Hopf-Galois module structure of degree *p* extensions of *p*-adic fields

Daniel Gil-Muñoz^{1,2,3}

1 Institut de Matemàtica, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain ²Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Department of Algebra, Sokolovska 83, 18600 Praha 8, Czech Republic

³Diparimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa, Largo B. Pontecorvo, 5, 56127 Pisa, Italy

Abstract

Let *p* be an odd prime number. For a degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields *L*/*K*, we give a complete characterization of the condition that the ring of integers O*^L* is free as a module over its associated order in the unique Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K*.

1 Introduction

The classical Galois module theory seeks to describe the module structure of the ring of integers of a Galois extension of number or *p*-adic fields. The ground ring of such a module is chosen as an object related with the Galois group. The group algebra of the Galois group (i.e, the Galois group algebra) with scalars in the ground ring of integers of the extension appears as a natural choice. Alternatively, we can choose the associated order in the ring of integers, which is defined as the subset of the Galois group algebra with scalars in the ground field (not just the ring of integers) whose action on the top field leaves its ring of integers invariant. This choice is optimal in the sense that it is the only order in the group algebra of the Galois group over which the ring of integers may be possibly a free module.

This situation is generalized by means of Hopf-Galois theory, whose starting point is the notion of Hopf-Galois structure on a field extension: a pair formed by a Hopf algebra and an action on the top field of the extension in such a way that it mimics the properties of the Galois group algebra. The extensions that admit some Hopf-Galois structure are called Hopf-Galois. If the extension is Galois, the Galois group algebra together with its natural action is a Hopf-Galois structure on the Galois extension, usually known as the classical Galois structure, but it may admit others. Under this terminology, there are non-Galois extensions that are Hopf-Galois.

The notion of associated order in the Galois group algebra of a Galois extension is naturally generalized to any Hopf-Galois structure on a Hopf-Galois extension *L*/*K*. In this way, it makes sense to study the module structure of the top ring of integers over the associated order \mathfrak{A}_H in any Hopf-Galois structure *H* on the extension. The module structure of the ring of integers O*^L* is completely determined if \mathcal{O}_L is free as a module over \mathfrak{A}_H . Indeed, in that case we have that $\mathcal{O}_L \cong \mathfrak{A}_H$ as \mathfrak{A}_H -modules.

The problem of characterizing the condition that \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free for an extension L/K of *p*-adic fields is of long-standing interest, among other reasons because there is not uniformity in the behaviour of \mathcal{O}_L as an \mathfrak{A}_H -module for different choices of extensions *L*/*K*. A class of extensions illustrating this phenomenon is that of cyclic degree *p* extensions of *p*-adic fields. In that case, the classical Galois structure is the only Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K*, and a complete characterization of the freeness of \mathcal{O}_L over its associated order $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (F. Bertrandias, J.P. Bertrandias, M.J. Ferton)**.** *Let L*/*K be a totally ramified cyclic degree p* extension of p-adic fields and let e be the ramification index of K/\mathbb{Q}_p . Let $G := \text{Gal}(L/K) = \langle \sigma \rangle$, let t *be the ramification jump of L*/*K and let a be the remainder of t mod p.*

(1) If $a = 0$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in K[G] and \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.

- (2) If $a \neq 0$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is \mathcal{O}_K -free with generators $\pi_K^{-n_i} f^i$, where $f = \sigma \text{Id}$, $n_i = \min_{0 \leq j \leq p-1-i} (\nu_{i+j} \nu_{i+j}))$ *v*_{*j*}) *and* $v_i = \left| \frac{a+it}{p} \right|$ *for every* $0 \le i \le p - 1$ *.*
- *(3)* If $a \mid p-1$, then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. Moreover, if $t < \frac{pe}{p-1} 1$, the converse holds.
- *(4)* If $t \ge \frac{pe}{p-1} 1$, then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if and only if the length of the expansion of $\frac{t}{p}$ as continued *fraction is at most* 4*.*

These results are stated in [\[4,](#page-25-0) [3\]](#page-25-1), and in some cases short sketches of proof are given. A complete and detailed proof appears in Ferton's PhD dissertation [\[11](#page-25-2), Chapitre II]. The first three statements have been reworked by Del Corso, Ferri and Lombardo [\[9](#page-25-3)], who provided an alternative proof using the notion of minimal index of a Galois extension of *p*-adic fields. The third statement has also appeared as a particular case of $[6,$ Lemma 4.1] and $[10,$ Corollary 3.6] (in a slightly weaker form) in the language of scaffolds.

In this paper, we will consider the problem of studying the module structure of the ring of integers in an arbitrary degree *p* extension *L*/*K* of *p*-adic fields. Of course, such an extension is not necessarily Galois, but it is always Hopf-Galois, and the Galois group *G* of its normal closure over *K* verifies $G \cong C_p \rtimes C_r$, where $r \mid p-1$. Moreover, L/K admits a unique Hopf-Galois structure *H*. Therefore, the relevant problem in this context is to characterize the condition that \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free. The case that L/K is Galois corresponds to the choice $r = 1$, for which the solution is given in Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) The case that $r = 2$ is the one for which *G* is a dihedral group of order $2p$. For these extensions, the author found a complete characterization, which is exactly the same as in the Galois case when \tilde{L}/L is unramified [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 4.1] and has a similar flavour otherwise [\[16,](#page-25-6) Theorem 1.2]. In this last case, the relevant number is the remainder of $\frac{p+t}{2}$ (instead of *t*) mod *p*. The content of our main result is the natural generalization of such results to the general case, with no restriction on *r*.

Theorem 1.2. Let L/*K* be a degree p extension of p-adic fields with normal closure \widetilde{L} . Let $G := \text{Gal}(\widetilde{L}/K)$ *and let H be the unique Hopf-Galois structure on L*/*K. Write e for the ramification index of K*/**Q***p, t for the ramification jump of L/K (i.e. the integer number t such that* $G_t \cong C_p$ *and* $G_{t+1} = \{1\}$ *), c for* the remainder of t mod $r := [L : L']$, where L' is the inertia field of L/L , and a for the remainder of $\ell \coloneqq \frac{pc(r-1)+t}{r} \mod p.$

- *1.* If $a = 0$, then $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in H and \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.
- 2. If $a \neq 0$, $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is \mathcal{O}_K -free with generators $\pi_K^{-n_i}w^i$, where $n_i = \min_{0 \leq j \leq p-1-i}(v_{i+j}-v_j)$ and $\nu_i = \left| \frac{a+i\ell}{p} \right|$ *for every* $0 \le i \le p - 1$ *.*
- *3.* If a $|p-1$, then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. Moreover, if $t < \frac{rp}{p-1} - r$, then the converse holds.
- *4.* If $t \ge \frac{rpe}{p-1} r$, then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if and only if the period of the continued fraction expansion of ℓ $\frac{\ell}{p}$ *is upper bounded by* 4*.*

The fact that ℓ is an integer number will be an easy consequence from Elder's characterization of a defining equation for typical degree *p* extensions (see [\[10,](#page-25-5) Theorem 2.1]). If *L*/*K* is Galois, then $r = 1$, so we must have $c = 0$ and $\ell = t$ and we recover Theorem [1.1.](#page-0-0) If \widetilde{L}/K is dihedral of degree 2*p*, in the totally ramified case we obtain $c = 1$ and $\ell = \frac{p+t}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$, and we recover the result for the dihedral case [\[16,](#page-25-6) Theorem 1.2].

In Section [3](#page-5-0) we will show that in order to prove Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) we can assume without loss of generality that L/K is totally ramified, so that $r = [L:L]$. Namely, calling K' the inertia field of L/K , the extension L'/K' is of degree *p* with totally ramified normal closure, and \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if and only if O*^L* ′ is A*^L* ′/*K*′-free. In particular, if *L*/*K* has non-totally ramified dihedral closure, the criteria for the freeness of \mathcal{O}_L is given by Theorem [1.1,](#page-0-0) recovering [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 4.1].

The techniques used in the proofs are substantially different at each case. The case that $a = 0$ is considered at Section [4](#page-7-0) corresponds to the situation in which *L*/*K* is maximally ramified, and in this situation $L = K(\sqrt[p]{\pi_K})$. In this part we make use of the theory of *H*-Kummer extensions introduced by the author at [\[14\]](#page-25-7). A field extension *L*/*K* with Hopf-Galois structure *H* is *H*-Kummer if it admits a generating system of eigenvectors of the action of *H* on *L*, and for these extensions the \mathfrak{A}_H -module structure of \mathcal{O}_L is easier to study.

The case that $a \neq 0$ have been extensively studied by Elder [\[10](#page-25-5)], who labels such extensions as *typical*. In Section [5](#page-10-0) we will establish some generalities that will be useful for statements [\(2\)](#page-1-1)-[\(4\)](#page-1-2). We shall describe the underlying Hopf algebra at the unique Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K* by using the classification of rank *p* Hopf algebras by Tate and Oort [\[20](#page-25-8)], following the formulation at [\[7,](#page-25-9) Chapter 4]. This will allow us to establish the existence of a specific element *w* generating *H* as a *K*-algebra (i.e. $H = K[w]$) such that $w^p = \lambda w$, where $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_K$ is an element whose *K*-valuation can be explicitly determined. This improves considerably the situation at the already known solutions for the cases $r = 1$ and $r = 2$, where the generator of *H* chosen at each case satisfies a much trickier equation (see [\[9,](#page-25-3) Proposition 6.8 (2)] for the Galois case and [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 3.2] for the dihedral one). This phenomenon lightens considerably some of the required calculations towards a proof of the aforementioned statements. The other ingredient of a Hopf-Galois structure is the action of the underlying Hopf algebra on the top field of the extension. In this direction, we shall prove that the action of *w* increases the valuation of an element $x \in \mathcal{O}_L$ by at least ℓ (as defined in Theorem [1.2\)](#page-1-0), and exactly this value if and only if $v_L(x)$ is not divisible by p.

These results on the Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K* will provide the suitable setting to translate the strategy used in the already known cases $r = 1$, $r = 2$ to the general one. In Section [6](#page-15-0) we will consider the case $t < \frac{rpe^{\gamma}}{p-1} - r$, where the main tool will be the aforementioned theory of scaffolds (see Section [2.3\)](#page-4-0). In this case Elder had already constructed a scaffold of a typical degree *p* extension (see [\[10,](#page-25-5) Section 3.2]); we shall use such a scaffold. The case $t \geq \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$ will be treated in Section [7,](#page-20-0) and the main tool in this case is the theory of continued fractions and distance to the minimal integer (see Section [2.4\)](#page-4-1).

2 Preliminaries

We will implicitly assume that all our extensions of fields are finite.

2.1 Hopf-Galois extensions

Let *L*/*K* be an extension of fields. Suppose that there is a finite-dimensional cocommutative *K*-Hopf algebra *H* that acts on *L* by means of a *K*-linear map $\cdot: H \otimes_K L \longrightarrow L$. We say that (H, \cdot) is a Hopf-Galois structure if *L* is an *H*-module algebra with respect to · (see [\[21,](#page-25-10) Chapter 2, Section 2.1, Page 39]) and the map

$$
j: L \otimes_K H \longrightarrow End_K(L) \n x \otimes h \longrightarrow y \mapsto x(h \cdot y)
$$
\n(1)

is an isomorphism of *K*-vector spaces. The extension *L*/*K* is said to be Hopf-Galois or *H*-Galois if it admits some Hopf-Galois structure. In this context, we shall use the label *H* for referring both to the underlying Hopf algebra of the Hopf-Galois structure and to the Hopf-Galois structure itself (the pair formed by the underlying Hopf algebra and its action on *L*). If *L*/*K* is a Galois extension with Galois group *G*, then *K*[*G*] together with its classical action is a Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K*, called the classical Galois structure. Moreover, other Hopf-Galois structures may arise in a Galois extension, or in extensions that are not necessarily Galois.

Assume that L/K is separable with normal closure *L* and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ and $G' = \text{Gal}(L/L)$. Greither and Pareigis [\[18\]](#page-25-11) proved that the Hopf-Galois structures on *L*/*K* are in bijective correspondence with a special class of permutation subgroups, and each Hopf-Galois structure can be recovered from the corresponding subgroup (see also [\[7,](#page-25-9) Chapter 2]). Shortly after, this result was refined by Byott, and used it to prove that if [*L* : *K*] is a Burnside number, then *L*/*K* admits at most one Hopf-Galois structure. This is the so called Byott's uniqueness theorem [\[5\]](#page-25-12).

Among the separable Hopf-Galois extensions that are not necessarily Galois, we are especially interested in almost classically Galois extensions, which are those separable extensions *L*/*K* for which *G'* admits a normal complement *J* within *G*, so that $G = J \rtimes G'$. The fixed field $M = \widetilde{L}$ will be referred to as the Galois complement of *L*/*K*. Using Greither-Pareigis theory, it is proved that an almost classically Galois extension is Hopf-Galois and it admits a specific Hopf-Galois structure which, in the case that *J* is abelian, is given by

$$
H = M[J]^{G'} = \{h \in M[J] \mid \tau(h) = h \text{ for all } \tau \in G'\},
$$

where G' acts on M by means of its classical action on L and on J by conjugation (which is actually an action because *J* is a normal subgroup).

Recall that two extensions *L*/*K*, *M*/*K* are linearly disjoint if the compositum *LM* is canonically isomorphic to the tensor product $L \otimes_K M$. If in addition *H* is a *K*-Hopf algebra endowing *L* with *H*-module algebra structure, then $H \otimes_K M$ is an *M*-Hopf algebra endowing *LM* with $H \otimes_K M$ *M*-module algebra structure and *L*/*K* is *H*-Galois if and only if *LM*/*M* is *H* ⊗*^K M*-Galois. A particular instance of this phenomenon occurs for almost classically Galois extensions, which are linearly disjoint with the Galois complement, and their compositum is the normal closure.

2.2 Extensions of *p***-adic fields and their module structure**

Let *p* be an odd prime number. By a *p*-adic field we mean any finite extension of the field \mathbb{Q}_p of *p*-adic numbers. For any *p*-adic field *F*, we call \mathcal{O}_F the ring of integers of *F*, v_F its valuation, π_F its uniformizer, and \mathfrak{p}_F the ideal generated by π_F in \mathcal{O}_F .

Let *E*/*K* be a Galois degree *n* extension of *p*-adic fields and assume that $v_p(n) = 1$. Let $G := \text{Gal}(E/K)$ and let us denote by $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ the chain of ramification groups of E/K . If E/K is unramified, the ramification jump of E/K is $t = -1$. Otherwise, we have by [\[19,](#page-25-13) Chapter IV, Corollary 3] that $G_1 \cong C_p$, the cyclic group with p elements. In that case, the ramification jump of *E*∕*K* is defined as the integer number *t* such that $G_t ≅ C_p$ and G_{t+1} is trivial. Note that we ignore any possible jump prior to G_1 in the chain of ramification groups, as it is done for instance in [\[2,](#page-25-14) §1.2].

Now, let *L*/*K* be an *H*-Galois extension of *p*-adic fields. From [\[7,](#page-25-9) (2.16)], we know that *L* is free of rank one as *H*-module. Note that if *L*/*K* is Galois and *H* is chosen to be its classical Galois structure, we recover the already known normal basis theorem for Galois extensions. Following this analogy, we will say that any generator *θ* of *L* as *H*-module generates an *H*-normal basis or that it is an *H*-normal basis generator.

The associated order of \mathcal{O}_L in *H* is defined as

$$
\mathfrak{A}_H = \{ h \in H \, | \, h \cdot \mathcal{O}_L \subset \mathcal{O}_L \}.
$$

This object inherits the underlying ring structure of *H* and in fact it is an \mathcal{O}_K -order in *H* (it is \mathcal{O}_K -free and $K \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathfrak{A}_H = H$). Moreover, \mathcal{O}_L is naturally endowed with \mathfrak{A}_H -module structure, and it makes sense to ask whether such a module is free. What is more, if $\mathfrak A$ is an $\mathcal O_K$ -order in *H* such that \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A} -free, then $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_H$. In this sense, the associated order is the best choice in order to study the module structure of \mathcal{O}_L .

Given $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ generating an *H*-normal basis, let us call

$$
\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} = \{ h \in H \, | \, h \cdot \theta \in \mathcal{O}_L \}.
$$

It is immediate that $\mathfrak{A}_H \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_\theta$ and \mathfrak{A}_θ is an \mathfrak{A}_H -module. In fact, it is proved that $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathfrak{A}_\theta \cdot \theta$ and that \mathfrak{A}_θ is an \mathfrak{A}_H -fractional ideal [\[16](#page-25-6), Proposition 2.3]. We can study the \mathfrak{A}_H -freeness through \mathfrak{A}_θ by the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Let L*/*K be a degree n H-Galois extension of p-adic fields. The following statements are equivalent:*

- 1. \mathcal{O}_L *is* \mathfrak{A}_H *-free.*
- 2. *There is an element* $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ *generating an H-normal basis of L such that* $\mathfrak{A}_H = \mathfrak{A}_\theta$ *.*
- *3. There is an element θ* ∈ O*^L generating an H-normal basis of L such that* A*^θ is a ring (namely, a subring of the underlying ring structure of H).*
- $4.$ *There is an element* $θ ∈ Ο$ _{*L*} *generating an H-normal basis for L such that* $\mathfrak{A}_θ$ *is principal as* \mathfrak{A}_H *fractional ideal.*
- *5. For every element θ* ∈ O*^L generating an H-normal basis for L,* A*^θ is principal as* A*H-fractional ideal.*

A proof is given in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 2.4]. Even though [\(4\)](#page-3-0) is not included there, it is actually proved in the paragraph just afterwards. Moreover, it is shown that when the statements are verified, any element *θ* satisfying some of the first four statements satisfies all of them.

2.3 Scaffolds on a degree *p* **extension**

The theory of scaffolds was introduced by Byott, Childs and Elder [\[6](#page-25-4)] as a unification of different works on the module structure of the ring of integers in totally ramified degree p^n extensions of local fields. The utility of this theory is that the existence of a scaffold implies the validity of some criteria on the module structure of the ring of integers in the extension. Here we outline the main results for the case of totally ramified degree *p* extensions of *p*-adic fields.

Let *b* ∈ **Z** coprime with *p*. Call $\mathbf{S}_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and let $\mathbf{b}: \mathbf{S}_p \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ be defined by $\mathfrak{b}(s) = bs$. Since *b* is coprime with *p*, the map *r* ∘ (-b) is bijective, where *r*: $\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow S_p$ sends each integer number to its remainder mod *p*. Let $a: S_p \longrightarrow S_p$ be its inverse. The definition of a scaffold for our case is as follows (see [\[6](#page-25-4), Definition 2.3] for the general definition):

Definition 2.2. *Let L*/*K be a degree p extension of p-adic fields. Let A be a K-algebra of dimension p acting K-linearly on L and let* c ∈ **Z**≥1*. An A-scaffold on L with precision* c *and shift parameter b consists of the following data:*

- 1. A family $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of elements in L such that $v_L(\lambda_n) = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda_{n_1}\lambda_{n_2}^{-1} \in K$ if and *only if* $n_1 \equiv n_2 \pmod{p}$.
- *2.* An element $\Psi \in A$ with $\Psi \cdot 1 = 0$ and the property that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there is some $u_n \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ *such that:*

$$
\begin{cases} \Psi \cdot \lambda_n \equiv u_n \lambda_{n+b} \, (\text{mod } \lambda_{n+b} \mathfrak{p}_L^c) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a}(n) \ge 1 \\ \Psi \cdot \lambda_n \equiv 0 \, (\text{mod } \lambda_{n+b} \mathfrak{p}_L^c) & \text{if } \mathfrak{a}(n) = 0 \end{cases}
$$

Let *a* be the remainder of *b* mod *p* and let us denote

$$
d(i) = \left\lfloor \frac{a + b(i)}{p} \right\rfloor, \quad 0 \le i \le p - 1,
$$

\n
$$
\omega(i) = \min\{d(i + j) - d(j) \mid 0 \le j \le p - 1 - i\}, \quad 0 \le i \le p - 1.
$$
\n(2)

In our case, the result [\[6,](#page-25-4) Theorem 3.1] on the module structure of \mathcal{O}_L in terms of a scaffold is as follows.

Theorem 2.3. *Let L*/*K be a degree p extension of p-adic fields that admits a scaffold with shift parameter b and precision* c*. Let a be the remainder of b mod p.*

- 1. If $\mathfrak{c} \ge \max(a,1)$, $\{\pi_K^{-\omega(i)} \Psi^i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ is an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of \mathcal{O}_L . If in addition $\omega(i) = d(i)$ for all $0 \le i \le n$ $p-1$ *, then* \mathcal{O}_L *is* $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *-free.*
- *2. If* $c \ge p + a$, \mathcal{O}_L *is* $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *-free if and only if* $\omega(i) = d(i)$ *for all* $0 \le i \le p - 1$ *.*

Remark 2.4. Assume that we have a scaffold on a degree *p* extension *L*/*K* of *p*-adic fields with shift parameter *b* and precision c. Following [\[6,](#page-25-4) Remark 2.9], we can construct another scaffold on *L*/*K* with the same precision and different shift parameter *b*^{*'*}, as long as *b*^{*'*} \equiv *b* (mod *p*). Namely, if $\Psi \in A$ is an element as in Definition [2.2](#page-4-2) in the original scaffold, for any $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$ we set $\Psi' = u \pi^c \Psi$. Together with the same sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, it defines a scaffold on *L*/*K* with shift parameter $b + cp$.

2.4 Continued fractions and distance to the nearest integer

We will need some notions and results on continued fractions. The reader can consult [\[16,](#page-25-6) Section 2.4] and the references mentioned therein for further information.

For a non-negative integer *x* and an odd prime number *p*, we write

$$
\frac{x}{p} = [a_0; a_1, \dots, a_n] = a_0 + \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots + \cfrac{1}{a_n}}}
$$

for the continued fraction expansion of the rational number $\frac{x}{p}$. By definition, a_0 is just its integer part. If *a* is the remainder of *x* mod *p*, then $\frac{a}{p} = [0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$. We will usually omit the explicit mention to the number *x* and consider the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{a}{p}$.

For $i \geq 0$, the *i*-th convergent of $\frac{x}{p}$ is the number defined by

$$
\frac{p_i}{q_i} = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_i],
$$

where the fraction is in irreducible form. We are especially interested in the denominators q_i . By definition, $q_0 = 1$, $q_1 = a_1$ and $q_n = p$. Moreover, it is satisfied that $q_{i+2} = a_{i+2}q_{i+1} + q_i$ for every $i \geq 2$, and similarly for the numbers p_i .

Now, let us denote

$$
q_{i,r_i}=r_iq_{i+1}+q_i, \quad 0\leq r_i\leq a_{i+2},
$$

and define integer numbers p_{i,r_i} analogously. The fractions $\frac{p_{i,r_i}}{q_{i,r_i}}$ are called semiconvergents or intermediate convergents of $\frac{\ell}{p}$. It is immediate from the definition that $q_{i,0} = q_i$ and $q_{i,a_{i+2}} = q_{i+2}$.

For a real number *α*, we write $\hat{\alpha}$ for its fractional part, so that $\alpha = |\alpha| + \hat{\alpha}$. On the other hand, if 2*α* $\notin \mathbb{Z}$, we write ||*α*|| for the distance of *α* to the nearest integer.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\alpha = \frac{a}{p}$ with $0 < a < p$ and write $\alpha = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ for its continued fraction *expansion. Let* $\frac{p_i}{q_i}$ *be its i-th convergent,* $0 \le i \le n$ *.*

- *1.* $||q_{i+1}\frac{a}{p}|| < ||q_i\frac{a}{p}||$ for every $0 \le i \le n-1$ *.*
- 2. If $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $q < q_i$, then $||q \frac{a}{p}|| \ge ||q_{i-1} \frac{a}{p}||$, and in particular, $||q \frac{a}{p}|| > ||q_i \frac{a}{p}||$.
- *3. If i* > 0 *is even (resp. odd), then* $\widehat{q_i \frac{a}{p}} < \frac{1}{2}$ (resp. $\widehat{q_i \frac{a}{p}} > \frac{1}{2}$).
- 4. $||q_{n-1}\frac{a}{p}|| = \frac{1}{p}$, so $\widehat{q_{n-1}\frac{a}{p}} = \frac{1}{p}$ if n is odd and $\widehat{q_{n-1}\frac{a}{p}} = \frac{p-1}{p}$ otherwise.

A proof is given at [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 2.7].

3 Reduction to the totally ramified case

Let *L*/*K* be a degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields. If *L*/*K* is unramified, then it is necessarily Galois, and in that case the classical Galois structure is its unique Hopf-Galois structure. Moreover, \mathcal{O}_I is free over the associated order.

From now on, we will assume that L/K is ramified, so that it is totally ramified. Let \tilde{L} be its normal closure and call $G = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$. Since the residue field of K is finite, the Galois group G of the normal closure \tilde{L} of L/K is solvable [\[19,](#page-25-13) Chapter IV, Section 2, Corollary 5]. From [\[7](#page-25-9), (7.5)], we obtain that *L*/*K* is Hopf-Galois. In addition, by a theorem of Galois, *G* is solvable if and only if it is a Frobenius group of degree *p*, that is, $G \cong C_p \rtimes C_r$ with $r | p - 1$ (see for example [\[1,](#page-25-15) Theorem 1]). Fix a presentation

$$
G = \langle \sigma, \tau \, | \, \sigma^p = \tau^r = 1_G, \, \tau \sigma = \sigma^g \tau \rangle,
$$
\n⁽³⁾

where $g \in \mathbb{Z}$ reduces mod *p* to an order *r* element of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$. Calling $J = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $G' = \langle \tau \rangle$, we have that $G = J \rtimes G'$ with $J \cong C_p$ and $G' \cong C_r.$ Since J is a normal subgroup of G , it is the only order *p* subgroup of *G*. Hence $M \coloneqq \widetilde{L}^J$ is the only intermediate field of \widetilde{L}/K with degree *r* over *K*, and $J = \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/M)$.

Write $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ for the chain of ramification groups of \widetilde{L}/K . From [\[19,](#page-25-13) Chapter IV, Corollary 3], we know that *G*¹ is a *p*-group, so necessarily it has order *p*. Replacing *σ* by a suitable power, we can assume without loss of generality that $J = G_1$. Likewise, we can also assume that $L = \tilde{L}^{G'}$. Then, *L*/*K* is almost classically Galois with complement *M*.

Let us assume the notation on Section [2.2](#page-3-1) with $E = \tilde{L}$. That is, we write *t* for the ramification jump of \tilde{L}/K , which by [\[19,](#page-25-13) Chapter IV, Proposition 2] is the ramification jump of \tilde{L}/M .

We shall show that in order to prove Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) we can assume without loss of generality that the normal closure L of a degree p extension of p-adic fields L/K is totally ramified. In order to do so, we will replace *L*/*K* by another degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields whose ring of integers follows the same criteria for freeness over the associated order and whose normal closure is totally ramified. Namely, such an extension is L'/K' , where L' (resp. K') is the inertia field of L/L (resp. \widetilde{L}/K).

Note that by definition of inertia field, *L* ′/*L* (resp. *K* ′/*K*) is the largest unramified subextension of \tilde{L}/L (resp. \tilde{L}/K). The idea behind the fact that the criteria for the freeness does not vary from *L*/*K* to *L* ′/*K* ′ is that we can *ignore* the unramified subextensions. This is the content of the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let L*/*K be an H-Galois extension of p-adic fields. Let K*′/*K be another extension which is arithmetically disjoint with L*/*K (i.e, the discriminants of L*/*K and K*′/*K are coprime) and let L*′ = *LK*′ *. Call H'* = *K'* $\otimes_K H$ *, which is a Hopf-Galois structure on L'* / *K'*. *Then* $\mathfrak{A}_{H'} = \mathfrak{A}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is \mathfrak{A}_{H} ^{*-free if and only if* \mathcal{O}_L *is* \mathfrak{A}_H *-free.*}

Proof. Since *L*/*K* and *K*^{\prime}/*K* are arithmetically disjoint, $\mathcal{O}_{L'} = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ (see [\[13,](#page-25-16) Chapter III, (2.13)]).

It is clear that $\mathfrak{A}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'} \subseteq H \otimes_K K' = H'$. Since $\mathfrak{A}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ acts \mathcal{O}_K -linearly on $\mathcal{O}_{L'} =$ $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ componentwise, $\mathfrak{A}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'} \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_{H'}$. Conversely, let $h \in \mathfrak{A}_{H'}$, so that $h \in H' =$ $H \otimes_K \hat{K}'$. Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{\overline{s}}$ be an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$. Then, there are unique elements $h_1,\ldots,h_s \in H$ such that

$$
h = \sum_{j=1}^{s} h_j z_j.
$$

It is enough to prove that $h_j\in \mathfrak{A}_H$ for all $1\leq j\leq s.$ Indeed, given $\gamma\in \mathcal{O}_L\subseteq \mathcal{O}_{L'}$, we have that $h \cdot \gamma \in \mathcal{O}'_L$. Now,

$$
h \cdot \gamma = \sum_{j=1}^s (h_j \cdot \gamma) z_j.
$$

Since \mathcal{O}_L is \mathcal{O}_K -flat, $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^s$ is an \mathcal{O}_L -basis of $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$. Therefore, it follows that $h_j \cdot \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_L$ for all $1 \le j \le s$, proving that $h_j \in \mathfrak{A}_H$ as desired.

As for the freeness, first assume that L/K is unramified. Then \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free, and using that $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ we obtain that $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is $\mathfrak{A}_{H'}$ -free. Otherwise, since L/K and K'/K are arithmetically disjoint, we have that *K'*/*K* is unramified. Hence $\pi_K \mathcal{O}_{K'} = \pi_{K'} \mathcal{O}_{K'} \neq \mathcal{O}_{K'}$, and then $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is \mathcal{O}_K -faithfully flat. Therefore, \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free if and only if $\mathcal{O}_L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is $\mathfrak{A}_H \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ -free, that is, \Box $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is $\mathfrak{A}_{H'}$ -free.

Remark 3.2. Lemma [3.1](#page-6-0) is a direct generalization of [\[17,](#page-25-17) Proposition 5.18 and Corollary 5.19], that are written in the context that the compositum *L* ′/*K* is a Galois extension with Galois group isomorphic to a semidirect product (so that it admits induced Hopf-Galois structures). This is completely irrelevant for the description of the associated order in *H*′ , and hence the proof is the same. As for the case of the freeness, our proof using the faithful flatness of $\mathcal{O}_{K'}$ is also valid in that case.

Proposition 3.3. Let L/K be a totally ramified degree p extension of p-adic fields. Let \tilde{L} be the normal *closure of L*/*K and let M be the Galois complement of L/K. Let L' (resp. K') be the inertia field of L/L* $(resp. \tilde{L}/K)$. Then:

- 1. L'/*K' is a degree p extension of p-adic fields with normal closure L (so it is Hopf-Galois).*
- 2. L/*K'* is totally ramified.
- *3. L*′/*K* ′ *is almost classically Galois with complement M.*
- 4. $H' := H \otimes_K K'$ is the only Hopf-Galois structure on $L'/K'.$
- *5.* $\mathfrak{A}_{H'} = \mathcal{O}_{K'} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathfrak{A}_H$ and $\mathcal{O}_{L'}$ is $\mathfrak{A}_{H'}$ *-free if and only if* \mathcal{O}_L *is* \mathfrak{A}_H *-free.*

Proof. Let us call $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$, $G' = \text{Gal}(L/L)$ and $J = \text{Gal}(L/M)$, so that $G = J \rtimes G'$. Since $L \cap M = K$ and $K' \subseteq M$, we have $L \cap K' = K$. Moreover, since K'/K is unramified, it is

Galois. Therefore we can apply [\[8](#page-25-18), Theorem 5.5], obtaining that *L* and *K* ′ are *K*-linearly disjoint.

1. It is trivial that the normal closure of L'/K' is L. Let us prove that $[L':K'] = p$. We write G_0 (resp. *G*₀) for the inertia group of *G* (resp. *G*'), so that $L' = \tilde{L}^{G_0}$ and $K' = \tilde{L}^{G_0}$. Since $G_0' \subseteq G_0$, we have *K'* ⊆ *L'*. Applying [\[19,](#page-25-13) Chapter IV, Proposition 2], we obtain that $G'_0 = G_0 \cap G'.$ Then,

$$
LK' = \widetilde{L}^{G'} \widetilde{L}^{G_0} = \widetilde{L}^{G'\cap G_0} = \widetilde{L}^{G'_0} = L'.
$$

Since L/K and K'/K are linearly disjoint, $[L':K'] = [L:K] = p$, as we wanted.

- 2. Since the extension L/K is totally ramified and linearly disjoint with K'/K , L/K' is totally ramified.
- 3. Arguing as in [\(2\)](#page-6-1), since L/K is linearly disjoint with M/K , \widetilde{L}/M is totally ramified. It follows that $K' \subseteq M$. Moreover, since M/K is Galois, M/K' also is. Then the fundamental theorem of Galois theory applied to the extension \tilde{L}/K gives that *J* is a normal subgroup of G_0 . Since *J* ∩ *G*^{\prime} = {1_{*G*}} and *G*₀^{\subseteq} *G*^{\prime}, we have *J* ∩ *G*₀^{\subseteq} {1_{*G*}}. Hence, the product of *J* and *G*₀^{\in} within *G*⁰ is semidirect. Now, since *L*/*K* is totally ramified,

$$
|J \rtimes G'_0| = |J||G'_0| = [L:K]e(\tilde{L}/L) = e(\tilde{L}/K) = |G_0|.
$$

It follows that $G_0 = J \rtimes G'_0$. Hence the extension L'/K' is almost classically Galois with complement *M*.

- 4. Since *L* ′/*K* ′ has degree *p*, it posseses a unique Hopf-Galois structure *H*′ . On the other hand, since *H* is a Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K*, *H* ⊗*^K K* ′ is a Hopf-Galois structure on *L* ′/*K* ′ . Necessarily, $H' = H \otimes_K K'$.
- 5. We already know that *L* and *K'* are *K*-linearly disjoint and *LK'* = *L'*. Since *L*/*K* is totally ramified and *K* ′/*K* is unramified, they are *K*-arithmetically disjoint. Then, the result follows from applying Lemma [3.1.](#page-6-0)

 \Box

Corollary 3.4. *Let L*/*K be a degree p extension of p-adic fields, let H be its only Hopf-Galois structure* and let \widetilde{L} be its normal closure.

- If \widetilde{L}/L is unramified, then the $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -freeness of \mathcal{O}_L follows the same criteria as in the Galois case [\[4,](#page-25-0) *[3\]](#page-25-1).*
- If \tilde{L}/L has ramification index equal to 2, then the $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -freeness of \mathcal{O}_L follows the same criteria as *in the dihedral case [\[16\]](#page-25-6).*

4 The maximally ramified case

From now on, we assume that $\frac{L}{K}$ is totally ramified. Let *e* be the ramification index of K over Q_p . From the proof of [\[2,](#page-25-14) Proposition 2], it is easy to see that

$$
1 \leq t \leq \frac{rpe}{p-1}.
$$

Moreover, we have that $t = \frac{rpe}{r-1}$ *p*^{$\frac{P}{p-1}$ if and only if *p* divides *t*. In this case *L*/*K* is said to be maximally} ramified.

In this section, we will prove Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(1\)](#page-1-3). The behaviour of the extension in this case is substantially different with respect to the other ones, and hence so are the required techniques. In the end, the proof will be analogous to the one for the dihedral case [\[16](#page-25-6), Section 5]. In fact, the author already proved that \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free [\[14,](#page-25-7) Proposition 7.10]. We review the ideas behind the proof for this last result, as they are going to be used for our purposes.

4.1 A method to find the associated order

In this part, *L*/*K* will be an arbitrary *H*-Galois extension of *p*-adic fields. In [\[17,](#page-25-17) Sections 3, 4] Rio and the author introduced an effective method to find an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ from an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of \mathcal{O}_L and the knowledge of the action of *H* on *L* (see [\[15,](#page-25-19) Chapter 2] for a general presentation).

Let us fix a *K*-basis $W = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of *H* and an \mathcal{O}_K -basis $\tilde{B} = \{\gamma_j\}_{j=1}^n$ of \mathcal{O}_L . For each $1 \le i, j \le n$ *n*, we write

$$
w_i \cdot \gamma_j = \sum_{k=1}^n m_{ij}^{(k)}(H, L) \gamma_k, \quad m_{ij}^{(k)}(H, L) \in K.
$$

Let us denote $M_j(H,L) = (m_{ij}^{(k)}(H,L))_{k,i=1}^n$. The matrix of the action of H on L is defined as

$$
M(H,L) = \left(\begin{array}{c} M_1(H,L) \\ \cdots \\ \hline M_n(H,L)\end{array}\right).
$$

From [\[17,](#page-25-17) Theorem 3.4], we have that there is some unimodular matrix $U \in \mathcal{M}_{n^2}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that

$$
UM(H,L) = \begin{pmatrix} D \\ O \end{pmatrix},
$$

for some invertible matrix $D \in M_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$. A matrix *D* obtained from this procedure will be called reduced. Now, the associated order $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is determined from the matrix $\overline{M}(H, L)$ as follows.

Theorem 4.1. *Let D be a reduced matrix of M*(*H*, *L*) *and call D*−¹ = (*dij*) *n i*,*j*=1 *. Then, an* O*K-basis of the associated order is given by*

$$
v_i = \sum_{l=1}^n d_{li} w_l, \quad 1 \le i \le n.
$$

Moreover, for every $1\leq i,j\leq n$ *, the coordinates of* $v_i\cdot\gamma_j$ *with respect to the basis B are given by the* product of $M_j(H, L)$ with the column vector $(d_{1i}, \ldots, d_{ni})^t$.

This is proved in [\[17](#page-25-17), Theorem 3.5] when *D* is essentially the Hermite normal form of *M*(*H*, *L*), but the same proof works for any reduced matrix of *M*(*H*, *L*).

4.2 *H***-Kummer extensions**

The other ingredient in this part is the theory of non-Galois Kummer extensions introduced by the author in [\[14\]](#page-25-7).

Definition 4.2. *Let L*/*K be an H-Galois extension of fields.*

- *1. [\[14](#page-25-7), Definition 5.1] An element α* ∈ *L is called an H-eigenvector if for every h* ∈ *H there is some* $\lambda(h) \in K$ such that $h \cdot \alpha = \lambda(h)\alpha$.
- *2. [\[14](#page-25-7), Definition 5.2] We say that L*/*K is H-Kummer if there is a finite subset of H-eigenvectors* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ such that $L = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$.

The main result in [\[14\]](#page-25-7) is the establishment of a correspondence between subclasses of *H*-Kummer extensions and radical extensions. In particular, we will focus in those *H*-Kummer extensions with a primitive element that is an *H*-eigenvector (called *H*-cyclic), which correspond with simple radical extensions (also called pure).

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let K be a field with characteristic coprime to n and let $M = K(\zeta_n)$. Let L/K be a degree n extension such that $LM = \tilde{L}$ and $L \cap M = K$. For any primitive element $\alpha \in L$, we *have α ⁿ* [∈] *K if and only if ^α is an H-eigenvector.*

A more general form of this result is stated and proved in [\[14,](#page-25-7) Theorem 6.2].

Applying Theorem [4.1](#page-8-0) to *H*-Kummer extensions, it is proved that having an integral basis of *H*-eigenvectors is a sufficient condition for freeness. Namely:

Theorem 4.4. *Let L*/*K be an H-Kummer extension of p-adic fields. If L*/*K admits some K-basis of Heigenvectors which in addition is an* \mathcal{O}_K *-basis of* \mathcal{O}_L *, then* \mathcal{O}_L *is* $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *-free.*

This is the second statement of [\[14,](#page-25-7) Theorem 1.2].

4.3 The maximality of $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$

We go back to the case of our degree *p* extension *L*/*K* of *p*-adic fields. Under the maximal ramification assumption, the extension is of the form $L = K(\sqrt[p]{\pi_K})$ (in the language of Elder [\[10](#page-25-5)], L/K is atypical). This can be restated as follows: L/K admits some primitive element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ with $v_L(\alpha) = 1$ such that $\alpha^p \in \mathcal{O}_K$ (see [\[14](#page-25-7), Lemma 7.12] for a proof). Moreover, calling $M = K(\zeta_p)$, the normal closure of *L* is $\tilde{L} = LM$, $L \cap M = K$ and \tilde{L}/M is a Kummer extension.

Applying Proposition [4.3](#page-8-1) to this situation, it turns out that the element *α* is an *H*-eigenvector, and *L*/*K* is *H*-Kummer (specifically, *H*-cyclic). Moreover, $\{\alpha^j\}_{j=0}^{p-1}$ is a *K*-basis of *L* whose elements are *H*-eigenvectors. Since in addition this basis is integral (i.e, an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of \mathcal{O}_L) we can apply Theorem [4.4](#page-9-0) to obtain that \mathcal{O}_L is indeed $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. We shall prove the stronger fact that $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in *H*.

Fix a *K*-basis $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ of *H*. Since the elements α^j are *H*-eigenvectors of *L*, for each $0 \le i, j \le n$ *p* − 1 there is $\lambda_{ij} \in K$ such that $w_i \cdot \alpha^j = \lambda_{ij} \alpha^j$. Note that the matrix $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ is obtained from removing the zero rows at *M*(*H*, *L*), and therefore is a reduced matrix. Hence, Theorem [4.1](#page-8-0) applied to this case gives:

Proposition 4.5. Let $\Lambda = (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ and let $\Omega = (\omega_{ij})_{i,j=0}^{p-1}$ be its inverse matrix. Then the associated *order* A*L*/*^K of* O*^L in H admits* O*K-basis formed by*

$$
v_i = \sum_{l=0}^{p-1} \omega_{li} w_l, \quad 0 \le i \le p-1.
$$

Moreover, the action of this basis on O*^L is given by*

$$
v_i \cdot \alpha^j = \delta_{ij} \alpha^j.
$$

With this, we can prove our claim.

Corollary 4.6. $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in H.

Proof. Given $0 \le i, j, k \le p-1$, we have that

$$
(v_i v_j) \cdot \alpha^k = v_i \cdot (\delta_{jk} \alpha^k) = \delta_{ik} \delta_{jk} \alpha^k.
$$

The bijectivity of the defining map [\(1\)](#page-2-0) of the Hopf-Galois structure gives $v_i v_j = \delta_{ij} v_j$. Then $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ forms a primitive system of pairwise orthogonal idempotents in *H*. In particular, the product of elements of *H* written with respect to this system is component-wise. Hence, the map

$$
\varphi: \quad H \quad \longrightarrow \quad K^p,
$$

\n
$$
v_i \quad \longmapsto \quad e_i := (\delta_{ij})_{j=0}^{p-1}.
$$

is an isomorphism of *K*-algebras. Now, the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in K^p is clearly \mathcal{O}_K^p K , and its inverse image by *ϕ* is the maximal *O_K*-order in *H*. But this inverse image is just the set of all *O_K*-linear combinations of the *v_i*, that is, $\mathfrak{A}_{I/K}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{I/K}$ is the maximal *O_K*-order in *H*. □ combinations of the v_i , that is, $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ is the maximal \mathcal{O}_K -order in *H*.

Remark 4.7. The proof is essentially the same as the one at [\[16,](#page-25-6) Section 5] for the case in which \tilde{L}/K is dihedral of degree 2p. In that situation, we found explicitly the values of the *H*-eigenvalues *λij* because we were aware of the explicit form of a *K*-basis of *H*. In our case, determining the eigenvalues could be possible as well by making explicit the *K*-basis $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$, but it is useless for our purposes.

5 Typical degree *p* **extensions**

Following Elder [\[10\]](#page-25-5), we will say that a degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields *L*/*K* is typical if it is not generated by a *p*-th root of a uniformizer π_K . In this case, the ramification jump *t* of \tilde{L}/K satisfies $1 \leq t < \frac{rp\ddot{e}}{p-1}$ *p*[∞]_{*p*−1}. For this class of extensions, Elder provided a description which we recall (and translate to our notation) for later use.

Theorem 5.1 (Elder)**.** *Let p be an odd prime.*

1. Let L/K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields with normal closure \tilde{L} and assume that \tilde{L}/K *is totally ramified.* Call $r = [\tilde{L} : L]$. Then there are $x \in L$ with $L = K(x)$, $\alpha, \beta \in K$ and integers $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $0 \leq c < r$ *and* $gcd(c, r) = 1$ *such that*

$$
x^p-\alpha^{\frac{p-1}{r}}x-\beta=0,
$$

with $v_K(\alpha) = c$ and $v_K(\beta) = -b$. Moreover, the ramification break of \widetilde{L}/K is $t = br + pc$.

2. Let K be a p-adic field with absolute ramification index e and set positive integers r, t with r $|p-1|$ *and* $1 \leq t \leq \frac{rp\check{e}}{p-1}$ *p*−1 *. Let c be the remainder of t mod r and let b* ∈ **Z** *be such that t* = *pc* + *br (b is integer because* $p \equiv 1 \pmod{r}$ *and* $t \equiv c \pmod{r}$ *. Let* $\alpha, \beta \in K$ *such that* $v_K(\alpha) = c$ *,* $v_K(\beta) = -b$ *and let x be an element in an algebraic closure of K such that*

$$
x^p - \alpha^{\frac{p-1}{r}} x - \beta = 0.
$$

Then $L = K(x)$ *is a typical degree p extension of* K with totally ramified normal closure \tilde{L} such that $[\widetilde{L}:L] = r$ and the ramification jump of \widetilde{L}/K is t.

In this situation, $\tilde{L} = L(y)$ *with* $y^r = \alpha$ *, and we can choose the morphisms* σ *and* τ *from* [\(3\)](#page-5-1) *to be defined by*

$$
\sigma: \quad x \quad \mapsto \quad x + y(1 + \eta), \qquad \tau: \quad x \quad \mapsto \quad x, \n y \quad \mapsto \quad y, \qquad \qquad y \quad \mapsto \quad \zeta_r y,
$$

where $\eta \in L$ *is an element with valuation* $v_{\tilde{L}}(\eta) = rpe - (p-1)t$ *and* $\zeta_r = \chi(g)$ *, where* $\chi: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow$ **Z***^p is the p-adic Teichmuller character.*

This statement is [\[10,](#page-25-5) Theorem 2.2]. Actually, the result therein is more general because it includes the case char(K) = p and it is not assumed that \tilde{L}/K is totally ramified (under Elder's notation, this corresponds to the restrictions that $e = d$ and $f = 1$). For the reader's guidance, we include a dictionary of notation with respect to that work (only for the cases where a different label has been taken).

Remark 5.2. Let L/K be a typical degree p extension with ramification break $t = br + pc$. Since $t \equiv c \pmod{r}$ and $0 \leq c < r$, we have that *c* is the remainder of *t* mod *r*. Note that this is the integer number *c* appearing in the statement of Theorem [1.2.](#page-1-0) The property that $gcd(c, r) = 1$ ensures that $c = 0$ if and only if $r = 1$, that is, L/K is Galois.

5.1 The only Hopf-Galois structure

From now on, *L*/*K* will be a typical degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields. We are interested in describing the only Hopf-Galois structure *H* on *L*/*K* (both the underlying Hopf algebra and its action on the top field).

5.1.1 The underlying Hopf algebra

We shall find an explicit description of *H* by using the classification of rank *p* Hopf algebras from [\[7](#page-25-9), Chapter 4] (which is a specialization of a classification for group schemes of prime order by Tate and Oort [\[20\]](#page-25-8)). First, let us summarize how this classification works.

Let \tilde{y} be an \mathcal{O}_K -Hopf algebra with rank *p*. Let us write $m_{\tilde{y}}$ for the multiplication of \tilde{y} , $\Delta_{\tilde{y}}$ for its comultiplication, $\epsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for its counity and $\lambda_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for its coinverse. Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let us define a map $[n]: \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{H}$ as follows:

- $[0] = \epsilon_{\mathfrak{H}}$.
- $[1] = Id_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $[n+1] = m_{\mathfrak{H}}([n] \otimes_K Id_{\mathfrak{H}}) \Delta_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.
- $[-1] = \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $[-n-1] = m_{\mathfrak{H}}([-n] \otimes_K \lambda_{\mathfrak{H}})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

It turns out that [*n*] is an endomorphism of \mathcal{O}_K -Hopf algebras for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, this assignment defines a map $[\cdot] : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_V\text{-}\text{Hopf}}(\mathfrak{H})$, which is a ring homomorphism, with respect to the underlying ring structure on $\mathfrak H$ and the ring structure with convolution and composition on End_{O_K-Hopf}(5) [\[7,](#page-25-9) (16.1), (1)]. Since $[p] = [0]$ [7, (16.1), (3)], passage to the quotient gives a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K-\text{Hopf}}(\mathfrak{H})$, which by abuse of notation we also denote by [·]. When we deal with more than one Hopf algebra of dimension p, we will denote alternatively $[\cdot]_{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Let $\chi: \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ be the *p*-adic Teichmuller character (that is, the unique multiplicative section of the projection $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$). Let $\mathfrak{H}^+ = \text{Ker}(\epsilon_{\mathfrak{H}})$ and for $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ call

$$
\mathfrak{H}_i = \{ h \in \mathfrak{H}^+ \mid [m](h) = \chi^i(h) m \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \}.
$$

Then $\mathfrak{H}^+ = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p-1} \mathfrak{H}_i$ and it has the structure of a graded ring, that is, $\mathfrak{H}_i \mathfrak{H}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ Z/pZ. This expression is commonly known as an eigenspace decomposition for \mathfrak{H} . Since \mathfrak{H} has rank p , it is immediate that \mathfrak{H}_i has rank one for every *i*. The following result reduces the problem of describing $\mathfrak H$ to finding a generator of $\mathfrak H_1$ (see [\[7,](#page-25-9) (16.13)]).

Proposition 5.3. Let \mathfrak{H} be a rank $p \mathcal{O}_K$ -Hopf algebra. Suppose that $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}^+$ is such that $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathcal{O}_K \psi$. *Then* $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\psi]$ *with* $\psi^p = b\psi$ *for some* $b \in \mathcal{O}_K$ *.*

Let us consider the case in which $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma]$ for some order *p* group Γ. Let σ be a generator of Γ as a cyclic group. Then $[n](\sigma) = \sigma^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ [\[7,](#page-25-9) (16.1), (2)]. There is a complete description of the spaces \mathfrak{H}_i available for this case:

Proposition 5.4. Let $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma]$, where K is a p-adic field and Γ is an order p group. Given $1 \leq i \leq k$ $p-1$, we have $\mathfrak{H}_i = \mathcal{O}_K \psi_i$, where

$$
\psi_i = -\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi^{-i}(m)\sigma^m, \quad 1 \le i \le p-2,
$$

$$
\psi_{p-1} = (p-1)\text{Id} - \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sigma^m, \quad i = p-1.
$$

Moreover, $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\psi_1].$

Proof. A complete proof is given at [\[7](#page-25-9), (16.5), (16.6)] for $\mathfrak{H} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$. For the general case, we note that $\mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma] = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]_i \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma]_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, so we obtain $\mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma]_i = \mathcal{O}_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma]_i$. Since \mathcal{O}_K is flat as a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, the statement follows. \Box

We can easily give a proof of Proposition [5.3](#page-11-0) in this particular case. Since \mathfrak{H}^+ is a graded ring as a direct sum of $\mathfrak{H}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{H}_{p-1}$, calling $\psi = \psi_1$, we have that for each $1 \leq i \leq p$ there is an element $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\psi^i = b_i \psi_i$ if $i < p$ and $\psi^p = b_p \psi$. What is more, in this case we are able to determine the numbers b_i mod p_i

Proposition 5.5. *Under the previous notation, we have:*

• $b_i \equiv i \pmod{p}$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ *.*

• $b_p = pb_{p-1}$.

In particular $v_p(b_i) = 0$ *for all* $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ *and* $v_p(b_p) = 1$ *.*

This corresponds to [\[7,](#page-25-9) (16.8)].

We summarize the information above in the following:

Proposition 5.6. *Let* \mathfrak{H} *be a rank* $p \mathcal{O}_K$ -Hopf algebra. Suppose that $\psi \in \mathfrak{H}^+$ is such that $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathcal{O}_K \psi$. *Then* $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\psi]$ *with* $\psi^p = b\psi$ for some $b \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_K[\Gamma]$ for an order p group $\Gamma = \langle \sigma \rangle$, *then we can take*

$$
\psi=-\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\chi^{-1}(m)\sigma^m,
$$

and in that case $v_p(b) = 1$ *.*

We apply these techniques to describe the underlying Hopf algebra at the only Hopf-Galois structure on *L*/*K* as follows.

Theorem 5.7. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p Hopf-Galois extension of p-adic fields and take the notations from Theorem* [5.1.](#page-10-1) Let H be the only Hopf-Galois structure on L/K . Then $H = K[w]$ *, where*

$$
w = y^{r-1} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m \Big).
$$

 M oreover, there is a unit $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that

$$
w^p = \varepsilon py^{(p-1)(r-1)}w.
$$

Proof. Let *J* be defined as in the paragraph after [\(3\)](#page-5-1). Since *J* is a group of order *p*, we can apply Proposition [5.6](#page-12-0) with $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_M[J]$. Thus, for $\psi = -\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m$ we obtain $\mathfrak{H} = \mathcal{O}_M[\psi]$, and there is $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ with $v_p(b) = 1$ such that $\psi^p = b\psi$.

It is immediate that $M[J] = M[\psi]$. Now, note that *w* as in the statement verifies $w = -y^{r-1}\psi$, and since $y \in M^{\times}$, we have $M[J] = M[w]$ as well. In addition, we have

$$
w^p = -y^{p(r-1)}\psi^p = -y^{p(r-1)}b\psi = by^{(p-1)(r-1)}w,
$$

and since $v_p(b) = 1$, there is $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that $b = \varepsilon p$, proving the equation for w .

It remains to prove that $H = K[w]$. First, we prove that $w \in H = M[J]^{G'}$. Indeed:

$$
\tau \cdot w = \zeta_r^{-1} y^{r-1} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^{gm} \Big)
$$

= $\zeta_r^{-1} y^{r-1} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(g^{-1}m)^{-1} \sigma^m \Big)$
= $\zeta_r^{-1} y^{r-1} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(g) \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m \Big)$
= $\zeta_r^{-1} \zeta_r y^{r-1} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m \Big) = w.$

Thus, $K[w] \subseteq H$. Now,

$$
\dim_K(M[w]) = r \dim_M(M[w]) = r \dim_M(M[J]) = rp.
$$

But also

$$
\dim_K(M[w]) = \dim_K(M \otimes_K K[w]) = r\dim_K(K[w]).
$$

Hence $K[w]$ has *K*-dimension *p*, whence $H = K[w]$ follows.

 \Box

Remark 5.8. Note that in [\[10](#page-25-5), Theorem 3.1], Elder uses the same techniques to prove that $H =$ *K*[Ψ] with

$$
\Psi = -\frac{1}{y} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m \Big).
$$

If *w* is as in Theorem [5.7,](#page-12-1) we have that $w = -y^r\Psi = -\alpha \Psi$, and hence our result is coherent with Elder's. In our case, we also give a degree *p* polynomial satisfied by our generator *w*.

Remark 5.9. Actually, it is directly obtained from the proof that $\varepsilon = b_{p-1}$, following the notation at Proposition [5.5.](#page-11-1)

Remark 5.10. Our proof for Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) remains valid if $r = 1$, which corresponds to the case in which L/K is cyclic of degree p and its only Hopf-Galois structure is $H = K[G]$. In that case, we know that $\sigma - 1_G$ is a generator for *K*[*G*] as a *K*-algebra, which is the one typically used to determine the $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -module structure of \mathcal{O}_L . However, the element *w* from Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) is another generator. Specifically, $w = \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m$.

The case $r = 2$ corresponds to the case in which \tilde{L}/K is dihedral of degree 2p. In this case, it follows from [\[16](#page-25-6), Section 3.1] that *H* is generated as a *K*-algebra by $z(\sigma - \sigma^{-1})$, where $z \in M$ is any element such that $z \notin K$ and $z^2 \in K$. However, the element *w* from Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) is not of this form unless $p = 3$ (in which case $w = y(\sigma - \sigma^2)$ with $y \notin K$ and $y^2 \in K$). The advantage of the generator *w* over the one provided in [\[16\]](#page-25-6) is that it satisfies a much simpler degree *p* polynomial, which eventually will simplify greatly some computations (the one satisfied by $z(\sigma - \sigma^{-1})$) can be found in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 3.2]). Note that since $c = 1$, *y* must be chosen as a uniformizer of *M*.

Example 5.11. Assume that $p = 3$, so L/K is a cubic extension of 3-adic fields. The 3-adic Teichmuller character $\chi: \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_3$ is defined by $\chi(1) = 1$ and $\chi(2) = -1$. We must have *r* ∈ {1, 2}. If *r* = 1, then $w = \sigma - \sigma^2$ is a generator for *H* and $w^3 = 3 \varepsilon w$. If $r = 2$, we have *g* = 2. Let *y* be a uniformizer of *M*. Then we have $\tau(y) = -y = \chi(2)y$. Thus Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) gives $H = K[w]$ with $w = y(\sigma - \sigma^2)$, and $w^3 = 3\varepsilon y^2 w$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$. By direct calculation, one easily obtains $\varepsilon = -1$ (for all cases).

Example 5.12. Let *L*/*K* be a degree 5 Hopf-Galois extension of 5-adic fields, so that $r \in \{1,2,4\}$. Let ζ_4 be the 4-th root of unity in \mathbb{Q}_5 with $\pi(\zeta_4) = 2$, where $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_5 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ is the canonical projection. Then, the 5-adic Teichmuller character is defined by $\chi(1) = 1$, $\chi(2) = \zeta_4$, $\chi(3) = \zeta_4^{-1}$ and $\chi(4) = -1$. If $r = 1$, $w = \sigma - \zeta_4 \sigma^2 + \zeta_4 \sigma^3 - \sigma^4$ is a generator for *H* and $w^5 = 5\epsilon w$. If $r = 2$, we have *g* = 4. Let *y* be a uniformizer of *M*. Then, we have *τ*(*y*) = −*y* = *χ*(4)*y*. Thus Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) gives $H = K[w]$ with $w = y(\sigma - \zeta_4\sigma^2 + \zeta_4\sigma^3 - \sigma^4)$, and $w^5 = 5\epsilon y^4 w$. Finally, if $r = 4$, we have *g* = 2, and letting *y* be once again a uniformizer of *M*, $\tau(y) = \zeta_4 y = \chi(2) y$. Hence, Theorem [5.7](#page-12-1) provides the generator $w = y^3(\sigma - \zeta_4\sigma^2 + \zeta_4\sigma^3 - \sigma^4)$, and $w^5 = 5\epsilon y^{12}w$. For all these cases, one checks that $\varepsilon = -(3 + 4\zeta_4)$.

5.1.2 The action on the extension

Consider once again a ramified degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields *L*/*K*. Once we have described the underlying Hopf algebra of its unique Hopf-Galois structure *H*, we plan to describe the action of *H* on *L*. This is closely related with the arithmetic of *L*/*K* as an extension of *p*-adic fields.

Suppose that *L*/*K* is typical. Consider the notation from Theorem [5.1](#page-10-1) and let us denote

$$
l = \frac{pc(r-1)+t}{r}.
$$

Since $t = br + pc$, it follows immediately that $\ell = cp + b$. In particular, ℓ is an integer number.

Proposition 5.13. *Let p be an odd prime. Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields with totally ramified normal closure* \overline{L} *. Given* $x \in L$ *, we have*

$$
v_L(w \cdot x) \geq \ell + v_L(x),
$$

with equality if and only if p does not divide $v_L(x)$ *.*

Proof. Let $u = -\sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m$. Given $x \in \widetilde{L}$, we have that

$$
v_{\widetilde{L}}(w \cdot x) = (r-1)v_{\widetilde{L}}(y) + v_{\widetilde{L}}(u \cdot x).
$$

Recall that *y* is chosen so that $v_M(y) = c$, so $v_L(y) = pc$. Thus, the statement is reduced to prove
that $v_M(y) > t + r_M(y)$ with a quality if and antaif u kn (x). Indeed, if this is neared for $y \in L$ that $v_{\tilde{L}}(u \cdot x) \ge t + v_{\tilde{L}}(x)$ with equality if and only if $p \nmid v_{\tilde{L}}(x)$. Indeed, if this is proved, for $x \in L$ we have $v_{\tilde{L}}(w \cdot x) = rv_L(w \cdot x)$ and

$$
(r-1)v_{\tilde{L}}(y) + v_{\tilde{L}}(u \cdot x) = (r-1)pc + t + v_{\tilde{L}}(x) = (r-1)pc + br + pc + rv_L(x) = r(cp + b + v_L(x)),
$$

and the statement follows from cancelling *r*.

Call $\pi = \pi_{\tilde{L}}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we want to find $v_{\tilde{L}}(u \cdot \pi^k)$.

First, we determine how the powers of σ act on $\bar{\pi}$. Since $\sigma \in G_t$ and t is the ramification jump of \widetilde{L}/K .

$$
\sigma(\pi) = \pi + \alpha, \quad v_{\widetilde{L}}(\alpha) = t + 1.
$$

Recursively we prove that

$$
\sigma^m(\pi)=\pi+\alpha_m, \quad 1\leq m\leq p-1,
$$

where $\alpha_m = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sigma^j(\alpha)$.

Let us write $\alpha = \varepsilon \pi^{t+1}$, $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{L}}^{\times}$. Let $\varepsilon = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i \pi^i$, $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, be the *p*-adic expansion of ε . We claim that

$$
\alpha_m = m\epsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2})
$$

for all $1 \le m \le p - 1$. We will prove it by induction on *m*.

For $m = 1$, we have that $\alpha_1 = \alpha = \varepsilon \pi^{t+1} = \varepsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2})$. Assume that for $m \ge 2$ we have that

$$
\alpha_{m-1} = (m-1)\varepsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2}).
$$

Applying σ to the equality $\sigma^{m-1}(\pi) = \pi + \alpha_{m-1}$ we obtain

$$
\sigma^{m}(\pi) = \pi + \varepsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + (m-1)\varepsilon_0 (\pi + \alpha)^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2})
$$

= $\pi + m\varepsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2}).$

Since $\sigma^m(\pi) = \pi + \alpha_m$, the claim follows.

Let us rewrite

$$
u = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi(m)^{-1} (\sigma^{p-m} - \sigma^m).
$$

Then,

$$
u \cdot \pi^{k} = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi(m)^{-1} (\sigma^{p-m}(\pi^{k}) - \sigma^{m}(\pi^{k})).
$$
 (4)

Fix $1 \le m \le \frac{p-1}{2}$. If we calculate $\sigma^{p-m}(\pi^k) = (\pi + \alpha_{p-m})^k = ((\pi + \alpha_m) + (\alpha_{p-m} - \alpha_m))^k$ using the Newton binomial, we find that the term not depending on $\alpha_{p-m} - \alpha_m$ is just $(\pi + \alpha_m)^k$ = $\sigma^m(\pi^k).$ Then

$$
\sigma^{p-m}(\pi^k)-\sigma^m(\pi^k)=\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} {k \choose l}(\pi+\alpha_m)^l(\alpha_{p-m}-\alpha_m)^{k-l}.
$$

The minimal valuation is $t + k$, and it is reached for $l = k - 1$. Then

$$
u \cdot \pi^{k} = k \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi(m)^{-1} (\pi + \alpha_{m})^{k-1} (\alpha_{p-m} - \alpha_{m}) + O(\pi^{t+k+1})
$$

= $k \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \chi(m)^{-1} \pi^{k-1} (\alpha_{p-m} - \alpha_{m}) + O(\pi^{t+k+1}).$

Since

$$
\alpha_{p-m} - \alpha_m = (p - 2m)\varepsilon_0 \pi^{t+1} + O(\pi^{t+2}),
$$

we obtain

$$
u \cdot \pi^{k} = k \varepsilon_{0} \pi^{t+k} \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (p-2m) \chi(m)^{-1} + O(\pi^{t+k+1}).
$$

Therefore, $v_{\tilde{L}}(u \cdot \pi^k) \ge t + k$. Now, assume that *k* is coprime with *p* and let us prove that $v_{\tilde{L}}(u \cdot \pi^k)$ π^k) = *t* + *k*. This amounts to prove that $\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}(p-2m)\chi(m)^{-1}$ is a unit in \mathbb{Z}_p . By definition of the *p*-adic Teichmuller character, we have $\chi(m)^{-1} = m^{-1} + O(p)$ for every $1 \leq m \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$. Then

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (p-2m)\chi(m)^{-1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (p-2m)m^{-1} + O(p) = p \sum_{m=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} m^{-1} - 2\frac{p-1}{2} + O(p) = 1 + O(p),
$$

and this is clearly a unit.

6 The structure of the ring of integers and its associated order

Let *L*/*K* be a typical degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields with Hopf-Galois structure $H = K[w]$. In this section we will work with the module structure of \mathcal{O}_L . We keep the notation that $\ell =$ $\frac{(r-1)pc+t}{r} = cp + b$ and $a = \text{rem}(\ell, p)$.

Recall from Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) that \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if and only if for some (any) $\theta \in \mathcal{O}_L$, \mathfrak{A}_{θ} is principal as an A*L*/*K*-fractional ideal. In order to study this last condition, for each *α* ∈ A*^θ* we may consider the map

$$
\psi_{\alpha} : \quad \mathfrak{A}_{L/K} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}, \n\lambda \quad \longmapsto \quad \lambda \alpha.
$$

If we fix \mathcal{O}_K -bases of $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ and \mathfrak{A}_{θ} and call $M(\alpha)$ the matrix of ψ_{α} with respect to these bases, then *α* is an $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -generator of \mathcal{O}_L if and only if det($M(\alpha)$) $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K}$. This shows an utility of finding an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of \mathfrak{A}_θ , which will be our next objective.

6.1 Determining a basis of \mathfrak{A}_{θ}

First of all, we need to choose a suitable *θ*. For *h* ∈ *H*, let us write *h* = $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_i w^i$ with *h*_{*i*} ∈ *K*. We have that $h \cdot \theta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_i w^i \cdot \theta \in \mathcal{O}_L.
$$

If the valuations of $h_i w^i \cdot \theta$, $0 \le i \le p-1$, are all different mod *p*, then this is equivalent to $h_i w^i \cdot \theta \in \mathcal{O}_L$ for each $0 \le i \le p-1$. On the other hand, Proposition [5.13](#page-13-0) gives that *w* raises valuations at least by ℓ . This leads us to set $\theta = \pi_L^a$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $t = pc + br$ be the ramification jump of \tilde{L}/K and let a be the remainder of $\ell = cp + b$ *mod p.* Call $\theta = \pi_L^a$. Then:

- 1. $v_L(w^i \cdot \theta) = a + i\ell$ *for all* $0 \le i \le p - 1$ *.*
- 2. $\{w^i\cdot\theta\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ *is a K-basis of L, so* θ *generates an H-normal basis for L/K.*
- 3. $v_L(w^p \cdot \theta) = pe + \frac{p^2(r-1)c + t}{r} + a$

Proof. Note that since L/K is typical, $a \neq 0$.

1. We prove it by induction on *i*. If $i = 0$ it is trivial (following the convention that $w^0 = \text{Id}$). If it holds for $0 \le i < p-1$, i.e. if $v_L(w^i \cdot \theta) = a + i\ell$, from Proposition [5.13](#page-13-0) we have that

$$
v_L(w^{i+1} \cdot \theta) = v_L(w \cdot (w^i \cdot \theta)) = a + i\ell + \ell = a + (i+1)\ell
$$

since $p \nmid \ell$.

- 2. From [\(1\)](#page-15-1) we have that the valuations of the elements $w^i \cdot \theta$ are all different mod *p*, and hence they form a *K*-basis of *L*.
- 3. Let *e* be the ramification index of K/Q_p . From Theorem [5.7,](#page-12-1) we know that

$$
w^p \cdot \theta = \varepsilon p y^{(p-1)(r-1)} w \cdot \theta
$$

Taking valuations and taking into account that $y^{p-1} = \alpha^{\frac{p-1}{r}}$, we have

$$
v_L(w^p \cdot \theta) = pe + pc(r-1)\frac{p-1}{r} + a + \ell
$$

= $pe + pc(r-1)\frac{p-1}{r} + a + \frac{pc(r-1) + t}{r}$
= $pe + \frac{pc(r-1)(p-1) + pc(r-1) + t}{r} + a$
= $pe + \frac{p^2c(r-1) + t}{r} + a$.

Hence, choosing $\theta = \pi_L^a$, we have by Proposition [6.1](#page-15-2) [\(1\)](#page-15-1) that $v_L(h_iw^i \cdot \theta) = pv_K(h_i) + a + i\ell \equiv$ $(i + 1)a \pmod{p}$ for every $0 \le i \le p - 1$, which are all different mod *p*. Now, $v_L(h_i w^i \cdot \theta) \ge 0$ if and only if

$$
v_K(h_i) \geq -\frac{a+i\ell}{p}.
$$

This leads us to introduce the integer numbers

$$
\nu_i = \left\lfloor \frac{a + i\ell}{p} \right\rfloor, \quad 0 \le i \le p - 1. \tag{5}
$$

In this way, we have that $h \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$ if and only if $v_K(h_i) \geq -v_i$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. We have proved the following:

Proposition 6.2. Let $\theta = \pi_L^a$. The \mathcal{O}_K -module \mathfrak{A}_{θ} is free with \mathcal{O}_K -basis

$$
\{\pi_K^{-\nu_i}w^i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}.
$$

Consequently, $\{\pi_K^{-\nu_i}w^i \cdot \theta\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ *is an integral basis for L/K.*

6.2 A scaffold on *L*/*K*

To study the $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -freeness of \mathcal{O}_L , we try to apply the theory of scaffolds from Section [2.3.](#page-4-0) In [\[10,](#page-25-5) Paragraph after Theorem 3.5], Elder constructs a scaffold for any typical degree *p* extension, which we proceed to review for our extension *L*/*K*. Note that since $\mathfrak{a} = (r \circ (-\mathfrak{b}))^{-1}$, for each *n* ∈ **Z**, there is f_n ∈ **Z** such that $n = -a(n)b + f_n p$ (here we identify $a(n) = a(r(n))$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Proposition 6.3. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields and adopt the notation from Theorem [5.1.](#page-10-1) There is a scaffold on L*/*K that consists in the following data:*

- *The integer numbers* $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *defined by* $\lambda_n = x^{\mathfrak{a}(n)} \pi_K^{f_n}$ *K .*
- *The element* $\Psi = -\frac{1}{y} \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \chi(m)^{-1} \sigma^m \in H$.

The shift parameter of the scaffold is b and its precision is

$$
\mathfrak{c} = pe - \frac{p-1}{r}t = \frac{p-1}{r} \Big(\frac{rpe}{p-1} - t \Big).
$$

Remark 6.4. In the statement of Theorem [5.1,](#page-10-1) the element $\eta \in \tilde{L}$ such that $\sigma(x) = x + y(1 + \eta)$ satisfies $v_{\widetilde{L}}(\eta) = r$ c. Therefore, it has some associate belonging to *L* and with *L*-valuation c.

Now, we use Remark [2.4](#page-4-3) to obtain another scaffold on *L*/*K* that is more useful for our purposes. We have that $w = -\alpha \Psi$ with $v_K(\alpha) = c$. Using this element for the new scaffold, we obtain shift parameter $\ell = pc + b$, and it has remainder *a* mod *p*. Then, the numbers at [\(2\)](#page-4-4) are $d(i) = v_i$ and $\omega(i) = n_i$ (where the n_i are defined as in the statement of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(2\)](#page-1-1)) for all $0 \le i \le p-1$.

In our situation, the sufficient conditions involving c at Theorem [2.3](#page-4-5) [\(1\)](#page-4-6) [\(2\)](#page-4-7) become $c \ge a$ and $c \geq p + a$, respectively. In order to characterize these conditions, we will identify the euclidean division of c mod *p*. Let us note that

$$
\nu_{p-1} = \left\lfloor \frac{a + (p-1)\ell}{p} \right\rfloor = \frac{a + (p-1)p a_0 + (p-1)a}{p} = a + (p-1)a_0.
$$
 (6)

Proposition 6.5. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields. The precision of the scaffold on L*/*K can be written as*

$$
\mathfrak{c} = p\Big(e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c - \nu_{p-1}\Big) + a.
$$

In particular, the remainder of c *mod p is a.*

Proof.

$$
p(e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c - \nu_{p-1}) + a = pe + \frac{p-1}{r}pc(r-1) - pa - p(p-1)a_0 + a
$$

= $pe + \frac{p-1}{r}pc(r-1) - (p-1)a - p(p-1)a_0$
= $pe + \frac{p-1}{r}pc(r-1) - (p-1)\ell$
= $pe + \frac{p-1}{r}(pc(r-1) - r\ell)$
= $pe - \frac{p-1}{r}t = c$.

Since the precision of a scaffold is always positive, we obtain:

Corollary 6.6. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields and adopt the previous notation. We have that* $\nu_{p-1} \leq e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c$, with equality if and only if $t \geq \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$.

Proof. Since $a < p$ and $c \ge 1$, from Proposition [6.5](#page-17-0) we obtain that $e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c - v_{p-1} \ge 0$. For the second part, if $v_{p-1} = e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c$, then $a = \frac{p-1}{r}(\frac{rpe}{p-1} - t)$, that is, $t = \frac{rpe}{p-1} - \frac{ra}{p-1} \ge$ $\frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$. Conversely, if $t \ge \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$, then $\frac{rpe}{p-1} - t \le r$, so $p(e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c - \nu_{p-1}) + a \le p-1$, whence $\nu_{p-1} = e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c$ follows again by Proposition [6.5.](#page-17-0) \Box

Hence, $\mathfrak{c} \geq a$ always holds and $\mathfrak{c} \geq p + a$ does if and only if $t < \frac{rp}{p-1} - r$. Then, Theorem [2.3](#page-4-5) in this case becomes:

Corollary 6.7. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields with totally ramified normal closure* \widetilde{L}/K . Let $r = [\widetilde{L}:L]$ and let t be the ramification jump of \widetilde{L}/K .

- *1.* $\{\pi_K^{-n_i}w^i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ is an \mathcal{O}_K -basis of $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$.
- 2. If $v_i = n_i$ for all $0 \le i \le p-1$, then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. Moreover, if $t < \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$, then the converse *holds.*

Note that Corollary [6.7](#page-17-1) [\(1\)](#page-17-2) is Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(2\)](#page-1-1). As for the freeness, in the case that $t < \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$, we need to characterize the condition $\nu_i = n_i$ for all $0 \le i \le p - 1$.

6.3 Characterization of $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} = \mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$

For later use, let us write

$$
\frac{\ell}{p}=[a_0;a_1,\ldots,a_n]
$$

for the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{\ell}{p}$.

By Corollary [6.7](#page-17-1) [\(2\)](#page-17-3), in order to establish Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(3\)](#page-1-4), it is enough to prove that $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} = \mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ (where $\theta = \pi_L^a$) if and only if $a | p - 1$. In fact, we will prove the following:

Proposition 6.8. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields and assume the notation above. The following statements are equivalent:*

- *1.* $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} = \mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *.*
- 2. $v_i = n_i$ for all $0 \le i \le p - 1$.
- *3. The period n of the continued fraction expansion of* $\frac{\ell}{p}$ *is at most* 2*.*
- *4.* $a | p 1$.

The equivalence between [\(1\)](#page-18-0) and [\(2\)](#page-18-1) is trivial. Let us prove the equivalence between [\(3\)](#page-18-2) and [\(4\)](#page-18-3). Note that the continued fraction of $\frac{\ell}{p}$ is just the one of $\frac{a}{p}$ up to the integer part, so we can reduce to this last case. Suppose that $n = 2$. We have that $\frac{a}{p} = [0; a_1, a_2] = \frac{a_2}{a_1 a_2 + 1}$ with a_2 and $a_1a_2 + 1$ coprime, so $q_2 = a_1a_2 + 1$. Since $n = 2$ we have that $q_2 = p$, so necessarily $a_2 = a$ and *a* | *p* − 1. Conversely, assume that *a* | *p* − 1. If *a* = 1, then $\frac{a}{p} = \frac{1}{p} = [0; p]$ and *n* = 1. Otherwise, since *^p*−¹ *a* ∈ **Z**, we can write

$$
\frac{a}{p} = \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{a} + \frac{1}{a}} = \left[0; \frac{p-1}{a}, a\right]
$$

and $n = 2$.

In the remainder of this section, we will prove that (1) and (2) are equivalent to (4) .

Recall that by Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) and the comment afterwards, we have that $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K} = \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$ if and only if \mathfrak{A}_{θ} is a ring. We first study this last condition.

Lemma 6.9. Let $\theta = \pi_L^a$ and $1 \leq m \leq p-1$. Given $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_K^{-v}w^{p-1+m} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$ if and only if $\nu \leq e + (r-1)c^{\frac{p-1}{r}} + \nu_m$.

Proof. Since $p \le p - 1 + m \le 2p - 1$, we can describe $\pi_K^{-\nu} w^{p-1+m}$ by using Theorem [5.7:](#page-12-1)

$$
\pi_K^{-\nu} w^{p-1+m} = \pi_K^{-\nu} w^{m-1} w^p = \pi_K^{-\nu} w^{m-1} \epsilon p y^{(p-1)(r-1)} w = \epsilon p \pi_K^{-\nu} y^{(p-1)(r-1)} w^m
$$

Now, from Proposition [6.1](#page-15-2) [\(1\)](#page-15-1),

$$
v_L(\pi_K^{-\nu}w^{p-1+m}\cdot\theta)=p\Big(e-\nu+(r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r}\Big)+a+m\ell,
$$

and this is non-negative if and only if

$$
\nu\leq e+(r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r}+\nu_m.
$$

 \Box

Proposition 6.10. *The* \mathcal{O}_K -module \mathfrak{A}_θ is a ring if and only if for every pair of integers $0 \le i, j \le p-1$ *the following implications are satisfied:*

- *1.* If $i + j \leq p - 1$, then $v_i + v_j \leq v_{i+j}$.
- 2. If $i + j \geq p$, then $v_i + v_j \leq e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c + v_{i+j+1-p}$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{A}_{θ} is a full \mathcal{O}_K -lattice of *H* and contains the identity, it is a ring if and only if it is closed under the multiplication of *H*. Moreover, this is equivalent to the product being closed for the elements of the \mathcal{O}_K -basis $\{\pi_K^{-\nu_k} w^k\}_{k=0}^{p-1}$ for \mathfrak{A}_θ . Hence, it is immediate that if \mathfrak{A}_θ is a ring, then [\(1\)](#page-18-4) holds. As for the case $i + j \ge p$, we call $m = i + j - (p - 1)$, so that $i + j = p - 1 + m$. Now,

$$
\pi_K^{-\nu_i} w^i \pi_K^{-\nu_j} w^j = \pi_K^{-(\nu_i + \nu_j)} w^{p-1+m}.
$$

By Lemma [6.9,](#page-18-5) this belongs to \mathfrak{A}_{θ} if and only if $v_i + v_j \leq e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c + v_{i+j+1-p}$, which gives the necessity of [\(2\)](#page-18-6). On the other hand, it is straightforward to prove that if [\(1\)](#page-18-4) and [\(2\)](#page-18-6) are valid, then A*^θ* is a ring. \Box

We obtain immediately that $\mathfrak{A}_{\theta} = \mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ if and only if the two implications above hold. We express this condition in terms of *a*.

Proposition 6.11. *Let L*/*K be a typical degree p extension of p-adic fields with totally ramified normal closure* \tilde{L} . Let t be the ramification jump of \tilde{L}/K , let $r = [\tilde{L} : L]$ and let c be the remainder of t mod r. Let a be the remainder of $\ell = \frac{(r-1)pc+t}{r}$ mod p and call $\theta = \pi_L^a$. We have that $\mathfrak{A}_\theta = \mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ if and only if $a \mid p-1$.

Proof. We translate the strategy at [\[12](#page-25-20), Proof of Proposition 4.a)] for cyclic degree p extensions to our case.

We shall prove that Proposition [6.10](#page-18-7) [\(1\)](#page-18-4) [\(2\)](#page-18-6) hold if and only if $a \mid p-1$.

Let $0 \le i, j \le p - 1$ be such that $i + j \ge p$. We claim that the inequality $v_i + v_j \le e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r - j)$ $1)c + v_{i+i+1-p}$ always holds. Indeed, it does if and only if

$$
\left\lfloor (i+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor (j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor \le e + \frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c - (p-1)a_0 + \left\lfloor (i+j+2-p)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor.
$$

Now, we have that

$$
\left\lfloor (i+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor (j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor \le \left\lfloor (i+j+2)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor = a + \left\lfloor (i+j+2-p)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor.
$$

Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned} \nu_i + \nu_j &\leq (i+j)a_0 + a + \left[(i+j+2-p)\frac{a}{p} \right] \\ &= a + (p-1)a_0 + (i+j+1-p)a_0 + \left[(i+j+2-p)\frac{a}{p} \right] \\ &= a + (p-1)a_0 + \nu_{i+j+1-p} .\end{aligned}
$$

Recall from [\(6\)](#page-17-4) that $v_{p-1} = a + (p-1)a_0$, and from Proposition [6.5,](#page-17-0) we have $v_{p-1} \le e +$ $\frac{p-1}{r}(r-1)c$. Then our claim follows immediately.

Now, let $0 \le i, j \le p - 1$ be such that $i + j \le p - 1$. We shall prove that $a | p − 1$ if and only if $\nu_i + \nu_j \leq \nu_{i+j}$. This inequality is equivalent to

$$
\left\lfloor (i+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor (j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor \le \left\lfloor (i+j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor.
$$

Let us assume that *a* | *p* − 1 and call *d* = $\frac{p-1}{a}$. By [\[9,](#page-25-3) Lemma 7.4], we have that $\Big|(k+1)\frac{a}{p}\Big|$ $\vert =$ j *k d* for every $1 \leq k \leq p-1$. Then,

$$
\left\lfloor (i+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor (j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{i}{d} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{j}{d} \right\rfloor \le \left\lfloor \frac{i+j}{d} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor (i+j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor.
$$

Conversely, let us assume that *a* $\nmid p-1$ and prove that there are integers $0 \le i, j \le p-1$ with $i + j > p - 1$ such that

$$
\left\lfloor (i+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor (j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor > \left\lfloor (i+j+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor.
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\widehat{(i+1)\frac{a}{p} + (j+1)\frac{a}{p}} < \frac{a}{p} + \widehat{(i+j+1)\frac{a}{p}}.\tag{7}
$$

Suppose that *n* is odd, and choose

$$
i = q_{n-1} - 1, \quad j = p - 2q_{n-1}.
$$

First, let us check that $j \geq 0$. Recall that $q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + a_{n-2}$ and that $q_n = p$. Moreover, by definition of continued fraction expansion, we must have $a_{n-2} > 0$ and $a_n > 1$. Then $p ≥$ $a_nq_{n-1} \ge 2q_{n-1}$, as we wanted. From Proposition [2.5](#page-5-2) [\(4\)](#page-5-3) we have $(i+1)\frac{a}{p} = \widehat{q_{n-1}\frac{a}{p}} = \frac{1}{p} < \frac{a}{p}$ (note that $a > 1$ because we are under the assumption that $a \nmid p - 1$). Hence, in order to obtain [\(7\)](#page-20-1), it is enough to prove that $(\widehat{i+1})\frac{a}{p} \leq (\widehat{i+j+1})\frac{a}{p}$. Indeed, since $i + j + 1 = p - q_{n-1} = p - (i + 1)$, we have

$$
\widehat{(i+j+1)}\frac{a}{p} = 1 - \widehat{(i+1)}\frac{a}{p} = \frac{p-1}{p},
$$

which is an upper bound for $(\widehat{i+1})\frac{a}{p}$.

Now, assume that *n* is even, and choose

$$
i = q_{n-2} - 1, \quad j = q_{n-1} - q_{n-2}.
$$

Note that since *a* \mid *p* − 1 and *n* is even, we have that *n* ≥ 4, so that *n* − 2 ≥ 2. By Proposition [2.5](#page-5-2) [\(1\)](#page-5-4) [\(3\)](#page-5-5), $(i + 1)\frac{a}{p} = \widehat{q_{n-2}\frac{a}{p}} \leq \frac{a}{p}$. On the other hand, in this case we have $i + j + 1 = q_{n-1}$, whence $(i+j+1)\frac{a}{p} = \widehat{q_{n-1}\frac{a}{p}} = \frac{p-1}{p}$, and the same argument as in the previous case proves the validity of [\(7\)](#page-20-1). \Box

This finishes the proof of Proposition [6.8.](#page-18-8) On the other hand, putting together Corollary [6.7](#page-17-1) [\(2\)](#page-17-3) and Proposition 6.11 , we obtain Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(3\)](#page-1-4).

7 The case $t \ge \frac{rpe}{p-1} - r$

This section is devoted to prove Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(4\)](#page-1-2). Since our extension *L*/*K* is typical, we are under the assumption that

$$
\frac{rp e}{p-1} - r \le t < \frac{rp e}{p-1}.
$$

7.1 The strategy of proof

Recall from Proposition [2.1](#page-3-2) that \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if and only if for some (any) *H*-normal generator θ of *L*/*K*, \mathfrak{A}_{θ} is principal as an $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -fractional ideal. Thus, in order to characterize this last condition, we can use the same choice of θ for both implications. Concretely, we will keep $\theta = \pi_L^a$.

Let us consider the map from the previous section

$$
\psi_{\alpha}: \quad \mathfrak{A}_{L/K} \quad \longrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}, \quad \lambda \quad \longmapsto \quad \lambda \alpha.
$$
\n(8)

for each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$. Recall that if we fix \mathcal{O}_K -bases of $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ and \mathfrak{A}_{θ} and call $M(\alpha)$ the matrix of ψ_{α} with respect to these bases, then α is an $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -generator of \mathcal{O}_L if and only if

$$
\det(M(\alpha)) \not\equiv 0 \, (\text{mod } \mathfrak{p}_K). \tag{9}
$$

If we find some $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$ satisfying [\(9\)](#page-20-2), then \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. On the contrary, if we prove that there is none $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$ with this property, then \mathcal{O}_L is not $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.

Now, we can fix the already known bases: $\{\pi_L^{-n_i}w^i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ for $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ and $\{\pi_L^{-v_i}w^i\}_{i=0}^{p-1}$ for \mathfrak{A}_{θ} (see Corollary [6.7](#page-17-1) [\(1\)](#page-17-2) and Proposition [6.2,](#page-16-0) respectively). Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$, and write $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x_k \pi_K^{-\nu_k} w^k$ with $x_k \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then,

$$
M(\alpha) = \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} x_k M(\pi_K^{-\nu_k} w^k).
$$

Let us denote $M(\pi_K^{-\nu_k}w^k) = (\mu_{j,i}^{(k)})$ $\sum_{j,i}^{(k)}$) $_{j,i=0}^{p-1}$ for every $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. We shall determine the entries $\mu_{j,i}^{(k)}$ mod \mathfrak{p}_K , which we denote by $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)}$ *j*,*i* . Using the uniqueness of coordinates, we see that

$$
\mu_{j,i}^{(k)} = C(w^j, w^{k+i}) \pi_K^{\nu_j - \nu_k - n_i}, \tag{10}
$$

where $C(w^j, w^{k+i})$ is the coefficient of w^j in the expression of w^{k+i} with respect to the *K*-basis *l*^{*w*}^{*l*} $)_{l=0}^{p-1}$ of *H*. Its *K*-valuation is determined trivially if *k* + *i* ≤ *p* − 1 and by Proposition [6.1](#page-15-2) [\(3\)](#page-15-3) otherwise. On the other hand, in order to determine the value of $v_j - v_k - n_i$, we will need a concrete expression for *nⁱ* . In order to do so, we introduce the set

$$
E = \left\{ h \in \mathbb{Z} \, \Big| \, 1 \le h < p, \, 1 \le h' < h \implies \widehat{h'} \frac{\widehat{a}}{p} > \widehat{h} \frac{\widehat{a}}{p} \right\}. \tag{11}
$$

With this notation, we have:

Lemma 7.1. Let $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. We have that $n_i = ia_0 + \left| i \frac{a}{p} \right|$ $\vert +\epsilon$, where $\epsilon = 0$ *if* $p - i \notin E$ and $\epsilon = 1$ *if* $p - i \in E$.

The proof of the result [\[16,](#page-25-6) Lemma 7.1] works for this case. It is at this point where the link with the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{\ell}{p}$ is established.

Proposition 7.2. Write $\frac{\ell}{p} = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ for the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{\ell}{p}$, and let $\{q_i\}_{i=0}^n$ be *the (finite) sequence of the denominators of its convergents. If* $n \geq 2$ *,*

$$
E = \Big\{ r_{2i}q_{2i+1} + q_{2i} \ \Big| \ 0 \leq i < \frac{n-1}{2}, \ r_{2i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq r_{2i} < a_{2i+2} \ if \ i \neq \frac{n-3}{2}, \ 0 \leq r_{2i} \leq a_{2i+2} \ if \ i = \frac{n-3}{2} \Big\}.
$$

This result is stated at $[3,$ Lemme 1] and a complete proof can be found at $[11,$ Chapitre II, Lemme 4]. This proof and a more detailed explanation of this description for the set *E* are shown in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Section 7.1]. We present here a summary of the techniques that are used.

Let us fix a circle *C* of length one (i.e with radius $\frac{1}{2\pi}$) in which we fix an origin *O* over the *X*-axis.

- 1. To each integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}$ we assign a point M_h of C in such a way that $\widehat{h^a_{p}}$ is the length of the arc from *O* to *M^h* in anticlockwise direction (see Figure [1\)](#page-22-0).
- 2. The length of the minimal arc between two of these points *M^h* and *M^k* is given by

$$
d(h,k) = \left| \left| (h-k) \frac{a}{p} \right| \right| \tag{12}
$$

(see Figure [2\)](#page-22-1). This notion will be referred to as the distance within *C* between two integer numbers henceforth.

3. When a point *M^h* of *O* lies in the arc (in anticlockwise direction) between other two points $M_{h'}$, $M_{h''}$ with $\widehat{h'}^{\widehat{a}}_{\overline{p}} < \widehat{h''^{\widehat{a}}_{p}}$, we will say that M_h is between $M_{h'}$ and $M_{h''}$. This condition means that $\widehat{h' \frac{a}{p}} < \widehat{h \frac{a}{p}} < \widehat{h'' \frac{a}{p}}$ (see Figure [3\)](#page-22-2).

With this setting, we can prove the following technical result:

Lemma 7.3. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $1 \leq h < p$. If M_h is between $M_{q_{2i+2}}$ and $M_{q_{2i}}$ for some $0 \leq i < \frac{n-1}{2}$, there is $0 \le r \le a_{2i+2}$ such that $d(h, q_{2i,r}) < ||q_{2i+1}\frac{a}{p}||$. If in addition $|h - q_{2i,r}| < q_{2i+2}$, then $h = q_{2i,r}$.

7.2 The matrices $M(\pi_K^{-\nu_k}w^k)$

In this section we determine the values of the entries $\overline{\mu}_{i,j}^{(k)}$ $f_{j,i}^{(k)}$ following the expression [\(10\)](#page-21-0). The situation changes substantially depending on whether or not $k + i \leq p - 1$, so we distinguish these two cases.

Figure 1: Point *M^h* assigned to $h \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Figure 2: The distance between *M^h* and *M^k* . Figure 3: Point *M^h*

between $M_{h'}$ and $M_{h''}.$

Proposition 7.4. *Fix* $0 \le k, i \le p - 1$ *such that* $k + i \le p - 1$ *, and call* $h = p - i$ *.*

- *1.* If $j \neq k + i$, then $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)} = 0$.
- 2. If $h \notin E$,

$$
\overline{\mu}_{k+i,i}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = p \text{ or } (k+1)\frac{a}{p} < \widehat{h_{p}^{a}}
$$
\n
$$
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

3. If $h \in E$, $\overline{\mu}_{k+i,i}^{(k)} = 1$.

Once again, the proof of [\[16](#page-25-6), Proposition 7.10] serves as proof for Proposition [7.4.](#page-22-3) As for the case $k + i \geq p - 1$, we have the following behaviour:

Proposition 7.5. *Fix* $0 \le k, i \le p - 1$ *such that* $k + i > p - 1$ *, call* $h = p - i$ *and let* $m = k + i - (p - 1)$ *.*

1. If $j \neq m$, then $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)} = 0$. 2. If $h \notin E$, $\overline{\mu}_{m,i}^{(k)}$ $\widehat{h}_{m,i}^{(k)} \neq 0$ if $\frac{a}{p} + (\widehat{k+1})\frac{a}{p} < \widehat{h}_{\overline{p}}^{\widehat{a}}$, and $\overline{\mu}_{m,i}^{(k)} = 0$ otherwise. *3.* If $h \in E$, $\overline{\mu}_{m,i}^{(k)}$ $\binom{k}{m,i}\neq 0$ if $\frac{a}{p} + (\widehat{k+1})\frac{a}{p} < \widehat{h}\frac{a}{p} + 1$, and $\overline{\mu}_{m,i}^{(k)} = 0$ otherwise.

Proof. From Theorem [5.7,](#page-12-1) we have that

$$
w^{k+i} = w^{m-1}w^p = \varepsilon py^{(r-1)(p-1)}w^m.
$$

Hence,

$$
C(w^j, w^{k+j}) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon py^{(r-1)(p-1)} & \text{if } j = m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Looking at [\(10\)](#page-21-0), [\(1\)](#page-22-4) follows immediately. On the other hand,

$$
v_K(\mu_{m,i}^{(k)}) = e + (r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r} + v_m - v_k - n_i
$$

= $e + (r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r} + ma_0 + \left[(m+1)\frac{a}{p} \right] - (k+i)a_0 - \left[i\frac{a}{p} \right] - \left[(k+1)\frac{a}{p} \right] - \varepsilon,$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $h \in E$ and $\varepsilon = 0$ otherwise. Since $ma_0 = (k + i)a_0 - (p - 1)a_0$ and

$$
\left\lfloor (m+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor i\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor (k+1)\frac{a}{p} \right\rfloor = -(p-1)\frac{a}{p} - \widehat{(m+1)}\frac{a}{p} + \widehat{i\frac{a}{p}} + \widehat{(k+1)}\frac{a}{p'}
$$

this can be rewritten as

$$
v_K(\mu_{m,i}^{(k)}) = e + (r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r} - (p-1)a_0 - (p-1)\frac{a}{p} - \widehat{(m+1)}\frac{a}{p} + i\frac{\widehat{a}}{p} + \widehat{(k+1)}\frac{a}{p} - \epsilon.
$$

Now, note that since $t \ge \frac{rp e}{p-1} - r$, from Corollary [6.6](#page-17-5) and [\(6\)](#page-17-4) we have that $e + (r-1)c\frac{p-1}{r} =$ $v_{p-1} = a + (p-1)a_0$. Therefore,

$$
v_K(\mu_{m,i}^{(k)}) = \frac{a}{p} - \widehat{(m+1)}\frac{a}{p} + \widehat{i\frac{a}{p}} + \widehat{(k+1)}\frac{a}{p} - \epsilon.
$$

At this point, the proof is finished as in Proposition [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 7.11 (3) (4)] with $j = m$. \Box

Remark 7.6. Note that if we specialize to the case that *L*/*K* has dihedral normal closure (which is the situation at [\[16\]](#page-25-6)), the description of the entries $\mu_{i,j}^{(k)}$ $j_{j,i}^{(k)}$ at Proposition [7.5](#page-22-5) is way simpler from the one at $[16,$ Proposition 7.11]. This is because our entries refer to the bases constructed with the element *w* at Theorem [5.7,](#page-12-1) which satisfies an equation of the type $x^p = \lambda x$. The entries at the above mentioned reference correspond to bases constructed with an element satisfying a much more difficult degree *p* polynomial. Something similar can be observed at the solution for the cyclic degree *p* case provided at [\[3\]](#page-25-1).

7.3 The $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -freeness of \mathcal{O}_L

In this section we carry out the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(4\)](#page-1-2).

Proposition 7.7. *If* $n \leq 4$ *, then* \mathfrak{A}_{θ} *is* $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *-principal for* $\theta = \pi_L^a$ *.*

Proof. Due to the similarity in the preparations, the proof is as in [\[16](#page-25-6), Section 7.3.1]. We present here a summary. We know from Corollary [6.7](#page-17-1) [\(2\)](#page-17-3) and Proposition [6.8](#page-18-8) that \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free under the assumption that *n* \leq 2. Let us assume that *n* \geq 3, i.e, *n* \in {3,4}.

Call $k = q_2 - 1$. For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}$, let

$$
\alpha_u = u \mathrm{Id}_H + \pi_K^{-\nu_k} w^k \in \mathfrak{A}_\theta,
$$

so that $M(\alpha) = uM(1) + M(\pi_K^{-\nu_k}w^k)$. Clearly, the matrix $M(1)$ is diagonal and $\overline{\mu}_{ii}^{(0)} = 1$ (resp. 0) if $n_i = v_i$ (resp. $n_i < v_i$). Since $n > 2$, we have that $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K} \neq \mathfrak{A}_{\theta}$, so there is some 0 in the diagonal of *M*(1). We proceed to study the matrix $M(\pi_K^{-\nu_k}w^k)$ for $k > 0$. Since $n \leq 4$:

- For every $0\leq i\leq p-1$ such that $k+i\leq p-1$, we have $\overline{\mu}_{k+i,i}^{(k)}=1$ and $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)}=0$ for $j\neq k+i.$ This is proved as in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Lemma 7.15] following Proposition [7.4](#page-22-3) with the help of Lemma [7.3.](#page-21-1)
- For every $0 \le i \le p-1$ such that $k + i \ge p$, calling $m_i = k + i (p-1)$, we have $\overline{\mu}_{m_i,i} \neq 0$ and $\overline{\mu}_{i,i}^{(k)}$ $f_{j,i}^{(k)} \neq 0$ for $j \neq m_i$. This is proved as in [\[16](#page-25-6), Lemma 7.16] following Proposition [7.5](#page-22-5) with the help of Lemma [7.3](#page-21-1) (note that we obtain $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)}=0$ also in the case that $j>m$ because our Proposition 7.5 [\(1\)](#page-22-4) is stronger than [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 7.11 (2)]).

The first row of $\overline{M(\alpha)}$ is $(\overline{u},0,\ldots,0)$, and developing the determinant by this row, we obtain $det(M(\alpha)) = \overline{u}det(M')$, where $M' \in \mathcal{M}_{p-1}(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_K)$. We number the entries of M' with subscripts starting in 1. Since $\overline{\mu}_{0,0}^{(0)}=1$ and the diagonal of $M(1)$ has some zero, so does the diagonal of *M*′ , and the non-zero diagonal elements in *M*′ are *u*.

Within the first $p - k - 1$ columns of *M'*, we have that $k + i \leq p - 1$, so the terms above the main diagonal of *M*′ are zero, and that the only non-zero terms below are the entries of a subdiagonal of 1's in positions $(k + i, i)$, $1 \le i \le p - k - 1$. As for the other columns, for which $k+i\geq p$, we already know that $\overline{\mu}_{m_i}^{(k)}$ $\binom{k}{m_{i,i}} \neq 0$ and $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)} = 0$ for $j \neq m_i$. The subscripts of all the nonzero terms correspond to (the inverse of) the permutation of $(1, \ldots, p-1)$ that sends *i* to $k + i$ if $1 \leq i \leq p - k - 1$ and to m_i otherwise, so their product

$$
b_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{p-k-1} \overline{\mu}_{k+i,i}^{(k)} \prod_{i=p-k}^{p-1} \overline{\mu}_{m_i,i}^{(k)}
$$

is the unique non-zero summand of $\det(M')$ independent of $\overline{u}.$

Since the diagonal of *M'* has at least one zero entry (and hence independent of \overline{u}), we deduce that there is a polynomial *P* ∈ $\mathcal{O}_K[X]$ of degree at most *p* − 2 and independent of *u* such that $det(M(\alpha)) \equiv uP(u) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K}$ and $\overline{P(0)} \neq 0$. Since *P* is of degree at most $p-2$, we can choose *u* such that $\overline{P(u)} \neq 0$, and therefore det($M(\alpha)$) $\not\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K}$. \Box

Proposition 7.8. *If* $n \geq 5$ *, then* \mathfrak{A}_{θ} *is not* $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ *-principal for* $\theta = \pi_L^a$ *.*

Proof. In this case, the proof is as in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Section 7.3.2]. We have to prove that given any element $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$, det $(M(\alpha)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}_K}$. Let us call $n = 2s + 2$ if *n* is even and $n = 2s + 1$ if *n* is odd. We consider the columns of $M(\alpha)$ with index *i* such that $h = p - i$ takes one of the following values

$$
h = 2q_{2s-2},
$$

\n
$$
h = q_{2s-2} + rq_{2s-1} + q_{2s}, \quad 0 \le r \le a_{2s}.
$$
\n(13)

Set $0 \leq k \leq p-1$.

• If $1 \leq k \leq h-1$ and $\overline{\mu}_{j,i}^{(k)}$ $j_{j,i}^{(k)} \neq 0$, then $j = k + i$ and $\int k + 1 = q_{2s-2}$ if $h = 2q_{2s-2}$, *k* + 1 = $q_{2s-2,r'}$ if *h* = $q_{2s-2,r}$ + q_{2s} , where *r* ≤ *r'* ≤ a_{2s} .

This is proved as in [\[16](#page-25-6), Lemma 7.20] using Proposition [7.4](#page-22-3) [\(2\)](#page-22-6), with the help of Lemma [7.3.](#page-21-1)

• If $k > h - 1$ or $k = 0$, then $\mu_{j,i}^{(k)} = 0$ for every $0 \le j \le p - 1$. This is proved as in [\[16,](#page-25-6) Lemma 7.21] using Proposition [7.5](#page-22-5) [\(1\)](#page-22-4) [\(2\)](#page-22-7), with the help of Lemma [7.3.](#page-21-1)

Then, the matrix $\overline{M(\alpha)}$ has a_{2s} + 2 columns (the ones corresponding to [\(13\)](#page-24-0)) with at most a_{2s} + 1 non-zero elements each, that are allocated in the same rows. Then each summand of det $(\overline{M(\alpha)})$ includes exactly one entry of each of these columns as a factor, and hence it is necessarily zero. \Box

Putting together Propositions [2.1,](#page-3-2) [7.7](#page-23-0) and [7.8,](#page-24-1) we conclude the proof of Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(4\)](#page-1-2).

Example 7.9. Choose $p = 13$, $e = 1$, $r = 12$ and $t = 5$, and let L/K be a typical degree 13 extension of 13-adic fields arising from these parameters by using Theorem 5.1 [\(2\)](#page-10-2). We obtain immediately that $c = 5$, and one calculates $\ell = 60$. Now,

$$
\frac{\ell}{p} = \frac{60}{13} = [4; 1, 1, 1, 1, 2].
$$

Since $n = 5$, from Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) we obtain that \mathcal{O}_L is not $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.

Note that this proves that freeness does not necessarily hold for degree *p* extensions of *p*adic fields *L*/*K* such that K/Q_p is unramified (unlike the affirmative result when $r = 2$, see [\[16,](#page-25-6) Proposition 8.1]).

Example 7.10. Let *L/K* be a typical degree *p* extension of *p*-adic fields with $p = 5$ and $r = 4$. The ramification jump of \tilde{L}/K must satisfy $1 \le t < \frac{rpe}{p-1} = 5e$ and $(t, 4) = 1$. Moreover, $\ell = \frac{15c+t}{4}$. Let us study the $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -freeness of \mathcal{O}_L for $e \in \{1,2\}$.

If $e = 1$, we obtain that $t \in \{1,3\}$ and $c = t$. Then $\ell = 4t$ in both cases. Since $\frac{rpe}{p-1} - r = 1$, we use Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(4\)](#page-1-2).

- If $t = 1$, then $c = 1$ and $\ell = 4$, so $\frac{\ell}{p} = \frac{4}{5} = [0; 1, 4]$.
- If $t = 3$, then $c = 3$ and $\ell = 12$, so $\frac{\ell}{p} = \frac{12}{5} = [2, 2, 2]$.

In both cases, $n = 2$ and \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.

If *e* = 2, we obtain that $\hat{t} \in \{1,3,7,9\}$. Since $\frac{rpe}{p-1} - r = 6$, we use Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(3\)](#page-1-4) for $t \in \{1,3\}$ and Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(4\)](#page-1-2) for $t \in \{7, 9\}$. Since the calculation of ℓ does not depend on e , for $t \in \{1, 3\}$ we have that $n = 2$ from the previous case, so \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free. As for the remaining cases:

- If $t = 7$, then $c = 3$ and $\ell = 13$, so $\frac{\ell}{p} = \frac{13}{5} = [2; 1, 1, 2]$. Since $n = 3$, \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free.
- If $t = 9$, then $c = 1$ and $\ell = 6$, so $\frac{\ell}{p} = \frac{6}{5} = [1; 1]$. Since $n = 1$, \mathcal{O}_L is \mathfrak{A}_H -free.

Hence \mathcal{O}_L is $\mathfrak{A}_{L/K}$ -free if $e \leq 2$. However, this does not hold for $e \geq 3$. Indeed for those cases we have that $\frac{rp_e}{p-1} - r \ge 11$, so the criterion for the case $t = 7$ is the one at Theorem [1.2](#page-1-0) [\(3\)](#page-1-4). Since $n = 3$, \mathcal{O}_L is not \mathfrak{A}_H -free.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Ilaria Del Corso and Enric Florit for their help and advice in completing the proof of Proposition [5.13.](#page-13-0) This work was supported by the grant PID2022-136944NB-I00 (Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación), and by Czech Science Foundation, grant 24-11088O.

References

- [1] O. Ben-Shimol. "On Galois groups of prime degree polynomials with complex roots". In: *Algebra and discrete mathematics* 8.2 [2009], pp. 99–107.
- [2] A.-M. Bergé. "Arithmétique d'une extension galoisienne à groupe d'inertie cyclique". In: *Annales de l'Institut Fourier* 28.4 [1978], pp. 17–44. DOI: [10.5802/aif.715](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.715).
- [3] F. Bertrandias, J. P. Bertrandias, and M.-J. Ferton. "Sur l'anneau des entiers d'une extension cyclique de degré premier d'un corps local (II)". In: *C.R. Acad. Sc., Paris* 274.18 [1972], pp. 1388–1391.
- [4] F. Bertrandias and M.-J. Ferton. "Sur l'anneau des entiers d'une extension cyclique de degré premier d'un corps local (I)". In: *C.R. Acad. Sc., Paris* 274.18 [1972], pp. 1330–1333.
- [5] N. P. Byott. "Uniqueness of Hopf Galois Structure for Separable Field Extensions". In: *Communications in Algebra* 24.10 [1996], pp. 3217–3228. DOI: [10.1080/00927879608825743](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879608825743).
- [6] N. P. Byott, L. N. Childs, and G. G. Elder. "Scaffolds and generalized integral Galois module structure". In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 68.3 [2018], pp. 965–1010. DOI: [10.5802/aif.3182](https://doi.org/10.5802/aif.3182).
- [7] L. N. Childs. *Taming Wild Extensions: Hopf Algebras and Local Galois Module Theory*. 1st ed. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 80. American Mathematical Society, 2000. ISBN: 0-8218-2131-8.
- [8] P. M. Cohn. *Algebra Volume 3*. 2 Sub. 1991. ISBN: 0471928402; 9780471928409.
- [9] I. Del Corso, F. Ferri, and D. Lombardo. "How far is an extension of *p*-adic fields from having a normal integral basis?" In: *Journal of Number Theory* 233 [2022], pp. 158–197. DOI: [10.1016/j.jnt.2021.06.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2021.06.008).
- [10] G. G. Elder. "Ramified extensions of degree *p* and their Hopf-Galois module structure". In: *Journal de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux* 30.1 [2018], pp. 19–40.
- [11] M.-J. Ferton. "Sur l'anneau des entiers d'extensions cycliques de degré *p* et d'extensions diedrales de degré 2*p* d'un corps local". PhD thesis. Université scientifique et médicale de Grenoble, 1972.
- [12] M.-J. Ferton. "Sur l'anneau des entiers d'une extension cyclique de degré premier d'un corps local". In: *Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou. Théorie des nombres* 14.1 [1972-1973].
- [13] A. Fröhlich and M. J. Taylor. *Algebraic number theory*. CUP. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [14] D. Gil-Muñoz. "A generalization of Kummer Theory to Hopf-Galois extensions". Preprint. 2023.
- [15] D. Gil-Muñoz. "An effective method to study the Hopf Galois module structure of certain extensions of fields". PhD thesis. Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, 2021.
- [16] D. Gil-Muñoz. "The ring of integers of Hopf-Galois degree *p* extensions of *p*-adic fields with dihedral normal closure". In: *J. Number Theory* 245 [2023], pp. 65–118. ISSN: 0022-314X.
- [17] D. Gil-Muñoz and A. Rio. "Induced Hopf Galois structures and their Local Hopf Galois Modules". In: *Publicacions Matemàtiques* 66.6 [2022].
- [18] C. Greither and B. Pareigis. "Hopf Galois theory for separable field extensions". In: *Journal of Algebra* 106.1 [1987], pp. 239–258. ISSN: 0021-8693. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693\(87\)90029-9](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(87)90029-9).
- [19] J.-P. Serre. *Local Fields*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1979.
- [20] J. Tate and F. Oort. "Group schemes of prime order". In: *Annales scientifiques de l'É.M.S.* 4 *e serie* 3.1 [1970], pp. 1–21.
- [21] R. G. Underwood. *Fundamentals of Hopf Algebras*. 1st ed. Universitext. Springer, 2015.