Cooperation produces collective benefits, and both agents gain the benefit (b). When both agents select cooperation, the cost of cooperation is c . For simplicity, providing collective benefits costs two times as much as that in the case of unilateral cooperation. Agents who select defection enjoy the benefit without the cost of cooperation when the partner selects C . However, D results in the worst payoff, 0, when the partner does not cooperate. Selecting the individual solution ensures a constant payoff: $b - c_I (> 0)$. The partner's cooperation does not have an effect because selecting I already generates the required outcomes. We assume that $0 < c < c_I < 2c$, which indicates that the individual solution is costly than mutual cooperation, but solitary provision of the collective benefits costs even more. The SD game is recovered if we focus C and D under the assumption that $b > 2c$; the SH game is recovered if we focus C and I . We suppose that $0 < c < c_I < 2c < b$, thereby summing up the assumptions introduced in this paragraph.

Evolutionary dynamics of the SDSH game is examined in well-mixed and structured populations [10, 11]. In the well-mixed population, the evolutionary process follows replicator dynamics. The rest points and their stability are examined. The frequencies of the three strategies in equilibrium states (ρ_C , ρ_D , and ρ_I) are the primarily reported outcomes. In the structured population, the evolution proceeds on a square lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The following Monte Carlo simulations are conducted to examine the evolutionary dynamics. In each elementary timestep, one agent, i , is randomly selected out of $N = L^2$ agents; one of the i 's neighbor, j , is also

randomly selected. The agent i may imitate the strategy of the agent j based on payoff comparison. Both agents participate in the games with their four neighbors and accumulate payoffs (Π_i and Π_j). The probability of strategy imitation is calculated as $1/[1 + \exp(\beta(\Pi_i - \Pi_j))]$, where β refers to the intensity of selection. Mutations occur with a small probability of μ , and the agent i adopts a randomly selected strategy. In a Monte Carlo step (MCS), each agent has one opportunity to update the strategy on average. Agents' strategies are assigned randomly at the initial state. Strategy frequencies in stationary states are also denoted as ρ_C , ρ_D , and ρ_I . In typical simulations, the length of the relaxation period is set to 2×10^5 MCSs and that of the sampling period is set to 2×10^4 MCSs. The mean values of four simulation runs are reported to enhance statistical accuracy. In verifying the outcomes of the well-mixed population, a similar simulation is conducted on a complete network.

Results

Replicator dynamics has two asymptotically stable equilibria. In the first equilibrium, all the agents adopt the individual solution. Stability is confirmed by examining the payoff matrix; selecting I achieves the largest payoffs when interacting with the partner who selects I . This equilibrium is stable regardless of the parameter values (Figure 1). The second equilibrium consists of cooperation and defection, in which the cooperation frequency is $(b - 2c)/(b - c)$. The stability of this equilibrium depends on parameter values; this equilibrium is stable in the right panel but not in the left panel.

The stability of the second equilibrium can be depicted by using a simple condition. In examining the equilibrium stability, agent-based simulations were conducted on a complete network where the initial condition is this equilibrium. Figure 2 shows the resultant strategy frequencies of cooperation and defection. When the equilibrium is unstable, the population evolves to the state wherein (almost) all the agents adopt the individual solution (the lower left region of the curve). By contrast, the equilibrium strategy frequencies are maintained with some parameter values. The calculation shown in the Appendix indicates that this equilibrium is asymptotically stable when $(b - c)(c_I - c) > c^2$, which is visualized by the upper right region of the curve in the figure.

The interaction on a square lattice shows more complex




Fig. 1 Replicator dynamics of the SDSH game. The left panel shows that the asymptotically stable rest point is dominated by the individual solution ($b = 3$, $c = 1$, and $c_I = 1.2$). The right panel shows that the mixed strategy equilibrium consisting of cooperation and defection is also stable ($b = 3.6$, $c = 1$, and $c_I = 1.6$). This figure is produced by the software used in the visualization of evolutionary dynamics [14].




Fig. 2 Strategy frequencies observed in the agent-based simulations on the complete network. The curve represents the relationship $(b - c)(c_I - c) = c^2$. The lower left parameter region of the curve corresponds to a region wherein the mixed strategy equilibrium is unstable, whereas the upper right region corresponds to a region wherein it is asymptotically stable. Parameters: $N = 120^2$, $c = 1$, $\beta = 10$, and $\mu = 10^{-4}$.




Fig. 3 Frequencies of cooperation and defection as a function of the benefit of cooperation (b) and the cost of the individual solution (c_I). ρ_C reaches the maximum values with moderate values of c_I when b is large. Otherwise, the system shows more complex behavior; the coexistence of the three strategies is observed with moderate and large values of c_I . Parameters: $L = 120, c = 1, \beta = 10$, and $\mu = 10^{-4}$.

outcomes, including the coexistence of the three strategies (Figure 3). The small cost of the individual solution leads to the dominance of the individual solution as in the well-mixed population; both values (ρ_C and ρ_D) are negligible in the left regions of the panels. The increase in the cost of the individual solution leads to the stationary state wherein all the three strategies coexist. The qualitative patterns of the frequencies of the three strategies depend on the values of b . When the benefit is large ($b \geq 2.32$ in the figure), ρ_D increases monotonically with the value of c_I , whereas ρ_C reaches the maximum value with moderate values of c_I . The strategy frequencies show a more complex pattern with a small benefit ($2.06 \leq b \leq 2.3$ in the figure). The value of ρ_C reaches the local maximum, but the further increase in c_I leads to the re-emergence of the increase in the frequencies of the individual solution. (Both ρ_C and ρ_D are negligible.) In some cases ($b \leq 2.16$), the individual solution almost occupies the population again. However, the coexistence of the three strategies is observed again with the further increase of c_I . Notably, the coexistence of the three strategies can be ascribed to the lattice, as no corresponding equilibrium is observed in the well-mixed population.

As shown in Figure 4, the same patterns are observed when using a larger system size (up to $L = 600$). When $b = 2.6$, ρ_C reaches the maximum value with intermediate values of c_I , whereas the values of ρ_D (ρ_I) monotonically increase (decrease). By contrast, when $b = 2.1$, the values of ρ_C and ρ_D show a local maximum. The frequencies of




Fig. 4 Frequencies of the three strategies as a function of c_I for different values of b . The patterns observed in Figure 3 are replicated using a larger system size. For $b = 2.1$, the system size is 600 when $c_I = 1.52, 1.62$, and 1.7 . For $b = 2.6$, the system size is 480 when $c_I = 1.5$. A larger system size (e.g., $L = 800$) reaches the same results. In other cases, $L = 120$. Parameters: $c = 1, \beta = 10$, and $\mu = 10^{-4}$.

cooperation and defection are sizable compared with the mutation probability ($\mu = 10^{-4}$). In addition, the dominance of the individual solution and the coexistence of the three strategies are observed two times each in different parameter regions. Our next analysis focuses on the mechanism of the rise and fall of the strategy frequencies.

Visual inspection of the lattice shows that the coexistence of the three strategies is achieved with different spatial dynamics. Figure 5 shows the distribution of the three strategies at different costs of the individual solution when $b = 2.1$. Simulation starts with the specified conditions to elucidate the spatial patterns ($t = 0$). Both the cases for $c_I = 1.52$ and $c_I = 1.64$ show the proliferation of the individual solution when $t = 100$, but the spatial arrangement of the remaining cooperation and defection shows different patterns. In the case of $c_I = 1.52$, defection is sufficiently suppressed, and some clusters of cooperators do not accompany neighbors adopting defection. By contrast, when the cost of the individual solution increases to 1.64 , the insufficient suppression and mutation allow the survival of defection, and the remaining cooperators accompany neighbors adopting defection. This difference becomes evident when $t = 1000$. The remaining cooperators maintain some clusters when $c_I = 1.52$. Conversely, cooperation and defection decrease their frequencies when $c_I = 1.64$. The remaining agents that adopt defection continuously utilize cooperators, but defection vanishes because the exploited cooperators do not survive. In the case of $c_I = 1.76$, the advantage of cooperation over

the individual solution becomes more evident, and cooperation survives despite defection. Consequently, cyclic dominance is observed wherein agents employing C adopt D ; agents employing D adopt I , and agents employing I adopt C . This cyclic dominance can be confirmed by the upper rightward wave mainly observed in the center of the figure when $t = 100$. For $c_I = 1.52$ and $c_I = 1.76$, similar cooperation levels are observed, but the spatial configuration implies different underlying mechanisms.

Time-series changes in strategy frequencies also corroborate this pattern (Figure 6). For $c_I = 1.52$ and $c_I = 1.64$, similar patterns are observed, but differences are observed in the degree of decrease in defection frequencies observed after its initial increase. Agents adopting defection almost vanish when $c_I = 1.52$, but small frequencies of defection remain when $c_I = 1.64$. This pattern is consistent with the pattern shown in Figure 5; the small clusters of cooperators accompany neighboring agents who adopt defection at $c_I = 1.64$ but not at $c_I = 1.52$. This difference confirms whether or not cooperation (and defection) frequencies later turn into a significant increase. Cooperation evolves when defection has been sufficiently suppressed. The case for $c_I = 1.76$ shows larger cooperation frequencies than the other cases. Cyclic dominance ensures cooperation over the individual solution, which leads to relatively large frequencies of cooperation and defection.

Discussion

In this study, the evolutionary dynamics of a three-strategy game that combines the SD and the SH games is examined, which is motivated by experimental studies that demonstrate the critical role of the individual solution in social dilemma [12, 13]. Our study shows two asymptotically stable equilibria in a well-mixed population under the replicator dynamics; the first equilibrium is dominated by the individual solution, and the second equilibrium is the mixed-strategy equilibrium of cooperation and defection. The interaction on a networked population enlarges the parameter region wherein cooperation survives, and the coexistence of the three strategies emerges. The spread of cooperation enjoys the benefits of restricted interactions on networks. In addition, the visualization of the system indicates that multiple mechanisms work in sustaining the coexistence of all strategies depending on parameter values.

The SDSH game introduced in this study is akin to the

game with an option of voluntary participation [15–22]. In particular, the loner strategy that permits the voluntary participation is similar to the individual solution in this study. Although many studies focus on voluntary prisoner’s dilemma games, a study has examined the voluntary SD game [23]. The SDSH game and voluntary SD game show similar behavior in a well-mixed population. There are two asymptotically stable equilibria; the first one is the mixed equilibrium of cooperation and defection, and the second one is dominated by the third strategy (the loner strategy or the individual solution). By contrast, a structured population shows a qualitatively different pattern. In particular, the dominance of the individual solution and the coexistence of the three strategies are observed two times each in different parameter regions, which is unique to the SDSH game. By contrast, the effect of the loner’s payoff in the voluntary SD game is almost monotonic, and the cooperation levels show simpler behavior. The unreported simulations of the voluntary SD game with mutation replicate this pattern. The coexistence of three strategies has two types, which are related to the complex pattern in the SDSH game. In the first type, sufficient suppression of defection leads to coexistence. In the second type, typical cyclic dominance supports the coexistence, which is also observed in the voluntary social dilemma [15, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25]. The local maximum of cooperation levels and cyclic dominance are also observed in prisoner’s dilemma game with an exit option [26]. The game is equivalent to the combination of the prisoner’s dilemma and the SH game if the terminology of this paper is applied (i.e., the PDSH game). Despite the different game structure, similar dynamics emerges. The motivation of this study is also akin to the framework of multi-games [27–32]. In typical multi-games, each agent participates in two different games, and payoffs depend on the game type they participate in. The heterogeneity introduced by the game types often enhances the cooperation. Considering multiple games by considering a third strategy can also enrich the understanding on the evolutionary dynamics in social dilemma.

The limitations of this study are also discussed. First, spatial interaction is only one of the solutions to the social dilemma. The role of different mechanisms such as repeated interactions [33], reputation [34], mobility [24], a different updating rule [35], and the introduction of the fourth strategy [36] is considered in voluntary social dilemma. In addition, the motivating study extends the original experiment by considering the role of punishment




Fig. 5 Evolutionary processes on a square lattice for different values of the cost of the individual solution. The suppression of defection by the individual solution supports the survival of cooperative clusters ($c_I = 1.52$). This mechanism does not work when the cost of the individual solution is high because the remaining agents adopting defection will destroy cooperation clusters ($c_I = 1.64$). Further increase in the cost of individual solution manifests the advantage of cooperation over the individual solution, which leads to typical cyclic dominance. Parameters: $L = 240, b = 2.1, c = 1, \beta = 10$, and $\mu = 10^{-4}$.




Fig. 6 Time-series changes in strategy frequencies. At $c_I = 1.52$, defection almost disappears, which leads to increased cooperation and defection. This pattern is contrary to that at $c_I = 1.64$, where the dominance of the individual solution is observed. At $c_I = 1.76$, larger frequencies of cooperation and defection are observed, which corresponds to cyclic dominance. The reported values are the average values of 1 000 simulation runs. Parameters: $L = 240, b = 2.1, c = 1, \beta = 10$, and $\mu = 10^{-4}$.

[12], which is an ongoing research topic in theoretical literature [37–52]. Whether or not different mechanisms support cooperation in the SDSH game should be elucidated. Second, this study does not consider group interaction. A simple two-person game is utilized in this study, but group interaction shows unique behavior that cannot be reduced to a simple game [53]. The public goods game may be a natural extension of this study. Finally, our study assumed a square lattice, but literature has documented the role of other lattices and heterogeneous networks [54–56]. Square lattices are the canonical setting [11], but the careful consideration of different network structures might be necessary. These extensions will capture the properties of actual social dilemmas in the society as well as the complicated games in the experiment more accurately.

Appendix

The behavior of a well-mixed population is discussed in this section. First, we explain the condition that the mixed strategy equilibrium of cooperation and defection is asymptotically stable. In this section, the dynamically evolving proportion of agents who employ cooperation,

defection, and individual solution is denoted as x , y , and z , respectively. As $x = 1 - y - z$, the dynamics of y and z is examined. Payoff values for each strategy are calculated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_C &= b - c - (y + z)c \\ \pi_D &= b(1 - y - z) \\ \pi_I &= b - c_I.\end{aligned}$$

Using these values, the following replicator equations are considered:

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= y(b(1 - y - z) - \bar{\pi}) \\ \dot{z} &= z(b - c_I - \bar{\pi}),\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\pi} = x\pi_C + y\pi_D + z\pi_I$. At the equilibrium ($y^* = c/(b - c)$, $z^* = 0$), the elements of the Jacobian matrix are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}J_{11}^* &= \left. \frac{\partial \dot{y}}{\partial y} \right|_{y=y^*, z=z^*} = -\frac{c(b - 2c)}{b - c} \\ J_{12}^* &= \left. \frac{\partial \dot{y}}{\partial z} \right|_{y=y^*, z=z^*} = \frac{c}{b - c} \left(\sigma - \frac{b^2 - 2bc + 2c^2}{b - c} \right) \\ J_{21}^* &= \left. \frac{\partial \dot{z}}{\partial y} \right|_{y=y^*, z=z^*} = 0 \\ J_{22}^* &= \left. \frac{\partial \dot{z}}{\partial z} \right|_{y=y^*, z=z^*} = -\frac{bc_I - bc - c_Ic}{b - c}.\end{aligned}$$

The sign of the two eigenvalues, J_{11}^* and J_{22}^* , determine the stability of this equilibrium. The value of J_{11}^* is negative because of the assumption on the values of payoff-related parameters, which indicates that the stability of the equilibrium can be determined by the sign of J_{22}^* . Consideration of the assumption that $b - c > 0$ leads to the inequality presented in the Results section.

Second, the inner equilibria, that is, the equilibria wherein all the three strategies coexist, are briefly discussed. When the inner equilibria exist, the components of the equilibria wherein the strategy frequencies are $x^{**} = (b - 2c)/(b - c)$ and $y^{**} + z^{**} = 1 - x^{**}$ are observed. For the payoffs of the three strategies to be equal and the equilibria exist, the following equality must hold: $bc_I - bc - c_Ic = 0$. The inner equilibria require the equality that includes all the payoff-related parameters to hold. Given this limited condition, the inner equilibria are empirically irrelevant in the SDSH game. Thus, we focus on the other cases and equilibria.

References

- [1] Martin A. Nowak. Five Rules for the Evolution of Cooperation. *Science*, 314(5805):1560–1563, 2006.
- [2] Michael Doebeli and Christoph Hauert. Models of cooperation based on the Prisoner’s Dilemma and the Snowdrift game. *Ecology Letters*, 8(7):748–766, 2005.
- [3] Brian Skyrms. *The stag hunt and the evolution of social structure*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] S. A. West, A. S. Griffin, and A. Gardner. Social semantics: Altruism, cooperation, mutualism, strong reciprocity and group selection. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 20(2):415–432, 2007.
- [5] Christian Hilbe, Krishnendu Chatterjee, and Martin A. Nowak. Partners and rivals in direct reciprocity. *Nature Human Behaviour*, 2(7):469–477, 2018.
- [6] Károly Takács, Jörg Gross, Martina Testori, Srebenka Letina, Adam R. Kenny, Eleanor A. Power, and Rafael P. M. Wittek. Networks of reliable reputations and cooperation: a review. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 376:20200297, 2021.
- [7] Chengyi Xia, Juan Wang, Matjaž Perc, and Zhen Wang. Reputation and reciprocity. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 46:8–45, 2023.
- [8] Gilbert Roberts, Nichola Raihani, Redouan Bshary, Héctor M. Manrique, Andrea Farina, Flóra Samu, and Pat Barclay. The benefits of being seen to help others: indirect reciprocity and reputation-based partner choice. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 376:20200290, 2021.
- [9] Nichola J. Raihani and Redouan Bshary. Punishment: one tool, many uses. *Evolutionary Human Sciences*, 1:e12, 2019.
- [10] György Szabó and Gábor Fáth. Evolutionary games on graphs. *Physics Reports*, 446(4-6):97–216, 2007.
- [11] Matjaž Perc, Jillian J. Jordan, David G. Rand, Zhen Wang, Stefano Boccaletti, and Attila Szolnoki. Statistical physics of human cooperation. *Physics Reports*, 687:1–51, 2017.
- [12] Jörg Gross and Carsten K.W. De Dreu. Individual solutions to shared problems create a modern tragedy of the commons. *Science Advances*, 5(4):eaau7296, 2019.

- [13] Jörg Gross, Sonja Veistola, Carsten K. W. De Dreu, and Eric Van Dijk. Self-reliance crowds out group cooperation and increases wealth inequality. *Nature Communications*, 11(1):5161, 2020.
- [14] Luis R. Izquierdo, Segismundo S Izquierdo, and William H Sandholm. EvoDyn-3s: A Mathematica computable document to analyze evolutionary dynamics in 3-strategy games. *SoftwareX*, 7:226–233, 2018.
- [15] György Szabó and Christoph Hauert. Evolutionary prisoner’s dilemma games with voluntary participation. *Physical Review E*, 66(6):062903, 2002.
- [16] Zhi-Xi Wu, Xin-Jian Xu, Yong Chen, and Ying-Hai Wang. Spatial prisoner’s dilemma game with volunteering in Newman-Watts small-world networks. *Physical Review E*, 71(3):037103, 2005.
- [17] Hao Guo, Chen Shen, Dameng Dai, Mi Zhang, Chen Chu, and Lei Shi. Environment promotes the evolution of cooperation in spatial voluntary prisoner’s dilemma game. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 315:47–53, 2017.
- [18] Lu Wang, Shun Qiang Ye, Kang Hao Cheong, Wei Bao, and Neng gang Xie. The role of emotions in spatial prisoner’s dilemma game with voluntary participation. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 490:1396–1407, 2018.
- [19] Kaipeng Hu, Hao Guo, Ran Yang, and Lei Shi. Spatial voluntary public goods games with tunable loners’ payoff. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 128(2):28002, 2020.
- [20] Tao You, Peng Wang, Danyang Jia, Fei Yang, Xiaodong Cui, and Chen Liu. The effects of heterogeneity of updating rules on cooperation in spatial network. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 372:124959, 2020.
- [21] Jun Zhang, Bin Hu, Yi Jie Huang, Zheng Hong Deng, and Tao Wu. The evolution of cooperation affected by aspiration-driven updating rule in multi-games with voluntary participation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 139:110067, 2020.
- [22] Bo Gao, Xuan Liu, Zhong-Zhou Lan, Jie Hong, and Wenguang Zhang. The evolution of cooperation with preferential selection in voluntary public goods game. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 584:126267, 2021.
- [23] Li-Xin Zhong, Da-Fang Zheng, Bo Zheng, Chen Xu, and P.M. Hui. Evolutionary snowdrift game with an additional strategy in fully connected networks and regular lattices. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 383(2):631–642, 2007.
- [24] Marcos Cardinot, Colm O’Riordan, Josephine Griffith, and Attila Szolnoki. Mobility restores the mechanism which supports cooperation in the voluntary prisoner’s dilemma game. *New Journal of Physics*, 21(7):073038, 2019.
- [25] Danyang Jia, Chen Shen, Xiangfeng Dai, Xinyu Wang, Junliang Xing, Pin Tao, Yuanchun Shi, and Zhen Wang. Freedom of choice disrupts cyclic dominance but maintains cooperation in voluntary prisoner’s dilemma game. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 299:111962, 2024.
- [26] Chen Shen, Marko Jusup, Lei Shi, Zhen Wang, Matjaž Perc, and Petter Holme. Exit rights open complex pathways to cooperation: Exit rights open complex pathways to cooperation. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 18(174):20200777, 2021.
- [27] Zhen Wang, Attila Szolnoki, and Matjaž Perc. Different perceptions of social dilemmas: Evolutionary multigames in structured populations. *Physical Review E*, 90(3):032813, 2014.
- [28] Zhenghong Deng, Yijie Huang, Zhiyang Gu, Zhilong Deng, and Jiwei Xu. The evolution of cooperation in spatial multigame with voluntary participation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 109:41–46, 2018.
- [29] Tian Guo, Mi Guo, Yan Zhang, and Shuangli Liang. The effect of aspiration on the evolution of cooperation in spatial multigame. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 525:27–32, 2019.
- [30] Zhibin Li, Danyang Jia, Hao Guo, Yini Geng, Chen Shen, Zhen Wang, and Xuelong Li. The effect of multigame on cooperation in spatial network. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 351:162–167, 2019.
- [31] Zheng-Hong Deng, Zi-Ren Wang, Huan-Bo Wang, and Lin Xu. The evolution of cooperation in multi-games with popularity-driven fitness calculation. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 151:111298, 2021.
- [32] Xiaopeng Li, Gang Hao, Huaibin Wang, Chengyi Xia, and Matjaž Perc. Reputation preferences resolve social dilemmas in spatial multigames. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2021(1):013403, 2021.
- [33] H Yamamoto, I. Okada, T. Taguchi, and M. Muto. Effect of voluntary participation on an alternating and a simultaneous prisoner’s dilemma. *Physical Review E*, 100(3):032304, 2019.

- [34] Shirsendu Podder, Simone Righi, and Francesca Pancotto. Reputation and punishment sustain cooperation in the optional public goods game. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 376(1838):20200293, 2021.
- [35] Jinna Lu, Lu Wang, Yi-Ling Wang, and Xiaoguang Zhang. Logit selection promotes cooperation in voluntary public goods game. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 310:134–138, 2017.
- [36] Hao Guo, Zhao Song, Sunčana Geček, Xuelong Li, Marko Jusup, Matjaž Perc, Yamir Moreno, Stefano Boccaletti, and Zhen Wang. A novel route to cyclic dominance in voluntary social dilemmas. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 17(164):20190789, 2020.
- [37] Xiaojie Chen, Attila Szolnoki, and Matjaž Perc. Competition and cooperation among different punishing strategies in the spatial public goods game. *Physical Review E*, 92(1):012819, 2015.
- [38] Attila Szolnoki and Matjaž Perc. Second-Order Free-Riding on Antisocial Punishment Restores the Effectiveness of Prosocial Punishment. *Physical Review X*, 7(4):041027, 2017.
- [39] Han-Xin Yang and Xiaojie Chen. Promoting cooperation by punishing minority. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 316:460–466, 2018.
- [40] Qiuling Wang, Haoran Meng, and Bo Gao. Spontaneous punishment promotes cooperation in public good game. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 120:183–187, 2019.
- [41] Sayantan Nag Chowdhury, Srilena Kundu, Matjaž Perc, and Dibakar Ghosh. Complex evolutionary dynamics due to punishment and free space in ecological multigames. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 477(2252):20210397, 2021.
- [42] Lucas S. Flores, Heitor C.M. Fernandes, Marco A. Amaral, and Mendeli H. Vainstein. Symbiotic behaviour in the public goods game with altruistic punishment. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 524:110737, 2021.
- [43] Weiwei Sun, Linjie Liu, Xiaojie Chen, Attila Szolnoki, and Vítor V. Vasconcelos. Combination of institutional incentives for cooperative governance of risky commons. *iScience*, 24(8):102844, 2021.
- [44] Shengxian Wang, Linjie Liu, and Xiaojie Chen. Tax-based pure punishment and reward in the public goods game. *Physics Letters A*, 386:126965, 2021.
- [45] Hsuan Wei Lee, Colin Cleveland, and Attila Szolnoki. Mercenary punishment in structured populations. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 417:126797, 2022.
- [46] Xin Zhou, Adam Belloum, Michael H. Lees, Tom van Engers, and Cees de Laat. Costly incentives design from an institutional perspective: cooperation, sustainability and affluence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 478:20220393, 2022.
- [47] Shijia Hua and Linjie Liu. Facilitating the evolution of cooperation through altruistic punishment with adaptive feedback. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 173:113669, 2023.
- [48] Shaojie Lv, Jiaying Li, and Changheng Zhao. The evolution of cooperation in voluntary public goods game with shared-punishment. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 172:113552, 2023.
- [49] Ji Quan, Xinyue Chen, Wenjun Yang, and Xianjia Wang. Cooperation dynamics in spatial public goods games with graded punishment mechanism. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 111(9):8837–8851, 2023.
- [50] Zhen Wang, Zhao Song, Chen Shen, and Shuyue Hu. Emergence of Punishment in Social Dilemma with Environmental Feedback. In *Proceedings of the 37th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2023*, volume 37, pages 11708–11716, 2023.
- [51] Wenqiang Zhu, Qiuhui Pan, Sha Song, and Mingfeng He. Effects of exposure-based reward and punishment on the evolution of cooperation in prisoner’s dilemma game. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 172:113519, 2023.
- [52] Hsuan Wei Lee, Colin Cleveland, and Attila Szolnoki. Supporting punishment via taxation in a structured population. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 178:114385, 2024.
- [53] Matjaž Perc, Jesús Gómez-Gardeñes, Attila Szolnoki, Luis M. Floría, and Yamir Moreno. Evolutionary dynamics of group interactions on structured populations: a review. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 10(80):20120997, 2013.
- [54] Francisco C. Santos and Jorge M. Pacheco. Scale-Free Networks Provide a Unifying Framework for the Emergence of Cooperation. *Physical Review Letters*, 95(9):098104, 2005.
- [55] Carlos P. Roca, José A. Cuesta, and Angel Sánchez. Evolutionary game theory: Temporal and spatial ef-

fects beyond replicator dynamics. *Physics of Life Reviews*, 6(4):208–249, 2009.

- [56] Lucas S. Flores, Marco A. Amaral, Mendeli H. Vainstein, and Heitor C.M. Fernandes. Cooperation in regular lattices. *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals*, 164:112744, 2022.