

CUBIC FOURFOLDS WITH BIRATIONAL FANO VARIETIES OF LINES

COREY BROOKE, SARAH FREI, AND LISA MARQUAND

ABSTRACT. We give several examples of pairs of non-isomorphic cubic fourfolds whose Fano varieties of lines are birationally equivalent (and in one example isomorphic). Two of our examples, which are special families of conjecturally irrational cubics in \mathcal{C}_{12} , provide new evidence for the conjecture that Fourier-Mukai partners are birationally equivalent. We explore how various notions of equivalence for cubic fourfolds are related, and we conjecture that cubic fourfolds with birationally equivalent Fano varieties of lines are themselves birationally equivalent.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent decades, smooth cubic fourfolds have been studied up to a few different forms of equivalence, especially

- (**BE**) birational equivalence and
- (**FM**) Fourier-Mukai partnership, i.e. equivalence of the Kuznetsov components \mathcal{A}_X of the derived categories of the two cubics, as defined in [Kuz16].

In recent work with Qin, we identified two non-isomorphic cubic fourfolds whose Fano varieties of lines are birationally equivalent (see [BFMQ24, Theorem 5.1]). This suggests studying a third form of equivalence for cubic fourfolds:

- (**BF**) birational equivalence of the Fano varieties of lines.

It is natural to ask how these notions of equivalence are related. Indeed, in view of the famous rationality conjectures for cubic fourfolds (see [Has00], [Kuz16], [AT14], [BLM⁺21]), Huybrechts conjectured the following:

Conjecture 1.1 ([Huy23, Conjecture 7.3.21]). *Suppose X and X' are smooth cubic fourfolds with $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$. Then $X \simeq_{\text{bir}} X'$. That is, (**FM**) implies (**BE**).*

A very general cubic fourfold, i.e. $X \notin \cup \mathcal{C}_d$, has no non-trivial Fourier-Mukai partners by [Huy17]. The conjecture becomes interesting for $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$, with some evidence provided by Fan and Lai [FL23] who verified the conjecture for a very general cubic in \mathcal{C}_{20} . On the other hand, it is well known that (**BE**) does not imply (**FM**), for example by considering two very general cubics in \mathcal{C}_{14} .

By studying two special families of cubics in \mathcal{C}_{12} (see Theorems 3.1 and 5.1), we provide two new corroboratory examples for Conjecture 1.1. The first is the family $\mathcal{C}_{\text{non-syz}}$, parametrizing cubics containing a non-szygetic pair of cubic scrolls, introduced in [BFMQ24]. The second is a component \mathcal{C}_{M_1} of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{12}$, studied in [BRS15], [YY23], and [BBA24], parametrizing cubics containing a plane and a cubic scroll intersecting in a point. For a general cubic fourfold X in either of these two families, we prove the existence of another non-isomorphic cubic fourfold X' (contained in the same family) which is both a Fourier Mukai partner of X ,

and is birationally equivalent to X . We also find that X and X' have birationally equivalent Fano varieties of lines in both cases.

Motivated by these families of cubics, as well as by our study of the Fano variety of lines on the general member of \mathcal{C}_{20} , we suggest the following new conjecture:

Conjecture 1.2. *Suppose X and X' are smooth cubic fourfolds with birationally equivalent Fano varieties of lines. Then $X \simeq_{\text{bir}} X'$. That is, **(BF)** implies **(BE)**.*


Conversely, the fact that **(BE)** does not imply **(BF)** is trivial: for example, one can again consider two very general cubics in \mathcal{C}_{14} , which are both rational. Our examples in Sections 3 through 6 are conjecturally irrational.

The Fano variety of lines on a cubic fourfold is a smooth hyperkähler fourfold of $\text{K3}^{[2]}$ -type [BD85]. It is known that two hyperkähler fourfolds of $\text{K3}^{[2]}$ -type that are birational are derived equivalent [Kaw02][Nam03] (see also [MSYZ24] for a more general statement). This motivates a fourth notion of equivalence between cubic fourfolds:

(DF) derived equivalence of the Fano varieties of lines.

It has been conjectured that **(FM)** implies **(DF)** [Huy23, Remark 7.3.28(iii)], for which we provide corroboratory evidence (see Theorems 3.1, 4.1, and 5.1). On the other hand, we prove that neither **(DF)** nor **(FM)** implies **(BF)** by considering the very general member of \mathcal{C}_{546} (see Theorem 6.1). The fact that **(BE)** does not imply **(DF)** follows from the fact that hyperkähler fourfolds have finitely many Fourier-Mukai partners [Bec23, Theorem 9.4].

The following diagram summarizes the various implications, counterexamples, and conjectures discussed above:



We add to the body of supporting evidence for each numbered arrow, proving that the very general member of each family listed below satisfies both conditions.

- (1) \mathcal{C}_{nonsyz} and \mathcal{C}_{M_1}
- (2) \mathcal{C}_{nonsyz} , \mathcal{C}_{20} , and \mathcal{C}_{M_1}
- (3) \mathcal{C}_{nonsyz} , \mathcal{C}_{20} , and \mathcal{C}_{M_1}

We are not aware of any general results about whether **(DF)** implies **(FM)**, **(DF)** implies **(BE)**, or **(BF)** implies **(FM)**, although the first holds for $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ with d satisfying (**), by [Add16, Theorem 1] and [Bec23, Corollary 9.7]. Moreover, the families we study in Sections 3 through 5 give evidence for **(BF)** implies **(FM)**; this implication is particularly relevant to the conjectures discussed above. Indeed, showing that **(BF)** implies **(FM)** along with proving Conjecture 1.1 would imply

Conjecture 1.2. Determining whether any of the equivalence relations **(BF)**, **(FM)**, or **(DF)** considered here implies **(BE)** seems quite difficult, since no pair of cubic fourfolds is known to be birationally inequivalent.

Outline. In Section 2, we recall the definition of special cubic fourfolds, rationality conjectures, and necessary results on the birational geometry of hyperkähler fourfolds and Fano variety of lines. We also introduce Gushel-Mukai fourfolds and double EPW sextics. In Section 3, we study equivalences discussed above for cubic fourfolds contained in \mathcal{M}_{nonsyz} , i.e. containing a non-syzygetic pair of cubic scrolls. In Section 4 we study cubic fourfolds contained in \mathcal{C}_{20} . In Section 5, we study cubic fourfolds containing both a plane and a cubic scroll, focusing on \mathcal{C}_{M_1} , which is one of three families in $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{12}$. Finally in Section 6, we study cubic fourfolds in \mathcal{C}_{546} . Our choice to consider \mathcal{C}_{546} is motivated by numerical conditions guaranteeing that F is birational to the Hilbert square of a K3 with a nontrivial Fourier-Mukai partner (see Remark 6.3 for details).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Asher Auel for offering helpful comments on the first version of this paper. We would like to thank Nick Addington for pointing out an error in an earlier version.

S.F. was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-2401601. Computations of orthogonal groups were done in Magma [BCP97].

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **Special cubic fourfolds.** For a smooth cubic fourfold X , let

$$A(X) = H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cap H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{C})$$

be the lattice of algebraic cycles and η_X the square of the hyperplane class. We define

$$H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{prim} = \langle \eta_X \rangle^\perp \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$A(X)_{prim} = H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{prim} \cap A(X).$$

We have that $A(X)_{prim} = 0$ for a very general cubic fourfold, but there are countably many divisors \mathcal{C}_d in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds which parametrize those with $A(X)_{prim} \neq 0$. An $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ is such that $\eta_X \in K \subset A(X)$ where K is a primitive sublattice of rank two, and the discriminant of the intersection form on K is d . We call K a labeling of X .

Since the integral Hodge conjecture holds for cubic fourfolds, every class in $A(X)$ is algebraic, and cubics in \mathcal{C}_d for some d contain algebraic surfaces not homologous to complete intersections [Voi13, Theorem 1.4]. We give a few examples that appear in this paper:

- every member of \mathcal{C}_8 contains a plane [Voi86],
- the general member of \mathcal{C}_{12} contains a cubic scroll [Has96], and
- the general member of \mathcal{C}_{20} contains a Veronese surface [Has96].

Whereas neither a plane nor a Veronese surface deform in a cubic fourfold, the general member of \mathcal{C}_{12} in fact contains two nets of cubic scrolls, as mentioned in [Has96] and expanded on in [HT10]. More specifically, if $T \subset X$ is a cubic scroll, $\langle T \rangle$ is the hyperplane spanned by T , and Q is a quadric containing T , then $X \cap Q \cap \langle T \rangle = T \cup T^\vee$ where T^\vee is another cubic scroll.

One can articulate rationality conjectures for cubic fourfolds in terms of labelings. By [Has96], the discriminants d for which \mathcal{C}_d is nonempty are those satisfying

$$(*) : d > 6 \text{ and } d \equiv 0 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{6}.$$

Cubics in \mathcal{C}_d satisfying

$$(**) : d \text{ satisfies } (*), \text{ and is not divisible by } 4, 9, \text{ or any odd prime } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

have an associated K3 surface S , meaning $\tilde{H}(\mathcal{A}_X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. All cubic fourfolds known to be rational belong to \mathcal{C}_d for some d satisfying (**), leading to the famous conjecture that cubic fourfolds are rational if and only if they admit a labelling of discriminant d satisfying (**). Kuznetsov conjectured in [Kuz10] that X is rational if and only if $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq D^b(S)$ for some K3 surface S , which was shown in [Add16] and [BLM⁺21] to coincide with the previous conjecture.

A competing conjecture proposed by Galkin and Shinder in [GS14] is that a cubic fourfold X is rational if and only if its Fano variety of lines is birational to $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ for some K3 surface S . This condition was shown in [Add16] to be equivalent to X admitting a labeling of discriminant d satisfying

$$(***) : d \text{ satisfies } (*), \text{ and } d = \frac{2n^2 + 2n + 2}{a^2} \text{ for some } a, n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Moreover, (***) implies (**), and this condition is stricter.

2.2. Birational geometry of hyperkähler fourfolds. We briefly recall how one can study the birational geometry of a hyperkähler fourfold F from the movable cone. Throughout, F is a projective hyperkähler manifold of $\text{K3}^{[2]}$ type. The second cohomology $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is equipped with an integral, symmetric, nondegenerate bilinear form q_F , namely the Beauville-Bogomolov-Fujiki (BBF) form. For $v, w \in H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$, we denote by $v^2 = q_F(v)$ and by $v \cdot w = q_F(v, w)$. The divisibility $\text{div}(v)$ of v is the least positive generator of the ideal $v \cdot H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$.

We denote by $\text{Mon}_{Hdg}^2(F)$ the subgroup of monodromy operators in $\text{Mon}^2(F)$ that preserve the Hodge structure. Recall that $\text{Mon}_{Hdg}^2(F) = W_{Exc} \rtimes W_{Bir}$, where W_{Exc} is the subgroup generated by reflections determined by stably prime exceptional divisors, and W_{Bir} is the subgroup of monodromy operators induced by birational transformations.

Theorem 2.1. [Mar11, Theorem 1.3] *Let F be a projective hyperkähler manifold. Let $g \in \text{Mon}_{Hdg}^2(F)$. Then there exists $f \in \text{Bir}(F)$ such that $f^* = g$ if and only if $g^* \text{Mov}(F) = \text{Mov}(F)$. Further, $f \in \text{Aut}(F)$ if and only if $g^* \text{Amp}(F) = \text{Amp}(F)$.*

Let $\overline{\text{Pos}}(F)$ be the component of the cone $\{x \in \text{NS}(F) \otimes \mathbb{R} \mid x^2 \geq 0\}$ that contains an ample class. We denote by $\text{Mov}(F) \subset \overline{\text{Pos}}(F)$ the (closed) convex cone generated by classes of line bundles on F whose base locus has codimension at least 2. We define the following set of divisors:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}} &:= \{\rho \in \text{NS}(F) \mid \rho^2 = -2\} \\ \mathcal{W}_{\text{fltop}} &:= \{\rho \in \text{NS}(F) \mid \rho^2 = -10, \text{div}(\rho) = 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

A divisor $\rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\text{fltop}}$ is called a wall divisor [Mon15, Definition 1.2, Proposition 2.12], and $\rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}}$ is moreover referred to as a stably prime exceptional divisor. The following structure theorem for $\text{Mov}(F)$ and $\text{Amp}(F)$ in the case of hyperkähler manifolds of $\text{K3}^{[2]}$ type combines the results of Markman [Mar11, Lemma 6.22,

Proposition 6.10, 9.12, Theorem 9.17] and Bayer, Hassett and Tschinkel [BHT15, Theorem 1].

Theorem 2.2. [Deb20b, Theorem 3.16] *Let F be a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ type.*

- (1) *The interior of $\text{Mov}(F)$ is the connected component of*

$$\overline{\text{Pos}(F)} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}}} \rho^\perp$$

that contains the class of an ample divisor.

- (2) *The ample cone $\text{Amp}(F)$ is the connected component of*

$$\overline{\text{Pos}(F)} \setminus \bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}} \cup \mathcal{W}_{\text{flip}}} \rho^\perp$$

that contains the class of an ample divisor.

Each chamber of $\text{Mov}(F)$ corresponds to the pullback of $\text{Nef}(F')$ of a birational model F' of F , and $\text{Mon}_{\text{Hdg}}^2(F) = W_{\text{Exc}} \times W_{\text{Bir}}$ acts transitively on the chambers of $\bigcup_{\rho \in \mathcal{W}_{\text{pex}}} \rho^\perp$ [Mar11, Lemma 5.11 (5)].

2.3. Fano varieties of lines. The Fano variety of lines F on a cubic fourfold is a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ type. The Abel-Jacobi map $\alpha: H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ restricts to an isomorphism $H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$ which is a Hodge isometry (up to sign) under the respective quadratic forms. Explicitly, we have $-x \cdot y = \alpha(x) \cdot \alpha(y)$.

The variety F is naturally equipped with the Plücker polarization $g \in \text{NS}(F)$, with $g^2 = 6$ and divisibility 2. Recall that $\mathcal{M}_6^{(2)}$ is the moduli space of hyperkähler fourfolds of $K3^{[2]}$ type equipped with a polarization L with $L^2 = 6$ and divisibility 2. There is a period map: $p: \mathcal{M}_6^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_6^{(2)}$, where $\mathcal{D}_6^{(2)}$ is the relevant period domain (see for instance [Deb20b, Appendix B]).

Proposition 2.3. *Let F be the Fano variety of lines for a cubic fourfold X with Plücker polarization g . Suppose that*

- (1) *there exists $h \in \text{Mov}(F)$ such that $h^2 = 6$ and $\text{div}(h) = 2$, and*
- (2) *there exists $\phi \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ such that $\phi(g) = h$.*

Then there exists a smooth cubic fourfold X' with Fano variety of lines F' and a birational equivalence $\pi: F' \dashrightarrow F$ such that $\pi^(h)$ is the Plücker class. Moreover, $X \cong X'$ if and only if there exists $\phi \in \text{Bir}(F)$ such that $\phi^*(h) = g$.*

Proof. By assumptions (1), h is ample on some birational model F' of F . The pairs (F, g) and (F', h) define points in the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_6^{(2)}$, which are distinct if and only if the isometry $\phi \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ of assumption (2) is not induced by a birational isomorphism of F . We have the commutative diagram of period maps, where $\mathcal{D}_6^{(2)}$ is the relevant period domain.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_{\text{cub}} & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathcal{M}_6^{(2)} \\ & \searrow p' & \swarrow p \\ & & \mathcal{D}_6^{(2)} \end{array}$$

Let $D_{6,i}^{(2)} \subset \mathcal{D}_6^{(2)}$ be the Heegner divisor which is the image in $\mathcal{D}_6^{(2)}$ of a hyperplane section of the form v^\perp with $v^2 = -i$. By [Laz09] and [Loo09], the union $D_{6,2}^{(2)} \cup D_{6,6}^{(2)}$ is the complement of the image of the period map p' . We claim that $p(F', h)$ lies in the complement of $D_{6,2}^{(2)} \cup D_{6,6}^{(2)}$. If not, then there exists a $v \in h^\perp \cap \text{NS}(F')$ with $v^2 = -2$ or -6 and divisibility 2. Then since $\text{NS}(F') \cong \text{NS}(F)$, we can view $\phi^{-1} \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(F'))$, and $\phi^{-1}(v) \cdot g = 0$; this is a contradiction since $p'(X) = p(F, g)$ lies in the complement of $D_{6,2}^{(2)} \cup D_{6,6}^{(2)}$.

It follows that there exists a smooth cubic fourfold X' such that $p'(X') = p(F', h)$. In particular, (F', h) is isomorphic to $F(X')$ with the Plücker polarization given by h . Further, if (F, g) and (F', h) are distinct points of $\mathcal{M}_6^{(2)}$, then it follows that $X' \not\cong X$ by the Global Torelli theorem for cubic fourfolds [Voi86]. \square

2.4. Gushel-Mukai fourfolds. A Gushel-Mukai fourfold (GM fourfold) Z is a smooth transverse intersection of the form

$$Z := \text{Gr}(2, V_5) \cap \mathbb{P}^8 \cap Q \subset \mathbb{P} \left(\bigwedge^2 V_5 \right),$$

where V_5 is a five dimensional vector space, $\text{Gr}(2, V_5)$ is given the Plücker embedding, \mathbb{P}^8 is a linear subspace, and Q is a quadric. Given a GM fourfold Z , one can construct two Eisenbud-Popescu-Walter sextics (EPW sextics), W_A and its dual W_{A^\perp} , constructed from dual Lagrangian subspaces $A \subset \bigwedge^3 V_6$ and $A^\perp \subset \bigwedge^3 V_6^\vee$. Taking an appropriate double cover of W_A (resp. W_{A^\perp}), one obtains a double EPW sextic \widetilde{W}_A (resp. \widetilde{W}_{A^\perp}) associated to Z , coinciding with the ones constructed and studied by O'Grady in [O'G05, O'G06, O'G08].

Let \mathcal{M}^{GM} be the moduli space of GM fourfolds, and let $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{M}^{GM} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be the corresponding period map, assigning $[Z] \mapsto [H^{3,1}(X)]$, where \mathcal{D} is the associated 20-dimensional quasiprojective period domain (see [Deb20a, Section 4]). Let \mathcal{M}^{EPW} be the GIT moduli space for EPW sextics, constructed by O'Grady [O'G16]. We recall the following result:

Theorem 2.4. [DK20, Proposition 6.1] *The period map \mathcal{P} factors as*

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{M}^{GM} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{EPW} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{D}$$

where \mathcal{P} is the period map for double EPW sextics, and is an open embedding.

GM fourfolds that are contained in the same fiber of the period map or in dual fibers are closely related:

Theorem 2.5. *Any two GM fourfolds $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}([A]) \cup \mathcal{P}^{-1}([A^\perp])$ are birationally equivalent. Further, Z_1 and Z_2 are Fourier-Mukai partners.*

Proof. The first statement is [DK18, Corollary 4.16 and Theorem 4.20]. The second statement is [KP23, Theorem 1.6]. \square

For some three-dimensional subspace $V_3 \subset V_5$, a plane of the form $\mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^2 V_3)$ is called a ρ -plane. A GM fourfold Z containing a ρ -plane Π is birational to a cubic fourfold X containing a cubic scroll T : recalling the construction in [DIM15, Section 7.2] and [KP18, Proposition 5.6], the complete linear system of quadrics containing the scroll T induces a rational map $q: X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^8$ which is birational onto its image, a GM fourfold $Z_T \subset \text{Gr}(2, V_5)$ containing a ρ -plane Π . Projection from Π induces a rational inverse $f: Z_T \dashrightarrow X$. As mentioned in [BFMQ24, Section 3.3], the proper

transform of $Y = \langle T \rangle \cap X$ in $\text{Bl}_T X$ is a small resolution Y^+ , and $q|_{Y^+}$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over a plane. Note that for a general cubic fourfold $X \in \mathcal{C}_{12}$, X contains two families of cubic scrolls $[T], [T^\vee]$. Each choice of scroll gives a non-isomorphic GM-fourfold Z_T, Z_{T^\vee} ; however, the double EPW sextics depend only on the choice of family $[T]$ or $[T^\vee]$. We thus denote them $\widetilde{W}_T, \widetilde{W}_T^\perp$ and $\widetilde{W}_{T^\vee}, \widetilde{W}_{T^\vee}^\perp$.

We recall the following result:

Theorem 2.6. [BFMQ24, Theorem 1.1] *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{12}$ be a smooth cubic fourfold containing a cubic scroll T such that $\langle T \rangle \cap X$ has 6-nodes in general position. Let F be the Fano variety of lines of X . Then F, \widetilde{W}_T^\perp and $\widetilde{W}_{T^\vee}^\perp$ are all birational.*

The assumption on $\langle T \rangle \cap X$ holds in general and in many special families in \mathcal{C}_{12} .

3. CUBICS CONTAINING A NON-SYZYGETIC PAIR OF CUBIC SCROLLS

Let X be a very general cubic fourfold containing two cubic scrolls $T_1, T_2 \subset X$, such that $[T_1] \cdot [T_2] = 1$. Such a pair of scrolls is called *non-syzygetic* and, together with the square of the hyperplane class, they span a rank 3 sublattice of $A(X)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{\text{non-syz}} \subset \mathcal{C}_{12}$ be the locus parametrizing such cubic fourfolds. We prove:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{non-syz}}$ be a very general cubic fourfold, and F its Fano variety of lines. Then there exists a cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{non-syz}}$, i.e. also containing a non-syzygetic pair of cubic scrolls, with Fano variety of lines F' such that:*

- (BE) X and X' are birational but not isomorphic,
- (FM) $\mathcal{A}_{X'} \simeq \mathcal{A}_X$, and
- (BF) F and F' are birational but not isomorphic.

The birational geometry of the Fano variety of lines F for such an X was studied in [BFMQ24, Theorem 1.3]. In particular, we have:

Corollary 3.2. [BFMQ24, Corollary 1.4, Proposition 5.15] *There exists a cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{non-syz}}$, not isomorphic to X , such that there exists a birational morphism $f : F \simeq_{\text{bir}} F(X')$.*

We will prove that the cubic fourfolds X and X' in Corollary 3.2 are birational and Fourier-Mukai partners.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $T_1, T_2 \subset X$ be a pair of non-syzygetic scrolls contained in X . By Corollary 3.2, X' contains a pair of non-syzygetic cubic scrolls $T'_1, T'_2 \subset X'$. From the proof of [BFMQ24, Theorem 5.2], the birational map $F \dashrightarrow F(X')$ can be factored as a composition of two Mukai flops in disjoint Lagrangian planes:

$$F \dashrightarrow \widetilde{W}_{T_1}^\perp \dashrightarrow F',$$

where $\widetilde{W}_{T_1}^\perp$ is the double EPW sextic described in Theorem 2.6 associated to the GM fourfold Z_{T_1} .

One can construct an inverse by applying the same construction starting at F' :

$$F' \dashrightarrow (\widetilde{W}'_{T'_1})^\perp \dashrightarrow F,$$

where $(\widetilde{W}'_{T'_1})^\perp$ is associated to a GM fourfold $Z'_{T'_1}$ birational to X' . In particular, after possibly relabelling T'_1 and $T'_1{}^\vee$, we can choose $T'_1 \subset X'$ such that $\widetilde{W}'_{T'_1}^\perp \cong$

$(\widetilde{W}'_{T'_1})^\perp$. We prove that $Z'_{T'_1}$ and Z_{T_1} are birational by showing they are contained in the same fiber of the period map

$$\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{M}^{GM} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{EPW} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$$

of Theorem 2.4.

Let $\mathcal{P}(Z_{T_1}) = A$ and $\mathcal{P}(Z'_{T'_1}) = A'$. Then, by construction, $\widetilde{W}_{T_1}^\perp = (\widetilde{W})_{A^\perp}$ and $(\widetilde{W}'_{T'_1})^\perp = \widetilde{W}_{(A')^\perp}$ where we are using the notation of Section 2.4. It follows that $A^\perp = (A')^\perp$, and thus $A = A'$. By Theorem 2.5, we see that $Z_{T_1}, Z'_{T'_1}$ are birational, thus $X \simeq_{\text{bir}} X'$. By combining [KP18, Theorem 5.8] and Theorem 2.5, we see

$$\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{Z_{T_1}} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{Z'_{T'_1}} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{Z_{T_1}}$ is the subcategory of $D^b(Z_{T_1})$ defined in [KP18, Proposition 2.3]. \square

4. CUBICS OF DISCRIMINANT 20

Fan and Lai proved in [FL23] that a very general cubic fourfold $X \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ contains a Veronese surface V and is birational but not isomorphic to another cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ via a Cremona transformation of \mathbb{P}^5 with center V . Moreover, X and X' are Fourier-Mukai partners. We prove that the corresponding Fano varieties of lines F and F' are isomorphic. Combining this with the results of [FL23], we have the following:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ be a very general cubic fourfold containing a Veronese surface. Then there exists a cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ with Fano variety of lines F' such that:*

- (BE) X and X' are birational but not isomorphic,
- (FM) $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$, and
- (BF) $F \cong F'$.

Further, we show that X' is the only cubic fourfold whose Fano variety of lines is birational to F (Proposition 4.6).

Our outline is as follows: first, in Section 4.1 we use lattice-theoretic information about $\text{NS}(F)$ to count the birational models of F up to isomorphism and to count the classes of degree 6 and divisibility 2 in $\text{NS}(F)$. Then, in Section 4.2 we use the Cremona transform $X \dashrightarrow X'$ to construct an explicit isomorphism $\pi : F \rightarrow F'$.

4.1. Lattice theory and the movable cone. Let X be a very general member of \mathcal{C}_{20} , let $V \subset X$ be the Veronese surface, and let F the Fano variety of lines on X . Set $g = \alpha(\eta_X)$, $v = \alpha(V)$, and $\lambda = v - g$, where α denotes the Abel-Jacobi map introduced in Section 2.3. Then under the BBF form, $\text{NS}(F)$ is given by the lattice

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & g & \lambda \\ \hline g & 6 & 2 \\ \lambda & 2 & -6 \end{array}$$

and $\text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ is generated by ± 1 and the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -2 \\ 4 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ -2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$$

(which was computed using the algorithm outlined in [Mer14] and implemented using the Magma package `AutHyp.m`). Both A and B preserve $\text{Pos}(F)$. The discriminant group is

$$D(\text{NS}(F)) = \left\langle \frac{g+2\lambda}{10} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{g+\lambda}{4} \right\rangle.$$

Lemma 4.2. *An isometry $\varphi \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ is induced by a birational automorphism of F if and only if φ preserves the positive cone and acts by $\pm \text{Id}$ on the subgroup*

$$H = \mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z} \cong \left\langle \frac{g+2\lambda}{5} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{g+\lambda}{4} \right\rangle.$$

Proof. Suppose $\varphi = f^*$ for $f \in \text{Bir}(F)$. Then φ restricts to a Hodge isometry of $T(F)$, and since F is very general, the only Hodge isometries of $T(F)$ are $\pm \text{Id}_{T(F)}$. By [Has00, Prop 3.2.6], the discriminant group $D(T(F)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z}$. The overlattice $H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}) \supset \text{NS}(F) \oplus T(F)$ corresponds to the index two subgroup $H \subset D(\text{NS}(F))$ such that $(H, q_{\text{NS}(F)}) \cong (D(T(F)), -q_{T(F)})$, and hence φ acts on H by $\pm \text{Id}$.

Conversely, if φ preserves the positive cone and has the prescribed action on H , then one can extend φ to an isometry $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{O}(H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}))$ (here we are using the fact that q does not represent -2 , so the positive cone and movable cone coincide). By construction, $\tilde{\varphi}$ preserves the Hodge structure, and hence $\varphi \in \text{Im}(\pi: \text{Mon}_{\text{Hdg}}^2(F) \rightarrow \text{O}(\text{NS}(F)))$. By Theorem 2.1, the conclusion follows. \square

From this, it is easy to calculate the birational automorphism group:

Lemma 4.3. *The subgroup of $\text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ induced by birational automorphisms is $\langle AB \rangle$. In particular, $\text{Bir}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Isometries preserving the positive cone belong to the subgroup $\langle A, B \rangle$. Neither A nor B acts by $\pm \text{Id}$ on the subgroup $H \subset D(\text{NS}(F))$ from Lemma 4.2, but AB acts on H by $-\text{Id}$. Since A and B are reflections, we deduce that AB generates the subgroup of $\text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ induced by birational automorphisms.

A very general member of \mathcal{C}_{20} has no automorphisms by [BG24, Theorem 3.8], so the map $\text{Bir}(F) \rightarrow \text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ has trivial kernel (for details, see [BFMQ24, Proposition 2.18]). Since AB has infinite order, we deduce $\text{Bir}(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. \square

The orbits of the chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$ under the action of $\text{Bir}(F)$ correspond to isomorphism classes of birational hyperkähler models of F .

Lemma 4.4. *$\text{Bir}(F)$ acts freely and transitively on the chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$.*

Proof. As previously mentioned, q does not represent -2 , so $\text{Mov}(F) = \overline{\text{Pos}(F)}$. Let $\rho = ag + b\lambda$ where $6a^2 + 4ab - 6b^2 = -10$, so ρ^\perp is a wall in $\text{Mov}(F)$. If $|a| > 1$, then $|13a + 18b| < |a|$ or $|25a - 18b| < |a|$, so exactly one of the classes $AB \cdot \rho$ or $(AB)^{-1} \cdot \rho$ has smaller first coordinate than ρ . Inductively, we see that $\rho = \pm (AB)^n \cdot (g + 2\lambda)$ for a unique integer n . Hence $\text{Bir}(F)$ acts freely and transitively on the walls of $\text{Mov}(F)$. Further, $\text{Bir}(F)$ acts transitively on the chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$ since $\text{Mon}_{\text{Hdg}}^2 \cong W_{\text{Bir}} \cong \text{Bir}(F)$.

It is now easy to see that $\text{Bir}(F)$ acts freely on the chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$. Indeed, if $(AB)^n$ fixes a chamber of $\text{Mov}(F)$, then $(AB)^{2n}$ fixes both walls of that chamber. Since $\text{Bir}(F) = \langle AB \rangle$ acts freely on the walls, we get $n = 0$. \square

Lemma 4.5. *$\text{Nef}(F)$ contains exactly two square 6 divisibility 2 classes, i.e. g and $B \cdot g = 3g - 2\lambda$.*

Proof. Applying an argument similar to the propagation of solutions to Pell's equation, as in the proof of Lemma 4.4, one finds that any class of square 6 and divisibility 2 is of the form

$$\pm(AB)^n \cdot g \text{ or } \pm(AB)^n \cdot (3g - 2\lambda)$$

for a unique integer n . The result now follows from the fact that $\text{Bir}(F)$ acts freely on the chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *F admits only one birational hyperkähler model up to isomorphism, and there are precisely two smooth cubic fourfolds whose Fano varieties are birational (hence isomorphic) to F .*

Proof. The orbits of the action of $\text{Bir}(F)$ on chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$ correspond to birational models of F up to isomorphism, so Lemma 4.4 proves the first claim. Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 4.5 prove the second claim. \square

4.2. Geometry. In [FL23], the authors show that a very general $X \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ is birational to some non-isomorphic cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$. We briefly review their construction before proving that X and X' have isomorphic Fano varieties of lines.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be the Veronese surface, and let $f: \mathbb{P}^5 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$ be the birational map given by the linear system $|\mathcal{I}_V(2)|$. Applying an appropriate projective linear transformation, we can assume that f is an involution. For any cubic fourfold X containing V , the image $X' := f(X)$ is again a cubic fourfold containing a Veronese surface V' [FL23, Proposition 3.1]. We also assume that X is general enough so that X' is smooth.

Let Γ be the graph of $f|_X$, which can be identified with $\text{Bl}_V X \cong \text{Bl}_{V'} X'$, and $p_1: \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: \Gamma \rightarrow X'$ its projections. Denote by E and E' the classes of the exceptional loci of p_1 and p_2 , respectively, and let H and H' be the pullbacks of the hyperplane classes on X and X' . Since f and f^{-1} are induced by the complete linear systems of quadrics containing V and V' , respectively, we have

$$H' = 2H - E, \quad H = 2H' - E'$$

(cf [FL23, Section 3.1]). We record some observations:

- if $\ell \subset X$ is a line not meeting V , then $f(\ell)$ is a conic meeting V' in degree 3;
- if $\ell \subset X$ is a line meeting V in one point x , then the proper transform of ℓ in X' is a line ℓ' , whereas the total transform is the union of ℓ' and the line $p_2(p_1^{-1}(x))$;
- if $\ell \subset X$ is a line meeting V in two points x and y (or one point x with tangency), then the proper transform of ℓ is a point, whereas the total transform of ℓ is the union of lines $p_2(p_1^{-1}(x)) \cup p_2(p_1^{-1}(y))$.

In all cases, the total transform of a line $\ell \subset X$ is a conic, which we denote C_ℓ .

Let F and F' be the Fano varieties of lines on X and X' , respectively. We construct a morphism $\pi: F \rightarrow F'$ as follows: $\pi([\ell])$ is the line residual to C_ℓ in the intersection $\langle C_\ell \rangle \cap X'$, where $\langle C_\ell \rangle$ is the plane spanned by C_ℓ . A morphism $\pi': F' \rightarrow F$ is defined analogously.

Proposition 4.7. *The maps $\pi: F \rightarrow F'$ and $\pi': F' \rightarrow F$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms.*

Proof. Let $\ell \subset X$ be a line, and let C_ℓ be its total transform in X' , a conic. By definition, $\pi([\ell]) = [\ell']$ where ℓ' is the line in X' residual to C_ℓ . Let $C'_{\ell'}$ be the total transform of ℓ' in X , again a conic.

In general, ℓ' is disjoint from V' , so f^{-1} maps the two points $\ell' \cap C_\ell$ to two points $\ell \cap C'_{\ell'}$. Here, we are using that $f^{-1} \circ f = \text{id}$. In particular, this means ℓ and $C'_{\ell'}$ are coplanar, so $\pi'([\ell']) = \ell$. In other words, $\pi' \circ \pi = \text{id}$ on an open set. \square

Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.7 together prove Theorem 4.1. We finish by identifying the pullback of the Plücker class under π , connecting the lattice-theoretic computations of the previous subsection to the geometry outlined in this subsection. Specifically, we prove that the pullback of the Plücker class by π is the unique other square 6 divisibility 2 class in $\text{Nef}(F)$, besides g .

The two walls of $\text{Nef}(F)$ determined by (-10) -classes correspond to Lagrangian planes in F . The plane P_1 corresponding to the class $g + 2\lambda$ is described in [HT01, Example 7.16]: it parametrizes the lines in X residual to conics in V . We describe the second plane, P_2 , which necessarily corresponds to the class $11g - 8\lambda$. Through a point $x \in V$, there pass exactly two secant lines to V in X , in bijection with the two points on V' lying on the line $p_2(p_1^{-1}(x))$. Taking the line in X residual to these secant lines, we obtain a map $V \rightarrow F$. A line in X meets at most two secant lines to V since its proper transform can meet V' at most twice, so this map is an embedding, and its image P_2 is a plane.

Proposition 4.8. *Let g and g' be the Plücker classes on F and F' , respectively, let v and v' be the images of $[V]$ and $[V']$ under the Abel-Jacobi maps, and let $\lambda = v - g$ and $\lambda' = v' - g'$. Then $\pi^*(g') = 3g - 2\lambda$.*

Proof. First, we show $\pi(P_1) = P'_2$. Let $[\ell] \in P_1$ and $[\ell'] = \pi([\ell])$; then ℓ is secant to V , so the total transform of ℓ under f consists of two secant lines to V' , coplanar with ℓ' . Hence $[\ell'] \in P'_2$. Similarly, $\pi(P_2) = P'_1$.

It follows that $\pi^*(g' + 2\lambda') = 11g - 8\lambda$ and $\pi^*(11g' - 8\lambda') = g + 2\lambda$, from which we can calculate $\pi^*(g')$. \square

5. CUBICS CONTAINING A PLANE AND A CUBIC SCROLL

Similar to Section 3, we consider another family of cubic fourfolds with associated GM fourfolds: cubics containing a plane and a cubic scroll intersecting in a point. Let $\mathcal{C}_{M_1} \subset \mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{12}$ be the locus parametrizing cubic fourfolds X containing a plane $P \subset X$ and a cubic scroll $T \subset X$ such that $[P] \cdot [T] = 1$. We prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{M_1}$ be a very general cubic fourfold, and let F be the Fano variety of lines on X . Then there exists another smooth cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{M_1}$ with Fano variety of lines F' such that*

- (BE) X and X' are birational but not isomorphic,
- (FM) $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$, and
- (BF) F and F' are birational but not isomorphic.

We begin in Section 5.1 by giving generalities about the three irreducible components of $\mathcal{C}_8 \cap \mathcal{C}_{12}$, explaining our restriction to the case where $[P] \cdot [T] = 1$. We then make geometric observations about X and F in Section 5.2, including finding a GM fourfold birational to X and containing a pair of disjoint ρ -planes. Projecting from one of the planes, we obtain the cubic fourfold X' appearing in Theorem 5.1.

To prove that $X \not\cong X'$, we finish in Section 5.3 with lattice-theoretic calculations and some analysis of $\text{Mov}(F)$.

5.1. Restriction to \mathcal{C}_{M_1} . Suppose X is a smooth cubic fourfold containing a plane P and a cubic scroll T , and suppose further that $A(X) = \langle \eta_X, P, T \rangle$ where η_X is the square of the hyperplane class. The intersection form on $A(X)$ takes the form

$$M_a := \begin{array}{c|ccc} & \eta_X & P & T \\ \hline \eta_X & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ P & 1 & 3 & a \\ T & 3 & a & 7 \end{array}$$

for $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, after possibly relabeling T and T^\vee , where T^\vee is a cubic scroll residual to T in a quadric section of $X \cap \langle T \rangle$ [BBA24, Theorem 1.1]. We denote by \mathcal{C}_{M_a} the locus of cubic fourfolds admitting a primitive embedding of $M_a \subset A(X)$. We treat only the case $a = 1$ here.

Remark 5.2. When $a = 2$, T gives a rational section to the quadric surface fibration $\text{Bl}_P X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, making X rational. In the case $a = 3$, the hyperplane $H = \langle T \rangle$ contains P , so the cubic threefold $Y = X \cap \langle T \rangle$ also contains P , and has more than six nodes (see [MV24] and [CMTZ24] for example). Although this case is still interesting (these cubics are conjecturally irrational), Hassett and Tschinkel's results from [HT10] do not provide a description of the Fano variety of lines on Y .

When $a = 1$, if $\delta = m[P] + n[T]$, then the sublattice $K_\delta = \langle \eta_X, \delta \rangle$ has discriminant $4(2m^2 + 3n^2)$. This shows, *a fortiori*, that $X \notin \mathcal{C}_d$ for any d satisfying (**), so X is conjecturally irrational (see Section 2.1). Note also that $X \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$ (cf. [YY23, Theorem 7.11]). This observation is clarified by Lemma 5.4, where we show that X contains a cubic scroll intersecting P along its directrix: as discussed in [BBA24, Proposition 3.4], the union of these two surfaces is a flat limit of the Veronese surface.

Remark 5.3. Although $X \in \mathcal{C}_{20}$, Theorem 5.1 cannot be deduced from Theorem 4.1 or from the results from [FL23], since X is no longer very general in \mathcal{C}_{20} . Indeed, the situation here differs: unlike for the very general member of \mathcal{C}_{20} , F and F' are merely birational, not isomorphic.

5.2. Geometry. Proceeding with the case $[P] \cdot [T] = 1$, we record some geometric observations. Let $H = \langle T \rangle$ and $Y = X \cap H$. The example from [BBA24, Appendix A] shows that, in general, Y has six nodes in general linear position. We will assume this generality condition in what follows.

Bezout's theorem guarantees that P intersects H along a line L (rather than being contained in H).

Lemma 5.4. *There is a unique cubic scroll T_L homologous to T and a unique cubic scroll T_L^\vee homologous to T^\vee such that $L \subset T_L$ and $L \subset T_L^\vee$. Moreover, L is a section of both cubic scrolls.*

Proof. First, we note that P passes through none of the nodes of Y . Indeed, if y is a node of Y , and $y \in P$, then we would have $P \subset T_y Y = H$.

Since L passes through none of the nodes of Y , $[L]$ is a smooth point of $F(Y)$. In particular, $[L]$ belongs to at most one irreducible component. By [HT10, Proposition 4.1], there is a decomposition

$$F(Y) = \mathbb{P}(V) \cup S' \cup \mathbb{P}(V^\vee),$$

where a point $[\ell] \in \mathbb{P}(V)$ (respectively $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$) parametrizes a line in the ruling of some cubic scroll homologous to T (respectively T^\vee), and a point $[\ell] \in S'$ parametrizes a line that is the directrix of exactly two cubic scrolls, one homologous to T and the other homologous to T^\vee . We will show $[L] \in S'$ by checking that $[P] \cdot [T] = 0$ if L is a fiber of a scroll homologous to T . Indeed, in that case, we would have

$$[P] \cdot [T] = [P] \cdot [T'] = -\deg(N_{L/T'}) = 0,$$

following from the calculation in [BBA24, Section 2.4]. \square

As a consequence of the above, we find disjoint pairs of planes in the Fano variety F of lines on X .

Corollary 5.5. *The plane $P^* \subset F$ dual to P is disjoint from $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$.*

Proof. The only way P^* could intersect either $\mathbb{P}(V)$ or $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ is if L is a line in the ruling of some cubic scroll in X . By Lemma 5.4, this is not the case. \square

We also find a pair of ρ -planes in two GM fourfolds associated to X .

Proposition 5.6. *Let T_L and T_L^\vee be the cubic scrolls in X whose directrices lie on P . Let Z_{T_L} and $Z_{T_L^\vee}$ be the GM fourfolds associated to the pairs (X, T_L) and (X, T_L^\vee) , respectively. Then Z_{T_L} and $Z_{T_L^\vee}$ each contain a pair of disjoint ρ -planes.*

Proof. Recall from Section 2.4 that the complete linear system of quadrics containing T_L induces a map $q: \text{Bl}_{T_L} X \rightarrow Z_{T_L}$. The proper transform of Y is a plane $\Pi \subset Z_{T_L}$, and projection from Π gives a rational inverse to q . Since P intersects T_L along a line, the proper transform of P in $\text{Bl}_{T_L} X$ is again a plane. Away from Y , the rational map $q: X \dashrightarrow Z_{T_L}$ is an open embedding, so $q|_P$ is a birational equivalence. Since P is a minimal surface, $q(P)$ is a plane, which we call Π' .

We now argue that Π and Π' are disjoint. Indeed, projection from Π yields a rational inverse to q . The only way for Π' to project to a plane is if $\Pi' \subset \Pi^\perp$, i.e. $\Pi \cap \Pi' = \emptyset$. Note that we have a Hodge-isometry between transcendental lattices $T(X) \cong T(Z_{T_L})$: in particular, $\text{disc}(T(Z_{T_L})) = 32$. If Π' is not a ρ -plane, then by [DIM15, Proposition 6.2] we have $\text{disc}(T(X_{T_L})) = 29$, a contradiction.

The argument for $Z_{T_L^\vee}$ is identical. \square

Definition 5.7. We define X' to be the cubic fourfold obtained as follows: let Π' be the proper transform of P in Z_{T_L} , which is a ρ -plane. Projecting Z_{T_L} from Π' yields a morphism $\text{Bl}_{\Pi'} Z_{T_L} \rightarrow X'$ whose image is another cubic fourfold.

Moreover, X' contains a plane P' (the image of Π under the linear projection) and a cubic scroll T' , such that the complete linear system of quadrics containing T' induces a map $\text{Bl}_{T'} X' \rightarrow Z_{T_L}$, and Π is the image of the hyperplane section $X' \cap \langle T' \rangle$.

Remark 5.8. The rational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$ can also be realized via the complete linear system of quadrics containing $T_L \cup P$, which is a degeneration of the Veronese surface, as mention in Remark 5.3. Hence our construction matches the Cremona transformation from [FL23]. However, we rely on the intermediate maps $X \dashrightarrow Z_{T_L}$ and $Z_{T_L} \dashrightarrow X'$ to obtain a derived equivalence between X and X' .

Proposition 5.9. *Let X and X' be as in Definition 5.7, and F and F' their Fano varieties of lines. Then F' is isomorphic to the Mukai flop of F along the disjoint pair of Lagrangian planes P^* and $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$.*

Proof. If $[\ell] \notin \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \cup P^*$, then

- the total transform of ℓ under the birational map $X \dashrightarrow Z_{T_L}$ is a conic C_ℓ meeting Π in a point (here we use that $[\ell] \notin \mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$);
- since $[\ell] \notin P^*$, $C_\ell \not\subset \Pi'$, so the total transform of C_ℓ under the projection $Z_{T_L} \dashrightarrow X'$ is a conic D_ℓ .

Let ℓ' be the line residual to D_ℓ in X' . Moreover, let $C_{\ell'}$ be the total transform of ℓ' under the birational map $X' \dashrightarrow Z_{T_L}$, and let Q_ℓ and $Q_{\ell'}$ be the singular quadric threefolds associated to the conics C_ℓ and $C_{\ell'}$ as in [BFMQ24, Lemma 2.22].

We first show that the formula $\pi([\ell]) = [\ell']$ defines the same birational equivalence $\pi : F \dashrightarrow F'$ as the composition of birational equivalences

$$F \dashrightarrow \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp \xrightarrow{\tau} \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp \dashrightarrow F'$$

defined in [BFMQ24, Proposition 3.6], where τ is the covering involution on $\widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp$. This amounts to checking that $Q_\ell = Q_{\ell'}$ and that C_ℓ and $C_{\ell'}$ span planes in opposite rulings of this quadric surface. Indeed, conics in the same ruling of a singular quadric threefold, or conics in two distinct singular quadric threefolds parametrized by $\widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp$ meet in at most one point, whereas C_ℓ and $C_{\ell'}$ meet in two points, corresponding to the intersection of D_ℓ and ℓ' .

Already, we defined π away from $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \cup P^*$. To see that π is indeterminate along $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \cup P^*$, it suffices to check that the birational maps $f : \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp \dashrightarrow F$ and $f' : \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp \xrightarrow{\tau} \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp \dashrightarrow F'$ have different base loci. Following [BFMQ24, Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6], a point $w \in \widetilde{W}_{T_L}^\perp$ parametrizes a singular quadric threefold Q_w , and f (respectively f') is defined at w if and only if $Q_w \cap \Pi = \emptyset$ (respectively $Q_w \cap \Pi' = \emptyset$). A singular quadric threefold cannot contain a disjoint pair of planes, so the base loci of f and f' disagree, as needed. \square

Remark 5.10. Note that one can also obtain another cubic fourfold X'' by projecting $Z_{T_L^\vee}$ from the proper transform of P . Although we do not include the proof here, X' and X'' are isomorphic.

5.3. Lattice theory and the movable cone. Let F be the Fano variety of lines on X . The BBF form on $\text{NS}(F)$ is given by:

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & g & p & \lambda \\ \hline g & 6 & 2 & 0 \\ p & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{array}$$

where g , p , and λ are the images under the Abel-Jacobi map of η_X , $[P]$, and $[T] - \eta_X$, respectively. The isometry group $\text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ is generated by ± 1 and the reflections

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 6 & 2 & -5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & -2 \\ -2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The discriminant group is:

$$D(\text{NS}(F)) = \left\langle \frac{g}{2} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{3g-p}{8} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{\lambda}{4} \right\rangle.$$

Lemma 5.11. *An isometry of $\text{NS}(F)$ is a monodromy operator if and only if it acts by $\pm\text{Id}$ on the subgroup*

$$H = \left\langle \frac{3g-p}{8} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{\lambda}{4} \right\rangle$$

In particular, an isometry is induced by a birational automorphism if and only if it preserves $\text{Mov}(F)$ and acts by $\pm\text{Id}$ on H .

Proof. The argument is the same as in Lemma 4.2. □

Let F_1^\vee be the flop of F along $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$, and let ι^\vee be the rational involution on F defined in [HT10, Theorem 6.2] that becomes regular on F_1^\vee (see [BFMQ24, Proposition 3.7]).

Lemma 5.12. *The action of $(\iota^\vee)^*$ on $\text{NS}(F)$ is given by G_1 .*

Proof. By [HT10, Proposition 6.5], $(\iota^\vee)^*(g + \lambda) = g + \lambda$. Direct calculation shows that the only isometry of $\text{NS}(F)$ fixing $g + \lambda$ and acting by $\pm\text{Id}$ on the subgroup H of $D(\text{NS}(F))$ from Lemma 5.11 is G_1 . □

We now work toward understanding the pullback of the Plücker class on F' via the rational map $\pi: F \dashrightarrow F'$.

Lemma 5.13. *The only class of degree 6 in $\text{Nef}(F)$ is g .*

Proof. As in [HT10, Proposition 7.2], the planes $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ in F yield walls $(g \pm 2\lambda)^\perp$ in $\text{Mov}(F)$ on the boundary of $\text{Nef}(F)$. The plane $P^* \subset F$ dual to P yields a wall $(g - 2p)^\perp$ also on the boundary of $\text{Nef}(F)$. Further, the variety of lines incident to P composes a conic bundle over a K3 surface, and the wall p^\perp lies on the boundary of both $\text{Nef}(F)$ and $\text{Mov}(F)$. Hence,

$$\text{Nef}(F) \subset \text{Cone}((g + 2\lambda)^\perp, (g - 2\lambda)^\perp, (g - 2p)^\perp, p^\perp).$$

Direct computation shows that g is the unique class of degree 6 in this cone pairing positively with all of $g \pm 2\lambda$, $g - 2p$, and p . □

Lemma 5.14. *Let $\pi: F \dashrightarrow F'$ be the birational equivalence described in the proof of Proposition 5.9, and let g' be the Plücker class on F' . Then $\pi^*(g') = 3g - 2p + 2\lambda$.*

Proof. Note that $(g - 2p)^\perp \cap (g + 2\lambda)^\perp$ is spanned by $\nu = 3g - p + 2\lambda$. These two walls correspond to Lagrangian planes $P, \mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \subset F$ that are disjoint, by Lemma 5.5. In particular, the two planes can be flopped simultaneously on F , so ν lies on the boundary of $\text{Nef}(F)$. Also, ν lies on the boundary of the chambers corresponding to the flop of F along $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee)$ (i.e. $\text{Nef}(F_1^\vee)$) and the flop of F along $\mathbb{P}(V^\vee) \cup P^*$ (i.e. $\pi^*\text{Nef}(F')$, by Proposition 5.9).

It is straightforward to calculate that $g + 2\lambda$ and $g - 2p$ are the only wall divisors orthogonal to ν . Hence there are four chambers of $\text{Mov}(F)$ on whose boundary ν lies. Since $G_2 \cdot \nu = \nu$, G_2 permutes these four chambers.

We claim that $G_2 \cdot \text{Nef}(F_1^\vee) = \text{Nef}(F_1^\vee)$. Since ι^\vee is regular on F_1^\vee , and $(\iota^\vee)^*(g + \lambda) = g + \lambda$ (verified using Lemma 5.12), we know $g + \lambda \in \text{Nef}(F_1^\vee)$. By calculating $G_2(g + \lambda) = g + \lambda$, we verify that G_2 sends $\text{Nef}(F_1^\vee)$ to itself.

This forces $G_2 \cdot \text{Nef}(F) = \text{Nef}(F')$. In particular, $G_2 \cdot g = 3g - 2p + 2\lambda$ is the unique class of degree 6 in $\text{Nef}(F')$ by Lemma 5.13. This must then be the pullback of the Plücker class on F' . □

We can now apply Proposition 2.3:

Proposition 5.15. *The Fanos F and F' are not isomorphic, so in particular $X \not\cong X'$.*

Proof. The fact that the second claim follows from the first is obvious. By Proposition 2.3, Lemma 5.11, and Lemma 5.14, it suffices to check that there is no isometry $\phi \in \text{O}(\text{NS}(F))$ such that $\phi(g) = 3g - 2p + 2\lambda$ and acting by $\pm \text{Id}$ on

$$H = \left\langle \frac{3g - p}{8} \right\rangle \oplus \left\langle \frac{\lambda}{4} \right\rangle \subset D(\text{NS}(F)).$$

Indeed, the only isometries satisfying $\phi(g) = 3g - 2p + 2\lambda$ are G_2 and G_2G_3 , and neither acts on H by $\pm \text{Id}$. \square

We now prove our main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 5.1. For (BE), note that X and X' are both birational to the GM fourfold Z_{T_L} . Moreover, since Z_{T_L} is the GM fourfold associated to the pairs (X, T_L) and (X', T') , [KP18, Theorem 5.8] yields

$$\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{Z_{T_L}} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'},$$

proving (FM). In Proposition 5.9, we proved (BF), but in Proposition 5.15, we prove $F \not\cong F'$, which allows us to conclude that $X \not\cong X'$. \square

6. CUBICS OF DISCRIMINANT 546

To see that neither Fourier-Mukai partnership nor a derived equivalence between the Fano varieties implies that two cubic fourfolds have birationally equivalent Fano varieties of lines, turn to discriminant 546. The example is inspired by [MMY20].

Theorem 6.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}_{546}$ be a very general cubic fourfold and F its Fano variety of lines. Then there exists a cubic fourfold $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{546}$ with Fano variety of lines F' such that:*

- (BE) X and X' are conjecturally birational,
- (FM) $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$,
- \neg (BF) F and F' are not birational, and
- (DF) $D^b(F) \simeq D^b(F')$.

Following [Bra21], the key ingredient of this result is the fact that the Fano variety F of lines on a cubic fourfold X of discriminant d satisfying condition $(***)$ is birational to the Hilbert square of points on a K3 surface, as mentioned in Section 2.1. This also implies that X is conjecturally rational.

We start with lattice-theoretic observations about $\text{NS}(F)$, whose BBF form is given below.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & g & \lambda \\ \hline g & 6 & 0 \\ \lambda & 0 & -182 \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.2. *The only square 6 class in $\text{Mov}(F)$ is g .*

Proof. The classes $\rho_1 = 11g - 2\lambda$ and $\rho_2 = 11g + 2\lambda$ both square to -2 and pair positively with g , so any class in $\text{Mov}(F)$ pairs nonnegatively with ρ_1 and ρ_2 . Hence

square 6 classes $ag + b\lambda$ in $\text{Mov}(F)$ correspond to integer solutions to the system of equations

$$6a^2 - 182b^2 = 6, \quad 66a - 364b > 0, \quad 66a + 364b > 0.$$

The only solution is $a = 1, b = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. By [FL23, Proposition 2.6], X has two Fourier-Mukai partners; let X' be the nontrivial one, so that $X \not\cong X'$. By [Huy17, Proposition 3.4], the equivalence $\mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$ gives a Hodge isometry $\tilde{H}(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \tilde{H}(X', \mathbb{Z})$, restricting to a Hodge isometry $T(X) \cong T(X')$, thus $X' \in \mathcal{C}_{546}$. Since $d = 546$ satisfies condition $(***)$ (namely, with $a = 1, n = 16$), it follows by [Add16, Theorem 2] that F is birational to $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ for a K3 surface S satisfying $D^b(S) \simeq \mathcal{A}_X$. In fact, more is true: by [Bra21, Prop. 4.5, Remark 4.6], since $3 \mid d$, we have $F \cong \text{Hilb}^2(S)$ since $\text{Hilb}^2(S)$ has only one birational model. Similarly we have $F' \cong \text{Hilb}^2(S')$ for a K3 surface S' satisfying $D^b(S') \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'}$.

We now argue that F and F' are not birational. Since $X \not\cong X'$, a birational equivalence $F \simeq_{\text{bir}} F'$ would imply the existence of (at least) two orbits of square 6 divisibility 2 classes in $\text{Mov}(F)$ under the action of $\text{Bir}(F)$, by Proposition 2.3. This is not the case, according to Lemma 6.2.

For the final claim, we note that

$$D^b(S) \simeq \mathcal{A}_X \simeq \mathcal{A}_{X'} \simeq D^b(S'),$$

and thus

$$D^b(F) \simeq D^b(\text{Hilb}^2(S)) \simeq D^b(\text{Hilb}^2(S')) \simeq D^b(F'),$$

by [Plo07, Proposition 8]. \square

Remark 6.3. It is likely that other examples of cubic fourfolds of discriminant d can be constructed that satisfy Theorem 6.1. When d satisfies $(***)$ with $d = 6pq$ where $p, q > 3$ are distinct primes, such a cubic fourfold has a non-trivial Fourier-Mukai partner, and the results of [Bra21] guarantee that the Fano variety of lines has a unique birational model. An understanding of how the birational automorphism group acts on line bundles of degree 6 and divisibility 2 (i.e. a statement analogous to Lemma 6.2) would be necessary for any fixed d to complete the argument. Such cubic fourfolds are conjecturally rational.

REFERENCES

- [Add16] Nicolas Addington, *On two rationality conjectures for cubic fourfolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **23** (2016), no. 1, 1–13. MR3512874
- [AT14] Nicolas Addington and Richard Thomas, *Hodge theory and derived categories of cubic fourfolds*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 10, 1885–1927. MR3229044
- [BBA24] Michele Bolognesi, Zakaria Brahim, and Hanine Awada, *Moduli of cubic fourfolds and reducible OADP surfaces*, 2024.
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR1484478
- [BD85] Arnaud Beauville and Ron Donagi, *La variété des droites d'une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **301** (1985), no. 14, 703–706. MR818549
- [Bec23] Thorsten Beckmann, *Derived categories of hyper-Kähler manifolds: extended Mukai vector and integral structure*, Compos. Math. **159** (2023), no. 1, 109–152. MR4543656

- [BFMQ24] Corey Brooke, Sarah Frei, Lisa Marquand, and Xuqiang Qin, *Birational geometry of fano varieties of lines on cubic fourfolds containing pairs of cubic scrolls*, 2024.
- [BG24] Simone Billi and Annalisa Grossi, *Non-symplectic automorphisms of prime order of O’Grady’s tenfolds and cubic fourfolds*, 2024.
- [BHT15] Arend Bayer, Brendan Hassett, and Yuri Tschinkel, *Mori cones of holomorphic symplectic varieties of K3 type*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **48** (2015), no. 4, 941–950. MR3377069
- [BLM⁺21] Arend Bayer, Martí Lahoz, Emanuele Macrì, Howard Nuer, Alexander Perry, and Paolo Stellari, *Stability conditions in families*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **133** (2021), 157–325. MR4292740
- [Bra21] Emma Brakkee, *Two polarised K3 surfaces associated to the same cubic fourfold*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **171** (2021), no. 1, 51–64. MR4268803
- [BRS15] Michele Bolognesi, Francesco Russo, and Giovanni Staglianò, *Some loci of rational cubic fourfolds* (2015), available at [1504.05863v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.05863v1).
- [CMTZ24] Ivan Cheltsov, Lisa Marquand, Yuri Tschinkel, and Zhijia Zhang, *Equivariant geometry of singular cubic threefolds, ii*, 2024.
- [Deb20a] Olivier Debarre, *Gushel-mukai varieties*, 2020.
- [Deb20b] Olivier Debarre, *Hyperkähler manifolds* (2020), available at [1810.02087](https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.02087).
- [DIM15] O. Debarre, A. Iliev, and L. Manivel, *Special prime Fano fourfolds of degree 10 and index 2*, Recent advances in algebraic geometry, 2015, pp. 123–155. MR3380447
- [DK18] Olivier Debarre and Alexander Kuznetsov, *Gushel-Mukai varieties: classification and birationalities*, Algebr. Geom. **5** (2018), no. 1, 15–76. MR3734109
- [DK20] Olivier Debarre and Alexander Kuznetsov, *Gushel-Mukai varieties: moduli*, Internat. J. Math. **31** (2020), no. 2, 2050013, 59. MR4083237
- [FL23] Yu-Wei Fan and Kuan-Wen Lai, *New rational cubic fourfolds arising from Cremona transformations*, Algebr. Geom. **10** (2023), no. 4, 432–460. MR4606409
- [GS14] Sergey Galkin and Evgeny Shinder, *The Fano variety of lines and rationality problem for a cubic hypersurface*, 2014.
- [Has00] Brendan Hassett, *Special cubic fourfolds*, Compositio Math. **120** (2000), no. 1, 1–23. MR1738215
- [Has96] Brendan Edward Hassett, *Special cubic hypersurfaces of dimension four*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1996. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Harvard University. MR2694332
- [HT01] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, *Rational curves on holomorphic symplectic fourfolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 6, 1201–1228. MR1878319
- [HT10] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel, *Flops on holomorphic symplectic fourfolds and determinantal cubic hypersurfaces*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **9** (2010), no. 1, 125–153. MR2576800
- [Huy17] Daniel Huybrechts, *The K3 category of a cubic fourfold*, Compos. Math. **153** (2017), no. 3, 586–620. MR3705236
- [Huy23] Daniel Huybrechts, *The geometry of cubic hypersurfaces*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 206, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. MR4589520
- [Kaw02] Yujiro Kawamata, *D-equivalence and K-equivalence*, J. Differential Geom. **61** (2002), no. 1, 147–171. MR1949787
- [KP18] Alexander Kuznetsov and Alexander Perry, *Derived categories of Gushel-Mukai varieties*, Compos. Math. **154** (2018), no. 7, 1362–1406. MR3826460
- [KP23] Alexander Kuznetsov and Alexander Perry, *Categorical cones and quadratic homological projective duality*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **56** (2023), no. 1, 1–57. MR4563868
- [Kuz10] Alexander Kuznetsov, *Derived categories of cubic fourfolds*, Cohomological and geometric approaches to rationality problems, 2010, pp. 219–243. MR2605171
- [Kuz16] Alexander Kuznetsov, *Derived categories view on rationality problems*, Rationality problems in algebraic geometry, 2016, pp. 67–104. MR3618666
- [Laz09] Radu Laza, *The moduli space of cubic fourfolds*, J. Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), no. 3, 511–545. MR2496456
- [Loo09] Eduard Looijenga, *The period map for cubic fourfolds*, Invent. Math. **177** (2009), no. 1, 213–233. MR2507640

- [Mar11] Eyal Markman, *A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties*, Complex and differential geometry, 2011, pp. 257–322. MR2964480
- [Mer14] Michael H. Mertens, *Automorphism groups of hyperbolic lattices*, J. Algebra **408** (2014), 147–165. MR3197177
- [MMY20] Ciaran Meachan, Giovanni Mongardi, and Kōta Yoshioka, *Derived equivalent Hilbert schemes of points on K3 surfaces which are not birational*, Math. Z. **294** (2020), no. 3-4, 871–880. MR4074026
- [Mon15] Giovanni Mongardi, *A note on the Kähler and Mori cones of hyperkähler manifolds*, Asian J. Math. **19** (2015), no. 4, 583–591. MR3423735
- [MSYZ24] Davesh Maulik, Junliang Shen, Qizheng Yin, and Ruxuan Zhang, *The d-equivalence conjecture for hyper-kähler varieties via hyperholomorphic bundles*, 2024.
- [MV24] Lisa Marquand and Sasha Viktorova, *The defect of a cubic threefold*, 2024.
- [Nam03] Yoshinori Namikawa, *Mukai flops and derived categories*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **560** (2003), 65–76. MR1992802
- [O’G05] K. G. O’Grady, *Involutions and linear systems on holomorphic symplectic manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 6, 1223–1274. MR2221247
- [O’G06] Kieran G. O’Grady, *Irreducible symplectic 4-folds and Eisenbud-Popescu-Walter sextics*, Duke Math. J. **134** (2006), no. 1, 99–137. MR2239344
- [O’G08] Kieran G. O’Grady, *Irreducible symplectic 4-folds numerically equivalent to $(K3)^{[2]}$* , Commun. Contemp. Math. **10** (2008), no. 4, 553–608. MR2444848
- [O’G16] Kieran G. O’Grady, *Moduli of double EPW-sextics*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **240** (2016), no. 1136, ix+172. MR3460099
- [Plo07] David Ploog, *Equivariant autoequivalences for finite group actions*, Adv. Math. **216** (2007), no. 1, 62–74. MR2353249
- [Voi13] Claire Voisin, *Abel-Jacobi map, integral Hodge classes and decomposition of the diagonal*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 1, 141–174. MR2993050
- [Voi86] Claire Voisin, *Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de \mathbf{P}^5* , Invent. Math. **86** (1986), no. 3, 577–601. MR860684
- [YY23] Song Yang and Xun Yu, *On lattice polarizable cubic fourfolds*, Res. Math. Sci. **10** (2023), no. 1, Paper No. 2, 39. MR4519216

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CARLETON COLLEGE, NORTHFIELD, MN 55057
Email address: cbrooke@carleton.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DARTMOUTH COLLEGE, KEMENY HALL, HANOVER, NH 03755
Email address: sarah.frei@dartmouth.edu

COURANT INSTITUTE, 251 MERCER STREET, NEW YORK, NY 10012, USA
Email address: lisa.marquand@nyu.edu