

2D MAGNETIC STABILITY

DOUGLAS LUNDHOLM

ABSTRACT. This article is a contribution to the proceedings of the 33rd/35th International Colloquium on Group Theoretical Methods in Physics (ICGTMP, Group33/35) held in Cotonou, Benin, July 15-19, 2024. The stability of matter is an old and mathematically difficult problem, relying both on the uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics and on the exclusion principle of quantum statistics. We consider here the stability of the self-interacting almost-bosonic anyon gas, generalizing the Gross–Pitaevskii / nonlinear Schrödinger energy functionals to include magnetic self interactions. We show that there is a type of supersymmetry in the model which holds only for higher values of the magnetic coupling but is broken for lower values, and that in the former case supersymmetric ground states exist precisely at even-integer quantized values of the coupling. These states constitute a manifold of explicit solitonic vortex solutions whose densities solve a generalized Liouville equation, and can be regarded as nonlinear generalizations of Landau levels. The reported work is joint with Alireza Ataei and Dinh-Thi Nguyen and makes an earlier analysis of self-dual abelian Chern–Simons–Higgs theory by Jackiw and Pi, Hagen, and others, mathematically rigorous.

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum statistics usually refers to the exchange symmetry implemented by a multi-component quantum state, in the case that the components are identical and interpreted as indistinguishable particles. In three-dimensional space, the relevant exchange group is the permutation group which has only two one-dimensional representations: the trivial one, respectively, the sign of a permutation, resulting in a dichotomy of the “fundamental” quantum particles into **bosons** respectively **fermions**. Their distinction is most clearly understood by the lack or presence of the Pauli exclusion principle, which actually sets the stage for (arguably) most of our observed physical reality, including the coherent gravitational and electromagnetic fields (gravitons and photons are bosons), correlated and possibly entangled laser beams, as well as condensed quantum gases, respectively properties of materials such as conduction and reflectivity (electrons are fermions, that ripple a Fermi sea), as well as the extensivity and stability of matter up to the scale of planets and stars. However, about half a century after the discovery of these Bose–Einstein respectively Fermi–Dirac statistics — and now, coincidentally, about half a century ago — it was realized that these concepts also allow for generalization to the braid group in two spatial dimensions (and in one dimension if the orientation of exchanges can be consistently tracked), with corresponding identical particles now known as “**anyons**” [LM77, GMS80, GMS81, Wil82a, Wil82b, GMS85]. Understanding the precise relationship between exchange and exclusion for a many-particle gas of such anyons is a difficult fundamental problem in mathematical physics, and we will here

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81V27, 35Q55, 46N50.

report on some recent progress on this problem (expanded upon in [Lun24, ALN24]), which exhibits a non-trivial interpolation between the extremal cases of bosons and fermions.

Recall that a **Schrödinger wave function** Ψ for N particles in \mathbb{R}^d is conventionally regarded as an L^2 (square-integrable, and normalized) function

$$\Psi: (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \mapsto \Psi(\mathbf{x}),$$

and encodes a probability distribution on the configuration space $(\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ of *distinguishable* particles:

$$|\Psi|^2: (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto |\Psi(\mathbf{x})|^2.$$

Indistinguishable particles necessarily must have permutation-symmetric distributions $|\Psi|^2$, and formally inhabit the configuration space $\mathcal{C}^N := (\mathbb{R}^d)^N \setminus \Delta / S_N$ (Δ denotes the diagonal, and for simplicity we treat the particles as *distinct*), which is also the space of N -point subsets of \mathbb{R}^d . Conventionally, we may subject $\Psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{dN})$ to a permutation symmetry, such as the simple exchange condition for any two particles $j \leftrightarrow k$:

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \pm \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N), \quad (1)$$

with $+$ for bosons, and $-$ for fermions, which is legitimate for $d \geq 3$. For $d = 2$, one may consistently track continuous exchanges of particles and their winding w.r.t. orientation by means of loops in \mathcal{C}^N modulo continuous deformations, leaving us with the exchange group $B_N = \pi_1(\mathcal{C}^N)$, the **braid group on N strands**. Thus, considering now Ψ as a section of a complex line bundle over \mathcal{C}^N , or a \mathbb{C} -valued function on the covering space of \mathcal{C}^N , we may require the equivariance or **exchange conditions**

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = e^{i(2p+1)\alpha\pi} \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N), \quad (2)$$

where $e^{i\alpha\pi} \in \text{U}(1)$ is the phase of an elementary counter-clockwise exchange, with corresponding **statistics parameter** $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \pmod{2}$. More generally, if Ψ takes values in \mathbb{C}^D (encoding spin or an “internal” state), we require

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \rho(b_p) \Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N), \quad (3)$$

where $\rho: B_N \rightarrow \text{U}(D)$ can be any unitary representation of the braid group, and

$$b_p \sim \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_p \sigma_{p+1} \sigma_p \dots \sigma_2 \sigma_1$$

(σ_j denoting the generators of B_N) the braid corresponding to the counter-clockwise exchange of two particles in the case that p other particles are enclosed by the exchange loop.

Here we will only consider the simpler **abelian anyons** (2) defined by \mathbb{C} -valued functions and phases $\rho(\sigma_j) = e^{i\alpha\pi}$ with fixed $\alpha \in (-1, 1]$. These may be equivalently modeled using bosons (or fermions) with magnetic interactions, by attaching to each particle a magnetic flux $2\pi\alpha$ (or $2\pi(1 - \alpha)$). In the case of ideal, pointlike particles this will be a point flux of Aharonov–Bohm type, resulting in the typical **N -anyon Hamiltonian**⁽¹⁾

$$\hat{H}_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{x}_j} + \alpha \mathbf{A}_j)^2 + V(\mathbf{x}_j) \right], \quad \mathbf{A}_j = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k)^\perp}{|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k|^2}, \quad (4)$$

⁽¹⁾We choose our units such that the numerical factor on the non-relativistic kinetic energy is $\hbar^2/(2m) = 1$.

where we define $(x, y)^\perp := (-y, x)$, and $V: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denotes an external potential, such as a background formed by other species of particles. In emergent scenarios, these magnetic point fluxes can be more realistically replaced by extended fluxes as well as endowed with other interactions, such as Coulomb electrostatic repulsion, or boundary conditions at Δ by specification of a suitable operator or quadratic form domain. We refer to [Lun24] for a recent overview of known properties of the many-body ($N \rightarrow \infty$) anyon gas, including ideal, nonideal, nonabelian and emergent scenarios, as well as to [LQ20] for a mathematical review concerning the roles of exchange and exclusion in the ideal abelian and nonabelian anyon gases by means of Poincaré, Hardy, and Lieb–Thirring inequalities.

2. EXCHANGE, EXCLUSION, AND STABILITY

The ground-state energy (g.s.e.) of a Hamiltonian such as (4), or more generally

$$\hat{H}_N = \hat{T}_N + \sum_{j=1}^N V(\mathbf{x}_j) + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} W(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k), \quad (5)$$

where \hat{T}_N denotes the kinetic part of the energy and W a symmetric pair interaction, is defined as the infimum of the corresponding energy functional $\mathcal{E}_N[\Psi_N] := \langle \Psi_N, \hat{H}_N \Psi_N \rangle$:

$$E_N := \inf \text{spec } \hat{H}_N = \inf \{ \mathcal{E}_N[\Psi_N] : \|\Psi_N\|_{L^2} = 1 \},$$

with Ψ_N in the quadratic form domain of \hat{H}_N . We say that the system exhibits **stability of the first kind** if $E_N > -\infty$, which might be true only for certain N , such as $N = 1$, or for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In the latter case it exhibits **stability of the second kind** iff there exists $C \geq 0$ s.t. $E_N \geq -CN$, as $N \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. if the energy diverges at most extensively with the number of particles. Further, note that a stable system may or may not have a ground state (consider e.g. the case of a free particle in \mathbb{R}^d , versus the harmonic oscillator potential $V(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|^2$).

It turns out that the stability of a realistic many-body system is far from trivial to prove mathematically, and is not even true for bosons whose energy is non-extensive. We summarize very briefly a few milestones in the history of the topic of stability of matter:

- **Electrogravitics:** An essential component for stability is that the 3D electrostatic Coulomb interaction potential $W(\mathbf{x}) \propto |\mathbf{x}|^{-1}$ admits certain screening and symmetry reduction properties, which goes all the way back to Newton [New87] in the gravitational context, while the extensivity of a classical Coulomb system with hard-sphere charges was first considered by Onsager [Ons39]. Fisher and Ruelle [FR66] generalized this stability result to extended classical charges. Various other mathematically powerful formulations of electrostatic inequalities have been found since, such as Baxter’s [Bax80].

- **Uncertainty principle:** The uncertainty principle of quantum mechanics, usually credited to Heisenberg [Hei25] in its matrix/operator mechanics form, and to Schrödinger [Sch26] in its wave mechanics form, was found to resolve the classical instability of the hydrogen atom by the quantization of its electron energy levels. Fisher and Ruelle used the bound for the lowest eigenvalue to prove stability of the first kind for any number of quantum particles N . More robust functional inequalities were found by Hardy [Har20] and Sobolev [Sob38], that allow to control the unbounded from below potential energy by the kinetic energy. In 2D, one may instead employ the inequalities of Ladyzhenskaya [Lad58], Gagliardo [Gag59], and Nirenberg [Nir59]. Also the effective functional theories of Ginzburg

and Landau [GL50, Gin09] for superconductivity, and Gross and Pitaevskii [Gro61, Pit61] for weakly interacting bosons (nonlinear Schrödinger wave mechanics; see (8)), are subject to stability for small N or weak attractions, by means of the uncertainty principle alone.

• **Exclusion principle:** Pauli's exclusion principle discovered for electrons [Pau25] is naturally incorporated in any fermionic state (1), since $\Psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ at $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta$. More robustly, such states are spanned by Slater determinants⁽²⁾

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = (u_0 \wedge u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge u_{N-1})(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N),$$

which forbids repeated one-body states/orbitals $u_k \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, leading to the extensivity of fermionic matter. The effective theory of Thomas and Fermi [Tho27, Fer27] (see (9)) manifests a degeneracy pressure in a gas of fermions due to exclusion. The role of quantum statistics for the stability of the second kind for electrostatic Coulomb systems was finally resolved by Dyson and Lenard [DL67, Dys67, LD68]. Further, Lieb and Simon [LS73, Lie76, LS77] proved stability from the Thomas–Fermi perspective, and subsequently Lieb and Thirring [LT75, LT76] found a functional inequality for the fermionic kinetic energy in terms of the Thomas–Fermi energy, which thereby elegantly combines uncertainty and exclusion. Various generalizations of the exclusion principle have also been considered, such as in [Gen40, Gen42, Hal91, LS13b, Lun17, LQ20].

• **Self-magnetics:** In the case of self-generated magnetic fields, due to their complicated propagation in spacetime (in 3D space; compare our final remarks in Section 5), a fruitful approach has been to minimize the energy over arbitrary fields, i.e. to consider

$$E_N := \inf_{\Psi_N, \mathbf{A}} \mathcal{E}_N[\Psi_N, \mathbf{A}],$$

with a functional \mathcal{E}_N depending both on an N -body quantum state Ψ_N and an arbitrary magnetic potential \mathbf{A} that generates a classical magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \text{curl } \mathbf{A}$. It is also necessary to include the self-energy of the field $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\mathbf{B}|^2$. For $N = 1$ and the Pauli Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{E}_1[\Psi, \mathbf{A}] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(|(-i\nabla + \sqrt{a}\mathbf{A})\Psi|^2 + \sqrt{a}\langle \Psi, \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \Psi \rangle - \frac{Za}{|\mathbf{x}|} |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi} |\mathbf{B}|^2 \right), \quad (6)$$

$\Psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ the Pauli matrices, and it was shown by Fröhlich, Lieb and Loss [FLL86] that stability holds iff Za^2 is small enough, although the result is complicated by the existence of zero modes for the Pauli operator, exemplified by Loss and Yau [LY86]. Stability of the second kind for $N \rightarrow \infty$ and self-generated fields in 3D was finally proved by Fefferman [Fef95] and Lieb, Loss and Solovej [LLS95], assuming that both the maximal charge of nuclei Z and the fine-structure constant a are (realistically) small enough.

Much more work has been done on stability and much more can be said about it, such as relativity, quantized electromagnetic fields, etc., and we refer to the textbook of Lieb and Seiringer [LS10] for a comprehensive treatment of the topic, as well as to [Lun19] for a few complementary aspects such as a more recent, local approach to uncertainty and exclusion suitable for anyons, which is rooted in the Poincaré [Poi90] and Hardy inequalities (cp. [HOHOLT08]). Most relevantly, a Lieb–Thirring inequality for ideal anyons with the Hamiltonian (4) has been proved by the author and Solovej [LS13a], with subsequent

⁽²⁾For general states this reasoning can be deceiving, however, as illustrated by statistics transmutation in 2D, and the actual operator including its domain needs to be considered; see [For91, Lun24].

refinements in [LL18, LS18, LQ20]:

$$\langle \Psi, \hat{H}_N \Psi \rangle \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(C^{\text{LT}}(\alpha) \varrho_\Psi^2 + V \varrho_\Psi \right) \quad \forall \Psi \in H_{\text{sym}}^1(\mathbb{R}^{2N}; \mathbb{C}), \quad (7)$$

where ϱ_Ψ is the one-body density associated to Ψ , normalized $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho_\Psi = N$, and a constant

$$|\alpha| \lesssim C^{\text{LT}}(\alpha) \lesssim |\alpha|, \quad \alpha \in [-1, 1].$$

Thereby follows stability of the second kind for a system of N ideal anyons in the plane with a background of other particles and 3D Coulomb interactions (i.e. confined particles but unconfined electrostatics), as long as $\alpha \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$; see [LS14, Thm. 21], [Lun19].

3. A DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY FOR ALMOST-BOSONIC ANYONS

For bosonic and fermionic systems, various effective energy functionals have been proposed in order to describe the many-body ground states and their corresponding probability densities quantitatively, the most famous being (here in their 2D formulations, with energy per particle, i.e. limits of E_N/N as $N \rightarrow \infty$):

- The **Gross–Pitaevskii (GP) functional** for weakly interacting bosons:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{GP}}[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(|(-i\nabla + \mathbf{A}_{\text{ext}})u|^2 + g|u|^4 + V|u|^2 \right), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 = 1, \quad (8)$$

where $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is the one-body state into which the Bose gas condenses, and $g \in \mathbb{R}$ an effective coupling strength of a scalar interaction.

- The **Thomas–Fermi (TF) functional**:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{TF}}[\varrho] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(C^{\text{TF}} N \varrho^2 + V \varrho \right), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho = 1, \quad (9)$$

which formally arises both as a limit of the GP functional as the coupling g becomes strong, with $\varrho = |u|^2$, as well as for N non-interacting fermions, with their one-body density $\varrho = \varrho_\Psi \geq 0$ suitably normalized and the constant given by the energy per particle and unit density of the homogeneous free Fermi gas, which is $C^{\text{TF}} = 2\pi$ in 2D.

In the case of anyons, an “**average-field**” **approximation** (or perhaps more appropriately, “constant-field”) was initially proposed as a simple interpolation between bosons and fermions for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\text{af}}[\varrho] \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(2\pi\alpha N \varrho^2 + V \varrho \right), \quad (10)$$

i.e. TF with the constant $C^{\text{TF}}(\alpha) = 2\pi\alpha$, which is motivated by estimating locally at each point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ the ground-state energy $E_n = |B|n$ of $n \approx N\varrho(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ bosons in the lowest Landau level of a constant magnetic field with strength $B \approx 2\pi\alpha N\varrho(\mathbf{x})$ (a local density approximation). However, for anyons close to bosons one should better consider the following functional:

$$\boxed{\mathcal{E}_{\beta, \gamma, V}[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[|(-i\nabla + \beta \mathbf{A}[|u|^2])u|^2 + \gamma|u|^4 + V|u|^2 \right]}, \quad (11)$$

where:




FIGURE 1. Density and phase of an approximate minimizer of $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,\gamma,V}$ at $\beta = 10$, $\gamma = 20\pi$, $V = x^2 + y^2$, energy ≈ 9.066 .

- $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C})$ is a one-body quantum state with probability density $\varrho = |u|^2$, subject to the normalization $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho = 1$;
- $\mathbf{A}[\varrho]: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a magnetic vector potential which generates a magnetic field proportional to ϱ :

$$\mathbf{A}[\varrho](\mathbf{x}) := (\nabla^\perp w_0) * \varrho(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})^\perp}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2} \varrho(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}, \quad w_0(\mathbf{x}) := \log |\mathbf{x}|, \quad (12)$$

so that

$$\text{curl } \beta \mathbf{A}[\varrho](\mathbf{x}) = \beta (\Delta w_0) * \varrho(\mathbf{x}) = 2\pi\beta\varrho(\mathbf{x}), \quad (13)$$

where we used that $\nabla^\perp \cdot \nabla^\perp = \Delta$ and that w_0 is the fundamental solution in 2D:

$$\Delta w_0 = 2\pi\delta_0;$$

- $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ is the strength of this magnetic self-interaction (the total/fractional number of flux units of the field, i.e. $\beta \sim \alpha N$ for anyons with α flux units per particle);
- $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ is the strength of a scalar self-interaction, being attractive if $\gamma < 0$ and repulsive if $\gamma > 0$;
- the external potential $V: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is usually assumed bounded from below and trapping, i.e. $V(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. As in the GP and TF theories, one may also add an external magnetic field potential \mathbf{A}_{ext} .

Thus, we define the ground-state energy (per particle)

$$E_{\beta,\gamma,V} := \inf \left\{ \mathcal{E}_{\beta,\gamma,V}[u] : u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}), \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |V||u|^2 < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 = 1 \right\}. \quad (14)$$

It was shown in [LR15] that the minimizers u^{af} of the problem (14) at $\gamma = 0$ describe the condensed ground states $\Psi \approx \otimes^N u^{\text{af}}$ of a nonideal anyon gas in a bosonic limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha = \beta/N \rightarrow 0$, β fixed, if the fluxes remain sufficiently extended while taking their extension slowly to zero, then corresponding to a weak but dense self-generated magnetic field. This mean-field (or ‘‘average-field’’; cp. [Wil90]) result was strengthened and generalized in [Gir20, Gir21] to include external magnetic fields. In [CLR17, CLR18] it was shown that the average (mesoscopic) density profile ϱ of the minimizers of the functional $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,\gamma,V}$ for $\gamma = 0$




FIGURE 2. Density of an approximate minimizer of $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,\gamma,V}$ at $V = x^2 + y^2$, $\beta = 100$, and $\gamma = -186\pi$ (left), respectively $\gamma = 200\pi$ (right).

and $\beta \gg 1$ can be described using the minimizing density of a TF functional (9) with a constant

$$C^{\text{TF}}(\beta) = 2\pi c\beta, \quad c \approx 2\sqrt{\pi}/3 \approx 1.18, \quad (15)$$

thus modifying the naive constant-field approximation (10) with a slightly higher energy. Numerical methods were used to estimate this universal constant c and to investigate the ground states, which, as β grows large, manifest the emergence of a triangular vortex lattice formed of approximately β singly-quantized vortices and with a scale set by the density profile [CDLR19]. The microscopic inhomogeneity of the lattice explains the factor (15) of energy increase (greater than the Abrikosov factor of rotating condensates; cp. [ABN06]) compared to the constant self-generated field for bosons and the homogeneous Fermi gas. Further, it has been proposed in the physics literature [Sen91, SC92, CS92] that for ideal anyons close to bosons it is more appropriate to include a scalar self-energy term with $\gamma = 2\pi|\beta|$. Indeed, we expect that different interactions or types of anyons can be effectively described by the functional (11) with different γ , both positive and negative (see [Ngu24] for initial results). Some examples of approximate minimizers of (11), found by numerical optimization, for various β and γ are given in Figures 1 and 2.

The Euler–Lagrange equation for a ground state u of (14) with finite energy is

$$\left[-(\nabla + i\beta\mathbf{A}[|u|^2])^2 - 2\beta\mathbf{A} * [\beta\mathbf{A}[|u|^2]|u|^2 + \mathbf{J}[u]] + 2\gamma|u|^2 + V \right] u = \lambda u, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{J}[u] := \frac{i}{2}(u\nabla\bar{u} - \bar{u}\nabla u)$ denotes the current of u , and $\lambda = \lambda(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant; see [CLR17, Appendix] and [ALN24, Rmk. 3.11]. For $\beta = 0$ it reduces to the *local*, nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation associated to the GP (NLS) functional (8). For $\beta \neq 0$ the *non-local*, nonlinear equation and energy functional arise in the **Chern–Simons–Schrödinger (CSS)** or **Ginzburg–Landau–Higgs (GLH)** theory, in which the magnetic field (13) is self-consistently coupled to the density; see, e.g., [RS84, Dun95, Dun99, Kha05, Tar08]. Therefore the states u are also called CSS wave functions and (11) is also called the CSS or “**average-field-Pauli**” (**afP**) functional (cp. the 3D Pauli operator in (6)).




FIGURE 3. Sketch of $-\gamma_*(\beta)$ with exact NLLs at $\beta = 2n$.

4. MAGNETIC STABILITY AND NONLINEAR LANDAU LEVELS

Let us define the **critical coupling** as the minimal quotient of magnetic kinetic to scalar (TF-type) self-energy:

$$\gamma_*(\beta) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\beta,0,0}[u]}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^4} : u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}), \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 = 1 \right\}. \quad (17)$$

We also define the **nonlinear Landau level (NLL)** for any $\beta \neq 0$:

$$\text{NLL}(\beta) := \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{C}) : \mathcal{E}_{\beta,0,0}[u] = 2\pi|\beta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^4, \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 = 1 \right\}. \quad (18)$$

Our main result for the stability of the system (11)-(14) may then be summarized as follows:

Theorem 1 (Stability for the almost-bosonic anyon gas; [ALN24, Thm. 3]). *Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and the potential V be smooth and bounded from below. The critical coupling $\gamma_*(\beta)$ defined in (17) is exactly the critical value for stability of the system (14) in the sense that $E_{\beta,\gamma,V} > -\infty$ if $\gamma \geq -\gamma_*(\beta)$ and $E_{\beta,\gamma,V} = -\infty$ if $\gamma < -\gamma_*(\beta)$. If $V = 0$ then $E_{\beta,-\gamma_*(\beta),0} = 0$ for all β , and if furthermore $\beta \geq 2$ and $-\gamma = \gamma_*(\beta)$, which in this case equals $2\pi\beta$, then zero-energy ground states exist if and only if $\beta \in 2\mathbb{N}$ and are then given exactly by the 2β -dimensional soliton manifold*

$$\text{NLL}(\beta = 2n) = \left\{ u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \frac{\overline{P'Q - PQ'}}{|P|^2 + |Q|^2} : P, Q \text{ coprime and linearly independent} \right. \\ \left. \text{complex polynomials s.t. } \max\{\deg P, \deg Q\} = n \right\}, \quad (19)$$

whereas $\text{NLL}(\beta) = \emptyset$ if $\beta \notin 2\mathbb{Z}$. Finally, for any $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$E_{\beta,\gamma,V} \geq E_{\beta,\gamma,V}^{\text{KLT}} := \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\gamma_*(\beta) + \gamma)\varrho^2 + V\varrho] : \varrho \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}_+), \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varrho = 1 \right\}. \quad (20)$$

We also note the following:

- by **complex conjugation (orientation) symmetry**, $u \mapsto \bar{u}$, $\beta \mapsto -\beta$, we have that $\gamma_*(-\beta) = \gamma_*(\beta)$ and $\text{NLL}(-\beta) = \overline{\text{NLL}(\beta)}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore we may restrict to $\beta \geq 0$, without loss of generality.
- by the **diamagnetic inequality** (loosely speaking, writing $u = |u|e^{i\varphi}$ and neglecting the nonnegative phase contribution; see, e.g., [LL01, Thm. 7.21]):

$$\mathcal{E}_{\beta,0,0}[u] \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla|u||^2 \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}, u \in H^1,$$

so that $\gamma_*(\beta) \geq \gamma_*(0) =: C_{\text{LGN}}$, defined as the optimal constant of the embedding $H^1 \hookrightarrow L^4$ due to Ladyzhenskaya–Gagliardo–Nirenberg (LGN) [Lad58, Gag59, Nir59]:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^2 \geq C_{\text{LGN}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |u|^4 \quad \forall u \in H^1. \quad (21)$$

Further, by Weinstein [Wei83], $C_{\text{LGN}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau|^2/2 \approx 0.931 \times 2\pi$, where $\tau \in H^1 \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R}^+)$, known as “**Townes soliton**” [CGT64, CGT65, Fib15], is the unique minimizer for $\gamma_*(0)$ that saturates (21), up to translations, rescaling, and a constant phase.

- by a **supersymmetric Pauli/Bogomolnyi bound** (cf. [Bog76, HS93], and (26)):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\nabla + i\mathbf{A})u|^2 \geq \pm \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B|u|^2, \quad B = \text{curl } \mathbf{A},$$

where for the self-generated field we use (13), so that $\gamma_*(\beta) \geq 2\pi|\beta|$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding coupling $\gamma = \pm 2\pi\beta$ is known as the **self-dual coupling** in the literature, and the corresponding energy equality (18) satisfied by $u \in \text{NLL}$ is known as a **Pohozaev-Bogomolnyi identity**.

We may view the CSS wave function $u: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as a global section of a U(1) complex line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ with self-generated curvature $B = 2\pi\beta|u|^2$, and thus minimizing (17) amounts to finding the optimal embedding of such a section subject to its own curvature.

The first part of Theorem 1 follows straightforwardly by taking dilations of $u \in H^1$,

$$u_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) := \lambda u(\lambda\mathbf{x}), \quad \lambda > 0, \quad (22)$$

which preserves the L^2 -norm but scales both the magnetic self-energy $\mathcal{E}_{\beta,0,0}$ and the L^4 -term by λ^2 (see [CLR17, Lemma 3.4]). Also, the bound (20), or **Keller–Lieb–Thirring inequality**, is an immediate consequence of the definition (17). However, the nontrivial core of the result is the following theorem which describes the main behavior of the critical coupling (17) and of the corresponding ground states:

Theorem 2 (Magnetic stability; [ALN24, Thm. 2]). *The following holds:*

- (i) *We have that $\beta \mapsto \gamma_*(\beta)$ is a Lipschitz function and satisfies*

$$\gamma_*(\beta) > \max\{C_{\text{LGN}}, 2\pi\beta\} \quad \text{for every } 0 < \beta < 2, \quad (23)$$

and

$$\gamma_*(\beta) = 2\pi\beta \quad \text{for every } \beta \geq 2. \quad (24)$$

(ii) Any minimizer of (17), if it exists, is smooth. For small enough $0 < \beta < 2$, there exists a minimizer. For $\beta \geq 2$, minimizers exist if and only if $\beta \in 2\mathbb{N}$, and are of the form

$$u = u_{P,Q} := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi\beta} \frac{P'Q - PQ'}{|P|^2 + |Q|^2}}, \quad (25)$$

where P, Q are two coprime and linearly independent complex polynomials satisfying

$$\max(\deg(P), \deg(Q)) = \frac{\beta}{2}.$$

(iii) Finally, $u_{P,Q} = u_{\tilde{P},\tilde{Q}}$ for two such pairs of polynomials $(P, Q), (\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q})$ if and only if $(P, Q) = \Lambda(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q})$ for some constant $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \text{SU}(2)$.

The proof of this theorem uses an Aharonov–Casher-type factorization result of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (cf. [AC79, Jac84, Jac86, EV02]):

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [|\nabla + i\mathbf{A}u|^2 \pm B|u|^2] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |(\partial_1 \pm i\partial_2)(e^{\pm\psi/2}u)|^2 e^{\mp\psi}, \quad \text{if } \mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp\psi, \quad (26)$$

where ψ is a corresponding **superpotential**, together with the exact solution of a generalized Liouville equation. Namely, with $\beta > 0$, the self-dual coupling $\gamma = -2\pi\beta$, and the minus sign on B , the kernel of (26) is given by

$$u(\mathbf{x}) = (4\pi\beta)^{-1/2} e^{\psi(\mathbf{x})/2} \overline{f(z)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 4\pi\beta\varrho = e^\psi |f|^2,$$

where $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic, $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_1 - i\partial_2)$. Further, if f has a limited growth as $z \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. $f(z) = C \prod_{j=1}^M (z - z_j)$ is a polynomial, then the normalized density $\varrho = |u|^2$, respectively superpotential ψ , must satisfy

$$2\pi\beta\varrho = B = \text{curl } \mathbf{A} = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi,$$

i.e.

$$\boxed{-\Delta\psi = |f|^2 e^\psi} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad -\Delta \log(\varrho) = 4\pi\beta\varrho - 4\pi \sum_{j=1}^M \delta_{z_j}. \quad (27)$$

The solutions of this equation are given exactly by the densities of (25), and require $\beta \in 2\mathbb{N}$:

Theorem 3 (Generalized Liouville equation; [ALN24, Thm. 1]). *Let $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a nonzero polynomial. All the weak solutions $\psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^2; \mathbb{R})$ of (27), such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^2 e^\psi < \infty$, are of the form*

$$\psi = \psi_{P,Q} := \log(8) - 2 \log(|P|^2 + |Q|^2),$$

where P, Q are two coprime complex polynomials which satisfy $f = P'Q - PQ'$. Moreover, $\max(\deg(P), \deg(Q)) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |f|^2 e^\psi}{8\pi} = \frac{\beta}{2}$, and if (P, Q) and (\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) are pairs of polynomials (not necessarily coprime) then $\psi_{P,Q} = \psi_{\tilde{P},\tilde{Q}}$ if and only if $(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) = \Lambda(P, Q)$, for some constant $\Lambda \in \text{U}(2)$.

The necessary regularity for the above conclusions is derived from the Euler–Lagrange equation (16), and furthermore a concentration-compactness approach is used to obtain the result (23) on the coupling, which can be interpreted as a **breaking of supersymmetry** at

$|\beta| < 2$. It is further noted that the number M of zeros z_j of the Wronskian $f = P'Q - PQ'$ satisfies

$$\beta/2 - 1 \leq M \leq \beta - 2,$$

which is also interpreted as the **vorticity** of the state $u_{P,Q} \in \text{NLL}(\beta)$. Hence, the vorticity of CSS ground states at critical, self-dual coupling increases linearly with β .

As examples we have the following radially symmetric, exact soliton solutions:

- “Townes soliton” at $\beta = 0$: $u \sim \tau$ the unique positive and radial solution to the critical self-focusing 2D nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$-\Delta u - |u|^2 u = -u$$

at the critical coupling $\gamma_*(0) = C_{\text{LGN}} = \|\tau\|_{L^2}^2 / 2 \approx 0.931 \times 2\pi$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \tau|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau|^2 = C_{\text{LGN}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\tau|^4.$$

No analytical expression is known for τ . Its shape is roughly similar to a Gaussian but with the asymptotic behavior (see [Fib15, Fig. 3.2 & Lem. 6.14])

$$\tau(\mathbf{x}) \sim Cr^{-1/2} e^{-r}, \quad r = |\mathbf{x}| \gg 1.$$

- “*versiera*” or “the witch of Agnesi” (see [Str69, p. 178]) at $\beta = 2$:

$$u(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{|z|^2 + 1}, \quad (\text{ex. } P(z) = z, Q(z) = 1)$$

- “vortex ring” at $\beta = 2n$, $n > 1$:

$$u(z) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} \frac{\bar{z}^{n-1}}{|z|^{2n} + 1}, \quad (\text{ex. } P(z) = z^n, Q(z) = 1)$$

The first one was found already in [CGT64] in a geometric optics context (see also [CGT65, Fib15]), and the others by Jackiw and Pi in [JP90b] by stretching the applicability of Liouville’s solution for the regular Liouville equation [Lio53]. They later also found a more general formula for the soliton states in $\text{NLL}(\beta = 2n)$ corresponding to distinct roots, and thereby conjectured that $\dim \text{NLL}(\beta = 2n) = 4n$ [JP90a]. The mathematical precision of these results was discussed in [Hag91, JP91, HY98]. See also [Dun99, HZ09] for more recent reviews, and [Ere21, LL22, ALN24, Ata24] for recent mathematical developments.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We summarize the main points:

- In 2D, intermediate **exchange** quantum statistics is possible, with simple exchange phase $e^{i\alpha\pi}$, resulting in “anyons”.
- For $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and $N \gg 1$, the relationship between **exchange** and **exclusion** is a difficult mathematical problem, although **stability** is known for ideal abelian anyons.
- A precise DFT has been identified in an **almost-bosonic** limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, s.t. $\alpha N \rightarrow \beta \in \mathbb{R}$; also including scalar self interactions with coupling strength $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.
- There is linearly increasing stability in this model for $|\beta| \geq 2$: $\boxed{\gamma \geq -2\pi|\beta|}$, i.e. **extensivity** w.r.t. the total flux.

- For $|\beta| \geq 2$, stability at the critical coupling $\gamma = -2\pi|\beta|$ is saturated exactly at $\beta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ by a manifold of soliton states of dimension $2|\beta|$, which we call “**nonlinear Landau levels**”.

Some ongoing work includes:

- The derivation of the effective functional (11) from the underlying many-body quantum mechanics at $\gamma \neq 0$. See [Ngu24] for some initial results, including a refined analysis of the collapse phenomenon at $\beta \rightarrow 0$.
- External fields, potentials, and a generally better understanding through numerics.
- Fractional flux $\beta/2$, and replacing global functions by local sections.

Finally, one may ask why we care so much about Flatland, a $2 + 1$ -dimensional world which might seem artificial. However, let us point out that such scenarios are nowadays routinely realized in the lab by confinement using strong potentials and magnetic fields, and recently with strong evidence for emergent anyons [BKB⁺20, NLGM20]. Further, anyons are also relevant from a fundamental physics perspective, both as a toy model for quantized gravity on a locally flat plane [tH88, DJ88], and possibly also as a holographic realization of actual $3 + 1$ -dimensional quantum gravity and cosmology; compare, e.g., [PRE15]. Thus, we may view this topic as a natural continuation of the research program of Jackiw:

“It is the purpose of our research program to study in three-dimensional space-time the classical and quantum motions of matter that interacts gravitationally. Since there are no propagating gravitational degrees of freedom, the problem is tractable, and we can learn much about the puzzles that are encountered when a geometrical theory is confronted by quantum mechanics. In four dimensions these puzzles exist as well, and it is my opinion that understanding them is important for understanding quantum gravity; a task quite independent of and perhaps more fundamental than the task of overcoming the unrenormalizable infinities that pollute four-dimensional gravity, but are absent in three dimensions since non-renormalizable graviton exchange does not occur.”

Roman Jackiw, in *Topics in planar physics*, 1990 [Jac90].

Acknowledgments. A warmest thanks is due to the organizers of ICGTMP, Group33/35, who persisted through the pandemic and created such a wonderful gathering from all corners of our globe. This contribution is based primarily on the overview article [Lun24] and the recent work [ALN24]. The author wishes to express his thanks to Alireza Ataei and Dinh-Thi Nguyen for fruitful collaboration on that work, as well as to Michele Correggi and Nicolas Rougerie for collaborations on earlier works upon which the present one rests, and last but not least to Romain Duboscq who supplied the Matlab code from which much insight has been gained. Financial support from the Swedish Research Council (grant no. 2021-05328, “Mathematics of anyons and intermediate quantum statistics”) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [ABN06] A. Aftalion, X. Blanc, and F. Nier, *Lowest Landau level functional and Bargmann spaces for Bose-Einstein condensates*, J. Funct. Anal. **241** (2006), no. 2, 661–702, [doi](#).
- [AC79] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, *Ground state of a spin-1/2 charged particle in a two-dimensional magnetic field*, Physical Review A **19** (1979), no. 6, 2461.
- [ALN24] A. Ataei, D. Lundholm, and D.-T. Nguyen, *A generalized Liouville equation and magnetic stability*, arXiv e-prints, 2024, [arXiv:2404.09332](#).

- [Ata24] A. Ataei, *A sharp condition on global wellposedness of Chern-Simons-Schrödinger equation*, arXiv e-prints, 2024, [arXiv:2405.07315](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.07315).
- [Bax80] J. R. Baxter, *Inequalities for potentials of particle systems*, Illinois J. Math. **24** (1980), no. 4, 645–652, <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ijm/1256047480>.
- [BKB⁺20] H. Bartolomei, M. Kumar, R. Bisognin, A. Marguerite, J.-M. Berroir, E. Bocquillon, B. Plaçais, A. Cavanna, Q. Dong, U. Gennser, Y. Jin, and G. Fève, *Fractional statistics in anyon collisions*, Science **368** (2020), no. 6487, 173–177, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1256047).
- [Bog76] E. B. Bogomolny, *The stability of classical solutions*, Yad. Fiz. **24** (1976), 861–870.
- [CDLR19] M. Correggi, R. Duboscq, D. Lundholm, and N. Rougerie, *Vortex patterns in the almost-bosonic anyon gas*, EPL (Europhysics Letters) **126** (2019), 20005, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1209/0030-6745/126/20005).
- [CGT64] R. Y. Chiao, E. Garmire, and C. H. Townes, *Self-trapping of optical beams*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **13** (1964), 479–482, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.13.479).
- [CGT65] R. Y. Chiao, E. Garmire, and C. H. Townes, *Self-trapping of optical beams.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **14** (1965), 1056–1056, Erratum, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.14.1056).
- [CLR17] M. Correggi, D. Lundholm, and N. Rougerie, *Local density approximation for the almost-bosonic anyon gas*, Analysis & PDE **10** (2017), 1169–1200, [doi](https://doi.org/10.2146/am-pde-2016-010).
- [CLR18] M. Correggi, D. Lundholm, and N. Rougerie, *Local density approximation for almost-bosonic anyons*, Proceedings of QMath13, Atlanta, October 8–11, 2016, Mathematical problems in quantum physics (F. Bonetto, D. Borthwick, E. Harrell, and M. Loss, eds.), Contemp. Math., vol. 717, 2018, pp. 77–92, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9888-8_4).
- [CS92] R. Chitra and D. Sen, *Ground state of many anyons in a harmonic potential*, Phys. Rev. B **46** (1992), 10923–10930, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.46.10923).
- [DJ88] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, *Classical and quantum scattering on a cone*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), no. 3, 495–509, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01204509).
- [DL67] F. J. Dyson and A. Lenard, *Stability of matter. I*, J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967), no. 3, 423–434, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1063/JMP.1967.8.3.423).
- [Dun95] G. Dunne, *Self-dual Chern-Simons theories*, vol. 36, Springer Science & Business Media, 1995.
- [Dun99] G. V. Dunne, *Aspects of Chern-Simons theory*, Aspects topologiques de la physique en basse dimension. Topological aspects of low dimensional systems (Berlin, Heidelberg) (A. Comtet, T. Jolicœur, S. Ouvry, and F. David, eds.), Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 177–263, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-66411-1_10).
- [Dys67] F. J. Dyson, *Ground-state energy of a finite system of charged particles*, J. Math. Phys. **8** (1967), no. 8, 1538–1545, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1063/JMP.1967.8.8.1538).
- [Ere21] A. Eremenko, *Metrics of constant positive curvature with conic singularities. A survey*, arXiv e-prints, 2021, [arXiv:2103.13364](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.13364).
- [EV02] L. Erdős and V. Vougalter, *Pauli operator and Aharonov-Casher theorem for measure valued magnetic fields*, Commun. Math. Phys. **225** (2002), 399–421.
- [Fef95] C. Fefferman, *Stability of Coulomb systems in a magnetic field*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **92** (1995), no. 11, 5006.
- [Fer27] E. Fermi, *Un metodo statistico per la determinazione di alcune proprieta dell’atome*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Lincei **6** (1927), 602–607.
- [Fib15] G. Fibich, *The nonlinear Schrödinger equation*, vol. 192, Springer, 2015.
- [FLL86] J. Fröhlich, E. H. Lieb, and M. Loss, *Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. I. The one-electron atom*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 2, 251–270, <http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.cmp/1104115002>.
- [For91] S. Forte, *Berry’s phase, fractional statistics and the Laughlin wave function*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6** (1991), no. 34, 3152–3162, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1142/PLA19910343152).
- [FR66] M. E. Fisher and D. Ruelle, *The stability of many-particle systems*, J. Math. Phys. **7** (1966), 260–270.
- [Gag59] E. Gagliardo, *Ulteriori proprieta di alcune classi di funzioni in piu variabili*, Ricerche di matematica **8** (1959), 24–51.
- [Gen40] G. Gentile, *Osservazioni sopra le statistiche intermedie*, Il Nuovo Cimento **17** (1940), no. 10, 493–497, [doi](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02731917).

- [Gen42] G. Gentile, *Le statistiche intermedie e le proprietà dell'elio liquido*, Il Nuovo Cimento **19** (1942), no. 4, 109–125, [doi](#).
- [Gin09] V. L. Ginzburg, *On superconductivity and superfluidity: A scientific autobiography*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [Gir20] T. Girardot, *Average field approximation for almost bosonic anyons in a magnetic field*, J. Math. Phys. **61** (2020), no. 7, 071901, 23, [doi](#).
- [Gir21] T. Girardot, *Mean-field approximation for the anyon gas*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes & CNRS, l'École Doctorale Mathématiques, Sciences et technologies de l'information, Informatique, 2021, <https://www.theses.fr/2021GRALM031>.
- [GL50] V. Ginzburg and L. Landau, *On the theory of superconductivity*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **20** (1950), 1064–82.
- [GMS80] G. Goldin, R. Menikoff, and D. Sharp, *Particle statistics from induced representations of a local current group*, J. Math. Phys. **21** (1980), no. 4, 650–664, [doi](#).
- [GMS81] G. A. Goldin, R. Menikoff, and D. H. Sharp, *Representations of a local current algebra in nonsimply connected space and the Aharonov-Bohm effect*, J. Math. Phys. **22** (1981), no. 8, 1664–1668, [doi](#).
- [GMS85] G. A. Goldin, R. Menikoff, and D. H. Sharp, *Comments on "General Theory for Quantum Statistics in Two Dimensions"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54** (1985), 603–603, [doi](#).
- [Gro61] E. Gross, *Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems*, Nuovo Cimento **20** (1961), no. 3, 454–477.
- [Hag91] C. R. Hagen, *Comment on "Soliton solutions to the gauged nonlinear Schrödinger equation on the plane"*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991), 2681–2681, [doi](#).
- [Hal91] F. D. M. Haldane, *"Fractional statistics" in arbitrary dimensions: A generalization of the Pauli principle*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67** (1991), 937–940, [doi](#).
- [Har20] G. H. Hardy, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert*, Math. Z. **6** (1920), no. 3, 314–317.
- [Hei25] W. Heisenberg, *Quantum-theoretical re-interpretation of kinematic and mechanical relations*, Z. Phys **33** (1925), 879–893.
- [HOHOLT08] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, A. Laptev, and J. Tidblom, *Many-particle Hardy Inequalities*, J. London Math. Soc. **77** (2008), 99–114, [doi](#).
- [HS93] Z. Hlousek and D. Spector, *Bogomol'nyi explained*, Nuclear Physics B **397** (1993), no. 1-2, 173–194.
- [HY98] P. A. Horváthy and J.-C. Yéra, *Vortex solutions of the Liouville equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **46** (1998), no. 2, 111–120 (English), [doi](#).
- [HZ09] P. A. Horvathy and P. Zhang, *Vortices in (abelian) Chern–Simons gauge theory*, Physics Reports **481** (2009), no. 5-6, 83–142.
- [Jac84] R. Jackiw, *Fractional charge and zero modes for planar systems in a magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **29** (1984), 2375–2377, [doi](#).
- [Jac86] R. Jackiw, *Erratum: Fractional charge and zero modes for planar systems in a magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986), 2500–2500, [doi](#).
- [Jac90] R. Jackiw, *Topics in planar physics*, Nuclear Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **18A** (1990), 107–170, Integrability and quantization (Jaca, 1989), [doi](#).
- [JP90a] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *Classical and quantal nonrelativistic Chern-Simons theory*, Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990), 3500–3513, [doi](#).
- [JP90b] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *Soliton solutions to the gauged nonlinear schrödinger equation on the plane*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64** (1990), 2969–2972, [doi](#).
- [JP91] R. Jackiw and S.-Y. Pi, *Jackiw and Pi reply*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66** (1991), 2682–2682, [doi](#).
- [Kha05] A. Khare, *Fractional Statistics and Quantum Theory*, 2nd ed., World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.
- [Lad58] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Solution "in the large" to the boundary value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in two space variables*, Doklady Akademii Nauk, vol. 123, Russian Academy of Sciences, 1958, pp. 427–429.
- [LD68] A. Lenard and F. J. Dyson, *Stability of matter. II*, J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968), no. 5, 698–711, [doi](#).
- [Lie76] E. H. Lieb, *The stability of matter*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48** (1976), no. 4, 553–569, [doi](#).

- [Lio53] J. Liouville, *Sur l'équation aux différences partielles $\frac{d^2 \log \lambda}{du dv} \pm \frac{\lambda}{2a^2} = 0$* , Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées **18** (1853), 71–72.
- [LL01] E. H. Lieb and M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.
- [LL18] S. Larson and D. Lundholm, *Exclusion bounds for extended anyons*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **227** (2018), 309–365, [doi](#).
- [LL22] Z. Li and B. Liu, *On threshold solutions of the equivariant Chern–Simons–Schrödinger equation*, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C **39** (2022), no. 2, 371–417.
- [LLS95] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, and J. P. Solovej, *Stability of matter in magnetic fields*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995), 985–989, [doi](#).
- [LM77] J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *On the theory of identical particles*, Nuovo Cimento B **37** (1977), 1–23, [doi](#).
- [LQ20] D. Lundholm and V. Qvarfordt, *Exchange and exclusion in the non-abelian anyon gas*, arXiv e-prints, 2020, [arXiv:2009.12709](#).
- [LR15] D. Lundholm and N. Rougerie, *The average field approximation for almost bosonic extended anyons*, J. Stat. Phys. **161** (2015), no. 5, 1236–1267, [doi](#).
- [LS73] E. H. Lieb and B. Simon, *Thomas-Fermi theory revisited*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31** (1973), 681–683, [doi](#).
- [LS77] E. H. Lieb and B. Simon, *The Thomas-Fermi theory of atoms, molecules and solids*, Adv. Math. **23** (1977), no. 1, 22–116.
- [LS10] E. H. Lieb and R. Seiringer, *The Stability of Matter in Quantum Mechanics*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010.
- [LS13a] D. Lundholm and J. P. Solovej, *Hardy and Lieb-Thirring inequalities for anyons*, Comm. Math. Phys. **322** (2013), 883–908, [doi](#).
- [LS13b] D. Lundholm and J. P. Solovej, *Local exclusion principle for identical particles obeying intermediate and fractional statistics*, Phys. Rev. A **88** (2013), 062106, [doi](#).
- [LS14] D. Lundholm and J. P. Solovej, *Local exclusion and Lieb-Thirring inequalities for intermediate and fractional statistics*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **15** (2014), 1061–1107, [doi](#).
- [LS18] D. Lundholm and R. Seiringer, *Fermionic behavior of ideal anyons*, Lett. Math. Phys. **108** (2018), 2523–2541, [doi](#).
- [LT75] E. H. Lieb and W. E. Thirring, *Bound for the kinetic energy of fermions which proves the stability of matter*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35** (1975), 687–689, [doi](#).
- [LT76] E. H. Lieb and W. E. Thirring, *Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities*, Studies in Mathematical Physics, pp. 269–303, Princeton Univ. Press, 1976.
- [Lun17] D. Lundholm, *Many-anyon trial states*, Phys. Rev. A **96** (2017), 012116, [doi](#).
- [Lun19] D. Lundholm, *Methods of modern mathematical physics: Uncertainty and exclusion principles in quantum mechanics*, KTH and LMU graduate course textbook (latest version at <http://www.mathematik.uni-muenchen.de/~lundholm/methmmp.pdf>), 2019, [arXiv:1805.03063](#).
- [Lun24] D. Lundholm, *Properties of 2D anyon gas*, Encyclopedia of Condensed Matter Physics (Second Edition) (T. Chakraborty, ed.), Academic Press, Oxford, 2nd ed., 2024, pp. 450–484, [arXiv:2303.09544](#), [doi](#).
- [LY86] M. Loss and H.-T. Yau, *Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. III. Zero energy bound states of the Pauli operator*, Commun. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 2, 283–290, <http://projecteuclid.org/getRecord?id=euclid.cmp/1104115004>.
- [New87] I. Newton, *Principia*, Royal Society of London, 1687.
- [Ngu24] D.-T. Nguyen, *2d attractive almost-bosonic anyon gases*, arXiv e-prints, 2024, [arXiv:2409.00409](#).
- [Nir59] L. Nirenberg, *On elliptic partial differential equations*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze **13** (1959), no. 2, 115–162.
- [NLGM20] J. Nakamura, S. Liang, G. C. Gardner, and M. J. Manfra, *Direct observation of anyonic braiding statistics*, Nature Phys. **16** (2020), 931–936, [doi](#).
- [Ons39] L. Onsager, *Electrostatic interaction of molecules*, J. Phys. Chem. **43** (1939), no. 2, 189–196, [doi](#).

- [Pau25] W. Pauli, *Über den Zusammenhang des Abschlusses der Elektronengruppen im Atom mit der Komplexstruktur der Spektren*, Z. Phys. **31** (1925), 765–783, [doi](#).
- [Pit61] L. P. Pitaevskii, *Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas*, Zh. Eksper. Teor. fiz. **40** (1961), no. 40, 646–651.
- [Poi90] H. Poincaré, *Sur les équations aux dérivées partielles de la physique mathématique*, Am. J. Math. (1890), 211–294, [doi](#).
- [PRE15] A. G. A. Pithis and H.-C. Ruiz Euler, *Anyonic statistics and large horizon diffeomorphisms for loop quantum gravity black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015), 064053, [doi](#).
- [RS84] C. Rebbi and G. Soliani, *Solitons and particles*, World Scientific, 1984.
- [SC92] D. Sen and R. Chitra, *Anyons as perturbed bosons*, Phys. Rev. B **45** (1992), 881–894, [doi](#).
- [Sch26] E. Schrödinger, *Quantisierung als Eigenwertproblem*, Annalen der physik **385** (1926), no. 13, 437–490.
- [Sen91] D. Sen, *Quantum and statistical mechanics of anyons*, Nuclear Phys. B **630** (1991), 397–408.
- [Sob38] S. L. Sobolev, *On a theorem of functional analysis*, Mat. Sbornik **4** (1938), 471–497.
- [Str69] D. J. Struik, *A source book in mathematics, 1200-1800*, Harvard University Press, 1969, <https://archive.org/details/B-001-001-112>.
- [Tar08] G. Tarantello, *Selfdual gauge field vortices: an analytical approach*, vol. 72, Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [tH88] G. 't Hooft, *Non-perturbative 2 particle scattering amplitudes in 2+1 dimensional quantum gravity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **117** (1988), no. 4, 685–700, [doi](#).
- [Tho27] L. H. Thomas, *The calculation of atomic fields*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **23** (1927), no. 05, 542–548, [doi](#).
- [Wei83] M. I. Weinstein, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates*, Comm. Math. Phys. **87** (1983), 567–576.
- [Wil82a] F. Wilczek, *Magnetic flux, angular momentum, and statistics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48** (1982), 1144–1146, [doi](#).
- [Wil82b] F. Wilczek, *Quantum mechanics of fractional-spin particles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49** (1982), 957–959, [doi](#).
- [Wil90] F. Wilczek, *Fractional Statistics and Anyon Superconductivity*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, BOX 480, SE-751 06, UPPSALA, SWEDEN
 Email address: douglas.lundholm@math.uu.se