The ring of Weyl invariant E_8 Jacobi forms

Kazuhiro Sakai

Institute for Mathematical Informatics, Meiji Gakuin University 1518 Kamikurata-cho, Totsuka-ku, Yokohama 244-8539, Japan

kzhrsakai@gmail.com

Abstract

We prove that the ring of Weyl invariant E_8 weak Jacobi forms is isomorphic to that of joint covariants of a binary sextic and a binary quartic form. The ring is therefore finitely generated. A minimal basis of generators is obtained from that already known for the ring of covariants.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11F50, 17B22, 13A50

1. Introduction

Jacobi forms are holomorphic functions that have characteristics of both an elliptic function and a modular form [\[5\]](#page-33-0). In 1992, Wirthmüller studied Jacobi forms that are invariant under the Weyl group of a classical root system [\[26\]](#page-34-0). He proved a Chevalley-type theorem that the ring of Weyl invariant weak Jacobi forms is a bigraded polynomial algebra for any irreducible root system not of type E_8 . For the Weyl group of type E_8 (denoted by $W(E_8)$), however, the structure of the ring has remained unclarified since then.

Meanwhile, practical studies of $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi forms were developed in string theory. Based on the seminal work [\[13\]](#page-33-1), basic meromorphic Jacobi forms [\[3\]](#page-33-2) and basic holomorphic Jacobi forms [\[16\]](#page-34-1) were constructed. By using these Jacobi forms, the structure of the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms was investigated [\[2,](#page-33-3) [17\]](#page-34-2). In particular, Wang initiated the systematic study of the space of $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi forms [\[24\]](#page-34-3) and proved that the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ is not a polynomial algebra. The succeeding studies [\[18,](#page-34-4)[23,](#page-34-5)[25\]](#page-34-6) unveiled the complicated structure of the ring. It has been expected that the ring is finitely generated over \mathbb{C} , but no proof was available.

In this paper we elucidate the structure of the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms. We prove that the ring is isomorphic to the ring of joint covariants of a binary sextic and a binary quartic form (Theorem [4.18\)](#page-21-0). We do this by establishing an explicit isomorphism. The invariants and covariants of binary forms are the central subject of classical invariant theory. As Gordan and Hilbert proved in the 19th century, the ring of invariants (covariants) is always finitely generated. The above theorem therefore implies that $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ is finitely generated. Moreover, a minimal basis consisting of 194 generators for the above ring of joint covariants has been computed by Olive [\[14\]](#page-34-7). Hence, using the isomorphism we immediately obtain a minimal basis of generators for $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$.

Needless to say, the isomorphism is not a coincidence. The link connecting these two subjects is a special parametrization of rational elliptic surfaces. This parametrization, known as the Seiberg–Witten curve for the E-string theory, arose in string theory some time ago [\[3\]](#page-33-2). It takes the form

$$
y^{2} = 4x^{3} - \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i}(\tau, \mathbf{z})u^{4-i}x - \sum_{j=0}^{6} b_{j}(\tau, \mathbf{z})u^{6-j}.
$$
 (1.1)

This is viewed as either a family of rational elliptic surfaces or a family of elliptic curves, depending on whether we regard $x, y, u \in \mathbb{C}$ as coordinates or think of u as a

parameter. The coefficients a_i, b_j are functions of $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^8$ whose explicit forms are known [\[3,](#page-33-2) [16\]](#page-34-1). In fact, they are the basic $W(E_8)$ -invariant meromorphic Jacobi forms mentioned above. On the other hand, [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) contains a quartic and a sextic polynomial of u , which can be identified with binary forms. This is a clue to establish the explicit isomorphism.

There are two main theorems in this paper: Theorem [3.13](#page-12-0) and Theorem [4.17.](#page-20-0) The former claims that the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms is given by the intersection of two polynomial rings $R = \mathbb{C}[a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, \ldots, b_6]$ and $R =$ $\mathbb{C}[c_0,\ldots,c_4,d_0,d_2,\ldots,d_6]$. Here, c_i,d_j are functions related to a_i,b_j in a simple manner. We use this theorem to prove the latter: Theorem [4.17](#page-20-0) claims the isomorphism between $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ and the ring of joint semiinvariants of a binary sextic and a binary quartic. By the Roberts isomorphism [\[15\]](#page-34-8), the ring of semiinvariants and the ring of covariants are isomorphic. Hence, Theorem [4.17](#page-20-0) is essentially equivalent to Theo-rem [4.18](#page-21-0) claiming the already mentioned isomorphism between $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ and the ring of joint covariants.

As an application of Theorem [3.13,](#page-12-0) we formulate an algorithm for constructing all $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms of given weight and index. This is more efficient than the previous algorithm formulated in [\[18\]](#page-34-4). As a corollary of Theorem [4.18,](#page-21-0) we prove the lower bound conjecture of Sun and Wang [\[23\]](#page-34-5) that the weight of nonzero $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms of index m is not less than $-4m$. By the isomorphism, this simply follows from the fact that there are no covariants of binary forms of negative order.

Using the isomorphism we obtain a minimal basis consisting of 194 generators for the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms. This is merely a rearrangement of Olive's results [\[14\]](#page-34-7) on the generators of covariants. Nevertheless, it is still useful to present their explicit forms, because the correspondence between the weight and index of Jacobi forms and the degree and order of covariants is not transparent at all. In fact, to establish the correspondence we need to introduce a trigrading to $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$. This grading is somewhat mysterious from the viewpoint of Weyl invariant Jacobi forms. We leave its interpretation as an open question.

The rest of this section is devoted to some supplemental remarks on the backgrounds of this work and related studies.

Although all results in this paper are derived solely from the explicit forms of a_i, b_j without knowledge about their origin, it would be informative to see how these functions are determined and why the $W(E_8)$ action arises. The curve [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) was

originally constructed in [\[3\]](#page-33-2) by using mirror symmetry. There is a mirror pair of Calabi–Yau threefolds (X, \tilde{X}) of the following type (for the details, see [\[13\]](#page-33-1)): The threefold X contains a generic rational elliptic surface S as a divisor. The threefold \tilde{X} contains another rational elliptic surface \tilde{S} whose complex structure is described by the equation [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) (with undetermined coefficients $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$). With the intersection bilinear form the second homology group $H_2(S, \mathbb{Z})$ forms the odd unimodular Lorentzian lattice $I_{9,1} = \Lambda_8 \oplus I_{1,1}$. Here, Λ_8 is the E_8 lattice and the odd unimodular Lorentzian lattice $I_{1,1}$ is spanned by the base and the fiber class of the elliptic fibration of S. By this structure, the prepotential $F(\phi, \tau, z)$, which enumerates compact rational curves in X, is written in terms of $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi forms. Here, the variables ϕ , τ and \boldsymbol{z} represent the Kähler moduli of the base, the fiber and the curves that span Λ_8 , respectively. There is a machinery to determine F as a Fourier expansion in $e^{2\pi i\phi}$ [\[13\]](#page-33-1). The form of $a_i(\tau, \mathbf{z}), b_j(\tau, \mathbf{z})$ are then determined in such a way that they reproduce F via a partial mirror transformation.

There is a more direct way to see the action of $W(E_8)$ in [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0): As is well known, the Mordell–Weil lattice of a generic rational elliptic surface (with a section) is the E_8 lattice [\[22\]](#page-34-9). In [\[4\]](#page-33-4), 240 holomorphic sections of the elliptic fibration [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) were explicitly calculated. These 240 sections correspond to the roots of E_8 . It was then clarified that the $W(E_8)$ action on z indeed induces the $W(E_8)$ action on these sections. In other words, [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) is a parametrization of rational elliptic surfaces that makes the automorphism group of the Mordell–Weil lattice manifest.

The isomorphism described in this paper is reminiscent of the relation between Siegel modular forms and invariants of a binary form $[11,12]$ $[11,12]$. The relation has been extended to, for example, a correspondence between vector valued Siegel modular forms and covariants of a binary form [\[1\]](#page-33-7). It would be interesting to see how extensively such connections between automorphic forms and invariants can be established.

2. Weyl invariant E_8 Jacobi forms

This section is a summary of basic results on $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi forms.

Let $\mathbb{H} = \{ \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } \tau > 0 \}$ be the upper half plane and set $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$. Let $E_4(\tau)$ and $E_6(\tau)$ denote the Eisenstein series of weight 4 and 6, respectively. The cusp form

$$
\Delta = \eta^{24} = \frac{1}{1728} \left(E_4^3 - E_6^2 \right) \tag{2.1}
$$

also appears frequently, where $\eta(\tau)$ is the [D](#page-32-0)edekind eta function. See Appendix D

for more about the convention. The E_8 lattice $\Lambda_8 \subset \mathbb{R}^8$ is given by

$$
\Lambda_8 = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_8) \in \mathbb{Z}^8 \cup (\mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2})^8 \mid \sum_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}.
$$
 (2.2)

In this paper the dot product of two vectors $\boldsymbol{z} = (z_1, \ldots, z_8)$ and $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1, \ldots, w_8)$ is defined as $\boldsymbol{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{w} = \sum_i z_i w_i$. We write $\boldsymbol{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}$ as \boldsymbol{z}^2 .

Definition 2.1. A $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form of weight k and index m $(k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ is a holomorphic function $\varphi_{k,m} : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^8 \to \mathbb{C}$ that possesses the following properties [\[5,](#page-33-0) [26\]](#page-34-0):

(i) Weyl invariance:

$$
\varphi_{k,m}(\tau, w(\boldsymbol{z})) = \varphi_{k,m}(\tau, \boldsymbol{z}), \qquad w \in W(E_8). \tag{2.3}
$$

(ii) Quasi-periodicity:

$$
\varphi_{k,m}(\tau, \mathbf{z} + \tau \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta}) = e^{-m\pi i (\tau \boldsymbol{\alpha}^2 + 2\mathbf{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha})} \varphi_{k,m}(\tau, \mathbf{z}), \qquad \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \Lambda_8. \tag{2.4}
$$

(iii) Modular transformation law:

$$
\varphi_{k,m}\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d},\frac{z}{c\tau+d}\right) = (c\tau+d)^k \exp\left(m\pi i \frac{c}{c\tau+d}z^2\right) \varphi_{k,m}(\tau,z), \quad (2.5)
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}).
$$

(iv) The function $\varphi_{k,m}(\tau, z)$ admits a Fourier expansion of the form

$$
\varphi_{k,m}(\tau,\boldsymbol{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Lambda_{8}} c(n,\boldsymbol{w}) e^{2\pi i \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}} q^{n}.
$$
 (2.6)

If $\varphi_{k,m}(\tau, z)$ further satisfies the condition that the coefficients $c(n, \mathbf{w})$ of the Fourier expansion [\(2.6\)](#page-4-0) vanish unless $w^2 \leq 2mn$, it is called a $W(E_8)$ -invariant holomorphic Jacobi form.

Remark. In this paper a Jacobi form means a weak Jacobi form unless otherwise specified. Let us also introduce the notion of meromorphic Jacobi forms: If we say that $\varphi(\tau, z)$ is a meromorphic Jacobi form, we mean that φ itself is not a Jacobi form but there exist a modular form f such that $f\varphi$ is a Jacobi form. In this paper we only consider the case where f is a power of E_4 or E_6 .

Let $J_{k,m}^{E_8}$ denote the C-vector space of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms of weight k and index m . We are interested in the structure of the bigraded algebra

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} = \bigoplus_{k,m} J_{k,m}^{E_8}.
$$
\n(2.7)

Let us next introduce the nine basic $W(E_8)$ -invariant holomorphic Jacobi forms constructed in [\[16\]](#page-34-1). They are expressed in terms of the theta function of the E_8 lattice Λ_8 :

$$
\Theta(\tau, \boldsymbol{z}) := \sum_{\boldsymbol{w} \in \Lambda_8} \exp\left(\pi i \tau \boldsymbol{w}^2 + 2\pi i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^4 \prod_{j=1}^8 \vartheta_k(z_j, \tau). \tag{2.8}
$$

Here, $\vartheta_k(z, \tau)$ are the Jacobi theta functions (see Appendix [D\)](#page-32-0). The nine $W(E_8)$ invariant holomorphic Jacobi forms are then given by [\[16,](#page-34-1) Appendix A]

$$
A_{1}(\tau, z) = \Theta(\tau, z), \qquad A_{4}(\tau, z) = \Theta(\tau, 2z),
$$

\n
$$
A_{m}(\tau, z) = \frac{m^{3}}{m^{3}+1} \left(\Theta(m\tau, m z) + \frac{1}{m^{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \Theta(\frac{\tau+k}{m}, z) \right), \qquad m = 2, 3, 5,
$$

\n
$$
B_{2}(\tau, z) = \frac{32}{5} \left(e_{1}(\tau) \Theta(2\tau, 2z) + \frac{1}{2^{4}} e_{3}(\tau) \Theta(\frac{\tau}{2}, z) + \frac{1}{2^{4}} e_{2}(\tau) \Theta(\frac{\tau+1}{2}, z) \right),
$$

\n
$$
B_{3}(\tau, z) = \frac{81}{80} \left(h_{0}(\tau)^{2} \Theta(3\tau, 3z) - \frac{1}{3^{5}} \sum_{k=0}^{2} h_{0}(\frac{\tau+k}{3})^{2} \Theta(\frac{\tau+k}{3}, z) \right),
$$

\n
$$
B_{4}(\tau, z) = \frac{16}{15} \left(\vartheta_{4}(2\tau)^{4} \Theta(4\tau, 4z) - \frac{1}{2^{4}} \vartheta_{4}(2\tau)^{4} \Theta(\tau + \frac{1}{2}, 2z) - \frac{1}{2^{2} \cdot 4^{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{3} \vartheta_{2}(\frac{\tau+k}{2})^{4} \Theta(\frac{\tau+k}{4}, z) \right),
$$

\n
$$
B_{6}(\tau, z) = \frac{9}{10} \left(h_{0}(\tau)^{2} \Theta(6\tau, 6z) + \frac{1}{2^{4}} \sum_{k=0}^{1} h_{0}(\tau + k)^{2} \Theta(\frac{3\tau+3k}{2}, 3z) - \frac{1}{3^{34}} \sum_{k=0}^{2} h_{0}(\frac{\tau+k}{3})^{2} \Theta(\frac{2\tau+2k}{3}, 2z) - \frac{1}{3^{64}} \sum_{k=0}^{5} h_{0}(\frac{\tau+k}{3})^{2} \Theta(\frac{\tau+k}{6}, z) \right).
$$

\n(2.9)

Here, functions $e_j(\tau)$ and $h_0(\tau)$ are defined as

$$
e_1(\tau) := \frac{1}{12} \left(\vartheta_3(\tau)^4 + \vartheta_4(\tau)^4 \right),
$$

\n
$$
e_2(\tau) := \frac{1}{12} \left(\vartheta_2(\tau)^4 - \vartheta_4(\tau)^4 \right),
$$

\n
$$
e_3(\tau) := \frac{1}{12} \left(-\vartheta_2(\tau)^4 - \vartheta_3(\tau)^4 \right),
$$

\n
$$
h_0(\tau) := \vartheta_3(2\tau) \vartheta_3(6\tau) + \vartheta_2(2\tau) \vartheta_2(6\tau).
$$
\n(2.10)

Jacobi forms A_m , B_m are of weight 4, 6 respectively and of index m. If we set $\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{0}$, these Jacobi forms reduce to the Eisenstein series E_4, E_6 :

$$
A_m(\tau, \mathbf{0}) = E_4(\tau), \qquad B_m(\tau, \mathbf{0}) = E_6(\tau). \tag{2.11}
$$

The following fact is important.

Proposition 2.2 ($[24,$ Theorem 4.1], $[23,$ Lemma 3.2]). *The above nine* A_i , B_j are *algebraically independent over the ring of modular forms* $\mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$ *.*

A polynomial of A_i, B_j, E_4, E_6 is a $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi form. However, the converse is not always true. In [\[2,](#page-33-3) Appendix B.4], Del Zotto et al. found that the $W(E_8)$ -invariant holomorphic Jacobi form

$$
P_{16,5} = 864A_1^3A_2 + 3825A_1B_2^2 - 770E_6A_3B_2 - 840E_6A_2B_3 + 60E_6A_1B_4 + 21E_6^2A_5
$$
\n
$$
(2.12)
$$

vanishes at the zero points of E_4 . They then conjectured items (1) and (2) of the theorem below. Sun and Wang proved not only these conjectures but also item (3), which provides us with a canonical expression for every $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi form.

Theorem 2.3 (Sun and Wang [\[23,](#page-34-5) Theorem 1.1]).

- (1) *The quotient* $P_{16,5}/E_4$ *is a* $W(E_8)$ *-invariant holomorphic Jacobi form of weight* 12 *and index* 5*.*
- (2) For any $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi form $P \in \mathbb{C}[E_6, \{A_i\}, \{B_j\}],$ if P/E_4 is holo*morphic on* $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^8$ *, then*

$$
\frac{P}{P_{16,5}} \in \mathbb{C}[E_6, \{A_i\}, \{B_j\}].\tag{2.13}
$$

(3) *Every* $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi form of index t can be expressed uniquely as

$$
\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{t_1} (P_{16,5}/E_4)^{t_1-j} P_j}{\Delta^{N_t}},\tag{2.14}
$$

where $t_1, N_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *are such that* $t_1 = [t/5]$ *,* $N_t - 5t_0 = 0, 0, 1, 2, 3, 3$ *for* $t - 6t_0 = 0$ $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ *respectively with* $t_0 = [t/6]$ *,* [x] *is the integer part of* x and

$$
\{P_j\}_{j=0}^{t_1-1} \in \mathbb{C}[E_6, \{A_i\}, \{B_j\}], \qquad P_{t_1} \in \mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6, \{A_i\}, \{B_j\}]. \tag{2.15}
$$

3. Meromorphic Jacobi forms and intersection of polynomial rings

3.1. Seiberg–Witten curve and its coefficients

In this subsection we recall the Seiberg–Witten curve for the E-string theory [\[3\]](#page-33-2) and the role of its coefficients a_i, b_j in the study of the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ [\[18\]](#page-34-4). This subsection is not intended to give a mathematical formulation of the Seiberg–Witten curve [\[19,](#page-34-10)[20\]](#page-34-11) nor the E-string theory [\[7,](#page-33-8) [21\]](#page-34-12); we simply overview the concrete form of the curve and properties of the functions a_i, b_j relevant for the present study.

The Seiberg–Witten curve for the E-string theory is a family of elliptic curves over C. It can be expressed in the Weierstrass form

$$
y^{2} = 4x^{3} - \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_{i}(\tau, \mathbf{z})u^{4-i}x - \sum_{j=0}^{6} b_{j}(\tau, \mathbf{z})u^{6-j}.
$$
 (3.1)

By regarding u as the affine coordinate on \mathbb{P}^1 , the equation [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0) describes a family of rational elliptic surfaces, parametrized by $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$ and $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{C}^8$. The coefficient functions $a_i, b_j : \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^8 \to \mathbb{C}$ are not arbitrary; rather, they have to be chosen in a very special (essentially unique) way so that the curve reproduces the spectrum [\[13\]](#page-33-1) of the E-string theory via a partial mirror transformation. The existence of the curve was conjectured in [\[6,](#page-33-9)8] and a fully general form was explicitly constructed in [\[3\]](#page-33-2).

The coefficient functions a_i, b_j play a crucial role in this paper. Before going into details, let us take an overview. The variable u is interpreted as the coordinate of the moduli space of vacua and does not appear directly in the physical spectrum. Therefore, we can redefine u by a suitable translation $u \mapsto u + \kappa$ and set

$$
a_1 = 0 \tag{3.2}
$$

without loss of generality. It is also customary to set

$$
a_0 = \frac{E_4}{12}, \qquad b_0 = \frac{E_6}{216}, \tag{3.3}
$$

so that the elliptic fiber at $u = \infty$ is parametrized by $z \in \mathbb{C}/(2\pi\mathbb{Z} + 2\pi\tau\mathbb{Z})$ via the mapping $(x, y) = (\wp(z), \partial_z \wp(z))$. (Here, $\wp(z)$ is the Weierstrass elliptic function.) The other coefficients $\{a_i\}_{i=2}^4$, $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^6$ were explicitly constructed in [\[3\]](#page-33-2). By construction they possess all the properties of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms [\[3,](#page-33-2)[4\]](#page-33-4) except the holomorphicity in τ . Indeed, later they were expressed in terms of the above $W(E_8)$ -invariant holomorphic Jacobi forms A_i, B_j and E_4, E_6 in [\[16\]](#page-34-1). The expressions are presented in Appendix [A](#page-25-0) for the sake of completeness.

Specifically, the coefficients a_i, b_j have the following properties.

Proposition 3.1 (Properties of a_i, b_j).

- (1) *Each of* a_i , b_j is homogeneous with respect to the bigrading: a_i is of weight $4-6i$ *and index i*; b_j *is of weight* $6 - 6j$ *and index j*.
- (2) The functions $a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, \ldots, b_6$ possess all the properties of $W(E_8)$ -invariant *Jacobi forms, except that they have poles at the zero points of* E_4 . When mul*tiplied by a certain power of* E_4 *, they give* $W(E_8)$ *-invariant Jacobi forms:*

$$
E_4^{i-1}a_i \in J_{-2i,i}^{E_8}, \qquad E_4^j b_j \in J_{6-2j,j}^{E_8}.
$$
 (3.4)

 (3) *The functions* a_i, b_j *admit a Fourier expansion of the form*

$$
a_i(\tau, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_i^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) q^n, \qquad b_j(\tau, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) q^n.
$$
 (3.5)

Remark 3.2. The leading order coefficients $a_i^{(0)}$ $i^{(0)}, b_j^{(0)}$ of the Fourier expansion were explicitly computed in [\[3,](#page-33-2) Appendix B]. They are expressed as polynomials of characters (exponential sums) for the Weyl orbits of the fundamental weights of E_8 .

Proposition 3.3. *The functions* $a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, \ldots, b_6$ *are algebraically independent over* C*.*

Proof. Using [\(A.1\)](#page-25-1) we obtain

$$
\left| \frac{\partial(a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6)}{\partial(A_1, A_2, B_2, A_3, B_3, A_4, B_4, A_5, B_6)} \right| = \frac{2^{15} \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2}{3^2 \Delta^{14} E_4^2} \neq 0.
$$
 (3.6)

Combining this with the algebraic independence of A_i, B_j (Proposition [2.2\)](#page-6-0), we see that $a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, \ldots, b_6$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6] = \mathbb{C}[a_0, b_0]$. \Box

Remark 3.4. The algebraic independence of a_i, b_j can be expressed more directly via the Jacobian of Jacobi forms defined in [\[25,](#page-34-6) Proposition 2.2]: $a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, \ldots, b_6$ are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}[E_4, E_6]$ if and only if the Jacobian of them is not identically zero.^{[1](#page-8-0)} We can calculate the Jacobian of a_i, b_j using that of A_i, B_j given in [\[23,](#page-34-5) Lemma 3.2]. The result is

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\n2a_2 & 3a_3 & 4a_4 & b_1 & 2b_2 & 3b_3 & 4b_4 & 5b_5 & 6b_6 \\
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_4}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_1}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_3}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_4}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_5}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_6}{\partial z_1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial a_4}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_1}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_3}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_4}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_5}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial b_6}{\partial z_8}\n\end{vmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
= -\frac{98304}{E_4} \Phi_{E_8},
$$
\n(3.7)

where

$$
\Phi_{E_8} = \prod_{\substack{\mathbf{r} \in \text{all positive} \\ \mathbf{r} \text{costs of } E_8}} \frac{\vartheta_1(\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{z}, \tau)}{\eta(\tau)^3}.
$$
\n(3.8)

Proposition 3.5. The nine basic Jacobi forms A_i , B_j are polynomials of a_0 , a_2 , a_3 , $a_4, b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_6.$

¹The author is grateful to Haowu Wang for his suggestion to calculate this Jacobian determinant.

Proof. By direct calculation the expressions of a_i, b_j can be inverted as in $(B.1)$.

Let R be the polynomial ring

$$
R := \mathbb{C}[a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6].
$$
\n(3.9)

Proposition [3.3](#page-8-1) means that R is freely generated. With emphasis on this point, we recall the main theorem of the author's previous work:

Theorem 3.6 ([\[18,](#page-34-4) Theorem 3.1]). *The ring of* $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms *is a proper subring of the polynomial ring* R

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} \subsetneq R. \tag{3.10}
$$

In other words, every $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form is expressed uniquely as a *polynomial of* $a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6$ *over* \mathbb{C} *.*

3.2. Intersection of two polynomial rings

The goal of this subsection is to prove Theorem [3.13,](#page-12-0) which is one of the main theorems of this paper.

As mentioned in the last subsection, we can set $a_1 = 0$ in the Seiberg–Witten curve without loss of generality. However, there is another natural choice. Let us consider the translation of u

$$
u = \tilde{u} + u_1 \tag{3.11}
$$

such that the Seiberg–Witten curve [\(3.1\)](#page-7-0) is rewritten in the form

$$
y^{2} = 4x^{3} - \sum_{i=0}^{4} c_{i} \tilde{u}^{4-i} x - \sum_{j=0}^{6} d_{j} \tilde{u}^{6-j}
$$
 (3.12)

with

$$
d_1 = 0.\t\t(3.13)
$$

This is done by setting

$$
u_1 = -\frac{b_1}{6b_0}.\t\t(3.14)
$$

It is easy to see that a_i, b_j and c_k, d_l are related as

$$
a_i = \sum_{j=0}^i c_j \begin{pmatrix} 4-j \\ 4-i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c_1}{4c_0} \end{pmatrix}^{i-j}, \qquad b_i = \sum_{j=0}^i d_j \begin{pmatrix} 6-j \\ 6-i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c_1}{4c_0} \end{pmatrix}^{i-j}.
$$
 (3.15)

The inverse mapping is written as

$$
c_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} a_j \begin{pmatrix} 4-j \\ 4-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{b_1}{6b_0} \right)^{i-j}, \qquad d_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} b_j \begin{pmatrix} 6-j \\ 6-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{b_1}{6b_0} \right)^{i-j}.
$$
 (3.16)

The explicit forms of c_i, d_j are presented in [\(A.2\)](#page-27-1). In what follows we see that $\{c_i, d_j\}$ form another 'basis', which is complementary to $\{a_i, b_j\}$ in an interesting way. The main point is that c_i, d_j are holomorphic at the zero points of E_4 .

Proposition 3.7 (Properties of c_i, d_j).

- (1) *Each of* c_i , d_j is homogeneous with respect to the bigrading: c_i is of weight 4-6i and index i; d_j is of weight $6 - 6j$ and index j.
- (2) *The functions* $c_1, \ldots, c_4, d_2, \ldots, d_6$ *possess all the properties of* $W(E_8)$ *-invariant Jacobi forms, except that they have poles at the zero points of* E_6 . When mul*tiplied by a certain power of* E_6 *, they give* $W(E_8)$ *-invariant Jacobi forms:*

$$
E_6^i c_i \in J_{4,i}^{E_8}, \qquad E_6^{j-1} d_j \in J_{0,j}^{E_8}.
$$
\n(3.17)

 (3) *The functions* c_i , d_j *admit a Fourier expansion of the form*

$$
c_i(\tau, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_i^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) q^n, \qquad d_j(\tau, \mathbf{z}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_j^{(n)}(\mathbf{z}) q^n.
$$
 (3.18)

Remark 3.8. As we see in [\(A.2\)](#page-27-1), d_5 contains the term $P_{16,5}/(72E_4\Delta^3)$, which has apparent poles at the zero points of E_4 , but is actually holomorphic by Theorem [2.3](#page-6-1) (1).

Proposition 3.9. *The functions* $c_0, \ldots, c_4, d_0, d_2, \ldots, d_6$ *are algebraically independent over* C*.*

Proof. By the relation [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0) between a_i, b_j and c_k, d_l , the proposition directly follows from Proposition [3.3.](#page-8-1) \Box

Remark 3.10. Analogously to Remark [3.4,](#page-8-2) for c_i, d_j we have

 $\overline{}$ \vert

$$
\begin{vmatrix}\nc_1 & 2c_2 & 3c_3 & 4c_4 & 2d_2 & 3d_3 & 4d_4 & 5d_5 & 6d_6 \\
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_3}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_3}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_4}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_5}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_6}{\partial z_1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial z_1} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_3}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial c_4}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_2}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_3}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_4}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_5}{\partial z_8} & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial d_6}{\partial z_8} \\
= -\frac{1179648}{E_6} \Phi_{E_8}.\n\end{vmatrix} (3.19)
$$

Proposition 3.11. The nine basic Jacobi forms A_i, B_j are polynomials of c_0, \ldots, c_4 , $d_0, d_2, \ldots, b_6.$

Proof. By direct calculation the expressions of c_i, d_j can be inverted as in $(B.2)$.

Let R be the polynomial ring

$$
\tilde{R} := \mathbb{C}[c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, d_0, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6].
$$
\n(3.20)

By Proposition [3.9,](#page-10-0) \tilde{R} is freely generated. Analogously to Theorem [3.6,](#page-9-1) the following theorem holds:

Theorem 3.12. *The ring of* $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms is a proper subring *of the polynomial ring* \tilde{R}

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} \subsetneq \tilde{R}.\tag{3.21}
$$

In other words, every $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form is expressed uniquely as a *polynomial of* $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, d_0, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6$ *over* \mathbb{C} *.*

Proof. This theorem can be proved in the same way as Theorem [3.6.](#page-9-1) The reader is referred to [\[18,](#page-34-4) Theorem 3.1]. A sketch of the proof is as follows. By Theorem [2.3](#page-6-1) (3) of Sun and Wang, every $W(E_8)$ -invariant Jacobi form φ is written uniquely in the form [\(2.14\)](#page-6-2). By Proposition [3.11,](#page-11-0) A_i , B_j are polynomials of c_k , d_l . The quotient $P_{16,5}/E_4$ is also written as a polynomial of c_k, d_l as

$$
\frac{P_{16,5}}{E_4} = -\frac{27d_0}{128}(-7110c_0c_1^5d_0^2 + 140\Delta c_0^2c_1^3c_2 - 21\Delta c_0c_1^5 - 1344\Delta c_0^2c_1d_2^2 \n- 9648\Delta c_0c_1^2d_0d_3 + 576\Delta c_0c_1c_2d_0d_2 - 6864\Delta c_1^3d_0d_2 + 648\Delta c_1^2c_3d_0^2 \n+ 2160\Delta c_1c_2^2d_0^2 + 448\Delta^2 c_0c_2c_3 - 168\Delta^2 c_1^2c_3 - 224\Delta^2 c_1c_2^2 + 9216\Delta^2d_0d_5 \n- 8448\Delta^2d_2d_3).
$$
\n(3.22)

Note that $\Delta = c_0^3 - 27d_0^2$. Thus $\Delta^{N_t}\varphi$ is written as a polynomial of c_i, d_j , where $N_t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Using the algebraic independence of the $O(q^0)$ part of c_2, c_3, c_4 and d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6 , which follows from that of the $O(q^0)$ part of a_2, a_3, a_4 and b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5 , b_6 [\[18,](#page-34-4) Proposition 2.5], one can inductively show that $\Delta^n \varphi$ $(n = N_t, N_t - 1, \ldots, 1, 0)$ are polynomials of c_i, d_j . \Box

We are now ready to prove one of the main theorems of this paper.

Theorem 3.13. *The ring of* $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms is the intersection *of two polynomial rings* R and \tilde{R}

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} = R \cap \tilde{R}.\tag{3.23}
$$

In other words, the following two conditions for a function φ *are equivalent:*

- (i) φ *is a* $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form.
- (ii) φ *is a polynomial of* a_i , b_j *and also a polynomial of* c_i , d_j .

Proof. It is evident from Theorems [3.6](#page-9-1) and [3.12](#page-11-1) that $\varphi \in J_{*,*}^{E_8} \Rightarrow \varphi \in R \cap \tilde{R}$. Conversely, suppose that $\varphi \in R \cap R$. Then φ possesses all the properties of $W(E_8)$ invariant Jacobi forms, except that it could have poles at the zero points of E_4 and E_6 . By Proposition [3.1](#page-7-1) (2), φ is holomorphic at the zero points of E_6 . By Proposition [3.7](#page-10-1) (2) , φ is holomorphic at the zero points of E_4 . \Box

3.3. New algorithm

As an application of Theorem [3.13](#page-12-0) we formulate an algorithm for constructing all $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms of given weight k and index m. This algorithm is more efficient than the previous one [\[18,](#page-34-4) Algorithm 4.1], due to the simple relation (3.15) between a_i, b_j and c_k, d_l .

Algorithm 3.14.

(1) Take the most general polynomial in a_i, b_j of weight k and index m as our ansatz. More specifically, the ansatz is constructed as the most general linear combination of all monomials appearing in the $O(x^k y^m)$ part of the generating series

$$
\frac{1}{\prod_{i=0,2,3,4}(1-x^{4-6i}y^ia_i)\prod_{j=0}^6(1-x^{6-6j}y^jb_j)}.
$$
\n(3.24)

- (2) Substitute [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0) into the ansatz and solve the linear equations among undetermined coefficients so that all negative powers of c_0 vanish.
- (3) Substitute the general solution back into the original ansatz. This gives the most general linear combination of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms of weight k and index m .

m				$5\overline{)}$	6								15116
					Ω				2		2	\mathbf{Q}	ر،
\boldsymbol{m}			20.		22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29					30 31		$\overline{291}$.	
		റ	റ	Ω ↵	2 ◡	റ ↵	റ		Ω				

Table 1: Number of generators of the subring $J_*^{E_8,b}$ (for index $m \leq 32$)

To test the algorithm, let us consider the graded subring of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ given by

$$
J_*^{E_8,\mathbf{b}} := \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{-4m,m}^{E_8},\tag{3.25}
$$

which was studied in the previous work [\[18\]](#page-34-4). By using the new algorithm, generators of index m of $J_*^{E_8,b}$ for $m \leq 32$ have been determined. The results correctly reproduce the previous results for $m \leq 28$.

Proposition 3.15. Let d_m^b denote the number of generators of index m of the graded *ring* $J_*^{E_8,b}$ *. For* $0 \le m \le 32$ *, the number* d_m^b *is given as in Table* [1](#page-13-0)*.*

4. Invariants of binary forms and isomorphism

4.1. Invariants of binary forms

In this subsection we recall some definitions and useful results from classical invariant theory (see e.g. $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$).

Let f be a binary form of degree n . We write it as

$$
f = f(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i u^{n-i} v^i
$$
 (4.1)

with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}, (u, v) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Similarly, we consider several binary forms f_1, \ldots, f_r of degrees n_1, \ldots, n_r and write them as

$$
f_k = f_k(u, v) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha_{k,i} u^{n_k - i} v^i.
$$
 (4.2)

We define the action of a matrix $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ on the variables u, v by

$$
(u', v') = (t_{11}u + t_{12}v, t_{21}u + t_{22}v), \qquad T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (4.3)

We let the symbol (') denote the action of T hereafter: $(u', v') = T(u, v), \alpha'_{i} = T\alpha_{i}$, etc. The action of T on a binary form f and its coefficients α_i is then defined by

$$
f'(u,v) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha'_i u^{n-i} v^i := f(u', v').
$$
\n(4.4)

Definition 4.1 (Invariants of binary forms). An invariant of f is a homogeneous polynomial Φ in the coefficients α_i of f that satisfies

$$
\Phi(\alpha_i') = \Phi(\alpha_i) \tag{4.5}
$$

under the action of all $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, a (joint) invariant of f_1, \ldots, f_r is a homogeneous polynomial Φ in the coefficients $\alpha_{k,i}$ of f_k that satisfies

$$
\Phi(\alpha'_{k,i}) = \Phi(\alpha_{k,i})
$$
\n(4.6)

under the action of all $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. The degree of Φ refers to the standard degree of homogeneity in α_i or $\alpha_{k,i}$.

We often omit 'joint' when it does not cause any confusion. In what follows definitions of covariants and semiinvariants are presented solely for several binary forms.

Definition 4.2 (Covariants of binary forms). A joint covariant of f_1, \ldots, f_r of degree d and order ω is a polynomial $\Psi(\alpha_{k,i}; u, v)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) Ψ is homogeneous of degree d in the coefficients $\alpha_{k,i}$.
- (ii) Ψ is homogeneous of degree ω in the variables u, v .
- (iii) Ψ satisfies

$$
\Psi(\alpha'_{k,i}; u, v) = \Psi(\alpha_{k,i}; u', v')
$$
\n(4.7)

under the action of all $T \in SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 4.3 (Semiinvariants of binary forms). A joint semiinvariant of f_1, \ldots, f_r of degree d and order ω is a polynomial $\Phi(\alpha_{k,i})$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(i) Φ is homogeneous of degree d in the coefficients $\alpha_{k,i}$.

(ii) Φ satisfies

$$
\Phi(\alpha'_{k,i}) = \Phi(\alpha_{k,i})
$$
\n
$$
\Phi(\alpha'_{k,i}) = \Phi(\alpha'_{k,i})
$$

under the action of all $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \kappa \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}).$

(iii) Φ transforms as

$$
\Phi(\alpha'_{k,i}) = \lambda^{\omega} \Phi(\alpha_{k,i})
$$
\n(4.9)

under the action of all $T = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \lambda^{-1}$ $\Big) \in SL_2(\mathbb{C}).$

Let V_n denote the $\mathbb C$ -vector space of binary forms of degree n. Let

$$
\mathbb{C}[V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r}]^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \tag{4.10}
$$

denote the ring of joint invariants of f_1, \ldots, f_r and

$$
\mathbb{C}[V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}
$$
\n(4.11)

the ring of joint covariants of f_1, \ldots, f_r . Similarly, the ring of joint semiinvariants of f_1, \ldots, f_r is denoted by

$$
\mathbb{C}[V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r}]^{\mathrm{U}_2(\mathbb{C})},\tag{4.12}
$$

where $U_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices

$$
U_2(\mathbb{C}) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \kappa \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \ \kappa \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.
$$
 (4.13)

Let Ψ be a joint covariant of order ω . It takes the form

$$
\Psi(\alpha_{k,i};u,v) = \Psi_0(\alpha_{k,i})u^{\omega} + \Psi_1(\alpha_{k,i})u^{\omega-1}v + \dots + \Psi_{\omega}(\alpha_{k,i})v^{\omega}.
$$
\n(4.14)

The leading coefficient

$$
\Psi_0(\alpha_{k,i}) = \Psi(\alpha_{k,i}; 1, 0) \tag{4.15}
$$

is called the source of Ψ . The following fact is well known.

Theorem 4.4 (Roberts isomorphism [\[15\]](#page-34-8)). *The source of a covariant is a semiinvariant. Moreover, the mapping*

$$
\mathbb{C}[V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})} \to \mathbb{C}[V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_r}]^{\mathrm{U}_2(\mathbb{C})};
$$

\n
$$
\Psi(\alpha_{k,i}; u, v) \mapsto \Psi_0(\alpha_{k,i})
$$
\n(4.16)

is an isomorphism.

The inverse mapping is given as follows. Given a semiinvariant Ψ_0 of order ω , the corresponding covariant Ψ is recovered by

$$
\Psi(\alpha_{k,i};u,v) = u^{\omega} \Psi_0(\hat{\alpha}_{k,i}(u,v))
$$
\n(4.17)

with

$$
\hat{\alpha}_{k,i}(u,v) = \sum_{j=i}^{n_k} \alpha_{k,j} \binom{j}{i} \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{j-i}.
$$
\n(4.18)

It is clear that the degree and order of covariants agree with those of semiinvariants, respectively.

A covariant of order 0 is an invariant, and vice versa. This leads to the following theorem. It is a trivial corollary of Theorem [4.4,](#page-15-0) but is worth noting:

Theorem 4.5. *A semiinvariant of order* 0 *is an invariant, and vice versa.*

Before closing this subsection, let us take some examples of semiinvariants. The fact that these are semiinvariants is well known in classical invariant theory (see e.g. [\[9,](#page-33-11) Section 8.1.7]). Nevertheless, for our later purposes it is useful to present their explicit forms and give a concrete proof.

Let us define $\gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}$ by the relations

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \gamma_{k,i}^{(m)} u^{n_k - i} = f_k \left(u - \frac{\alpha_{m,1}}{n_m \alpha_{m,0}}, 1 \right). \tag{4.19}
$$

Explicitly, $\gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}$ are given by

$$
\gamma_{k,i}^{(m)} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} \alpha_{k,j} \binom{n_i - j}{n_i - i} \left(-\frac{\alpha_{m,1}}{n_m \alpha_{m,0}} \right)^{i-j}.
$$
 (4.20)

Note that $\gamma_{k,1}^{(k)} = 0$ by construction.

Proposition 4.6. The polynomials $\alpha_{k,0}^{i-1}$ $_{k,0}^{i-1}\gamma_{k,i}^{(k)}$ are semiinvariants of f_k . The polyno $mials \alpha_{m,0}^{i} \gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}$ ($k \neq m$) *are joint semiinvariants of* f_k *and* f_m .

Proof. Clearly, they are homogeneous polynomials of $\alpha_{k,i}$. Since a semiinvariant of f_k is a joint semiinvariant of f_k and f_m , it is enough to show that $\alpha_{m,0}^i \gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}$ satisfy the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Definition [4.3.](#page-14-0) Under the transformation $(u', v') =$ $(u + \kappa v, v)$, the coefficients $\alpha_{k,i}$ transform as

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha'_{k,i} u^{n_k - i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha_{k,i} (u + \kappa)^{n_k - i}.
$$
 (4.21)

This is equivalent to

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha'_{k,i} \left(u - \frac{\alpha'_{m,1}}{n_m \alpha'_{m,0}} \right)^{n_k - i} = \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha_{k,i} \left(u - \frac{\alpha'_{m,1}}{n_m \alpha'_{m,0}} + \kappa \right)^{n_k - i}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{i=0}^{n_k} \alpha_{k,i} \left(u - \frac{\alpha_{m,1}}{n_m \alpha_{m,0}} \right)^{n_k - i}.
$$
\n(4.22)

In the last equality we have used $\alpha'_{m,0} = \alpha_{m,0}$, $\alpha'_{m,1} = \alpha_{m,1} + n_m \kappa \alpha_{m,0}$, which also follow from [\(4.21\)](#page-16-0). Comparing this with [\(4.19\)](#page-16-1), we obtain $\gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}(\alpha'_{l,j}) = \gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}(\alpha_{l,j}).$ Next, under the transformation $(u', v') = (\lambda u, \lambda^{-1} v)$ we see that

$$
\alpha'_{k,i} = \lambda^{n_k - 2i} \alpha_{k,i}, \qquad \gamma'^{(m)}_{k,i} = \lambda^{n_k - 2i} \gamma^{(m)}_{k,i}.
$$
 (4.23)

From this we obtain $(\alpha'_{m,0})^i \gamma'_{k,i}^{(m)} = \lambda^{n_k + (n_m-2)i} \alpha_{m,0}^i \gamma_{k,i}^{(m)}$. \Box

4.2. Trigrading

In this subsection we introduce a trigrading to the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms. This is necessary for the explicit isomorphism that we construct in the next subsection.

Let f be a binary quartic and g a binary sextic

$$
f = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_i u^{4-i} v^i, \qquad g = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \beta_i u^{6-i} v^i.
$$
 (4.24)

We consider joint covariants $\Psi(\alpha_i, \beta_j; u, v)$ and joint semiinvariants $\Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_j)$ of f and g. The ring of joint covariants and the ring of joint semiinvariants are denoted respectively by

$$
\mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]^{\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}, \qquad \mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6]^{\operatorname{U}_2(\mathbb{C})}. \tag{4.25}
$$

Since we always deal with f and g of fixed degrees, for simplicity's sake we often omit the variable v using the trivial identification of binary forms with polynomials

$$
f(u, 1) = f(u), \qquad g(u, 1) = g(u). \tag{4.26}
$$

Let us first introduce the notion of refined degrees.

Definition 4.7. We say that $\Xi \in \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0,\ldots,\alpha_4,\beta_0,\ldots,\beta_6,\alpha_0^{-1},\beta_0^{-1},u,v]$ is of degrees (d_{α}, d_{β}) if Ξ is homogeneous of degree d_{α} in α_i and homogeneous of degree d_{β} in β_i .

Remark 4.8. Clearly, a joint covariant (semiinvariant) of degrees (d_{α}, d_{β}) is of degree $d = d_{\alpha} + d_{\beta}$ in the sense of Definition [4.2](#page-14-1) (Definition [4.3\)](#page-14-0).

The ring $\mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ of covariants and the ring $\mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6]^{\mathrm{U}_2(\mathbb{C})}$ of semiinvariants admit a trigrading, graded by degrees d_{α} , d_{β} and order ω .

We now move on to the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms. We see that similar refined degrees can be introduced:

Definition 4.9. We say that $\xi \in \mathbb{C}[a_0, a_2, \ldots, a_4, b_0, \ldots, b_6, a_0^{-1}, b_0^{-1}]$ is of degrees (d_a, d_b) if ξ is homogeneous of degree d_a in a_i and homogeneous of degree d_b in b_j .

Similarly, we can introduce refined degrees (d_c, d_d) in terms of c_i, d_j to

$$
\mathbb{C}[c_0,\ldots,c_4,d_0,d_1\ldots,d_6,c_0^{-1},d_0^{-1}] = \mathbb{C}[a_0,a_2,\ldots,a_4,b_0,\ldots,b_6,a_0^{-1},b_0^{-1}].
$$
 (4.27)

Then the following fact is clear from [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0):

Proposition 4.10. *The degrees* (d_c, d_d) *are identical with* (d_a, d_b) *.*

Introduction of these degrees to the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ yields an interesting result:

Theorem 4.11. $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms form a trigraded ring over \mathbb{C} , *graded by degrees* d_a , d_b *and index* m

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} = \bigoplus_{d_a,d_b,m=0}^{\infty} J_{d_a,d_b,m}^{E_8}.
$$
\n(4.28)

 $Every$ element of $J_{d_a,d_b,m}^{E_8}$ is of weight

$$
k = 4d_a + 6d_b - 6m.\t\t(4.29)
$$

Proof. By Theorem [3.6,](#page-9-1) the grading by degrees (d_a, d_b) is consistently introduced to the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$: any element of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ is expressed uniquely as a polynomial of a_i, b_j and degrees can be determined term-by-term. The functions a_i and b_j are of degrees $(1, 0)$ and $(0, 1)$, respectively, and have weight and index described in Proposition [3.1](#page-7-1) (1) . Their degrees (d_a, d_b) , weight k and index m are subject to the relation [\(4.29\)](#page-18-0). \Box

Remark 4.12. This grading looks somewhat unfamiliar. For instance, $\Delta = a_0^3 - 27b_0^2$ is not homogeneous with respect to this grading. The holomorphic Jacobi form $A_1 = -3a_0b_1$ is homogeneous, but the other A_m , B_m $(m \ge 2)$ are not.

4.3. Isomorphism

In this subsection we prove another main theorem of this paper: Theorem [4.17,](#page-20-0) or equivalently Theorem [4.18.](#page-21-0) We do this by constructing an explicit isomorphism.

Let us define $\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{d}_i$ by the relations

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{a}_i u^{4-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_i \left(u - \frac{\alpha_1}{4\alpha_0} \right)^{4-i}, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{6} \hat{b}_i u^{6-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \beta_i \left(u - \frac{\alpha_1}{4\alpha_0} \right)^{6-i},
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{c}_i \tilde{u}^{4-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_i \left(\tilde{u} - \frac{\beta_1}{6\beta_0} \right)^{4-i}, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{6} \hat{d}_i \tilde{u}^{6-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \beta_i \left(\tilde{u} - \frac{\beta_1}{6\beta_0} \right)^{6-i}.
$$
\n(4.30)

Explicitly, they are given by

$$
\hat{a}_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \alpha_j \begin{pmatrix} 4-j \\ 4-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{4\alpha_0} \right)^{i-j}, \qquad \hat{b}_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j \begin{pmatrix} 6-j \\ 6-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\alpha_1}{4\alpha_0} \right)^{i-j},
$$

$$
\hat{c}_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \alpha_j \begin{pmatrix} 4-j \\ 4-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\beta_1}{6\beta_0} \right)^{i-j}, \qquad \hat{d}_i = \sum_{j=0}^i \beta_j \begin{pmatrix} 6-j \\ 6-i \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\beta_1}{6\beta_0} \right)^{i-j}.
$$
(4.31)

Note that

$$
\hat{a}_1 = 0, \quad \hat{d}_1 = 0. \tag{4.32}
$$

Lemma 4.13. $\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i, \hat{c}_i, \hat{d}_i$ satisfy the same relations as [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0) for a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i .

Proof. Since u and \tilde{u} in [\(4.30\)](#page-19-0) are independent formal variables, we can rewrite them in terms of a new variable \check{u} by

$$
u - \frac{\alpha_1}{4\alpha_0} = \tilde{u} - \frac{\beta_1}{6\beta_0} = \tilde{u}.\tag{4.33}
$$

 \Box

This yields the relations

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{a}_i u^{4-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \hat{c}_i \tilde{u}^{4-i}, \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{6} \hat{b}_i u^{6-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \hat{d}_i \tilde{u}^{6-i}.
$$
 (4.34)
with (4.32), we obtain the same relations as in (3.15).

Combining these with [\(4.32\)](#page-19-1), we obtain the same relations as in [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0).

Lemma 4.14. $\alpha_0^{i-1}\hat{a}_i$, $\alpha_0^i\hat{b}_i$, $\beta_0^i\hat{c}_i$, $\beta_0^{i-1}\hat{d}_i$ are joint semiinvariants of f and g. *Proof.* This is a special case of Proposition [4.6.](#page-16-2)

By the substitution
$$
a_i = \hat{a}_i, b_i = \hat{b}_i, c_i = \hat{c}_i, d_i = \hat{d}_i
$$
 let us introduce the mappings
\n $\psi : R = \mathbb{C}[a_0, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4, b_5, b_6] \to \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_6, \alpha_0^{-1}],$
\n $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{R} = \mathbb{C}[c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, d_0, d_2, d_3, d_4, d_5, d_6] \to \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_6, \beta_0^{-1}].$ \n(4.35)

It is clear from [\(4.31\)](#page-19-2) that these mappings have the following properties.

Lemma 4.15. *The mappings* ψ *and* $\tilde{\psi}$ *are injective. The inverse mappings from their images are given by the substitutions*

$$
\psi^{-1}: \ \alpha_i = a_i \ (i \neq 1), \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \beta_j = b_j, \n\tilde{\psi}^{-1}: \ \alpha_i = c_i, \quad \beta_j = d_j \ (j \neq 1), \quad \beta_1 = 0.
$$
\n(4.36)

These mappings identify the degrees (d_a, d_b) *with* (d_α, d_β) *.*

Recall that $J_{*,*}^{E_8} = R \cap \tilde{R}$ by Theorem [3.13.](#page-12-0)

Lemma 4.16. *The restrictions of* ψ *and* $\tilde{\psi}$ *to* $J_{*,*}^{E_8} = R \cap \tilde{R}$ *are identical*

$$
\psi_J := \psi \big|_{J_{*,*}^{E_8}} = \tilde{\psi} \big|_{J_{*,*}^{E_8}} \tag{4.37}
$$

and define an injection

$$
\psi_J: J_{*,*}^{E_8} \to \mathbb{C}[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_4, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_6].
$$
\n(4.38)

This injection identifies the degrees (d_a, d_b) *with* (d_α, d_β) *.*

Proof. The restrictions $\psi|_{J_{*,*}^{E_8}}$ and $\tilde{\psi}|_{J_{*,*}^{E_8}}$ are identical by Lemma [4.13.](#page-19-3) The intersection of the codomains of ψ and $\tilde{\psi}$ is $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_4, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_6].$ \Box

We finally arrive at the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.17. *The injection* ψ_J *gives an isomorphism between the ring of* $W(E_8)$ *invariant weak Jacobi forms and the ring of joint semiinvariants of* f *and* g*:*

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} \cong \mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6]^{U_2(\mathbb{C})};
$$

\n
$$
\psi_J : \varphi(a_i, b_j) \mapsto \varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j),
$$

\n
$$
\psi_J^{-1} : \Phi(a_i, b_j) \leftrightarrow \Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_j).
$$
\n(4.39)

Every $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form of degrees (d_a, d_b) , weight k and index m *is mapped to a semiinvariant of the same degrees* (d_a, d_b) *and order* $\omega = k + 4m$.

Proof. Suppose that $\varphi(a_i, b_j)$ is a $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form of degrees (d_a, d_b) , weight k and index m. By Lemma [4.16,](#page-20-1) $\psi_J(\varphi) = \varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j)$ is a polynomial that is homogeneous of degree d_a in α_i and homogeneous of degree d_b in β_j . By Lemma [4.14,](#page-19-4) $\varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j)$ is invariant under the transformation $(u', v') = (u + \kappa v, v)$. To see the scaling behavior under the transformation $(u', v') = (\lambda u, \lambda^{-1}v)$, recall that \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j scale as $\hat{a}'_i = \lambda^{4-2i} \hat{a}_i$, $\hat{b}'_i = \lambda^{6-2i} \hat{b}_i$ (see [\(4.23\)](#page-17-0).) This implies that $\varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j)$ scales as

$$
\varphi(\hat{a}'_i, \hat{b}'_j) = \lambda^{4d_a + 6d_b - 2m} \varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j).
$$
\n(4.40)

(Recall that the subscript i of a_i, b_i represents their index.) Therefore, $\varphi(\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_j)$ is a semiinvariant of f and g of degrees (d_a, d_b) and order $\omega = 4d_a + 6d_b - 2m$, which is also written as $\omega = k + 4m$ by Theorem [4.11.](#page-18-1)

Conversely, suppose that $\Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_j)$ is a joint semiinvariant of f and g. Then Φ is invariant under the translation [\(3.11\)](#page-9-2) and thus $\Phi(a_i, b_j) = \Phi(c_i, d_j)$. Clearly, this is a polynomial of a_i, b_j and, at the same time, a polynomial of c_i, d_j . By Theorem [3.13,](#page-12-0) this is a $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form. By Lemma [4.15,](#page-20-2) this gives the inverse mapping ψ_J^{-1} $J_J^{-1}(\Phi(\alpha_i, \beta_j)) = \Phi(a_i, b_j) = \Phi(c_i, d_j).$

It is obvious that the bijection preserves the structure of the rings and thus gives an isomorphism. \Box

By the Roberts isomorphism (Theorem [4.4\)](#page-15-0), the ring of semiinvariants and that of covariants are isomorphic. Hence, we can rephrase Theorem [4.17](#page-20-0) as follows:

Theorem 4.18. The composite of the mappings (4.17) and ψ_J gives an isomor*phism between the ring of* W(E8)*-invariant weak Jacobi forms and the ring of joint covariants of* f *and* g*:*

$$
J_{*,*}^{E_8} \cong \mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2]^{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}. \tag{4.41}
$$

As a corollary of Theorem [4.18,](#page-21-0) we obtain a proof of the lower bound conjecture of Sun and Wang on the weight of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms.

Corollary 4.19 (Sun and Wang [\[23,](#page-34-5) Conjecture 6.1]). *The weight* k *of non-zero* W(E8)*-invariant weak Jacobi forms of index* m *is not less than* −4m*.*

Proof. By definition there are no covariants of negative order. By the isomorphism, this means that $\omega = k + 4m \geq 0$ for every non-zero element of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$. \Box

In Section [3.3](#page-12-1) (and also in [\[18\]](#page-34-4)) we studied the structure of the graded subring $J_*^{E_8,b} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{-4m,m}^{E_8}$, which lies on the bound of Sun and Wang. Another corollary of Theorem [4.18](#page-21-0) is the following:

Corollary 4.20. The graded subring $J_*^{E_8,b}$ is isomorphic to the ring of joint invari*ants of* f *and* g*:*

$$
J_*^{E_8,\mathbf{b}} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{-4m,m}^{E_8} \cong \mathbb{C}[V_4 \oplus V_6]^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})}. \tag{4.42}
$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that a covariant of order $\omega = 0$ is an invariant. \Box *Remark* 4.21*.* We summarize the relations among various grades: the weight k and index m of Jacobi forms, the degree d and order ω of semiinvariants (covariants), and the common degrees $(d_a, d_b) = (d_c, d_d) = (d_\alpha, d_\beta)$. They satisfy

$$
d = d_a + d_b, \qquad \omega = k + 4m = 4d_a + 6d_b - 2m,\tag{4.43}
$$

or conversely,

$$
k = 4d_a + 6d_b - 6m = -8d_a - 12d_b + 3\omega, \qquad m = 2d_a + 3d_b - \frac{1}{2}\omega.
$$
 (4.44)

5. Generators

In this section we discuss a minimal basis of the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi forms.

Let $f_k(u, v)$ $(k = 1, 2)$ be binary forms of degree n_k . For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the *i*th transvectant of f_1 and f_2 is defined as

$$
(f_1, f_2)_i := \frac{(n_1 - i)!(n_2 - i)!}{n_1! n_2!} \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^j {i \choose j} \frac{\partial^i f_1}{\partial u^{i-j} \partial v^j} \frac{\partial^i f_2}{\partial u^j \partial v^{i-j}}.
$$
(5.1)

In [\[14\]](#page-34-7), Olive computed a minimal basis of generators for the ring of joint covariants of a binary quartic and a binary sextic. The basis consists of 194 generators, which are expressed as (nested) transvectants of the quartic and the sextic.

Recall that the isomorphism established in the last section is extremely simple: Given a joint covariant expressed in terms of

$$
f = \sum_{i=0}^{4} \alpha_i u^{4-i} v^i, \qquad g = \sum_{i=0}^{6} \beta_i u^{6-i} v^i,
$$
 (5.2)

the corresponding $W(E_8)$ -invariant weak Jacobi form is obtained by simply setting

$$
u = 1, \quad v = 0, \quad \alpha_i = a_i, \quad \beta_j = b_j, \quad a_1 = 0.
$$
 (5.3)

Here a_i, b_j are the basic $W(E_8)$ -invariant meromorphic Jacobi forms. Hence, by abusing notation we write the basis elements of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ as transvectants of f and g, with the understanding that the above substitution is performed.

Thus we immediately obtain 194 Jacobi forms from Olive's results. The only nontrivial part is the correspondence of the grades of covariants and Jacobi forms summarized in Remark [4.21.](#page-22-0) For the study of the ring $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ of Jacobi forms, it is

useful to rearrange the basis elements by index m and weight k . With this in mind, we label the basis elements as

$$
\phi_{m,\omega[n]}^{(d_a,d_b)}.\tag{5.4}
$$

The second subscript $\omega = 4d_a + 6d_b - 2m$ is redundant, but it is convenient to have it, so that the weight of the element is immediately calculated as $k = \omega - 4m$. When multiple generators occur at the same set of grades (d_a, d_b, m) , we further add an extra label $[n]$ $(n = 1, 2, 3, ...)$ as the third subscript to distinguish them.

The basis elements of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$ are then expressed as follows:

 $\phi_{0,4}^{(1,0)} = f,$ $\phi_{0,6}^{(0,1)}$ $\phi_{0,6}^{(0,1)}=g,$ $\phi_{1,8}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_1$, $\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)} = (f, f)_2$, $\phi_{2,6}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_2$, $\phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)} = (g, g)_2$, $\phi_{3,4}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_3$ $\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)} = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})_1,$ $\phi_{3,8}^{(2,1)} = \big(\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}$ $\binom{(2,0)}{2,4}, g$ ₁ $\phi_{3,10}^{(1,2)} = (f, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_1,$ $\phi_{33,0}^{(3,9)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)}\phi_{15,6[2]}^{(0,6)})_8, \qquad \phi_{35,0}^{(1,11)} = (f, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)}\phi_{23,2}^{(0,8)})$ $\binom{(0,8)}{23,2}_4$ $\phi_{35,2}^{(0,12)}=\big(\phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)}$ $_{5,8}^{(0,3)},(\phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)}$ $(\phi_{37,0}^{(0,3)})^3$ ₆, $(\phi_{37,0}^{(2,11)}) = (f^2, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)}\phi_{23,2}^{(0,8)})$ $\binom{(0,8)}{23,2}$ ₈, $\phi_{41,0}^{(1,13)} = \left(f, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)}\phi_{29,2}^{(0,10)}\right)$ $\left(\begin{matrix} (0,10) \\ 29,2 \end{matrix}\right)_4,$ $\left(\begin{matrix} \phi^{(0,15)} \\ \phi^{(45,0)} \end{matrix}\right)_6 = \left(\begin{matrix} \phi^{(0,3)} \\ \phi^{(5,8)} \end{matrix}\right)_4$ $_{5,8}^{(0,3)},(\phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)}$ $_{8,2}^{(0,3)})^4\big)_8$ (5.5)

The complete list of 194 generators is presented in Appendix [C.](#page-28-1) By the substitution [\(5.3\)](#page-22-1) we obtain, for instance,

$$
\phi_{0,4}^{(1,0)} = f = a_0, \qquad \phi_{0,6}^{(0,1)} = g = b_0, \n\phi_{1,8}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_1 = -\frac{a_0 b_1}{6}, \qquad \phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)} = (f,f)_2 = \frac{a_0 a_2}{3}, \n\phi_{2,6}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_2 = \frac{2a_0 b_2 + 5a_2 b_0}{30}, \qquad \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)} = (g,g)_2 = \frac{12b_0 b_2 - 5b_1^2}{90}.
$$
\n(5.6)

Table [2](#page-24-0) shows the number of generators arranged by index m and order ω . The weight of generators is given by $k = \omega - 4m$. As we saw in Corollary [4.20,](#page-21-1) the graded subring $J_*^{E_8,b} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} J_{-4m,m}^{E_8}$, which we studied in Section [3.3,](#page-12-1) is isomorphic to the ring of joint invariants of f and g. We now see that $J_*^{E_8,b}$ is generated by 60 generators of $\omega = 0$. In Section [3.3,](#page-12-1) we obtained the number of generators of index m of $J_*^{E_8,b}$ for $m \leq 32$, as in Table [1.](#page-13-0) These numbers are in perfect agreement with those in the first column ($\omega = 0$) of Table [2,](#page-24-0) as expected. This serves as a quite nontrivial check of the isomorphism.

ω $m\setminus$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{6}$	$\overline{8}$	$\overline{10}$	$\overline{12}$	#	$m\setminus\omega$	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{6}$	$8\,$	$\overline{10}$	12	#
$\boldsymbol{0}$			$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{1}$				$\overline{2}$	23	\mathfrak{Z}	$\overline{2}$						$\overline{5}$
$\mathbf{1}$					1			$\overline{1}$	24	$\overline{2}$							$\overline{2}$
$\overline{2}$			$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	1			3	25	3	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$					$\,6$
3			1	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{5}$	26	$\mathbf{1}$							$\,1\,$
$\overline{4}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf 1$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$			$\overline{5}$	27	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$						$\overline{2}$
$\bf 5$			$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$			$\overline{5}$	28	1							$\mathbf{1}$
$\,6$	$\sqrt{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$				$\overline{7}$	29	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$						3
$\overline{7}$		$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$		9	30	1							$\,1\,$
8	$\mathbf{1}$	3	3	$\mathbf{1}$				8	31	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$						$\overline{2}$
$\boldsymbol{9}$	$\mathbf{1}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{4}$	$1\,$				8	32	1							$\,1\,$
10	$\overline{2}$	3	3	-				8	33	1							$\,1\,$
11	—	$\overline{4}$	$\bf 5$	\mathfrak{Z}	$\mathbf{1}$			13	34								$\boldsymbol{0}$
12	3	$\overline{4}$	$\sqrt{2}$					9	35	$\mathbf{1}$	$\mathbf{1}$						$\overline{2}$
13	1	$\overline{5}$	3					9	36								$\boldsymbol{0}$
14	3	$\overline{5}$	$\mathbf{1}$					9	37	1							$\mathbf{1}$
$15\,$	3	$\overline{7}$	3	$\overline{2}$				15	38								$\boldsymbol{0}$
16	3	$\overline{4}$						$\overline{7}$	39								$\overline{0}$
17	3	$\,6$	$\mathbf{1}$					10	40								$\boldsymbol{0}$
18	$\overline{4}$	3						$\overline{7}$	41	$\mathbf{1}$							$\mathbf{1}$
19	3	$\overline{4}$	1					8	42								$\boldsymbol{0}$
20	3	$\mathbf{1}$						$\overline{4}$	43								$\overline{0}$
21	$\overline{4}$	$\overline{4}$	$\mathbf{1}$					9	44								$\overline{0}$
22	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{1}$						3	45	$\mathbf 1$							$\mathbf{1}$
									Tot.	$\overline{60}$	$\overline{68}$	$\overline{38}$	$\overline{17}$	$\overline{8}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{1}$	194

Table 2: Number of generators of $J_{*,*}^{E_8}$, arranged by index m and order ω . The weight of generators is given by $k = \omega - 4m$. Observe that the numbers in the first column $(\omega = 0)$ are in agreement with those in Table [1.](#page-13-0)

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Haowu Wang for helpful comments. The author would also like to thank Makoto Kawashima for discussions. This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 19K03856.

A. Basic meromorphic Jacobi forms

$$
a_0 = \frac{E_4}{12}, \qquad a_1 = 0, \qquad a_2 = \frac{6}{E_4\Delta} \Big(-E_4A_2 + A_1^2 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
a_3 = \frac{1}{9E_4^2\Delta^2} \Big(-7E_4^2E_6A_3 - 20E_4^3B_3 - 9E_4E_6A_1A_2 + 30E_4^2A_1B_2 + 6E_6A_1^3 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
a_4 = \frac{1}{288E_4^3\Delta^3} \Big(576E_4^3\Delta A_4 + 32256E_4^2\Delta A_1A_3 - 9E_4^5A_2^2 - 30E_4^3E_6A_2B_2 - 25E_4^4B_2^2 + (60E_4^4 - 12E_4E_6^2)A_1^2A_2 + 80E_4^2E_6A_1^2B_2 + (-70E_4^3 + 6E_6^2)A_1^4 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
b_0 = \frac{E_6}{216}, \qquad b_1 = -\frac{4}{E_4}A_1, \qquad b_2 = \frac{5}{6E_4^2\Delta} \Big(E_4^2B_2 - E_6A_1^2 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
b_3 = \frac{1}{108E_4^3\Delta^2} \Big(-7E_4^5A_3 - 20E_4^3E_6B_3 - 9E_4^4A_1A_2 + 30E_4^2E_6A_1B_2 + (16E_4^3 - 10E_6^3)A_1^3 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
b_4 = \frac{1}{1728E_4^4\Delta^3} \Big(-8640E_4^4\Delta B_4 + 138240E_4^3\Delta A_1B_3 + 9E_4^5E_6A_2^2 + 30E_4^6A_2B_2 + (74E_4^3E_6 - 10E_6^3)A_1^4 \Big),
$$

\n
$$
b_4 = \frac{1}{1728E_4^4\Delta^3} \Big(-363288E_4^4\Delta A_
$$

Here, E_4, E_6 are Eisenstein series [\(D.5\)](#page-32-1), $\Delta = (E_4^3 - E_6^2)/1728$ and A_i, B_j are $W(E_8)$ invariant holomorphic Jacobi forms given in [\(2.9\)](#page-5-0). The above expressions are equivalent to those in [\[16,](#page-34-1) Appendix A], but expressed in a slightly more concise manner by replacing $(E_4^3 - E_6^2)$ with 1728 Δ .

$$
\begin{split} c_{0} &= \frac{E_{4}}{12}, \qquad c_{1} = \frac{48A_{1}}{E_{6}} , \qquad c_{2} = \frac{6}{E_{6}^{2}\Delta}\Big(-E_{6}^{2}A_{2}+E_{4}^{2}A_{1}^{2}\Big), \\ c_{3} &= \frac{1}{9E_{6}^{3}\Delta^{2}}\Big(-7E_{6}^{4}A_{3}-20E_{4}E_{6}^{3}B_{3}-9E_{4}^{2}E_{6}^{2}A_{1}A_{2}+30E_{6}^{3}A_{1}B_{2} \\ &+ (3E_{4}^{4}+3E_{4}E_{6}^{2})A_{1}^{3}\Big), \\ c_{4} &= \frac{1}{288E_{6}^{4}\Delta^{3}}\Big(576E_{6}^{4}\Delta A_{4}-92160E_{6}^{3}\Delta A_{1}B_{3}-9E_{4}^{2}E_{6}^{4}A_{2}^{2}-30E_{6}^{5}A_{2}B_{2} \\ &-25E_{4}E_{6}^{4}B_{2}^{2}+(-12E_{4}^{4}E_{6}^{2}+60E_{4}E_{6}^{4})A_{1}^{2}A_{2}+80E_{4}^{2}E_{6}^{3}A_{1}^{2}B_{2} \\ &+ (2E_{4}^{6}+6E_{4}^{3}E_{6}^{2}-72E_{6}^{4})A_{1}^{4}\Big), \\ d_{0} &= \frac{E_{6}}{216}, \qquad d_{1}=0, \qquad d_{2}=\frac{5}{6E_{6}\Delta}\Big(E_{6}B_{2}-E_{4}A_{1}^{2}\Big), \\ d_{3} &= \frac{1}{108E_{6}^{2}\Delta^{3}}\Big(-7E_{4}^{2}E_{6}^{3}A_{3}-20E_{6}^{3}B_{3}-9E_{4}E_{6}^{2}A_{1}A_{2}+30E_{4}^{2}E_{6}A_{1}B_{2} \\ &+(-20E_{4}^{3}+26E_{6}^{3})A_{1}^{3}\Big), \\ d_{4} &= \frac{1}{1728E_{6}^{3}\Delta^{3}}\Big(-8640E_{6}^{3}\Delta B_{4}-48384E_{4}E_{6}^{2}\Delta A_{1}A_{3}+9E_{4}E_{6}^{4}A_{2}^{2
$$

$$
- 870912(E_4^5E_6^2 - 5E_4^2E_6^4)\Delta A_1^3A_3 + 552960(9E_4^3E_6^3 - 23E_6^5)\Delta A_1^3B_3
$$

+ $(405E_4^3E_6^6 + 162E_6^8)A_2^3 + (1215E_4^4E_6^5 + 1620E_4E_6^7)A_2^2B_2 + 4725E_4^2E_6^6A_2B_2^2$
+ $(1125E_4^3E_6^5 + 1500E_6^7)B_2^3 + (-5103E_4^5E_6^4 + 729E_4^2E_6^6)A_1^2A_2^2$
+ $(1620E_4^6E_6^3 - 12420E_4^3E_6^5 - 3780E_6^7)A_1^2A_2B_2$
+ $(33075E_4^4E_6^4 - 45225E_4E_6^6)A_1^2B_2^2$
+ $(-648E_4^7E_6^2 + 10368E_4^4E_6^4 + 1512E_4E_6^6)A_1^4A_2$
+ $(540E_4^8E_6 - 7560E_4^5E_6^3 + 25740E_4^2E_6^5)A_1^4B_2$
+ $(-180E_4^9 + 1512E_4^6E_6^2 - 3924E_4^3E_6^4 - 7008E_6^6)A_1^6).$ (A.2)

Here, $P_{16,5}$ appearing in the expression of d_5 is the $W(E_8)$ -invariant holomorphic Jacobi form of weight 16 and index 5 given in [\(2.12\)](#page-6-3). As explained in the main text, a_i, b_j and c_k, d_l are related by [\(3.15\)](#page-9-0).

B. Basic holomorphic Jacobi forms as polynomials

$$
A_1 = -3a_0b_1, \t A_2 = \frac{9a_0b_1^2 - 2\Delta a_2}{12},
$$

\n
$$
A_3 = \frac{-21a_0b_1^3 - 12\Delta a_0b_3 - 6\Delta a_2b_1 + 18\Delta a_3b_0}{112},
$$

\n
$$
A_4 = \frac{1}{64}(4\Delta a_0^2a_2^2 + 3a_0b_1^4 - 96\Delta a_0b_1b_3 + 48\Delta a_0b_2^2 - 144\Delta a_2b_0b_2 + 36\Delta a_2b_1^2 + 144\Delta a_3b_0b_1 + 32\Delta^2a_4),
$$

\n
$$
A_5 = \frac{1}{5376}(36\Delta a_0^2a_2^2b_1 - 63a_0b_1^5 + 216\Delta a_0b_1^2b_3 - 144\Delta a_0b_1b_2^2 - 432\Delta a_2b_0b_1b_2 - 100\Delta a_2b_1^3 + 1980\Delta a_3b_0b_1^2 - 128\Delta^2a_0b_5 - 112\Delta^2a_2b_3 + 176\Delta^2a_3b_2),
$$

\n
$$
B_2 = \frac{135b_0b_1^2 + 12\Delta b_2}{10}, \t B_3 = \frac{-3\Delta a_0^2a_3 - 270b_0b_1^3 + 54\Delta b_0b_3 - 36\Delta b_1b_2}{80},
$$

\n
$$
B_4 = \frac{1}{160}(-16\Delta a_0^2a_2b_2 + 24\Delta a_0^2a_3b_1 + 12\Delta a_0a_2^2b_0 + 135b_0b_1^4 - 432\Delta b_0b_1b_3 + 144\Delta b_0b_2^2 + 24\Delta b_1^2b_2 - 32\Delta^2b_4),
$$

\n
$$
B_6 = \frac{1}{2560}(48\Delta a_0^3b_1^2b_4 + 48\Delta a_0^2a_2b_1^2b_2 - 144\Delta a
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{9c_1d_0}{2}, \qquad A_2 = \frac{3c_0^2c_1^2-8\Delta c_2}{48},\\ A_3 &= \frac{21c_0c_1^3d_0-96\Delta c_0d_3+96\Delta c_1d_2+144\Delta c_3d_0}{896},\\ A_4 &= \frac{1}{1024}(9c_1^4d_0^2-128\Delta c_0^2c_1c_3+64\Delta c_0^2c_2^2-16\Delta c_0c_1^2c_2+3\Delta c_1^4+768\Delta c_0d_2^2\\ &\quad +2304\Delta c_1d_0d_3-2304\Delta c_2d_0d_2+512\Delta^2c_4),\\ A_5 &= \frac{1}{172032}(21c_0^2c_1^5d_0+576\Delta c_0^2c_1^2d_3+768\Delta c_0^2c_1c_2d_2-384\Delta c_0c_1^3d_2+5280\Delta c_0c_1^2c_3d_0\\ &\quad -1728\Delta c_0c_1c_2^2d_0-224\Delta c_1^3c_2d_0+6912\Delta c_1d_0d_2^2-4096\Delta^2c_0d_5+2048\Delta^2c_1d_4\\ &\quad -3584\Delta^2c_2d_3+5632\Delta^2c_3d_2),\\ B_2 &= \frac{45c_0c_1^2d_0+48\Delta d_2}{40},\\ B_3 &= \frac{270c_1^3d_0^2-24\Delta c_0^2c_3+12\Delta c_0c_1c_2-3\Delta c_1^3+432\Delta d_0d_3}{640},\\ B_4 &= \frac{1}{2560}(15c_0^2c_1^4d_0+384\Delta c_0^2c_1d_3-256\Delta c_0^2c_2d_2-96\Delta c_0c_1^2d_2-576\Delta c_0c_1c_3d_0\\ &\quad +192\Delta c_0c_2^2d_0-96\Delta c_1^2c_2d_0+2304\Delta d_0d_2^2-512\Delta^2d_
$$

The relations [\(B.1\)](#page-27-0) and [\(B.2\)](#page-28-0) are obtained by inverting the relations [\(A.1\)](#page-25-1) and [\(A.2\)](#page-27-1), respectively.

C. Full list of generators

$$
\phi_{0,4}^{(1,0)} = f, \qquad \phi_{0,6}^{(0,1)} = g, \n\phi_{1,8}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_1, \qquad \phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)} = (f,f)_2, \n\phi_{2,6}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_2, \qquad \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)} = (g,g)_2, \n\phi_{3,4}^{(1,1)} = (f,g)_3, \qquad \phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)} = (f,\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})_1, \n\phi_{3,8}^{(2,1)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g)_1, \qquad \phi_{3,10}^{(1,2)} = (f,\phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_1,
$$

$$
\phi_{3,12}^{(0,3)} = (g, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_1, \phi_{4,4}^{(2,0)} = (f, g)_4, \phi_{4,4}^{(2,0)} = (g, g)_{6,4}[1] = (f^2, g)_5, \phi_{4,8}^{(2,1)} = (f^2, g)_{6,5}[1] = (f^2, g)_{6,6}[2] = (g, g)_{6,7}[2] = (f, \phi_{4,4}^{(0,2)})_1, \phi_{5,6}[2] = (g, g)_{6,7}[2] = (f, \phi_{4,4}^{(0,2)})_1, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,2)} = (g, g)_{6,7}[2] = (f, \phi_{4,4}^{(0,2)})_1, \phi_{6,6}^{(2,1)} = (f, \phi_{6,1}^{(2,1)})_1, \phi_{6,6}^{(2,1)} = (f, \phi_{6,2}^{(2)})_4, \phi_{6,6}^{(2,1)} = (f, \phi_{6,4}^{(2)})_4, \phi_{6,6}^{(2,1)} = (f, \phi_{6,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{6,4}[2] = (f, \phi_{6,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,4}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,4}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,5}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,6}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,6}[2] = (f^2, \phi_{2,8}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,6}[3] = (f, \phi_{2,8}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{7,6}[3] = (f, \phi_{2,8}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{8,2}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5, \phi_{8,2}[1] = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2)})_5
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n & \phi_{4,0}^{(2,0)} = (f, f)_4, \\
 & \phi_{4,4}^{(0,2)} = (g, g)_4, \\
 & \phi_{4,4}^{(1,2)} = (g, g)_2, \\
 & \phi_{5,4[2]}^{(2,1)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(0,2)}, g)_3, \\
 & \phi_{5,6[2]}^{(2,1)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g)_4, \\
 & \phi_{6,6}^{(3,0)} = (f, \phi_{2,8}^{(2,0)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{6,1}^{(3,0)} = (f, \phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{6,1[1]}^{(1,2)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g)_4, \\
 & \phi_{6,4[1]}^{(1,2)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g)_4, \\
 & \phi_{6,4[1]}^{(3,1)} = (\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)}, g)_4, \\
 & \phi_{7,4[1]}^{(3,1)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(3,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_3, \\
 & \phi_{7,6[3]}^{(2,2)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{7,8[2]}^{(2,2)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{7,8[2]}^{(1,2)} = (f, \phi_{3,12}^{(0,2)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{8,2[2]}^{(3,2)} = (\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)}, g)_5, \\
 & \phi_{8,2[2]}^{(2,2)} = (\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)}, g)_5, \\
 & \phi_{8,4[3]}^{(3,1)} = (f^2, \phi_{2,8}^{(3)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{8,4[3]}^{(3,1)} = (f^2, \phi_{2,8}^{(3)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{8,4[3]}^{(3,1)} = (f, \phi_{6,8}^{(3,0)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{8,4[3]}^{(3,0)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(2)})_4, \\
 & \phi_{9,4[3]}^{(3,0)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})_2,
$$

$$
\phi_{11,6[1]}^{(1,4)} = (f, \phi_{7,10}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{11,6[3]}^{(1,4)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_2, \phi_{11,8[2]}^{(0,5)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,2)})_6, \phi_{12,01}^{(0,6)} = (\phi_{4,4}^{(0,2)}, \phi_{4,2}^{(0,2)})_6, \phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (f^2, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)})_7, \phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (f^2, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)})_7, \phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (f^2, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)})_7, \phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_2, \phi_{13,2[2]}^{(2,3)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_2, \phi_{13,2[2]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[2]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[2]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[2]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{14,2[1]}^{(4,3)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{14,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_4, \phi_{14,2[1]}^{(4,2)} =
$$

$$
\phi_{11,6[2]}^{(1,4)} = (f, \phi_{10,4}^{(0,4)})_1, \n\phi_{11,8}^{(0,5)} = (\phi_{2,8}^{(0,2)}, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})_1, \n\phi_{12,2[1]}^{(3,2)} = (f^3, g^2)_{12}, \n\phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)})_4, \n\phi_{12,2[1]}^{(2,3)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})_2, \n\phi_{12,4[1]}^{(1,4)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_3, \n\phi_{13,2[2]}^{(2,3)} = (f^2, \phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)})_8, \n\phi_{13,2[2]}^{(4,4)} = (f, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,4)})_3, \n\phi_{13,2[1]}^{(3,3)} = (f^2, \phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)})_8, \n\phi_{13,2[1]}^{(4,4)} = (f, \phi_{14}^{(0,4)})_3, \n\phi_{13,4[1]}^{(3,3)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(0,4)}, \phi_{3,12}^{(0,2)})_8, \n\phi_{14,2[1]}^{(4,2)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,3)})_1, \n\phi_{14,2[2]}^{(4,2)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{2,8}^{(0,3)})_7, \n\phi_{14,2[3]}^{(4,2)} = (f^3, \phi_{3,12}^{(0,2)})_1, \n\phi_{14,2[3]}^{(3,3)} = (f^3, \phi_{3,12}^{(0,3)})_1, \n\phi_{14,2[3]}^{(3,3)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)})_7, \n\phi_{14,2[3]}^{(3,3)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{5,8}^{(
$$

$$
\phi_{17,2[5]}^{(3,4)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{17,1}^{(0,4)})_8, \phi_{17,2[5]}^{(3,4)} = (q\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{17,3}^{(0,5)})_8, \phi_{18,0[1]}^{(3,4)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g\phi_{8,2}^{(3)})_8, \phi_{18,0[2]}^{(3,4)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, g\phi_{8,2}^{(3)})_8, \phi_{18,0[3]}^{(3,4)} = (q\phi_{8,2}^{(2)}, \phi_{8,2}^{(2)})_2, \phi_{18,0[1]}^{(3,4)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_7, \phi_{19,2[1]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_8, \phi_{19,2[1]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_8, \phi_{19,2[1]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_8, \phi_{19,2[2]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_8, \phi_{19,2[2]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{11,8}^{(0,6)})_8, \phi_{19,2[2]}^{(5,5)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(0,6)})_2^2, \phi_{20,0[1]}^{(5,6)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(0,6)})_2^2, \phi_{20,0[2]}^{(5,6)} = (f\phi_{2,4}^{(0,6)})_2^2, \phi_{21,0[3]}^{(5,6)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})_3^2, \phi_{21,0[3]}^{(0,3)})_8, \phi_{21,0[2]}^{(4,4)} = (f^3, g\phi_{9,6}^{(0,3)})_1, \phi_{22,0[2]}^{(5,6)} = (f^3, g\phi_{9,6}^{(0,3)})_1, \phi_{22,0[2]}^{(5,6)} = (f^3, g\phi_{9,6}^{(0,3)})_1, \phi_{22,0[2]}^{(5,6)} = (f^3, g\phi
$$

$$
\phi_{17,2[6]}^{(3,4)} = (\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)}, \phi_{9,6}^{(4)})_5,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{18,0[1]}^{(3,4)} = (f^3, g\phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)})_1,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{18,0[3]}^{(3,4)} = (\phi_{3,6}^{(3,0)}, \phi_{9,6}^{(0,3)})_6,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{18,2[1]}^{(2,5)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{19,4}^{(0,5)})_2,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{18,2[8]}^{(2,5)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{13,4}^{(0,5)})_3,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{19,2}^{(2,5)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{13,4}^{(0,4)})_4,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{19,2}^{(4,4)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})^2, \phi_{7,10}^{(0,4)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{19,2[2]}^{(4,4)} = (g, (\phi_{2,4}^{(0,3)})^2)_3,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{19,2[2]}^{(4,4)} = (g, (\phi_{2,4}^{(0,3)})^2)_3,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{20,0[2]}^{(4,4)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})^2, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{20,0[2]}^{(4,5)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)})^2, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{20,0[2]}^{(3,5)} = ((\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{19,5}^{(0,3)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{21,0[1]}^{(3,5)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{19,5}^{(0,3)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{21,0[1]}^{(2,6)} = (\phi_{2,4}^{(2,0)}, \phi_{19,5}^{(0,3)})_8,
$$
\n
$$
\phi_{21,0[2]}^{(
$$

$$
\phi_{35,2}^{(0,12)} = (\phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)}, (\phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})^3)_6, \qquad \phi_{37,0}^{(2,11)} = (f^2, \phi_{6,6}^{(0,3)} \phi_{23,2}^{(0,8)})_8, \n\phi_{41,0}^{(1,13)} = (f, \phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)} \phi_{29,2}^{(0,10)})_4, \qquad \phi_{45,0}^{(0,15)} = (\phi_{5,8}^{(0,3)}, (\phi_{8,2}^{(0,3)})^4)_8. \qquad (C.1)
$$

D. Special functions

The Jacobi theta functions are defined as

$$
\vartheta_1(z,\tau) := i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n y^{n-1/2} q^{(n-1/2)^2/2},
$$

\n
$$
\vartheta_2(z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y^{n-1/2} q^{(n-1/2)^2/2},
$$

\n
$$
\vartheta_3(z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y^n q^{n^2/2},
$$

\n
$$
\vartheta_4(z,\tau) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n y^n q^{n^2/2},
$$
\n(D.1)

where

$$
y = e^{2\pi i z}, \qquad q = e^{2\pi i \tau}
$$
 (D.2)

and $z\in\mathbb{C},\tau\in\mathbb{H}.$ We often use the abbreviated notation

$$
\vartheta_k(\tau) := \vartheta_k(0, \tau). \tag{D.3}
$$

The Dedekind eta function is defined as

$$
\eta(\tau) := q^{1/24} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n). \tag{D.4}
$$

The Eisenstein series are given by

$$
E_{2n}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4n}{B_{2n}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{2n-1}q^k}{1-q^k}
$$
 (D.5)

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$ The Bernoulli numbers B_k are defined by

$$
\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k.
$$
 (D.6)

We often abbreviate $\eta(\tau)$, $E_{2n}(\tau)$ as η , E_{2n} respectively.

References

- [1] F. Cl´ery, C. Faber and G. van der Geer, "Covariants of binary sextics and vector-valued Siegel modular forms of genus two," Math. Ann. 369(3) (2017), 1649–1669 [\[arXiv:1606.07014](http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07014) [math.AG]].
- [2] M. Del Zotto, J. Gu, M. X. Huang, A. K. Kashani-Poor, A. Klemm and G. Lockhart, "Topological Strings on Singular Elliptic Calabi-Yau 3-folds and Minimal 6d SCFTs," JHEP 03 (2018) 156 [\[arXiv:1712.07017](http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07017) [hep-th]].
- [3] T. Eguchi and K. Sakai, "Seiberg–Witten Curve for the E-String Theory," JHEP 05 (2002) 058 [\[arXiv:hep-th/0203025](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0203025) [hep-th]].
- [4] T. Eguchi and K. Sakai, "Seiberg–Witten Curve for E-String Theory Revisited," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2003) no.3, 419–455 [\[arXiv:hep-th/0211213](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0211213) $\vert \text{hep-th} \vert$.
- [5] M. Eichler and D. Zagier, "The Theory of Jacobi forms," Prog. in Math. 55, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 1985.
- [6] O. J. Ganor, "Toroidal Compactification of Heterotic 6D Non-Critical Strings Down to Four Dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 488 (1997), 223–235 [\[arXiv:hep-th/9608109](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9608109) [hep-th]].
- [7] O. J. Ganor and A. Hanany, "Small E_8 Instantons and Tensionless Non Critical Strings," Nucl. Phys. B 474 (1996), 122–140 [\[arXiv:hep-th/9602120](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9602120) [hep-th]].
- [8] O. J. Ganor, D. R. Morrison and N. Seiberg, "Branes, Calabi–Yau Spaces, and Toroidal Compactification of the $N=1$ Six-Dimensional E_8 Theory," Nucl. Phys. B 487 (1997), 93–127 [\[arXiv:hep-th/9610251](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9610251) [hep-th]].
- [9] O. E. Glenn, "A Treatise on the Theory of Invariants," Ginn and Co., 1915.
- [10] D. Hilbert, "Theory of algebraic invariants," Cambridge university press, 1993.
- [11] J. Igusa, "On Siegel modular forms of genus two," Amer. J. of Math. 84(1) (1962), 175–200; (II), ibid. 86(2) (1964), 392–412.
- [12] J. Igusa, "Modular forms and projective invariants," Amer. J. of Math. 89(3) (1967), 817–855.
- [13] J. A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, C. Vafa and N. P. Warner, "E-Strings and $N = 4$ Topological Yang-Mills Theories," Nucl. Phys. B 527 (1998), 581–623 $[\text{arXiv:hep-th}/9802168$ $[\text{hep-th}].$
- [14] M. Olive, "About Gordan's Algorithm for Binary Forms," Found. Comput. Math. 17 (2017), 1407–1466 [\[arXiv:1403.2283](http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.2283) [math.RT]].
- [15] M. Roberts, "On the covariants of a binary quantic of the nth degree," Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 4 (1861), 168–178.
- [16] K. Sakai, "Topological string amplitudes for the local $\frac{1}{2}K3$ surface," PTEP 2017 (2017) no.3, 033B09 [\[arXiv:1111.3967](http://arxiv.org/abs/1111.3967) [hep-th]].
- [17] K. Sakai, " E_n Jacobi forms and Seiberg–Witten curves," Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. 13 (2019), 53–80 [\[arXiv:1706.04619](http://arxiv.org/abs/1706.04619) [hep-th]].
- [18] K. Sakai, "Algebraic construction of Weyl invariant E_8 Jacobi forms," J. Number Theory 244 (2023), 42–62 [\[arXiv:2201.06895](http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.06895) [math.NT]].
- [19] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Electric-Magnetic Duality, Monopole Condensation, And Confinement in $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory," Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994), 19–52 [erratum: Nucl. Phys. B 430 (1994), 485–486] [\[arXiv:hep-th/9407087](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9407087) [hep-th]].
- [20] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Monopoles, Duality and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $N=2$ Supersymmetric QCD," Nucl. Phys. B 431 (1994), 484-550 [\[arXiv:hep-th/9408099](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9408099) [hep-th]].
- [21] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, "Comments on String Dynamics in Six Dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 471 (1996), 121–134 [\[arXiv:hep-th/9603003](http://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9603003) [hep-th]].
- [22] T. Shioda, "On the Mordell-Weil Lattices," Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 39(2) (1990), 211–240.
- [23] K. Sun and H. Wang, "Weyl invariant E_8 Jacobi forms and E-strings," Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. 17 (2023) no.3, 553–582 [\[arXiv:2109.10578](http://arxiv.org/abs/2109.10578) [math.NT]].
- [24] H. Wang, "Weyl invariant E_8 Jacobi forms," Commun. Num. Theor. Phys. 15 (2021) no.3, 517–573 [\[arXiv:1801.08462](http://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08462) [math.NT]].
- [25] H. Wang, "Weyl invariant Jacobi forms: A new approach," Adv. Math. 384 (2021) 107752 [\[arXiv:2007.16033](http://arxiv.org/abs/2007.16033) [math.NT]].
- [26] K. Wirthm¨uller, "Root systems and Jacobi forms," Compos. Math. 82 (1992), 293–354.