

Derived categories of bifiltered complexes

Yukiyoshi Nakkajima *

Abstract.— We construct a fundamental theory of the derived category of (non-biregular) bifiltered complexes.

Resumé.— Nous construisons une théorie fondamentale de la catégorie dérivée des complexes bifiltrés (non-biréguliers).

1 Introduction

The aim in this paper is to construct a fundamental theory of the derived category of bifiltered complexes which will be necessary for future algebro-geometric applications. (The author has a plan of the application of this theory to the Hirsch extension of the weight-filtered crystalline complex of an open semistable scheme over a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, which will be a generalization of [N].) Because the theory in this paper is very fundamental and very natural, the author thinks that it will be useful for various areas of mathematics in which cohomologies with bifiltrations are used.

A filtered complex (resp. a bifiltered complex) is said to be biregular if the filtration (resp. each filtration of the bifiltrations) on each component of the underlying complex is finite ([D1]). The derived category of bounded below filtered complexes and the derived category of bounded below bifiltered complexes have been first defined by Deligne in the theory of Hodge-Deligne in the case where filtrations are biregular ([D2]).

Recently it is necessary to consider non-biregular filtered complexes for algebro-geometric applications (e. g., [N]). By following Berthelot's lecture at Padova ([B2]), in [NS] we have given the definition of the derived category of filtered bounded below or above complexes whose filtrations induce not necessarily exhaustive nor not necessarily separated filtrations on each components of the underlying complexes.

In this paper we give the definition of the bifiltered version of the definition in [NS]. Our derived category of bifiltered bounded below complexes contains the derived category of bifiltered bounded below complexes in [D2] as a full subcategory. (If bifiltrations of bifiltered complexes are biregular, then our formulation for these bifiltered complexes becomes equivalent to the formulation in [loc. cit.].) Our theory in this paper is not contained in the general theory of [S] and it is not a formal generalization of [B2] and [NS]. We need several new ideas to construct our theory.

In this paper we give basic notions in order to define our derived category for bifiltered complexes and we show basic facts on it. Especially we give and prove the following:

*2020 Mathematics subject classification number 18G10. The author is supported from JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Grant No. 24K06652).

- (1) the notion of the strictly exactness of a bifiltered complex.
- (2) the notion of a strictly injective bifiltered complex.
- (3) the existence of the strictly injective resolution of a bifiltered bounded below complex.
- (4) the notion of a strictly flat bifiltered complex.
- (5) the existence of the strictly flat resolution of a bifiltered bounded above complex.
- (6) the existence of the derived homomorphism functor from a bifiltered bounded above complex to a bifiltered bounded below complex.
- (7) the adjunction formula of the derived homomorphism functor.
- (8) the existence of the derived tensor product of two bifiltered bounded above complexes.

The contents of this paper are as follows.

In §2 we give the definition of the derived category of bifiltered complexes by using (1).

In §3 we prove (3).

In §4 we prove (5).

In §5 we prove (6) and (7): the existence of the derived homomorphism functor RHom and we prove the adjunction formula.

In §6 we prove (8): the existence of the derived tensor product \otimes^L . To prove the existence of \otimes^L is a more nontrivial work than to prove the existence of RHom .

In §7 we give some complements.

In §8 we give simple remarks related to the general theory of Schneiders ([S]).

2 The definition of the derived category of bifiltered complexes

In this section we give the definition of the strictness of a morphism of bifiltered modules and the definition of the strictly exactness of bifiltered complexes. The latter notion is the most important one for the definition of the derived category of bifiltered complexes in this paper. To give the definitions is not an obvious work (see (2.3) below); the theory in [B2] and [NS] does not immediately imply the theory in this paper. Unfortunately the author has not yet given the appropriate definition of the strictly exactness of a complex with n -pieces of filtrations for a general positive integer n because of the quite complicated description of the multi-graded complex of a complex with n -pieces of filtrations for the case $n \geq 3$.

Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ be a ringed topos. Let E be an \mathcal{A} -module in \mathcal{T} . An increasing filtration on E is, by definition, a family $P := \{P_k\} := \{P_k E\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of \mathcal{A} -submodules of E such that $P_k E \subset P_{k+1} E$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in [B2] and [NS], filtrations are not necessarily exhaustive nor separated. This situation forces us to define the strictly exactness for the definition of our derived category of bifiltered complexes. Let n be a positive integer and let $P^{(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be filtrations on E . We denote by $(E, \{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ an \mathcal{A} -module E with n -pieces of filtrations $P^{(1)}, \dots, P^{(n)}$ on E . For simplicity of notation, we almost always denote $P^{(i)}$ by $E^{(i)}$ and $P_k^{(i)} E$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) by $E_k^{(i)}$, respectively. For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$) and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$E_{k_1 \dots k_m}^{(i_1 \dots i_m)} := E_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \cap \dots \cap E_{k_m}^{(i_m)}.$$

For $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we also use the following convenient notation

$$E_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)} := E_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \cap \dots \cap E_{k_n}^{(i_n)}.$$

For an \mathcal{A} -module E , we mean by the trivial filtration on E a filtration $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $P_0 E = E$ and $P_{-1} E = 0$. In [B2], \mathcal{A} has a nontrivial filtration and \mathcal{A} is not necessarily commutative; in this paper, we consider only the trivial filtration on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} is assumed to be commutative.

A morphism $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of modules of n -pieces of filtrations is defined to be a morphism $f: E \rightarrow F$ of \mathcal{A} -modules such that $f(E_k^{(i)}) \subset F_k^{(i)}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations. Obviously $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is an additive category. We do not say that f is strict even if $f: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ is strict (i.e., $\text{Im}(f) \cap F_k^{(i)} = f(E_k^{(i)})$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$)) for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$:

Definition 2.1. Let $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a morphism in $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then we say that f is *strict* if $\text{Im}(f) \cap F_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)} = f(E_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)})$ for $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is obvious that, if $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strict, then the induced morphism $f: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ of filtered \mathcal{A} -modules is strict for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$.

We often use the following simple criterion in key points in the proofs of results in this paper:

Proposition 2.2. Let $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a morphism in $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Let $f^{(i)}: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be the induced morphism. If f is injective, then f is strict if and only if $f^{(i)}: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ is strict for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$.

Proof. We have only to prove that $\text{Im}(f) \cap F_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)} \subset f(E_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)})$. This is a local question. Let s be a local section of $\text{Im}(f) \cap F_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}$. Then we may assume that there exists a local section t of E such that $f(t) = s$. Since $s \in F_{k_1}^{(i_1)}$ and $f: (E, E^{(i_1)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i_1)})$ is strict, we may assume that there exists a local section $t_1 \in E_{k_1}^{(i_1)}$ such that $f(t_1) = s$. Since $f(t_1) = f(t)$ and f is injective, we may assume that $t = t_1$. Repeating this argument for $E_{k_2}^{(i_2)}, \dots, E_{k_n}^{(i_n)}$, we obtain the desired inclusion. \square

Remark 2.3. Even if $f^{(i)}: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ is strict for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$, f is not necessarily strict in general because $E_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}$ may be too small compared with $F_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}$. Indeed, let A be a nonzero commutative ring with unit element. Let M be an A -module and let $0 \subsetneq N \subsetneq M$ be an A -submodule. Consider a filtration P on M defined by $P_k M := 0$ ($k < 0$), $P_0 M := N$ ($k = 0$) and $P_k M := M$ ($k > 0$). Set $E := M \oplus M$ and consider two filtrations $E^{(1)}$ and $E^{(2)}$ defined by

$$E_k^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & (k < 0), \\ N \oplus 0 & (k = 0), \\ E & (k > 0) \end{cases}$$

and

$$E_k^{(2)} = \begin{cases} 0 & (k < 0), \\ 0 \oplus N & (k = 0), \\ E & (k > 0). \end{cases}$$

Set $F := M$ and consider two filtrations $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ on F defined by $F^{(1)} := P =: F^{(2)}$. Then the summation $+: M \oplus M \rightarrow M$ induces a bifiltered morphism $f: (E, (E^{(1)}, E^{(2)})) \rightarrow (F, (F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}))$ of \mathcal{A} -modules. The morphism $f^{(i)}: (E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(i)})$ for $i = 1, 2$ is strict, while f is not: $f(E_0^{(12)}) = 0 \neq N = \text{Im}(f) \cap F_0^{(12)}$. Because

$$\text{Im}(f) = (f(E), \{f(E) \cap F_k^{(1)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{f(E) \cap F_k^{(2)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coim}(f) &= (E, P^{(1)}, P^{(2)}) / \text{Ker}(f) \\ &= (E/f^{-1}(0), \{E_k^{(1)} + f^{-1}(0)/f^{-1}(0)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{E_k^{(2)} + f^{-1}(0)/f^{-1}(0)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \\ &= (f(E), \{f(E_k^{(1)})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{f(E_k^{(2)})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}), \end{aligned}$$

$\text{Coim}(f) = \text{Im}(f)$. Hence f is a strict morphism in the sense of [S, §1]. Consequently our definition of the strictness for f is not equal to the definition for f in [loc. cit.]. However the induced morphism $f': (E, E^{(1)}, E^{(2)}, E^{(1)} \cap E^{(2)}) \rightarrow (F, F^{(1)}, F^{(2)}, F^{(1)} \cap F^{(2)})$ of trifiltered complexes by f is not strict in the sense of [S, §1]. Here the filtrations $E^{(1)} \cap E^{(2)}$ and $F^{(1)} \cap F^{(2)}$ are defined by $(E^{(1)} \cap E^{(2)})_{(k_1, k_2)} := E_{k_1, k_2}^{(12)}$ and $(F^{(1)} \cap F^{(2)})_{(k_1, k_2)} := F_{k_1, k_2}^{(12)}$ and the category of trifiltered complexes above is defined suitably. Note that the sets of indexes of $E^{(1)} \cap E^{(2)}$ and $F^{(1)} \cap F^{(2)}$ are \mathbb{Z}^2 .

Let $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a morphism in $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. We say that f is a *strict injective morphism* (resp. *strict surjective morphism*) if f is strict and if the induced morphism $E \rightarrow F$ is injective (resp. if f is strict and if the induced morphism $E \rightarrow F$ is surjective).

A complex with n -pieces of filtrations is, by definition, a complex (E^\bullet, d) with n -pieces $\{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n$ of filtrations such that $d(P_k^{(i)} E^q) \subset P_k^{(i)} E^{q+1}$. A morphism of complexes with n -pieces of filtrations is defined in an obvious way. Let $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations and let $\text{C}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{C}^-\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{C}^b\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the categories of bounded below, bounded above and bounded complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations, respectively. We define the notion of the n -filtered homotopy in an obvious way.

Let $\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the category of complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations modulo n -filtered homotopies and let $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{K}^-\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{K}^b\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ be the categories of bounded below, bounded above and bounded complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations modulo n -filtered homotopies, respectively. For an object of $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ or $\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, we define the direct image and the inverse image of a morphism of ringed topoi in an obvious way. Since $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is an additive category, $\text{K}^*\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ ($\star = +, -, b, \text{nothing}$) is a triangulated category. For a complex $(E^\bullet, \{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with n -pieces of filtrations and for a sequence $\underline{l} := (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, we define the shift $(E^\bullet, \{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \langle \underline{l} \rangle$ by $P^{(i)} \langle l_i \rangle_k E^\bullet := P_{l_i+k}^{(i)} E^\bullet$ ([D1, (1.1)]). In the following we often denote $(E^\bullet, \{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ by $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$.

Proposition-Definition 2.4. (1) For $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq n$, and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following *intersection functor*

$$(2.4.1) \quad \bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} : \text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \ni (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mapsto E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}^{\bullet(i_1, \dots, i_n)} = \bigcap_{j=1}^n E_{k_j}^{\bullet(i_j)} \in K(\mathcal{A})$$

is well-defined. Here $K(\mathcal{A})$ is the category of complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules modulo homotopy.

(2) For $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n \leq n$, and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following *gr functor*

$$(2.4.2) \quad \text{gr}_{k_1}^{P(i_1)} \dots, \text{gr}_{k_n}^{P(i_n)} : \text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \ni (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mapsto E_{k_1 \dots k_n}^{\bullet(i_1 \dots i_n)} \in K(\mathcal{A})$$

is well-defined.

Proof. This is easy to prove. \square

The following is a generalization of the strictly exactness defined in [B2] (and [NS]).

Definition 2.5. Assume that $n \leq 2$. We say that a complex $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with n -pieces of filtrations is *strictly exact* if $\mathcal{H}^q(E^\bullet) = 0 = \mathcal{H}^q(E_{k_1 k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)})$ ($\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \forall i_2 \leq n, \forall k_1, \forall k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$)

Proposition 2.6. *If $n \leq 2$ and if a complex $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ with n -pieces of filtrations is strictly exact and $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \simeq (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ in $\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, then $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is also strictly exact.*

Proof. This is easy to prove. \square

Proposition 2.7. *If $n \leq 2$ and if a complex $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ with n -pieces of filtrations is strictly exact, then $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{P(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_2}^{P(i_2)} E^\bullet$ is exact.*

Proof. In the case $n = 1$, this is obvious. Because

$$(2.7.1) \quad \text{gr}_{k_1}^{P(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_2}^{P(i_2)} E^\bullet = E_{k_1 k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} / (E_{k_1-1, k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} + E_{k_1, k_2-1}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)}),$$

we have only to prove that $E_{k_1-1, k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} + E_{k_1, k_2-1}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)}$ is exact. This follows from the following exact sequence

$$(2.7.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E_{k_1-1, k_2-1}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} \xrightarrow{\text{inc.} \oplus \text{-inc.}} E_{k_1-1, k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} \oplus E_{k_1, k_2-1}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} \xrightarrow{+} E_{k_1-1, k_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} + E_{k_1, k_2-1}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} \longrightarrow 0.$$

\square

Remark 2.8. Consider the case $n = 3$. By the definition of the quotient filtration, we obtain the following formulas for $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq n$:

$$\text{gr}_{k_1}^{P(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_2}^{P(i_2)} \text{gr}_{k_3}^{P(i_3)} E^\bullet = E_{k_1 k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} / \{E_{k_1-1, k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_1}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)})\}.$$

Hence we would like to consider the following \mathcal{A} -module:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{k_1-1, k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} \cap \{E_{k_1}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)})\} &= E_{k_1-1}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap E_{k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)}) \\ &= E_{k_1-1, k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)}) \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $n = 3$, it is reasonable to say that $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact if $\mathcal{H}^q(E^\bullet) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^q(E_{k_1 k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^q(E_{k_1-1, k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)})) = 0$ ($\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \forall i_2 \leq \forall i_3 \leq n = 3, \forall k_1, \forall k_2, \forall k_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$). However I have not yet proved the existence of the strictly injective resolution of a bounded below complex with 3-pieces of filtrations because of the complicated term $E_{k_1-1, k_2 k_3}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2 i_3)} \cap (E_{k_2-1, k_3}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)} + E_{k_2, k_3-1}^{\bullet(i_2 i_3)})$. In this reason we discuss the derived category of complexes with n -pieces filtrations under the assumption $n \leq 2$ in this paper.

For a morphism $f: (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ in $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, the mapping cone of f in $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is defined in an obvious way.

Definition 2.9. Assume that $n \leq 2$. We say that a filtered morphism

$$f: (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^2) \longrightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^2)$$

in $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism (or simply a filtered quasi-isomorphism) if the mapping cone of f is strictly exact.

It is obvious that f is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism if and only if the induced morphisms

$$(2.9.1) \quad f: E \longrightarrow F$$

and

$$(2.9.2) \quad f: E_{k_1 k_n}^{\bullet(i_1 i_n)} \longrightarrow F_{k_1 k_n}^{\bullet(i_1 i_n)}$$

are quasi-isomorphisms for $1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \forall i_n \leq n$ and $\forall k_1, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

By the following proposition, we see that our definition above is equivalent to the definition in [D1, (1.3.6) (i), (ii)] in the case $n = 1, 2$ if the filtrations are biregular.

Proposition 2.10. Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $f: (E^\bullet, \{P^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (F^\bullet, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an n -filtered morphism in $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that the filtrations $P^{(i)}$ and $Q^{(i)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are biregular. Then the following is equivalent:

- (1) The morphism f is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism.
- (2) The morphism

$$(2.10.1) \quad \text{gr}(f): \text{gr}_{k_1}^{P^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_m}^{P^{(m)}} E^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{gr}_{k_1}^{Q^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_m}^{Q^{(m)}} F^\bullet$$

for any $k_1, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for $m \leq n$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Furthermore, if $n = 2$, then (1) is equivalent to the following:

- (3) The morphism

$$(2.10.2) \quad \text{gr}(f): \text{gr}_{k_1}^{P^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_2}^{P^{(2)}} E^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{gr}_{k_1}^{Q^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_2}^{Q^{(2)}} F^\bullet$$

for any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Proof. Because (2.10) is easy to prove in the case $n = 1$, we have only to prove (2.10) in the case $n = 2$. First assume that f is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism. Then the morphism $\text{gr}(f)$'s in (2.10.1) and (2.10.2) are quasi-isomorphisms by (2.7.1) and (2.7.2).

Because (2) implies (3), it suffices to prove that (3) implies (1). We assume that (2.10.2) is a quasi-isomorphism. Let q be an integer. Let \bullet be q or $q \pm 1$. Let k_1, k_2, l_1, l_2 be integers such that $E_{k_1}^{\bullet(1)} = 0$, $E_{l_1}^{\bullet(1)} = E^\bullet$, $E_{k_2}^{\bullet(2)} = 0$ and $E_{l_2}^{\bullet(2)} = E^\bullet$. Then

$$\text{gr}_{k_1+1}^{P^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_2+1}^{P^{(2)}} E^\bullet = E_{k_1+1, k_2+1}^{\bullet(12)}$$

and

$$\text{gr}_{k_1+m}^{P^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_2+1}^{P^{(2)}} E^\bullet = E_{k_1+m, k_2+1}^{\bullet(12)} / E_{k_1+m-1, k_2+1}^\bullet \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\text{gr}_{k_1+1}^{P^{(1)}} \text{gr}_{k_2+2}^{P^{(2)}} E^\bullet = E_{k_1+1, k_2+2}^{\bullet(12)} / E_{k_1+1, k_2+1}^{\bullet(12)}$$

and

$$\mathrm{gr}_{k_1+m}^{P^{(1)}} \mathrm{gr}_{k_2+2}^{P^{(2)}} E^\bullet = E_{k_1+m, k_2+2}^{\bullet(12)} / (E_{k_1+m-1, k_2+2}^{\bullet(12)} + E_{k_1+m, k_2+1}^{\bullet(12)}) \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).$$

More generally,

$$\mathrm{gr}_{k_1+m}^{P^{(i_1)}} \mathrm{gr}_{k_2+l}^{P^{(i_2)}} E^\bullet = E_{k_1+m}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap E_{k_2+l}^{\bullet(i_2)} / (E_{k_1+m-1}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap E_{k_2+l}^{\bullet(i_2)} + E_{k_1+m}^{\bullet(i_1)} \cap E_{k_2+l-1}^{\bullet(i_2)}).$$

By using (2.7.2) we see that the induced morphism

$$E_{m_1 m_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)} \longrightarrow F_{m_1 m_2}^{\bullet(i_1 i_2)}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for any $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ by the inductive argument. Since the filtrations $P^{(1)}$ and $P^{(2)}$ (resp. $Q^{(1)}$ and $Q^{(2)}$) on E^\bullet (resp. F^\bullet) for $\bullet = q, q \pm 1$ are finite, the morphism (2.9.1) is a quasi-isomorphism. \square

Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let us consider the set of morphisms ($\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis}$) whose elements are the n -filtered quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathrm{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then it is easy to see that ($\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis}$) forms a saturated multiplicative system which is compatible with the triangulation in the sense of [V, II SM1)~SM6) p. 112]. Set $\mathrm{D}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \mathrm{K}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})_{(\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis})}$ ($\star = +, -, \mathrm{b}$, nothing).

Definition 2.11. We call $\mathrm{D}^+ \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ the *derived category of bounded below complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations*, the *derived category of bounded above complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations* and the *derived category of bounded complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations*, respectively.

In the rest of this section, we give notations which will be necessary in later sections.

Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $\mathrm{K}^\star(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$ ($\star = +, -, \mathrm{b}$, nothing) be the category of complexes of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ -modules modulo homotopies with respect to $\star = +, -, \mathrm{b}$, nothing. Let $\mathrm{D}^\star(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})) := \mathrm{K}^\star(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))_{(\mathrm{Qis})}$ be its derived category. Let $\mathrm{MF}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$ and $\mathrm{C}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$ be the category of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ -modules with n -pieces of filtrations and that of complexes of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ -modules with n -pieces of filtrations, respectively. Let $\mathrm{K}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$ be the category of complexes of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ -modules with n -pieces of filtrations modulo n -filtered homotopies. By abuse of notation, let ($\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis}$) be the set of morphisms whose elements are the n -filtered quasi-isomorphisms in $\mathrm{KF}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$. Let $\mathrm{D}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$ be the derived category $\mathrm{K}^\star \mathrm{F}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))_{(\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis})}$ localized by the set ($\mathbb{F}^n \mathrm{Qis}$).

3 Strictly injective resolutions

Assume that $n \leq 2$. In this section we give the definitions of the specially injective resolution and the strictly injective resolution of a complex with n -pieces of filtrations, which are generalizations of the definitions in [B2] (and [NS]).

The *special module* in [B2] (and [NS]) can be generalized in the following way for a general positive integer n . For an object $(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, we set

$$\prod_{\mathcal{A}} (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) := F \times \prod_{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_1}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times \prod_{l_n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_n}^{(n)}$$

with n -pieces of filtrations $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}$'s on $\prod_{\mathcal{A}} (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ defined by

$$\left(\prod_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)} (F, \{F^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n) \right)_k := F \times \prod_{m=1}^{i-1} \prod_{l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_m}^{(m)} \times \prod_{l_i \leq k} F_{l_i}^{(i)} \times \prod_{m=i+1}^n \prod_{l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_m}^{(m)}.$$

Then we have an \mathcal{A} -module with n -pieces of filtrations:

$$\left(\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \left\{\prod_{\mathcal{A}}\right\}_{i=1}^n\right)^{(i)}.$$

By abuse of notation, we denote this filtered module simply by $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$.

The following formula is a generalization of the formula in [B2]:

Proposition 3.1. *The following formula*

(3.1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) = & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E, F) \times \\ & \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E/E_{k-1}^{(i)}, F_k^{(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof. Assume that we are given a morphism $f: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Then we have morphisms $E \rightarrow F$ and $E/E_{k-1}^{(i)} \rightarrow F_k^{(i)}$.

Let us find the inverse morphism of f . Assume that we are given morphisms $E \rightarrow F$ and $E/E_{k-1}^{(i)} \rightarrow F_k^{(i)}$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have a morphism $E \xrightarrow{\text{proj}} E/E_{k-1}^{(i)} \rightarrow F_k^{(i)}$. Hence we have a morphism $E \rightarrow F \times \prod_{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_1}^{(1)} \times \cdots \times$

$\prod_{l_n \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l_n}^{(n)}$. This morphism induces a filtered morphism $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow \prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n)$ by the definition of $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(F, \{F^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n)$. \square

For two objects $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, we define

$$(\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E, F), \{\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}(E, F)\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$$

in a well-known way:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}(E, F)_k := \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E, F) \mid f(E_l^{(i)}) \subset F_{l+k}^{(i)} \ (\forall l \in \mathbb{Z})\}.$$

For $1 \leq i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_n \leq n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, it is obvious that the following formula holds:

(3.1.2)

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i_1)}(E, F)_{k_1} \cap \cdots \cap \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i_n)}(E, F)_{k_n} = \text{Hom}_{\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \langle k_1, \dots, k_n \rangle).$$

We obtain a similar object $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}((E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ in a similar way.

The following definition is a nontrivial generalization of the definition due to Berthelot ([B2]):

Definition 3.2 ([B2] for the case $n = 1$). Let $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. We say that $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is *strictly injective* if J and

$$\bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \quad (1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \cdots \leq \forall i_n \leq n, \forall k_1, \dots, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

are injective \mathcal{A} -modules and if, for a strictly injective morphism

$$(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$$

in $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, the induced morphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}((F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}((E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$$

is an epimorphism.

Set

$$(3.2.1) \quad \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \mid J \text{ and } \bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ are flasque } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules} \\ (1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \dots \leq \forall i_n \leq n, \forall k_1, \dots, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z})\},$$

(3.2.2)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \mid J \text{ and } \bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_n)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ are injective } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules} \\ (\forall k_1, \dots, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \dots \leq \forall i_n \leq n)\},$$

(3.2.3)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \mid (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is a strictly injective } \mathcal{A}\text{-module}\},$$

(3.2.4)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \left\{ \prod_{\mathcal{A}} (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid I \text{ and } I_k^{(i)} \text{ are injective } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules } (\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq \forall i \leq n) \right\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. The following is a generalization of a result of Berthelot:

Proposition 3.3 ([B2] for the case $n = 1$). *Assume that $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Note that, for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$) and $k_1, \dots, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_m)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} \prod_{\mathcal{A}} (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) = I \times \prod_{l_1 \leq k_1, \dots, l_m \leq k_m} I_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \times \dots \times I_{l_m}^{(i_m)} \times \prod_{j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k^{(j)}.$$

Hence $\bigcap_{(k_1, \dots, k_m)}^{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} \prod_{\mathcal{A}} (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an injective \mathcal{A} -module.

Let $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a strictly injective morphism. Then the induced morphism $E/E_{k-1}^{(i)} \longrightarrow F/F_{k-1}^{(i)}$ is injective. Now (3.3) is obvious by (3.1.1) since I and $I_k^{(i)}$ are injective. \square

Definition 3.4. We say that an \mathcal{A} -module $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with n -pieces of filtrations is *n -filteredly flasque*, *n -filteredly injective* and *n -specially injective* if $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\in \mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, respectively.

The category $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ has enough special injectives in the following sense (the following is a generalization of a result in [B2]):

Proposition 3.5. *For an object $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a strictly injective morphism $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} \prod_{\mathcal{A}}(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ with $\prod_{\mathcal{A}}(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. Though the following proof is similar to that of [NS, (1.1.4)], we give the complete proof because we use (2.2) and we use the following proof in the proof of (3.8) below.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\subset} I$ and $E/E_{l-1}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} I_l^{(i)}$ be injective morphisms into injective \mathcal{A} -modules. Set $J := I \times \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} I_l^{(i)}$ and $J_k^{(i)} := I \times \prod_{m=1}^{i-1} \prod_{l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{l_m}^{(m)} \times \prod_{l_i \leq k} I_{l_i}^{(i)} \times \prod_{m=i+1}^n \prod_{l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{l_m}^{(m)}$.

There are natural injective morphisms $J_k^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} J_{k+1}^{(i)}$ and $J_k^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} J$. Since I and $I_k^{(i)}$ are injective \mathcal{A} -modules, $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

Two morphisms $E \xrightarrow{\subset} I$ and $E \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} E/E_{l-1}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} I_l^{(i)}$ induce an injective morphism $E \rightarrow J$. Furthermore, two composite morphisms $E_k^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} E \xrightarrow{\subset} I$ and $E_k^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} E \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} E/E_{l-1}^{(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} I_l^{(i)}$ induce an injective morphism $E_k^{(i)} \rightarrow J_k^{(i)}$.

It remains to prove that the morphism $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strict. By (2.2), it suffices to prove that the morphism $(E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (J, J^{(i)})$ is strict. Set $N_k^{(i)} := \text{Im}(E \rightarrow J) \cap J_k^{(i)}$. Then $N_k^{(i)}$ is isomorphic to the kernel of the following composite morphism

$$E \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\text{proj.}} \prod_{l > k} I_l^{(i)}.$$

This kernel is nothing but $E_k^{(i)}$ by the definition of $I_l^{(i)}$ ($l > k$). Hence the morphism $(E, E^{(i)}) \rightarrow (J, J^{(i)})$ is strict. \square

Definition 3.6. Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

(1) ([B2] for the case $n = 1$) We say that an object $(J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with an n -filtered morphism $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a *strictly injective resolution* of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ if $(J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if the morphism $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism which induces a strictly injective morphism $(E^q, \{E^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) We say that an object $(J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with an n -filtered morphism $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered *flasque resolution*, *n -filtered injective resolution* and *n -specially injective resolution* of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ if $(J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\in \mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, respectively, for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if the morphism $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism which induces a strictly injective morphism $(E^q, \{E^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 3.7. Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ be a ringed topos with enough points. Let $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{C}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$. For an integer p , let $(I^{pq}, I_k^{pq(i)}, d^{pq})_{q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ be the Godement resolution of $(F^p, F_k^{p(i)})$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (F^p, F_k^{p(i)}) \rightarrow (I^{p0(i)}, I_k^{p0(i)}) \xrightarrow{(-1)^p d^{p0}} (I^{p1(i)}, I_k^{p1(i)}) \xrightarrow{(-1)^p d^{p1}} \dots \quad (p \in \mathbb{Z})$$

gives an n -filtered flasque resolution $s(I^{\bullet\bullet}, \{I_k^{\bullet\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ because taking a point of \mathcal{T} and the direct image of a morphism of sheaves in \mathcal{T} are compatible with the finite intersection of \mathcal{A} -modules.

The example in (2.3) tells us that, even if $f: (E^\bullet, E^{\bullet(i)}) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, F^{\bullet(i)})$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism for $1 \leq \forall i \leq n$, $f: (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is not necessarily an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism in $\text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. The proposition [NS, (1.1.7)](=the following proposition for the case $n = 1$) does not imply the following proposition for the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. For an object $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a specially injective resolution $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$.*

Proof. Because the following proof is not only an immediate imitation of [NS, (1.1.7)], we give the complete proof (we have to use (2.2) and we need an additional argument to obtain (3.8.5) below anew).

We may assume that $E^q = 0$ for $q < 0$. Assume that we are given

$$(J^0, \{J^{0(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J^1, \{J^{1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \dots, (J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}(\mathcal{A}).$$

We consider \mathcal{A} -modules $J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$ and $J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Using the strictness of the morphism $(E^q, E^{q(i)}) \rightarrow (J^q, J^{q(i)})$, we can easily check that the natural morphism $J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)} \rightarrow J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$ is injective. Hence $\{J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ defines a filtration on $J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$. The natural morphism $E^{q+1} \ni s \mapsto (0, s) \in J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$ induces a filtered morphism

$$(E^{q+1}, \{E^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}, \{\{J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\}_{i=1}^n).$$

It is immediate to check that, for each i , the filtered morphism

$$(E^{q+1}, E^{q+1(i)}) \rightarrow (J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}, \{J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$$

is strict. Let I^{q+1} and $I_k^{q+1(i)}$ be injective \mathcal{A} -modules such that there exist the following injective morphisms of \mathcal{A} -modules:

$$(3.8.1) \quad J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1} \xrightarrow{\subset} I^{q+1}, \quad J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1} / J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)} \xrightarrow{\subset} I_{k+1}^{q+1(i)}.$$

Set $J^{q+1} := I^{q+1} \times \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} I_k^{q+1(i)}$ and

$$J_k^{q+1(i)} := I^{q+1} \times \prod_{1 \leq m \leq n, m \neq i} \prod_{l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} I_{l_m}^{q+1(m)} \times \prod_{l_i \leq k} I_{l_i}^{q+1(i)}$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $(J^{q+1}, \{J^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}(\mathcal{A})$. By (3.1.1) and (3.8.1), we have a natural injective morphism

$$(3.8.2) \quad (J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}, \{\{J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (J^{q+1}, \{J^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n).$$

Since the morphism $E^q \rightarrow J^q$ is injective, the morphism $E^{q+1} \rightarrow J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$ is injective, and so is the following composite morphism

$$E^{q+1} \xrightarrow{\subset} J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1} \xrightarrow{\subset} J^{q+1}.$$

In fact, we have a morphism $(E^{q+1}, \{E^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^{q+1}, \{J^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Using a morphism $J^q \ni s \mapsto (s, 0) \in J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}$ and the morphism (3.8.2), we have a morphism $(J^q, \{J^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^{q+1}, \{J^{q+1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. For a fixed i , by the proof of (3.5) and

by the strictness of the morphism $(E^{q+1}, \{E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow (J^q \oplus_{E^q} E^{q+1}, \{J_k^{q(i)} \oplus_{E_k^{q(i)}} E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$, the morphism $(E^{q+1}, \{E_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow (J^{q+1}, \{J_k^{q+1(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$ is strict. Hence we obtain $(J^\bullet, \{J_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}})$ inductively.

We claim that $(J^\bullet, \{J_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is filteredly quasi-isomorphic to $(E^\bullet, \{E_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. To prove this, we first note that

$$(3.8.3) \quad \text{Ker}(J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \longrightarrow J_{k_1 k_n}^{q+1(i_1 i_n)}) = \text{Ker}(J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \oplus_{E_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)}} E_{k_1 k_n}^{q+1(i_1 i_n)}) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Indeed, the problem is local. Because the morphism

$$J_{k_l}^{q(i_l)} \oplus_{E_{k_l}^{q(i_l)}} E_{k_l}^{q+1(i_l)} \longrightarrow J_{k_l}^{q+1(i_l)} \quad (1 \leq l \leq n)$$

is injective,

$$(3.8.4) \quad \text{Ker}(J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \longrightarrow J_{k_1 k_n}^{q+1(i_1 i_n)}) = \bigcap_{l=1}^n \text{Ker}(J_{k_l}^{q(i_l)} \longrightarrow J_{k_l}^{q(i_l)} \oplus_{E_{k_l}^{q(i_l)}} E_{k_l}^{q+1(i_l)}).$$

Let s be a local section of the right hand side of (3.8.4). Let $g^q: E^q \longrightarrow J^q$ be the constructed morphism. Then we may assume that there exists a local section t_l of $E_{k_l}^{q(i_l)}$ such that $s = g^q(t_l)$ and $d(t_l) = 0$ for $1 \leq \forall l \leq n$. Hence $g^q(t_l) = g^q(t_m)$ for $1 \leq \forall l, \forall m \leq n$. Because g^q is injective, $t_l = t_m \in E_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)}$. Hence

$$(3.8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Ker}(J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \longrightarrow J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \oplus_{E_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)}} E_{k_1 k_n}^{q+1(i_1 i_n)}) \\ &= \bigcap_{l=1}^n \text{Ker}(J_{k_l}^{q(i_l)} \longrightarrow J_{k_l}^{q(i_l)} \oplus_{E_{k_l}^{q(i_l)}} E_{k_l}^{q+1(i_l)}) \\ &= \text{Ker}(J_{k_1 k_n}^{q(i_1 i_n)} \longrightarrow J_{k_1 k_n}^{q+1(i_1 i_n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Let Δ be nothing or $(i_1 i_n)$ for $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_n \leq n$ and let \circ be nothing or n -pieces of integers $k_1 k_n$. By (3.8.5) we see that the morphism $\text{Ker}(E_\circ^{q\Delta} \longrightarrow E_\circ^{q+1\Delta}) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(J_\circ^{q\Delta} \longrightarrow J_\circ^{q+1\Delta})$ is an epimorphism. In particular, the morphism $\mathcal{H}^q(E_\circ^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(J_\circ^\bullet)$ is an epimorphism. Furthermore, the morphism $J_\circ^{q-1} \longrightarrow J_\circ^q$ factors through $J_\circ^{q-1} \longrightarrow J_\circ^{q-1} \oplus_{E_\circ^{q-1}} E_\circ^q$ by (3.8.5). Note again that $J_\circ^{q-1} \oplus_{E_\circ^{q-1}} E_\circ^q \longrightarrow J_\circ^q$ is an injective morphism. Because the inverse image of $\text{Im}(J_\circ^{q-1} \longrightarrow J_\circ^q)$ in E_\circ^q is equal to the inverse image of $\text{Im}(J_\circ^{q-1} \longrightarrow J_\circ^{q-1} \oplus_{E_\circ^{q-1}} E_\circ^q)$, the morphism $\text{Ker}(E_\circ^q \longrightarrow E_\circ^{q+1})/\text{Im}(E_\circ^{q-1} \longrightarrow E_\circ^q) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(J_\circ^\bullet)$ is an injective morphism. Consequently the morphism $\mathcal{H}^q(E_\circ^\bullet) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^q(J_\circ^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $f^\bullet: (E^\bullet, \{E_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a morphism in $C^+F^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists a morphism $g^\bullet: (J^\bullet, \{J_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (K^\bullet, \{K_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ in $C^+F^n(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(J^\bullet, \{J_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ (resp. $(K^\bullet, \{K_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$) is a specially injective resolution of $(E^\bullet, \{E_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ (resp. $(F^\bullet, \{F_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$) and such that g^\bullet makes the following diagram commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E^\bullet, \{E_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & (J^\bullet, \{J_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \\ f^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow g^\bullet \\ (F^\bullet, \{F_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & (K^\bullet, \{K_k^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n). \end{array}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as that of [NS, (1.1.8)] by using the proof of (3.8). \square

For an additive full subcategory \mathcal{I} of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, let $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})$ be the category of the bounded below complexes with n -pieces of filtrations whose components belong to \mathcal{I} .

Lemma 3.10. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a complex of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations and let $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$. Assume that $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact. Let $f: (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a morphism of complexes with n -pieces of filtrations. Then f is n -filteredly homotopic to zero.*

Proof. By the definition of the strict injectivity, the same argument as that in the classical case works. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Then the following hold:*

(1) *Let $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$. Let*

$$s: (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$$

be an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism. Then s induces an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} s^*: \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})}((F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *If a morphism $s: (I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism from an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$ to a complex of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations, then s has an n -filtered homotopy inverse.*

Proof. By using (3.10), the proof is the same as that of [NS, (1.1.10)].

(2): The proof is the same as that of [H, I (4.5)] by using (3.10), though there is a mistake in signs in the proof of [H, I (4.5)] ([NS, (1.1.11)]). \square

Corollary 3.12. *Assume that $n \leq 2$.*

(1) *The following equalities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{D}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) &= \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\text{FQis})_n} = \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\text{FQis})_n} \\ &= \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})) = \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *Set $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ or $\mathcal{I}_{\text{spinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Let $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ be a morphism of ringed topoi. Then there exists the right derived functor*

$$Rf_*: \text{D}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{D}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}')$$

of f_ such that $Rf_*([\mathcal{I}^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n]) = [(f_*(I^\bullet), \{f_*(I^{\bullet(i)})\}_{i=1}^n)]$ for an object $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})$. Here $[\]$ is the localization functor.*

(3) *Let $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ and $g: (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}') \rightarrow (\mathcal{T}'', \mathcal{A}'')$ be morphisms of ringed topoi. Then $R(gf)_* = Rg_*Rf_*$.*

Proof. (1): The first two equalities follow from (3.8) and the proof of [H, I (5.1)]. The last two equalities follow from the proof of [H, I (4.7)] and (3.11) (2).

(2): (2) follows from the argument in the proof of [H, I (5.1)].

(3): (3) follows by setting $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ in (2). \square

Proposition 3.13. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let \star be $+$, $-$, b or nothing. For $1 \leq i_1 \leq i_n \leq n$ and $k_1, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the intersection functor*

$$(3.13.1) \quad \bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} : \text{DF}^{\star n}(\mathcal{A}) \ni [(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)] \mapsto [E_{k_1, k_n}^{\bullet(i_1, i_n)}] \in D^\star(\mathcal{A})$$

is well-defined. Here $D^\star(\mathcal{A}) := K^\star(\mathcal{A})_{\text{FQis}}$.

Proof. This follows from the definition of $\text{DF}^{\star n}(\mathcal{A})$. □

4 Strictly flat resolutions

In this section we give the definitions of the specially flat resolution and the strictly flat resolution of a bifiltered complex, which are generalizations of the definitions in [B2] and [NS]. The notions in this section are more complicated than the notions in the previous section because the notions which are dual to those in the previous section are not appropriate.

First we define the *specially flat module* (see [B2] for the case $n = 1$).

For two objects $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, we define the n -filtered tensor product $(E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F, \{(E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F)^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ as follows:

$$(E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F)_k^{(i)} := \text{Im} \left(\bigoplus_{l+m=k} E_l^{(i)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_m^{(i)} \longrightarrow E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F \right).$$

Let \mathcal{P} be the set of nonempty subsets of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and set $\mathcal{P}_i := \{P \in \mathcal{P} \mid i \in P\}$. For an object $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}$, set $m(P) := \#P$. Let $i_1, \dots, i_{m(P)}$ be the elements of P : $\{i_1, \dots, i_{m(P)}\} = P$. Set

$$E_{l_1 \dots l_{m(P)}}^P := E_{l_1 \dots l_{m(P)}}^{(i_1 \dots i_{m(P)})} = E_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \cap \dots \cap E_{l_{m(P)}}^{(i_{m(P)})}.$$

We set

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n) := E \oplus \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bigoplus_{\{l_1, \dots, l_{m(P)} \in \mathbb{Z}\}} E_{l_1 \dots l_{m(P)}}^P$$

with n -pieces of filtrations $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}$'s defined by

$$(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n))_k := \bigoplus_{P=\{i_1, \dots, i_{m(P)}\} \in \mathcal{P}_i} \bigoplus_{\{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \dots, l_{m(P)} \in \mathbb{Z} \mid l_m \leq k \text{ for } i_m = i \text{ for any } 1 \leq m \leq m(P)\}} E_{l_1 \dots l_{m(P)}}^P$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i)}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{i=1}^n)\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an object of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. For simplicity of notation, we denote this filtered module by $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. The filtered module $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a highly nontrivial generalization of the special module defined in [B2] (and [NS]). By the definition of the filtration $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(j)}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n)$,

we obtain the following formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.0.1) \quad & (\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i_1 \dots i_n)}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n))_{k_1 \dots k_n} \\
& := \bigcap_{j=1}^n (\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}^{(i_j)}(E, \{E^{(j)}\}_{j=1}^n))_{k_j} \\
& = \bigoplus_{P=\{e_1, \dots, e_m(P)\} \in \bigcap_{j=1}^n \mathcal{P}_j} \bigoplus_{\{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \dots, l_m(P) \in \mathbb{Z} \mid l_j \leq k_m \text{ for } e_j = i_m \text{ for some } 1 \leq m \leq n\}} \bigoplus E_{l_1, \dots, l_m(P)}^P.
\end{aligned}$$

A formula

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.0.2) \quad & \text{Hom}_{\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E, F) \times \\
& \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \prod_{l_1 \dots l_m(P) \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(E_{l_1 \dots l_m(P)}^P, F_{l_1 \dots l_m(P)}^P),
\end{aligned}$$

is easily verified. This is a generalization of a formula in [B2] (and [NS]) for the case $n = 1$.

The following is a highly nontrivial generalization of the definition of the strictly exactness in [B2] (and [NS]). This definition plays a central role in the definition of the derived tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$ for two complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations defined in §6 below.

Definition 4.1. Assume that $n \leq 2$. We say that an object $(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is *strictly flat* if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (1) Q and $Q / (\sum_{j=1}^N \bigcap_{(k_1^j, k_n^j)}^{(i_1^j, i_n^j)} (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ ($N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 1 \leq \forall i_1^j \leq \forall i_n^j \leq n, \forall k_1^j, \forall k_n^j \in \mathbb{Z}$) are flat \mathcal{A} -modules
- (2) For a strictly injective morphism $(E, \{E^{(i)\bullet}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (F, \{F^{(i)\bullet}\}_{i=1}^n)$, the induced morphism

$$(Q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E, \{(Q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E)^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (Q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F, \{(Q \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F)^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$$

is a strictly injective morphism.

The following remark (1) is very important in the course of constructing our theory.

Remark 4.2. (1) The dual definition of (3.2) (1) is the following statement:

“ Q and $Q / \bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ ($1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \forall i_n \leq n, \forall k_1, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$) are flat \mathcal{A} -modules.”

However this notion is not appropriate which will be turned out later in the definition of the derived tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$.

- (2) Let $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Then, for any positive integer N , $\sum_{j=1}^N \bigcap_{(k_1^j, \dots, k_n^j)}^{(i_1^j, \dots, i_n^j)} (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is automatically flasque for $1 \leq \forall i_1^j \leq \dots \leq \forall i_n^j \leq n, \forall k_1^j, \dots, \forall k_n^j \in \mathbb{Z}$

In the following we assume that $n \leq 2$. Let us consider the following additive full subcategories of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$:

(4.2.1)

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\text{ff}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid Q \text{ and } Q / (\sum_{j=1}^N \bigcap_{(k_1^j, k_n^j)} (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \text{ are flat } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules} \\ (N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 1 \leq \forall i_1^j \leq \forall i_n^j \leq n, \forall k_1^j, \forall k_n^j \in \mathbb{Z})\},$$

$$(4.2.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\text{stff}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ is a strictly flat } \mathcal{A}\text{-module}\},$$

$$(4.2.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\text{spff}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid Q \text{ and } Q_{k_1 k_n}^{(i_1 i_n)} \text{ are flat } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules} \\ \text{for } 1 \leq \forall i_1 \leq \forall i_n \leq n \\ \text{and } \forall k_1, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{stff}}^n(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\text{ff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 4.3. Assume that $n \leq 2$. We say that an object $(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is *n-filteredly flat* (resp. *n-specially flat*) if $(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{ff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{spff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$).

Lemma 4.4 ([B2] for the case $n = 1$). Assume that $n \leq 2$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spff}}^n(\mathcal{A}) \subset \mathcal{Q}_{\text{stff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Let $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and let $\iota: (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be a strictly injective morphism. It is easy to see that $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) / \sum_{j=1}^N \bigcap_{(k_1^j, k_n^j)} \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ are flat \mathcal{A} -modules.

Let k be an integer. Denote by the same symbol ι the induced injective morphism $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} E \xrightarrow{\subset} \Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F$. By (2.2) we have only to prove (4.4) for the case $n = 1$. We have already proved this in [NS, (1.1.15)]. \square

Proposition 4.5 ([B2] for the case $n = 1$). Assume that $n \leq 2$. For an \mathcal{A} -module $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ with n -pieces of filtrations, there exists a strict epimorphism

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$$

with $\Sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{spff}}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. Recall the functor $L^0: \{\mathcal{A}\text{-modules}\} \longrightarrow \{\text{flat } \mathcal{A}\text{-modules}\}$ ([BO, §7]): for an \mathcal{A} -module, $L^0(E)$ is, by definition, the sheafification of the presheaf

$$(U \longmapsto \text{free } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{A})\text{-module with basis } \Gamma(U, E) \setminus \{0\}).$$

The natural morphism $L^0(E) \longrightarrow E$ is an epimorphism.

Let $Q \longrightarrow E$ and $Q_{l_1 l_m}^P \longrightarrow E_{l_1 l_m}^P$ ($P \in \mathcal{P}$) be epimorphisms from flat \mathcal{A} -modules. Set

$$R := Q \oplus \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \bigoplus_{l_1, l_m \in \mathbb{Z}} Q_{l_1 l_m}^P$$

and

$$R_k^{(i)} := \bigoplus_{P=\{i_1, i_m(P)\} \in \mathcal{P}_i \{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, l_m(P) \in \mathbb{Z} \mid l_j \leq k \text{ for } i_m=i \text{ for any } 1 \leq m \leq m(P)\}} \bigoplus Q_{l_1 l_m(P)}^P.$$

Then $(R, \{R^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spfl}}(\mathcal{A})$. The morphisms $Q \rightarrow E$ and $Q_{l_1 l_m(P)}^P \rightarrow E_{l_1 l_m(P)}^P \xrightarrow{\subset} E$ induce an epimorphism $R \rightarrow E$. The morphism $Q_{l_1 l_m(P)}^P \rightarrow E_{l_1 l_m(P)}^P \xrightarrow{\subset} E_{k_1 k_m(P)}^P$ ($l_j \leq k_j$) induces an epimorphism $R_{k_1 k_m(P)}^P \rightarrow E_{k_1 k_m(P)}^P$. Obviously the morphism $R \rightarrow E$ is strict. Thus (4.5) follows. \square

Definition 4.6. Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$.

(1) We say that an object $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with an n -filtered morphism $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a *strictly flat resolution* of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ if $(Q^q, Q_k^{q(i)}) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{stfl}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if the morphism $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism which induces a strict epimorphism $(Q^q, Q_k^{q(i)}) \rightarrow (E^q, \{E^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) We say that an object $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ with an n -filtered morphism $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a *filtered flat resolution* (resp. *specially flat resolution*) of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ if $(Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $(Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\text{spfl}}^n(\mathcal{A})$) for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and if the morphism $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism which induces a strict epimorphism $(Q^q, Q^{q(i)}) \rightarrow (E^q, \{E^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The following is a more nontrivial result than (3.8) at first glance because we cannot use (2.2):

Proposition 4.7 ([B2] for the case $n = 1$). *Assume that $n \leq 2$. For an object $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a specially flat resolution $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$.*

Proof. Let Δ and \circ be as in the proof of (3.8). We may assume that $E^q = 0$ for $q > 0$. Assume that we are given $(Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \dots, (Q^0, \{Q^{0(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. Let the notations be as in (3.8). Consider the fiber product $(Q^q \times_{E^q} E^{q-1}, \{Q^{q(i)} \times_{E^{q(i)}} E^{q-1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Obviously the morphism

$$\text{Ker}(Q_\circ^{q\Delta} \times_{E_\circ^{q\Delta}} E_\circ^{q-1\Delta} \rightarrow Q_\circ^{q\Delta}) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(E_\circ^{q-1\Delta} \rightarrow E_\circ^{q\Delta})$$

is surjective. Consider the inverse image $I_\circ^{q-1\Delta}$ of the following morphism

$$\text{Ker}(Q_\circ^{q\Delta} \times_{E_\circ^{q\Delta}} E_\circ^{q-1\Delta} \rightarrow Q_\circ^{q\Delta}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(E_\circ^{\bullet\Delta})$$

for the case where Δ is nothing or (i) for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Set

$$Q^{q-1} := L^0(I^{q-1}) \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P} \{l_1, l_m(P) \in \mathbb{Z}\}} \bigoplus L^0(I_{l_1 l_m(P)}^{P, q-1})$$

and

$$Q_k^{q-1(i)} := \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{P}_i \{l_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \dots, l_{m-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, l_m \leq k, l_{m+1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \dots, l_m(P) \in \mathbb{Z} \mid i_m=i \text{ for some } 1 \leq m \leq m(P)\}} \bigoplus L^0(I_{l_1, l_m(P)}^{P, q-1})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $(Q^{q-1}, \{Q^{q-1(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an object of $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spfl}}(\mathcal{A})$. By the definition of $Q_k^{q-1(i)}$ (cf. (4.0.1)),

$$Q_{k_1 k_n}^{q-1(i_1 i_n)} = \bigoplus_{l_1 \leq k_1, l_n \leq k_n} L^0(I_{l_1 l_n}^{q-1(i_1 i_n)}).$$

Hence we see that the morphism

$$(4.7.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(Q_\circ^\bullet \triangle) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{q-1}(E_\circ^\bullet \triangle)$$

is an isomorphism for the case \triangle is nothing or $(i_1 i_n)$. \square

For an additive full subcategory \mathcal{Q} of $\text{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, let $\text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{Q})$ be the category of the bounded above complexes with n -pieces of filtrations whose components belong to \mathcal{Q} .

Corollary 4.8. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Then the following hold:*

(1) *The following equalities hold:*

$$\text{D}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) = \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\text{FQis}^n)} = \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{stfl}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\text{FQis}^n)} = \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spfl}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\text{FQis}^n)}.$$

(2) *Let $\mathcal{Q}'^n := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A}')$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{stfl}}^n(\mathcal{A}')$ or $\mathcal{Q}_{\text{spfl}}^n(\mathcal{A}')$. Let $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ be a morphism of ringed topoi. Then there exists the left derived functor $Lf^*: \text{D}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') \longrightarrow \text{D}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ such that $Lf^*[(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)] = [(f^*(Q^\bullet), \{f^*(Q^{\bullet(i)})\}_{i=1}^n)]$ for an object $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K}^- \text{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}'^n)$.*

(3) *Let $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ and $g: (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}') \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}'', \mathcal{A}'')$ be morphisms of ringed topoi. Then $L(gf)^* = Lf^* Lg^*$.*

Proof. (1) and (2) are obvious. (3) follows by setting $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ in (2). \square

5 RHom

In this section we define the derived homomorphism functor RHom from bounded above complexes with n -pieces of filtrations to bounded below complexes with n -pieces of filtrations for $n \leq 2$. The results in this section are generalizations of results in [NS, (1.2)].

As in [H, p. 63], we set

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^m((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) := \\ & \prod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}((E^q, \{E^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^{q+m}, \{F^{q+m(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

for $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then we have an object

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \in \text{CF}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}))$$

of $\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})$ -modules; the differential morphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^m((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \longrightarrow \\ & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{m+1}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \end{aligned}$$

is defined as in [BBM, p. 4] and [C, p. 10]:

$$d^m := \prod_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} ((-1)^{m+1} d_E^q + d_F^{q+m}).$$

For a sequence $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_n)$ of integers, an m -cocycle of

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(j)\bullet}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))_{k_j}$$

corresponds to an n -filtered morphism $E^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet[m]\langle \underline{k} \rangle$. An m -coboundary of

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(j)\bullet}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))_{k_j}$$

corresponds to a morphism $E^\bullet \rightarrow F^\bullet[m]\langle \underline{k} \rangle$ which is homotopic to zero. Hence

$$(5.0.2) \quad H^m\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(j)\bullet}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))_{k_j}\right) = \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)[m]\langle \underline{k} \rangle).$$

In particular, for $\underline{0} := (0, 0)$,

$$(5.0.3) \quad H^0\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(j)\bullet}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))_0\right) = \\ \text{Hom}_{\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)).$$

More generally, for $1 \leq i_1 < i_p \leq n$ ($1 \leq p \leq n$), and a sequence $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_p)$ of integers, we have

$$(5.0.4) \quad H^m\left(\bigcap_{(k_1, k_p)}^{(i_1, i_p)} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))\right) \\ = \text{Hom}_{\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i_q)}\}_{q=1}^p), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i_q)}\}_{q=1}^p)[m]\langle \underline{k} \rangle).$$

To define the derived functor of the functor

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet(\bullet, \bullet): \text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})^\circ \times \text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{KF}^n(\Gamma(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A})),$$

we have to check the following:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and let $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$. Assume that one of the following two conditions holds.*

- (1) $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact.
- (2) $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact.

Then $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}^\bullet((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is strictly exact.

Proof. (1): By the definition of the strict injectivity, there exist \mathcal{A} -modules J^q and $J_k^{q(i)}$ ($i = 1, n, q, k \in \mathbb{Z}$) satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $J_{k-1}^{q(i)} \subset J_k^{q(i)} \subset J^{q(i)}$,

By (5.2.2), we see that, if $(K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a strictly injective \mathcal{B} -module, then $f^l((K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is a strictly injective \mathcal{A} -module. Moreover, for a strictly injective morphism $f_*((M, \{M^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ of \mathcal{B} -modules, the corresponding morphism $(M, \{M^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} f^l((K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is a strictly injective morphism of \mathcal{A} -modules, which is easily checked. Hence, by the same proof as that of (3.8) (especially, by noting that the functor f^l commutes with the direct product), we can take $f^l((K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ as $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$, where $(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is a bounded below complex of strictly injective \mathcal{B} -modules.

Let R^\bullet be a flat resolution of $f_*(\mathcal{A})$ with the trivial filtration. Since the filtration on R^\bullet is trivial, it is obvious that the morphism $(Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} R^\bullet \rightarrow (Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} f_*(\mathcal{A})$ is a filtered quasi-isomorphism ($q \in \mathbb{Z}$). By (5.1) (2), we have the following isomorphism

$$(5.2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} f_*(\mathcal{A}), (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} R^\bullet, (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)). \end{aligned}$$

(5.2.3) is equal to the following:

$$(5.2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}(f_*(\mathcal{A}), (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(R^\bullet, (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}(f_*(\mathcal{A}), (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is considered as a filtered \mathcal{B} -module, which is nothing but $f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}(R^q, (K^{q+n}, \{K^{q+n(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is a strictly injective \mathcal{B} -module; so is $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}^n(R^\bullet, (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$). Therefore $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(R^\bullet, (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is a strictly injective resolution of $f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ by the sheafification of (5.1) (2). Hence we can take $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet(R^\bullet, (K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ as $(J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$, and we have a filtered quasi-isomorphism

$$(5.2.5) \quad \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$$

by (5.2.4).

Let $(C^\bullet, \{C^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be the mapping cone of the morphism $f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \rightarrow (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Then we have a triangle

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \\ & \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (C^\bullet, \{C^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \xrightarrow{+1} \dots \end{aligned}$$

By (5.2.5), the filtered complex $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (C^\bullet, \{C^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$ is strictly exact. As in [B1, p. 327], by noting that $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is bounded above, one can easily check that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (C^\bullet, \{C^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))$$

is also strictly exact. Therefore we obtain

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), f_*f^l(K^\bullet, \{K^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}^\bullet((Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J^\bullet, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)),$$

which enables us to finish the proof of (5.2). \square

Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ (resp. $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$) be an object of $\text{KF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ (resp. $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$). Set

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^q((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) := \text{Hom}_{\text{DF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)[q]).$$

The following lemma is a n -filtered version of a classical lemma [H, I (6.4)].

Lemma 5.3. *The following formula holds:*

$$(5.3.1) \quad H^q \left(\bigcap_{(0,0)}^{(1,n)} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \right) = \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^q((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)).$$

In particular,

$$(5.3.2) \quad H^0 \left(\bigcap_{(0,\dots,0)}^{(1,n)} \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \right) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{DF}^n(\mathcal{A})}((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)).$$

Proof. By using (3.11) (1), (2) and (5.0.2), the proof is the same as that of [NS, (1.2.3)]. \square

6 $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$

In this section we define the n -filtered derived tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$ of two bounded below complexes with n -pieces of filtrations. The results in this section are generalizations of results in [NS, (1.2)]. The construction of $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$ is more nontrivial than the construction of RHom in the previous section because the dual notions in the previous section do not work in this section.

The following (2) is a key lemma for the definition $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L$.

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $1 \leq i_1 < i_m \leq n$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$) and k_1, k_m be integers. Then the following hold:*

(1) *Let $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an \mathcal{A} -module with n -pieces of filtrations. Then*

$$(6.1.1) \quad \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} E / \mathrm{Fil}_{q_1}^{(i_1)}(\mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} E) = E_{q_m}^{(i_m)} / (E_{q_1}^{(i_1)} \cap E_{q_m}^{(i_m)} + E_{q_m-1}^{(i_m)}).$$

(2) *Let $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations. Assume that $(F, \{F^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{fl}}(\mathcal{A})$ ((4.2.1)). Then the natural morphism*

$$(6.1.2) \quad \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{p_j+q_j=k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{p_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. (1): We omit the proof.

(2): We proceed on induction on m . When $m = 1$, (2) is nothing but [NS, (1.2.4)]. Hence we have only to prove (2) for the case $m = 2$. By [NS, (1.2.4)] we have the following isomorphism:

$$(6.1.3) \quad \bigoplus_{p_m+q_m=k_m} \mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F).$$

Hence we have the following isomorphism

$$(6.1.4) \quad \bigoplus_{p_m+q_m=k_m} \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} (\mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F).$$

Because $\mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F$ and $\mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F / \mathrm{Fil}_{q_1}^{(i_1)}(\mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F)$ are flat \mathcal{A} -modules by the definition of $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{H}}(\mathcal{A})$, we have the following isomorphism by [NS, (1.2.4)]:

$$(6.1.5) \quad \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)}(\mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F) = \bigoplus_{p_1+q_1=k_1} \mathrm{gr}_{p_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F.$$

(This is the point of this proof.) By (6.1.4) and (6.1.5) we have the following isomorphism:

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{p_j+q_j=k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{p_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{p_m}^{(i_m)} E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{q_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{q_m}^{(i_m)} F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (E \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F).$$

□

Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be objects of $\mathrm{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Set

$$(6.1.7) \quad (E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet)_k^{n(i)} := \mathrm{Im} \left(\bigoplus_{l+m=k} \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E_l^{p(i)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_m^{q(i)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q \right).$$

Then we have a complex

$$(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) := ((E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet)^\bullet, \{(E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet)_k^{(i)\bullet}\}_{i=1}^n)$$

of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations, where the differential morphism is defined by the following formula

$$(6.1.8) \quad d|_{E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q} = (d_E^p \otimes 1) + (-1)^p (1 \otimes d_F^q).$$

The functor

$$\otimes: \mathrm{CF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{CF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{CF}^n(\mathcal{A})$$

induces a functor

$$\otimes: \mathrm{KF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{KF}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{KF}^n(\mathcal{A}).$$

As in [H, II (4.1)] and [NS, (1.2.5)], we need the following theorem to define the following n -filtered derived functor ([B2] for the case $n = 1$)

$$\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L: \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^- \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 6.2. *Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be two complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations. Assume that $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{K}^- \mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathfrak{H}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$. Assume that either*

(a) $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact

or

(b) $(F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact

and assume also that either

(c) E^\bullet is bounded above

or

(d) F^\bullet is bounded below.

Then $(E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet, \{(E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet)_k^{(i)\bullet}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is strictly exact.

Proof. By [H, II (4.1)], $E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet$ is exact. We have only to prove that $(E^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^\bullet)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}$ is exact for $1 \leq i_1 < i_m \leq n$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$) and $k_1, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $G^{\bullet\bullet}$ be a double complex defined by $G^{pq} := E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q$ with n -pieces of filtrations $G_k^{pq(i)} := \text{Im}(\bigoplus_{l+m=k} E_l^{p(i)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_m^{q(i)} \rightarrow G^{pq})$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). Set $G_{k_1 k_m}^{pq(i_1 i_m)} := G_{k_1}^{pq(i_1)} \cap G_{k_m}^{pq(i_m)}$. Then we have the following two spectral sequences

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{pq} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{II}}^p \mathcal{H}_I^q(G_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet\bullet(i_1 i_m)}) \implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}((E^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\bullet})_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet\bullet(i_1 i_m)}), \\ E_2^{pq} &= \mathcal{H}_I^p \mathcal{H}_{\text{II}}^q(G_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet\bullet(i_1 i_m)}) \implies \mathcal{H}^{p+q}((E^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^{\bullet})_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)}). \end{aligned}$$

The assumption (c) or (d) implies that the two spectral sequences above are bounded and regular.

First, assume that (a) holds. Set $E_{\infty}^{p(i)} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} E_k^{p(i)}$ and $F_{\infty}^{q(i)} := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} F_k^{q(i)}$ ($p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$). Since $F_k^{q(i)}$ ($\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$) is a flat \mathcal{A} -module, $F_{\infty}^{q(i)}$ is also so. Because the complex $E_{\infty}^{\bullet(i)}$ is exact by (a), $E_{\infty}^{\bullet(i)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty}^{q(i)}$ is also so. We prove that $(E^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}$ for any $1 \leq i_1 < i_m \leq n$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$) and $k_1, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is exact. We have only to prove that

$$(6.2.1) \quad \text{Im}((E^{p-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} \rightarrow (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}) \supset \text{Ker}((E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} \rightarrow (E^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}).$$

Set

$$E_{\infty\infty}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)} := \bigcap_{j=1}^m \bigcup_{k_j \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{k_j}^{\bullet(i_j)} = \bigcup_{k_1, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}} E_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)}$$

and

$$F_{\infty\infty}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)} := \bigcap_{j=1}^m \bigcup_{k_j \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{k_j}^{\bullet(i_j)} = \bigcup_{k_1, k_m \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{k_1 k_m}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)}.$$

Because $(F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \text{K-F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$, $F^q / F_{k_1 k_m}^{q(i_1 i_m)}$ and $F_{k_1 k_m}^{q(i_1 i_m)}$ are flat \mathcal{A} -modules, $F^q / F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)}$ and $F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)}$ are also flat \mathcal{A} -modules. Consequently the following natural composite morphism

$$E_{\infty\infty}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)} \longrightarrow E^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)} \longrightarrow E^{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q$$

is injective. Because the lower horizontal sequence of the following commutative diagram

$$(6.2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (E^{p-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & (E^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_{\infty\infty}^{p-1(i_1 i_m)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & E_{\infty\infty}^{p(i_1 i_m)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & E_{\infty\infty}^{p+1(i_1 i_m)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)} \end{array}$$

is exact by the assumption (a), we may assume that $E^{\bullet} = E_{\infty\infty}^{\bullet(i_1 i_m)}$ and $F^q = F_{\infty\infty}^{q(i_1 i_m)}$. Set $B_{\circ}^{(i_1 i_m)} := \text{Im}(E_{\circ}^{p-1(i_1 i_m)} \rightarrow E_{\circ}^{(i_1 i_m)p})$ ($\circ = k_1 k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ or nothing). Then the sequence $0 \rightarrow B_{\circ}^{(i_1 i_m)p-1s} \rightarrow E_{\circ}^{(i_1 i_m)p} \rightarrow B_{\circ}^{(i_1 i_m)p+1} \rightarrow 0$ is exact by the assumption of (a) and (2.7). Moreover we have the following commutative diagram with lower exact row:

$$(6.2.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} & \longrightarrow & (B^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} & & \\ \cap \downarrow & & \cap \downarrow & & \cap \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q & \longrightarrow & E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q & \longrightarrow & B^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

We claim that, to prove (6.2.1), it suffices to prove that the following sequence

$$(6.2.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k_m}^{(i_m)} (B^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)$$

is exact. Indeed, let s be a local section of the sheaf on the right hand side of (6.2.1). Then, by the lower exact sequence of (6.2.3) and by the assumptions $E^{(i_1 i_m)^\bullet} = E_\infty^{(i_1 i_m)^\bullet}$ and $F^q(i_1 i_m) = F_\infty^q(i_1 i_m)$, there exist integers $k'_1 \geq k_1, k'_m \geq k_m$ such that $s \in (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k'_1 k'_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}$. If $k'_j = k_j$ for any $1 \leq j \leq m$, there is nothing to prove. If there exists $k'_j > k_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq m$, then (6.2.4) for k'_j and either of k'_{j+1} or k'_{j-1} implies that $s \in (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k'_1 k'_{j-1} k'_j - 1 k'_{j+1} k'_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}$ by the injectivity of the morphism $\mathrm{gr}_{k'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k'_m}^{(i_m)} (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{k'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k'_m}^{(i_m)} (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)$ in (6.2.4) with the replacement of k_1 and k_m by k'_1 and k'_m , respectively, since the image of s in $\mathrm{gr}_{k'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{k'_m}^{(i_m)} (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)$ is zero. Repeating this process, we see that $s \in (B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)}$. This means that $s \in \mathrm{Im}((E^{p-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)} \rightarrow (E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F^q)_{k_1 k_m}^{(i_1 i_m)})$.

Now let us prove the exactness of (6.2.4).

By (6.1) (2) we have only to prove that the following sequence

$$(6.2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} B^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} (F^q) \\ &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} (F^q) \\ &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} B^{p+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} (F^q) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Because $(F^\bullet, \{F^\bullet(i)\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{K}\text{-F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{fl}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$, $\mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} (F^q)$ is a flat \mathcal{A} -module by (2.7.1). Hence (6.2.5) is exact by the assumption (a) and (2.7).

Next assume that (b) holds. Since $F_{\infty\infty}^\bullet(i_1 i_m)$ is bounded above, $E_{\infty\infty}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^\bullet(i_1 i_m)$ is exact. We prove that $(E_{\infty\infty}^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} F_{\infty\infty}^\bullet(i_1 i_m))_{k_1 k_m}$ is exact. Set $K_\circ^q := \mathrm{Im}(F_\circ^{q-1} \rightarrow F_\circ^q)$ ($\circ = k_1 k_m \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ or $\infty\infty$). Then K_\circ^q is a flat \mathcal{A} -module since F_\circ^\bullet is bounded above. As in the case (a), we have only to prove that the following sequence

$$(6.2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} K^q \\ &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} F^q \\ &\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \bigoplus_{l_j + l'_j = k_j} \mathrm{gr}_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l_m}^{(i_m)} E^p \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} K^{q+1} \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. By (2.7) and the assumption (b), the following sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} K^q \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} F^q \longrightarrow \mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} K^{q+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Since F^\bullet is bounded above, we see that $\mathrm{gr}_{l'_1}^{(i_1)} \mathrm{gr}_{l'_m}^{(i_m)} K^q$ is a flat \mathcal{A} -module by descending induction on q . Hence (6.2.6) is exact.

We finish the proof. \square

By using (6.2) (b), we have the following derived functor:

$$(6.2.7) \quad \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L: \mathrm{K}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}).$$

By (6.2) (a) the functor above induces the following derived functor (cf. [H, II §4])

$$(6.2.8) \quad \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L: \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \times \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}).$$

Proposition 6.3. *Let $(E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ and $(F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be objects of $\mathrm{K}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and let $(G^{\bullet}, \{G^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\mathrm{K}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}}^L (F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (G^{\bullet}, \{G^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) \\ &= \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}((E^{\bullet}, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), \mathrm{RHom}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\bullet}((F^{\bullet}, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (G^{\bullet}, \{G^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We leave the proof to the reader. \square

7 Complements

This section is a complement of §3 and §4. Let the notations be as in §2.

Assume that $n \leq 2$. Let $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ be a morphism of ringed topoi. As in [NS, §3] we consider the following larger full subcategory category $\mathcal{I}_{f_*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ than $\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ in $\mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. [D1, (1.4.5)]):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{f_*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{ & (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid J \text{ and } J_{k_1 k_n}^{(i_1 i_n)} \text{ are } f_*\text{-acyclic for } 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_n \leq n \\ & \text{and } k_1, k_n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \end{aligned}$$

Then the following holds by (3.8):

Proposition 7.1. *The canonical morphism $\mathrm{K}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{f_*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{K}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{f_*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}))_{(\mathrm{FQis})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$$

of categories and the right derived functor Rf_ is calculated by the following formula $Rf_*[(J^{\bullet}, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)] = [f_*((J^{\bullet}, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))] ((J^{\bullet}, \{J^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{K}^{+}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{I}_{f_*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}))$.*

We can consider the dual notion of the above as follows. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{f^*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}) := \{ & (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \mid Q \text{ and } Q / \bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q, \{Q^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \text{ are } f^*\text{-acyclic} \\ & (N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, 1 \leq i_1 \leq i_n \leq n, \forall k_1, \forall k_n \in \mathbb{Z}) \}, \end{aligned}$$

Then the following holds by (4.7):

Proposition 7.2. *The canonical morphism $\mathrm{K}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{f^*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}')) \longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}')$ induces an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{K}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{f^*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}'))_{(\mathrm{FQis})} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{A}')$$

of categories and the left derived functor Lf^ is calculated by the following formula $Lf^*[(Q^{\bullet}, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)] = [f^*((Q^{\bullet}, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n))] ((Q^{\bullet}, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{K}^{-}\mathrm{F}^n(\mathcal{Q}_{f^*\text{-acyc}}^n(\mathcal{A}'))$.*

Next, we define the *gr-functor*. For a sequence $\underline{k} = (k_1, k_n)$ of integers and for (i_1, i_n) ($1 \leq i_1 \leq i_n \leq n$), there exists the following functor

$$(7.2.1) \quad \text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} : \mathbf{K}^* \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \ni (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longmapsto \text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet \in \mathbf{K}^*(\mathcal{A})$$

($\star = +, -, \text{b}$, nothing),

which we call the *gr-functor*.

Lemma 7.3. *If $f : (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (F^\bullet, \{F^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ is an n -filtered quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$, then $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)}(f) : \text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet \longrightarrow \text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} F^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. Using (2.7.1) and (2.7.2), one obtains (7.3). \square

By (7.3) the *gr-functor* (7.2.1) induces a functor

$$(7.3.1) \quad \text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} : \mathbf{D}^* \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}^*(\mathcal{A}).$$

We also call this functor the *gr-functor*.

Lemma 7.4. *For a morphism $f : (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ of ringed topoi, the following diagrams are commutative:*

$$(7.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)}} & \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \\ Rf_* \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf_* \\ \mathbf{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)}} & \mathbf{D}^+(\mathcal{A}'), \end{array}$$

$$(7.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)}} & \mathbf{D}^-(\mathcal{A}) \\ Lf^* \uparrow & & \uparrow Lf^* \\ \mathbf{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)}} & \mathbf{D}^-(\mathcal{A}'). \end{array}$$

Proof. First we prove that the diagram (7.4.1) is commutative. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\mathbf{K}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and let $(I^\bullet, \{I^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an n -filtered flasque resolution of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Then $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} I^\bullet$ is a flasque resolution of $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet$ by (7.3). Hence $Rf_*(\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet) = f_*(\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} I^\bullet)$. Because

$$R^1 f_* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{(k_1, k_j-1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (I^q, \{I^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) = 0 \quad (\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

the following sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow f_* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{(k_1, k_j-1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (I^q, \{I^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) &\longrightarrow f_* \left(\bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (I^q, \{I^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow f_* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} I^q) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact, and

$$\begin{aligned} & f_* \left(\bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (I^q, \{I^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) / f_* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{(k_1, k_j-1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (I^q, \{I^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) \\ &= f_* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} I^q). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Rf_* ((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) = f_* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} I^\bullet) = Rf_* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet).$$

Next we prove that the diagram (7.4.2) is commutative. Let $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an object of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}')$ and let $(Q^\bullet, \{Q^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$ be an n -filtered flat resolution of $(E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Then $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Q^\bullet$ is a flat resolution of $\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet$ by (7.3). Hence $Lf^*(\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet) = f^*(\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Q^\bullet)$ and the following sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow f^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{(k_1, k_j-1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) &\longrightarrow f^* \left(\bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) \\ &\longrightarrow f^* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Q^q) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\forall q \in \mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & f^* \left(\bigcap_{(k_1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) / f^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \bigcap_{(k_1, k_j-1, k_n)}^{(i_1, i_n)} (Q^q, \{Q^{q(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \right) \\ &= f^* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Q^q) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Lf^* ((E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)) = f^* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} Q^\bullet) = Lf^* (\text{gr}_{k_1}^{(i_1)} \text{gr}_{k_n}^{(i_n)} E^\bullet).$$

□

Lastly we give the definition of the *taking the filtration functor* $\pi_k^{(i)}$ and the *forgetting filtration functor* π .

Let k be an integer. The following morphisms

$$(7.4.3) \quad \pi_k^{(i)} : \text{K}^*\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \ni (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longmapsto E_k^{\bullet(i)} \in \text{K}^*(\mathcal{A}) \quad (\star = +, -, \text{b, nothing})$$

and

$$(7.4.4) \quad \pi : \text{K}^*\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \ni (E^\bullet, \{E^{\bullet(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longmapsto E^\bullet \in \text{K}^*(\mathcal{A}) \quad (\star = +, -, \text{b, nothing})$$

induce morphisms

$$(7.4.5) \quad \pi_k^{(i)} : \text{D}^*\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{D}^*(\mathcal{A})$$

and

$$(7.4.6) \quad \pi : \text{D}^*\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \text{D}^*(\mathcal{A}),$$

respectively. It is easy to check the following diagrams are commutative:

$$(7.4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(i)}} & \mathrm{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \\ Rf_* \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf_* \\ \mathrm{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(i)}} & \mathrm{D}^+(\mathcal{A}'), \end{array}$$

$$(7.4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{D}^+(\mathcal{A}) \\ Rf_* \downarrow & & \downarrow Rf_* \\ \mathrm{D}^+ \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{D}^+(\mathcal{A}'), \end{array}$$

$$(7.4.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(i)}} & \mathrm{D}^-(\mathcal{A}) \\ Lf^* \uparrow & & \uparrow Lf^* \\ \mathrm{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\pi_k^{(i)}} & \mathrm{D}^-(\mathcal{A}'), \end{array}$$

$$(7.4.10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{D}^-(\mathcal{A}) \\ Lf^* \uparrow & & \uparrow Lf^* \\ \mathrm{D}^- \mathbf{F}^n(\mathcal{A}') & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{D}^-(\mathcal{A}'). \end{array}$$

8 Some remarks

In this section we prove some properties of complexes of \mathcal{A} -modules with n -pieces of filtrations using the formulation of derived categories in [S].

Let the notations be as in §2. Let \mathcal{I} be an additive full subcategory of $\mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following three conditions which are dual to [S, Definition 1.3.2 (a), (b), (c)].

(8.0.1): For any object $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, there exists an object $(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}$ with a strictly injective morphism $(E, \{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$.

(8.0.2): In any short strictly exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$, if $(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}$ and $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}$, then $(K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}$.

(8.0.3): If

$$0 \longrightarrow (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow (K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

in $\mathrm{MF}^n(\mathcal{A})$ is a short strictly exact sequence with $(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}$, then, for any morphism $f: (\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{A}')$ of ringed topoi, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow f_*(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow f_*(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow f_*(K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

is strictly exact.

Proposition 8.1. *Let \mathcal{I} be $\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ or $\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then \mathcal{I} satisfies the conditions (8.0.1), (8.0.2) and (8.0.3).*

Proof. By (3.5), \mathcal{I} satisfies the condition (8.0.1); \mathcal{I} also satisfies the condition (8.0.3). It is easy to check that the categories $\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ satisfy the condition (8.0.2). Consider the exact sequence in (8.0.2) with $(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n), (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Then, by the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a splitting of the strictly injective morphism $(I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \xrightarrow{\subset} (J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Hence $(J, \{J^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \simeq (I, \{I^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \oplus (K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n)$. Now it is easy to see that $(K, \{K^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Let \mathcal{I} be $\mathcal{I}_{\text{flas}}^n(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{I}_{\text{inj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$ or $\mathcal{I}_{\text{stinj}}^n(\mathcal{A})$. Let $\text{N}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})$ be a full subcategory of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})$ which consists of the strictly exact sequences of $\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})$. We can prove the following as in the classical case ([S, (1.3.4)]):

Corollary 8.2. *The canonical functor*

$$\text{K}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I})/\text{N}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{I}) \longrightarrow \text{D}^+\text{F}^n(\mathcal{A})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

References

- [B1] Berthelot, P. *Cohomologie cristalline des schémas de caractéristique $p > 0$* . Lecture Notes in Math. 407, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, (1974).
- [B2] Berthelot, P. *Three lectures “Cohomology operators, \mathcal{D} -modules and crystalline sheaves” in Dwork Trimester 2001. (The title “Crystals and \mathcal{D} -modules” in “<http://www.math.unipd.it/~dwork01/schedweek1.html>” was changed.)*
- [Bo] Bourbaki, N. *Algèbre commutative*. Masson, Paris, (1985).
- [BBM] Berthelot, P., Breen, L., Messing, W. *Théorie de Dieudonné cristalline. II*. Lecture Notes in Math. 930, Springer-Verlag, (1982).
- [BO] Berthelot, P., Ogus, A. *Notes on crystalline cohomology*. Princeton Univ. Press, (1978).
- [C] Conrad, B. *Grothendieck duality and base change*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1750, Springer-Verlag, (2000).
- [D1] Deligne, P. *Théorie de Hodge, II*. IHES Publ. Math. 40 (1971), 5–57.
- [D2] Deligne, P. *Théorie de Hodge, III*. Publ. Math. IHÉS 44 (1974), 5–77.
- [H] Hartshorne, R. *Residues and duality*. Lecture Notes in Math. 20, Springer-Verlag, (1966).
- [N] Nakkajima, Y. *Hirsch weight-filtered log crystalline complex and Hirsch weight-filtered log crystalline dga of a proper SNCL scheme in characteristic $p > 0$* . Preprint: available from <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2012.12981>
- [NS] Nakkajima, Y., Shiho, A. *Weight filtrations on log crystalline cohomologies of families of open smooth varieties*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1959, Springer-Verlag (2008).

- [S] Schneiders, J.-P. *Quasi-abelian categories and sheaves*. Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) 76, (1999).
- [V] Verdier, J.-L. *Des catégories dérivées des catégories abéliennes*. Astérisque No. 239 (1996).

Yukiyoshi Nakajima
Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Denki University, 5 Asahi-cho Senju Adachi-ku,
Tokyo 120-8551, Japan.
E-mail address: nakayuki@cck.dendai.ac.jp