Binary Kerr black-hole scattering at 2PM from quantum higher-spin Compton

Lara Bohnenblust,^a Lucile Cangemi,^{b,c} Henrik Johansson,^{b,d} and Paolo Pichini^e

^bDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden,

ABSTRACT: Quantum higher-spin theory applied to Compton amplitudes has proven to be surprisingly useful for elucidating Kerr black hole dynamics. Here we apply the framework to compute scattering amplitudes and observables for a binary system of two rotating black holes, at second post-Minkowskian order, and to all orders in the spin-multipole expansion for certain quantities. Starting from the established three-point and conjectured Compton quantum amplitudes, the infinite-spin limit gives classical amplitudes that serves as building block that we feed into the unitarity method to construct the 2-to-2 one-loop amplitude. We give scalar box, vector box, and scalar triangle coefficients to all orders in spin, where the latter are expressed in terms of Bessel-like functions. Using the Kosower-Maybee-O'Connell formalism, the classical 2PM impulse is computed, and in parallel we work out the scattering angle and eikonal phase. We give novel all-orders-in-spin formulae for certain contributions, and the remaining ones are given up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$. Since Kerr 2PM dynamics beyond $\mathcal{O}(S^{\geq 5})$ is as of yet not completely settled, this work serves as a useful reference for future studies.

^aDepartment of Astrophysics, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland,

^cSchool of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, UK

^dNordita, Stockholm University and KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Hannes Alfvéns väg 12, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden,

^eCentre for Theoretical Physics, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK. E-mail: lara.bohnenblust@uzh.ch, lucile.cangemi@ed.ac.uk,

henrik.johansson@physics.uu.se, p.pichini@qmul.ac.uk

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Review of tree-level Kerr Compton amplitudes	4
3	Classical 2-to-2 scattering for Kerr binary	6
	3.1 One-loop integrals for 2-to-2 scattering	8
	3.2 The classical one-loop triple cut	11
	3.3 Extracting all-orders box integral coefficients	13
	3.4 Extracting all-orders scalar triangle coefficients	14
4	Observables for 2PM Kerr	20
	4.1 Impulse from the KMOC formalism	20
	4.2 Eikonal and scattering angle	26
	4.3 Comparison to literature and canonical spin	30
5	Conclusion	32
A	Fourier integrals	34
в	Spin Supplementary Condition	36

1 Introduction

The detection of gravitational waves from binary mergers of compact objects [1, 2], and the promises of upcoming experiments [3–5], guide computational efforts towards increased analytic precision for two-body dynamics [6–8]. Recently, focus on post-Minkowskian (PM) perturbation theory and hyperbolic trajectories [9], has led to new tools that import lessons from scattering amplitudes in quantum field theory (QFT) [10–12]. There are now results for 2-to-2 scattering at orders 3PM [12–20], 4PM [21–29] and beyond [30]. Techniques such as analytic continuation of hyperbolic results are used to learn about bound systems [31– 35], for which high-order post-Newtonian (PN) results are also available [36–51].

An abundance of techniques has emerged for computing classical observables, which include traditional worldline EFTs [52–58] with spin effects [59–79], the Kosower-Maybee-O'Connell (KMOC) formalism [80–84], eikonal-based approaches [85–96], wordline quantum field theory [97–103], heavy-particle effective theory [104, 105], approaches using the double copy [106–128], soft graviton theorems [129–137], direct classical limits of QFT amplitudes [138, 139], and twistor descriptions [140–142]. For recent reviews on gravitational waves, effective field theory (EFT) and scattering amplitude methods, see refs. [143–150].

Classical observables extracted from 2-to-2 scattering amplitudes, in the PM expansion, includes the scattering angle, impulse, and spin-kick [20, 151–168]. Furthermore, the PM expansion has allowed the computation and understanding of radiation-reaction effects [132, 160, 169–173], higher-order waveforms [174–184], beyond GR effects [185–199], tidal effects [200–208], non-trivial backgrounds and/or self-dual BHs [209–222], natural extensions such as quantum effects, high-energy limits, supersymmetric and string models, and Kerr-Newman black holes, mergers and other toy models [223–242]. Comparison to scattering computations in numerical relativity has also been done, which highlight need for resummation [243, 244].

Including spin effects is vital for astrophysical black holes, and it has also proven to be interesting from a purely formal perspective. Using scattering amplitudes for massive spinning particles one can extract the spin multipoles [245] in terms of the spin vector $S^{\mu} = ma^{\mu}$ of a Kerr black hole. In ref. [246] the three-point amplitude was given on exponential form to all orders in the ring radius vector a^{μ} , and in ref. [247] a family of generic spin-s quantum amplitudes were given, which were later shown [151, 248] to also describe the Kerr three-point amplitude. Using unitarity and on-shell methods the higherspin amplitudes gave a new avenue to compute to 2-to-2 scattering at 1PM [139, 153, 249] and conceptually treat Kerr black holes as elementary particles [250–252].

Compton four-point amplitudes, corresponding to a Kerr black hole interacting with two gravitons, have been presented for the opposite-helcity [247] and same-helicity [253] graviton states. The opposite-helicity Arkani-Hamed-Huang-Huang (AHH) amplitudes exhibited spurious poles for higher-spin s > 2 states, which signals the need to resolve contact-term ambiguities. Several proposals has been put forward attempting to single out the appropriate Compton contact terms, using properties such as the high-energy limit or additional conjectured symmetries and structures [254–267]. The spinning Compton amplitudes for $s \leq 2$ are also known to be given by the double copy [106, 107, 268] in terms of gauge-theory $s \leq 1$ amplitudes [253, 269–272] that are often referred to as root-Kerr theory [250].

The elementary-particle Lagrangians that underlie the well-behaved AHH amplitudes were analyzed in detail in [271], and using tree-level unitarity constraints from higher-spin theory the Compton family was extended up to s = 5/2. By using the full machinery of higher-spin theory [273], the AHH three-point amplitudes could also be derived from gauge-symmetry principles [274]. Combining higher-spin gauge symmetry, a chiral-field approach [275], and the appearance of symmetric homogeneous polynomials in Kerr and root-Kerr amplitudes [276], lead to a proposal for the closed-form spin-s family of Kerr Compton amplitudes [277].

Taking the classical limit of the quantum Compton amplitude, using infinite-spin limit [236] or coherent-spin states [278], gave a proposal for the all-orders-in-spin treelevel Compton amplitude describing Kerr dynamics [277]. This was tested against explicit general-relativity calculations [260, 262], using black-hole perturbation theory or Teukolsky equation. Full agreement was found for the special choice $\alpha = 0$, where α is a bookeping parameter introduced in ref. [260], that tags terms related to polygamma functions that start appearing at $\mathcal{O}(S^5)$. Also dissipative terms start appearing at this order, tagged by η , and they could be straightforwardly accounted for in the higher-spin Compton amplitude, again for $\alpha = 0$. These non-analytic contributions, and their relation to near-zone/far-zone splitting of the solution to the Teukolsky equation, and related ambiguities, are discussed in refs. [197, 262].

Related work on classical Compton amplitudes can also be found in [261, 263], which gives closed-form expressions that have the same classical factorization poles as the proposal [277], while the contact terms are similar but different. Proposals for closely-related Compton processes in Kerr background has also been put forward [279].

In general, there have been many competing new scattering amplitude methods employed for computing state-of-the-art observables for binary systems of spinning black holes. In particular, the 2-to-2 amplitude is known in a wide range of cases: at 1PM with arbitrary spin, at 2PM up to spin $\mathcal{O}(S^4)$ [151, 158] and $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$ [168], with proposed $\mathcal{O}(S^8)$ extension [280], at 3PM up to $\mathcal{O}(S^2)$ [20, 162] and up to 4PM for $\mathcal{O}(S^1)$ [281]; see also [153, 161– 167, 249, 282–287]. See also recent work on spin-magnitude change [288–290] dissipation and absorption with spin [291, 292]. More importantly, the radiation emitted by the binary, obtained from a five-point amplitude with an emitted graviton, was computed up to $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ to a fixed spin order [99, 132, 293–299].

In this paper, we explore 2-to-2 scattering of spinning black holes and corresponding 2PM observables using the all-orders-in-spin Compton amplitude proposed in ref. [277]. We develop efficient integration techniques for extracting the relevant contributions to the classical one-loop amplitude: scalar triangles, scalar and vector boxes. This requires some delicacy since the one-loop integrand is composed of several non-trivial entire functions of three independent spin-dependent variables. While the box coefficients have closed forms in terms of exponential functions, we find after some work, that the triangle integral coefficients can be expressed as integrals and derivatives of the Bessel function J_0 of the first kind. Our results can be contrasted to the recent 2PM work [300], that also addressed the need for integration methods for spin-resummed entire function that appear in Kerr scattering amplitudes. See also related results [280] that extracts 2PM amplitudes and eikonal phase to relatively high spin-multipole order.

Using the one-loop amplitude reduced to master integrals, we then explore observables at 2PM. We compute the classical impulse, scattering angle and eikonal phase up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$. We also give various closed-form expressions to all orders in spin, both for contributions coming from boxes, such as the parallel impulse and impulse perpendicular to scattering plan, and for the eikonal-phase contributions corresponding to triangle coefficients that originate from the pole terms of the quantum Compton amplitude. We leave the eikonal evaluation of the third triangle coefficient, corresponding to genuine contact terms, to future work.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2 we review the higher-spin Compton amplitude which describes gravitational perturbations of a Kerr black hole, presented by some of the authors in ref. [277]. In section 3, we study the one-loop 2-to-2 amplitude required to extract 2PM binary observables, presenting the required building blocks, the classical limit technology and the techniques to compute loop integrals. In section 4 we review the KMOC formalism [80, 301] and use it to compute classical observables from the one-loop amplitude. In particular, we compute the eikonal, the scattering angle and the impulse and display some explicit results. We also compare our results to similar works in the literature. Lastly we conclude in section 5.

2 Review of tree-level Kerr Compton amplitudes

In this section we review the details of the higher-spin three-point and Compton amplitudes discussed in ref. [277], and the classical black-hole amplitudes that arise in the infinite-spin limit.

To set up our notation, let us consider the amplitude $\mathcal{M}(1^s, 2^s, 3, \ldots, n)$ between two massive higher-spin particles and (n-2) gravitons. We start by pulling out the gravitational coupling and phases,¹

$$\mathcal{M}(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3, \dots, n) = i \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{n-2} M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, \dots, n), \qquad (2.1)$$

and the momenta satisfy $p_1^2 = p_2^2 = m^2$, $p_{i>2}^2 = 0$ and $\sum_i p_i^{\mu} = 0$ (all incoming momenta). Then recall that the three-point higher-spin AHH amplitudes takes the form

$$M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3^{+}) = 2(\varepsilon_{3}^{+} \cdot p_{1})^{2} \frac{\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{2s}}{m^{2s}}, \qquad M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3^{-}) = 2(\varepsilon_{3}^{-} \cdot p_{1})^{2} \frac{[\mathbf{21}]^{2s}}{m^{2s}}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where the massive spinors include a SU(2) wavefunction $|\mathbf{1}\rangle = |\mathbf{1}^a\rangle z_a$, $|\mathbf{1}] = |\mathbf{1}^a]z_a$, $|\mathbf{2}\rangle = |2^a\rangle \bar{z}_a$, $|\mathbf{2}] = |2^a|\bar{z}_a$, which automatically symmetrizes over the little group indices.

Under the classical limit $\hbar \to 0$, $s \to \infty$, the $\langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{2s}$ and $[\mathbf{21}]^{2s}$ factors are mapped to exponentials, giving the classical Kerr amplitudes

$$M(1,2,3^{\pm}) = 2(\varepsilon_3^{\pm} \cdot p_1)^2 e^{\pm p_3 \cdot a}, \qquad (2.3)$$

where the ring-radius vector is related to the SU(2) wavefunction as

$$a^{\mu} = -\frac{|a|}{m} \langle 1^{b} | \sigma^{\mu} | 1^{c}] \, \bar{z}_{(b} z_{c)} \,, \qquad (2.4)$$

and we normalized as $\bar{z}^b z_b = 1$, giving that $a^2 = -|a|^2$. The ring radius has units of length, it is transverse $p_1 \cdot a = 0$, and related to the dimensionless spin vector as $S^{\mu} = ma^{\mu}$.

For the tree-level Compton amplitude, there also exists candidate higher-spin amplitudes. The same-helicity Compton amplitude for spin-s quantum states is [253]

$$M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3^{+}, 4^{+}) = \frac{[34]^{4} \langle \mathbf{21} \rangle^{2s}}{s_{12} t_{13} t_{14} m^{2s-4}}, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $s_{ij} = (p_i + p_j)^2$, $t_{ij} = 2p_i \cdot p_j$. The corresponding classical amplitude also takes the form of an exponential

$$M(1,2,3^+,4^+) = -\frac{[34]^4}{q^2(p_1 \cdot q_\perp)^2} e^{q \cdot a}, \qquad (2.6)$$

¹We have suppressed a spin-dependent phase $\sim (-1)^s$ which is unphysical, and due to quirks of the mostly-minus signature.

where the graviton momenta are encoded as $q = p_4 + p_3$ and $q_{\perp} = p_4 - p_3$. Note that the frequency/energy of the two graviton planewaves is $\omega = \frac{p \cdot q_{\perp}}{2m}$.

The opposite-helicity Compton amplitude for spin-s quantum states is expected to take the form [247, 277],

$$\begin{split} M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}) &= \frac{\left(\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle [\mathbf{42}] + \langle \mathbf{23} \rangle [\mathbf{41}]\right)^{2s}}{s_{12}t_{13}t_{14}\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}|^{2s-4}} + (\text{contact-term completion}) \\ &= \frac{\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}|^{4}P_{1}^{(2s)}}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{13}t_{14}} - \frac{\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle [\mathbf{42}]\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}|^{3}}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{13}}P_{2}^{(2s)} + \frac{\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle \langle 3\mathbf{2} \rangle [\mathbf{14}][\mathbf{42}]}{m^{4s}s_{12}}\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}|^{2}P_{2}^{(2s-1)} \\ &+ \frac{\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle \langle 3\mathbf{2} \rangle [\mathbf{14}][\mathbf{42}]}{m^{4s-4}s_{12}}\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}|\langle 3|\rho|\mathbf{4}] \Big(\frac{P_{2}^{(2s-2)}}{m^{2}} - \langle \mathbf{12} \rangle [\mathbf{12}]P_{4}^{(2s-2)} + \frac{\langle 3|\rho|\mathbf{4}]}{\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|\mathbf{4}]}P_{4}^{(2s-1)}\Big) \\ &+ \frac{\langle \mathbf{13} \rangle^{2}\langle 3\mathbf{2} \rangle^{2} [\mathbf{14}]^{2} [\mathbf{42}]^{2}}{2m^{4s-4}}\langle \mathbf{12} \rangle [\mathbf{12}] \Big[(1+\eta)P_{5|\varsigma_{1}}^{(2s-2)} + (1-\eta)P_{5|\varsigma_{2}}^{(2s-2)}\Big] + \alpha C_{\alpha}^{(s)}, \end{split}$$

$$(2.7)$$

where the first-line expression is the AHH Compton amplitude [247], which is known to need a completion of contact terms to cancel out the spurious pole coming from the $\langle 3|1|4]$ denominator. The last equality gives the Compton amplitude found in ref. [277], which gives the completion, up to free contact terms controlled by the parameter α , and η controlls dissipative terms. The α and η were introduced as bookkeeping parameters in ref. [260].²

In eq. (2.7), the $P_n^{(k)}$ are complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials, which can be written as

$$P_n^{(k)} := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\varsigma_i^k}{\prod_{j \neq i}^n (\varsigma_i - \varsigma_j)} = \sum_{\sum l_i = k - n + 1} \varsigma_1^{l_1} \cdots \varsigma_n^{l_n}, \qquad (2.8)$$

with globally assigned spin-dependent variables

$$\begin{aligned}
\varsigma_1 &:= \langle \mathbf{1} | 4 | \mathbf{2}] + m[\mathbf{21}], & \varsigma_3 &:= m \langle \mathbf{21} \rangle, \\
\varsigma_2 &:= - \langle \mathbf{2} | 4 | \mathbf{1}] + m[\mathbf{21}], & \varsigma_4 &:= m[\mathbf{21}].
\end{aligned}$$
(2.9)

Polynomials with more than four variables are subject to the limit $P_{n|\varsigma_i}^{(k)} := \lim_{\varsigma_n \to \varsigma_i} P_n^{(k)}$. Finally, the ρ^{μ} -vector in eq. (2.7) is defined as $\rho^{\mu} := \frac{1}{2}(\langle \mathbf{2}|\sigma^{\mu}|\mathbf{1}] + \langle \mathbf{1}|\sigma^{\mu}|\mathbf{2}])$.

After taking the classical limit, the opposite-helicity Compton amplitude can be expressed in terms of four classical spin-dependent variables, abbreviated as

$$\begin{aligned} x &:= a \cdot q_{\perp}, \qquad & y &:= a \cdot q, \\ z &:= |a|v \cdot q_{\perp}, \qquad & w &:= \frac{\langle 3|a|4]}{\langle 3|v|4]}v \cdot q_{\perp}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

where the four-velocity of the black hole is identified with the first particle, $p_1^{\mu} = mv^{\mu}$, with $v^2 = 1$. In these variables, the classical opposite-helicity Compton amplitude is

$$M(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}) = -\frac{\langle 3|1|4|^{4}}{q^{2}(p_{1} \cdot q_{\perp})^{2}} \Big(e^{x} \cosh z - w \, e^{x} \operatorname{sinhc} z + \frac{w^{2} - z^{2}}{2}E + (w^{2} - z^{2})(x - w)\tilde{E}$$

²We work under the assumption $\alpha = 0, \eta = \pm 1$, although it is currently unclear which contact-term choice best describes the *tree-level* Compton amplitude beyond fourth order in spin [260, 262, 277].

$$-\frac{(w^2-z^2)^2}{2\xi}\left(\mathcal{E}+\eta\,\tilde{\mathcal{E}}\right)\right)+\alpha\,C_{\alpha}^{(\infty)},\tag{2.11}$$

where $\xi = (v \cdot q_{\perp})^2/q^2$ is the optical parameter, sinhc $z := z^{-1} \sinh z$ is an even function, and we make use of four entire functions

$$E(x, y, z) = \frac{e^y - e^x \cosh z + (x - y)e^x \sinh c z}{(x - y)^2 - z^2} + (y \to -y),$$

$$\tilde{E}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2y} \frac{e^y - e^x \cosh z + (x - y)e^x \sinh c z}{(x - y)^2 - z^2} + (y \to -y),$$

$$\mathcal{E}(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial x}, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial z}.$$
(2.12)

Note that if we had instead defined the velocity in terms of the second particle, $p_2^{\mu} = -mv^{\mu}$, the above classical formulae would be unchanged, since the minor difference in definition is automatically projected out in the variable definitions. The classical Compton amplitude matches the black-hole perturbation results of refs. [260, 302] for the choice $\alpha = 0$, and is compatible with ref. [262].

It is useful to consider the Compton amplitude on its massive pole, corresponding to $t_{14} = (p_1 + p_4)^2 - m_1^2 \rightarrow 0$. In this limit it factorises into two three-point amplitudes

$$M_{t_{14}=0}(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3, 4) = t_{14}M(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3, 4)\Big|_{t_{14=0}} = \sum_{\text{states}} M(1^{s}, p^{s}, 4)M(-p^{s}, 2^{s}, 3),$$

$$M_{t_{14}=0}(1^{s}, 2^{s}, 3^{-}, 4^{+}) = \frac{\left(\langle \mathbf{13}\rangle[4\mathbf{2}] + \langle \mathbf{23}\rangle[4\mathbf{1}]\right)^{2s}}{s_{12}t_{13}\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|4]^{2s-4}}\Big|_{t_{14=0}} = \frac{\langle 3|\mathbf{1}|4]^{4}\varsigma_{1}^{2s}}{m^{4s}s_{12}t_{13}}\Big|_{t_{14=0}}.$$
 (2.13)

The contact terms do not contribute and all information is captured by the AHH amplitude, given on the first line of eq. (2.7). In the classical limit the factorised amplitudes $M_{t_{14}=0}$ have the following compact forms,

$$M_{t_{14}=0}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},3^+,4^+) = -\frac{m^4[34]^4}{q^4}e^y, \quad M_{t_{14}=0}(\mathbf{1},\mathbf{2},3^-,4^+) = -\frac{\langle 3|1|4|^4}{q^4}e^x.$$
(2.14)

The amplitudes above are only valid for $t_{14} = 0$ which changes the classical scaling of the spin-dependent variables in eq. (2.10). The details are discussed in Appendix C of ref. [277] for the Compton amplitudes in the analogous $\sqrt{\text{Kerr}}$ gauge theory.

3 Classical 2-to-2 scattering for Kerr binary

We are interested in 2-to-2 scattering of two spinning black holes, which at L loop orders takes the general form

$$\mathcal{M}^{(L)}(1^{s_1}2^{s_2} \to 3^{s_2}4^{s_1}) = (-i)^L \left(\frac{\kappa^2}{4}\right)^{L+1} \int \frac{d^{DL}\ell}{(2\pi)^{DL}} \mathcal{I}^{s_1s_2}(p_1, p_2, q, \ell_i), \qquad (3.1)$$

where \mathcal{I} denotes the integrand, which depends on L independent loop momenta ℓ_i and the transfer momenta q^{μ} ; the measure is $d^{DL}\ell = \prod_{i=1}^{L} d^D\ell_i$. The external momenta satisfy

$$q^{\mu} = p_2 - p_3 = p_4 - p_1, \quad p_1^2 = p_4^2 = m_1^2, \quad p_2^2 = p_3^2 = m_2^2, \quad (p_1 + p_2)^2 = s = E^2.$$
 (3.2)

For classical scattering we will assume that the loop and exchange momenta exhibit soft scaling $\ell^{\mu} \sim q^{\mu} \sim \hbar$, and that the quantum spins s_1 and s_2 are approaching infinity, so that classical ring-radius vectors $a_1^{\mu} = S_1^{\mu}/m_1$ and $a_2^{\mu} = S_2^{\mu}/m_2$ are the appropriate variables,

$$\mathcal{I}^{s_1 s_2}(p_1, p_2, q, \ell_i) \xrightarrow{\hbar \to 0} \mathcal{I}(p_1, p_2, q, \ell_i, a_1, a_2), \qquad (3.3)$$

The formulae that relate quantum and classical spin are analogous to eq. (2.4), and we will not need the details. We take the ring-radius vectors to satisfy

$$a_i \cdot p_i = 0, \quad a_i^{\mu} \sim \frac{1}{\hbar}, \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$
 (3.4)

which implies that each spin multipole needs to be accompanied with corresponding loop or transfer momenta such that the products, $a^{\mu}q^{\nu} \sim a^{\mu}\ell^{\nu} \sim 1$, are invariant under scaling.

Let us illustrate the above considerations with the warm-up case of tree-level 2-to-2 scattering. For L = 0 there is no integration, and the relevant contribution to the amplitude is obtained by sewing together two three-point amplitudes using a BCFW-shifted graviton momentum:

$$q^{\mu} \to q^{\mu}_{\text{null}} = q^{\mu} + i e^{\mu}_{\perp}, \qquad e^{\mu}_{\perp} := \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_{1\nu} p_{2\rho} q_{\sigma}}{m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}.$$
 (3.5)

The factorization pole contribution is then given by the sum over graviton helicites

$$\sum_{\pm} \frac{M(1^{s_1} 4^{s_1} q_{\text{null}}^{\pm}) M(-q_{\text{null}}^{\mp} 2^{s_2} 3^{s_2})}{q^2} = \frac{m_1^{2-2s_1} m_2^{2-2s_2}}{q^2} \Big([\mathbf{41}]^{2s_1} \langle \mathbf{32} \rangle^{2s_2} e^{2\zeta} + \langle \mathbf{41} \rangle^{2s_1} [\mathbf{32}]^{2s_2} e^{-2\zeta} \Big),$$
(3.6)

where ζ is the relative rapidity³ of the black holes, related to the kinematics through

$$\sigma := \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2} = \cosh \zeta , \qquad \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} = \sinh \zeta , \qquad (3.7)$$

and σ is the relative Lorentz factor.

However, the factorization has two independent kinematic branches $q_{\text{null}}^{\mu} = q^{\mu} \pm i e_{\perp}^{\mu}$, and the above formula eq. (3.6) only holds on the positive branch. The negative branch is given by a similar formula except that square and angle brackets are interchanged. To smoothly connect the two branches, we instead use the following quantum higher-spin amplitude

$$M^{(0)}(1^{s_1}2^{s_2} \to 3^{s_2}4^{s_1}) = \frac{m_1^{2-4s_1}m_2^{2-4s_2}}{q^2} \sum_{\pm} \left(p_1 \cdot \rho_1 \pm \frac{1}{2}i\bar{\rho}_1 \cdot e_{\perp}\right)^{2s_1} \left(p_2 \cdot \rho_2 \pm \frac{1}{2}i\bar{\rho}_2 \cdot e_{\perp}\right)^{2s_2} e^{\pm 2\zeta} + \mathcal{O}(q^0),$$
(3.8)

where the sum is again over the graviton helicities, but the chiralites of the spinors are now uncommitted, due to the use of the spin-dependent vectors

$$\rho_1^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{4} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{1}] + \langle \mathbf{1} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{4}]) \,, \qquad \bar{\rho}_1^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{4} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{1}] - \langle \mathbf{1} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{4}]) \,,$$

³For simplicity, we assume that the rapidity is positive, *i.e.* $\zeta = |\zeta_2 - \zeta_1|$ in terms of the individual black-hole rapidities.

$$\rho_2^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{3} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{2}] + \langle \mathbf{2} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{3}]), \quad \bar{\rho}_2^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle \mathbf{3} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{2}] - \langle \mathbf{2} | \sigma^{\mu} | \mathbf{3}]), \quad (3.9)$$

introduced in ref. [276]. The amplitude (3.8) has the same massless pole as eq. (3.6) on the positive branch, and it also has the correct negative branch.

The classical limit converts the spinor powers into exponentials of the ring-radius vectors. Specifically for the above spin vectors, we have the following classical map [276],

$$\rho_i^{\mu} \to p_i^{\mu}, \qquad \frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}_i^{\mu} \to -\bar{a}_i^{\mu} m_i^2, \qquad (3.10)$$

where \bar{a}_i are the the ring-radius vectors in the spin-1/2 representation. After converting to spin- s_i representations, and taking the $s_i \to \infty$ limit, the powers become exponentials and the classical 2-to-2 tree-level result is [151, 249, 250]

$$M^{(0)}(12 \to 34) = \frac{m_1^2 m_2^2}{q^2} \left(e^{ia \cdot e_\perp} e^{-2\zeta} + e^{-ia \cdot e_\perp} e^{2\zeta} \right) = \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^2}{q^2} \cosh(2\zeta - ia \cdot e_\perp) \,, \quad (3.11)$$

where the ring-radus vectors nicely combine in $a^{\mu} = a_1^{\mu} + a_2^{\mu}$. This result could of course have been obtained directly from sewing the classical three-point amplitude (2.3), and hence the quantum expression eq. (3.8) was not needed. We expect this to be valid more generally: the classical Compton amplitude should be sufficient input for the classical oneloop 2-to-2 process, and related observables. Nevertheless, it can be useful to revert back to the quantum expressions when there are doubts about the classical framework.

3.1 One-loop integrals for 2-to-2 scattering

In general, we are interested in the full classical information that is contained in the loop integrand, but we are free to ignore terms that can only contribute as quantum corrections. This means that we write the one-loop integrand as a sum over two scalar boxes, two scalar triangles, and a linear-in- ℓ vector box,

$$\mathcal{I} = \left(c_{\Box} \mathcal{I}_{\Box} + c_{\Xi} \mathcal{I}_{\Xi} + c_{\triangle} \mathcal{I}_{\triangle} + c_{\nabla} \mathcal{I}_{\nabla} \right) + \tilde{c}_{\Box} \mathcal{I}_{\Box} [\ell \cdot e_{\perp}] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \,. \tag{3.12}$$

The other master integrals that we ignore (such as bubbles or tadpoles) either encode quantum contributions, or vanish after integration. We keep the vector box, as it can potentially generate classical contributions, once we perform certain operations on the integrand, needed for computing classical expectation values such as the impulse.

It is well established that there is a convenient re-parametrization of the external and internal momenta so to expose more symmetry, namely the one-loop process can be parametrized as shown in fig. 1.

The external momenta has been shifted $p_i \rightarrow p_i \pm q/2$, and the new p_i are sometimes known in the literature as *averaged* or *bared* momenta. In the classical limit the shift is insignificant, and it should not matter. Indeed, if we consistently work with well-behaved quantities to leading order in the \hbar expansion, then the distinction between external and averaged momenta can be suppressed. Nevertheless, since the master-integral decompositon (3.12) uses quantum integrals as intermediate steps, we will in the current section be careful with the definitions.



Figure 1. A convenient parametrization of the one-loop amplitude is obtained by shifting the external momenta by $\pm q/2$, and using a loop momenta that is the average of the two internal lines. The external shift will not matter in the classical limit.

The averaged momenta p_1, p_2 satisfy the on-shell conditions

$$p_i^2 = m_i^2 - \frac{q^2}{4} = m_i^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2), \qquad (3.13)$$

and the last equality serves as a reminder that in the remaining part of this paper we are fully justified to ignore the correction term. The external on-shell conditions furthermore imposes two transversality conditions

$$p_i \cdot q = 0. \tag{3.14}$$

For later convenience, we introduce the velocities v_1^{μ}, v_2^{μ} , a vector e_{\perp}^{μ} transverse to the scattering plane, the impact parameter b^{μ} and angular momentum $L^{\mu} = \frac{m_1 m_2}{E} \hat{L}^{\mu}$,

$$v_{i}^{\mu} := \frac{p_{i}^{\mu}}{m_{i}}, \qquad e_{\perp}^{\mu} := \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}v_{1\nu}v_{2\rho}q_{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} = (\star q)^{\mu},$$
$$\hat{b}^{\mu} := \frac{b^{\mu}}{|b|}, \qquad \hat{L}^{\mu} := \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}v_{1\nu}v_{2\rho}\hat{b}_{\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-1}} = (\star\hat{b})^{\mu}, \qquad (3.15)$$

where $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the anti-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor with convention $\epsilon^{0123} = 1$, and we have introduced a convenient Hodge-star notation for the dualization of vectors in the transverse two-dimensional space

$$(\star)^{\mu}{}_{\nu} := \frac{\epsilon^{\mu\rho\sigma}{}_{\nu}v_{1\rho}v_{2\sigma}}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \,. \tag{3.16}$$

To be clear, some normalization properties of these vectors are

$$v_i^2 = 1, \qquad e_{\perp}^2 = q^2 = -|q|^2, \qquad \hat{b}^2 = \hat{L}^2 = -1, \qquad b \cdot v_i = 0, \qquad (3.17)$$

and recall that square-root factor is related to the rapidity $\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} = \sinh \zeta$, which we will often use whenever it is convenient. As is well known, in the classical scattering problem q^{μ} is not an observable momenta, instead it has to be traded via a Fourier transform for the impact parameter b^{μ} , which measures the separation between the trajectories. The decomposition in (3.12) requires us to compute box, crossed box and triangle integrals. The full quantum integrals with possible numerators $N(\ell)$ are defined as

$$\begin{split} I^{\rm qu}_{\Delta}[N(\ell)] &= \int \frac{d^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D 2^{D-4}} \frac{N(\ell)}{(\ell+q)^2 (\ell-q)^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (\ell^2 - q^2) - p_2 \cdot \ell + i0\right)} \,, \\ I^{\rm qu}_{\nabla}[N(\ell)] &= \int \frac{d^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D 2^{D-4}} \frac{N(\ell)}{(\ell+q)^2 (\ell-q)^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (\ell^2 - q^2) + p_1 \cdot \ell + i0\right)} \,, \end{split}$$
(3.18)
$$I^{\rm qu}_{\Box}[N(\ell)] &= \int \frac{d^D \ell}{(2\pi)^D 2^{D-4}} \frac{N(\ell)}{(\ell+q)^2 (\ell-q)^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} (\ell^2 - q^2) - p_2 \cdot \ell + i0\right) \left(\frac{1}{4} (\ell^2 - q^2) + p_1 \cdot \ell + i0\right)} \,, \end{split}$$

$$I_{\Xi}^{qu}[N(\ell)] = \int \frac{d^{-\ell}}{(2\pi)^{D} 2^{D-4}} \frac{N(\ell)}{(\ell+q)^{2}(\ell-q)^{2} (\frac{1}{4}(\ell^{2}-q^{2})+p_{2}\cdot\ell+i0) (\frac{1}{4}(\ell^{2}-q^{2})+p_{1}\cdot\ell+i0)}$$

For the amplitude, we can reduce all integrals to scalar masters, with numerators $N(\ell) = 1$. Keeping only the leading \hbar order and using dimensional regularisation with $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$, the classical integrals evaluate to [158, 160]

$$I_{\Delta}[1] = -\frac{i}{32m_2|q|}, \quad I_{\nabla}[1] = -\frac{i}{32m_1|q|},$$

$$I_{\Box}[1] = \frac{i(-\zeta + i\pi)}{16\pi^2 q^2 m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log(-q^2)\right],$$

$$I_{\Xi}[1] = \frac{i\zeta}{16\pi^2 q^2 m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log(-q^2)\right],$$
(3.19)

where the rapidity appears as $\zeta = \log(\sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}) = -\log(\sigma - \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1})$. Note that we will sometimes omit the unit numerator insertion I[1] when convenient, hence the absence of a numerator implies a scalar integral.

The box with numerator insertion $N(\ell) = \ell \cdot e_{\perp}$ and $N(\ell) = (\ell \cdot e_{\perp})^2$ re-appears when computing expectation values for observables, such as impulse. We have thus included such terms in the decomposition (3.12). Let us give the relevant classical integral identities

$$I_{\Box}[\ell \cdot e_{\perp}] = 0,$$

$$I_{\Box}[(\ell \cdot e_{\perp})^2] = -q^4 I_{\Box}.$$
(3.20)

where the latter one follows from an algebraic identity valid on the quadruple cut.

Let us also consider the tensor triangle integrals, which can all be reduced to scalar ones. Any numerator involving powers of $(\ell \cdot e_{\perp})$ either vanish upon integration (odd power) or is algebraically reducible (even power) on the triple cut. The remaining tensors have the following classical integral identities:

$$I_{\triangle}[(\ell \cdot v_1)^{2n}] = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{2}q^2(\sigma^2 - 1)\right)^n I_{\triangle}[1], \qquad (3.21)$$

and

$$I_{\nabla}[(\ell \cdot v_2)^{2n}] = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{2}q^2(\sigma^2 - 1)\right)^n I_{\nabla}[1], \qquad (3.22)$$

and for odd powers of $\ell \cdot v_i$ the triangles vanish. The above formulae automatically vanish for negative n, as they should.

Finally, we need the box integral with a cut in the s-channel. We may evaluate using the relation between cuts and imaginary part giving

$$\operatorname{Cut}[I_{\Box}] = 2\operatorname{Im}[(-i)I_{\Box}] = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{8\pi m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{1}{(-q^2)^{1+\epsilon}} + O(\epsilon)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{8\pi q^2 m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \log(-q^2)\right] + O(\epsilon), \qquad (3.23)$$

where the expression on the first line, which keeps the full ϵ -dependence of q^2 , is useful when performing the Fourier transform needed for observables in impact parameter space.

3.2 The classical one-loop triple cut

Having identified the master integrals that contribute to the classical physics, we now compute the relevant classical coefficients $\{c_{\Box}, c_{\Xi}, c_{\triangle}, c_{\nabla}, \tilde{c}_{\Box}\}$. All coefficients can be extracted from the triple-cut of the one-loop amplitude, displayed in fig. 2.



Figure 2. The triple-cut of the one-loop amplitude is constructed by sewing two Compton amplitudes and imposing the following triple-cut conditions $\ell \cdot q = 0$, $2\ell \cdot p_2 = -q^2 = \ell^2$. The external and internal momenta are parameterised according to the prescription given in Figure 1. In particular, the cut internal lines are parameterized as $\ell_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(\ell - q)$ and $\ell_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\ell + q)$.

From now on we will work in the strict classical limit where we assume a scaling $q \sim \hbar$, $\ell \sim \hbar$ and $a_i \sim 1/\hbar$, such that the combined on-shell and cut-constraints are

$$p_i \cdot q = 0, \qquad p_i^2 = m_i^2, \qquad (i = 1, 2)$$

$$p_2 \cdot \ell = \ell \cdot q = 0, \qquad \ell^2 = -q^2. \qquad (3.24)$$

Since the constraints have uniform scaling, they can be imposed on the classical Compton amplitudes discussed in section 2, without ambiguities or undoing of the the classical limit. To make things simple, we have also aligned the tree-level notation and the one-loop cut notation, such that identifications are straightforward: for black hole 1 we have $q \to q$, $q_{\perp} \to \ell$, and for black hole 2 we have $q \to -q$, $q_{\perp} \to \ell$.

Given that black hole 1 attaches to the Compton tree amplitude, we can conveniently recycle the notation from the the all-orders-in-spin classical Compton amplitudes in eqs. (2.11) and (2.6). Thus we make use of the following shorthand notation for common

variables depending on a_1 :

$$x = \ell \cdot a_1, \quad y = q \cdot a_1, \quad z = |a_1| \ell \cdot v_1.$$
 (3.25)

It is convenient to decompose the entire functions in eq. (2.12) into five parts $f_i = f_i(x, y, z)$ that multiply the allowed five powers of the w variable,

$$f_{0} = e^{x} \cosh z - \frac{1}{2}z^{2}E - xz^{2}\tilde{E} - z^{4}(\mathcal{E} - \eta\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\frac{q^{2}}{2(\ell \cdot v_{1})^{2}},$$

$$f_{1} = z^{2}\tilde{E} - e^{x} \operatorname{sinhc} z,$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{1}{2}E + \tilde{E}x + z^{2}(\mathcal{E} - \eta\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\frac{q^{2}}{(\ell \cdot v_{1})^{2}},$$

$$f_{3} = -\tilde{E},$$

$$f_{4} = -(\mathcal{E} - \eta\tilde{\mathcal{E}})\frac{q^{2}}{2(\ell \cdot v_{1})^{2}},$$
(3.26)

where the x and z now contain loop momentum.

Since the black hole 2 attaches to cubic vertices with intermediate on-shell massive state, we can make use the compact expression given in eq. (2.14), now with a_2 inserted into the exponentials. This makes sure that the triple-cut integrand can be constructed without sewing the states of the massive lines, since that would be ambiguous for classical states.

After sewing only massless graviton states, the triple-cut integrand thus has the following four helicity contributions from $(\ell_1^{\mp}, \ell_2^{\pm})$,

$$\begin{split} C^{-+}(\ell) &= \frac{e^{\ell \cdot a_2}}{q^6 (\ell \cdot p_1)^2} \sum_{n=0}^4 f_n (\ell \cdot p_1)^n \langle \ell_1 | p_1 | \ell_2]^{4-n} \langle \ell_1 | a_1 | \ell_2]^n \langle \ell_2 | p_2 | \ell_1]^4 \\ &= \frac{m_1^2 m_2^4 e^{\ell \cdot a_2}}{q^6 (\ell \cdot v_1)^2} \sum_{n=0}^4 f_n \left(\ell \cdot v_1 \right)^n \left(q^2 \sigma + i \ell \cdot e_\perp \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} \right)^{4-n} \left(q^2 a_1 \cdot v_2 + i \epsilon (\ell, a_1, v_2, q) \right)^n, \\ C^{+-}(\ell) &= C^{-+}(-\ell) , \end{split}$$
(3.27)
$$C^{++}(\ell) &= \frac{m_1^2 m_2^4 q^2}{(\ell \cdot v_1)^2} e^{q \cdot (a_1 + a_2)}, \\ C^{--}(\ell) &= C^{++}(\ell) \Big|_{q \to -q}, \end{split}$$

and the total cut integrand is

$$C(\ell) = C^{++} + C^{--} + C^{+-} + C^{-+}, \qquad (3.28)$$

which is an even function of ℓ .

Using the fact that we have a basis of four vectors $\{v_1, v_2, q, e\}$, we can rewrite the Lorentz invariants involving the loop momenta and spin as

$$\ell \cdot a_1 = \frac{a_1 \cdot e_\perp e_\perp \cdot \ell}{q^2} + \sigma \, \frac{a_1 \cdot v_2 \, \ell \cdot v_1}{\sigma^2 - 1},$$

$$\ell \cdot a_2 = \frac{a_2 \cdot e_\perp \cdot \ell}{q^2} - \frac{a_2 \cdot v_1 \ell \cdot v_1}{\sigma^2 - 1},$$

$$\epsilon(\ell, a_1, v_2, q) = \frac{\sigma a_1 \cdot v_2 e_\perp \cdot \ell + a_1 \cdot e_\perp \ell \cdot v_1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}},$$
(3.29)

where now the only independent invariants are $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$ and $\ell \cdot v_1$.

The four contributions to the triple-cut integrand $C^{\pm\pm}$ are thus functions of two Lorentz invariants depending on the loop momentum

$$C^{\pm\pm} = C^{\pm\pm}(\ell \cdot e_{\perp}, \ell \cdot v_1). \tag{3.30}$$

However, we can use the Levi-Civita identity for the even powers of the perpendicular vector

$$(\ell \cdot e_{\perp})^2 = -q^4 + \frac{q^2 (\ell \cdot v_1)^2}{\sigma^2 - 1}$$
(3.31)

and the odd powers of $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$ will integrate to zero by Lorentz invariance. That said, it is important to keep the odd powers in the box integral, since they will contribute classically if the integrand is multiplied by some parity-odd function. We now consider the box coefficients.

3.3 Extracting all-orders box integral coefficients

As is clear from eq. (3.27), the triple-cut integrand has both a double and simple pole at the location $\ell \cdot v_1 = 0$. One can show that the double-pole residue corresponds to the scalar box integral, and the simple pole contributes to the vector box integral. Thus we obtain the box coefficients as the residues

$$c_{\Box}^{\pm\pm}[1] := \lim_{\ell \cdot v_1 \to 0} m_1^2 \frac{(\ell \cdot v_1)^2}{q^2} C^{\pm\pm}(\ell \cdot e_{\bot}, \ell \cdot v_1)$$

$$c_{\Box}^{\pm\pm}[\ell \cdot e_{\bot}] := \lim_{\ell \cdot v_1 \to 0} m_1 \frac{\ell \cdot v_1}{\ell \cdot e_{\bot}} \frac{1}{4} \Big[C^{\pm\pm}(\ell \cdot e_{\bot}, \ell \cdot v_1) - C^{\pm\pm}(\ell \cdot e_{\bot}, -\ell \cdot v_1) \Big] - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) . \quad (3.32)$$

On the first line, the multiplication of m_1^2/q^2 gives the standard normalization for the scalar box, and on the second line the prefactor likewise gives the standard normalization for the vector box, as set by eqs. (3.18) and (3.20). Whilst the triple-cut integrand is not symmetric under swapping particle numbers $1 \leftrightarrow 2$, the residue of the double-pole automatically respects the symmetry, and the vector box coefficient needs to be anti-symmetrized by hand, since $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$ is odd. Furthermore, the difference of the two terms in the bracket is introduced to explicitly project out the double pole.

Let us now work out the box coefficients from the different helicity contributions of the triple cut integrand. As can be seen, the equal-helicity contributions give only boxes,

$$c_{\Box}^{++}[1] := m_1^2 \frac{(\ell \cdot v_1)^2}{q^2} C^{++} = m_1^4 m_2^4 e^{q \cdot (a_2 + a_1)}, \qquad (3.33)$$

and the opposite-helicity scalar box coefficient is also easy to extract, giving

$$c_{\Box}^{-+}[1] := m_1^2 \frac{(\ell \cdot v_1)^2}{q^2} C^{-+} \Big|_{\ell \cdot v_1 \to 0} = \frac{m_1^4 m_2^4 e^{a \cdot \ell}}{q^8} \Big(q^2 \sigma + i\ell \cdot e_{\perp} \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} \Big)^4 \Big|_{\ell \cdot v_1 \to 0}$$

$$= m_1^4 m_2^4 e^{-ia \cdot e_\perp} \left(\sigma + \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} \right)^4 = m_1^4 m_2^4 e^{-ia \cdot e_\perp + 4\zeta} , \qquad (3.34)$$

where $a = a_1 + a_2$, and we made use of the identities $a \cdot \ell = a \cdot e_{\perp} e_{\perp} \cdot \ell/q^2$ and $e_{\perp} \cdot \ell = -iq^2$, valid on the quadruple cut $\ell \cdot v_1 = 0$. When summing over the two opposite-helicity boxes, we simply sum over the sign of the square root, giving

$$c_{\Box}^{-+} + c_{\Box}^{+-} = 2m_1^4 m_2^4 \Big\{ (1 - 8\sigma^2 + 8\sigma^4) \cos(a \cdot e_{\perp}) - 4i\sigma\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}(2\sigma^2 - 1)\sin(a \cdot e_{\perp}) \Big\}$$

= $2m_1^4 m_2^4 \cosh(4\zeta - ia \cdot e_{\perp}) \,.$ (3.35)

To sum the same-helicity boxes, we sum over $q \to -q$, giving

$$c_{\Box}^{++} + c_{\Box}^{--} = 2m_1^4 m_2^4 \cosh q \cdot a \,. \tag{3.36}$$

This expression matches the lower order results in ref. [158]. It is often implied that the same-helicity box coefficient are irrelevant for classical physics, however, as we demonstrate in later sections they give tangible contributions to the parallel classical impulse.

Next we focus on the reduction of the odd terms in $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$, which gives the vector box coefficients. Whereas $c_{\Box}^{++}[\ell \cdot e_{\perp}] = c_{\Box}^{--}[\ell \cdot e_{\perp}] = 0$ follow trivially from the cut, the opposite-helicity case requires some work. Using the residue formula (3.32), with the intermediate steps suppressed, we similarly obtain a closed expression to all orders in spin,

$$(c_{\Box}^{-+} + c_{\Box}^{+-})[\ell \cdot e_{\bot}] = im_{1}^{3}m_{2}^{4} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2} - 1}} \left((4\sigma^{2} - 1)a_{1} \cdot v_{2} + 4\sigma(1 - 2\sigma^{2})a_{2} \cdot v_{1} \right) \cos(a \cdot e_{\bot}) + \frac{i}{\sigma^{2} - 1} \left(\sigma(3 - 4\sigma^{2})a_{1} \cdot v_{2} + (1 - 8\sigma^{2} + 8\sigma^{4})a_{2} \cdot v_{1} \right) \sin(a \cdot e_{\bot}) \right\} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2).$$

$$(3.37)$$

Note that we explicitly impose the anti-symmetrization over legs 1 and 2 by hand otherwise contributions are missed, since they belong to the horizontally flipped triple-cut of fig. 2. The anti-symmetrisation corresponds to flipping $\{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2, a_1 \cdot v_2 \leftrightarrow a_2 \cdot v_1\}$ in the above equation. Note that, in our conventions, e_{\perp} is even under the flip and the oddness of $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$ originates from ℓ . Finally, as noted above for the scalar box, re-writing eq. (3.37) using rapidity generates a much more compact formula

$$(c_{\Box}^{-+} + c_{\Box}^{+-})[\ell \cdot e_{\bot}] = i \frac{m_1^3 m_2^3}{\sinh^2 \zeta} \Big((m_2 a_2 \cdot v_1 - m_1 a_1 \cdot v_2) \sinh(4\zeta - ia \cdot e_{\bot}) - (m_2 a_1 \cdot v_2 - m_1 a_2 \cdot v_1) \sinh(3\zeta - ia \cdot e_{\bot}) \Big).$$
(3.38)

As we will see below, this formula also gives rise to a very simple all-orders-in-spin result for the classical parallel impulse.

3.4 Extracting all-orders scalar triangle coefficients

We now apply the tensor reduction to the positive powers of the loop momentum of the triple-cut integrand. This is considerably more complicated than extracting box coefficients, so we need to introduce some mathematical tools before getting to the results.

We first note that we can use some more convenient variables. Rather than tensor numerators built using powers of $\ell \cdot v_1$ and $e_{\perp} \cdot \ell$, we will use the following two algebraically independent factors

$$r := \frac{\ell \cdot v_1}{|q| \sinh \zeta}, \qquad \sqrt{1 + r^2} = \frac{ie_\perp \cdot \ell}{q^2}, \qquad (3.39)$$

which are both dimensionless. The triple-cut integrand is now a function

$$C(\ell \cdot e_{\perp}, \ell \cdot v_1) = \widetilde{C}(r, \sqrt{1+r^2}).$$
(3.40)

It can be expanded as a double series

$$\widetilde{C}(r,\sqrt{1+r^2}) = \sum_{n=-2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{C}_{nk} r^n \sqrt{1+r^2}^k, \qquad (3.41)$$

which can be tensor-reduced to a scalar triangle coefficient using eq. (3.21). We note that all odd powers in n and k integrate to zero, and the even powers satisfy the reduction formula

$$I_{\triangle} \left[r^{2n} \sqrt{1+r^2}^{2k} \right] \quad \to \quad (-1)^n \frac{(2n-1)!!(2k-1)!!}{(2n+2k)!!} I_{\triangle}[1], \qquad (k \ge 0)$$
(3.42)

which is remarkably symmetric in n and k. For k = 0 we recover eq. (3.21), and the $k \neq 0$ cases are simple to derive from eq. (3.21). We will not need k < 0, but for completeness, the reduction of such negative powers are set to zero by hand.

Now let us apply this new reduction formula to a toy example, we consider an exponential function in the triple cut integrand

$$\widetilde{C}(r,\sqrt{1+r^2}) = e^{x_1 r + x_2 i\sqrt{1+r^2}},$$
(3.43)

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ are some dummy variables (we use notation suggestive of 2D Cartesian coordinates). The scalar triangle coefficient of the toy example is then a functional transform of the exponential using the above integration rule, giving

$$c_{\triangle} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+k} \frac{(2n-1)!!(2k-1)!!}{(2n+2k)!!} \frac{x_1^{2n}}{(2n)!} \frac{x_2^{2k}}{(2k)!} = J_0(|\mathbf{x}|), \qquad (3.44)$$

where $|\mathbf{x}| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}$. Namely, the transformed function is the zeroth order Bessel function of the first kind,

$$J_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{2}\right)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{n!k!(n+k)!} \left(\frac{x_1}{2}\right)^{2n} \left(\frac{x_2}{2}\right)^{2k}.$$
 (3.45)

It turns out that several terms in Kerr triple-cut integrand are exponentials of the above form, however, with rational prefactors that depend on r and $\sqrt{1+r^2}$. These prefactors can be considered to be operators that acts on the exponentials, hence after transforming the the integrand we expect the full triangle coefficient to be expressible as operators acting on Bessel functions,

$$r \times J_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{x_1 J_1(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|},$$

$$i\sqrt{1+r^2} \times J_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \frac{\partial J_0}{\partial x_2} = -\frac{x_2 J_1(|\mathbf{x}|)}{|\mathbf{x}|},$$
(3.46)

Thus, for the repeated derivatives, it is useful to introduce the nth order Bessel functions of the first kind

$$J_n(|\mathbf{x}|) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(k+n)!} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{2}\right)^{2k+n},$$
(3.47)

which satisfy $J_{-n} = (-1)^n J_n$. And for the integration operators

$$\frac{1}{r} \times J_0(|\mathbf{x}|) := \int dx_1 J_0, \qquad (3.48)$$

we define a family of new "Bessel-like" functions of two variables

$$J_{n,k}(x_1, x_2) := \sum_{l=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{l+m} \frac{(2l-1)!!(2m-1)!!}{(2l+2m)!!} \frac{x_1^{2l-n}}{(2l-n)!} \frac{x_2^{2m-k}}{(2m-k)!}, \qquad (3.49)$$

such that $J_{0,0}(x_1, x_2) = J_0(|\mathbf{x}|), \ J_{n,k}(x_1, x_2) = \partial_{x_1}^n \partial_{x_2}^k J_0(|\mathbf{x}|)$, and indefinite integration now corresponds to taking anti-derivatives

$$\int dx_1 J_0 = J_{-1,0}(x_1, x_2),$$

$$\int dx_1 \int dx_1 J_0 = J_{-2,0}(x_1, x_2).$$
(3.50)

We will not need more than two integrations of the x_1 variable, since we have at most a double pole in r in the triple-cut integrand. Note this definition of $J_{-2,0}$ requires that we are careful about the integration constants, hence we use the l = -1 lower bound of eq. (3.49). (All integration constants are correct if the summations are extended over all integers, positive and negative, but in practice we only need the l = -1 case.)

Next, we need to deal with the fact that the entire functions (2.12) that we use have denominator factors. In particular, the first such expression is the entire function that makes up half of E(x, y, z). Let us denote it by

$$\Omega(x, y, z) := \frac{e^y - e^x \cosh z + (x - y)e^x \sinh c z}{(x - y)^2 - z^2}, \qquad (3.51)$$

and then $E(x, y, z) = \Omega(x, y, z) + \Omega(x, -y, z)$, and $\tilde{E}(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2y}\Omega(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{2y}\Omega(x, -y, z)$. We note that it has the simple series expansion

$$\Omega(x,y,z) = -\frac{e^y}{2z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-y-z)^n - (x-y+z)^n}{(n+1)!},$$
(3.52)

which removes the complicated denominator. The z in the denominator is harmless, as it is again equivalent to dividing with the r variable, which can be implemented by integration.

We can further simplify the sum as

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x-y-z)^n}{(n+1)!} = \frac{e^{x-y-z}-1}{x-y-z} = \int_0^1 dt \, e^{t(x-y-z)} \,, \tag{3.53}$$

which exposes that it is equivalent to an unit-interval integral of an exponential function. Next, recall that the $(x \pm y \pm z)$ factors are linear functions the rescaled loop-momentum variables r and $\sqrt{1+r^2}$, as

$$\begin{split} x &\to -i\sqrt{1+r^2}a_1 \cdot e_{\perp} + r\sigma a_1 \cdot v_2 |q| \,, \\ \ell \cdot a_2 &\to -i\sqrt{1+r^2}a_2 \cdot e_{\perp} - ra_2 \cdot v_1 |q| \,, \\ y &\to a_1 \cdot q \,, \\ z &\to |a_1||q|r \sinh \zeta \,, \end{split}$$

where we also included the $\ell \cdot a_2$ factor that always appear inside an overall exponential function in eq. (3.27).

Thus we are ready to study a toy example that captures the more intricate behavior of the contact-terms of the triple cut. The toy integrand has the form

$$\widetilde{C}(r,\sqrt{1+r^2}) = e^{x_1r + x_2i\sqrt{1+r^2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y_1r + y_2i\sqrt{1+r^2} + y)^n}{(n+1)!} = \int_0^1 dt \, e^{(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}t)\cdot(r,i\sqrt{1+r^2})+yt} \,,$$
(3.54)

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ are 2-vector dummy variables. In the last equality we applied an integration over the unit interval to recover an exponential form.

After integrating out the loop momenta r using the tensor reduction formula (3.42), the function is transformed to the following triangle coefficient:

$$c_{\Delta} = \int_{0}^{1} dt \, J_{0} \left(|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}t| \right) e^{yt} \\ = \sum_{n,k,l,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+l+k+1)(n+i+k)!} \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}|}{2}\right)^{2n}}{n!} \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{y}|}{2}\right)^{2j}}{j!} \frac{\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}\cdot\mathbf{y}}{2}\right)^{k}}{k!} \frac{y^{l}}{l!} =: K_{0}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},y), \quad (3.55)$$

where we defined it to be a new function in our arsenal. The function K_0 satisfies the following differential equation with a Bessel source:

$$(y_1\partial_{x_1} + y_2\partial_{x_2} + y)K_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) = J_0(|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|)e^y - J_0(|\mathbf{x}|), \qquad (3.56)$$

where the operator prefactor cancels out the first denominator in the summand of eq. (3.55), and it is equivalent to the denominator factor in eq. (3.53).

Furthermore, we are interested in derivatives and integrations in the two dummy variables x_1, x_2 , since as before this will be equivalent to multiplying/dividing with r and $\sqrt{1+r^2}$ before transforming the triple-cut integrand. The derivatives can be carried out via the chain rule, but the integrations require that we introduce a new family of functions

$$K_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) := \sum_{m, i_1, i_2, j_1, j_2, l=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+i_1+i_2+j_1+j_2}(2i_1-1)!!(2i_2-1)!!(2i_1+1)_{j_1}(2i_2+1)_{j_2}}{2^{i_1+i_2}(2m+j_1+j_2+l+1)(m+i_1+i_2+j_1+j_2)!} \times \frac{x_1^{2i_1+j_1-n}}{(2i_1+j_1-n)!} \frac{x_2^{2i_2+j_2-k}}{(2i_2+j_2-k)!} \frac{\left(\frac{|\mathbf{y}|}{2}\right)^{2m}}{m!} \frac{\left(\frac{y_1}{2}\right)^{j_1}}{j_1!} \frac{\left(\frac{y_2}{2}\right)^{j_2}}{j_2!} \frac{y_l}{l!} \quad (3.57)$$

where we used the Pochhammer symbol $(n)_k$ or rising factorial. The new functions satisfy $K_{0,0} = K_0$ and $K_{n,k} = \partial_{x_1}^n \partial_{x_2}^k K_0$, where as before negative powers corresponds to integration. Again, will need at most two integrations in the variable x_1 .

Similar to the K_0 function, the full family obey a corresponding differential equation with Bessel-like source,

$$(y_1\partial_{x_1} + y_2\partial_{x_2} + y)K_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) = J_{n,k}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})e^y - J_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}), \qquad (3.58)$$

or, equivalently, in terms of shifted indices

$$y_1 K_{n+1,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) + y_2 K_{n,k+1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) + y K_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) = J_{n,k}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y})e^y - J_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}).$$
(3.59)

It also follows that $K_{n,k}$ is an integral of $J_{n,k}$ over the unit interval

$$K_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, y) = \int_0^1 dt \, J_{n,k}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}t) e^{yt} = \partial_{x_1}^n \partial_{x_2}^k \int_0^1 dt \, J_0(|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}t|) e^{yt}, \qquad (3.60)$$

and thus all are related to the Bessel J_0 . While we have defined $K_{n,k}$ to all orders (in spin multipoles) in eq. (3.57), in practice it is sometimes easier to use eq. (3.60) by series expanding $J_0(|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}t|)e^{yt}$ to the needed multipole order, after which the *t*-monomials can be trivially integrated, $\int_0^1 dt t^n = 1/(n+1)$.

All-orders triangle coefficients

We can now work out the triangle coefficients coming from the first term in the all-orders Compton amplitude, namely the $e^x \cosh z$ term in eq. (2.11) (also in eq. (3.26)). This term is of exponential form, thus we expect the Bessel-like functions $J_{n,k}$ of two variables to appear. Indeed, the all-orders triangle coefficient is

$$c_{\Delta,1} = \frac{m_1^2 m_2^4 \sigma^4}{\sinh^2 \zeta} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \,\partial_2 \right)^4 \left(J_{-2,0}(\tau_+,\varepsilon) + J_{-2,0}(\tau_-,\varepsilon) \right), \tag{3.61}$$

where we used the shorthands $\varepsilon := a \cdot e_{\perp} = a_1 \cdot e_{\perp} + a_2 \cdot e_{\perp}$, and also

$$\tau_{\pm} := |q| \left(\frac{a \cdot (v_2 \sigma - v_1)}{\sinh \zeta} \pm |a_1| \sinh \zeta \right) = |q| \left(a \cdot \check{v}_1 \pm |a_1| \right) \sinh \zeta , \qquad (3.62)$$

where \check{v}_1^{μ} is the so-called dual velocity that has the property $\check{v}_1 \cdot v_1 = 1$ and $\check{v}_1 \cdot v_2 = 0$, see appendix A. Note that in eq. (3.61) the derivatives ∂_i shifts the indices $(\partial_1)^n (\partial_2)^k J_{-2,0} = J_{-2+n,k}$. The fourth power of the operator in eq. (3.61) can be traced back to the spinorhelcity factor $\langle 3|1|4|^4$ of the tree-level Compton amplitude, or equivalently $\langle \ell_1|p_1|\ell_2|^4$ of the one-loop integrand. Note that the two helicity configurations, C^{-+} and C^{+-} , contribute equally. This holds for all triangle coefficients since the two helicity configurations are related by loop momentum reversal $\ell \to -\ell$, and only even terms survive the tensor reduction.

The second term in eq. (2.11), $we^x \sinh z$, is also of exponential form, only with a slightly more complicated *w*-prefactor, which can be implemented as an operator. Thus, we again get the all-orders triangle coefficient in terms of the Bessel-like functions,

$$c_{\Delta,2} = -\frac{m_1^2 m_2^4 \sigma^3}{\sinh^2 \zeta} \frac{a \cdot v_2}{|a_1|} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \partial_2\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{i\partial_2}{\tanh \zeta} - \frac{a_1 \cdot e_\perp}{a \cdot v_2} \frac{i\partial_1}{|q|}\right) \left(J_{-2,0}(\tau_+, \varepsilon) - J_{-2,0}(\tau_-, \varepsilon)\right),\tag{3.63}$$

where the second parenthesis roughly corresponds to the w factor implemented as an operator.

All the remaining terms of the triple cut are contact terms, which for us means the terms captured by the entire functions $E, \tilde{E}, \mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$; thus we set $\alpha = 0$. These contact terms can be combined into a compact expression, which can be schematically written, using our Compton variables, as

$$C^{-+} \sim \frac{e^{-y}}{4y} (\hat{w}^2 - \hat{z}^2) \Big((w - x + y) + \frac{1}{2} q^2 (\hat{w}^2 - \hat{z}^2) (\partial_x + \eta \partial_z) \Big) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x + y + z)^n}{z(n+1)!} + \left(\frac{z \to -z}{\eta \to -\eta} \right) + \left(y \to -y \right),$$
(3.64)

where the hatted variables have been stripped of $\ell \cdot v_1$ factors. Note that because of the symmetric appearance of the variables in the summand, we can use $\partial_x = \partial_y$ and $\partial_z = \partial_y - \frac{1}{z}$ so that the derivatives do not act on the loop momentum hidden inside x and z.

We can thus write the all of the remaining triangle coefficients (for $\alpha = 0$ and $\eta \neq 0$) as

$$c_{\Delta,3} = \frac{m_1^2 m_2^4 e^{-y}}{2y|a_1||q|} \frac{\mathfrak{W}^2 - \mathfrak{Z}^2}{\sinh \zeta} \Big[\mathfrak{U} \Big(\sinh \zeta \,\mathfrak{W}\partial_1 - \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{U} \Big) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{W}^2 - \mathfrak{Z}^2) \mathfrak{D} \Big] K_{-1,0} \Big(\tau_2, \varepsilon_2; \tau_{1+}, \varepsilon_1; y \Big) \\ + \Big(\frac{|a_1| \to -|a_1|}{\eta \to -\eta} \Big) + \big(y \to -y \big) ,$$

$$(3.65)$$

with derivative operators

$$\mathfrak{W} := |q|a \cdot v_2 \left(1 + \frac{i\partial_2}{\tanh\zeta} - \frac{a_1 \cdot e_\perp}{a \cdot v_2} \frac{i\partial_1}{|q|} \right), \qquad \mathfrak{U} := \cosh\zeta \left(1 + i \tanh\zeta\partial_2 \right),$$

$$\mathfrak{X} := -y + a_1 \cdot e_\perp\partial_2 + \frac{|q|a \cdot v_2}{\tanh\zeta}\partial_1, \qquad \mathfrak{D} := (1+\eta)\partial_y - \frac{\eta}{|a_1||q|\sinh\zeta}\partial_1^{-1},$$

$$\mathfrak{Z} := |a_1||q|\mathfrak{U}, \qquad (3.66)$$

where, as before, the derivatives ∂_1, ∂_2 acts on the two indices $(\partial_1)^n (\partial_2)^k K_{-1,0} = K_{-1+n,k}$ (or, equivalently, first two arguments), and ∂_y acts on the fifth argument. The five arguments of the K function are

$$y := a_1 \cdot q$$
, $\tau_{1\pm} := \frac{|q|a_1 \cdot v_2}{\tanh \zeta} \pm |a_1| |q| \sinh \zeta = |q| (a_1 \cdot \check{v}_1 \pm |a_1|) \sinh \zeta$,

$$\varepsilon_i := a_i \cdot e_\perp, \qquad \tau_2 := -\frac{|q|a_2 \cdot v_1}{\sinh \zeta} = |q|a_2 \cdot \check{v}_1 \sinh \zeta, \qquad (3.67)$$

and the previously introduced variables are related through $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ and $\tau_{\pm} = \tau_{1\pm} + \tau_2$.

Finally, the total triangle coefficient for the Kerr black hole, using the Compton amplitude (2.11), is given by the sum of the above three contributions,

$$c_{\triangle}^{\text{Kerr}} := c_{\triangle,1} + c_{\triangle,2} + c_{\triangle,3} \,. \tag{3.68}$$

Recall that these multiply the scalar triangle integral, such that the total triangle contribution to the one-loop amplitude is

$$c_{\Delta}^{\operatorname{Kerr}}I_{\Delta}[1] + c_{\nabla}^{\operatorname{Kerr}}I_{\nabla}[1], \qquad (3.69)$$

where $I_{\triangle}[1] = -i/(32m_2|q|)$, and the upside-down triangle contribution is given by the swap of the two black holes,

$$c_{\nabla}^{\operatorname{Kerr}} I_{\nabla}[1] := c_{\Delta}^{\operatorname{Kerr}} I_{\Delta}[1] \Big|_{m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2, a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2, v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2, q \to -q, e_{\perp} \to e_{\perp}, \zeta \to \zeta} .$$
(3.70)

This completes the computation of the classical one-loop amplitude for two Kerr black holes to all orders in spin.

Before moving on, let us also briefly mention the aligned-spin scenario: $a_1^{\mu} \propto a_2^{\mu} \propto L^{\mu}$. We note that some simplification occurs, since $a_i \cdot v_j = 0$ and $\tau_+ = -\tau_- = |q||a_1|\sinh \zeta$, giving

$$c_{\Delta,1}^{\text{aligned}} = \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^4 \sigma^4}{\sinh^2 \zeta} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \,\partial_2\right)^4 J_{-2,0}(|a_1||q| \sinh \zeta, \varepsilon),$$

$$c_{\Delta,2}^{\text{aligned}} = \frac{2m_1^2 m_2^4 \sigma^3}{\sinh^2 \zeta} \frac{i a_1 \cdot e_\perp}{|a_1||q|} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \,\partial_2\right)^3 J_{-1,0}(|a_1||q| \sinh \zeta, \varepsilon), \quad (3.71)$$

where $J_{-2,k}$ is even and $J_{-1,k}$ odd in the first argument. The third triangle coefficient $c_{\Delta,3}$ also has some simplification, but it is less apparent. This matches low order results known in the literature, e.g. [158, 168, 257] and we provide expressions for $\alpha = 0$ up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$ in the ancillary files.

4 Observables for 2PM Kerr

We now use some of our all-orders-in-spin one-loop coefficients to compute observables at 2PM. We focus on impulse, scattering angle and eikonal phase.

4.1 Impulse from the KMOC formalism

The Kosower-Maybee-O'Connell formalism [80, 301] conveniently evaluates classical observables from standard quantum scattering amplitudes, effectively relying on the Schwinger-Keldysh (in-in) prescription. We start with a brief review.

The change of a classical observable \mathcal{O} , due to a scattering event, is found by computing the difference of the expectation values for a corresponding operator \mathbb{O} in the asymptotic future and asymptotic past,

$$\Delta \mathcal{O} = \langle \psi_{\text{out}} | \mathbf{0} | \psi_{\text{out}} \rangle - \langle \psi_{\text{in}} | \mathbf{0} | \psi_{\text{in}} \rangle.$$
(4.1)

The out-states $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ are related to the in-states $|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle$ via the *S*-matrix $|\psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = S|\psi_{\text{in}}\rangle$. Explicit definitions of the external wave-function packets are found in ref. [301]. The *S*-matrix itself is expanded into free propagation and the interaction piece as S = 1 + iT. In particular, the classical impulse is given by plugging in the momentum operator of the first body \mathbb{P}_{1}^{μ} ,

$$\Delta p^{\mu} := \Delta p_1^{\mu} = i \langle \psi_{\rm in} | [\mathbb{P}_1^{\mu}, T] | \psi_{\rm in} \rangle + \langle \psi_{\rm in} | T^{\dagger} [\mathbb{P}_1^{\mu}, T] | \psi_{\rm in} \rangle.$$

$$(4.2)$$

In the classical limit, with appropriate wave packets, the expectation values correspond to Fourier transforms into impact-parameter space of a real and virtual kernel

$$\Delta p^{\mu} = i \int d^{D}\mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} (K_{\rm v} + K_{\rm r}) \,, \qquad (4.3)$$

corresponding to the two terms in eq. (4.2), with respect to the transverse measure

$$d^{D}\mu := \frac{d^{D}q}{(2\pi)^{D-2}} \delta(2p_{1} \cdot q) \delta(2p_{2} \cdot q) \,. \tag{4.4}$$

The virtual kernel $K_{\rm v}$ is given by the 2-to-2 amplitude multiplied by the transfer momentum,

$$K_{\rm v} := q^{\mu} \langle p_1 - \frac{q}{2}, p_2 + \frac{q}{2} | T | p_1 + \frac{q}{2}, p_2 - \frac{q}{2} \rangle = q^{\mu} \times \underbrace{T}_{p_2 + \frac{q}{2}} T \underbrace{p_1 + \frac{q}{2}}_{p_2 - \frac{q}{2}}.$$
 (4.5)

The real kernel K_r is given by the product of two amplitudes with all intermediate states and momenta summed/integrated over, with the appropriate transfer momenta of the first amplitude inserted,

$$K_{\rm r} = \sum_{X} \int d\Phi(l_1) d\Phi(l_2) (l_1 - p_1 + \frac{q}{2})^{\mu} \langle p_1 - \frac{q}{2}, p_2 + \frac{q}{2} | T^{\dagger} | l_1, l_2, X \rangle \langle l_1, l_2, X | T | p_1 + \frac{q}{2}, p_2 - \frac{q}{2} \rangle$$

$$= -i \int d\Phi(l_1) d\Phi(l_2) (l_1 - p_1 + \frac{q}{2})^{\mu} \times \int_{p_2 + \frac{q}{2}}^{p_1 - \frac{q}{2}} T \int_{l_2}^{l_1} \int_{p_2 - \frac{q}{2}}^{p_1 + \frac{q}{2}} + \mathcal{O}(G^3).$$

$$(4.6)$$

Here l_1 and l_2 denote on-shell momenta of the massive states with phase-space measure $d\Phi(l_i) = d^4 l_i \,\delta(l_i^2 - m_i^2)\Theta(l_i^0)/(2\pi)^3$. The sum/integral over X implies a phase-space integration over any intermediate graviton states, assuming a purely gravitational theory and no virtual black-hole states. The real kernel first contributes at $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$ for no intermediate gravitons, as these contribute from $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$.

Computing the *n*PM impulse requires constructing the (n-1)-loop amplitude in K_v , while K_r gets contributions from products of lower loop amplitudes sewn together with possible intermediate graviton states. Since in this paper we are interested in 2PM impulse, all of the necessary contributions can be extracted from the 2-to-2 one-loop amplitude, which we already decomposed into convenient master integrals (3.12).

For the virtual kernel, scalar triangles and scalar boxes are needed (the vector boxes integrate to zero). The scalar triangles only give rise to classical contributions, whereas the boxes contain pieces that diverge in the classical limit. The box and crossed box of scalar type start contributing at hyper-classical order $\mathcal{O}(1/\hbar)$, where they satisfy $c_{\Box} = c_{\Xi}$. The next non-vanishing order for the boxes is at $\mathcal{O}(\hbar)$, and hence can be ignored.

For the real kernel, the relevant information can be worked out from the s-channel cut of the master integrals. Due to the extra insertion of loop momenta in eq. (4.6), the reduction to scalar master integrals has to be performed again, and the otherwise vanishing vector box $I_{\Box}[\ell \cdot e_{\perp}]$ gets resurrected. Since the loop-momentum insertion increases the \hbar counting, the vector boxes now only give classical contributions.

To evaluate the real kernel (4.6), we make the identification $\ell^{\mu} = 2(l_1 - p_1)^{\mu}$ such that the momentum insertion in front of the cut diagram becomes $(\ell + q)^{\mu}/2$, matching the parametrization in fig. 1. This relabelling of loop momenta induces overall factors of 2 that should not be forgotten in the measure of eq. (4.6); these factors are already seen in eq. (3.18). We can then expand ℓ^{μ} into a basis of four external vectors v_1^{μ} , v_2^{μ} , q^{μ} and e_{\perp}^{μ} ,

$$\ell^{\mu} = \frac{\sigma\ell \cdot v_2 - \ell \cdot v_1}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} v_1^{\mu} + \frac{\sigma\ell \cdot v_1 - \ell \cdot v_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)} v_2^{\mu} + \frac{\ell \cdot q}{q^2} q^{\mu} + \frac{\ell \cdot e_{\perp}}{q^2} e_{\perp}^{\mu}.$$
 (4.7)

Based on that, it is convenient to split the impulse into the *transverse* contribution (in the direction of q^{μ} or e^{μ}_{\perp}) and the *parallel* contribution (in the direction of v_1^{μ} and v_2^{μ}),

$$\Delta p^{\mu} = \Delta p^{\mu}_{\parallel} + \Delta p^{\mu}_{\perp}$$
$$= \Delta p^{\mu}_{\parallel} + \Delta p^{\mu}_{q} + \Delta p^{\mu}_{e_{\perp}}. \qquad (4.8)$$

As shown later in this section, each term on the last line has a natural correspondence to the scalar box, scalar triangle, and vector box, respectively.

We can also rewrite the result using a natural orthogonal basis $\{P^{\mu}, p^{\mu}_{CM}, \hat{b}^{\mu}, \hat{L}^{\mu}\}$, where

parallel:
$$P^{\mu} := p_1^{\mu} + p_2^{\mu}, \quad p_{CM}^{\mu} := \frac{m_1 m_2}{s} \Big[(m_1 \sigma + m_2) v_1^{\mu} - (m_2 \sigma + m_1) v_2^{\mu} \Big],$$

transverse: $\hat{b}^{\mu} := \frac{b^{\mu}}{|b|}, \qquad \hat{L}^{\mu} := (\star \hat{b})^{\mu},$ (4.9)

which is natural for the fully integrated expressions. Since $b \cdot v_i = 0$, the unit vectors \hat{b}^{μ} and \hat{L}^{μ} are transverse to the plane spanned by v_1 and v_2 . On the other hand, $p_{\rm CM}^{\mu}$ corresponds to the spatial momentum of each body in the center-of-mass frame of the system, it is orthogonal to the total momentum of the system P^{μ} , and its norm is $|p_{\rm CM}| = m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}/E$, where the total energy is $E = \sqrt{s} = |p_1 + p_2|$. Note that the parallel impulse will always be proportional to $p_{\rm CM}^{\mu}$.

Thus in the above orthogonal basis the impulse takes the form

$$\Delta p_{\parallel}^{\mu} = p_{\rm CM}^{\mu} (\cos \theta - 1) ,$$

$$\Delta p_{\perp}^{\mu} = |p_{\rm CM}| \sin \theta \left(\cos \tilde{\theta} \, \hat{b}^{\mu} + \sin \tilde{\theta} \, \hat{L}^{\mu} \right) , \qquad (4.10)$$

where we have parametrized the components in terms of two unknowns, the aligned-spin scattering angle θ and a second spin-induced deflection angle $\tilde{\theta}$. The parametrization makes the on-shell conditions of the outgoing black holes manifest, namely $(p_1 + \Delta p)^2 = m_1^2$ and $(p_2 - \Delta p)^2 = m_2^2$.

For later purposes, it is useful to consider how the dualized spin vector decomposes into the two transverse components,

$$(\star a)^{\mu} = \hat{b} \star a \,\hat{b}^{\mu} + \hat{L} \star a \,\hat{L}^{\mu} = -a \cdot \hat{L} \,\hat{b}^{\mu} + a \cdot \hat{b} \,\hat{L}^{\mu} \,. \tag{4.11}$$

If the spin is aligned with the orbital angular momentum, $a^{\mu} = |a|\hat{L}^{\mu}$, then we have $a \cdot \hat{b} = 0$ and $a \cdot \hat{L} = -|a|$, so that $(\star a)^{\mu} = |a|\hat{b}^{\mu}$. In the case of aligned-spin kinematics, where both spin vectors $a^{\mu}_{1,2}$ are aligned with L^{μ} , by total-angular-momentum conservation the impulse must be confined to the $(p^{\mu}_{CM}, \hat{b}^{\mu})$ -plane, such that the second angle will vanish, $\tilde{\theta} = 0$.

1PM impulse

Before moving onto loop level, we first compute the 1PM impulse, which only involves the virtual kernel (4.5). Using the tree amplitude in eq. (3.11), the Fourier integrals are simple, see appendix A, and the impulse can be compactly expressed as

$$\Delta p^{\mu} = \Delta p_{\perp}^{\mu} = \frac{i\kappa^2}{4} m_1^2 m_2^2 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} \frac{q^{\mu}}{q^2} \left(e^{-ie_{\perp} \cdot a + 2\zeta} + e^{ie_{\perp} \cdot a - 2\zeta} \right) \\ = -\frac{Gm_1 m_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[e^{2\zeta} \frac{(b + \star a)^{\mu}}{(b + \star a)^2} + e^{-2\zeta} \frac{(b - \star a)^{\mu}}{(b - \star a)^2} \right].$$
(4.12)

This result is well known, e.g. it agrees with ref. [246]. Since the virtual kernel is proportional to transverse vectors, at this order there is no parallel contribution to the impulse.

Transverse impulse at 2PM

At 2PM the full impulse has a contribution from both the virtual and real kernels. However, since the virtual kernel is in the q-direction, only Δp_q^{μ} receives a contribution from both kernels.

The decomposition of the classical one-loop integrand (3.12) allows us to effectively assume that the two exchanged gravitons are on shell. In the real kernel, the cut conditions impose $\ell \cdot q \to 0$ such that the loop momentum ℓ^{μ} has no contribution in the q^{μ} direction, as per eq. (4.7). Therefore, in computing Δp_q^{μ} , we can replace the prefactor of the cut $(\ell^{\mu} + q^{\mu})/2 \to q^{\mu}/2$ and the transverse impulse in the q-direction therefore simplifies to

$$\Delta p_q^{\mu} = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} q^{\mu} \left(c_{\Box} (I_{\Box} + I_{\Xi}) + c_{\triangle} I_{\triangle} + c_{\nabla} I_{\nabla} - i \frac{c_{\Box}}{2} \operatorname{Cut}[I_{\Box}] \right) \\ = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} q^{\mu} \left(c_{\Box} (I_{\Box} + I_{\Xi} - i \operatorname{Im}[(-i)I_{\Box}]) + c_{\triangle} I_{\triangle} + c_{\nabla} I_{\nabla} \right)$$
(4.13)

$$= \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} q^\mu \left(c_{\triangle} I_{\triangle} + c_{\nabla} I_{\nabla}\right).$$

Thus all hyper-classical contributions from the scalar boxes cancel with the cut term, and this impulse contribution is fully determined by the triangles. We defer the explicit details of the remaining Fourier integral of the triangles to the next subsection, where we compute the closely related eikonal phase. The Fourier integrals are simple to perform at any given order in the spin-multipole expansion, see appendix A as well as the final result in the ancillary file. However, computing the integral in spin-resummed form involves Fouriertransforming the Bessel-like functions that appear in the triangle coefficients, which is somewhat challenging.

Next, the transverse impulse also receives a contribution in the direction e_{\perp}^{μ} , generated by the real kernel. This contribution only appears for spinning black holes, where spininduced precession forces point out of the initial plane of scattering. The coefficient of e_{\perp}^{μ} in the loop momentum decomposition (4.7) cannot be reduced by means of cut conditions as done with the coefficient of q^{μ} in the computation of Δp_q^{μ} . Instead we have to observe how the reduction to the basis of master integrals changes with an insertion of $\ell \cdot e_{\perp}$. Its effect on the decomposition in eq. (3.12) is to map

$$I_{\Box}[\ell \cdot e_{\perp}] \to I_{\Box}[(\ell \cdot e_{\perp})^2] = -q^4 I_{\Box} \,. \tag{4.14}$$

The corresponding transverse contribution is thus

$$\Delta p_{e_{\perp}}^{\mu} = \frac{i}{2} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} \, q^2 e_{\perp}^{\mu} \, \tilde{c}_{\Box} \text{Cut}[I_{\Box}] \,. \tag{4.15}$$

The tensor box coefficient $\tilde{c}_{\Box} := (c_{\Box}^{-+} + c_{\Box}^{+-})[\ell \cdot e_{\bot}]$ is given in eq. (3.38), and it indeed vanishes in the spinless limit. Recall that while the loop integral Cut $[I_{\Box}]$ has an $1/\epsilon$ pole (3.23), this cancels in the above formula when the Fourier transforms is consistently treated in dimensional regularization, for details see appendix A. The contribution to the transverse impulse can now be computed to all spin-multipole orders, plugging in eq. (3.37) we obtain

$$\Delta p_{e_{\perp}}^{\mu} = -\frac{G^2 m_1 m_2}{(\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \Big(a \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \left[e^{3\zeta} \frac{(\star b - \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b + \star a)^4} - e^{-3\zeta} \frac{(\star b + \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b - \star a)^4} \right] + a \cdot (m_2 v_1 - m_1 v_2) \left[e^{4\zeta} \frac{(\star b - \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b + \star a)^4} - e^{-4\zeta} \frac{(\star b + \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b - \star a)^4} \right] \Big), \quad (4.16)$$

where we used that $(\star b \pm \Pi a) = \star (b \mp \star a)$, and the transverse projector is given by

$$(\Pi)^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = -(\star^2)^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - v^{\mu}_i M^{ij} v_{j\nu} , \qquad (4.17)$$

where M^{ij} is the inverse Gram matrix of velocities, $(M^{-1})_{ij} = v_i \cdot v_j$. It projects to the 2-dimensional subspace transverse to v_1 and v_2 , see appendix A for the explicit form of Π . As discussed in section 4.3, this new all-order result reproduces known low-order results in the literature.

Note that we can slightly massage eq. (4.16) to give an alternative presentation of this impulse, which makes use of the center-of-mass momentum $p_{\rm CM}^{\mu}$, and the rapidity exponentials are now uniformly fourth powers. The alternative formula is

$$\Delta p_{e_{\perp}}^{\mu} = \frac{G^2 m_1 m_2}{\sinh^3 \zeta} \Big[a \cdot (p_1 - p_2) \Big(e^{4\zeta} \frac{(\star b - \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b + \star a)^4} + e^{-4\zeta} \frac{(\star b + \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b - \star a)^4} \Big) \\ - \frac{s \, a \cdot p_{\rm CM}}{m_1 m_2 \sinh \zeta} \Big(e^{4\zeta} \frac{(\star b - \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b + \star a)^4} - e^{-4\zeta} \frac{(\star b + \Pi a)^{\mu}}{(b - \star a)^4} \Big) \Big].$$
(4.18)

Finally, we note that in the aligned-spin scenario, where $v_i \cdot a_j = 0$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2\}, \Delta p_{e_\perp}^{\mu}$ vanishes, which is natural since the absence of spin precession gives trajectories (orbits) that do not wobble, instead they are confined to the spatial plane orthogonal to the angular momentum.

Parallel impulse at 2PM

For impulse directions parallel to the initial black-hole velocities v_i^{μ} , only the real kernel, and hence the cut of the box, contributes. Concerning the insertion of $(\ell + q)^{\mu}/2$, the second and third term in eq. (4.7) are relevant. Since the cut conditions allow us to replace $v_1 \cdot \ell \rightarrow q^2/(2m_1)$ and $v_2 \cdot \ell \rightarrow -q^2/(2m_2)$ the integrand reduction is left unchanged. The parallel impulse is thus becomes

$$\Delta p_{\parallel}^{\mu} = i \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{ib \cdot q} \frac{q^2 s}{4(\sigma^2 - 1)m_1^2 m_2^2} p_{\rm CM}^{\mu} c_{\,\square} {\rm Cut}[I_{\,\square}]\,, \tag{4.19}$$

where the center-of-mass momentum $p_{\rm CM}^{\mu}$ was introduced in eq. (4.9). Note that this impulse is orthogonal to the total momenta (total energy) $P^{\mu} = (p_1 + p_2)^{\mu}$, with $s = P^2$, that defines the rest frame of the system. Hence the parallel impulse does not cause a shift in the energy (time) direction of the rest frame, as expected.

We can now work out the parallel impulse to all orders in spin, using eqs. (3.35) and (3.36) the result is

$$\Delta p_{\parallel}^{\mu} = \frac{G^2 s \, p_{\rm CM}^{\mu}}{2 \left(\sigma^2 - 1\right)^2} \left(\frac{1}{(b + i\Pi a)^2} + \frac{1}{(b - i\Pi a)^2} + \frac{e^{4\zeta}}{(b + \star a)^2} + \frac{e^{-4\zeta}}{(b - \star a)^2}\right). \tag{4.20}$$

Note that the first two terms come from the same-helicity Compton amplitudes, and the two last terms from the opposite-helicity Compton amplitudes. Thus contrary to commonplace statements, the same-helicity Compton amplitudes are not automatically quantum contributions. While this all-orders result for the parallel impulse is new, low-order pieces of this result have been previously given in the literature, e.g. [168]. More details about how our results compare to the literature are given in sect. 4.3.

Note that for aligned spin the parallel impulse simplifies to

$$\Delta p_{\parallel,\text{aligned}}^{\mu} = -\frac{G^2 s \, p_{\text{CM}}^{\mu}}{2 \, (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \Big(\frac{2}{|b|^2 - |a|^2} + \frac{e^{4\zeta}}{(|b| + |a|)^2} + \frac{e^{-4\zeta}}{(|b| - |a|)^2} \Big) \\ = -\frac{G^2 s \, p_{\text{CM}}^{\mu}}{2 \, (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} \Big(\frac{e^{2\zeta}}{|b| + |a|} + \frac{e^{-2\zeta}}{|b| - |a|} \Big)^2, \tag{4.21}$$

which is the square of the 1PM impulse for aligned spin, up to overall simple factors. Indeed, the two impulse contributions are kinematically constrained, through eq. (4.10), to be related as

$$|\Delta p_{\parallel,\text{aligned}}^{2\text{PM}}| = \frac{|\Delta p_{\text{aligned}}^{1\text{PM}}|^2}{2|p_{\text{CM}}|}, \qquad (4.22)$$

were we recall $|p_{\text{CM}}| = m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}/E$ and $E = \sqrt{s}$.

More generally, for non-aligned spin, one can check that the following relation holds for our results:

$$2p_{\rm CM} \cdot \Delta p_{\parallel}^{\rm 2PM} = -(\Delta p^{\rm 1PM})^2 \,, \tag{4.23}$$

which also follows from the kinematic constraints imposed by eq. (4.10).

Explicit low spin-multipole results for 2PM impulse

For reference, we print here some results up to $\mathcal{O}(S^1)$ for each of the contributions,

$$\begin{split} \Delta p_{q,S^0}^{\mu} &= \frac{3\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{4|b|^2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} (5\sigma^2 - 1)\hat{b}^{\mu} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) ,\\ \Delta p_{q,S^1}^{\mu} &= \frac{\pi G^2}{4|b|^3 (\sigma^2 - 1)} \sigma (3 - 5\sigma^2) \Big(2(4a_1 \cdot \hat{L} + 3a_2 \cdot \hat{L})\hat{b}^{\mu} + (4a_1 \cdot \hat{b} + 3a_2 \cdot \hat{b})\hat{L}^{\mu} \Big) \\ &- (1 \leftrightarrow 2) ,\\ \Delta p_{e_{\perp},S^0}^{\mu} &= 0 ,\\ \Delta p_{e_{\perp},S^1}^{\mu} &= \frac{2G^2}{|b|^3 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \Big(4\sigma (1 - 2\sigma^2) a \cdot v_2 + (4\sigma^2 - 1)a \cdot v_1 \Big) \hat{L}^{\mu} - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) ,\\ \Delta p_{\parallel,S^0}^{\mu} &= -\frac{2G^2 s}{|b|^2 (\sigma^2 - 1)^2} (2\sigma^2 - 1)^2 p_{\rm CM}^{\mu} ,\\ \Delta p_{\parallel,S^1}^{\mu} &= \frac{8G^2 s}{|b|^3 (\sigma^2 - 1)^{3/2}} \sigma (2\sigma^2 - 1)a \cdot \hat{L} p_{\rm CM}^{\mu} . \end{split}$$

Further explicit results for the impulse up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$ are provided in the ancillary files of this paper.

4.2 Eikonal and scattering angle

In the case of scattering of two spinless bodies, the motion is confined to a plane. Therefore, the scattering event is fully specified by the scattering angle θ (see e.g. [10]). Although the orbit is not planar for generic spinning bodies, restricting to the aligned-spin scenario maintains planarity,

$$a_1^{\mu} \propto a_2^{\mu} \propto L^{\mu}, \qquad v_i \cdot a_j = 0, \qquad b \cdot a_i = 0.$$
 (4.25)

In this case, the scattering process is fully specified by the scattering angle θ , which is given by the transverse impulse through eq. (4.10),

$$\sin \theta = -\frac{\Delta p_{\perp} \cdot \hat{b}}{|p_{\rm CM}|} = -\frac{E \,\Delta p \cdot \hat{b}}{m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}\,,\tag{4.26}$$

and one can use $\sin \theta = \theta + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3)$ for all terms that are not iterations, such as the triangle contributions.

An alternative way to compute θ is through the eikonal approach. At 1PM and 2PM, the eikonal phase χ is given by the Fourier-transformed aligned-spin amplitudes [283], not including iteration terms such as boxes,

$$\chi_{1\text{PM}} = \int d^D \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} \mathcal{M}^{(0)}(q) \,,$$

$$\chi_{2\text{PM}} = \int d^D \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} \mathcal{M}^{(1)}_{\Delta + \nabla}(q) \,, \qquad (4.27)$$

where the measure is given in eq. (4.4). The scattering angle can be extracted through a derivative with respect to the impact parameter [285],

$$\theta_{n\rm PM} = \frac{E}{m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial |b|} \chi_{n\rm PM} \,. \tag{4.28}$$

We can work out the eikonal phase at 1PM using eq. (3.11), it takes the simple form

$$\chi_{1\text{PM}} = \frac{\kappa^2}{4} m_1^2 m_2^2 \int d^D \mu \, \frac{e^{ib \cdot q}}{q^2} \left(e^{-ie_\perp \cdot a + 2\zeta} + e^{ie_\perp \cdot a - 2\zeta} \right) \\ = -\frac{Gm_1 m_2}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \left[e^{2\zeta} \log |b + \star a| + e^{-2\zeta} \log |b - \star a| + \dots \right], \quad (4.29)$$

where the ellipsis are (divergent) terms that are independent of b^{μ} , and hence irrelevant. The 1PM impulse (4.12) then also follows via $\Delta p_{1PM}^{\mu} = \partial \chi_{1PM} / \partial b_{\mu}$, which kills the divergent terms.

At 2PM, we will give the full eikonal result using spin-multiple expanded formulae. However, it interesting to first Fourier transform two of our simpler all-orders-in-spin triangle coefficients, and inspect the partial result. The first triangle coefficient (3.61) integrates to

$$\chi_{2PM,1} := -i \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} c_{\Delta,1} \mathcal{I}_{\Delta} = \frac{G^2 \pi m_1 m_2^2 \sigma^4}{2|b| \sinh^3 \zeta} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \, \partial_2\right)^4 \sum_{\pm} \mathcal{J}_{-2,0} \left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{\pm}^2}{2|b|^2}, \frac{a \star b}{|b|^2}, \frac{(\star a)^2}{2|b|^2}\right).$$
(4.30)

where $\mathcal{J}_{n,k}$ is the Fourier transform of the Bessel-like function $J_{n,k}$. Using $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$, it can be written as

$$\mathcal{J}_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) := |b| \int d^D \mu e^{ib \cdot q} |q|^{-1} J_{n,k}(|q|\tilde{\tau}, a \star q)$$

$$= i^{n-k} \sum_{\substack{l=-1\\m,j=0}}^{\infty} \frac{(2l-1)!!(2m+2j-1)!!(2(2m+j+l-k)-n-1)!!}{(2l+2m+2j)!!} \frac{x_1^{l-n/2}}{(l-n/2)!} \frac{x_2^{2m-k}}{(2m-k)!} \frac{x_3^j}{j!},$$
(4.31)

and we will only use n = -2 (the transformed $J_{n,k}$ vanish for odd n) and $k \ge 0$. Similar to $J_{n,k}$, we use operators indicate shifted indices $\partial_2^k \mathcal{J}_{-2,0} = \mathcal{J}_{-2,k}$; these no longer correspond

to derivatives of the arguments. The variable $\tilde{\tau}_{\pm}$ is defined analogously to $\tau_{\pm} = |q|\tilde{\tau}_{\pm}$, such that

$$\tilde{\tau}_{\pm} := (a \cdot \check{v}_1 \pm |a_1|) \sinh \zeta \,. \tag{4.32}$$

For the $c_{\Delta,2}$ triangle coefficient (3.63), one has to be more careful with the differential operators that act on $J_{n,k}$, since one of these prefactors now contains q. One can in principle introduce some new integral/differential operators, along the lines of

$$-a_1 \cdot e_\perp \frac{i\partial_1}{|q|} \to |b|a_1 \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \partial_{x_2} \int \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \partial_{x_1}; \qquad (4.33)$$

however, this is a bit too cumbersome. Instead we will introduce a slightly modified transformed function for this contribution. Thus, the Fourier transformed triangle coefficient $c_{\Delta,2}$ becomes

$$\begin{split} \chi_{2\text{PM},2} &:= -i \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^4 \int d^D \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} c_{\triangle,2} \mathcal{I}_{\triangle} \\ &= -\frac{G^2 \pi m_1 m_2^2 \sigma^3}{2 \sinh^3 \zeta} \left(1 + i \tanh \zeta \partial_2\right)^3 \left[\frac{a \cdot v_2}{|b||a_1|} \left(1 + \frac{i \partial_2}{\tanh \zeta}\right) \mathcal{J}_{-2,0} \left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}_+^2}{2|b|^2}, \frac{a \star b}{|b|^2}, \frac{(\star a)^2}{2|b|^2}\right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{a_1}{|a_1|} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{-2,0} \left(\frac{\tilde{\tau}_+}{|b|}, \frac{a \star b}{|b|^2}, \frac{(\star a)^2}{2|b|^2}\right) \right] \\ &+ \left(|a_1| \to -|a_1|\right), \end{split}$$
(4.34)

where we assume that $\frac{\partial}{\partial a}$ only acts on the second and third arguments, so $\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_+}{\partial a} \to 0$. And the slightly modified transformed Bessel-like function is

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_{n,k}(\mathbf{x}) := i^{n+k} \sum_{l,m,j=-1}^{\infty} \frac{(2l-1)!!(2m+2j-1)!!(2(2m+j+l-k)-n-1)!!}{(2l+2m+2j)!!} \times \frac{(2l-n-3)!!}{(2l-n-1)!} \frac{x_1^{2l-n-1}x_2^{2m-k+1}x_3^j}{(2m-k+1)!j!}, \qquad (4.35)$$

where n again has to be even. We will not attempt to Fourier transform the third triangle coefficient, $c_{\Delta,3}$, instead we will present some explicitly spin-multipole expanded results.

Scattering angle results

The eikonal for generic spin configurations is provided in the ancillary files up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$. We print here the full results for the aligned-spin scattering angle up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$. Note that all dissipative terms, i.e. those proportional to η , have drops out when specializing to the case of aligned spin. The results are

$$\begin{split} \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^0} &= -\frac{3\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{4\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}|b|^2} \Big[5\sigma^2 - 1 \Big] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \ , \\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^1} &= \frac{\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{2\left(\sigma^2 - 1\right)|b|^3} \Big[\sigma \left(5\sigma^2 - 3 \right) \left(4|a_1| + 3|a_2| \right) \Big] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \ , \\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^2} &= -\frac{3\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{16|b|^4 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}^3} \Big[\left(95\sigma^4 - 102\sigma^2 + 15 \right) |a_1|^2 + 8 \left(20\sigma^4 - 21\sigma^2 + 3 \right) |a_1||a_2| \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &+4\left(15\sigma^4-15\sigma^2+2\right)|a_2|^2\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\;,\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^5}=&\frac{3\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2 \sigma}{4|b|^5} \left[4\left(9\sigma^2-5\right)|a_1|^3+\left(95\sigma^2-51\right)|a_1|^2|a_2|\right.\\&+40\left(2\sigma^2-1\right)|a_1||a_2|^2+4\left(5\sigma^2-2\right)|a_2|^3\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\;,\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^4}=&-\frac{5\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{32|b|^6} \left[\left(239\sigma^4-250\sigma^2+35\right)|a_1|^4+24\left(36\sigma^4-37\sigma^2+5\right)|a_1|^3|a_2|\right.\\&+12\left(95\sigma^4-95\sigma^2+12\right)|a_1|^2|a_2|^2+32\left(20\sigma^4-19\sigma^2+2\right)|a_1||a_2|^3\right.\\&+24\sigma^2\left(5\sigma^2-4\right)|a_2|^4\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^5}=&-\frac{3\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{64|b|^7\left(\sigma^2-1\right)}\left[-80\left(13\sigma^2-7\right)|a_1|^5-20\left(239\sigma^2-125\right)|a_1|^4|a_2|\right.\\&-4320\left(2\sigma^2-1\right)|a_1|^3|a_2|^2-80\left(95\sigma^2-44\right)|a_1|^2|a_2|^3-640\left(5\sigma^2-2\right)|a_1||a_2|^4\right.\\&+3\left(7\sigma^4-178\sigma^2+51\right)|a_2|^5\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\;,\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^6}=&-\frac{7\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{512|b|^8\sqrt{\sigma^2-1}^3}\left[30\left(149\sigma^4-154\sigma^2+21\right)|a_1|^6+480\left(52\sigma^4-53\sigma^2+7\right)|a_1|^5|a_2|\right.\\&+240\left(239\sigma^4-239\sigma^2+30\right)|a_1|^4|a_2|^2+1920\left(36\sigma^4-35\sigma^2+4\right)|a_1|^3|a_2|^3\right.\\&+480\left(95\sigma^4-88\sigma^2+8\right)|a_1|^2|a_2|^4-12\left(21\sigma^6-1333\sigma^4+1123\sigma^2-51\right)|a_1||a_2|^5\right.\\&+\left(105\sigma^8-574\sigma^6+2984\sigma^4-2026\sigma^2-9\right)|a_2|^6\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\;,\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^6}=&-\frac{\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{64|b|^6\left(\sigma^2-1\right)}\left[280\left(17\sigma^2-9\right)|a_1|^7+210\left(149\sigma^2-77\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|\right.\\&+43\left(85\sigma^6-353\sigma^4+1123\sigma^2-295\right)|a_2|^7\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\;,\\ \theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^6}=&-\frac{9\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{16384|b|^{10}\sqrt{\sigma^2-1}}\left[224\left(719\sigma^4-738\sigma^2+99\right)|a_1|^8\right.\\&+17920\left(68\sigma^4-69\sigma^2+9\right)|a_1|^7|a_2|+8960\left(447\sigma^4-447\sigma^2+56\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^2\right.\\&+143360\left(52\sigma^4-51\sigma^2+6\right)|a_1|^5|a_2|^3+3580\left(239\sigma^4-228\sigma^2+24\right)|a_1|^4|a_2|^4\right.\\&-448\left(87\sigma^5-14037\sigma^4+12813\sigma^2-1103\right)|a_1|^3|a_2|^5\right.\\&+112\left(245\sigma^8-1874\sigma^6+27544\sigma^4-22926\sigma^2+1391\right)|a_1|^2|a_2|^6\right.\\&+64\left(795\sigma^8-3618\sigma^6+1595\sigma^6+11856\sigma^4-11358\sigma^2+465\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^8\right]\\&+64\left(795\sigma^8-3618\sigma^6+3798\sigma^6+148062\sigma^4-9235\sigma^2+1451\right)|a_2|^8\right]+(1\leftrightarrow 2)\,,\\\theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^6}=&\frac{5\pi G^2 m_1^2 m_2}{16384b^{111}(\sigma^2-1)}\left[16128\left(21\sigma^2-11\right)|a_1|^9+4032\left(719\sigma^2-369\right)|a_1|^8|a_2|\right.\\&+548520\left(22^2-1\right)|a_1|^5|a_2|^2+161280\left(149\sigma^2-72\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^3\\&+2580480\left(13\sigma^2-6\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^2+161280\left(49\sigma^4-272\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^3\\&+2580480\left(13\sigma^2-6\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^4-2016\left(69\sigma^4-15434\sigma^2+6685\right)|a_1|^4|a_2|^5\\&+2580480\left(13\sigma^2-6\right)|a_1|^6|a_2|^4-2016\left(69\sigma^4-15$$

$$\begin{split} &+ 2688 \left(65\sigma^{6} - 320\sigma^{4} + 7357\sigma^{2} - 2902\right) |a_{1}|^{3}|a_{2}|^{6} \\ &+ 288 \left(1215\sigma^{6} - 4815\sigma^{4} + 30361\sigma^{2} - 10521\right) |a_{1}|^{2}|a_{2}|^{7} \\ &+ 72 \left(385\sigma^{8} + 1940\sigma^{6} - 10338\sigma^{4} + 34020\sigma^{2} - 10327\right) |a_{1}||a_{2}|^{8} \\ &+ (19635\sigma^{8} - 16300\sigma^{6} - 98446\sigma^{4} + 298388\sigma^{2} - 82317) |a_{2}|^{9}\right] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) , \\ \\ &\theta_{2PM}^{S^{10}} = -\frac{11\pi G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}}{131072|b|^{12}} \left[1344 \left(1055\sigma^{4} - 1078\sigma^{2} + 143\right) |a_{1}|^{10} \\ &+ 161280 \left(84\sigma^{4} - 85\sigma^{2} + 11\right) |a_{1}|^{9}|a_{2}| + 80640 \left(719\sigma^{4} - 719\sigma^{2} + 90\right) |a_{1}|^{8}|a_{2}|^{2} \\ &+ 2150400 \left(68\sigma^{4} - 67\sigma^{2} + 8\right) |a_{1}|^{7}|a_{2}|^{3} + 1612800 \left(149\sigma^{4} - 144\sigma^{2} + 16\right) |a_{1}|^{6}|a_{2}|^{4} \\ &- 8064 \left(111\sigma^{6} - 33547\sigma^{4} + 31537\sigma^{2} - 3141\right) |a_{1}|^{5}|a_{2}|^{5} \\ &+ 3360 \left(415\sigma^{8} - 2250\sigma^{6} + 64960\sigma^{4} - 57966\sigma^{2} + 4921\right) |a_{1}|^{4}|a_{2}|^{6} \\ &+ 3840 \left(985\sigma^{8} - 4370\sigma^{6} + 34274\sigma^{4} - 27794\sigma^{2} + 1945\right) |a_{1}|^{3}|a_{2}|^{7} \\ &+ 180 \left(1925\sigma^{10} + 14180\sigma^{8} - 78306\sigma^{6} + 316812\sigma^{4} - 227611\sigma^{2} + 13320\right) |a_{1}|^{2}|a_{2}|^{8} \\ &+ 20 \left(25795\sigma^{10} - 12885\sigma^{8} - 200106\sigma^{6} + 721566\sigma^{4} - 476729\sigma^{2} + 22999\right) |a_{1}||a_{2}|^{9} \\ &+ (27027\sigma^{12} + 41580\sigma^{10} - 114965\sigma^{8} - 433952\sigma^{6} + 1605769\sigma^{4} - 997356\sigma^{2} \\ &+ 33177)|a_{2}|^{10}\right] + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) , \\ \\ \theta_{2PM}^{S^{11}} &= \frac{3\pi G^{2}m_{1}^{2}m_{2}\sigma}{32768|b|^{13}(\sigma^{2} - 1)} \left[59136 \left(25\sigma^{2} - 13\right) |a_{1}|^{11} + 14784 \left(1055\sigma^{2} - 539\right) |a_{1}|^{6}|a_{2}|^{5} \\ &+ 29568 \left(85\sigma^{6} - 418\sigma^{4} + 17209\sigma^{2} - 7300\right) |a_{1}|^{5}|a_{2}|^{6} \\ &+ 5280 \left(1625\sigma^{6} - 6333\sigma^{4} + 6887\sigma^{2} - 26883\right) |a_{1}|^{4}|a_{2}|^{7} \\ &+ 2640 \left(315\sigma^{8} + 3515\sigma^{6} - 15027\sigma^{4} + 73433\sigma^{2} - 25948\right) |a_{1}|^{3}|a_{2}|^{8} \\ &+ 110 \left(16975\sigma^{8} + 20420\sigma^{6} - 195206\sigma^{4} + 650532\sigma^{2} - 210481\right) |a_{1}|^{2}|a_{2}|^{9} \\ &+ 44 \left(2457\sigma^{10} + 20818\sigma^{8} - 19494\sigma^{6} - 113556\sigma^{4} + 355669\sigma^{2} - 108806\right) |a_{1}||a_{2}|^{10} \\ &+ (82719\sigma^{10} - 23961\sigma^{8} - 90386\sigma^{6} - 530730\sigma^{4} + 1542995\sigma^{2} - 448413)|a_{2}|^{11} \right] \\ &+ (1 \leftrightarrow 2) . \end{aligned}$$

4.3 Comparison to literature and canonical spin

The results presented in previous sections pass various consistency checks with the available literature. For instance, our triangle and box coefficients agree with ref. [158] up to $\mathcal{O}(S^4)$. Our eikonal and scattering angle, computed using the covariant spin supplementary condition (SSC), agree with that of ref. [168] up to $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$. We also compared the impulse, up to $\mathcal{O}(S^2)$ in the covariant SSC, against refs. [79, 162, 166], and up to $\mathcal{O}(S^5)$ in the canonical SSC against ref. [168], and we find agreement in both cases. At $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$ we find some minor disagreement with ref. [168] for contributions corresponding to vector boxes. Since the all-orders-in spin formula (4.16), coming from the vector box, appears to be robust, we expect that the issue is to be found elsewhere. We now elaborate on the canonical SSC comparison, which requires some extra work. We need to convert our result from covariant to canonical SSC, also known as *Newton-Wigner SSC* [303–305]. The details of the conversion are discussed in appendix B, here we give the brief version. In order to line up notation with ref. [168], the incoming black-hole momenta are taken to be in the center-of-mass frame $p_1^{\mu} = -(E_1, \vec{p})$ and $p_2^{\mu} = -(E_2, -\vec{p})$, and the covariant spin vectors S_i^{μ} are then

$$S_i^{\mu} = \left(\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{s}_i, \vec{s}_i + \frac{\vec{v}_i \cdot \vec{s}_i}{\gamma_i + 1} \vec{v}_i\right). \tag{4.37}$$

To rewrite our results in canonical SSC, we need to transform the spin vectors S_i^{μ} and the impact parameter b^{μ} . As discussed in appendix B, the canonical spin vectors in the centre-of-mass frame are simply

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu} = (0, \vec{s}_i) \,. \tag{4.38}$$

Since $\vec{S}_{i,\text{can}} = \vec{s}_i$, it is enough to use eq. (4.37) to express our results in terms of the canonical spin three-vector. On the other hand, the required shift of the impact parameter is given by eq. (B.18), which can be rewritten in terms of three-vectors as

$$\vec{b} = \vec{b}_{can} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\vec{p} \times \vec{s}_i}{m_i (E_i + m_i)},$$
(4.39)

where we used the centre-of-mass-frame identities $b^{\mu} = (0, \vec{b})$ and $b^{\mu}_{can} = (0, \vec{b}_{can})$.

Let us see how this works in a simple example. We start from the scattering angle given in eq. (4.36), up to linear order in the spin S_1^{μ} . For simplicity, we assume $S_2^{\mu} = 0$. In the centre-of-mass frame this is equal to

$$\theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^0} = -\frac{3\pi G^2 m_1 m_2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1)}{4\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} |\vec{b}|^2}, \qquad (4.40)$$
$$\theta_{2\rm PM}^{S^1} = \frac{\pi G^2 m_2 \sigma (4m_1 + 3m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 3) |\vec{s_1}|}{2(\sigma^2 - 1) |\vec{b}|^3}.$$

As discussed, this is already expressed in terms of the canonical spin three-vector \vec{s}_1 . Note that the scattering angle obeys aligned-spin kinematics, namely $\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{p} = 0$ and $\vec{s}_i = \frac{|\vec{s}_i|}{|\vec{L}|}\vec{L}$, where $\vec{L} = \vec{b} \times \vec{p}$. To convert to canonical impact parameter \vec{b}_{can} we use eq. (4.39) and get

$$|\vec{b}|^2 = \left(\vec{b}_{can} - \frac{\vec{p} \times \vec{s}_1}{m_1(E_1 + m_1)}\right)^2 = |\vec{b}_{can}|^2 \left(1 - \frac{|\vec{s}_1||\vec{p}|}{m_1(E_1 + m_1)|\vec{b}_{can}|}\right)^2, \tag{4.41}$$

where we used that $\vec{p} \times \vec{s}_1 = (|\vec{s}_1||\vec{p}|/|\vec{b}_{can}|)\vec{b}_{can}$ for aligned-spin kinematics. Therefore, substituting eq. (4.41) into eq. (4.40), expanding in the spin \vec{s}_1 and isolating the linear contribution, we can derive the $\mathcal{O}(\vec{s}_1)$ contribution to the canonical scattering angle, $\theta_{2PM,can}^{S^1}$, given by

$$\theta_{2\rm PM,can}^{S^1} = -\frac{3\pi G^2 m_2 (m_1 + m_2) (5\sigma^2 - 1) |\vec{s_1}| |\vec{p}|}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1} |\vec{b}_{\rm can}|^3 (E_1 + m_1)} + \frac{\pi G^2 m_2 (4m_1 + 3m_2)\sigma \left(5\sigma^2 - 3\right) |\vec{s_1}|}{2 \left(\sigma^2 - 1\right) |\vec{b}_{\rm can}|^3}.$$
(4.42)

This result can be checked against the canonical impulse $\Delta p_{2\text{PM,can}}^{\mu}$ given in ref. [168], via the relation

$$\theta_{2\rm PM,can} = -\frac{\vec{b}_{\rm can} \cdot \Delta \vec{p}_{2\rm PM,can}}{|\vec{L}_{\rm can}|},\tag{4.43}$$

valid for aligned-spin kinematics at 2PM order. We have performed this check and find agreement up to $\mathcal{O}(S^5)$, and for triangle contributions up to $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$. The full canonical impulse up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$ can be extracted from our results by applying the same conversion between covariant and canonical SSC demonstrated above.

5 Conclusion

Using the framework of quantum higher-spin theory, a proposal for the Kerr Compton amplitude for any spin was given in ref. [277], which classically agrees with explicit black-hole perturbation calculations [260, 262, 302] for certain choices of near-zone/far-zone splittings. While there exists contact-term ambiguities due to the appearance of transcendental functions starting at $\mathcal{O}(S^5)$, which makes the notion of tree-level unclear, one may expect that the simple all-orders result of ref. [277] captures an substantial part of the Kerr far-zone dynamics.

The Kerr Compton amplitude can be used to extract observables for binary Kerr black-hole scattering at second-post-Minkowskian order, which we explore in this work. We employed on-shell unitarity methods to compute the relevant classical 2-to-2 one-loop integrand. For simplicity, we took the classical limit (infinite-spin limit) already at tree level, and the unitarity cuts only employed classical building blocks that are entire functions of the spin vector. From the classical integrand, we extracted the scalar box, vector box and scalar triangle coefficients to all orders in spin. We find simple novel formulae for these, specifically the box coefficients are exponential functions in spin and rapidity, where the individual helicity contributions give obvious imprints. The triangle coefficients are given as simple derivatives/integrations applied to the Bessel function J_0 of the first kind. We define explicit Bessel-like functions to make manifest the spin-mutipole expansion to all orders in spin. With appropriate definitions, the triangle coefficients can be split into three contributions (originating from the pole term, subleading pole term and contact term of the Compton amplitude) that we presented as one-line expressions.

The tensor-reduced one-loop integrand serves as input to compute classical observables such as the impulse and the closely related scattering angle and eikonal phase, all of which we explore. For the classical impulse we use the KMOC formalism, which expresses it in terms of Fourier-transformed momentum-weighted amplitudes and cuts in impact-parameter space. The amplitude and cut contributions can be massaged such that the cancellation of hyper-classical iteration terms vanish and the remaining finite terms are split into three contributions based on their origin: scalar box, vector box and scalar triangle, all of which give classical contributions.

While it is often implied that the scalar triangle captures the full 2PM results, the scalar box and vector box gives tangible, albeit simple, contributions to the classical impulse. Even the same-helicity Compton amplitudes, once fed into the cuts, give a non-zero

contribution to the impulse. While the box contributions are kinematically constrained to be related to the 1PM impulse, working them out in full generality at 2PM is instructive. We give closed-form all-orders-in-spin expressions for the parallel 2PM impulse (from scalar box) and also for the transverse spin-induced contributions coming from the vector box. For the impulse contributions coming from the triangle integrals, we give spin-expanded expressions up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$.

For the eikonal and scattering angle, we give certain Fourier-transformed triangle integrals to all orders in spin, specifically those contributions that originate from the simple entire functions of the Compton amplitude that are associated with the pole term and subleading-pole term. We defer the remaining all-orders-results of the genuine contact terms to future work. For the aligned-spin scattering angle we explicitly print out the results up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$, and the remaining results are given in the ancillary file. Specifically, we provide results up to $\mathcal{O}(S^{11})$ for the triangle, scalar box, and tensor box, as well as the eikonal, scattering angle and impulse at 1PM and 2PM.

We have compared our computations to fixed-order-results for low-spin multipoles in the literature and find convincing agreement. Specifically, we focused on ref. [168] which gives the impulse up to $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$ in canonical SSC gauge, which required non-trivial conversion of our observables that are otherwise expressed in the covariant SSC gauge. We reproduce the impulse up to $\mathcal{O}(S^5)$, once taking into account the $\alpha = 0$, $\eta \neq 0$ choice that we employ for the contact terms in the Compton amplitude, and find a minor disagreement at $\mathcal{O}(S^6)$ for pieces coming from the vector box, which are simple iteration pieces. For reference, we have included some practical details of the canonical SSC conversion.

There are several avenues that can be explored some further work. Firstly, we were able to get surprisingly simple all-orders-in-spin formulae for the one-loop triangle coefficients, and while for certain of these closed formulae we also computed the classical observables in terms of the eikonal phase, more work is required to sufficiently simplify and present the remaining all-orders-in-spin contributions. Secondly, our results are given for the case $\alpha = 0$, where α is a tag that was introduced in ref. [260] to mark certain conspicuous contributions. The quantum higher-spin Compton amplitude seems to land naturally on $\alpha = 0$ [277], but it would be desirable to also obtain all-orders-in-spin results for contributions that may represent $\alpha \neq 0$. This requires more knowledge of such terms to higher orders $S^{\gg 8}$ (c.f. ref. [262]) such that suitable candidate entire functions in spin can be studied. Lastly, there are important classical observables that we have not explored in this work, such as the 2PM spin kick, which can be extracted from the same 1-loop amplitude discussed in this work. The higher-spin Compton amplitude can also be used for computing the leading-order waveform, which can be obtained from the five-point tree-level amplitude where a graviton external state is included.

Acknowledgments

We thank Fabian Bautista, Maor Ben-Shahar, Marco Chiodaroli, Gang Chen, Paolo Di Vecchia, Harald Ita, Alex Ochirov, Johannes Schlenk, Oliver Schlotterer, Evgeny Skvortsov, Fei Teng, Tianheng Wang, Mao Zeng for useful discussions and related collaborations. The research is supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation under grants KAW 2018.0116 (From Scattering Amplitudes to Gravitational Waves) and KAW 2018.0162. L.B. acknowledges support from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under the grant 200020 192092. The work of P.P. was supported by the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) Consolidated Grants ST/T000686/1 "Amplitudes, Strings & Duality" and ST/X00063X/1 "Amplitudes, Strings & Duality"; no new data were generated or analysed during this study. We appreciate the hospitality and support from the Munich Institute for Astro-, Particle and BioPhysics (MIAPbP), which is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy – EXC-2094 – 390783311. H.J. thanks the Galilei Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics for the hospitality and the INFN for partial support during the completion of this work.

A Fourier integrals

The types of integrals required for the Fourier transform to impact parameter space are

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha} = \int d^{D} \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} |q|^{\alpha}, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}_{\alpha} = \int d^D \mu \, e^{iq \cdot b} q^{\mu_1} \cdots q^{\mu_k} |q|^{\alpha} \tag{A.2}$$

A priori, the q^{μ} momenta has parallel components to the velocities,

$$q^{\mu} = x_1 v_1^{\mu} + x_2 v_2^{\mu} + \boldsymbol{q}_{\perp}^{\mu}$$
(A.3)

such that the on-shell measure decomposes into

$$d^{D} \mu := \frac{1}{4m_{1}m_{2}\sqrt{\sigma^{2} - 1}} \hat{d}^{D-2} \boldsymbol{q}_{\perp} dx_{1} dx_{2} \delta(x_{1}) \delta(x_{2}), \qquad (A.4)$$

where $\hat{d}^{D-2}\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp} = (2\pi)^{D-2}d^{D-2}\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp}$. Note that the parallel components of q^{μ} in eq. (A.2) integrate zero due to the delta functions in the measure such that

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4m_1m_2\sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \int \hat{d}^{D-2} \boldsymbol{q}_{\perp} e^{i\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp}\cdot\boldsymbol{b}} \boldsymbol{q}^{\mu_1}_{\perp} \cdots \boldsymbol{q}^{\mu_k}_{\perp} |\boldsymbol{q}_{\perp}|^{\alpha}$$
(A.5)

In the scalar case, the remaining D-2 dimensional Fourier transform evaluates to

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha} = \frac{2^{\alpha} \pi^{\frac{2-D}{2}}}{4m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha + D - 2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{-\alpha}{2})} \left(\frac{1}{|b|}\right)^{\alpha + D - 2} , \qquad (A.6)$$

see ref. [160] for details. Note that in D = 4 the integral diverges for even $\alpha < 0$ and needs to be regulated. Using the regulation scheme $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$, as used for the loop integrals, the leading orders of the scalar integral are

$$\mathcal{I}_{\alpha=-2r}\Big|_{D=4-2\epsilon} = \frac{(-1)^r}{4^{r+1}\pi m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{|b|^{2r-2}}{\Gamma(r)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2\log|b| - \psi(r) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right)$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^r}{4^{r+1}\pi m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{|b|^{2r-2}}{\Gamma(r)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon |b|^{2\epsilon}} - \psi(r)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \qquad (A.7)$$

where $\psi(r) := \Gamma'(r)/\Gamma$ is the digamma function. In the special case r = 1, a single *b*-derivative suffices to kill the infrared divergence

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\mu}} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha=-2} \Big|_{D=4-2\epsilon} = -\frac{1}{8\pi m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}} \frac{b^{\mu}}{|b|} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \,. \tag{A.8}$$

The general tensor integrals $\mathcal{I}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}_{\alpha}$ can be generated by taking derivatives with respect to b^{μ} of the scalar integral,

$$\mathcal{I}^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}_{\alpha} = (-i)^k \left(\Pi^{\mu_1\nu_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\nu_1}}\right) \cdots \left(\Pi^{\mu_k\nu_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial b_{\nu_k}}\right) \mathcal{I}_{\alpha} \,. \tag{A.9}$$

Since the Fourier integral projects out the plane spanned by v_1 and v_2 all the scalar products after integration live in the (D - 2)-dimensional subspace transverse to v_1 and v_2 . We enforce this by including the projector

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - v_1^{\mu} \check{v}_1^{\nu} - v_2^{\mu} \check{v}_2^{\nu}, \qquad \check{v}_1^{\mu} := \frac{\sigma v_2^{\mu} - v_1^{\mu}}{\sigma^2 - 1}, \qquad \check{v}_2^{\mu} := \frac{\sigma v_1^{\mu} - v_2^{\mu}}{\sigma^2 - 1}, \qquad (A.10)$$

where \check{v}_i^{μ} are the dual velocities, $v_i \cdot \check{v}_j = \delta_{ij}$. Note that the impact parameter is fully transverse and $|b| = \sqrt{b_{\perp}^2} = \sqrt{-b^2}$. The general action of the derivatives on the scalar integral is captured by

$$\left(\Pi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b}\right)^{\mu_1} \cdots \left(\Pi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b}\right)^{\mu_k} \frac{1}{|b|^\beta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\beta + 2(k-n-1))!!}{(\beta-2)!!} \frac{2^{-n}}{n!(k-2n)!} \frac{1}{|b|^{\beta+2(k-n)}} \right.$$
$$\left. \sum_{\vec{\sigma} \in \operatorname{perm}(\vec{\mu})} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \Pi^{\sigma(2i-1)\sigma(2i)} \prod_{i=2n+1}^k b^{\sigma(i)} \right] \right\}.$$
(A.11)

In practice the majority of the integrals that show up in the computation of the impulse and eikonal simplify due to the simple tensor structure of the integrands. For example the integrals that appear in the eikonal phase are of the form $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{(\star a)^k} := (\star a)_{\mu_1} \dots (\star a)_{\mu_k} \mathcal{I}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}$. Note that since $(\star a)_{\mu}$ is already transverse to v_1, v_2 the action of the projector is trivial and the combinatorics in eq. (A.11) simplify to

$$\left((\star a)^{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\mu}}\right)^{k}\frac{1}{|b|^{\beta}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(\beta + 2(k-n-1))!!\,k!}{2^{n}(\beta-2)!!\,(k-2n)!\,n!} \frac{(b\star a)^{k-2n}(\star a)^{2n}}{|b|^{\beta+2(k-n)}},\tag{A.12}$$

Taking $\beta = \alpha + D - 2$, and working in D = 4, we find that the integrals $\mathcal{I}_{\alpha}^{(\star a)^k}$ vanish for even $\alpha \geq 0$.

For even $\alpha < 0$ the integrals are in general divergent and need to be regulated. However the case $\alpha = -2$, relevant for the 1PM eikonal and the parallel contribution to 2PM impulse $\Delta p^{\mu}_{\parallel}$, is only divergent for k = 0, such that it is finite for k > 0,

$$\mathcal{I}_{D=4}^{(\star a)^{k}} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mathcal{N}}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - 2\log|b| - \psi(1)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) & \text{for } k = 0, \\ (-1)^{k+1} i^{k} \mathcal{N} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{2^{k-2n-2}(k-n-1)!\,k!}{(k-2n)!\,n!} \frac{(b\star a)^{k-2n}(\star a)^{2n}}{|b|^{2(k-n)}} & \text{for } k > 0, \end{cases}$$
(A.13)

where $\mathcal{N} = \frac{1}{4\pi m_1 m_2 \sqrt{\sigma^2 - 1}}$. As shown in eq. (A.8), the *b*-derivatives cancel the infrared divergence in the scalar integral \mathcal{I}_{-2} .

For odd $\alpha = 2r - 1$, relevant for 2PM computations, it is finite in D = 4 and it can be written on the simple form

$$\mathcal{I}_{D=4}^{(\star a)^{k}} = (-1)^{k+r} i^{k} \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor} \frac{(2k+2r-2n-1)!! (2r-1)!! k!}{2^{n} (k-2n)! n!} \frac{(b \star a)^{k-2n} (\star a)^{2n}}{|b|^{2(k-n+r)+1}} \,. \tag{A.14}$$

When computing the impulse via the KMOC framework, the relevant Fourier integrals have a free Lorentz index and are of the form

$$\mathcal{I}^{\nu(\star a)^k}_{\alpha} := (\star a)_{\mu_1} \dots (\star a)_{\mu_k} \mathcal{I}^{\nu \mu_1 \dots \mu_k} , \qquad (A.15)$$

such that the b-derivatives simplify to

$$\left((\star a)^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial b^{\mu}} \right)^{k} \left(\Pi \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \right)^{\nu} \frac{1}{|b|^{\beta}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{(\beta + 2(k-n))!! \, k!}{2^{n} (\beta - 2)!! \, (k+1-2n)! \, n!} \frac{1}{|b|^{\beta + 2(k+1-n)}} \\ \times \left[(k+1-2n)b^{\nu} (b \star a)^{k-2n} (\star a)^{2n} + (2n)(\star a)^{\nu} (b \star a)^{k+1-2n} (\star a)^{2n-2} \right] \right\}.$$
 (A.16)

This relation is valid in generic dimension, which is necessary since the integrals for the leading-order momentum must be regularised. For example the transverse contribution to the 2PM impulse, $\Delta p_{e_{\perp}}^{\nu}$, requires computing the integral $\epsilon^{-1}(\star a)_{\mu_1} \dots (\star a)_{\mu_k} \mathcal{I}_{\alpha=-2\epsilon}^{\nu(\star a)^k}$, where the dependence on the regulator ϵ is generated by the dimensional reduction of the loop integral, $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$. We will see that the impulse is finite so long as we regularise the Fourier integrals using the same prescription. At leading order in $\epsilon \to 0$, the integral is finite and can be reduced to

$$\epsilon^{-1}(\star a)_{\mu_{1}}\dots(\star a)_{\mu_{k}}\mathcal{I}_{-2\epsilon}^{\nu\mu_{1}\dots\mu_{k}} = \mathcal{N}\sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor} \left\{ \frac{2^{k-2n+1}(k-n+1)!}{n!(k-2n+1)!} \frac{1}{|b|^{2(k+2-n)}} \\ \left[k!(k+1-2n)(b\star a)^{k-2n}(\star a)^{2n}b^{\nu} + k!(2n)(b\star a)^{k+1-2n}(\star a)^{2n-2}(\star a)^{\nu} \right] \right\}.$$
(A.17)

The all-orders expression in eq. (4.16) is generated by exponentiation of the above integral since \tilde{c}_{\Box} introduces the exponential $e^{iq\star a}$.

B Spin Supplementary Condition

In this section we discuss the redundancy in the definition of spin variables, and how to fix it by means of a *spin supplementary condition* (SSC). We follow the approaches outlined in refs. [162, 168].

Let us consider the motion of two bodies at positions b_1^{μ} and b_2^{μ} moving with momenta p_1 and p_2 . In the centre-of-mass frame, we have

$$p_1^{\mu} = -(E_1, \vec{p}) = m_1 v_1,$$

$$p_2^{\mu} = -(E_2, -\vec{p}) = m_2 v_2.$$
(B.1)

The unit vector $\hat{P}^{\mu} = (p_1 + p_2)^{\mu}/E$, with $E = E_1 + E_2$, picks out the center-of-mass frame, which becomes the statement $\hat{P}^{\mu} = (-1, \vec{0})$.

The total angular momentum of the system is described by a tensor $J^{\mu\nu}$ and it is a well-defined conserved quantity. Splitting this tensor into an orbital angular momentum $L^{\mu\nu}$ and intrinsic angular momentum of each body, $S_i^{\mu\nu}$ can be done as

$$J^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} + S_1^{\mu\nu} + S_2^{\mu\nu}, \qquad (B.2)$$

where $L^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$L^{\mu\nu} = 2b_1^{[\mu}p_1^{\nu]} + 2b_2^{[\mu}p_2^{\nu]}.$$
(B.3)

However, the split potentially ambiguous. If we redefine the notion of the "center" of each body via $b_i^{\mu} \rightarrow b_i^{\mu} + \delta b_i^{\mu}$, invariance of $J^{\mu\nu}$ demands that the intrinsic spin vectors transform as

$$\delta S_i^{\mu\nu} = -2\delta b_i^{[\mu} p_i^{\nu]} \,. \tag{B.4}$$

This is effectively a gauge symmetry of the system, which needs to be accounted for by a gauge-fixing condition. Such condition is known as the SSC, and the one we use in this work is the *covariant* SSC, defined by

$$p_{i\mu}S_i^{\mu\nu} = 0. (B.5)$$

Working in covariant SSC, it is customary to define spin vectors J^{μ} , L^{μ} and S^{μ}_{i} as

$$J^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} J_{\nu\rho} \hat{P}_{\sigma} ,$$

$$L^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} L_{\nu\rho} \hat{P}_{\sigma} ,$$

$$S^{\mu}_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} S_{i\nu\rho} v_{i\sigma} .$$
(B.6)

The orbital spin vector L^{μ} can be written in terms of the impact parameter $b^{\mu} = b_2^{\mu} - b_1^{\mu}$ through $L^{\mu} = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}b_{\nu}p_{1\rho}p_{2\sigma}/E$. The inverse relations that expresses the spin tensors in terms of spin vectors are similar, for instance

$$S_i^{\mu\nu} = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} v_{i\rho} S_{i\sigma} \,. \tag{B.7}$$

The spin vector S_i^{μ} thus takes the natural form in the rest frame of particle *i*, namely

$$S_i^{\mu}|_{v_i^{\mu}=(-1,\vec{0})} = (0,\vec{s}_i), \qquad (B.8)$$

where \vec{s}_i is the body's spin 3-vector, and the frame exhibited is indicated by the condition it satisfies.

It is useful to quote the explicit form of the covariant spin vectors S_i^{μ} in the center-ofmass frame of the system, namely

$$S_{i}^{\mu}|_{\hat{P}^{\mu}=(-1,\vec{0})} = \left(\vec{v}_{i}\cdot\vec{s}_{i},\vec{s}_{i}+\frac{\vec{v}_{i}\cdot\vec{s}_{i}}{\gamma_{i}+1}\vec{v}_{i}\right).$$
(B.9)

where $\gamma_i = \hat{P} \cdot v_i = \cosh \zeta_i$ are the Lorentz factors, and ζ_i are the rapidities.

The choice of covariant spin vectors has a drawback: it is clear from the definitions (B.6) that the intrinsic and orbital spin vectors do not sum to the total spin vector, namely

$$J^{\mu} \neq L^{\mu} + S_1^{\mu} + S_2^{\mu} \,. \tag{B.10}$$

A related issue is that the covariant spin 3-vectors \vec{s}_i do not obey canonical Poissonbracket relations, which creates some difficulties when working with Hamiltonians, see e.g. ref. [306]. Resolving this leads to the gauge-fixing choice known as *canonical* (or *Newton-Wigner*) SSC, namely

$$(\hat{P} + v_i)_{\mu} S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu\nu} = 0.$$
(B.11)

In this case, while the spin vectors J_{can}^{μ} and L_{can}^{μ} are defined in the same manner as in eq. (B.6), the intrinsic spin vectors $S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu}$ are now defined as

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} S_{i,\text{can}\nu\rho} \hat{P}_{\sigma} , \qquad (B.12)$$

where the inverse transformation is now given by

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\gamma_i + 1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (\hat{P} + v_i)_{\rho} S_{i,\text{can}\sigma} , \qquad (B.13)$$

This new choice satisfies $J^{\mu} = L^{\mu}_{can} + S^{\mu}_{1,can} + S^{\mu}_{2,can}$, and it can be shown that the threevectors $\vec{S}_{i,can}$ satisfy canonical Poisson brackets (see e.g. [306]). The transition from covariant to canonical SSC is realised via the transformation (B.4), where

$$\delta b_i^{\mu} = -\frac{\hat{P} \cdot S_i^{\mu}}{E_i + m_i} \,. \tag{B.14}$$

This gives rise to the following relations between quantities in covariant and canonical SSC:

$$b_{\text{can}}^{\mu} = b^{\mu} - \frac{\hat{P} \cdot S_{2}^{\mu}}{E_{2} + m_{2}} + \frac{\hat{P} \cdot S_{1}^{\mu}}{E_{1} + m_{1}},$$

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu\nu} = S_{i}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{2}{\gamma_{i} + 1} \hat{P} \cdot S_{i}^{[\mu} v_{i}^{\nu]},$$

$$L_{\text{can}}^{\mu\nu} = L^{\mu\nu} - \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{2}{\gamma_{i} + 1} \hat{P} \cdot S_{i}^{[\mu} v_{i}^{\nu]},$$
(B.15)

or in terms of spin vectors,

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu} = S_{i}^{\mu} - \frac{P \cdot S_{i}}{\gamma_{i} + 1} (\hat{P}^{\mu} + v_{i}^{\mu}),$$

$$L_{\text{can}}^{\mu} = L^{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[(\gamma_{i} - 1)S_{i}^{\mu} + \frac{\hat{P} \cdot S_{i}}{\gamma_{i} + 1} (\hat{P}^{\mu} - \gamma_{i}v_{i}^{\mu}) \right],$$
(B.16)

where we used $m_i \gamma_i = E_i$. One can also compute the explicit form for the canonical spin vectors $S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu}$ in the centre-of-mass frame, and it takes the expected form

$$S_{i,\text{can}}^{\mu}|_{\hat{P}^{\mu}=(-1,\vec{0})} = (0,\vec{s}_i) .$$
(B.17)

It is also useful to derive some of the inverse transformations, expressing quantities in covariant SSC in terms of their canonical counterpart. They are

$$b^{\mu} = b^{\mu}_{can} + \frac{\hat{P} \cdot S^{\mu}_{2,can}}{m_2} - \frac{\hat{P} \cdot S^{\mu}_{1,can}}{m_1},$$

$$S^{\mu\nu}_i = S^{\mu\nu}_{i,can} + \frac{2}{\gamma_i + 1} v_i \cdot S^{[\mu}_{i,can} (\hat{P} + v_i)^{\nu]},$$

$$S^{\mu}_i = S^{\mu}_{i,can} - \frac{v_i \cdot S_{i,can}}{\gamma_i + 1} (\hat{P}^{\mu} + v^{\mu}_i).$$

(B.18)

References

- LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO collaboration, Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116 (2016) 061102 [1602.03837].
- [2] LIGO SCIENTIFIC, VIRGO collaboration, GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119 (2017) 161101 [1710.05832].
- [3] M. Punturo et al., The Einstein Telescope: A third-generation gravitational wave observatory, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 194002.
- [4] LISA collaboration, Laser Interferometer Space Antenna, 1702.00786.
- [5] D. Reitze et al., Cosmic Explorer: The U.S. Contribution to Gravitational-Wave Astronomy beyond LIGO, Bull. Am. Astron. Soc. 51 (2019) 035 [1907.04833].
- [6] A. Buonanno and T. Damour, Effective one-body approach to general relativistic two-body dynamics, Phys. Rev. D59 (1999) 084006 [gr-qc/9811091].
- T. Damour, Coalescence of two spinning black holes: an effective one-body approach, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 124013 [gr-qc/0103018].
- [8] L. Blanchet, Post-Newtonian Theory for Gravitational Waves, Living Rev. Rel. 17 (2014) 2 [1310.1528].
- T. Damour, High-energy gravitational scattering and the general relativistic two-body problem, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 044038 [1710.10599].
- [10] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, G. Festuccia, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, General Relativity from Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 171601 [1806.04920].
- [11] C. Cheung, I.Z. Rothstein and M.P. Solon, From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Potentials in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 251101 [1808.02489].
- [12] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon and M. Zeng, Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122 (2019) 201603 [1901.04424].
- [13] Z. Bern, C. Cheung, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon and M. Zeng, Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory, JHEP 10 (2019) 206 [1908.01493].

- T. Damour, Classical and quantum scattering in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 024060 [1912.02139].
- [15] C. Cheung and M.P. Solon, Classical gravitational scattering at O(G³) from Feynman diagrams, JHEP 06 (2020) 144 [2003.08351].
- [16] G. Kälin, Z. Liu and R.A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems to Third Post-Minkowskian Order from the Effective Field Theory Approach, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 261103 [2007.04977].
- [17] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, The amplitude for classical gravitational scattering at third Post-Minkowskian order, JHEP 08 (2021) 172 [2105.05218].
- [18] A. Brandhuber, G. Chen, G. Travaglini and C. Wen, Classical gravitational scattering from a gauge-invariant double copy, JHEP 10 (2021) 118 [2108.04216].
- [19] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and B. Sauer, All things retarded: radiation-reaction in worldline quantum field theory, JHEP 10 (2022) 128 [2207.00569].
- [20] D. Akpinar, F. Febres Cordero, M. Kraus, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, Spinning Black Hole Scattering at O(G³S²): Casimir Terms, Radial Action and Hidden Symmetry, 2407.19005.
- [21] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M.S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon et al., Scattering Amplitudes and Conservative Binary Dynamics at O(G⁴), Phys. Rev. Lett. **126** (2021) 171601 [2101.07254].
- [22] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, M.S. Ruf, C.-H. Shen, M.P. Solon et al., Scattering Amplitudes, the Tail Effect, and Conservative Binary Dynamics at O(G4), Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 161103 [2112.10750].
- [23] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu and R.A. Porto, Dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Minkowskian order from the effective field theory approach, Phys. Lett. B 831 (2022) 137203 [2106.08276].
- [24] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu and R.A. Porto, Conservative Dynamics of Binary Systems at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order in the Large-Eccentricity Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 161104 [2112.11296].
- [25] M. Khalil, A. Buonanno, J. Steinhoff and J. Vines, Energetics and scattering of gravitational two-body systems at fourth post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 024042 [2204.05047].
- [26] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and B. Sauer, Tidal effects and renormalization at fourth post-Minkowskian order, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) L041504 [2312.00719].
- [27] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and B. Sauer, Dissipative Scattering of Spinning Black Holes at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 241402 [2308.11514].
- [28] P.H. Damgaard, E.R. Hansen, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, Classical observables from the exponential representation of the gravitational S-matrix, JHEP 09 (2023) 183 [2307.04746].
- [29] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu and R.A. Porto, Local in Time Conservative Binary Dynamics at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 221401 [2403.04853].
- [30] M. Driesse, G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, B. Sauer and J. Usovitsch, Conservative Black Hole Scattering at Fifth Post-Minkowskian and First Self-Force Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132 (2024) 241402 [2403.07781].

- [31] G. Kälin and R.A. Porto, From Boundary Data to Bound States, JHEP 01 (2020) 072 [1910.03008].
- [32] G. Kälin and R.A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part II. Scattering angle to dynamical invariants (with twist), JHEP **02** (2020) 120 [1911.09130].
- [33] G. Cho, G. Kälin and R.A. Porto, From boundary data to bound states. Part III. Radiative effects, JHEP 04 (2022) 154 [2112.03976].
- [34] T. Adamo and R. Gonzo, Bethe-Salpeter equation for classical gravitational bound states, JHEP 05 (2023) 088 [2212.13269].
- [35] R. Gonzo and C. Shi, Boundary to bound dictionary for generic Kerr orbits, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 084065 [2304.06066].
- [36] N. Siemonsen, J. Steinhoff and J. Vines, Gravitational waves from spinning binary black holes at the leading post-Newtonian orders at all orders in spin, Phys. Rev. D 97 (2018) 124046 [1712.08603].
- [37] S. Foffa, R.A. Porto, I. Rothstein and R. Sturani, Conservative dynamics of binary systems to fourth Post-Newtonian order in the EFT approach II: Renormalized Lagrangian, Phys. Rev. D 100 (2019) 024048 [1903.05118].
- [38] J. Blümlein, A. Maier and P. Marquard, Five-Loop Static Contribution to the Gravitational Interaction Potential of Two Point Masses, Phys. Lett. B 800 (2020) 135100 [1902.11180].
- [39] J. Blümlein, A. Maier, P. Marquard and G. Schäfer, Testing binary dynamics in gravity at the sixth post-Newtonian level, Phys. Lett. B 807 (2020) 135496 [2003.07145].
- [40] M. Levi, S. Mougiakakos and M. Vieira, Gravitational cubic-in-spin interaction at the next-to-leading post-Newtonian order, JHEP 01 (2021) 036 [1912.06276].
- [41] M. Levi and F. Teng, NLO gravitational quartic-in-spin interaction, JHEP 01 (2021) 066 [2008.12280].
- [42] M. Levi and Z. Yin, Completing the fifth PN precision frontier via the EFT of spinning gravitating objects, JHEP 04 (2023) 079 [2211.14018].
- [43] M. Levi, R. Morales and Z. Yin, From the EFT of spinning gravitating objects to Poincaré and gauge invariance at the 4.5PN precision frontier, JHEP 09 (2023) 090 [2210.17538].
- [44] M.K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, R. Patil and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational quadratic-in-spin Hamiltonian at NNNLO in the post-Newtonian framework, JHEP 07 (2023) 128 [2210.09176].
- [45] M.K. Mandal, P. Mastrolia, R. Patil and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational spin-orbit Hamiltonian at NNNLO in the post-Newtonian framework, JHEP 03 (2023) 130 [2209.00611].
- [46] A. Placidi, P. Rettegno and A. Nagar, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling through the third-subleading post-Newtonian order: Exploring spin-gauge flexibility, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 084065 [2401.12290].
- [47] M. Khalil, Gravitational spin-orbit dynamics at the fifth-and-a-half post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 124015 [2110.12813].
- [48] A. Antonelli, C. Kavanagh, M. Khalil, J. Steinhoff and J. Vines, Gravitational spin-orbit and aligned spin₁-spin₂ couplings through third-subleading post-Newtonian orders, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 124024 [2010.02018].

- [49] A. Antonelli, C. Kavanagh, M. Khalil, J. Steinhoff and J. Vines, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling through third-subleading post-Newtonian order: from first-order self-force to arbitrary mass ratios, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 011103 [2003.11391].
- [50] G. Cho, B. Pardo and R.A. Porto, Gravitational radiation from inspiralling compact objects: Spin-spin effects completed at the next-to-leading post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 024037 [2103.14612].
- [51] D. Bini, A. Geralico and P. Rettegno, Spin-orbit contribution to radiative losses for spinning binaries with aligned spins, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 064049 [2307.12670].
- [52] W.D. Goldberger and I.Z. Rothstein, An Effective field theory of gravity for extended objects, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 104029 [hep-th/0409156].
- [53] W.D. Goldberger, Les houches lectures on effective field theories and gravitational radiation, in Les Houches Summer School - Session 86: Particle Physics and Cosmology: The Fabric of Spacetime, 1, 2007 [hep-ph/0701129].
- [54] B. Kol and M. Smolkin, Non-Relativistic Gravitation: From Newton to Einstein and Back, Class. Quant. Grav. 25 (2008) 145011 [0712.4116].
- [55] W.D. Goldberger and A. Ross, Gravitational radiative corrections from effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D81 (2010) 124015 [0912.4254].
- [56] S. Foffa and R. Sturani, Effective field theory methods to model compact binaries, Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014) 043001 [1309.3474].
- [57] S. Foffa, P. Mastrolia, R. Sturani and C. Sturm, Effective field theory approach to the gravitational two-body dynamics, at fourth post-Newtonian order and quintic in the Newton constant, Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 104009 [1612.00482].
- [58] G. Kälin and R.A. Porto, Post-Minkowskian Effective Field Theory for Conservative Binary Dynamics, JHEP 11 (2020) 106 [2006.01184].
- [59] R.A. Porto, Post-Newtonian corrections to the motion of spinning bodies in NRGR, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 104031 [gr-qc/0511061].
- [60] R.A. Porto and I.Z. Rothstein, The Hyperfine Einstein-Infeld-Hoffmann potential, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 (2006) 021101 [gr-qc/0604099].
- [61] R.A. Porto and I.Z. Rothstein, Spin(1)Spin(2) Effects in the Motion of Inspiralling Compact Binaries at Third Order in the Post-Newtonian Expansion, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 044012 [0802.0720].
- [62] R.A. Porto and I.Z. Rothstein, Next to Leading Order Spin(1)Spin(1) Effects in the Motion of Inspiralling Compact Binaries, Phys. Rev. D 78 (2008) 044013 [0804.0260].
- [63] M. Levi, Next to Leading Order gravitational Spin1-Spin2 coupling with Kaluza-Klein reduction, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 064029 [0802.1508].
- [64] R.A. Porto, Next to leading order spin-orbit effects in the motion of inspiralling compact binaries, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 205001 [1005.5730].
- [65] R.A. Porto, A. Ross and I.Z. Rothstein, Spin induced multipole moments for the gravitational wave flux from binary inspirals to third Post-Newtonian order, JCAP 03 (2011) 009 [1007.1312].
- [66] M. Levi, Next to Leading Order gravitational Spin-Orbit coupling in an Effective Field Theory approach, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 104004 [1006.4139].

- [67] M. Levi, Binary dynamics from spin1-spin2 coupling at fourth post-Newtonian order, Phys. Rev. D 85 (2012) 064043 [1107.4322].
- [68] R.A. Porto, A. Ross and I.Z. Rothstein, Spin induced multipole moments for the gravitational wave amplitude from binary inspirals to 2.5 Post-Newtonian order, JCAP 09 (2012) 028 [1203.2962].
- [69] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Leading order finite size effects with spins for inspiralling compact binaries, JHEP 06 (2015) 059 [1410.2601].
- [70] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Equivalence of ADM Hamiltonian and Effective Field Theory approaches at next-to-next-to-leading order spin1-spin2 coupling of binary inspirals, JCAP 12 (2014) 003 [1408.5762].
- [71] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Spinning gravitating objects in the effective field theory in the post-Newtonian scheme, JHEP **09** (2015) 219 [1501.04956].
- [72] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Next-to-next-to-leading order gravitational spin-orbit coupling via the effective field theory for spinning objects in the post-Newtonian scheme, JCAP 01 (2016) 011 [1506.05056].
- [73] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Next-to-next-to-leading order gravitational spin-squared potential via the effective field theory for spinning objects in the post-Newtonian scheme, JCAP 1601 (2016) 008 [1506.05794].
- [74] M. Levi and J. Steinhoff, Complete conservative dynamics for inspiralling compact binaries with spins at fourth post-Newtonian order, 1607.04252.
- [75] N.T. Maia, C.R. Galley, A.K. Leibovich and R.A. Porto, Radiation reaction for spinning bodies in effective field theory II: Spin-spin effects, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 084065 [1705.07938].
- [76] N.T. Maia, C.R. Galley, A.K. Leibovich and R.A. Porto, Radiation reaction for spinning bodies in effective field theory I: Spin-orbit effects, Phys. Rev. D 96 (2017) 084064 [1705.07934].
- [77] M. Levi, A.J. Mcleod and M. Von Hippel, N³LO gravitational spin-orbit coupling at order G⁴, JHEP 07 (2021) 115 [2003.02827].
- [78] M. Levi, A.J. Mcleod and M. Von Hippel, N³LO gravitational quadratic-in-spin interactions at G⁴, JHEP 07 (2021) 116 [2003.07890].
- [79] Z. Liu, R.A. Porto and Z. Yang, Spin Effects in the Effective Field Theory Approach to Post-Minkowskian Conservative Dynamics, JHEP 06 (2021) 012 [2102.10059].
- [80] B. Maybee, D. O'Connell and J. Vines, Observables and amplitudes for spinning particles and black holes, JHEP 12 (2019) 156 [1906.09260].
- [81] A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, D.A. Kosower and D. O'Connell, Waveforms from amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 056007 [2107.10193].
- [82] A. Cristofoli, R. Gonzo, N. Moynihan, D. O'Connell, A. Ross, M. Sergola et al., The uncertainty principle and classical amplitudes, JHEP 06 (2024) 181 [2112.07556].
- [83] A. Cristofoli, A. Elkhidir, A. Ilderton and D. O'Connell, Large gauge effects and the structure of amplitudes, JHEP 06 (2023) 204 [2211.16438].
- [84] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli and A. Ilderton, Classical physics from amplitudes on curved backgrounds, JHEP 08 (2022) 281 [2203.13785].

- [85] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, The gravitational eikonal: From particle, string and brane collisions to black-hole encounters, Phys. Rept. 1083 (2024) 1 [2306.16488].
- [86] A. Koemans Collado, P. Di Vecchia and R. Russo, Revisiting the second post-Minkowskian eikonal and the dynamics of binary black holes, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 066028 [1904.02667].
- [87] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, The eikonal approach to gravitational scattering and radiation at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$, JHEP 07 (2021) 169 [2104.03256].
- [88] C. Heissenberg, Infrared divergences and the eikonal exponentiation, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 046016 [2105.04594].
- [89] K. Haddad, Exponentiation of the leading eikonal phase with spin, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 026004 [2109.04427].
- [90] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli and P. Tourkine, Eikonal amplitudes from curved backgrounds, SciPost Phys. 13 (2022) 032 [2112.09113].
- [91] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, Classical gravitational observables from the Eikonal operator, Phys. Lett. B 843 (2023) 138049 [2210.12118].
- [92] B. Bellazzini, G. Isabella and M.M. Riva, Classical vs quantum eikonal scattering and its causal structure, JHEP 04 (2023) 023 [2211.00085].
- [93] A. Luna, N. Moynihan, D. O'Connell and A. Ross, Observables from the Spinning Eikonal, 2312.09960.
- [94] J.P. Gatica, The Eikonal Phase and Spinning Observables, 2312.04680.
- [95] K. Fernandes and F.-L. Lin, Next-to-eikonal corrected double graviton dressing and gravitational wave observables at $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$, JHEP 06 (2024) 015 [2401.03900].
- [96] Y. Du, S. Ajith, R. Rajagopal and D. Vaman, Worldline Proof of Eikonal Exponentiation, 2409.12895.
- [97] G. Mogull, J. Plefka and J. Steinhoff, Classical black hole scattering from a worldline quantum field theory, JHEP 02 (2021) 048 [2010.02865].
- [98] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and J. Steinhoff, Classical Gravitational Bremsstrahlung from a Worldline Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 201103 [2101.12688].
- [99] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and J. Steinhoff, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung and Hidden Supersymmetry of Spinning Bodies, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 011101 [2106.10256].
- [100] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka and J. Steinhoff, SUSY in the sky with gravitons, JHEP 01 (2022) 027 [2109.04465].
- [101] F. Comberiati and C. Shi, Classical Double Copy of Spinning Worldline Quantum Field Theory, JHEP 04 (2023) 008 [2212.13855].
- [102] M. Ben-Shahar, Scattering of spinning compact objects from a worldline EFT, 2311.01430.
- [103] P.H. Damgaard, E.R. Hansen, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, The relation between KMOC and worldline formalisms for classical gravity, JHEP 09 (2023) 059 [2306.11454].

- [104] P.H. Damgaard, K. Haddad and A. Helset, *Heavy Black Hole Effective Theory*, JHEP 11 (2019) 070 [1908.10308].
- [105] R. Aoude, K. Haddad and A. Helset, On-shell heavy particle effective theories, JHEP 05 (2020) 051 [2001.09164].
- [106] Z. Bern, J. Carrasco and H. Johansson, New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 085011 [0805.3993].
- [107] Z. Bern, J.J.M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 061602 [1004.0476].
- [108] Z. Bern, J.J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, The Duality Between Color and Kinematics and its Applications, 1909.01358.
- [109] T. Adamo, J.J.M. Carrasco, M. Carrillo-González, M. Chiodaroli, H. Elvang, H. Johansson et al., Snowmass White Paper: the Double Copy and its Applications, in Snowmass 2021, 4, 2022 [2204.06547].
- [110] Z. Bern, J.J. Carrasco, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson and R. Roiban, The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes Chapter 2: An invitation to color-kinematics duality and the double copy, J. Phys. A 55 (2022) 443003 [2203.13013].
- [111] A. Luna, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson, D. O'Connell and C.D. White, *The double copy: Bremsstrahlung and accelerating black holes*, *JHEP* 06 (2016) 023 [1603.05737].
- [112] A. Luna, I. Nicholson, D. O'Connell and C.D. White, Inelastic Black Hole Scattering from Charged Scalar Amplitudes, JHEP 03 (2018) 044 [1711.03901].
- [113] C.-H. Shen, Gravitational Radiation from Color-Kinematics Duality, JHEP 11 (2018) 162 [1806.07388].
- [114] J. Li and S.G. Prabhu, Gravitational radiation from the classical spinning double copy, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 105019 [1803.02405].
- [115] W.D. Goldberger and J. Li, Strings, extended objects, and the classical double copy, JHEP 02 (2020) 092 [1912.01650].
- [116] J. Plefka, C. Shi and T. Wang, Double copy of massive scalar QCD, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 066004 [1911.06785].
- [117] Y.F. Bautista and A. Guevara, From Scattering Amplitudes to Classical Physics: Universality, Double Copy and Soft Theorems, 1903.12419.
- [118] K. Kim, K. Lee, R. Monteiro, I. Nicholson and D. Peinador Veiga, The Classical Double Copy of a Point Charge, JHEP 02 (2020) 046 [1912.02177].
- [119] R. Monteiro, D. O'Connell, D. Peinador Veiga and M. Sergola, Classical solutions and their double copy in split signature, JHEP 05 (2021) 268 [2012.11190].
- [120] K. Haddad and A. Helset, The double copy for heavy particles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 181603 [2005.13897].
- [121] J.J.M. Carrasco and I.A. Vazquez-Holm, Loop-Level Double-Copy for Massive Quantum Particles, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 045002 [2010.13435].
- [122] J.J.M. Carrasco and I.A. Vazquez-Holm, Extracting Einstein from the loop-level double-copy, JHEP 11 (2021) 088 [2108.06798].

- [123] A. Brandhuber, G. Chen, G. Travaglini and C. Wen, A new gauge-invariant double copy for heavy-mass effective theory, JHEP 07 (2021) 047 [2104.11206].
- [124] R. Gonzo and C. Shi, Geodesics from classical double copy, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 105012 [2109.01072].
- [125] C. Shi and J. Plefka, Classical double copy of worldline quantum field theory, Phys. Rev. D 105 (2022) 026007 [2109.10345].
- [126] G.L. Almeida, S. Foffa and R. Sturani, Classical Gravitational Self-Energy from Double Copy, JHEP 11 (2020) 165 [2008.06195].
- [127] T. Wang, Binary dynamics from worldline QFT for scalar QED, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 085011 [2205.15753].
- [128] M. Carrillo González, A. Momeni and J. Rumbutis, Cotton double copy for gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 025006 [2202.10476].
- [129] A.P. Saha, B. Sahoo and A. Sen, Proof of the classical soft graviton theorem in D = 4, JHEP 06 (2020) 153 [1912.06413].
- [130] A. Manu, D. Ghosh, A. Laddha and P.V. Athira, Soft radiation from scattering amplitudes revisited, JHEP 05 (2021) 056 [2007.02077].
- [131] P. Di Vecchia, C. Heissenberg, R. Russo and G. Veneziano, Radiation Reaction from Soft Theorems, Phys. Lett. B 818 (2021) 136379 [2101.05772].
- [132] F. Alessio and P. Di Vecchia, Radiation reaction for spinning black-hole scattering, Phys. Lett. B 832 (2022) 137258 [2203.13272].
- [133] M. A. and D. Ghosh, Classical spinning soft factors from gauge theory amplitudes, 2210.07561.
- [134] F. Alessio and P. Di Vecchia, 2PM waveform from loop corrected soft theorems, 2402.06533.
- [135] A. Elkhidir, D. O'Connell and R. Roiban, Supertranslations from Scattering Amplitudes, 2408.15961.
- [136] S. Akhtar, On the classical limit of the $(sub)^n$ -leading soft graviton theorems in D = 4 without deflection, 2409.12898.
- [137] F. Alessio, P. Di Vecchia and C. Heissenberg, Logarithmic soft theorems and soft spectra, 2407.04128.
- [138] F. Cachazo and A. Guevara, Leading Singularities and Classical Gravitational Scattering, 1705.10262.
- [139] A. Guevara, Holomorphic Classical Limit for Spin Effects in Gravitational and Electromagnetic Scattering, JHEP 04 (2019) 033 [1706.02314].
- [140] J.-H. Kim, Asymptotic Spinspacetime, 2309.11886.
- [141] J.-H. Kim and S. Lee, Symplectic Perturbation Theory in Massive Ambitwistor Space: A Zig-Zag Theory of Massive Spinning Particles, 2301.06203.
- [142] J.-H. Kim, J.-W. Kim and S. Lee, Massive twistor worldline in electromagnetic fields, JHEP 08 (2024) 080 [2405.17056].
- [143] R.A. Porto, The effective field theorist's approach to gravitational dynamics, Phys. Rept. 633 (2016) 1 [1601.04914].

- [144] L. Barack et al., Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics: a roadmap, Class. Quant. Grav. 36 (2019) 143001 [1806.05195].
- [145] M. Levi, Effective Field Theories of Post-Newtonian Gravity: A comprehensive review, Rept. Prog. Phys. 83 (2020) 075901 [1807.01699].
- [146] A. Buonanno, M. Khalil, D. O'Connell, R. Roiban, M.P. Solon and M. Zeng, Snowmass White Paper: Gravitational Waves and Scattering Amplitudes, in Snowmass 2021, 4, 2022 [2204.05194].
- [147] D.A. Kosower, R. Monteiro and D. O'Connell, The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes Chapter 14: Classical gravity from scattering amplitudes, J. Phys. A 55 (2022) 443015 [2203.13025].
- [148] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard, L. Plante and P. Vanhove, The SAGEX review on scattering amplitudes Chapter 13: Post-Minkowskian expansion from scattering amplitudes, J. Phys. A 55 (2022) 443014 [2203.13024].
- [149] W.D. Goldberger, Effective Field Theory for Compact Binary Dynamics, 2212.06677.
- [150] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, Effective Field Theory and Applications: Weak Field Observables from Scattering Amplitudes in Quantum Field Theory, 2212.08957.
- [151] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov and J. Vines, Scattering of Spinning Black Holes from Exponentiated Soft Factors, JHEP 09 (2019) 056 [1812.06895].
- [152] D. Bini and A. Geralico, High-energy hyperbolic scattering by neutron stars and black holes, Phys. Rev. D 98 (2018) 024049 [1806.02085].
- [153] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang and J.-W. Kim, Classical potential for general spinning bodies, JHEP 09 (2020) 074 [1908.08463].
- [154] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, A. Cristofoli and P.H. Damgaard, Post-Minkowskian Scattering Angle in Einstein Gravity, JHEP 08 (2020) 038 [1910.09366].
- [155] A. Cristofoli, N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P.H. Damgaard and P. Vanhove, On Post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in General Relativity, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 084040 [1906.01579].
- [156] W.D. Goldberger and I.Z. Rothstein, Horizon radiation reaction forces, JHEP 10 (2020) 026 [2007.00731].
- [157] U. Kol, D. O'connell and O. Telem, The radial action from probe amplitudes to all orders, JHEP 03 (2022) 141 [2109.12092].
- [158] W.-M. Chen, M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang and J.-W. Kim, The 2PM Hamiltonian for binary Kerr to quartic in spin, JHEP 08 (2022) 148 [2111.13639].
- [159] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, L. Planté and P. Vanhove, Post-Minkowskian radial action from soft limits and velocity cuts, JHEP 03 (2022) 071 [2111.02976].
- [160] E. Herrmann, J. Parra-Martinez, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, Radiative classical gravitational observables at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$ from scattering amplitudes, JHEP 10 (2021) 148 [2104.03957].
- [161] G.U. Jakobsen and G. Mogull, Conservative and Radiative Dynamics of Spinning Bodies at Third Post-Minkowskian Order Using Worldline Quantum Field Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128 (2022) 141102 [2201.07778].
- [162] G.U. Jakobsen and G. Mogull, Linear response, Hamiltonian, and radiative spinning two-body dynamics, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 044033 [2210.06451].

- [163] R. Aoude, K. Haddad and A. Helset, Classical Gravitational Spinning-Spinless Scattering at O(G2S∞), Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 141102 [2205.02809].
- [164] G. Menezes and M. Sergola, NLO deflections for spinning particles and Kerr black holes, JHEP 10 (2022) 105 [2205.11701].
- [165] P.H. Damgaard, J. Hoogeveen, A. Luna and J. Vines, *Scattering angles in Kerr metrics*, *Phys. Rev. D* **106** (2022) 124030 [2208.11028].
- [166] F. Febres Cordero, M. Kraus, G. Lin, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, Conservative Binary Dynamics with a Spinning Black Hole at O(G3) from Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 021601 [2205.07357].
- [167] F. Alessio, Kerr binary dynamics from minimal coupling and double copy, 2303.12784.
- [168] Y.F. Bautista, Dynamics for super-extremal Kerr binary systems at O(G2), Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 084036 [2304.04287].
- [169] A.V. Manohar, A.K. Ridgway and C.-H. Shen, Radiated Angular Momentum and Dissipative Effects in Classical Scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 121601 [2203.04283].
- [170] G. Kälin, J. Neef and R.A. Porto, Radiation-reaction in the Effective Field Theory approach to Post-Minkowskian dynamics, JHEP 01 (2023) 140 [2207.00580].
- [171] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, Radiated momentum and radiation reaction in gravitational two-body scattering including time-asymmetric effects, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 024012 [2210.07165].
- [172] C. Dlapa, G. Kälin, Z. Liu, J. Neef and R.A. Porto, Radiation Reaction and Gravitational Waves at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 101401 [2210.05541].
- [173] M.M. Riva and F. Vernizzi, Radiated momentum in the post-Minkowskian worldline approach via reverse unitarity, JHEP 11 (2021) 228 [2110.10140].
- [174] A. Brandhuber, G.R. Brown, G. Chen, S. De Angelis, J. Gowdy and G. Travaglini, One-loop gravitational bremsstrahlung and waveforms from a heavy-mass effective field theory, JHEP 06 (2023) 048 [2303.06111].
- [175] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and I. Vazquez-Holm, Inelastic exponentiation and classical gravitational scattering at one loop, JHEP 2023 (2023) 126 [2303.07006].
- [176] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and I. Vazquez-Holm, Addendum to: Inelastic exponentiation and classical gravitational scattering at one loop, JHEP 2024 (2024) 161 [2312.14710].
- [177] S. Caron-Huot, M. Giroux, H.S. Hannesdottir and S. Mizera, What can be measured asymptotically?, JHEP 01 (2024) 139 [2308.02125].
- [178] S. Caron-Huot, M. Giroux, H.S. Hannesdottir and S. Mizera, Crossing beyond scattering amplitudes, JHEP 04 (2024) 060 [2310.12199].
- [179] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, Comparing one-loop gravitational bremsstrahlung amplitudes to the multipolar-post-Minkowskian waveform, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 124052 [2309.14925].
- [180] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, An eikonal-inspired approach to the gravitational scattering waveform, JHEP 03 (2024) 089 [2312.07452].

- [181] A. Georgoudis, C. Heissenberg and R. Russo, Post-Newtonian multipoles from the next-to-leading post-Minkowskian gravitational waveform, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 106020 [2402.06361].
- [182] D. Bini, T. Damour, S. De Angelis, A. Geralico, A. Herderschee, R. Roiban et al., Gravitational waveforms: A tale of two formalisms, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 125008 [2402.06604].
- [183] A. Buonanno, G. Mogull, R. Patil and L. Pompili, Post-Minkowskian Theory Meets the Spinning Effective-One-Body Approach for Bound-Orbit Waveforms, 2405.19181.
- [184] S. Mougiakakos, M.M. Riva and F. Vernizzi, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in the post-Minkowskian effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 024041 [2102.08339].
- [185] D.J. Burger, W.T. Emond and N. Moynihan, *Rotating Black Holes in Cubic Gravity*, *Phys. Rev. D* 101 (2020) 084009 [1910.11618].
- [186] W.T. Emond and N. Moynihan, Scattering Amplitudes, Black Holes and Leading Singularities in Cubic Theories of Gravity, JHEP 12 (2019) 019 [1905.08213].
- [187] A. Cristofoli, Post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in Modified Theories of Gravity, Phys. Lett. B 800 (2020) 135095 [1906.05209].
- [188] A. Brandhuber and G. Travaglini, On higher-derivative effects on the gravitational potential and particle bending, JHEP 01 (2020) 010 [1905.05657].
- [189] A. Koemans Collado and S. Thomas, Eikonal Scattering in Kaluza-Klein Gravity, JHEP 04 (2019) 171 [1901.05869].
- [190] M. Accettulli Huber, A. Brandhuber, S. De Angelis and G. Travaglini, From amplitudes to gravitational radiation with cubic interactions and tidal effects, Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) 045015 [2012.06548].
- [191] M. Accettulli Huber, A. Brandhuber, S. De Angelis and G. Travaglini, Eikonal phase matrix, deflection angle and time delay in effective field theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 046014 [2006.02375].
- [192] M. Carrillo-González, C. de Rham and A.J. Tolley, Scattering amplitudes for binary systems beyond GR, JHEP 11 (2021) 087 [2107.11384].
- [193] A.-C. Davis and S. Melville, Orbital precession and hidden symmetries in scalar-tensor theories, JCAP 11 (2023) 034 [2307.06331].
- [194] A. Bhattacharyya, D. Ghosh, S. Ghosh and S. Pal, Observables from classical black hole scattering in Scalar-Tensor theory of gravity from worldline quantum field theory, JHEP 04 (2024) 015 [2401.05492].
- [195] K. Fransen and S.B. Giddings, Gravitational wave signatures of departures from classical black hole scattering, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 064029 [2405.05970].
- [196] A. Brandhuber, G.R. Brown, P. Pichini, G. Travaglini and P. Vives Matasan, Spinning binary dynamics in cubic effective field theories of gravity, JHEP 08 (2024) 188 [2405.13826].
- [197] Y.F. Bautista, M. Khalil, M. Sergola, C. Kavanagh and J. Vines, Post-Newtonian observables for aligned-spin binaries to sixth order in spin from gravitational self-force and Compton amplitudes, 2408.01871.

- [198] A. Brandhuber, G.R. Brown, G. Chen, G. Travaglini and P. Vives Matasan, Spinning waveforms in cubic effective field theories of gravity, 2408.00587.
- [199] A. Falkowski and P. Marinellis, Spinning waveforms of scalar radiation in quadratic modified gravity, 2407.16457.
- [200] R. Aoude, K. Haddad and A. Helset, Tidal effects for spinning particles, JHEP 03 (2021) 097 [2012.05256].
- [201] G. Kälin, Z. Liu and R.A. Porto, Conservative Tidal Effects in Compact Binary Systems to Next-to-Leading Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. D 102 (2020) 124025 [2008.06047].
- [202] J.-W. Kim and M. Shim, Quantum corrections to tidal Love number for Schwarzschild black holes, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 046022 [2011.03337].
- [203] Z. Bern, J. Parra-Martinez, R. Roiban, E. Sawyer and C.-H. Shen, Leading Nonlinear Tidal Effects and Scattering Amplitudes, JHEP 05 (2021) 188 [2010.08559].
- [204] K. Haddad and A. Helset, Tidal effects in quantum field theory, JHEP 12 (2020) 024 [2008.04920].
- [205] C. Cheung and M.P. Solon, *Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125** (2020) 191601 [2006.06665].
- [206] D. Bini, T. Damour and A. Geralico, Scattering of tidally interacting bodies in post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 101 (2020) 044039 [2001.00352].
- [207] C. Heissenberg, Angular Momentum Loss due to Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 011603 [2210.15689].
- [208] S. Mougiakakos, M.M. Riva and F. Vernizzi, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung with Tidal Effects in the Post-Minkowskian Expansion, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 121101 [2204.06556].
- [209] T. Adamo and A. Ilderton, Classical and quantum double copy of back-reaction, JHEP 09 (2020) 200 [2005.05807].
- [210] A. Cristofoli, Gravitational shock waves and scattering amplitudes, JHEP 11 (2020) 160 [2006.08283].
- [211] J.-W. Kim and M. Shim, Gravitational Dyonic Amplitude at One-Loop and its Inconsistency with the Classical Impulse, JHEP 02 (2021) 217 [2010.14347].
- [212] E. Crawley, A. Guevara, N. Miller and A. Strominger, Black holes in Klein space, JHEP 10 (2022) 135 [2112.03954].
- [213] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli, A. Ilderton and S. Klisch, All Order Gravitational Waveforms from Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 011601 [2210.04696].
- [214] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli and P. Tourkine, The ultrarelativistic limit of Kerr, JHEP 02 (2023) 107 [2209.05730].
- [215] R. Gonzo, T. McLoughlin and A. Puhm, Celestial holography on Kerr-Schild backgrounds, JHEP 10 (2022) 073 [2207.13719].
- [216] D. Kosmopoulos and M.P. Solon, Gravitational self force from scattering amplitudes in curved space, JHEP 03 (2024) 125 [2308.15304].
- [217] C.R.T. Jones, Classical dynamics of vortex solitons from perturbative scattering amplitudes, JHEP 11 (2023) 092 [2305.08902].

- [218] E. Crawley, A. Guevara, E. Himwich and A. Strominger, Self-dual black holes in celestial holography, JHEP 09 (2023) 109 [2302.06661].
- [219] A. Guevara and U. Kol, Self Dual Black Holes as the Hydrogen Atom, 2311.07933.
- [220] T. Adamo, G. Bogna, L. Mason and A. Sharma, Scattering on self-dual Taub-NUT, Class. Quant. Grav. 41 (2024) 015030 [2309.03834].
- [221] T. Adamo, A. Cristofoli, A. Ilderton and S. Klisch, Scattering amplitudes for self-force, Class. Quant. Grav. 41 (2024) 065006 [2307.00431].
- [222] T. Adamo, R. Gonzo and A. Ilderton, Gravitational bound waveforms from amplitudes, JHEP 05 (2024) 034 [2402.00124].
- [223] S. Abreu, F. Febres Cordero, H. Ita, M. Jaquier, B. Page, M.S. Ruf et al., Two-Loop Four-Graviton Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124 (2020) 211601 [2002.12374].
- [224] Z. Bern, H. Ita, J. Parra-Martinez and M.S. Ruf, Universality in the classical limit of massless gravitational scattering, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 031601 [2002.02459].
- [225] S. Caron-Huot and Z. Zahraee, Integrability of Black Hole Orbits in Maximal Supergravity, JHEP 07 (2019) 179 [1810.04694].
- [226] A. Koemans Collado, P. Di Vecchia, R. Russo and S. Thomas, *The subleading eikonal in supergravity theories*, *JHEP* 10 (2018) 038 [1807.04588].
- [227] N. Moynihan, Kerr-Newman from Minimal Coupling, JHEP 01 (2020) 014 [1909.05217].
- [228] J. Parra-Martinez, M.S. Ruf and M. Zeng, Extremal black hole scattering at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$: graviton dominance, eikonal exponentiation, and differential equations, JHEP **11** (2020) 023 [2005.04236].
- [229] A. Cristofoli, P.H. Damgaard, P. Di Vecchia and C. Heissenberg, Second-order Post-Minkowskian scattering in arbitrary dimensions, JHEP 07 (2020) 122 [2003.10274].
- [230] J.-W. Kim, Quantum corrections to frame-dragging in scattering amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) L081901 [2207.04970].
- [231] B.-T. Chen, M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang and M.K. Tam, Minimal spin deflection of Kerr-Newman and supersymmetric black hole, JHEP 10 (2021) 011 [2106.12518].
- [232] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang and J.-W. Kim, Kerr-Newman stress-tensor from minimal coupling, JHEP 12 (2020) 103 [1911.12775].
- [233] R. Monteiro, S. Nagy, D. O'Connell, D. Peinador Veiga and M. Sergola, NS-NS spacetimes from amplitudes, JHEP 06 (2022) 021 [2112.08336].
- [234] C.R.T. Jones and M. Solon, Scattering amplitudes and N-body post-Minkowskian Hamiltonians in general relativity and beyond, JHEP 02 (2023) 105 [2208.02281].
- [235] W.T. Emond, Y.-T. Huang, U. Kol, N. Moynihan and D. O'Connell, Amplitudes from Coulomb to Kerr-Taub-NUT, 2010.07861.
- [236] L. Cangemi and P. Pichini, Classical limit of higher-spin string amplitudes, JHEP 06 (2023) 167 [2207.03947].
- [237] J. Hoogeveen, Charged test particle scattering and effective one-body metrics with spin, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 024049 [2303.00317].
- [238] M. Bianchi, C. Gambino and F. Riccioni, A Rutherford-like formula for scattering off Kerr-Newman BHs and subleading corrections, JHEP 08 (2023) 188 [2306.08969].

- [239] C. Gambino, P. Pani and F. Riccioni, Rotating metrics and new multipole moments from scattering amplitudes in arbitrary dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 109 (2024) 124018 [2403.16574].
- [240] M.V.S. Saketh, J. Vines, J. Steinhoff and A. Buonanno, Conservative and radiative dynamics in classical relativistic scattering and bound systems, Phys. Rev. Res. 4 (2022) 013127 [2109.05994].
- [241] S. Akhtar, A. Manna and A. Manu, Classical observables using exponentiated spin factors: electromagnetic scattering, JHEP 05 (2024) 148 [2401.15574].
- [242] K. Aoki, A. Cristofoli and Y.-t. Huang, On-Shell Approach to Black Hole Mergers, 2410.13632.
- [243] P. Rettegno, G. Pratten, L.M. Thomas, P. Schmidt and T. Damour, Strong-field scattering of two spinning black holes: Numerical relativity versus post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 124016 [2307.06999].
- [244] T. Damour and P. Rettegno, Strong-field scattering of two black holes: Numerical relativity meets post-Minkowskian gravity, Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) 064051 [2211.01399].
- [245] V. Vaidya, Gravitational spin Hamiltonians from the S matrix, Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 024017 [1410.5348].
- [246] J. Vines, Scattering of two spinning black holes in post-Minkowskian gravity, to all orders in spin, and effective-one-body mappings, Class. Quant. Grav. 35 (2018) 084002 [1709.06016].
- [247] N. Arkani-Hamed, T.-C. Huang and Y.-t. Huang, Scattering amplitudes for all masses and spins, JHEP 11 (2021) 070 [1709.04891].
- [248] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-T. Huang, J.-W. Kim and S. Lee, The simplest massive S-matrix: from minimal coupling to Black Holes, JHEP 04 (2019) 156 [1812.08752].
- [249] A. Guevara, A. Ochirov and J. Vines, Black-hole scattering with general spin directions from minimal-coupling amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 104024 [1906.10071].
- [250] N. Arkani-Hamed, Y.-t. Huang and D. O'Connell, Kerr black holes as elementary particles, JHEP 01 (2020) 046 [1906.10100].
- [251] A. Guevara, B. Maybee, A. Ochirov, D. O'Connell and J. Vines, A worldsheet for Kerr, JHEP 03 (2021) 201 [2012.11570].
- [252] R. Aoude, M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang, C.S. Machado and M.-K. Tam, Silence of Binary Kerr Black Holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125 (2020) 181602 [2007.09486].
- [253] H. Johansson and A. Ochirov, Double copy for massive quantum particles with spin, JHEP 09 (2019) 040 [1906.12292].
- [254] A. Falkowski and C.S. Machado, Soft Matters, or the Recursions with Massive Spinors, JHEP 05 (2021) 238 [2005.08981].
- [255] W.-M. Chen, M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang and J.-W. Kim, Gravitational Faraday effect from on-shell amplitudes, JHEP 12 (2022) 058 [2205.07305].
- [256] Y.F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh and J. Vines, Scattering in black hole backgrounds and higher-spin amplitudes. Part I, JHEP 03 (2023) 136 [2107.10179].
- [257] Z. Bern, D. Kosmopoulos, A. Luna, R. Roiban and F. Teng, Binary Dynamics through the Fifth Power of Spin at O(G2), Phys. Rev. Lett. 130 (2023) 201402 [2203.06202].

- [258] R. Aoude, K. Haddad and A. Helset, Searching for Kerr in the 2PM amplitude, JHEP 07 (2022) 072 [2203.06197].
- [259] M.V.S. Saketh and J. Vines, Scattering of gravitational waves off spinning compact objects with an effective worldline theory, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 124026 [2208.03170].
- [260] Y.F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh and J. Vines, Scattering in black hole backgrounds and higher-spin amplitudes. Part II, JHEP 05 (2023) 211 [2212.07965].
- [261] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, G. Chen and M. Skowronek, *Classical spin gravitational Compton scattering*, JHEP 06 (2023) 170 [2302.00498].
- [262] Y.F. Bautista, G. Bonelli, C. Iossa, A. Tanzini and Z. Zhou, Black Hole Perturbation Theory Meets CFT₂: Kerr Compton Amplitudes from Nekrasov-Shatashvili Functions, 2312.05965.
- [263] N.E.J. Bjerrum-Bohr, G. Chen and M. Skowronek, Covariant Compton Amplitudes in Gravity with Classical Spin, 2309.11249.
- [264] T. Scheopner and J. Vines, Dynamical Implications of the Kerr Multipole Moments for Spinning Black Holes, 2311.18421.
- [265] R. Aoude, K. Haddad and A. Helset, Classical gravitational scattering amplitude at O(G2S1∞S2∞), Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 024050 [2304.13740].
- [266] K. Haddad, Recursion in the classical limit and the neutron-star Compton amplitude, JHEP 05 (2023) 177 [2303.02624].
- [267] T. Azevedo, D.E.A. Matamoros and G. Menezes, Compton scattering from superstrings, 2403.08899.
- [268] H. Kawai, D. Lewellen and S. Tye, A Relation Between Tree Amplitudes of Closed and Open Strings, Nucl. Phys. B269 (1986) 1.
- [269] Y.F. Bautista and A. Guevara, On the double copy for spinning matter, JHEP 11 (2021) 184 [1908.11349].
- [270] H. Johansson and A. Ochirov, Color-Kinematics Duality for QCD Amplitudes, JHEP 01 (2016) 170 [1507.00332].
- [271] M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson and P. Pichini, Compton black-hole scattering for $s \leq 5/2$, JHEP 02 (2022) 156 [2107.14779].
- [272] A. Guevara, Reconstructing Classical Spacetimes from the S-Matrix in Twistor Space, 2112.05111.
- [273] Y.M. Zinoviev, On massive high spin particles in AdS, hep-th/0108192.
- [274] L. Cangemi, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, A. Ochirov, P. Pichini and E. Skvortsov, Kerr Black Holes From Massive Higher-Spin Gauge Symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 221401 [2212.06120].
- [275] A. Ochirov and E. Skvortsov, Chiral Approach to Massive Higher Spins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129 (2022) 241601 [2207.14597].
- [276] L. Cangemi, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, A. Ochirov, P. Pichini and E. Skvortsov, From higher-spin gauge interactions to Compton amplitudes for root-Kerr, 2311.14668.
- [277] L. Cangemi, M. Chiodaroli, H. Johansson, A. Ochirov, P. Pichini and E. Skvortsov, Compton Amplitude for Rotating Black Hole from QFT, Phys. Rev. Lett. 133 (2024) 071601 [2312.14913].

- [278] R. Aoude and A. Ochirov, Classical observables from coherent-spin amplitudes, JHEP 10 (2021) 008 [2108.01649].
- [279] M. Correia and G. Isabella, The Born regime of gravitational amplitudes, 2406.13737.
- [280] G. Chen and T. Wang, Dynamics of Spinning Binary at 2PM, 2406.09086.
- [281] G.U. Jakobsen, G. Mogull, J. Plefka, B. Sauer and Y. Xu, Conservative Scattering of Spinning Black Holes at Fourth Post-Minkowskian Order, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 151401 [2306.01714].
- [282] D. Bini and T. Damour, Gravitational spin-orbit coupling in binary systems at the second post-Minkowskian approximation, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 044036 [1805.10809].
- [283] Z. Bern, A. Luna, R. Roiban, C.-H. Shen and M. Zeng, Spinning black hole binary dynamics, scattering amplitudes, and effective field theory, Phys. Rev. D 104 (2021) 065014 [2005.03071].
- [284] M.-Z. Chung, Y.-t. Huang, J.-W. Kim and S. Lee, Complete Hamiltonian for spinning binary systems at first post-Minkowskian order, JHEP 05 (2020) 105 [2003.06600].
- [285] D. Kosmopoulos and A. Luna, Quadratic-in-spin Hamiltonian at $\mathcal{O}(G^2)$ from scattering amplitudes, JHEP 07 (2021) 037 [2102.10137].
- [286] A. Buonanno, G.U. Jakobsen and G. Mogull, Post-Minkowskian theory meets the spinning effective-one-body approach for two-body scattering, Phys. Rev. D 110 (2024) 044038 [2402.12342].
- [287] R. Gonzo and C. Shi, Scattering and bound observables for spinning particles in Kerr spacetime with generic spin orientations, 2405.09687.
- [288] Z. Bern, D. Kosmopoulos, A. Luna, R. Roiban, T. Scheopner, F. Teng et al., Quantum Field Theory, Worldline Theory, and Spin Magnitude Change in Orbital Evolution, 2308.14176.
- [289] J.-W. Kim and J. Steinhoff, Spin supplementary condition in quantum field theory: covariant SSC and physical state projection, JHEP **07** (2023) 042 [2302.01944].
- [290] M. Alaverdian, Z. Bern, D. Kosmopoulos, A. Luna, R. Roiban, T. Scheopner et al., Conservative Spin Magnitude Change in Orbital Evolution in General Relativity, 2407.10928.
- [291] Y.-J. Chen, T. Hsieh, Y.-T. Huang and J.-W. Kim, On-shell approach to (spinning) gravitational absorption processes, 2312.04513.
- [292] R. Aoude and A. Ochirov, Gravitational partial-wave absorption from scattering amplitudes, JHEP 12 (2023) 103 [2307.07504].
- [293] Y.F. Bautista and N. Siemonsen, Post-Newtonian waveforms from spinning scattering amplitudes, JHEP 01 (2022) 006 [2110.12537].
- [294] M.M. Riva, F. Vernizzi and L.K. Wong, Gravitational bremsstrahlung from spinning binaries in the post-Minkowskian expansion, Phys. Rev. D 106 (2022) 044013 [2205.15295].
- [295] C. Heissenberg, Angular momentum loss due to spin-orbit effects in the post-Minkowskian expansion, Phys. Rev. D 108 (2023) 106003 [2308.11470].
- [296] S. De Angelis, R. Gonzo and P.P. Novichkov, Spinning waveforms from KMOC at leading order, 2309.17429.

- [297] A. Brandhuber, G.R. Brown, G. Chen, J. Gowdy and G. Travaglini, Resummed spinning waveforms from five-point amplitudes, 2310.04405.
- [298] R. Aoude, K. Haddad, C. Heissenberg and A. Helset, Leading-order gravitational radiation to all spin orders, 2310.05832.
- [299] L. Bohnenblust, H. Ita, M. Kraus and J. Schlenk, Gravitational Bremsstrahlung in Black-Hole Scattering at $\mathcal{O}(G^3)$: Linear-in-Spin Effects, 2312.14859.
- [300] G. Chen, J.-W. Kim and T. Wang, Systematic integral evaluation for spin-resummed binary dynamics, 2406.17658.
- [301] D.A. Kosower, B. Maybee and D. O'Connell, Amplitudes, Observables, and Classical Scattering, JHEP 02 (2019) 137 [1811.10950].
- [302] Y.F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh and J. Vines. private communication, 2023.
- [303] M.H.L. Pryce, Commuting co-ordinates in the new field theory, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 150 (1935) 166.
- [304] M.H.L. Pryce, The Mass center in the restricted theory of relativity and its connection with the quantum theory of elementary particles, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 195 (1948) 62.
- [305] T.D. Newton and E.P. Wigner, Localized States for Elementary Systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21 (1949) 400.
- [306] J. Vines, D. Kunst, J. Steinhoff and T. Hinderer, Canonical Hamiltonian for an extended test body in curved spacetime: To quadratic order in spin, Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 103008 [1601.07529].