THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE STEADY GRADIENT KÄHLER-RICCI SOLITON OF THE TAUB-NUT TYPE OF APOSTOLOV AND CIFARELLI

DAHENG MIN

Abstract. We first determine the asymptotic cone of the steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton of the Taub-NUT type constructed by Apostolov and Cifarell in [\[2\]](#page-36-0). Then we study a special case and prove that it is an ALF Calabi-Yau metric in a certain sense. Finally we construct new ALF Calabi-Yau metrics on crepant resolution of its quotients modeled on it using the method of Tian-Yau-Hein.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [\[2\]](#page-36-0), families of complete steady gradient Kähler-Ricci solitons on \mathbb{C}^n are constructed for $n \geq 2$. In each family, there is a Calabi-Yau metric. In this article, we will mainly consider the family of the Taub-NUT type. In this family, the Calabi-Yau metric is the Taub-NUT metric if $n = 2$, and for $n \geq 3$ it is a new example of a complete Calabi-Yau metric. More precisely, it is proved in [\[2,](#page-36-0) Theorem 1.4] that, given a partition of the integer $n \geq 2$ as below,

(1.1)
$$
n = l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} d_j, l \ge 2, d_j \ge 0,
$$

there exists an $(l-1)$ –dimensional family of non-isometric, irreducible complete steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton on \mathbb{C}^n , all admitting a hamiltonian 2-form of order l and isometry group $U(1) \times \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} U(d_j)$. One Kähler metric $\omega_{l,d_1,\dots,d_{l-1}}$ in each family is a complete Ricci-flat Kähler metric on \mathbb{C}^n . Moreover, it is proved that the volume growth of the metric is of order $2n - 1$.

In this article, we will study the asymptotic cone of the steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton of the Taub-NUT type of Apostolov and Cifarelli. We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. The asymptotic cone of the Kähler-Ricci soliton of the Taub-NUT type of Apostolov and Cifarelli is unique and is $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$. Where Λ is a closed subgroup of \mathbb{T}^{l-1} that acts on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$, and depends on the choice of the parameters.

We will explain in detail the construction of Apostolov and CIfarelli in Section [2,](#page-2-0) in particular we will introduce the parameters. The group Λ will be defined and discussed in Section [4.](#page-13-0) Here we note that the real dimension of Λ depends on the parameters and can be 0 or strictly positive.

Date: November 7, 2024.

Consequently, if we consider the Calabi-Yau metric in the family, then we see that there are many different complete Calabi-Yau metric on \mathbb{C}^n and many of them have different asymptotic cones. This phenomenon also appears in the context of asymptotically conic Calabi-Yau metric, we mention the works [\[10\]](#page-37-0), [\[14\]](#page-37-1) and [\[19\]](#page-37-2), which give counter examples to a conjecture of Tian [\[20,](#page-37-3) Remark 5.3]. In these works, Calabi-Yau metrics on \mathbb{C}^n with volume growth of order $2n$ and asymptotic cone of the form $V_0 \times \mathbb{C}$ are constructed, but in Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) the volume growth is of order $2n - 1$.

Another feature of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) is that the asymptotic cone is generally not a smooth cone. Examples of asymptotically conic Calabi-Yau metrics with singular asymptotic cone are studied by Joyce [\[13\]](#page-37-4) (QALE manifold), Székelyhidi [\[19\]](#page-37-2), Yang Li [\[14\]](#page-37-1), Conlon, Degeratu and Rochon [\[8,](#page-37-5) [10,](#page-37-0) [11\]](#page-37-6). Besides the difference of volume growth, another difference between Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) and the above works is that in Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) the dimension of the asymptotic cone may be strictly smaller than the order of volume growth.

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-0-0) consists of two steps. In the first step, we consider a locally flat metric in Section [3](#page-5-0) and determine its asymptotic cone in Section [4.](#page-13-0) In the second step, we show that the locally flat metric is close to the metric of Apostolov-Cifarelli in a large region, and we prove in Section [5](#page-17-0) that they have the same asymptotic cone.

In the special case where $l = 2$, the asymptotic cone of the Kähler-Ricci soliton is $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times \mathbb{R}$. And we will show that the Calabi-Yau metric $\omega_{2,n-2}$ in this family is an ALF metric in the following sense.

Theorem 1.2. The Calabi-Yau metric $(\mathbb{C}^n, \omega_{2,n-2}, g_{2,n-2})$ of Apostolov-Cifarelli is an ALF metric in the following sense:

- The volume growth of $g_{2,n-2}$ is of order $2n-1$;
- The asymptotic cone of $g_{2,n-2}$ is a $(2n-1)$ -dimensional metric cone;
- The sectional curvature of $g_{2,n-2}$ is bounded by $\frac{C}{\rho}$ for some $C > 0$.

Here, ρ is the distance function measured by $g_{2,n-2}$ with respect to some point of \mathbb{C}^n .

This notion of the ALF property has already been considered in [\[15\]](#page-37-7). If we think of the asymptotically conic Calabi-Yau metric as the higher dimensional generalizations of ALE gravitational instantons, then we can regard ALF Calabi-Yau metrics as higher dimensional analogue of ALF gravitational instantons.

In [\[15\]](#page-37-7), many examples of higher dimensional ALF Calabi-Yau metrics of real dimension 4n are constructed, and they also have singular asymptotic cones. However, according to Theorem [1.2,](#page-1-0) there exist ALF Calabi-Yau metrics of any dimension.

The difficulty of the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) is the estimation of curvature. In Section [6,](#page-24-0) we apply a result of Naber and Zhang [\[17\]](#page-37-8) to show the curvature decay.

Modeled on this ALF Calabi-Yau metric, we can construct new ALF Calabi-Yau metric on the crepant resolution of its quotient. Assume that $\Gamma \subset U(1) \times U(n-1)$ is a finite subgroup such that the singularity \mathbb{C}^n/Γ admits a crepant resolution $\pi: Y \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$, recall that $(\omega_{2,n-2}, g_{2,n-2})$ is invariant by $U(1) \times U(n-1)$ so it is invariant by Γ. In Section [7,](#page-32-0) we will prove the following theorem using the approach of Tian-Yau's work [\[21,](#page-37-9)[22\]](#page-37-10) and result of of Hein [\[12\]](#page-37-11), which is a non-compact version of the classical Calabi-Yau theorem.

Theorem 1.3. For any compactly supported Kähler class of Y and any $c > 0$, there exists an ALF Calabi-Yau metric ω' having the same cohomology class on Y which is asymptotic to $\omega_{2,n-2}$ near the infinity. More precisely, we have

(1.2)
$$
|\nabla^{k}(\omega' - c\pi^{*}\omega_{2,n-2})|_{\omega'} \leq C(k,\epsilon)(1+\rho')^{-2n+3+\epsilon},
$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is any small constant, ρ' is the distance function from a point of Y measured by ω' and $k \geq 0$.

In the work of Van Coevering [\[23\]](#page-37-12), asymptotically conic Calabi-Yau metrics are constructed in each compactly supported Kähler class of crepant resolution of Ricci-flat Kähler cone. So Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) could be understood as an ALF analogue of the result of [\[23\]](#page-37-12).

From another point of view, in the case $n = 1$, there is a "Kummer construction" of ALF- D_k instantons discussed in the work of Biquard and Minerbe [\[3\]](#page-36-1). So we can also think of Theorem [1.3](#page-2-1) as a higher dimensional analogue of [\[3\]](#page-36-1).

As an application of Theorem [1.3,](#page-2-1) consider the crepant resolution $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}} \to$ $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$, we have

Corollary 1.4. There exist ALF Calabi-Yau metrics on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}}$ asymptotic to $(\omega_{2,n-2}, g_{2,n-2})/\mathbb{Z}_n$ with asymptotic cone $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{k(n-1)}) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $k = n$ if n is odd and $k = \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even. Here $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ is the total space of the canonical bundle *of* \mathbb{CP}^{n-1} .

Finally, let us discuss some aspects that are still open. In [\[18\]](#page-37-13), it is proved that for a complete Calabi-Yau metric of maximal voulume growth, the quadratic curvature decay ($|\text{Rm}| \leq \frac{C}{\rho^2}$) is equivalent to being asymptotically conic. Then is natural to ask whether there is a similar result for non-maximal volume growth. For example, for ALF Calabi-Yau metrics, is there a relation between the quadratic curvature decay and the smoothness of the asymptotic cone? In the four dimensional case, we know that ALF gravitational instantons have faster than quadratic curvature decay and smooth asymptotic cone. But in higher dimensions, we have no examples of (non-trivial) ALF Calabi-Yau metrics with quadratic curvature decay or smooth asymptotic cone, and it will be interesting to find such examples.

In the recent work $[6]$ of Cifareli, more complete Calabi-Yau metrics and Kähler-Ricci solitons are constructed, generalizing [\[2\]](#page-36-0). Then it will be interesting to understand the asymptotic cones of these new examples.

2. THE STEADY GRADIENT KÄHLER-RICCI SOLITON

In this section we will give a description of the steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton of the Taub-NUT type of Apostolov and Cifarelli following [\[2\]](#page-36-0). First we fix $n \geq 2$ and a partition of n:

(2.1)
$$
n = l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} d_j, l \ge 2, d_j \ge 0.
$$

We also fix l real numbers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ such that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_l$, and define $\mathring{D} = (-\infty, \alpha_1) \times (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times \cdots \times (\alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_{l-1}) \times (\alpha_l, +\infty)$. Note that there is a gap between the last two intervals. Later we will use $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l) \in \tilde{D}$ as its coordinates. Define

(2.2)
$$
p_c(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (t - \alpha_j)^{d_j},
$$

(2.3)
$$
p_{nc}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{l} (t - \xi_j).
$$

They are polynomials in t of degree $n - l$ and l. Observe that for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, l - 1$, $(-1)^{l-j}p_{nc}(\alpha_i) > 0.$

Remark 2.1. In terms of the hamiltonian 2-form ϕ , the real numbers $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}$ are the constant roots of the momentum polynomial $p(t) = (-1)^n$ pf($\phi - t\omega$) with multiplicities d_1, \ldots, d_{l-1} , while ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l are the non-constant roots of $p(t)$. So we have $p(t) = p_c(t)p_{nc}(t)$.

Fix a real number $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let

l−1

(2.4)
$$
P(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{t-1} (t - \alpha_j)^{d_j + 1},
$$

(2.5)
$$
q(t) = \frac{P'(t) + 2aP(t)}{p_c(t)} = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (2a(t - \alpha_j) + (d_j + 1)) \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l-1} (t - \alpha_k).
$$

They are polynomials in t of degree $n-1$ and $l-1$ ($l-2$ if $a=0$). Observe that for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, l-1$, we have $q(\alpha_j) = (d_j + 1) \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l-1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)$, so $(-1)^{l-1-j} q(\alpha_j) >$ 0. We also set $F_1(t) = \ldots F_{l-1}(t) = P(t), F_l(t) = P(t) - e^{2a(\alpha_l - t)} P(\alpha_l)$. Note that $F_l(t) > 0$ for $t > \alpha_l$.

For $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$, define $(\check{g}_j^0, \check{\omega}_j^0)$ as the Fubini-Study metric on \mathbb{CP}^{d_j} of constant $_j, \omega_j$ scalar curvature $2d_j(d_j + 1)$, so that $[\check{\omega}_j^0] \in H^2(\mathbb{CP}^{d_j}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the primitive generator. Define $(g_j, \omega_j) = \frac{2(d_j+1)}{(-1)^{l-1-j}q(\alpha_j)} (\check{g}_j^0, \check{\omega}_j^0)$, so it is a Kähler-Einstein metric of constant scalar curvature $(-1)^{l-1-j}d_j q(\alpha_j)$. Formally, we may set $d_l = 0$ so that \mathbb{CP}^{d_l} is a point, and $\prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{CP}^{d_j} = \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{CP}^{d_j}$. We can also define $(\check{g}_l^0, \check{\omega}_l^0)$ and (g_j, ω_j) using the same formula, and we should think of them as zero tensors in the product $\prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{CP}^{d_j}.$

For $j = 1, \ldots, l$, define

$$
(2.6) \t v_j = (-1)^{l-j} \frac{2(d_j+1)}{q(\alpha_j)} (-\alpha_j^{l-1}, \dots, (-1)^r \alpha_j^{l-r}, \dots, (-1)^l) \in \mathbb{R}^l
$$

As α_j are distinct, (v_1, \ldots, v_l) form a basis of \mathbb{R}^l by the Vandermonde determinant. Let Γ_v be the lattice generated by (v_1, \ldots, v_l) , then $\mathbb{T}^l = \mathbb{R}^l/\Gamma_v$ is a *l*-dimensional torus. Define P as the \mathbb{T}^l -principal bundle over $\prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{CP}^{d_j}$ with connection 1-form θ such that

(2.7)
$$
d\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \check{\omega}_j^0 \otimes v_j.
$$

More precisely, if we write $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l)$, then for $r = 1, \ldots, l$, we have

(2.8)
$$
d\theta_r = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j+r} \frac{2}{\prod_{k=1, k\neq j}^{l-1} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} \alpha_j^{l-r} \tilde{\omega}_j^0.
$$

Remark 2.2. Here we recall that $\check{\omega}_j^0$ is the primitive generator in $H^2(\mathbb{CP}^{d_j}, \mathbb{Z}),$ and v_j is the generator of Γ_v , so the curvature 2-form $d\theta$ is indeed integral. In fact, P is diffeomorphic to the \mathbb{T}^l -principal bundle over $\prod_{j=1}^l \mathbb{CP}^{d_j}$ corresponding to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^l O_{\mathbb{CP}^{d_j}}(-1)$.

Let $M^0 = \mathring{D} \times P$, then we define the following metric and 2-form on M^0 :

(2.9)
$$
g = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{g}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{p_c(\xi_j) \Delta(\xi_j)}{F_j(\xi_j)} (d\xi_j)^2
$$

(2.10)
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{F_j(\xi_j)}{p_c(\xi_j) \Delta(\xi_j)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right)^2,
$$

(2.11)
$$
\omega = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{\omega}_j + \sum_{r=1}^{l} d\sigma_r \wedge \theta_r.
$$

Here, $\Delta(\xi_j) = \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^{l} (\xi_j - \xi_i)$, $\sigma_0 = 1, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l$ are elementary symmetric polynomials of ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l so $p_{nc}(t) = \sum_{r=0}^l (-1)^r t^{l-r} \sigma_r$, and $\sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j)$ is the $(r-1)$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\{\xi_i | i \neq j\}.$

In [\[1\]](#page-36-2) and [\[2\]](#page-36-0) it is shown that (M^0, g, ω) is a steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton with complex structure J given by

(2.12)
$$
Jd\xi_j = \frac{F_j(\xi_j)}{p_c(\xi_j)\Delta(\xi_j)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j)\theta_r\right),
$$

(2.13)
$$
J\theta_r = (-1)^r \sum_{j=1}^l \frac{p_c(\xi_j)}{F_j(\xi_j)} \xi_j^{l-r} d\xi_j.
$$

and the soliton vector field X have Killing potential $a\sigma_1$. To better understand the soliton vector field, we discuss as follows.

As noted in [\[2\]](#page-36-0), M^0 is diffeomorphic to the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^l$ -principal bundle $(\mathbb{C}^*)^l \times_{\mathbb{T}^l} P$ corresponding to the split vector bundle $\hat{M} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l} O_{\mathbb{CP}^{d_j}}(-1)$. So we can think of M^0 as a dense subset of \hat{M} . For $j = 1, ..., l$, denote by T_j the generator of rotation in each component $O_{\mathbb{CP}^{d_j}}(-1)$ of \hat{M} . If we identify \mathbb{R}^l with the Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}^l = \mathbb{R}^l/\Gamma_v$, then v_j corresponds to T_j by our construction of P. Denote by e_1, \ldots, e_l the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^l , and let K_1, \ldots, K_l be the corresponding vector field on M^{$_i$}, then (K_1, \ldots, K_l) is dual to $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l)$. It follows that the moment map of K_j with respect to ω is σ_j . In particular, the soliton vector field is given by aK_1 . In terms of T_1, \ldots, T_l , by inverting the Vandermonde matrix, we find that

(2.14)
$$
e_1 = \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{l+1-j} \frac{q(\alpha_j)}{2(d_j+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^l (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} v_j.
$$

It follows that

(2.15)
$$
K_1 = \sum_{j=1}^l (-1)^{l+1-j} \frac{q(\alpha_j)}{2(d_j+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^l (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} T_j,
$$

and

(2.16)
$$
X = a \sum_{j=1}^{l} (-1)^{l+1-j} \frac{q(\alpha_j)}{2(d_j+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} T_j.
$$

Observe that there is a \mathbb{T}^{d_j} -symmetry on \mathbb{CP}^{d_j} , combining these \mathbb{T}^{d_j} -actions with the \mathbb{T}^l -action, we get a \mathbb{T}^n -action on M^0 and, in fact, (M^0, g, ω) is \mathbb{T}^n invariant.

Under the blow-down map $\hat{M} \to \mathbb{C}^n$, we can view M^0 as a dense subset of \mathbb{C}^n . In [\[2\]](#page-36-0) it is proved that (g, ω) defined on M^0 extends to a smooth \mathbb{T}^n -invariant steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \prod_{j=1}^{l} \mathbb{R}^{2(d_j+1)}$ compatible with the standard symplectic form and with the soliton vector field

(2.17)
$$
X = a \sum_{j=1}^{l} (-1)^{l+1-j} \frac{q(\alpha_j)}{2(d_j+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} X_j,
$$

where X_j is the vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{2(d_j+1)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$ with flow the multiplication with $e^{2\pi i t}$. If, furthermore $a \geq 0$, then the complex structure is \mathbb{T}^n -equivariantly biholomorphic to the standard complex structure on \mathbb{C}^n and the metric is complete. In particular, if $a = 0$, then we get a complete Calabi-Yau metric $\omega_{l,d_1,\dots,d_{l-1}}$ on \mathbb{C}^n .

Remark 2.3. As we shall see later, the expansion coefficients of X in terms of X_j play an important role in determining the asymptotic cone of $(\mathbb{C}^n, g, \omega)$.

Regarding the parameters, once the discrete parameters l, d_1, \ldots, d_{l-1} are fixed, the above construction depends on the following $l + 1$ continuous parameters a and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$. As pointed out in [\[2\]](#page-36-0), for $c > 0, d \in \mathbb{R}$, the data $(a, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l)$ define the same steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton as $(\frac{a}{c}, c\alpha_1 + d, \dots, c\alpha_l + d)$. It is then possible to normalize $\alpha_1 = 0, \alpha_2 = 1$ and it is shown that different choices of $(a, \alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_l)$ give non-isometric Kähler metrics.

3. The locally flat metric

Recall that in formula [\(2.9\)](#page-4-0), we have $F_1(t) = \cdots = F_{l-1}(t) = P(t)$, but $F_l(t) =$ $P(t) - e^{2a(\alpha_l - t)} P(\alpha_l)$. If we replace $F_l(t)$ by $P(t)$, then we will get the following metric on M^0 :

(3.1)
$$
g' = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{g}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{p_c(\xi_j) \Delta(\xi_j)}{P(\xi_j)} (d\xi_j)^2
$$

(3.2)
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{P(\xi_j)}{p_c(\xi_j) \Delta(\xi_j)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right)^2
$$

(3.3)
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{g}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\Delta(\xi_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)} (d\xi_j)^2
$$

(3.4)
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_j)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right)^2.
$$

According to $[1,$ Proposition 17, one can deduce that g' defines a locally flat metric. The aim of this section is to provide another proof of this fact, which will reveal the global behavior of g' .

Define

(3.5)
$$
\check{g}' = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{g}_j,
$$

(3.6)
$$
g'_{\xi} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\Delta(\xi_j)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)} (d\xi_j)^2,
$$

(3.7)
$$
g'_{\theta} = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_j)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right)^2,
$$

then $g' = \check{g}' + g'_{\xi} + g'_{\theta}$. The strategy of the proof is simply a change of variable. We will change the coordinates from (ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l) to $(\sigma_1, p_{nc}(\alpha_1), \ldots, p_{nc}(\alpha_{l-1}))$.

3.1. Change of variable for g'_{θ} . Define

(3.8)
$$
\beta_0 = \sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}) \theta_r,
$$

Here $\sigma_{r-1}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1})$ is the $(r-1)$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial of $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}$. Recall that we have already used $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ to denote the elementary symmetric polynomials of ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_r , when the variables are not ξ_i , we will indicate them explicitly.

Lemma 3.1. We have $d\beta_0 = 0$.

Proof. Note that

(3.9)
$$
\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}) t^{l-r} = \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (t + \alpha_j)
$$

has roots $-\alpha_1, \ldots, -\alpha_{l-1}$. Combining this with equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1) will finish the proof.

 \Box

For $i = 1, \ldots, l-1$, define

(3.10)
$$
\beta_i = \sum_{r=2}^l \sigma_{r-2}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \ldots \alpha_{l-1}) \theta_r.
$$

Where $\sigma_{r-2}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\hat{\alpha}_i,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1})$ denotes the $(r-2)$ -th elementary polynomial of $\{\alpha_k | 1 \leq k \leq l-1, k \neq i\}.$

Lemma 3.2. For $i = 1, ..., l - 1$, we have $d\beta_i = (-1)^{l-i} 2\check{\omega}_i^0$.

Proof. One applies the formula of the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix. More precisely, consider the $(l-1) \times (l-1)$ vandermonde matrix $((-1)^r \alpha_j^{l-r})_{1 \leq j \leq l-1, 2 \leq r \leq l}$, here j is the row number and r is the colume number. Its inverse $(\lambda_{ri})_{2\leq r\leq r,1\leq i\leq l-1}$ is given by

(3.11)
$$
\lambda_{ri} = \frac{\sigma_{r-2}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \hat{\alpha}_i, \ldots \alpha_{l-1})}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})},
$$

where $\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{l-1} (\alpha_i - \alpha_k)$. Once again, combining this with equation [\(2.8\)](#page-4-1) will finish the proof. \Box

The main result of this subsection is the following.

Proposition 3.3. We have

(3.12)
$$
g'_{\theta} = \beta_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_j)}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})} \beta_j^2.
$$

Proof. We will show that, evaluated by a basis Y_1, \ldots, Y_l of the linear space generated by K_1, \ldots, K_l , both sides of the above equation are the same. To simplify the notation, let the right-hand side be denoted by g'_{β} .

For $j = 1, \ldots, l$, define

(3.13)
$$
Y_j = \sum_{r=1}^l (-1)^r \alpha_j^{l-r} K_r,
$$

so Y_1, \ldots, Y_l generates the same space as K_1, \ldots, K_l , which is the vertical direction of the \mathbb{T}^l -principal bundle P. In fact, we have $T_j = (-1)^{l-j} \frac{2(d_j+1)}{q(\alpha_j)} Y_j$.

Recall that K_1, \ldots, K_r is the dual basis of $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_l$, then by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma [3.1,](#page-6-0) we have

(3.14)
$$
\beta_0(Y_1) = \cdots = \beta_0(Y_{l-1}) = 0,
$$

(3.15)
$$
\beta_0(Y_l) = -\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k).
$$

Similarly, for $1 \leq i, j \leq l-1$, we have

(3.16)
$$
\beta_i(Y_j) = \delta_{ij} \Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}),
$$

(3.17)
$$
\beta_i(Y_l) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k).
$$

So, up to some nonzero constant coefficients, the dual basis of $(\beta_0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{l-1})$ is $(K_1, T_1, \ldots, T_{l-1})$. For $i = 1, \ldots, l$, we also have

$$
(3.18) \qquad \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_i)\theta_r\right)(Y_j) = \sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1}(\hat{\xi}_i)(-1)^r \alpha_j^{l-r} = -\prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{l} (\alpha_j - \xi_k).
$$

It follows that for $1 \leq m, n \leq l$, we have

$$
(3.19) \t g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i)} \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^l (\alpha_m - \xi_k) \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^l (\alpha_n - \xi_k).
$$

First we consider the case where $1 \leq m, n \leq l-1$ and $m \neq n$, then we have

(3.20)
$$
g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_n) = p_{nc}(\alpha_m) p_{nc}(\alpha_n) \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq m, n}^{l-1} (\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i)}.
$$

Note that $\prod_{k=1, k \neq m,n}^{l-1} (\xi_i - \alpha_k)$ can be viewed as a polynomial in ξ_i of degree $l-3$, so by the following Vandermonde identity for $s = 1, ..., l$,

(3.21)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_i^{l-s}}{\Delta(\xi_i)} = \delta_{s1},
$$

we conclude that in this case $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_n) = 0$. It is also clear that in this case we have $g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_n) = 0$, so $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_n) = g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_n)$.

Next, we consider the case $m = n$ and $1 \le m \le l - 1$. For the left-hand side we have

(3.22)
$$
g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_m) = p_{nc}(\alpha_m)^2 \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1}(\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha_m)}.
$$

So we are led to consider $\sum_{i=1}^{l}$ $\frac{\xi_i^s}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i-\alpha)}$ for $0 \le s \le l-2$. As a starting point, by Lagrange interpolation, we have

(3.23)
$$
-p_{nc}(\alpha) \sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha)} = 1,
$$

here in the above we have a polynomial in α of degree at most $l - 1$ which equals 1 at points ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l . So we have

(3.24)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{1}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha)} = -\frac{1}{p_{nc}(\alpha)}.
$$

Observe that $\frac{\xi_i^s}{\xi_i - \alpha} = \xi_i^{s-1} + \alpha \frac{\xi_i^{s-1}}{\xi_i - \alpha}$. So by induction and Vandermonde identity (3.21) , for $s = 0, \ldots, l - 1$, we have

(3.25)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_i^s}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha)} = -\frac{\alpha^s}{p_{nc}(\alpha)}.
$$

Since

(3.26)
$$
\prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1} (\xi_i - \alpha_k) = \sum_{p=0}^{l-2} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_m, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \xi_i^{l-2-p},
$$

we deduce that

(3.27)
\n
$$
g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_m) = p_{nc}(\alpha_m)^2 \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1}(\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha_m)}
$$
\n
$$
= p_{nc}(\alpha_m)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{l-2} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_m, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \prod_{i=1}^l \frac{\xi_i^{l-2-p}}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha_m)}
$$

(3.29)
$$
\overline{p=0} \qquad \qquad \overline{i=1} \Delta(\zeta_i)(\zeta_i - \alpha_i)
$$

$$
= -p_{nc}(\alpha_m)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{l-2} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_m, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \frac{\alpha_m^{l-2-p}}{n \sigma_p(\alpha_m)}
$$

(3.30)
$$
= -p_{nc}(\alpha_m) \sum_{p=0}^{l-2} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, ..., \hat{\alpha}_m, ..., \alpha_{l-1}) \alpha_m^{l-2-p}
$$

(3.31)
$$
= -p_{nc}(\alpha_m) \Delta_m(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1}).
$$

At the same time, it is easy to verify that

(3.32)
$$
g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_m) = -p_{nc}(\alpha_m) \Delta_m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1})
$$

So in this case, we have $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_m) = g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_m)$.

Then for $1 \leq m \leq l-1$, we compare $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_l)$ and $g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_l)$. For $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_l)$ we have

(3.33)

$$
g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_l) = p_{nc}(\alpha_m) p_{nc}(\alpha_l) \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1}(\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha_l)}
$$

(3.34)
$$
= p_{nc}(\alpha_m) p_{nc}(\alpha_l) \sum_{p=0}^{l-2} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_m, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\xi_i^{l-2-p}}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha_l)}
$$

(3.35)
$$
= -p_{nc}(\alpha_m)p_{nc}(\alpha_l)\sum_{p=0}^{l-2}(-1)^p\sigma_p(\alpha_1,\ldots,\hat{\alpha}_m,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1})\frac{\alpha_l^{l-2-p}}{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)}
$$

$$
(3.36) \qquad = -p_{nc}(\alpha_m) \prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k).
$$

And it is easy to verify that

(3.37)
$$
g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_l) = -p_{nc}(\alpha_m) \prod_{k=1, k \neq m}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k).
$$

So $g'_{\theta}(Y_m, Y_l) = g'_{\beta}(Y_m, Y_l).$

Finally, we compare $g'_{\theta}(Y_l, Y_l)$ and $g'_{\beta}(Y_l, Y_l)$. Taking the derivative with respect to α in equation [\(3.25\)](#page-8-1), for $s = 0, 1, \ldots, l - 1$ we get

(3.38)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_i^s}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha)^2} = \frac{p'_{nc}(\alpha)\alpha^s}{p_{nc}(\alpha)^2} - \frac{s\alpha^{s-1}}{p_{nc}(\alpha)}.
$$

So we have

(3.39)
\n
$$
g'_{\theta}(Y_l, Y_l) = p_{nc}(\alpha_l)^2 \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\xi_i - \alpha_k)}{\Delta(\xi_i) (\xi_i - \alpha_l)^2}
$$
\n
$$
= p_{nc}(\alpha_l)^2 \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{\xi_i^{l-1-p}}{\Delta(\xi_i) (\xi_i - \alpha_l)^2}
$$
\n(3.41)
\n
$$
= p_{nc}(\alpha_l)^2 \sum_{p=0}^{l-1} (-1)^p \sigma_p(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) \frac{p'_{nc}(\alpha_l) \alpha_l^{l-1-p} - p_{nc}(\alpha_l)(l-1-p) \alpha_l^{l-2-p}}{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)^2}
$$
\n(3.49)

(3.42)

$$
=p'_{nc}(\alpha_l)\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_l-\alpha_k)-p_{nc}(\alpha_l)\frac{d}{d\alpha_l}\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_l-\alpha_k)
$$

It follows that

(3.43)
$$
\frac{g_{\theta}'(Y_l, Y_l)}{(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k))^2} = \frac{d}{d\alpha_l} \left(\frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)} \right).
$$

As for $g'_{\beta}(Y_l, Y_l)$, we have

$$
(3.44) \quad g'_{\beta}(Y_l, Y_l) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_i)}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})} \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)^2.
$$

So we have

(3.45)
$$
\frac{g'_{\beta}(Y_l, Y_l)}{(\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k))^2} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_i)}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})(\alpha_i - \alpha_l)^2}
$$

(3.46)
$$
= 1 + \frac{d}{d\alpha_l} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_i)}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})(\alpha_i - \alpha_l)}.
$$

Combining the following extended Vandermonde identity

(3.47)
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_j^{l-1+p}}{\Delta(\xi_j)} = h_p,
$$

where $p \geq 0$ and h_p is the p-th complete symmetric function of ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l , and a similar induction on equation [\(3.25\)](#page-8-1), one obtains the following.

(3.48)
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_i^{l+p}}{\Delta(\xi_i)(\xi_i - \alpha)} = \sum_{k=0}^{p} h_{p-k} \alpha^k - \frac{\alpha^{l+p}}{p_{nc}(\alpha)}.
$$

Applying [\(3.48\)](#page-10-0), we have

(3.49)
\n
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_i)}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})(\alpha_i - \alpha_l)} = \sum_{p=0}^{l} (-1)^p \sigma_p \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{\alpha_i^{l-p}}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})(\alpha_l - \alpha_i)}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{p=0}^{l} (-1)^p \sigma_p \frac{\alpha_l^{l-p}}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)} + \sigma_1 - h_1(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1}) - \alpha_l
$$

(3.51)
$$
= \frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)} + \sigma_1 - h_1(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1}) - \alpha_l.
$$

Note that σ_1 and $h_1(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})$ are independent of α_l , so we get

(3.52)
$$
g'_{\beta}(Y_l, Y_l) = \frac{d}{d\alpha_l} \left(\frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)} \right) = g'_{\theta}(Y_l, Y_l),
$$

which completes the proof. $\hfill \square$

Remark 3.4. Recall that $(\sigma_1 \ldots, \sigma_r)$ is the moment map of (K_1, \ldots, K_r) , which is dual to $(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_r)$. Now we want to change to new variables $(\sigma_1, p_{nc}(\alpha_1), \ldots, p_{nc}(\alpha_{l-1})),$ which is the moment map of $(K_1, T_1, \ldots, T_{l-1})$ up to some coefficients. That is why we define $(\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{l-1})$ as the dual of $(K_1, T_1, \ldots, T_{l-1})$ (up to some coefficients).

3.2. Change of variable for g'_{ξ} . The main object of this subsection is to prove the following identity:

Proposition 3.5. We have

(3.53)
$$
g'_{\xi} = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}) p_{nc}(\alpha_i)} (d(p_{nc}(\alpha_i)))^2.
$$

Proof. First, we make a change of variable from ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l to $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l$. Note that

(3.54)
$$
d\xi_j = \frac{1}{\Delta(\xi_j)} \sum_{r=1}^l (-1)^r \xi_j^{l-r} d\sigma_r.
$$

Applying the above formula to g'_{ξ} , we have

(3.55)
$$
g'_{\xi} = \sum_{r,s=1}^{l} G_{rs} d\sigma_r d\sigma_s,
$$

where

(3.56)
$$
G_{rs} = (-1)^{r+s} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_j^{2l-r-s}}{\Delta(\xi_j) \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)}.
$$

For any $m \geq 1$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the following.

(3.57)
$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_i} = (-1)^{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{m} (a_i - a_k)} \frac{1}{a_i}.
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}
$$

Applying this to $m = l - 1$, $a_i = \xi_j - \alpha_i$, we have

(3.58)
$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\xi_j - \alpha_i)} = \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})(\xi_j - \alpha_i)}.
$$

Thus, we get

$$
(3.59) \qquad G_{rs} = (-1)^{r+s} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1})} \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{\xi_j^{l-r-s}}{\Delta(\xi_j)(\xi_j - \alpha_i)}
$$

$$
(3.60) \qquad \qquad = (-1)^{r+s} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{l-r-s} h_{l-r-s-k} \alpha_i^k - \frac{\alpha_i^{2l-r-s}}{p_{nc}(\alpha_i)} \right].
$$

In the last step, we have used [\(3.48\)](#page-10-0) and the summation $\sum_{k=0}^{l-r-s} h_{l-r-s-k} \alpha_i^k$ is understood as 0 if $l - r - s < 0$. Now applying [\(3.21\)](#page-8-0), we obtain

(3.61)
$$
G_{11} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})} \frac{\alpha_i^{2l-2}}{p_{nc}(\alpha_i)},
$$

and for $(r, s) \neq (1, 1)$, we have

(3.62)
$$
G_{rs} = (-1)^{r+s} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1})} \frac{\alpha_i^{2l-r-s}}{p_{nc}(\alpha_i)}.
$$

It follows that

$$
(3.63) \quad g'_{\xi} = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1})p_{nc}(\alpha_i)} \sum_{r,s=1}^{l} (-1)^{r+s} \alpha_i^{2l-r-s} d\sigma_r d\sigma_s
$$

$$
(3.64) \qquad = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) p_{nc}(\alpha_i)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} (-1)^r \alpha_i^{l-r} d\sigma_r \right)
$$

$$
(3.65) \qquad = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_i(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}) p_{nc}(\alpha_i)} (d(p_{nc}(\alpha_i)))^2.
$$

 \Box

3.3. Locally flatness of g' . Combining Proposition [3.3](#page-7-0) and Proposition [3.53,](#page-11-0) we conclude that

$$
g' = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}(\alpha_j) \check{g}_j + (d\sigma_1)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{-1}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}) p_{nc}(\alpha_j)} (d(p_{nc}(\alpha_j)))^2 +
$$

+ $\beta_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_j)}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1})} \beta_j^2.$

Note that by Lemma [3.2,](#page-7-1) we have $2\tilde{g}_j^0 + \beta_j^2 = 4g_{S_1^{2d_j+1}}$ for $j = 1, ..., l-1$, where $g_{\mathbb{S}^{2d_j+1}_1}$ stands for the standard round metric of the sphere of dimension $2d_j+1$ and radius 1. Hence we have

$$
g' = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \beta_0^2 +
$$

+
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \left[\frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_j)}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})} (4g_{s_1^{2d_j+1}}) + \frac{-1}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{l-1})p_{nc}(\alpha_j)} (d(p_{nc}(\alpha_j)))^2 \right].
$$

For $j = 1, \ldots, l - 1$, define

(3.66)
$$
r_j = 2\sqrt{\frac{-p_{nc}(\alpha_j)}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_{l-1})}},
$$

then

(3.67)
$$
g' = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \beta_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (r_j^2 g_{\mathbb{S}_1^{2d_j+1}} + (dr_j)^2).
$$

Since $\mathbb{S}_1^{2d_j+1}$ is the link of the flat cone \mathbb{R}^{2d_j+2} , we conclude that

(3.68)
$$
g' = (d\sigma_1)^2 + \beta_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} g_{\mathbb{R}^{2d_j+2}}.
$$

Recall that by Lemma [3.1,](#page-6-0) the 1-form β_0 is closed, so the above formula shows that g ′ is indeed a locally flat metric.

As a by-product of the above proof, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.6. There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ depending only on $a, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ such that for any $1 \leq j \leq l-1$, we have

(3.69)
$$
g'(T_j, T_j) = r_j^2,
$$

(3.70)
$$
g'(T_l, T_l) \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 \right).
$$

For simplicity, in this article we will use C to denote a positive constant which may be different from lines.

4. The asymptotic cone of the locally flat metric

The locally flat metric g' defined on M^0 is not complete, so it is a little subtle to talk about its asymptotic cone. Instead, we first show that (M^0, g') can be identified as an open subset of a complete locally flat metric, and then determine the asymptotic cone of the complete metric.

Recalling equation [\(2.14\)](#page-4-2), we have

(4.1)
$$
\frac{2\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)}{q(\alpha_l)}e_1 = -v_l + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}(-1)^{l-j}\frac{\prod_{k=1,k\neq j}^{l-1}(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)}{q(\alpha_l)}v_j.
$$

Note that the coefficient of e_1 is strictly positive. For $j = 1, \ldots, l - 1$, let τ_j be the coefficient of v_j in the above formula,

(4.2)
$$
\tau_j = (-1)^{l-j} \frac{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)}{q(\alpha_l)},
$$

and define $\tau = (\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^{l-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{l-1}$ be the standard integer lattice in \mathbb{R}^{l-1} , then we can also view τ as an element in the $(l-1)$ -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{l-1} = \mathbb{R}^{l-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$.

If we change the parameters from $(a, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l)$ to $(\frac{a}{c}, c\alpha_1 + d, \ldots, c\alpha_l + d)$, then we can verify that τ remains unchanged. Thus, τ is intrinsically associated with the isometry class of the steady gradient Kähler-Ricci soliton of the Taub-NUT type.

The geometric meaning of τ can be explained by the Poincaré recurrence. Consider the continuous flow generated by e_1 in the *l*-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^l = \mathbb{R}^l/\Gamma_v$. By [\(4.1\)](#page-13-1), the flow is transverse to the $(l-1)$ -dimensional subtorus $\mathbb{T}^{l-1}_{v_1,\dots,v_{l-1}}$ spanned by v_1, \ldots, v_{l-1} . Choose this subtorus as the Poincaré section and start the flow at 0, then the first recurrence is $\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \tau_j v_j$. Identify this subtorus with $\mathbb{T}^{l-1} = \mathbb{R}^{l-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$, then the first recurrence map is the translation by τ .

Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{T}^{l-1}$ be the closure of the subgroup generated by τ , then we have

Proposition 4.1. The dimension of Λ is given by

(4.3)

$$
\dim \Lambda = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{1, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l-1}\} - 1
$$

(4.4) =
$$
\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ (-1)^{l+1-j} \frac{q(\alpha_j)}{2(d_j+1)} \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)} | j = 1, ..., l \} - 1
$$

Proof. It is well known that the orbit of τ is dense if and only if $1, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l-1}$ are Q-independent. More generally, the result can be proved by this special case and Gauss elimination. \Box

Now we can state the first main result of this section.

Proposition 4.2. The Riemannian manifold (M^0, g') can be isometrically embedded into an open subset of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the standard Euclidean metric. Here, the Z-action on $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})$ is defined as follows: On each factor \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} there is an action of \mathbb{S}^1 by rotation, so we have an $\mathbb{T}^{l-1} = \mathbb{R}^{l-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$ -action on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$. Define the generator of the Z-action as $\tau \in \mathbb{T}^{l-1}$ on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$ and the translation by $(-1) \frac{2}{q(\alpha_l)} \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\alpha_l - \alpha_k)$ on the R factor, then clearly this action is free and properly discontinuous. The image of the embedding of (M^0, g') is given by the following inequalities: $r_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$ and $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_l - \alpha_j} r_j^2 > -\sigma$, where r_j is the radius of the cone \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} and σ is the coordinate of the last factor of \mathbb{R} .

Proof. On $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the standard Euclidean metric, denote by T_j the generator of rotation on \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} for $j=1,\ldots,l-1$ and K_1 the unit vector field in the first component of \mathbb{R} . Then we can define a new vector field T_l using formula [\(2.15\)](#page-5-1). Consequently, we have

(4.5)
$$
T_l = (-1)\frac{2}{q(\alpha_l)}\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}(\alpha_l - \alpha_k)K_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\tau_jv_j.
$$

Let the 1-form β_0 be the metric dual to K_1 , then $\beta_0(K_1) = 1$ and β_0 vanishes when restricted to $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$ and the last component of \mathbb{R} , moreover $d\beta_0 = 0$. It

follows that formula [\(3.68\)](#page-13-2) defines the standard Euclidean metric on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times$ $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, where we impose $\sigma = \sigma_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{l} \alpha_k$.

Recall that $\mathbb{T}_{v_1,\ldots,v_{l-1}}^{l-1}$ is the subtorus in \mathbb{T}^l spanned by v_1,\ldots,v_{l-1} and can be identified with $\mathbb{T}^{l-1} = \mathbb{R}^{l-1}/\mathbb{Z}^{l-1}$ by sending $\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \mu_i v_i$ to $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_{l-1})$. So we have a generically free $\mathbb{T}^{l-1}_{v_1,\dots,v_{l-1}}$ -action on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

However, we cannot embed M^0 into $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ since there is no generically free \mathbb{T}^l -action on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. To extend the $\mathbb{T}^{l-1}_{v_1,\dots,v_{l-1}}$ -action to a generically free \mathbb{T}^l -action, we need to require that T_l generates a generically free \mathbb{S}^1 -action. Thus, we have to take a further quotient by $\mathbb Z$ described in the statement of the proposition.

Now we can view (M^0, g') as a subset of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ and it remains to precisely determine this subset. Recall that in the definition of M^0 , we have $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_l) \in \mathring{D} = (-\infty, \alpha_1) \times (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \times \cdots \times (\alpha_{l-2}, \alpha_{l-1}) \times (\alpha_l, +\infty)$, which is equivalent to $(-1)^{l-j}p_{nc(\alpha_j)} > 0$ for $j = 1, ..., l-1$ and $p_{nc}(\alpha_l) < 0$. By (3.66) , the first $l-1$ inequalities are equivalent to $r_j > 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$. For the last inequality, by equations $(3.49)-(3.51)$ $(3.49)-(3.51)$, we have

(4.6)
$$
\frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_l)}{\Delta_l(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_l)} = \sum_{k=1}^l \alpha_k - \sigma_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{p_{nc}(\alpha_j)}{\Delta_j(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_l)}.
$$

Thus, $p_{nc}(\alpha_l) < 0$ is equivalent to

(4.7)
$$
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_l - \alpha_j} r_j^2 > -\sigma,
$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{l}$ $k=1 \alpha_k$.

Remark 4.3. The Riemannian manifold $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ is complete, according to the Hopf-Rinow theorem.

Remark 4.4. If we view (M^0, g') as a subset of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$, then for $j = 1, ..., l - 1, \beta_j$ is the metric dual of T_j .

Next, we determine the asymptotic cone of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 4.5. The asymptotic cone of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ is unique and is $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$, where Λ acts on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$ as a subgroup of \mathbb{T}^{l-1} .

Proof. Consider the following map.

(4.8)
$$
f: ((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R} \to (\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R},
$$

which is the quotient map of the equivalence relationship whose equivalence class are the closures of the R-action generated by K_1 . Equivalently, let Λ^+ be the subtorus in \mathbb{T}^l spanned by Λ and e_1 , then it is a closed subtorus of dimension dim $\Lambda + 1$, and f is the quotient map with respect to the action of Λ^+ . Since K_1 is a Killing vector field, we can equip the target $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$ with the quotient metric and consequently f is a submetry. In any open subset where Λ^+ acts freely, f is simply a Riemannian submersion.

Note that Λ acts on $\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}$ in a way that preserves the link, so the target $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a metric cone. For any $\lambda > 0$, let $f_{\lambda} = \lambda f$. In the following, we will denote by g_{Euc} the Euclidean metric on $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$, then

(4.9)
$$
f_{\lambda}:(((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}, \lambda^2 g_{Euc}) \to (\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}
$$

is also a submetry.

We claim that for any small $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \lambda <$ $λ_0, f_λ$ is a pointed ϵ Gromov-Hausdorff approximation (ϵ -GHA) of ((($\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times$ \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} × $\mathbb{R}, \lambda^2 g_{Euc}$). Here ε-GHA means that we need to show the following two properties:

- (ϵ -onto) $B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0) \subset B_{\epsilon}(f_{\lambda}(B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0))),$
- (ϵ -isometry) For any $x_1, x_2 \in B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0) \subset (((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}, \lambda^2 g_{Euc}),$ we have

(4.10)
$$
|d_{\lambda^2 g_{Euc}}(x_1, x_2) - d(f_{\lambda}(x_1), f_{\lambda}(x_2))| < \epsilon,
$$

which is equivalent to

(4.11)
$$
\lambda |d_{g_{Euc}}(x_1, x_2) - d(f(x_1), f(x_2))| < \epsilon.
$$

Since f_{λ} is a submetry, we have $B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0) = f_{\lambda}(B_{\epsilon^{-1}}(0))$. In particular, it is ϵ -onto.

Since f_{λ} is a submetry, minimal geodesic in the base can be lifted. So it remains to show the following statement: There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $m \in \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda \right) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $|m| = d(0, m) < \epsilon^{-1}$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, we have $\text{diam}_{\lambda^2 g_{Euc}}(f_{\lambda}^{-1}(m)) < C_{\epsilon}$.

Equivalently, it suffices to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $m \in \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $|m| < \lambda^{-1} \epsilon^{-1}$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, we have $\text{diam}_{g_{Euc}}(f^{-1}(m)) < C\lambda^{-1}\epsilon$.

Let $x \in ((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = m$, then $f^{-1}(m)$ is the Λ^+ orbit $\Lambda^+ \cdot x$ of m. Since K_1 is of unit length, it suffices to show that $\dim_{g_{Euc}} (\Lambda \cdot x)$ $C\lambda^{-1}\epsilon$. We will estimate $d_{g_{Euc}}(x, t \cdot x)$ for any $t \in \Lambda$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}\tau$ is dense in Λ and Λ is compact, there exists a positive integer N such that $d_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}}(\{s\tau|s\in\mathbb{Z},|s|\leq N\},t)<\epsilon^2$ for any $t\in\Lambda$. Let $\lambda_0=\frac{\epsilon}{N}$, note that it depends only on ϵ and τ . Then for any $t \in \Lambda$ and $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $|n_0| \leq N < \lambda^{-1} \epsilon$ and $d_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}}(t, n_0 \tau) < \epsilon^2$.

Note that $|m|^2 = \sigma(m)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j(m)^2 = \sigma(x)^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j(x)^2$, so by Proposition [3.6,](#page-13-4) for $j = 1, ..., l - 1$, we have $|T_j|_{g_{Euc}} \le |m| < \lambda^{-1} \epsilon^{-1}$.

Now we join x and $t \cdot x$ by curves in two steps. First we join x and $(n_0\tau) \cdot x$ by the flow along K_1 , it has length $|n_0| < \lambda^{-1} \epsilon$. Next we join $(n_0 \tau) \cdot x$ and $t \cdot x$ by the flow of T_j for $j = 1, \ldots, l - 1$, the length of the curve is bounded by $|m|d_{\mathbb{T}^{l-1}}(t, n_0\tau)$ $(l-1)\lambda^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}\epsilon^2 = (l-1)\lambda^{-1}\epsilon$. In conclusion, we have $\text{diam}_{g_{Euc}}(\Lambda \cdot x) < l\lambda^{-1}\epsilon$, which proves the claim.

By the claim, we deduce that $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$ is an asymptotic cone of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since the Gromov-Hausdorff distance is complete on the collection of all isometric classes of proper and complete pointed metric spaces, our claim implies that the asymptotic cone is unique. \Box **Remark** 4.6. If we view M^0 as an open subset of $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}$, then its image $f_{\lambda}(M^0)$ under f_{λ} is given by inequalities $r_j > 0$ for $j = 1, ..., l - 1$ and $\frac{1}{4}\sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\frac{1}{\alpha_l-\alpha_j}r_j^2 > -\lambda\sigma$. Thus, $f_{\lambda}|_{M^0}$ is also ϵ -onto if we let λ sufficiently small, hence an ϵ -GHA. So roughly speaking, $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} / \Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$ is an asymptotic cone of (M^0, g') .

For simplicity, denote by (E, g_{Euc}) the Riemannian manifold $((\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1} \times$ $(\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$. So $f : E \to$ E/Λ^+ is the quotient map and also a submetry. It is clear that the radius of E/Λ^+ is given by $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2}$. And by the proof of the previous proposition, we have the following.

Proposition 4.7. Denote by $\rho'(x)$ the distance function $d_{g_{Euc}}(0,x)$ of E, then outside a compact set, we have

(4.12)
$$
\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2} \le \rho' \le C \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2},
$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Proof. Since f is a submetry, we have $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2} \leq \rho'$. And by the proof of Proposition [4.5,](#page-15-0) we know that the Λ^+ -orbit of x has a diameter much smaller than $\rho'(x)$, proving the other inequality.

5. THE ASYMPTOTIC CONE OF THE KÄHLER-RICCI SOLITON

In this section we show that the asymptotic cone of (\mathbb{C}^n, g) is E/Λ^+ . To do this, we first need an estimation of the distance function of g . Note that it is known that ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l extend to smooth functions on \mathbb{C}^n .

Proposition 5.1 ([2, Lemma 5.8]). Denote by $\rho(x) = d_g(0, x)$ the distance function on (\mathbb{C}^n, g) . Then outside a compact set, we have

(5.1)
$$
\frac{1}{C}(\xi_l - \xi_1) \le \rho \le C(\xi_l - \xi_1),
$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

For simplicity, we will express this proposition by $\rho \sim (\xi_l - \xi_1)$. By this we mean that $\frac{\rho}{\xi_l-\xi_1}$ is bounded from above and below by positive constants outside a compact set. Or equivalently, it means that $\frac{1+\rho}{1+(\xi_l-\xi_1)}$ is bounded from above and below by positive constants everywhere. So, Proposition [4.7](#page-17-1) implies that $\rho' \sim$ $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2}.$

Since we want to prove that g and g' have the same asmptotic cone, we should compare them.

Proposition 5.2. We have

(5.2)
$$
|g - g'|_g = O(\frac{1}{\xi_l^{n-1}})
$$

as $\xi_l \to +\infty$.

Proof. Comparing the difference between g' and g , we find that g' is obtained from g by replacing $F_l(\xi_l)$ with $P(\xi_l)$. Now the result follows from

(5.3)
$$
\frac{F_l(\xi_l)}{P(\xi_l)} - 1 = e^{2a(\alpha_l - \xi_l)} \frac{P(\alpha_l)}{P(\xi_l)},
$$

and the fact that $a \geq 0$ and $P(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$.

For $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $c > 0$, define $R_{\alpha,c} = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n | \xi_l(x) > c(\xi_l(x) - \xi_1(x))^{\alpha} \}$, and $S_{\alpha,c}$ the complement of $R_{\alpha,c}$ in \mathbb{C}^n . Consequently, we have

Proposition 5.3. In $R_{\alpha,c}$, we have

(5.4)
$$
|g - g'|_g = O(\frac{1}{\rho^{\alpha(n-1)}})
$$

as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. It is a consequence of Proposition [5.1,](#page-17-2) Proposition [5.2](#page-17-3) and the definition of $R_{\alpha,c}$.

Recall the definitions of r_j^2 and σ , since ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_l can be extended to smooth functions on \mathbb{C}^n , as are r_j^2 and σ .

Proposition 5.4. As functions on \mathbb{C}^n , we have $\rho \sim \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2}$.

Proof. By the definitions of r_j^2 and σ , we have

(5.5)
$$
|\sigma| = |\sum_{j=1}^{l} \xi_j - \sum_{j=1}^{l} \alpha_l| \leq \xi_l - \xi_1 + C,
$$

(5.6)
$$
r_j^2 = C|p_{nc}(\alpha_j)| = C(\alpha_j - \xi_1)(\xi_l - \alpha_j) \le C(\xi_l - \xi_1)^2.
$$

Thus, $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2} \le C(1 + \rho)$.

Conversely, for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we will find a piecewise smooth curve in \mathbb{C}^n that joins x and the origin. Recall that σ_1 is the moment map of the action generated by K_1 , so we can use the flow of JK_1 to join x with another point x' such that $\sigma(x') = 0$. By construction, the length $l_g(\gamma_1)$ measured by g of this curve γ_1 is $|\sigma(x)|$. Recall that $g'(K_1, K_1) = 1$, comparing g' and g, we have

(5.7)
$$
g(K_1, K_1) = 1 - \frac{P(\alpha_l)}{p_c(\xi_l)\Delta(\xi_l)} \ge 1 - \frac{C}{\xi_l - \xi_1}.
$$

It follows that there exists $\rho_0 > 0$ such that on $\{\rho > \rho_0\}$, we have $g(K_1, K_1) \geq \frac{1}{2}$. If γ_1 intersects with $\{\rho \leq \rho_0\}$, then clearly we have

(5.8)
$$
\rho(x) \leq |\sigma(x)| + \rho_0 \leq C \left(1 + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2(x) + \sigma^2(x)} \right).
$$

If γ_1 is contained in $\{\rho > \rho_0\}$, then we know that $l_{g'}(\gamma_1) \leq 2|\sigma(x)|$. In this case, we consider the following.

Inside $\{\sigma = 0\}$, we have $-\xi_1 \sim \xi_l \sim \rho$, so we have $|g - g'|_g = O(\frac{1}{\rho^{(n-1)}})$ as $\rho \to +\infty$. Let γ_2 be the minimal geodesic with respect to g' in E that joins x' and

0, since $\{\sigma = 0\}$ is a totally geodesic submanifold of E, γ_2 is contained in $\{\sigma = 0\}$. We know that the length $l_{g'}(\gamma_2)$ of γ_2 measured by g' is $\rho'(x')$, so we have

(5.9)
$$
l_{g'}(\gamma_2) = \rho'(x') \le \rho'(x) + 2|\sigma(x)| \le C\left(1 + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2(x) + \sigma(x)^2}\right).
$$

In the last inequality, we have used Proposition [4.7.](#page-17-1)

Since $|g - g'|_g = O(\frac{1}{\rho^{(n-1)}})$ as $\rho \to +\infty$ inside $\{\sigma = 0\}$, there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ such that in $\{\rho \ge \rho_1\} \cap {\sigma = 0}$, we have $g \le 2g'$.

Write $\gamma_2 : [0, T] \to \mathbb{C}^n$, then $T = l_{g'}(\gamma_2) = \rho'(x')$. Let $t_0 \in [0, T]$ so that for any $0 \leq t < t_0$, we have $\rho(\gamma_2(t)) > \rho_1$ and $\rho(\gamma_2(t_0)) = \rho_1$. Then

(5.10)
$$
\rho(x) \le l_g(\gamma_1) + l_g(\gamma_2|_{[0,t_0]}) + \rho_1
$$

(5.11)
$$
\leq l_g(\gamma_1) + 2l_{g'}(\gamma_2) + \rho_1
$$

(5.12)
$$
\leq C \left(1 + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2(x) + \sigma(x)^2} \right).
$$

Thus, we have shown that in any case we always have $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2} \leq C(1+\rho)$ and $\rho \leq C \left(1 + \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} r_j^2 + \sigma^2} \right)$, which finish the proof.

Observe that we have two different metrics g and g' on $M⁰$. When equipped with g, we know that M^0 is dense in \mathbb{C}^n . When equipped with g', we know that $M⁰$ can be isometrically embedded into an open subset of E. However, we cannot extend this embedding to a smooth map from \mathbb{C}^n to E. Instead, we will fix an extension of this embedding that is not continuous as follows.

For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, if $x \in M^0$, we define $\iota(x)$ as the image of x under the embedding of M^0 into E. For any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus M^0$, fix a sequence x_j in M^0 that converges to x, and define $\iota(x) = \lim_{j \to +\infty} \iota(x_j)$. Here, since $\rho(x_j)$ is bounded, by Proposition [5.4](#page-18-0) and Proposition [4.7,](#page-17-1) we know that $\rho'(\iota(x_j))$ is also bounded, so by passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $\iota(x_j)$ converges.

Moreover, we require $\iota(0) = 0$. The idea is that any point x with $\iota(x) = 0$ must have $\xi_j(x) = \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, ..., l$ by the definition of r_j^2 and σ . From the toric point of view, it means that x is fixed by the action of \mathbb{T}^l , so it must be the origin of \mathbb{C}^n .

In this way, we get a map $\iota : \mathbb{C}^n \to E$ that extends the embedding of M^0 into E.

Proposition 5.5. As functions on \mathbb{C}^n , we have $\rho \sim \iota^* \rho'$.

Proof. It is a simple consequence of Proposition [5.4](#page-18-0) and Proposition [4.7.](#page-17-1) \Box

For simplicity, we will omit ι and simply write $\rho \sim \rho'$. Intuitively, we think of \mathbb{C}^n as a subset of E by using ι , although ι may not be injective.

By Proposition [5.3,](#page-18-1) the metric g is close to the locally flat metric g' in the region $R_{\alpha,c}$. The following two lemmas discuss the property of the metric g in the region $S_{\alpha,c}$.

Lemma 5.6. Any point $x_0 \in S_{\alpha,c}$ can be connected to $R_{\alpha,c}$ by a curve of length not greater than $C(\rho(x_0)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}+1)$, where $C>0$ is a constant depending on c, α and

 $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$. Similarly, it can also be connected to $\{\xi_l = \alpha_l\}$ by a curve of length not greater than $C(\rho(x_0)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}+1)$.

Proof. Consider the curve $\gamma(t)$ in \mathbb{C}^n passing through x_0 such that $\check{g}_j(\gamma'(t), -) = 0$, $\theta_r(\gamma'(t)) = 0, \xi_j(\gamma(t)) = \xi_j(x_0)$ and $\xi_l(\gamma(t)) = t$, where $j = 1, \ldots, l-1$ and $r=1,\ldots,l.$

Define $\xi_{k,0} = \xi_k(x_0)$ for $k = 1, ..., l$, then by the definition of $S_{\alpha,c}$, we have (5.13) $\xi_{l,0} \leq c(\xi_{l,0} - \xi_{1,0})^{\alpha}$.

Choose
$$
\xi_{l,1} > \xi_{l,0}
$$
 such that

(5.14)
$$
c(\xi_{l,1} - \xi_{1,0})^{\alpha} < \xi_{l,1} < (c+1)(\xi_{l,1} - \xi_{1,0})^{\alpha},
$$

Then we will consider the curve $\gamma : [\alpha_l, \xi_{l,1}] \to \mathbb{C}^n$. Note that $\gamma(\alpha_l) \in {\xi_l = \alpha_l}$, $\gamma(\xi_{l,0}) = x_0$, and $\gamma(\xi_{l,1}) \in R_{\alpha,c}$. Let L be the length of this curve; then we have

(5.15)
$$
L = \int_{\alpha_l}^{\xi_{l,1}} \sqrt{\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (t - \alpha_j)^{d_j} \prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (t - \xi_{k,0})}{P(t) - e^{2a(\alpha_l - t)} P(\alpha_l)}}.
$$

Write $P(t) - P(\alpha_l) = (t - \alpha_l)f(t)$, then $f(\alpha_l) > 0$ since $P'(\alpha_t) > 0$. In fact, we know that $f(t)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-2$ and $f(t) > 0$ for any $t \geq \alpha_l$. So, there exists $C > 0$ depending only on $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ such that

(5.16)
$$
\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (t - \alpha_j)^{d_j} \prod_{k=2}^{l-1} (t - \xi_{k,0})}{f(t)} \leq C,
$$

for all $t \geq \alpha_l$. It follows that

(5.17)
$$
L \leq C \int_{\alpha_l}^{\xi_{l,1}} \sqrt{\frac{t - \xi_{1,0}}{t - \alpha_l}}
$$

(5.18)
$$
=2C\sqrt{\xi_{l,1}-\xi_{1,0}}\sqrt{\xi_{l,1}-\alpha_{l}}
$$

(5.19)
$$
\leq C(1+\rho(x_1))^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}},
$$

where in the last line, C depends on $c, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$.

Define $\rho_0 = 1 + \rho(x_0)$ and $\rho_1 = 1 + \rho(x_1)$, then by the triangle inequality we have $|\rho_1 - \rho_0| \le L \le C \rho_1^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$.

Assume first that $\rho_0 > R$ for some constant $R > 0$, which will be determined later. We claim that for sufficiently large R, we have $\rho_1 \leq 3\rho_0$. If it is not true, then $\rho_1 > 3\rho_0 > 3R$, and $|\rho_1 - \rho_0| > \frac{1}{2}\rho_1$, so $\frac{1}{2}\rho_1 \le C\rho_1^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$, and hence $\rho_1^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \le 2C$, implying that $(3R)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \leq 2C$. However, the last inequality is not true if R is sufficiently large.

So in this case, we have $L \leq C(1+\rho(x_0))^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$. Finally, the set $\{x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n | 1 +$ $\rho(x_0) \le R$ is compact, so in any case there exists $C > 0$ such that $L \le C(1 +$ $\rho(x_0))^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$.

Now, considering the segment of γ corresponding to $t \in [\xi_{l,0}, \xi_{l,1}]$, we prove the statement about connecting $S_{\alpha,c}$ to $R_{\alpha,c}$. Similarly, considering the segment of γ corresponding to $t \in [\alpha_l, \xi_{l,0}]$, we prove the statement about connecting $S_{\alpha,c}$ to $\{\xi_l = \alpha_l\}.$

Intuitively, the above lemma says that S_{α_c} is thin. The next lemma says that it looks like a half-line.

Lemma 5.7. There exist $\rho_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending only on $c, \alpha, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_l$ such that for any points $x_1, x_2 \in S_{\alpha,c}$ with $\rho(x_1), \rho(x_2) > \rho_0$ and $\xi_1(x_1) \leq \xi_1(x_2)$, we have $\xi_1(x_1), \xi_1(x_2) < 0$ and

$$
(5.20)
$$

$$
0 \leq d_g(x_1,x_2) - (\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)) \leq C \left(\rho(x_1)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} + \rho(x_2)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} + \frac{\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)}{-\xi_1(x_2)} \right).
$$

Proof. Recall that for $j = 1, ..., l - 1$, we have $\xi_i \leq \alpha_i \leq \xi_{i+1}$, so in the formula [\(2.9\)](#page-4-0) that defines g, all the coefficients of $(d\xi_j)^2$ for $j = 1, ..., l-1$ are greater than 1. In particular, considering the coefficient of $(d\xi_1)^2$, we get

(5.21)
$$
d_g(x_1, x_2) \geq \xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1),
$$

which is the first inequality. To prove the second inequality, we note that using Lemma [5.6,](#page-19-0) we may assume that $x_1, x_2 \in {\xi_l = \alpha_l}.$

By equation [\(2.9\)](#page-4-0) again, letting $\xi_l = \alpha_l$, we get

$$
(5.22)
$$

$$
g|_{\{\xi_l = \alpha_l\}} = \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} (-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}|_{\xi_l = \alpha_l} (\alpha_j) \check{g}_j + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{(\xi_j - \alpha_l) \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l-1} (\xi_j - \xi_k)}{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)} (d\xi_j)^2 + \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{l-1} (\xi_j - \alpha_k)}{(\xi_j - \alpha_l) \prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{l-1} (\xi_j - \xi_k)} \left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l = \alpha_l} (\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right)^2
$$

We will estimate the size (diameter) of each of its components.

Firstly, by Proposition [5.1](#page-17-2) $(-1)^{l-j} p_{nc}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\alpha_j) \sim \rho$, so the size of the \check{g}_j component is $O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as $\rho \to +\infty$.

Secondly, for $j = 2, ..., l - 1$, the coefficient of $(d\xi_j)^2$ is bounded by

(5.24)
$$
C(\xi_j - \xi_1) \frac{1}{(\xi_j - \alpha_{j-1})(\alpha_j - \xi_j)}.
$$

So, the size of the $(d\xi_j)^2$ component is $O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as $\rho \to +\infty$.

Thirdly, the coefficient of $\left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\hat{\xi}_1)\theta_r\right)^2$ is bounded by 1 and we have $\sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\hat{\xi}_1) \sim 1$, so the size of the $\left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\hat{\xi}_1)\theta_r\right)^2$ component is $O(1)$ as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$.

Fourthly, for $i = 2, \ldots, l - 1$, by [\(3.18\)](#page-8-2), when $\xi_l = \alpha_l$, we have

(5.25)
$$
\left| \left(\sum_{r=1}^{l} \sigma_{r-1} |_{\xi_l = \alpha_l} (\hat{\xi}_j) \theta_r \right) (T_j) \right| \leq C(1+\rho)
$$

Also, we note that the coefficient of $\left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\hat{\xi}_j)\theta_r\right)^2$ is of $O(\frac{1}{\rho})$, so the size of the $\left(\sum_{r=1}^l \sigma_{r-1}|_{\xi_l=\alpha_l}(\hat{\xi}_j)\theta_r\right)^2$ component is $O(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}})$ as $\rho \to +\infty$.

Finally, consider a curve $\gamma : [\xi_1(x_1), \xi_2(x_1)] \to {\xi_l = \alpha_l}$ joining x_1, x_2 , such that $\xi_1(\gamma(t)) = t$. To estimate the length of γ , it suffices to consider the contribution of the $(d\xi_1)^2$ component, since the contribution of the other components is at most $C(\rho(x_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\rho(x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$

Since $\rho \sim \xi_l - \xi_1$, when $\xi_l = \alpha$ we have $-\xi_1 \sim \rho$ so by letting ρ_0 be large enough, we have $\xi_1(x_1), \xi_1(x_2) < 0$. Examining the coefficient of $(d\xi_1)^2$, we find that it is bounded from above by $1 + \frac{C}{-\xi_1}$. Note that $\sqrt{1 + x} \le 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$ for $x > 0$, we get

$$
(5.26)
$$
\n
$$
\int_{\xi_1(x_1)}^{\xi_1(x_2)} \sqrt{1 + \frac{C}{-t}} dt \le \int_{\xi_1(x_1)}^{\xi_1(x_2)} (1 + \frac{C}{-2t}) dt \le (\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)) + C \frac{\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)}{-\xi_1(x_2)},
$$

which proves the second inequality.

$$
\sqcup
$$

Now it is time to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.8. The asymptotic cone of the Kähler-Ricci soliton (\mathbb{C}^n, g) is unique and is $E/\Lambda^{+} = (\prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \mathbb{C}^{d_j+1}/\Lambda) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, we claim that there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, the map $f_{\lambda}: \mathbb{C}^n \to E \to E/\Lambda^+$ is a pointed ϵ -GHA of $\lambda^2 g$. Here f_{λ} is the composition of ι , the submetry $f: E \to E/\Lambda^+$ and the rescaling by λ .

Recall that $\iota(0) = 0$, so $f_{\lambda}(0) = 0$ hence f_{λ} is pointed.

We will first show that f_{λ} is ϵ -isometry for any sufficiently small λ . More precisely, it means that for any two points $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\rho(x_i) < (\lambda \epsilon)^{-1}$, we have

(5.27)
$$
|d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2) - d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f_{\lambda}(x_1), f(x_2))| < \epsilon,
$$

or equivalently,

(5.28)
$$
|d_g(x_1, x_2) - d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f(x_1), f(x_2))| < \lambda^{-1} \epsilon.
$$

Observe that the ball $\{\rho \leq (\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{2}{3}}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ has radius $\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \epsilon^{-\frac{2}{3}}$ measured by $\lambda^2 g$. So, its diameter is small when λ is sufficiently small. For example, when $\lambda < \epsilon^5$, we have $\lambda^{\frac{1}{3}} \epsilon^{-\frac{2}{3}} < \epsilon$. Similarly, by Proposition [5.4,](#page-18-0) its image under f_{λ} is also of diameter smaller than ϵ if λ is sufficiently small. Thus, we may assume that $\rho(x_i) > (\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{2}{3}}$

Consider the case where $x_1, x_2 \in S_{\alpha,c}$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi_1(x_2) \geq \xi_1(x_1)$. By Lemma [5.7,](#page-21-0) we know that the difference between $d_g(x_1, x_2)$ and $\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)$ is smaller than

(5.29)
$$
C(\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} + C \frac{\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1)}{-\xi_1(x_2)}.
$$

In $S_{\alpha,c}$, we have $-\xi_1 \sim \rho$, so $\frac{-\xi_1(x_1)}{-\xi_1(x_2)} \sim \frac{\rho(x_1)}{\rho(x_2)} \leq \frac{\rho(x_2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\rho(x_2)} = \rho(x_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Here we have used the assumption that $\rho(x_i) \in [(\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{2}{3}}, (\lambda \epsilon)^{-1}]$.

Note that since $x_i \in S_{\alpha,c}$, we have $|p_{nc}(\alpha_j)(x_i)| \leq C\rho(x_i)^{1+\alpha}$, so $r_j(x_i) \leq C\rho^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}}$, and $||\sigma(x_2) - \sigma(x_1)| - (\xi_1(x_2) - \xi_1(x_1))| \leq C(\rho(x_1)^{\alpha} + \rho(x_2)^{\alpha}).$

Combining the above estimates, we know that

$$
(5.30) \t |d_g(x_1, x_2) - d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f(x_1), f(x_2))| < C((\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} + (\lambda \epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\lambda \epsilon)^{-\alpha}).
$$

By choosing λ_0 sufficiently small, the right-hand side in the above inequality is smaller than $\lambda^{-1}\epsilon$. So f_{λ} is an ϵ -isometry when restricted to $S_{\alpha,c}$.

Consider the case where $x_1, x_2 \in R_{\alpha,c}$. Let $\gamma : [0, L] \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be the minimal geodesic with respect to $\lambda^2 g$ that joins x_1 and x_2 . If γ is contained in $R_{\alpha,c}$, then by

Proposition [5.3,](#page-18-1) we have $|l_{\lambda^2 g}(\gamma) - l_{\lambda^2 g'}(\gamma)| < \epsilon$ if λ is sufficiently small. It follows that

(5.31)

$$
d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f_\lambda(x_1), f_\lambda(x_2)) \leq d_{\lambda^2 g'}(x_1, x_2) \leq l_{\lambda^2 g'}(\gamma) \leq l_{\lambda^g}(\gamma) + \epsilon = d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2) + \epsilon.
$$

If γ is not contained in $R_{\alpha,c}$, then find $t_1 \in (0,L)$ such that $\gamma(t) \in R_{\alpha,c}$ for all $t \in (0, t_1)$ and $\gamma(t_1) \in S_{\alpha, c}$. Similarly, find $t_2 \in (0, L)$ such that $\gamma(t) \in R_{\alpha, c}$ for all $t \in (t_2, L)$ and $\gamma(t_2) \in S_{\alpha, c}$. Then if λ is chosen small enough, we have

$$
d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(f_{\lambda}(x_{1}), f_{\lambda}(x_{2})) \leq d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(f_{\lambda}(x_{1}), f_{\lambda}(\gamma(t_{1}))) + d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(f_{\lambda}(\gamma(t_{1})), f_{\lambda}(\gamma(t_{2}))) ++ d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(f_{\lambda}(\gamma(t_{2})), f_{\lambda}(x_{2}))\leq d_{\lambda^{2}g}(x_{1}, \gamma(t_{1})) + d_{\lambda^{2}g}(\gamma(t_{1}), \gamma(t_{2})) + d_{\lambda^{2}g}(\gamma(t_{2}), x_{2}) + \epsilon= d_{\lambda^{2}g}(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \epsilon.
$$

Thus, in any case we have $d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f_\lambda(x_1), f_\lambda(x_2)) \leq d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2) + \epsilon$.

Conversly, let $\bar{\gamma}: [0, L] \to E/\Lambda^+$ be the minimal geodesic that joins $f_{\lambda}(x_1), f_{\lambda}(x_2)$ in E/Λ^+ . Let $\gamma : [0, L] \to E$ be the horizontal lift of $\bar{\gamma}$ with respect to the submetry f_{λ} , such that $\gamma(0) = x_1$. Denote $x_2' = \gamma(L)$, then $f_{\lambda}(x_2) = f_{\lambda}(x_2')$, and $d_{\lambda^2 g'}(x_1, x_2') = l_{\gamma^2 g'}(\gamma)$. If γ is contained in $R_{\alpha,c}$, then $|l_{\lambda^2 g}(\gamma) - l_{\lambda^2 g'}(\gamma)| < \frac{1}{2} \epsilon$ if λ is sufficiently small. And by the proof of Proposition [4.5,](#page-15-0) the Λ^+ orbit passing through x_2 has g'-diameter smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ if λ is sufficiently small, hence its g-diameter is also smaller than $\frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ if λ is sufficiently small. It follows that

(5.32)
$$
d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2) \leq d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2') + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon
$$

$$
(5.33)\qquad \qquad \leq l_{\lambda^2 g}(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon
$$

≤ lλ2^g (5.34) ′ (γ) + ǫ

(5.35)
$$
= d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f_\lambda(x_1), f_\lambda(x_2)) + \epsilon.
$$

If γ is not contained in $R_{\alpha,c}$, then by dividing γ into three segments as we have done before, we still have $d_{\lambda^2 g}(x_1, x_2) \leq d_{E/\Lambda^+}(f_\lambda(x_1), f_\lambda(x_2)) + \epsilon$ when λ is sufficiently small.

In conclusion, we have shown that f_{λ} is a ϵ isometry when restricted to $R_{\alpha,c}$.

The case where $x_1 \in S_{\alpha,c}$ and $x_2 \in R_{\alpha,c}$ can be treated by a similar method as the case where $x_1, x_2 \in R_{\alpha,c}$. In fact, it suffices to divide the geodesic into two segments. In summary, we have shown that f_{λ} is an ϵ -isometry if $\lambda < \lambda_0$ and λ_0 depends only on ϵ .

It remains to show that f_{λ} is ϵ -onto. Take any $y \in E/\Lambda^+$ with $|y| < \epsilon^{-1}$. Recall that the image $f_{\lambda}(M^0)$ is determined by $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1}{\alpha_l - \alpha_j} r_j^2 > -\lambda \sigma$ and $r_j > 0$. So for λ sufficiently small, there exists $x \in M^0$ such that $d_{E/\Lambda^+}(y, f_\lambda(x)) < \epsilon$. It follows that $|f_{\lambda}(x)| < \epsilon^{-1} + \epsilon$. By Proposition [5.4,](#page-18-0) there exists $C_0 > 0$ independent of ϵ such that $\rho(x) \leq C_0 \lambda^{-1} \epsilon^{-1}$. Since we have proved that f_λ is ϵ -isometric, for λ sufficiently small, we have $|\lambda \rho(x) - f_{\lambda}(x)| < \epsilon$. Hence, we have $\lambda \rho(x) < \epsilon^{-1} + 2\epsilon$.

Let $x' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $d_{\lambda^2 g}(x, x') < 2\epsilon$ and $\lambda \rho(x') < \epsilon$, then

$$
(5.36) \t d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(y, f_{\lambda}(x')) < d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(y, f_{\lambda}(x)) + d_{E/\Lambda^{+}}(f_{\lambda}(x'), f_{\lambda}(x'))
$$

$$
(5.37) \t\t\t $\epsilon + d_{\lambda^2 g}(x, x') + \epsilon$
$$

 (5.38) $< 4\epsilon$

Thus, we have shown that f_{λ} is also ϵ -onto. In conclusion, E/Λ^+ is an asymptotic cone of (\mathbb{C}^n, g) . Moreover, since (\mathbb{C}^n, g) is complete, our proof implies that E/Λ^+ is the unique asymptotic cone of (\mathbb{C}^n, g) . n, g).

The dimension of the asymptotic cone E/Λ^+ is $2n-1-\dim\Lambda$. By Proposition [4.1,](#page-14-0) it is $2n - \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} {\rm Span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{1, \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{l-1}\}.$

Note that in [\[2,](#page-36-0) Lemma 5.8] it is proved that the volume growth of (\mathbb{C}^n, g) is of order r^{2n-1} . So, if τ is rational, then the order of volume growth matches the dimension of the asymptotic cone. However, if not, then the order of volume growth is strictly larger than the dimension of the asymptotic cone.

Example 5.9. Consider the special case where $l = 2$, then have to set $d_1 = n - 2$. In this case $\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{n-1}$, so $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$. By Theorem [5.8,](#page-22-0) the asymptotic cone is $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times \mathbb{R}$. If $a=0$ and $n=2$, then it is known in [\[2\]](#page-36-0) that we will get the Taub-NUT metric, whose asymptotic cone is \mathbb{R}^3 .

Example 5.10. Consider the case where $l = 3$, without loss of generality, we may assume $\alpha_1 = 0$ and $\alpha_2 = 1$. Then it follows that $\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{d_1+1}(\alpha_3-1)$ and $\tau_2 = -\frac{1}{d_2+1}\alpha_3$. If $\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $\dim \Lambda = 0$ and the asymptotic cone is of dimension $2n-1$. If $\alpha_3 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, then dim $\Lambda = 1$ and the asymptotic cone is of dimension $2n-2$.

6. THE SPECIAL CASE WHERE $l = 2$ and $a = 0$

In this section we will study in detail the special case where $l = 2$ and $a = 0$. If $n > 2$, then it is a generalization of the Taub-NUT metric (which is an ALF gravitational instanton) to higher dimensions. Indeed, we will show that this metric is ALF in a certain sense.

6.1. Description of the metric. When $l = 2$, we have $d_1 = n - 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 1$. Consequently, we have $\mathring{D} = (-\infty, 0) \times (1, +\infty)$. Let $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathring{D}$ be its coordinates, then $p_c(t) = t^{n-2}$, $p_{nc}(t) = (t - \xi_1)(t - \xi_2), P(t) = F_1(t) = t^{n-1}$. Under the assumption that $a = 0$, we have $q(t) = n - 1$ and $F_2(t) = t^{n-1} - 1$.

In this special case, $v_1 = (0, -2)$ and $v_2 = \frac{2}{n-1}(-1, 1)$. Now P is the principal \mathbb{T}^2 -bundle associated with the complex vector bundle $O(-1) \oplus \mathbb{C}$ over \mathbb{CP}^{n-2} . Here, $O(-1)$ is the tautological bundle and $\mathbb C$ is the trivial bundle. Recall that T_1, T_2 are the vector fields on P corresponding to the rotation in $O(-1)$ and the trivial bundle C. Let η_1, η_2 be the connection 1-form associated with T_1, T_2 such that $\eta_i(T_j) = \delta_{ij}$ and curvature $d\eta_1 = \check{\omega}_1^0, d\eta_2 = 0.$

Recall that T_1, T_2 correspond to v_1, v_2 and K_1, K_2 correspond to e_1, e_2 , so we have $K_1 = -\frac{n-1}{2}T_2 - \frac{1}{2}T_1$ and $K_2 = -\frac{1}{2}T_1$. Since θ_1, θ_2 is the dual basis to K_1, K_2 , we have

(6.1)
$$
\theta_1 = -\frac{2}{n-1} \eta_2,
$$

(6.2)
$$
\theta_2 = -2\eta_1 + \frac{2}{n-1}\eta_2.
$$

It follows that $d\theta_1 = 0$ and $d\theta_2 = -2\tilde{\omega}_1^0 = -\tilde{\omega}_1$. 25

Now the Kähler metric (g, ω) on $M^0 = \overset{\circ}{D} \times P$ is given by

(6.3)
$$
g = -\xi_1 \xi_2 \check{g}_1 + \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{-\xi_1} (d\xi_1)^2 + \frac{\xi_2^{n-2} (\xi_2 - \xi_1)}{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1} (d\xi_2)^2 +
$$

(6.4)
$$
+\frac{-\xi_1}{\xi_2-\xi_1}(\theta_1+\xi_2\theta_2)^2+\frac{\xi_2^{n-1}-1}{\xi_2^{n-2}(\xi_2-\xi_1)}(\theta_1+\xi_1\theta_2)^2,
$$

(6.5)
$$
\omega = -\xi_1 \xi_2 \check{\omega}_1 + d\sigma_1 \wedge \theta_1 + d\sigma_2 \wedge \theta_2,
$$

where $\sigma_1 = \xi_1 + \xi_2$, $\sigma_2 = \xi_1 \xi_2$. Its extension to \mathbb{C}^n is the Apostolov-Cifarelli metric (of the Taub-NUT type) and we still denote it by (g, ω) .

In this case, we have

(6.6)
$$
g' = -\xi_1 \xi_2 \check{g}_1 + \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{-\xi_1} (d\xi_1)^2 + \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{\xi_2} (d\xi_2)^2 +
$$

(6.7)
$$
+\frac{-\xi_1}{\xi_2-\xi_1}(\theta_1+\xi_2\theta_2)^2+\frac{\xi_2}{\xi_2-\xi_1}(\theta_1+\xi_1\theta_2)^2.
$$

Note that $\tau_1 = -\frac{1}{n-1}$, then by Proposition [4.2,](#page-14-1) we know that (M^0, g') can be isometrically embedded into $E = ((\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{R} = ((\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{S}^1)/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{R}$ where \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} acts on \mathbb{C}^{n-1} and \mathbb{S}^1 by rotation. By Theorem [5.8,](#page-22-0) its asymptotic cone is $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times \mathbb{R}$.

6.2. The $SOB(2n-1)$ property.

Proposition 6.1. The volume growth of g is of order $2n-1$. More precisely, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $R > C$, we have $C^{-1}R^{2n-1} \leq Vol(g, B(g, R)) \leq$ CR^{2n-1} .

For the proof of Proposition [6.1,](#page-25-0) we refer to [\[2,](#page-36-0) Lemma 5.8]. In fact, it is true for any choice of $l \geq 2$ and $a \geq 0$.

We will show that the Apostolov-Cifareli metric of the Taub-NUT type is $SOB(2n-$ 1) in the following sense:

Definition 6.2. A complete non-compact Riemannian manifold (N, g) of dimension $m > 2$ is called $SOB(\beta)$, $(\beta \in \mathbb{R}_+)$ if there exist $x_0 \in N$ and $C \geq 1$ such that

- Let $A(x_0, s, t) = \{s \leq r(x) \leq t\}$ be the annulus, then for sufficiently large $D > 0$, any two points $m_1, m_2 \in N$ with $r(m_i) = D$ can be joined by a curve of length at most CD, lying in the annulus $A(x_0, C^{-1}D, CD)$,
- $Vol(g, B(x_0, s)) \leq Cs^{\beta}$ for all $s \geq C_s$,
- $Vol(g, B(x, (1-\frac{1}{C})r(x))) \geq \frac{1}{C}r(x)^{\beta},$
- Ric $(x) \geq -Cr(x)^{-2}$,

if $r(x) = d(x_0, x) \ge C$.

Proposition 6.3. If $l = 2$ and $a = 0$, then the Apostolov-Cifareli metric (\mathbb{C}^n, g) of the Taub-NUT type is $SOB(2n-1)$.

Proof. We will take x_0 to be the origin of \mathbb{C}^n , so $r(x) = \rho(x)$.

We start with the first condition in Definition [6.2.](#page-25-1) Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $c > 0$, if both m_1, m_2 are in $R_{\alpha,c}$, then we can apply Proposition [5.3](#page-18-1) and the fact that the Euclidean metric of E satisfies this condition. If one of m_1, m_2 is in $S_{\alpha,c}$, then by Lemma [5.6,](#page-19-0) it can be conected to $R_{\alpha,c}$ by a curve of length much shorter than D since $\frac{1+\alpha}{2} < 1$.

The second condition is a consequence of Proposition [6.1.](#page-25-0)

As for the third condition, if $x \in R_{\alpha,c}$, then again we can apply Proposition [5.3](#page-18-1) to prove the lower bound of volume growth. If $x \in S_{\alpha,c}$, then by Lemma [5.6](#page-19-0) again, there is a point x' in $R_{\alpha,c}$ that lies in $B(x, C\rho(x)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}})$. If C is sufficiently large, large and $\rho(x) > C$, then $B(x', \frac{1}{2}\rho(x)) \subset B(x, (1 - \frac{1}{C})r(x))$, hence we will get a lower bound of volume growth.

Finally, since $a = 0$, the metric is Ricci-flat. This proves the last condition. \Box

The above proof is based on the study of the metric of Apostolov and Cifarelli. In fact, we have the following general result.

Proposition 6.4. Let (M, q) be a connected complete nonncompact Riemannian manifold of dimension n with nonnegative Ricci curvature. Let $x_0 \in M$ and assume that there exist $A, B, \beta > 0$ such that $Ar^{\beta} \leq Vol(B(x_0, r)) \leq Br^{\beta}$ for any $r \geq 1$. Then (M, g) is $SOB(\beta)$.

Proof. By assumption, the conditions concerning the Ricci curvature and upper bound of the volume of balls are satisfied. If $C \geq 2$, then by Bishop-Gromov inequality, for any $x \in M$ with $r(x) \geq C$ we have

(6.8)
$$
Vol(B(x, (1 - \frac{1}{C})r(x))) \ge (\frac{1 - \frac{1}{C}}{2})^n Vol(B(x, 2r(x)))
$$

(6.9)
$$
\geq \frac{1}{4^n} Vol(B(x_0, r(x)))
$$

≥ A 4 n r(x) β (6.10) .

This proves the condition concerning the lower bound of the volume of balls.

Finally, to prove the relatively connected annuli (RCA) condition, we will apply a result of Minerbe. By Bishop-Gromov inequality again, we know that $Vol(B(x, 2t)) \leq$ $2^nVol(B(x,t))$ for any $x \in M$ and $t > 0$. The result of [\[4\]](#page-36-3) establishes the L^p Poincaré inequality for any $1 \leq p < +\infty$. Now we can apply [\[16,](#page-37-15) Proposition 2.8] to show the RCA condition. to show the RCA condition.

Consequently, for any $l \geq 2$ and any choice of d_i , as long as $a = 0$, the Calabi-Yau metric of Taub-NUT type on \mathbb{C}^n constructed by Apostolov and Cifarelli is $SOB(2n - 1)$.

6.3. A Kähler potential.

Proposition 6.5. For any constant C, the following function defines a Kähler potential of g:

(6.11)
$$
H = \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 - \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_2^2 - \xi_2 + \int_0^{\xi_2} \frac{1}{1 + t + \dots + t^{n-2}} dt + C.
$$

More precisely, we have $dd^c H = \omega$.

The last proposition is deduced from the formula of K"ahler potential for K"ahler metric that admits Hamiltonian 2-forms given in [\[1,](#page-36-2) Theorem 1]. In fact, one starts with the Kähler potential given by formula (65) of [\[1\]](#page-36-2) and then subtracts it by a pluriharmonic function u_1 given by formula (70) of [\[1\]](#page-36-2).

Proposition 6.6. By fixing a large enough constant C, the potential H given by [\(6.11\)](#page-26-0) satisfies the following properties:

- The function H is positive;
- The function H is comparable to ρ^2 outside a compact set;
- The function H satisfies $dH \wedge d^c H \leq C'Hdd^cH$ for some $C' > 0$.

Proof. We choose $C > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2}x^2 - x + \frac{1}{2}C > \frac{1}{4}x^2$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then

(6.12)
$$
H = \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 - \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}C\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi_2^2 - \xi_2 + \frac{1}{2}C\right) + \int_0^{\xi_2} \frac{1}{1 + t + \dots + t^{n-2}} dt
$$

(6.13)
$$
> \frac{1}{4}(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2) \ge \frac{1}{4}.
$$

Regarding the second property, recall Proposition [5.1](#page-17-2) that outside a compact set ρ^2 is proportional to $(\xi_2 - \xi_1)^2$, which is in turn proportional to $\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2$ since $\xi_1 \leq 0$, $\xi_2 \geq 1$. Note that the integration in the formula of H is bounded from above by ξ_2 , we have

(6.14)
$$
H \leq \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 - \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_2^2 + C
$$

(6.15)
$$
\leq \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\xi_2^2 + C
$$

(6.16)
$$
\leq \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + C + \frac{1}{2}
$$

(6.17)
$$
\leq (C + \frac{3}{2})(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2).
$$

Thus, H is comparable to ρ^2 .

Finally, to prove the last inequality, it suffices to show that $(dH)^2 \leq C'Hg$ for some $C' > 0$. Now $dH = (\xi_1 - 1)d\xi_1 + \frac{\xi_2^{n-1}}{\xi_2^{n-2} + \dots + \xi_2 + 1}d\xi_2$. Observing that the coefficients of $d\xi_i$ in dH are bounded from above by a multiple of \sqrt{H} , while the coefficients of $(d\xi_i)^2$ of g are bounded below by 1, we get $(dH)^2 \le C'Hg$ for some $C' > 0.$ $\prime > 0.$

6.4. Estimation of the Riemannian curvature. The aim of this subsection is to prove the following proposition:

Proposition 6.7. There exists $C > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we have $|\operatorname{Rm}_g(x)| \leq$ $\frac{C}{1+\rho(x)}$.

We will prove this with the help of the following weaker version of the result of [\[17,](#page-37-8) Theorem 1.1]:

Theorem 6.8. Let p be a point of the Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension n, assume that $B_2(p)$ has a compact closure in $B_4(p)$. Assume that (M^n, g, p) satisfies $|Ric| \leq n-1$, then there exist positive constants δ, w_0, c_0 depending only on n, such that if

(6.18)
$$
d_{GH}(B_2(p), B_2(0^k)) < \delta,
$$

where $0^k \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the origin of the standard Euclidean Riemannian manifold \mathbb{R}^k $(0 \leq k \leq n)$, then $\Gamma_{\delta}(p) = \text{Image}[\pi_1(B_{\delta}(p)) \to \pi_1(B_2(p))]$ is $(w_0, n-k)$ -nilpotent with rank $(\Gamma_{\delta}(p)) \leq n-k$, and if equality holds then for each $q \in B_1(p)$ we have the conjugate radius bound

(6.19)
$$
\text{ConjRad}(q) \geq c_0 > 0.
$$

In particular, if M^n is Einstein, then we have

(6.20)
$$
\sup_{B_1(p)} |\operatorname{Rm}| \leq C(n).
$$

Remark 6.9. The result of [\[17,](#page-37-8) Theorem 1.1] is stronger than the above statement, in fact it allows us to replace \mathbb{R}^k by any product $\mathbb{R}^{k-l} \times Z^l$ for $l \leq 3$, where Z is a Ricci-limit space of dimension l in the sense of $[7]$, and the constants depend on the ball of radius 2 of $\mathbb{R}^{k-l} \times Z^l$. Moreover, the statement admits a converse.

Remark 6.10. We will apply Theorem [6.8](#page-27-0) to cases $k = 2n$ and $k = 2n - 1$. Here we note that if a group Γ is $(w_0, 1)$ -nilpotent with rank $(\Gamma) \leq 1$, then to prove that rank(Γ) = 1, it suffices to show that Γ is infinite (see, for example, [\[17,](#page-37-8) Section $(2.4.1)$.

Now, let us give the proof of Proposition [6.7.](#page-27-1)

Proof of Proposition [6.7:](#page-27-1) We will proceed by contradiction. Suppose that the Riemannian curvature bound fails. Then for any sequence $C_i \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $x_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that

(6.21)
$$
|\operatorname{Rm}_g(x_i)| \ge \frac{C_i}{1 + \rho(x_i)}.
$$

By selecting a subsequence, we may assume that $\rho_i = \rho(x_i) \to +\infty$. We may choose a sequence of real numbers $m_i > 0$ such that $m_i \to +\infty$, $\frac{C_i}{m_i^2} \to +\infty$ and $\frac{\rho_i}{m_i^2} \to +\infty$.

We define $\lambda_i = m_i \rho_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then $\lambda_i \to 0$ and $\lambda_i^2 \rho_i = m_i^2 \to +\infty$ as $i \to +\infty$.

For any $0 < \beta < 1$ and $c > 0$, denote as before $R_{\beta,c} = {\xi_2 \ge c(\xi_2 - \xi_1)^{\beta}} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. First, we consider the case where x_i lies in the regular region $R_{\beta,c}$. Then by Proposition [4.2](#page-14-1) and Proposition [5.3,](#page-18-1) we know that the metric tensor of $B_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i, 2)$ C^0 -converges to the ball of radius 2 in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , where \tilde{g} is the pull back of g from $((\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{S}^1)/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times\mathbb{R}$ to its universal covering \mathbb{R}^{2n} . So for sufficiently large *i*, we have

(6.22)
$$
d_{GH}(B_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i, 2), B_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, 2)) < \delta
$$

and rank $(\Gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{x}_i)) = 0$. Applying Theorem [6.8,](#page-27-0) we have

(6.23)
$$
|\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i)| = |\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i)| \leq C(n).
$$

Next, we consider the case where x_i is in the complement of $R_{\beta,c}$. So, by the definition of $S_{\beta,c}$, we have $-\xi_1(x_i)$ comparable to ρ_i and $\xi_2(x_i) \leq C\rho_i^{\beta}$. Note that the distance $\tilde{\rho}(x_i)$ of x_i measured by $\lambda_i^2 g$ is $\lambda_i \rho_i \to +\infty$, so the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$ is far from the origin for sufficiently large i . Now we have

$$
(6.24) \qquad \lambda_i^2 g = -\lambda_i^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 \check{g}_1 + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{-\xi_1} (d\xi_1)^2 + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\xi_2^{n-2} (\xi_2 - \xi_1)}{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1} (d\xi_2)^2 +
$$

(6.25)
$$
+ \lambda_i^2 \frac{-\xi_1}{\xi_2 - \xi_1} (\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2)^2 + \lambda_i^2 \frac{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1}{\xi_2^{n-2} (\xi_2 - \xi_1)} (\theta_1 + \xi_1 \theta_2)^2.
$$

Examining the second term of the above formula, and note that $2\frac{\xi_2-\xi_1}{-\xi_1} \geq 1$, we know that for any $x'_i \in B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, we have

(6.26)
$$
|\xi_1(x'_i) - \xi_1(x_i)| \leq 2\lambda_i^{-1}.
$$

By the choice of m_i , we know that λ_i^{-1} is much smaller compared to ρ_i , consequently $|\frac{\xi_1(x_i')-\xi_1(x_i)}{\xi_1(x_i)}| \to 0.$

Introduce a new coordinate $u \geq 0$ by $u^2 = \xi_2 - 1$, then the third term in the formula of $\lambda_i^2 g$ can be written as

$$
(6.27) \qquad \lambda_i^2 \frac{\xi_2^{n-2}(\xi_2 - \xi_1)}{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1} (d\xi_2)^2 = 4\lambda_i^2(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \frac{\xi_2^{n-2}}{1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_2^{n-2}} (du)^2.
$$

The function $\frac{\xi_2^{n-2}}{1+\xi_2+\cdots+\xi_2^{n-2}}$ is bounded from above and from below by positive numbers when $\xi_2 \geq 1$. And note that $\xi_2 - \xi_1$ is comparable to ρ . On the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, for any $x'_i \in B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, we have $|\rho(x'_i) - \rho_i| \leq 2\lambda_i^{-1}$, which is much smaller than ρ_i . So we know that for any $x'_i \in B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, we have

(6.28)
$$
|u(x_i') - u(x_i)| \le C\lambda_i^{-1} \rho_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} = Cm_i^{-1} \to 0.
$$

It follows that the function u (hence the function ξ_2) is C^0 -close to a constant function on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$. In particular $\left|\frac{\xi_2(x_i^{\gamma})-\xi_2(x_i)}{\xi_2(x_i)}\right| \to 0$. Knowing the above estimations of the range of ξ_1 and ξ_2 on the ball, we deduce that by replacing c with another constant c', we may assume that the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$ is entirely contained in the singular region $S_{\beta,c'}$, and the function ρ is comparable to ρ_i on the ball.

Now we look at the first term of the formula of $\lambda_i^2 g$, by the above discussion we see that $-\lambda_i^2 \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_1$ is C^0 -close to $-\lambda_i^2 \xi_1(x_i) \xi_2(x_i) \xi_1$ measured by $\lambda_i^2 g$. Since $-\lambda_i \xi_1(x_i)\xi_2(x_i) \geq C\lambda_i \rho_i = Cm_i \to +\infty$, we know that the first term C^0 converges to the Euclidean metric \mathbb{R}^{2n-4} (the tangent cone at any point of a smooth manifold is a Euclidean space). Moreover, recall that the formula of g is defined on $M^0 = D \times$ P, we know that under the projection $M^0 \to P \to \mathbb{CP}^{n-2}$, the image of $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$ is contained in a small ball of radius smaller than $\frac{C}{\sqrt{-\lambda^2 \xi_1/c}}$ $\frac{C}{-\lambda_i^2 \xi_1(x_i) \xi_2(x_i)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{m_i}} \to 0$ on \mathbb{CP}^{n-2} measured by \check{g}_1 . Let U be a simply connected subset of \mathbb{CP}^{n-2} containing the image of $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$ under the projection, then our formula of g can be seen as defined on $\mathring{D} \times P|_U$, here $P|_U$ means the restriction of the \mathbb{T}^2 -principal fibration to the subset U of the base \mathbb{CP}^{n-2} .

Similarly we have

(6.29)
$$
|\lambda_i^2 \frac{\xi_2 - \xi_1}{-\xi_1} (d\xi_1)^2 - \lambda_i^2 (d\xi_1)^2|_{\lambda_i^2 g} \to 0,
$$

as $i \to +\infty$. So, the second term of the formula of $\lambda_i^2 g$ converges to the Euclidean metric of R.

For the last two terms of the formula of $\lambda_i^2 g$, we have

(6.30)
$$
\frac{-\xi_1}{\xi_2 - \xi_1} (\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2)^2 + \frac{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1}{\xi_2^{n-2} (\xi_2 - \xi_1)} (\theta_1 + \xi_1 \theta_2)^2
$$

(6.31)
$$
= (1 - \frac{1}{\xi_2^{n-2}(\xi_2 - \xi_1)}) (\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2)^2 - 2 \frac{\xi_2^{n-1} - 1}{\xi_2^{n-2}} (\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2) \theta_2 +
$$

(6.32)
$$
+\frac{\xi_2^{n-1}-1}{\xi_2^{n-2}}(\xi_2-\xi_1)\theta_2^2.
$$

We have $\xi_2^{n-2}(\xi_2 - \xi_1) \ge \xi_2 - \xi_1 \ge C\rho_i \to +\infty$, and $\frac{\xi_2^{n-1}-1}{\xi_2^{n-2}}$ is comparable to $\xi_2 - 1$ which is bounded from above by $C\rho_i^{\beta}$. It follows that the middle term ζ_2 – 1 which is bounded from above by ζ_1 . It follows that the finding term
of [\(6.31\)](#page-29-0)-[\(6.32\)](#page-29-1) is much smaller in comparison to the other two terms as $i \rightarrow$ +∞. So, the C^0 difference between $\frac{-\xi_1}{\xi_2-\xi_1}(\theta_1+\xi_2\theta_2)^2 + \frac{\xi_2^{n-1}-1}{\xi_2^{n-2}(\xi_2-\xi_1)}(\theta_1+\xi_1\theta_2)^2$ and $(\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2)^2 + (\xi_2 - \xi_1) \frac{1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_2^{n-2}}{\xi_2^{n-2}} (\xi_2 - 1) \theta_2^2$ measured by g converges to 0 on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$ as $i \to +\infty$.

Furthermore, since U is simply connected, we may write $\theta_2 = \theta'_2 + \zeta$, where θ_2 is defined on $P|_U$ and its restriction to the \mathbb{T}^2 -fibers is the same as θ_2 and $d\theta_2' = 0$, while ζ is a 1-form on \mathbb{CP}^{n-2} such that $d\zeta = \hat{\omega}_1$. In fact, we may assume that on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, we have $|\zeta|_{\check{g}_1} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{m_i}}$. Here we play the following trick: Let Z_0, \ldots, Z_n be the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{CP}^n , then in the local chart $\{Z_0 \neq 0\}$ with local coordinates $z_i = \frac{Z_i}{Z_0}$ $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$, a Kähler potential of the Fubini-Study metric is $\log(|z|^2 + 1)$, where $|z|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_n|^2$, and note that $|d^c \log(|z|^2 + 1)| = O(|z|)$ measured by the Fubini-Study metric as $|z| \to 0$. By the symmetry of \mathbb{CP}^n , on any small ball of radius $\epsilon > 0$ of \mathbb{CP}^n , there exists a 1-form ζ such that $d\zeta$ is the Kähler form of the Fubini-Study metric and $|\zeta| \leq C_{\epsilon}$. As a consequence of this trick, if we replace all the θ_2 by θ'_2 in the formula of g, the difference of the metric caused by this change is C^0 -small measure by g.

In conclusion, on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, as $i \to +\infty$ the metric $\lambda_i^2 g$ is C^0 -close to

(6.33)
$$
- \lambda_i^2 \xi_1(x_i) \xi_2(x_i) \check{g}_1 + \lambda_i^2 (d\xi_1)^2 + \lambda_i^2 (\theta_1 + \xi_2 \theta_2')^2 +
$$

(6.34)
$$
+ \lambda_i^2 (\xi_2 - \xi_1) \left[4 \frac{\xi_2^{n-2}}{1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_2^{n-2}} (du)^2 + \right.
$$

(6.35)
$$
+\frac{1+\xi_2+\cdots+\xi_2^{n-2}}{\xi_2^{n-2}}u^2(\theta_2')^2\bigg].
$$

Recall that $\left|\frac{\xi_1(x'_i)-\xi_1(x_i)}{\xi_1(x_i)}\right| \to 0$ and $\left|\frac{\xi_2(x'_i)-\xi_2(x_i)}{\xi_2(x_i)}\right| \to 0$ for any $x'_i \in B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, we may replace ξ_1, ξ_2 in the above formula by the constants $\xi_1(x_i), \xi_2(x_i)$. That is to say, on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$, as $i \to +\infty$ the metric $\lambda_i^2 g$ is C^0 -close to

(6.36)
$$
- \lambda_i^2 \xi_1(x_i) \xi_2(x_i) \check{g}_1 + \lambda_i^2 (d\xi_1)^2 + \lambda_i^2 (\theta_1 + \xi_2(x_i) \theta_2')^2 +
$$

$$
(6.37) \t+ \lambda_i^2 (\xi_2(x_i) - \xi_1(x_i)) \left[4 \frac{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{1 + \xi_2(x_i) + \dots + \xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}} (du)^2 + 4 \right]
$$

(6.38)
$$
+\frac{1+\xi_2(x_i)+\cdots+\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}u^2(\theta_2')^2\bigg].
$$

Observe that the tensor in the braket

$$
(6.39) \quad 4 \frac{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{1 + \xi_2(x_i) + \dots + \xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}} (du)^2 + \frac{1 + \xi_2(x_i) + \dots + \xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}} u^2 (\theta_2')^2
$$

is a multiple of the metric tensor of a flat cone of dimension 2 with certain angle at the vertex.

Now choose a sequence of positive real numbers n_i such that $n_i \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\frac{m_i}{n_i} \to +\infty$. Then we consider the following two subcases.

In the first subcase, we assume that $u(x_i) \geq n_i^{-1}$. Recall that $|u(x_i') - u(x_i)| \leq$ Cm_i^{-1} , which is in turn much smaller than n_i^{-1} . So we deduce that in this subcase the function u is strictly positive on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$. It follows that no matter what angle the 2-dimensional cone is, the term (6.39) converges to $g_{\mathbb{R}^2}$.

Recall that the \mathbb{T}^2 -fibration P is trivial on U, so $P|_U$ is diffeomorphic to $U \times \mathbb{T}^2$. Let $U \times \mathbb{R}^2$ be its universal covering, and let \tilde{g} be the pullback of g to \tilde{M}^0 = $\mathring{D} \times U \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Now \tilde{M}^0 is simply connected, so there exist real functions t_1, t_2 such that $dt_1 = \theta_1$ and $dt_2 = \theta'_2$. It follows that on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i, 2)$ (where \tilde{x}_i is any pullback of x_i), as $i \to +\infty$ the metric $\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}$ is C^0 -close to

(6.40)
$$
- \lambda_i^2 \xi_1(x_i) \xi_2(x_i) \check{g}_1 + \lambda_i^2 (d\xi_1)^2 + \lambda_i^2 (dt_1 + \xi_2(x_i) dt_2)^2 +
$$

$$
(6.41) \t+\lambda_i^2(\xi_2(x_i)-\xi_1(x_i))\left[4\frac{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{1+\xi_2(x_i)+\cdots+\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}(du)^2+\right]
$$

(6.42)
$$
+\frac{1+\xi_2(x_i)+\cdots+\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}{\xi_2(x_i)^{n-2}}u^2(dt_2)^2\bigg].
$$

So as $i \to +\infty$, the above metric C⁰-converges to $g_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-4}} + g_{\mathbb{R}} + g_{\mathbb{R}} + g_{\mathbb{R}^2} = g_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}$ and it follows that for sufficiently large i , we have

(6.43)
$$
d_{GH}(B_{\lambda_i^2\tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i, 2), B_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}(0, 2)) < \delta
$$

and rank $(\Gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{x}_i)) = 0$. Applying Theorem [6.8,](#page-27-0) we have

(6.44)
$$
|\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i)| = |\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i)| \leq C(n).
$$

In the other subcase, we assume that $u(x_i) < n_i^{-1} \to 0$, so the function ξ_2 converges to 1 on the ball $B_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i, 2)$. It follows that the last term [\(6.39\)](#page-30-0) converges to $\frac{4}{n-1}\lambda_i^2(1-\xi_1(x_i))[(du)^2+u^2(\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2')^2]$. Recall [\(6.1\)](#page-24-1)-[\(6.2\)](#page-24-2) that

(6.45)
$$
\theta_1 = -\frac{2}{n-1}\eta_2,
$$

(6.46)
$$
\theta_2 = -2\eta_1 + \frac{2}{n-1}\eta_2.
$$

It follows that

$$
\theta_1 + \theta_2 = -2\eta_1,
$$

(6.48)
$$
\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2 = -(n-1)\eta_1 + \eta_2.
$$

So the dual base with respect to $\theta_1 + \theta_2$, $\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2$ is

(6.49)
$$
K_{\theta_1+\theta_2}=-\frac{1}{2}T_1-\frac{n-1}{2}T_2,
$$

(6.50)
$$
K_{\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2} = T_2.
$$

Since T_2 is the primitive generator of an \mathbb{S}^1 -action, it follows that the cone angle of $(du)^2 + u^2(\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2)^2$ is 2π . Since the S¹-orbit generated by $K_{\theta_1+\theta_2}$ intersects with the S¹-orbit generated by $K_{\frac{n-1}{2}\theta_2}$ at exactly $n-1$ points, the metric $\lambda_i^2 g$ is C^0 -close to $\mathbb{R}^{2n-3} \times ((\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1)/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})$ with a length of \mathbb{S}^1 bounded by $\lambda_i \to 0$. Let \tilde{g} be the local pull back of g to $\mathbb{R}^{2n-3} \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and let \tilde{x}_i be any pull back of x_i , then for sufficiently large i , we have

(6.51)
$$
d_{GH}(B_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i, 2), B_{\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}}(0, 2)) < \delta
$$

and $\Gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{x}_i) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular rank $(\Gamma_{\delta}(\tilde{x}_i)) = 1$.

Applying Theorem [6.8,](#page-27-0) we have

(6.52)
$$
|\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i)| = |\operatorname{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 \tilde{g}}(\tilde{x}_i)| \leq C(n).
$$

But on the other hand, we have

(6.53)
$$
|\text{Rm}_{\lambda_i^2 g}(x_i)| = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} |\text{Rm}_g(x_i)| \ge \frac{C_i}{\lambda_i^2 + \lambda_i^2 \rho_i} = \frac{C_i}{\lambda_i^2 + m_i^2} \to +\infty.
$$

Thus, we get a contradiction, finishing the proof of the proposition. \Box

As a consequence of the estimate of Riemannian curvature, applying
$$
[12, \text{Lemma } 4.3]
$$
 we have

Proposition 6.11. For any $0 < \alpha < 1$, the metric (g, ω) of Apostolov-Cifarelli admits a quasi-atlas which is $C^{k,\alpha}$ for any $k \geq 1$.

Here we recall the definition of quasi-atlas.

Definition 6.12. Let (N, ω_0, g_0) be a complete Kähler manifold. A $C^{k, \alpha}$ quasiatlas for (N, ω_0, g_0) is a collection $\{\Phi_x | x \in A\}$, $A \subset N$, of holomorphic local diffeomorphisms $\Phi_x : B \to N$, $\Phi_x(0) = x$, from $B = B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into N which extend smoothly to the closure \bar{B} , and such that there exists $C \ge 1$ with $\text{inj}_{\Phi_x^* g_0} \ge$ $\frac{1}{C}, \frac{1}{C}g_{\mathbb{C}^m} \leq \Phi_x^*g_0 \leq Cg_{\mathbb{C}^m}$, and $||\Phi_x^*g_0||_{C^{k,\alpha}(B,g_{\mathbb{C}^m})} \leq C$ for all $x \in A$, and such that for all $y \in N$ there exists $x \in A$ with $y \in \Phi_x(B)$ and $d_{g_0}(y, \partial \Phi_x(B)) \geq \frac{1}{C}$.

Given a $C^{k,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas, we can define global Hölder spaces of functions by setting

(6.54)
$$
||u||_{C^{k,\alpha}(N)} = \sup{||u \circ \Phi_x||_{C^{k,\alpha}(B)} | x \in A}.
$$

Combining Proposition [6.1,](#page-25-0) Theorem [5.8](#page-22-0) and Proposition [6.7,](#page-27-1) we conclude that

Proposition 6.13. The metric (\mathbb{C}^n, g) of Apostolov-Cifarelli is an ALF metric in the following sense:

- The volume growth of g is of order $2n-1$;
- The asymptotic cone of g is a $(2n 1)$ -dimensional metric cone;
- • The sectional curvature of g is bounded by $\frac{C}{\rho}$ for some $C > 0$.

7. ALF Calabi-Yau metrics modeled on the metric of Apostolov-Cifarelli

Following the previous section, let (g, ω) denote the metric of the Taub-NUT type of Apostolov and Cifarelli when $l = 2$ and $a = 0$. In this section, we are mainly interested in the case $n > 2$, since the case $n = 2$ corresponding to the Taub-NUT metric is well studied.

Consider the action of cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n of order n on \mathbb{C}^n generated by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto$ $(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}z_1,\ldots,e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}z_n)$. It is known that there is a crepant resolution $\pi:\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}\to$ $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$, where $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ is the total space of the canonical bundle of \mathbb{C}^{n-1} . Then it is natural to ask whether there exists an ALF Calabi-Yau metric on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ asymptotic to the quotient by \mathbb{Z}_n of (g, ω) .

More generally, by Theorem [\[2,](#page-36-0) Theorem 1.4], the metric of Apostolov-Cifarelli (g, ω) is invariant by the action of $U(1) \times U(n-1)$. Here the action of $U(1)$ comes from the rotation of the trivial bundle \mathbb{C} , and the action of $U(n-1)$ comes from its action on $O_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-2}}(-1)$. Assume that $\Gamma \subset U(1) \times U(n-1)$ is a finite subgroup such that the singularity \mathbb{C}^n/Γ admits a crepant resolution $\pi : Y \to \mathbb{C}^n/\Gamma$. We

will prove the following theorem in this section using the approach of Tian-Yau's work [\[21,](#page-37-9) [22\]](#page-37-10) and result of of Hein [\[12\]](#page-37-11).

Theorem 7.1. For any compactly supported Kähler class of Y and any $c > 0$, there exists an ALF Calabi-Yau metric ω' having the same cohomology class on Y which is asymptotic to ω near the infinity. More precisely, we have

(7.1)
$$
|\nabla^{k}(\omega'-c\pi^{*}\omega)|_{\omega'}\leq C(k,\epsilon)(1+\rho')^{-2n+3+\epsilon},
$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is any small constant, ρ' is the distance function from a point of Y measured by ω' and $k \geq 0$.

7.1. Construction of an asymptotic Calabi-Yau metric. In this subsection, let (Y, J) be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n (n > 2)$ such that the canonical bundle K_Y is trivial, and assume that there is a plurisubharmonic function K. Denote $\omega = i\partial\partial K$, we assume that ω is strictly positive outside a compact set. Let ρ be a distance function measured by a complete Riemannian metric g which coincides with the Kähler metric of ω outside a compact set. We assume that $K > 0$, K is comparable to ρ^2 outside a compact set and $dK \wedge d^c K \leq CKdd^c K$, that is to say, the function K satisfies all the three properties listed in Proposition [6.6.](#page-26-1)

Lemma 7.2. There exists $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$ such that for any $\alpha > \alpha_0$, we have $dd^c(K)^{\alpha} >$ 0.

Proof. By the assumption of K , we have

(7.2)
$$
dd^{c}K^{\alpha} = \alpha K^{\alpha - 2} [(\alpha - 1)dK \wedge d^{c}K + Kdd^{c}K] > 0
$$

by choosing α_0 sufficiently close to 1.

Lemma 7.3. For $\alpha > \alpha_0$ where α_0 is defined in Lemma [7.2,](#page-33-0) there exists a strictly positive and smooth plurisubharmonic function h_{α} on Y that is strictly plurisubharmonic and equal to K^{α} outside a compact set.

Proof. Let $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that $\psi', \psi'' \geq 0$, $\psi(t) = 3$ if $t < 2$ and $\psi(t) = t$ if $t > 4$. Define $h_{\alpha} = \psi(K^{\alpha})$, then the lemma follows from Lemma [7.2](#page-33-0) and the following formula:

(7.3)
$$
dd^c(\psi \circ f) = \psi''(f)df \wedge d^c f + \psi'(f)dd^c f.
$$

Lemma 7.4. For any compactly supported Kähler class $\omega_Y \in H_c^2(Y,\mathbb{R})$ and any $c > 0$, there exists a Kähler form $\hat{\omega}$ on Y having the same Kähler class as ω_Y such that $\hat{\omega} = c\omega$ outside a compact set.

Proof. Since $[\omega_Y] \in H_c^2(Y,\mathbb{R})$, by [\[9,](#page-37-17) Corollary A.3], there exists a smooth real function v such that $\omega_Y = -i\partial \bar{\partial}v$ when $K > R$ for R sufficiently large.

Fix $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1)$, we can assume (by enlarging R if necessary) that $h_{\alpha} = K^{\alpha}$ and $h_1 = K$ when $K > R$ where h_{α}, h_1 are defined in Lemma [7.3.](#page-33-1)

Fix a cutoff function $\chi : \mathbb{R} \to [0, 1]$ satisfying $\chi(s) = 0$ if $s < 2R$ and $\chi(s) = 1$ if $s > 3R$. Define $\zeta : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\zeta(y) = \chi(K(y))$. For $S > 2$, define $\zeta_S(y) = \chi(\frac{K(y)}{S})$ $\frac{(y)}{S}$). Note that $0 < 2R < 3R < 2SR < 3SR < +\infty$.

Given $c > 0$, we construct

(7.4)
$$
\hat{\omega} = \omega_Y + i\partial\bar{\partial}(\zeta \nu) + Ci\partial\bar{\partial}((1-\zeta_S)h_{\alpha}) + ci\partial\bar{\partial}h_1,
$$

 \Box

with C and S to be determined. It is clear that $\hat{\omega}$ lies in the same cohomology class as ω_Y .

On the region $\{K < 2R\}, \hat{\omega} = \omega_Y + Ci\partial \bar{\partial}h_{\alpha} + ci\partial \bar{\partial}h_1 \geq \omega_Y > 0.$

On the region $\{3R < K < 2SR\}, \hat{\omega} = Ci\partial\bar{\partial}h_{\alpha} + ci\partial\bar{\partial}h_1 > 0.$

On the region $\{3SR < K\}, \hat{\omega} = ci\partial\bar{\partial}h_1 = c\omega > 0.$

On the region $\{2R \leq K \leq 3R\}, \hat{\omega} = \omega_Y + i\partial \bar{\partial}(\zeta_v) + Ci\partial \bar{\partial}h_{\alpha} + ci\partial \bar{\partial}h_1 > 0$ if C is made large enough.

Finally on the region $\{2SR \le K \le 3SR\}$, $\hat{\omega} = Ci\partial\bar{\partial}((1-\zeta_S)h_{\alpha}) + ci\partial\bar{\partial}h_1$. By assumption of K , we know that

(7.5)
$$
|dK|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}K}, |d^cK|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}K} \leq C'(K)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
$$

After some simple derivation, it follows that $|i\partial\bar{\partial}((1-\zeta_S)h_\alpha)|_{i\partial\bar{\partial}h_1} \leq C''S^{-(1-\alpha)}$ on this region, so $\hat{\omega} > 0$ if S is made large enough.

Lemma 7.5. The smooth function $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ defined in Lemma [7.3](#page-33-1) is comparable to $1+\rho$, and $|\nabla h_{\frac{1}{2}}|+h_{\frac{1}{2}}|$ dd^ch_{$\frac{1}{2}|$} is bounded on \hat{Y} . Here the norm and Laplacian are calculated with respect to g.

Proof. That $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is comparable to $1 + \rho$ is a consequence of the assumption that K is comparable to ρ^2 .

For the proof of the boundedness of $|\nabla h_{\frac{1}{2}}| + h_{\frac{1}{2}}|dd^c h_{\frac{1}{2}}|$, it suffices to prove the boundedness of the same formula replacing $h_{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $w = K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ outside of a compact set.

First we calculate $dw = \frac{1}{2}K^{-\frac{1}{2}}dK$, so by the assumption that $dK \wedge d^cK \leq$ $CKdd^{c}K$ we have $|dw| \leq C$. Next for $dd^{c}w$ we note that

(7.6)
$$
dd^{c} K^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} (K)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[-\frac{1}{2} dK \wedge d^{c} K + K dd^{c} K \right].
$$

So the boundedness of $w|dd^c w|$ also follows from the assumption that $dK \wedge d^c K \le$ $CKdd^cK$ and [\(7.2\)](#page-33-2).

7.2. Proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-33-3) Instead of proving Theorem [7.1](#page-33-3) directly, we will prove a more general proposition with the help of the following result of [\[12\]](#page-37-11).

Proposition 7.6. Let (N, ω_0, g_0) be a complete noncompact Kähler manifold of complex dimension m with a $C^{3,\alpha}$ quasi-atlas which satisfies $SOB(\beta)$ for some $\beta > 2$. Let $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(N)$ satisfies $|f| \leq Cr^{-\mu}$ on $\{r > 1\}$ for some $\beta > \mu > 2$. Then there exist $\bar{\alpha} \in (0, \alpha]$ and $u \in C^{4, \bar{\alpha}}$ such that $(\omega_0 + i\partial \bar{\partial}u)^m = e^f \omega_0^m$ and that $\omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$ is a Kähler form uniformly equivalent to ω_0 . If in addition $f \in C^{k,\bar{\alpha}}_{loc}(N)$ for some $k \geq 3$, then all such solutions u belong to $C_{loc}^{k+2,\bar{\alpha}}(N)$.

Moreover, if there is a function $\tilde{\rho}$ on N comparable to $1 + d_{g_0}(x_0, -)$ for some $x_0 \in N$, and $\tilde{\rho}$ satisfies $|\nabla \tilde{\rho}| + \tilde{\rho}|d d^c \tilde{\rho}| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$, then we have the decay estimate $|u| \leq C(\epsilon) r^{2-\mu+\epsilon}$ for any sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

Proposition 7.7. Let (M, g_M, ω_M) be a complete Calabi-Yau metric of complex dimension n with $n > 2$. Assume that M admits a Kähler potential K_M such that $K_M > 0$, K_M is comparable to ρ_M^2 outside a compact set (where ρ_M is a distance function of M measured by g_M), and $dK_M \wedge d^cK_M \leq CK_M d d^cK_M$ for some $C > 0$. We also assume that M admits a quasi-atlas which is $C^{k,\alpha}$ for any $k \geq 1$, and (M, g_M) satisfies the $SOB(\beta)$ property for some $\beta > 2$. Suppose that there is a finite group Γ acting on M preserving (g_M, ω_M) , and the quotient M/Γ admits a crepant resolution $\pi : Y \to M/\Gamma$. Then for any compactly supported Kähler class $[\omega_Y]$ of Y and any $c > 0$, there exists a Calabi-Yau metric ω' having the same cohomology class as ω_Y which is asymptotic to $c\pi^*\omega_M$ near the infinity. More precisely we have

(7.7)
$$
|\nabla^{k}(\omega'-c\pi^{*}\omega_{M})|_{\omega'}\leq C(k,\epsilon)(1+\rho')^{-\beta+2+\epsilon},
$$

where $\epsilon > 0$, and ρ' is the distance function from a point of Y measured by ω' and $k \geq 0$.

Proof. First, without loss of generality, we may assume that K_M is invariant by Γ. If not, let $\tilde{K}_M = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma^* K_M$ be the average of K by Γ , then \tilde{K}_M is still a positive Kähler potential comparable to ρ_M^2 . Note that $dK \wedge d^cK \leq CKdd^cK$ is equivalent to $(dK)^2 \leq CKg$, so by enlarging C if necessary, we still have $d\tilde{K}_M \wedge d^c \tilde{K}_M \leq$ $C\tilde{K}_M dd^c \tilde{K}_M$. So we can assume that K_M is well-defined on M/Γ .

Applying Lemma [7.4](#page-33-4) with $K = \pi^* K_M$, there exists a Kähler form $\hat{\omega}$ on Y having the same cohomology class as ω_Y and coincides with $c\pi^*\omega_M$ outside a compact set. Let Ω_Y be a holomorphic volume form on Y such that $i^{n^2} \Omega_Y \wedge \overline{\Omega}_Y = \pi^* \omega_M^n$ and let \hat{f} be the Ricci potential

(7.8)
$$
\hat{f} = \log \frac{i^{n^2} \Omega_Y \wedge \bar{\Omega}_Y}{(\hat{\omega}/c)^n}.
$$

Then \hat{f} is compactly supported on Y and in particular, we have $|\hat{f}| \leq C \rho_{\hat{\omega}}^{-\mu}$ for any $2 < \mu < 2n-1$, where $\rho_{\hat{\omega}}$ is a distance function measured by $\hat{\omega}$. By Proposition [7.6,](#page-34-0) there exists $\alpha \in (0, \alpha]$ such that we get a solution $u \in C^{4, \bar{\alpha}}(Y)$ of the Monge-Ampère equation

(7.9)
$$
(\hat{\omega} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u)^n = e^{\hat{f}}\hat{\omega}^n.
$$

Let $\omega' = \hat{\omega} + i\partial\bar{\partial}u$, then ω' is Calabi-Yau and it is uniformly equivalent to $\hat{\omega}$ hence ρ' is comparable to $\rho_{\hat{\omega}}$. By Lemma [7.5,](#page-34-1) we may apply the second part of Proposition [7.6](#page-34-0) to have $|u| \leq C(\epsilon)(\rho')^{-\beta+2+\epsilon}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

If we think of ω' , $\hat{\omega}$ as given, then the Monge-Ampère equation can be written as

(7.10)
$$
(e^{\hat{f}} - 1)\hat{\omega}^n = i\partial\bar{\partial}u \wedge \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (\omega')^k \wedge \hat{\omega}^{n-1-k},
$$

and it can be viewed as an elliptic equation of u. Outside a compact set, the left hand side is zero so by Schauder estimates on each chart of the quasi-atlas outside this compact set, we find that $|\nabla^k u|_{\omega'} \leq C(k,\epsilon)(\rho')^{-\beta+2+\epsilon}$ for $k \geq 0$.

Proof of Theorem [7.1.](#page-33-3) We wish to apply Proposition [7.7](#page-34-2) to $\beta = 2n - 1$ and the metric of Apostolov-Cifarelli $(\mathbb{C}^n, g, \omega)$, which is complete and Calabi-Yau. By Proposition [6.6,](#page-26-1) it admits a Kähler potential H such that $H \geq 0$, H is comparable to ρ^2 outside a compact set and $dH \wedge d^cH \leq C'Hdd^cH$ for some $C' > 0$. The existence of quasi-atlas is proved in Proposition [6.11,](#page-32-1) and the $SOB(2n-1)$ property of g is proved in Proposition [6.3.](#page-25-2) Thus all the assumptions of Proposition [7.7](#page-34-2) are satisfied, and it produces the ALF Calabi-Yau metric on the crepant resolution asymptotic to the metric of Apostolov-Cifarelli. 7.3. ALF Calabi-Yau metrics on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ modeled on the metric of Apostolov-**Cifarelli.** Recall that the action of cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_n of order n on \mathbb{C}^n generated by $(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}z_1, \ldots, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}z_n)$ is a subgroup action of the standard action of \mathbb{T}^n on \mathbb{C}^n . Since the metric $(\mathbb{C}^n, g, \omega)$ of Apostolov-Cifarelli is \mathbb{T}^n -equivariantly biholomorphic to the standard \mathbb{C}^n , we know that \mathbb{Z}_n acts on $(\mathbb{C}^n, g, \omega)$ holomorphically. Moreover, the Kähler potential H depends only on ξ_1, ξ_2 , and ξ_1, ξ_2 only depends on the moment maps σ_1, σ_2 , so the potential H is invariant by \mathbb{T}^n , hence \mathbb{Z}_n . Applying Theorem [7.1,](#page-33-3) we get

Proposition 7.8. For any $c > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an ALF Calabi-Yau metric ω' on $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}}$ in the sense that ω' is asymptotic to $c\omega$ and $[\omega'] = \epsilon[\omega_{Cal}]$, where ω_{Cal} is the Calabi metric constructed in [\[5\]](#page-37-18).

It is then interesting to figure out the asymptotic cone of ω' constructed in Proposition [7.8.](#page-36-4)

Proposition 7.9. The asymptotic cone of ω' in Proposition [7.8](#page-36-4) is $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{k(n-1)}) \times$ R, where $k = n$ if n is odd and $k = \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even. Here $\mathbb{Z}_{k(n-1)}$ acts on \mathbb{C}^{n-1} by multiplying $\zeta_{k(n-1)} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{k(n-1)}}$.

Proof. Note that this \mathbb{Z}_n -action is a subgroup action of the \mathbb{T}^2 -action, in fact its generator corresponds to $\frac{1}{n}(T_1+T_2)$ under exp $\circ 2\pi$. So it suffices to understand the \mathbb{T}^2 -action on $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times\mathbb{R}$ induced by the Gromov-Hausdorff approximation $f_{\lambda}:((\mathbb{C}^{n-1}\times\mathbb{S}^{1})/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times\mathbb{R}\to(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times\mathbb{R}$. Since all the f_{λ} is obtained from f_1 by a scaling, it suffices to understand the \mathbb{T}^2 -action on $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1})\times\mathbb{R}$ induced by f_1 . By its definition, the map of f_1 maps the \mathbb{S}^1 -orbit generated by K_1 to a point. Thus the \mathbb{T}^2 -action on $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{R}$ degenerates to the $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2/Span\{e_1\}$ action generated by K_2 .

Recall that $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\Gamma_v$ where Γ_v is the lattice generated by $v_1 = (0, -2)$, $v_2 = \frac{2}{n-1}(-1,1)$, and v_i corresponds to the vector field T_i . Then $e_1 = (1,0)$ corresponds to K_1 and $e_2 = (0, 1)$ corresponds to K_2 , and $\theta_i(K_i) = \delta_{ij}$. It follows that the subgroup in \mathbb{T}^2 generated by the direction of e_1 intersects with the subgroup generated by e_2 at exactly $n-1$ points, which explains why $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$. In fact, taking the quotient of \mathbb{T}^2 by the subgroup generated by e_1 , we get $\mathbb{T}^2/Span\{e_1\}$ = $\mathbb{R}/\frac{2}{n-1}\mathbb{Z}$ and the quotient map $\mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2/Span\{e_1\}$ is simply the map taking the second coordinate.

Now $\frac{1}{n}(v_1 + v_2) = \left(\frac{2}{n(n-1)}, \frac{-2(n-2)}{n(n-1)}\right)$, so its image under the quotient map is $\frac{-2(n-2)}{n(n-1)}$ modulo $\frac{2}{n-1}\mathbb{Z}$. So it generates a subgroup \mathbb{Z}_k of $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^2/Span\{e_1\}$ acting on $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}) \times \mathbb{R}$, where $k = n$ if n is odd and $k = \frac{n}{2}$ if n is even. Thus the asymptotic cone of ω' in Proposition [7.8](#page-36-4) is $(\mathbb{C}^{n-1}/\mathbb{Z}_{k(n-1)}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, and P. Gauduchon. Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kähler geometry. I: General theory. *J. Differ. Geom.*, $73(3):359-412$, 2006 .
- [2] V. Apostolov and C. Cifarelli. Hamiltonian 2-forms and new explicit Calabi–Yau metrics and gradient steady Kähler-Ricci solitons on \mathbb{C}^n . J. Differ. Geom., forthcoming.
- [3] O. Biquard and V. Minerbe. A Kummer construction for gravitational instantons. Commun. Math. Phys., 308(3):773–794, 2011.
- [4] P. Buser. A note on the isoperimetric constant. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér. (4), 15:213–230, 1982.
- [5] E. Calabi. Métriques kähleriennes et fibres holomorphes. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 12:269–294, 1978.
- [6] C. Cifarelli. Explicit complete ricci-flat metrics and kähler-ricci solitons on direct sum bundles. arXiv:2410.23645 [math.DG], 2024.
- T. H. Colding and A. Naber. Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications. Ann. Math. (2) , 176 (2) :1173–1229, 2012.
- [8] R. J. Conlon, A. Degeratu, and F. Rochon. Quasi-asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds. Geom. Topol., 23(1):29–100, 2019.
- [9] R. J. Conlon and H.-J. Hein. Asymptotically conical Calabi-Yau manifolds, I. Duke Math. J., 162(15):2855–2902, 2013.
- [10] R. J. Conlon and F. Rochon. New examples of complete Calabi-Yau metrics on \mathbb{C}^n for $n \geq 3$. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4), 54(2):259-303, 2021.
- [11] R. J. Conlon and F. Rochon. Warped quasi-asymptotically conical calabi-yau metrics, 2023.
- [12] H.-J. Hein. On gravitational instantons. PhD thesis, Princeton University, 2010.
- [13] D. D. Joyce. Compact manifolds with special holonomy. Oxford Math. Monogr. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [14] Y. Li. A new complete Calabi-Yau metric on \mathbb{C}^3 . Invent. Math., 217(1):1-34, 2019.
- [15] D. Min. Construction of higher dimensional ALF Calabi-Yau metrics. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. $Supér.$, forthcoming.
- [16] V. Minerbe. Weighted Sobolev inequalities and Ricci flat manifolds. Geom. Funct. Anal., 18(5):1696–1749, 2009.
- [17] A. Naber and R. Zhang. Topology and ϵ -regularity theorems on collapsed manifolds with Ricci curvature bounds. Geom. Topol., 20(5):2575–2664, 2016.
- [18] S. Sun and J. Zhang. No semistability at infinity for Calabi-Yau metrics asymptotic to cones. Invent. Math., 233(1):461–494, 2023.
- [19] G. Székelyhidi. Degenerations of \mathbb{C}^n and Calabi-Yau metrics. Duke Math. J., 168(14):2651– 2700, 2019.
- [20] G. Tian. Aspects of metric geometry of four manifolds. In *Inspired by S. S. Chern. A memorial* volume in honor of a great mathematician, pages 381-397. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific, 2006.
- [21] G. Tian and S.-T. Yau. Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. I. J. Am. Math. Soc., 3(3):579-609, 1990.
- [22] G. Tian and S.-T. Yau. Complete Kähler manifolds with zero Ricci curvature. II. Invent. Math., 106(1):27–60, 1991.
- [23] C. van Coevering. Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on crepant resolutions of Kähler cones. Math. Ann., 347(3):581–611, 2010.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITY OF MÜNSTER, GERMANY Email address: dr.daheng.min@uni-muenster.de