# Entanglement, $T\bar{T}$ and rotating black holes

Debarshi Basu\*and Saikat Biswas<sup>†</sup>

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208 016, India

#### Abstract

In this work, we investigate the entanglement structure in a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed holographic CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved angular momentum. We utilize conformal perturbation theory to compute the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy due to the  $T\bar{T}$  deformation in the limit of large central charge. In the dual bulk perspective described by a rotating BTZ black hole with a finite radial cut-off, we compute the holographic entanglement entropy and the entanglement wedge cross-section and observe perfect agreement with our field theoretic computation for small values of the deformation parameter.

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail: debarshi.128@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>E-mail: saikatb21@iitk.ac.in

## Contents

1	Introduction	3
<b>2</b>	Review of earlier literature	4
	2.1 Conserved angular momentum in a $CFT_2$	4
	2.2 $T\bar{T}$ deformation and holography	5
	2.3 Holographic reflected entropy	6
ŋ	TT defermed CET with concerned angular memorytum	0
ა	11 deformed $\operatorname{Cr}_{1_2}$ with conserved angular momentum	0
	3.1 Entanglement entropy	8
	3.2 Reflected entropy	13
	3.2.1 Two disjoint intervals	14
	$3.2.2$ Two adjacent intervals $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$	16
	3.2.3 A single interval	18
4	Cut-off AdS holography	20
	4.1 Holographic entanglement entropy	21
	4.1.1 Bounds on $\mu$ and Hagedorn behavior	23
	4.2 Entanglement wedge cross-section	25
	4.2.1 Two disjoint intervals	25
	4.2.2. Two adjacent intervals	20
	4.2.2 A single interval	21
	4.2.3 A Single interval	20
	4.5 Holographic mutual mormation and Markov gap	30
	4.4 Disjoint intervals	31
	4.5 Adjacent intervals	31
	4.6 single interval	32
<b>5</b>	Summary and discussion	32
$\mathbf{A}$	On rotating BTZ	<b>34</b>

## 1 Introduction

The Anti-de Sitter/Conformal Field Theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence [1, 2], has fundamentally reshaped our understanding of quantum gravity and gauge theories. This duality posits a deep connection between a gravitational theory in an AdS space and a conformal field theory on the boundary of that space. Over the past few decades, AdS/CFT has become a cornerstone of theoretical physics, offering insights into black hole physics, strongly coupled quantum field theories, and the structure of spacetime [3, 4]. In this connection, entanglement entropy has emerged as a crucial tool for probing quantum correlations in the boundary theory and understanding the geometry of the bulk spacetime. The Ryu-Takayanagi formula [5,6], which links the entanglement entropy of a boundary region to the area of a minimal surface in the bulk, has provided a profound geometric interpretation of quantum entanglement<sup>1</sup>. This has led to significant insights into black hole physics as well as strongly correlated systems. However, while entanglement entropy is well-suited for pure states, it is less effective in capturing the entanglement structure in mixed states. To address these limitations, several entanglement and correlation measures have been introduced in the literature, including entanglement negativity [11, 12], reflected entropy [13], entanglement of purification [14], balanced partial entanglement [15]. These measures offer more nuanced insights into the quantum correlations present in mixed states.

On a related note, TT deformation is an intriguing modification of two-dimensional conformal field theories (CFT<sub>2</sub>) [16–18], characterized by a deformation parameter  $\mu$ . The TT deformation modifies the spectrum, the entanglement structure, and the overall behavior of the theory, making it a powerful tool for exploring the interplay between UV and IR physics and the non-local effects in quantum field theories, they preserve integrability and remain solvable, with exact results available for the energy spectrum, partition function, and S-matrix [19]. Furthermore, a holographic dual for these TT-deformed CFTs was proposed [19], characterized by AdS geometries with the asymptotic boundary located at a finite radial distance. Some recent works in this direction include [20–32]. Specifically, this AdS geometry mirrors the one dual to the undeformed CFT, except that the cut-off surface is shifted further into the bulk. The proposal was further validated by matching the two-point functions, energy spectrum, and partition function of the deformed CFT with holographic computations, confirming the consistency of the duality. The entanglement structure for various pure and mixed states has been extensively explored in the context of the TT deformations [33–44].

The holographic characterization of the entanglement entropy in such TT-deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub>s with conserved charge has recently been explored in [45] utilizing the mixed boundary conditions proposal of [46]. However, a field theoretic analysis of the same is still elusive. In this article, we bridge this gap by investigating a perturbative replica technique computation of the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy. In particular, we compute the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy for various bipartite states in a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved angular momentum, both from the field theory perspective and their holographic characterization, utilizing the techniques introduced in [33, 37].

Our approach involves a perturbative analysis of the field theory action with respect to the deformation parameter  $\mu$ , under the assumption that  $\mu \ll 1$ . Within this perturbative framework, we derive the Rényi version of entanglement and reflected entropies, identifying the leading-order corrections for both measures. In this connection, we introduce a novel approach to map the twisted cylinder to the usual thermal cylinder with a standalone temporal identification, in order to evaluate specific integrals that are crucial for our analysis. This technique is then adapted to the bulk geometry to derive the appropriate metric necessary for implementing the cut-off AdS geometry. Later by connecting the deformation parameter  $\mu$  to the new cutoff surface in the dual AdS<sub>3</sub> geometry, we compute the length of the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surface and the entanglement wedge cross-section (EWCS) in the bulk dual geometry involving a non-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For further developments in this connection, see [7-10].

extremal rotating BTZ black hole. Remarkably, our findings demonstrate exact agreement between the bulk and field theory results. Expanding our analysis, we also explore the timelike entanglement [47, 48] for various bipartite states in  $T\bar{T}$  deformed CFT<sub>2</sub>, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of entanglement in such theories.

The rest of the article is structured as follows. In section 2 we begin with an exploration of CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved charge, providing a brief review of  $T\bar{T}$  deformation, its dual AdS<sub>3</sub> geometries, and the holographic interpretation of reflected entropy. In section 3, we compute the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy for various bipartite state configurations, including two disjoint intervals, two adjacent intervals, and a single interval in a finite-temperature CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved charge. We also explore the nature of timelike entanglement in these  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theories. In section 4, we extend our analysis to the bulk by computing the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surface and the entanglement wedge cross section (EWCS) within the context of cutoff AdS<sub>3</sub> geometries. Finally, in section 5, we summarize our findings and present our conclusions, drawing connections between the field theory results and their holographic duals.

## 2 Review of earlier literature

#### 2.1 Conserved angular momentum in a CFT<sub>2</sub>

In this work, we will be focusing on a  $CFT_2$  at a finite temperature  $\beta^{-1}$  and a conserved angular momentum J. The Euclidean partition function for the  $CFT_2$  is given by

$$\mathcal{Z}(\beta, \Omega) = \operatorname{Tr} e^{-\beta(H+i\Omega_E J)}$$
  
=  $\operatorname{Tr} \exp\left[-\beta_+ L_0 - \beta_- \bar{L}_0\right],$  (2.1)

where  $\Omega_E = -i\Omega$  is the (Euclidean) chemical potential for the "angular momentum"<sup>2</sup> J. Note that, in the second equality, we have made use of the following identifications for the Hamiltonian H and the momentum J with the usual Virasoro zero modes:

$$H = L_0 + \bar{L}_0 , \quad J = L_0 - \bar{L}_0 ,$$
 (2.2)

and defined the (effective) temperatures associated with the left and right moving modes as follows

$$\beta_{\pm} = \beta \left( 1 \pm i\Omega_E \right) \,. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that this state is characterized by non-zero expectation values  $\langle E \rangle$  and  $\langle J \rangle$  for the energy and momentum:

$$\langle E \rangle = E_{+} + E_{-} - \frac{c}{12} , \quad \langle J \rangle = E_{+} - E_{-} , \qquad (2.4)$$

where  $E_{\pm}$  are the eigenvalues of the Virasoro generators  $L_0$  and  $\bar{L}_0$ . This thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved angular momentum is defined on a thermal cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$  (with topology  $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ ) with a twisted identification, dubbed henceforth as a "twisted cylinder", with a flat metric

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{CFT}}^2 = \mathrm{d}\tau^2 + \mathrm{d}x^2\,.\tag{2.5}$$

For a holographic CFT, this state is dual to the planar rotating BTZ black hole (string). The twisted identifications which lead to the partition sum in eq. (2.1), are given as<sup>3</sup>

$$(x,\tau) \sim (x - i\beta\Omega_E, \tau + \beta).$$
(2.6)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In this study, our primary focus will be on a non-compact spatial dimension, which means that the quantity J is interpreted as linear momentum in this context. However, this non-compact spatial direction may be understood as the limiting case of the circumference of a very large circle. From the bulk dual perspective, we may regard this as a BTZ black string arising as a limit of a BTZ black hole. In this connection, we will continue to refer to J as the angular momentum of the rotating BTZ black hole.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The generators of translation along the x and  $\tau$  directions are given by -H and iJ.

In terms of the complex coordinates  $w = x + i\tau$ ,  $\bar{w} = x - i\tau$ , used to describe this cylinder, such twisted identifications corresponds to different compactifications of the holomorphic (left-moving) and anti-holomorphic (right-moving) sectors:

$$(w, \bar{w}) \sim (w + i\beta_+, \bar{w} + i\beta_-),$$
 (2.7)

leading to distinct left and right-moving temperatures given in eq. (2.3). For computational purposes, in the following discussions, we conformally map the twisted cylinder  $(\omega, \bar{\omega})$  to the complex plane with coordinates  $(z, \bar{z})$  utilizing the transformations

$$w \to z = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta_+}w} , \quad \bar{w} \to \bar{z} = e^{\frac{2\pi}{\beta_-}\bar{w}}.$$
 (2.8)

Under these maps, the stress-energy tensors transform as follows

$$T(w) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_+}z\right)^2 T(z) - \frac{\pi^2 c}{6\beta_+^2} \quad , \quad \bar{T}(\bar{w}) = \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_-}\bar{z}\right)^2 \bar{T}(\bar{z}) - \frac{\pi^2 c}{6\beta_-^2} \,. \tag{2.9}$$

## 2.2 $T\bar{T}$ deformation and holography

In this subsection, we briefly review the salient features of a solvable irrelevant deformation of a  $CFT_2$ , obtained by turning on a coupling through the determinant of the stress-energy tensor. Such theories, featuring the double-trace operator [16, 19]

$$\left(\mathrm{T}\bar{\mathrm{T}}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{8} \left(T_{ab}T^{ab} - \left(T^a_a\right)^2\right), \qquad (2.10)$$

are governed by the following flow equation on flat backgrounds

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{QFT}}^{(\mu)}}{\mathrm{d}\mu} = -4\pi^2 \int \mathrm{d}^2 w \left(\mathrm{T}\bar{\mathrm{T}}\right)_{\mu},\qquad(2.11)$$

where  $\mu \geq 0$  is the coupling having the dimensions of length squared, and the notation  $(T\bar{T})_{\mu}$  signifies that the operator is constructed out of the stress-tensor in the deformed theory<sup>4</sup>. The deformed theory forms a trajectory in the 2*d* theory space. The initial condition on this trajectory is given by the seed CFT<sub>2</sub>:



Figure 1: The  $T\overline{T}$  deformation is an instantaneous deformation driven by the  $T\overline{T}$  operator at each point along the deformation.

<sup>4</sup>This may be achieved by replacing  $T_{ab}$  in eq. (2.10) by  $T_{ab}^{(\mu)} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-h}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{QFT}}^{(\mu)}}{\delta h^{ab}} \Big|_{h_{ab} = \eta_{ab}}$  in the Lorentzian signature.

In flat backgrounds, the  $T\bar{T}$  operator satisfies the following factorization property [16]

$$\langle T\bar{T} \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{8} \left( \langle T_{ab} \rangle \langle T^{ab} \rangle - \langle T_a^a \rangle^2 \right) , \qquad (2.12)$$

leading to the trace-flow equation

$$\langle \Theta \rangle = 4\pi^2 \mu \left( \langle T \rangle \langle \bar{T} \rangle - \langle \Theta \rangle^2 \right) \,, \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\Theta = T_{w\bar{w}} \equiv \frac{1}{4}T_a^a$  is the trace of the stress-energy tensor and

$$T \equiv T_{ww}$$
 ,  $\bar{T} \equiv T_{\bar{w}\bar{w}}$ .

The TT deformation of a  $CFT_2$  features an exactly solvable energy spectrum. For example, for the thermal  $CFT_2$  with a conserved angular momentum discussed in subsection 2.1, the energy spectrum is given as [19,49]

$$E(\mu,\beta)\beta = -\frac{2\pi}{\tilde{\mu}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 + 2\tilde{\mu}\langle E \rangle + \tilde{\mu}^2 \langle J \rangle^2} \right) \quad , \quad \tilde{\mu} = \frac{4\pi^3 \mu}{\beta^2} \,. \tag{2.14}$$

From eq. (2.4), when  $E_+ = E_- = 0$ , we find that the ground state energy becomes ill-defined beyond the Hagedorn temperature  $\beta_{\mathcal{H}} = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi c \mu}{6}}$ .

For a holographic CFT<sub>2</sub> dual to three dimensional Einstein gravity in asymptotically AdS spacetime, its T $\overline{T}$  deformation with  $\mu > 0^5$  has been proposed to be dual to the same gravitational theory in a compact region of the AdS spacetime by pushing the holographic screen inside the bulk [19]. In other words, the holographically dual spacetime is described by the same metric, but now satisfying Dirichlet boundary condition at a finite cut-off  $r_c$ :

$$ds^{2} = \ell_{AdS}^{2} \left( \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2}} + r^{2}h_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} \right) \quad , \quad r \leq r_{c} = \sqrt{\frac{6\ell_{AdS}^{2}}{\pi c\mu}} = \frac{\ell_{AdS}^{2}}{\epsilon_{c}} \,, \tag{2.15}$$

where c is the central charge of the undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> related to the bulk gravitational constant through the Brown-Henneaux relation [52]

$$c = \frac{3\ell_{\text{AdS}}}{2G_N}, \qquad (2.16)$$

 $\ell_{AdS}$  being the AdS<sub>3</sub> radius. The subscript on the UV cut-off  $\epsilon_c$  signifies that the dual CFT<sub>2</sub> now lives on the cut-off surface at  $r = r_c$ . The above holographic proposal has passed several tests including a precise correspondence between all thermodynamic quantities, light cone deformations, exact solutions to the RG flow as well as holographic characterization of entanglement and correlation [19, 33, 35, 37, 41–43]. As a concrete example, the holographic analogue of the energy spectrum (2.14) is given by the ADM energy of a rotating BTZ black hole of mass  $M = \langle E \rangle$  and angular momentum J placed inside a box  $r \leq r_c$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions  $ds^2|_{r=r_c} = r_c^2 dw d\bar{w}$  [19, 53].

#### 2.3 Holographic reflected entropy

In this subsection, we describe the mixed state entanglement measure termed as the reflected entropy [13] and its holographic description in terms of the entanglement wedge cross-section [14,54]. We begin by considering a bipartite mixed state described by the density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$ with support on the product Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$  of the individual parties. As discussed in [13], the canonical purification of this mixed state involves the introduction of the CPT conjugate copies of A and B, denoted as  $A^*$  and  $B^*$  respectively and subsequently concocting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>The bulk dual for  $\mu \leq 0$  has recently been proposed in [50,51] through a gluing procedure.

up a pure state  $|\sqrt{\rho_{AB}}\rangle$  on the doubled Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_{A^\star} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B^\star}$ . The reflected entropy  $S_R(A:B)$  between the two parties may then be defined as the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density matrix  $\rho_{AA^\star} = \text{Tr}_{BB^\star} |\sqrt{\rho_{AB}}\rangle \langle \sqrt{\rho_{AB}}|$ , namely

$$S_R(A:B) = S_{\rm vN}(\rho_{AA^\star})_{\sqrt{\rho_{AB}}}.$$
(2.17)

The authors in [13] furthermore developed a novel replica technique to compute the reflected entropy for bipartite states in integrable quantum filed theories. In order to construct the state  $\sqrt{\rho_{AB}}$  in the replica fashion, one first considers a *m*-fold ( $m \in 2\mathbb{Z}^+$ ) replication of the original manifold and defines the state  $|\psi_m\rangle \equiv |\rho_{AB}^{m/2}\rangle$  as the canonical purification of the product state  $\rho_{AB}^m$ . Subsequently, yet another replication in the Rényi parameter *n* results in an  $m \times n$ -sheeted replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  with branch cuts present along the copies of the subsystems *A* and *B*. Subsequently, these branch cuts are sewed under certain elements  $g_A$  and  $g_B$  of the replica symmetry group  $\mathcal{S}_{nm}$ . The Rényi reflected entropy, defined as the Rényi entropy of the reduced density matrix

$$\rho_{AA^{\star}}^{(m)} = \operatorname{Tr}_{BB^{\star}} |\psi_m\rangle \langle\psi_m| ,$$

may then be obtained through a properly weighted partition function on this nm-sheeted replica manifold as follows

$$S_n(AA^{\star})_{\psi_m} = \frac{1}{1-n} \log \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_{n,m}]}{(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_{1,m}])^n} \,.$$
(2.18)

Finally, the reflected entropy is obtained by analytically continuing both the replica parameters to unity,

$$S_R(A:B) = \lim_{n,m \to 1} S_n(AA^*)_{\psi_m}$$
 (2.19)

The authors of [13] have also established a holographic dual of the reflected entropy through the minimal cross-section of the entanglement wedge, the codimension one bulk region dual to the density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$  [14,54]. In particular, the holographic reflected entropy is exactly twice the area of the minimal entanglement wedge cross-section (EWCS). In a holographic theory, the entanglement wedge  $\mathcal{E}_{AB}$  is constructed as the bulk region bounded by the extremal surface(s)  $\Gamma_{AB}$  computing the entanglement entropy for the bipartite state  $\rho_{AB}$ , and the subsystem  $A \cup B$ . In the context of AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> correspondence, extremal surfaces are essentially geodesics and a natural framework to compute the EWCS consists in embedding the AdS<sub>3</sub> spacetime in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$ :

$$ds^{2} = \eta_{\mu\nu} dX^{\mu} dX^{\nu} , \quad X^{\mu} X_{\mu} = -1 , \quad (\mu = 1, \cdots, 4).$$
(2.20)

In this embedding coordinate formalism, the EWCS corresponding to two disjoint subsystems  $A = [X_1, X_2]$  and  $B = [X_3, X_4]$  may be comprehensively obtained as [55, 56]

$$E_W(A:B) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{u}}{\sqrt{v}}\right),$$
 (2.21)

where u and v are defined as

$$u = \frac{\zeta_{12}\zeta_{34}}{\zeta_{13}\zeta_{24}} , \quad v = \frac{\zeta_{14}\zeta_{23}}{\zeta_{13}\zeta_{24}}, \quad (2.22)$$

with  $\zeta_{ij} = -X_i \cdot X_j$  being the unique (conformal) invariant associated with the pair of points  $(X_i, X_j)$ . In a similar fashion, for two adjacent subsystems  $A = [X_1, X_2]$  and  $B = [X_2, X_3]$ , the EWCS is given as [57]

$$\frac{1}{4G_N}\cosh^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\zeta_{12}\zeta_{23}}{\zeta_{13}}}\right).$$
(2.23)

## 3 $T\bar{T}$ deformed $CFT_2$ with conserved angular momentum

In this section, we investigate the effect of  $T\bar{T}$  -deformation on the entanglement structure of a thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with angular momentum defined on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . We focus on the case with a small deformation parameter  $\mu$ , such that the QFT action may be perturbatively expanded around the seed CFT,

$$S_{\rm QFT}^{(\mu)} = S_{\rm CFT} + \mu \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 w \left( T\bar{T} - \Theta^2 \right) \,, \tag{3.1}$$

where (with a slight abuse of notations) T,  $\overline{T}$  and and  $\Theta$  denote the stress tensor components in the undeformed theory. Physical quantities, such as the entanglement entropy, may now be obtained through suitable perturbative techniques around the seed theory [33,40–43,58,59]. In the following, we particularly focus on the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy for various bipartite states in a thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with angular momentum deformed by coupling with the TT operator and subsequently study their holographic characterization in the dual bulk theory described by a spinning BTZ black hole with Dirichlet boundary conditions placed at a finite cut-off.

#### 3.1 Entanglement entropy

We begin with the computation of the entanglement entropy of a subsystem A in the thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with angular momentum defined on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . To this end, we recall that the replica technique to compute the *n*-th Rényi entropy involves the partition function on an *n*-sheeted replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n$  with branch cuts present along copies of A which are sewed cyclically [60, 61]:

$$S_n(A) := \frac{1}{1-n} \log \frac{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_n]}{(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}])^n}.$$
(3.2)

In a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theory, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_n] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_n} \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{S_{\text{QFT}}^{(\mu)}[\Phi;\mathcal{M}_n]}$$
(3.3)

where  $S_{\text{QFT}}^{(\mu)}[\Phi; \mathcal{M}_n]$  denotes the action for the TT-deformed theory on the replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . For a small deformation parameter  $\mu \ll 1$ , one may expand around the seed CFT<sub>2</sub> using eq. (3.1) to obtain the following expression for the replica partition function [33, 37]

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_n]}{(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}])^n} = \left[\frac{\int_{\mathcal{M}_n} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_{\mathrm{CFT}}[\Phi]}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-S_{\mathrm{CFT}}[\Phi]}\right)^n}\right] \left(1 - \mu \int_{\mathcal{M}_n} \langle T\bar{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n} + n\mu \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle T\bar{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mu^2\right)\right) \quad (3.4)$$

where<sup>6</sup>

$$\langle T\bar{T}\rangle_{\mathcal{M}_n} = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{M}_n} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, T\bar{T}e^{-S_{\rm CFT}[\Phi]}}{\int_{\mathcal{M}_n} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_{\rm CFT}[\Phi]}} \,. \tag{3.5}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Note that the trace of the stress-energy tensor  $\Theta$  has been omitted in these expressions, since any correlation function with a  $\Theta$  insertion on a flat manifold vanishes identically [33].

As a result the leading order correction to the Rényi entropy  $S_n(A)$  due to the deformation is given by [33, 37]

$$\delta S_n(A) = \frac{\mu}{n-1} \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}^n} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}^n} - n \int_{\mathcal{M}} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \right).$$
(3.6)

In the following we specialize to a single interval  $A = [(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2)]$  on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . To compute the expectation value  $\langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}^n}$  on the replica manifold, we employ the twist operator formalism developed in [37] to obtain [60–62]

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^n} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}^n} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\langle T^{(n)}(w)\overline{T}^{(n)}(\bar{w})\sigma_n(w_1,\bar{w}_1)\bar{\sigma}_n(w_2,\bar{w}_2)\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}{\langle \sigma_n(w_1,\bar{w}_1)\bar{\sigma}_n(w_2,\bar{w}_2)\rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}$$
(3.7)

where  $T^{(n)}(w)$ ,  $\overline{T}^{(n)}(\overline{w})$  are the total stress energy tensors of *n* replica sheets,  $\sigma_n$  and  $\overline{\sigma}_n$  are the twist operators inserted at the endpoint of the interval which employ twisted boundary conditions at their locations to cyclically sew copies of the original manifold [60,61]. These twist operators are conformal primaries with dimensions

$$h_{\sigma_n} = \bar{h}_{\sigma_n} = \frac{c}{24} \left( n - \frac{1}{n} \right). \tag{3.8}$$

In order to compute the correlation functions on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ , we employ the conformal map eq. (2.8). The resulting correlation function with stress tensor insertions may be obtained utilizing the conformal Ward identities [37] and the conformal anomaly in eq. (2.9). Finally we obtain

$$n \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}^{n}} = \frac{1}{\langle \sigma_{n}(z_{1}, \bar{z}_{1})\bar{\sigma}_{n}(z_{2}, \bar{z}_{2}) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}}} \left[ -\frac{\pi^{2}nc}{6\beta_{+}^{2}} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_{+}}z\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\frac{h_{\sigma_{n}}}{(z-z_{j})^{2}} + \frac{1}{z-z_{j}}\partial_{z_{j}}\right) \right] \\ \times \left[ -\frac{\pi^{2}nc}{6\beta_{-}^{2}} + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta_{-}}\overline{z}\right)^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{2} \left(\frac{\bar{h}_{\sigma_{n}}}{(\overline{z}-\overline{z}_{j})^{2}} + \frac{1}{\overline{z}-\overline{z}_{j}}\partial_{\overline{z}_{j}}\right) \right] \langle \sigma_{n}(z_{1}, \overline{z}_{1})\bar{\sigma}_{n}(z_{2}, \overline{z}_{2}) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}},$$

$$(3.9)$$

The two-point twist correlator is given by

$$\langle \sigma_n(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \bar{\sigma}_n(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{c_n}{(z_1 - z_2)^{h_{\sigma_n} + \bar{h}_{\sigma_n}} (\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)^{h_{\sigma_n} + \bar{h}_{\sigma_n}}}$$
(3.10)

Substituting the above expression in eq. (3.9), and subsequently utilizing eq. (3.6), we may now obtain the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy by employing the replica limit  $n \to 1$  as follows

$$\delta S(A) = -\mu \left(\frac{c}{12}\right)^2 \frac{8\pi^4}{(\beta_+\beta_-)^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 w \left(\frac{z^2(z_1-z_2)^2}{(z-z_1)^2(z-z_2)^2} + \frac{\bar{z}^2(\bar{z}_1-\bar{z}_2)^2}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_1)^2(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_2)^2}\right)$$

$$= -\mu \left(\frac{c}{12}\right)^2 \frac{8\pi^4}{(\beta_+\beta_-)^2} \int_0^{i\Omega_E} dx \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[\frac{e^{\frac{4\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_+}}(z_1-z_2)^2}{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_+}}-z_1\right)^2 \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_+}}-z_2\right)^2}\right]$$

$$+ \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi(x-i\tau)}{\beta_-}}(\bar{z}_1-\bar{z}_2)^2}{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x-i\tau)}{\beta_-}}-\bar{z}_1\right)^2 \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x-i\tau)}{\beta_-}}-\bar{z}_2\right)^2}\right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Similar definite integrals were evaluated in [33, 37] through careful consideration of various



Figure 2: Structure of the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . The two green lines are identified which leads to the twisted identifications in eq. (2.6).

logarithmic branch cuts. However, in the present context, the evaluation of the above definite integrals requires a bit more machinery and a careful investigation of the twisted cylinder manifold. To proceed, we note that the twisted identifications in eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) correspond to identifying  $\tau = \Omega_E x$  with  $\tau = \Omega_E x + \beta(1 + \Omega_E^2)$  on the  $(\tau, x)$  plane, as depicted in fig. 2. This clearly leads to the domain of integration as in eq. (3.11). It is evident that, if we consider a rotation by an angle  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\Omega_E)$  and redefine the x and  $\tau$  coordinates as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\ \tau' \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \Omega_E\\ -\Omega_E & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ \tau \end{pmatrix}$$
(3.12)

then the compactifications in the new directions correspond to the standalone thermal identification:

$$\tau' \sim \tau' + \beta \sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2}, \qquad (3.13)$$

as depicted in fig. 3. In terms of the complex coordinates  $(w', \bar{w}')$ , the earlier twisted identifications transform to standard thermal identifications:

$$w' \sim w' + i\beta \sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2} , \quad \bar{w}' \sim \bar{w}' - i\beta \sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2} .$$
 (3.14)

In other words, we now have a standard thermal cylinder on which lives a CFT<sub>2</sub> at a finite temperature  $\beta_e := \beta \sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2}$  devoid of any angular momentum. A few comments are in order:

• First of all, the coordinate transformation in eq. (3.12) is essentially a rotation :  $w \to e^{i\theta_0} w$ with  $\theta_0 = \tan^{-1}(\Omega_E)$ , which is a global conformal transformation (see appendix A for more details). hence no information about the state is lost, only transformed to the redefinition of the temperature.



Figure 3: The temporal periodicity of the thermal cylinder is obtained by identifying the two green lines.

• The bulk dual of this coordinate transformation (the Banados map) does not change the bulk metric. Hence the underlying physics remains unaltered.

The definite integrals in eq. (3.11) reduces to the following in the rotated frame  $(x', \tau')$ :

$$\mathcal{I} = J \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x' \int_{0}^{\beta_{e}} \mathrm{d}\tau' \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} \left(z'_{1} - z'_{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z'_{1}\right)^{2} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z'_{2}\right)^{2}} + \mathrm{h.c} \right]$$
(3.15)

where J = 1 is the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation and  $z'_k = e^{\frac{2\pi (x'_k + i\tau'_k)}{\beta_e}}$ . The above integral was evaluated in [33, 37], with the result<sup>7</sup>:

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{\beta_e^2}{2\pi} \left( \frac{z_1' + z_2'}{z_1' - z_2'} \log \left| \frac{z_1'}{z_2'} \right| + \frac{\bar{z}_1' + \bar{z}_2'}{\bar{z}_1' - \bar{z}_2'} \log \left| \frac{\bar{z}_1'}{\bar{z}_2'} \right| \right) \\
= \beta_e(x_2' - x_1') \frac{\left( e^{2\pi \left( \frac{x_1 - i\tau_1}{\beta_-} + \frac{x_1 + i\tau_1}{\beta_+} \right)} - e^{2\pi \left( \frac{x_2 - i\tau_2}{\beta_-} + \frac{x_2 + i\tau_2}{\beta_+} \right)} \right)}{\left( e^{\frac{2\pi (x_1 - i\tau_1)}{\beta_-}} - e^{\frac{2\pi (x_2 - i\tau_2)}{\beta_-}} \right) \left( e^{\frac{2\pi (x_1 + i\tau_1)}{\beta_+}} - e^{\frac{2\pi (x_2 + i\tau_2)}{\beta_+}} \right)}$$
(3.16)

Transforming back to the original twisted cylinder and subsequently considering the Wick rotation  $\tau = -it$ ,  $\Omega_E = -i\Omega$ , we obtain the following expression for the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy

$$\delta S(A) = -\mu \frac{\pi^4 c^2}{18(\beta_+\beta_-)^{3/2}} \frac{(x_{21} - \Omega t_{21})}{\sqrt{1 - \Omega^2}} \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21} + t_{21})}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21} - t_{21})}{\beta_-}\right) \right] \quad (3.17)$$

As a consistency check, we find that for a vanishing angular speed, our result reduces to that of a thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with  $T\bar{T}$  deformation [37]. For a small angular speed  $\Omega \ll 1$  (in the bulk, this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Note that the argument of the logarithm contains the absolute value since we consider the entanglement entropy of a boosted interval as opposed to the spatial interval considered in [37].

corresponds to a very slowly spinning black hole),  $\delta S(A)$  becomes

$$\delta S(A) = -\frac{\mu \pi^4 c^2}{18\beta^3} \left( (x_{21} - \Omega t_{21}) \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi (x_{21} + t_{21})}{\beta}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi (x_{21} - t_{21})}{\beta}\right) \right] - \frac{\pi x_{21}\Omega}{\beta} \left[ (x_{21} - t_{21}) \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\frac{\pi (t_{21} - x_{21})}{\beta}\right) + (t_{21} + x_{21}) \operatorname{csch}^2\left(\frac{\pi (t_{21} + x_{21})}{\beta}\right) \right] \right)$$
(3.18)

In the following, we analyze the behavior of (correction to) the entanglement entropy for spacelike and timelike subsystems separately.

**Purely spacelike interval:** We first consider a purely spatial interval  $A = [(x_1, t), (x_2, t)]$ . In this case, the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy is given by

$$\delta S(A) = -\frac{\mu \pi^4 c^2 x_{21}}{18\beta^3 (1-\Omega^2)^2} \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi x_{21}}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi x_{21}}{\beta_-}\right) \right]$$
(3.19)

which is always negative as the quantity inside the square braces never vanishes (recall that  $\Omega < 1$ ). In fig. 4, we have plotted  $\delta S(A)$  with respect to the subsystem size (right panel) and the angular speed  $\Omega$  (left panel).



Figure 4: Leading order correction for purely spacelike interval, in the left pannel  $|x_{21}| = 20$  and in the right pannel  $\Omega = 0.5$ . We have set  $\beta = 2\pi$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and c = 1.

**Purely timelike interval:** Next we consider a purely timelike interval  $A = [(x, t_1), (x, t_2)]$ , for which  $\delta S(A)$  is given as

$$\delta S^{(\mathrm{T})}(A) = \frac{\mu \pi^4 c^2 \Omega t_{21}}{18\beta^3 (1-\Omega^2)^2} \frac{\sinh\left[\frac{2\pi t_{21}\Omega}{\beta(1-\Omega^2)}\right]}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_-}\right)}.$$
(3.20)

Interestingly, the leading correction to the timelike entanglement is non-vanishing for our thermal cylinder due to the presence of angular momentum. Furthermore, contrary to the case of space-like interval, we find that  $\delta S(A)$  is always positive<sup>8</sup>. To our knowledge, this is the first instance of a positive (leading) correction to the entanglement entropy due to  $T\bar{T}$ -deformation [33, 58]. We will have more to say about this behavior in subsection 4.1. In fig. 5, we have plotted  $\delta S(A)$  with respect to the subsystem size (right panel) and the angular speed  $\Omega$  (left panel).

Although the leading order corrections for a purely timelike interval remains positive, we have verified that the total entanglement entropy for the undeformed  $CFT_2$  is always greater than that with a  $T\bar{T}$  deformation (cf. fig. 6).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>However, for a very large timelike interval  $\delta S(A)$  becomes vanishingly small.



Figure 5: Leading order correction for purely timelike interval, in the left pannel  $|t_{21}| = 2$  and in the right pannel  $\Omega = 0.6$ . We have set  $\beta = 2\pi$ ,  $\mu = 1$  and c = 1.



Figure 6: Comparison of the variation of entanglement entropy with subsystem size, for an undeformed  $CFT_2$ and that with  $T\bar{T}$  deformation: left- spacelike interval, right-timelike interval. We have set  $\Omega = 0.1, \beta = 2\pi$  and c = 1.

#### 3.2 Reflected entropy

In this subsection, we compute the reflected entropy for various bipartite states in a TT-deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved angular momentum, defined on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . A replica technique to compute the reflected entropy in such T $\bar{T}$ -deformed theories was developed in [43,59], which we briefly recapitulate below. The partition function computing the Rényi reflected entropy on the *nm*-sheeted replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  may be written as follows

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_{n,m}] = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_{\text{QFT}}^{(\mu)}[\Phi;\mathcal{M}_{n,m}]},\tag{3.21}$$

with  $S_{\text{QFT}}^{(\mu)}[\Phi; \mathcal{M}_{n,m}]$  being the action of the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theory on the replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{nm}$ . As earlier, we consider an infinitesimal deformation around the seed CFT<sub>2</sub>, with  $\mu \ll 1$ . Then the (normalized) replica partition function may be expanded around the seed theory to obtain

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_{n,m}]}{(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{M}_{1,m}])^n} = \left[\frac{\int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} \mathcal{D}\Phi \, e^{-S_{\mathrm{CFT}}[\Phi]}}{\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,m}} \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{-S_{\mathrm{CFT}}[\Phi]}\right)^n}\right] \left(1 - \mu \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} \langle T\bar{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} + n\mu \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,m}} \langle T\bar{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{1,m}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\mu^2\right)\right)$$
(3.22)

with  $\langle T\bar{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}}$  bearing an expression similar to eq. (3.5). Hence, the leading order correction to the Rényi reflected entropy may be written as follows [43, 59]

$$\delta S_n (AA^*)_{\psi_m} = \frac{\mu}{n-1} \left( \int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} - n \int_{\mathcal{M}_{1,m}} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{1,m}} \right) \,. \tag{3.23}$$

Subsequently, performing the analytic continuation of the replica parameters  $n, m \to 1$ , the leading correction to the reflected entropy is obtained.

The expectation value of the TT operator on the replica manifold for a generic bipartite state may be obtained by utilizing the twist operator formalism similar to case of the entanglement entropy as follows [59]

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} \langle T\overline{T} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}_{n,m}} = \frac{1}{nm} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \frac{\langle T^{(nm)}(w) \overline{T}^{(nm)}(\bar{w}) \Pi_i \sigma_i(w_i, \bar{w}_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}}{\langle \Pi_i \sigma_i(w_i, \bar{w}_i) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}} , \qquad (3.24)$$

where  $T^{(nm)}$  is the total energy tensor on the *nm*-replicated manifold and  $\sigma_i$  are appropriate twist operators inserted at the endpoints of the subsystems A and B. Subsequently, the correlation function with T and  $\overline{T}$  insertions may be evaluated by employing the conformal Ward identities. Similarly the expectations value for  $T\overline{T}$  can be obtained for the replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_m$ .

#### 3.2.1 Two disjoint intervals

We begin with the case of two boosted disjoint intervals  $A = [(x_1, \tau_1), (x_2, \tau_2)]$  and  $B = [(x_3, \tau_3), (x_4, \tau_4)]$ . Upon utilizing the conformal map (2.8) to the complex plane, the relevant four-point twist correlators have the following large central charge structure [13, 63]

where  $\eta = \frac{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_4)}{(z_1-z_3)(z_2-z_4)}$  is the conformal cross-ratio,  $h_{g_A^{-1}g_B}$  is the conformal dimension corresponding to the dominant (composite) operator  $\sigma_{g_A^{-1}g_B}$  exchanged between  $\sigma_{g_A^{-1}}$  and  $\sigma_{g_B}$ . The four-point twist correlator on the *m*-sheeted replica manifold may be readily obtained from the above expression as

$$\log\langle\sigma_m\rangle \equiv \log\langle\sigma_{g_m}(z_1)\sigma_{g_m^{-1}}(z_2)\sigma_{g_m}(z_3)\sigma_{g_m^{-1}}(z_4)\rangle = \lim_{n \to 1} \log\langle\sigma\rangle.$$
(3.26)

The conformal dimensions of these twist operators are given by [13]

$$h_{g_A} = h_{g_B} = nh_{g_m} = \frac{nc}{24} \left( m - \frac{1}{m} \right) \quad , \quad h_{g_A^{-1}g_B} = \frac{c}{12} \left( n - \frac{1}{n} \right) \,. \tag{3.27}$$

Now utilizing eqs. (3.24) and (3.25) and the conformal Ward identities, we may obtain the leading order correction to the Rényi reflected entropy from eq. (3.23) as follows [43]

$$\delta S_{n} \left(AA^{*}\right)_{\psi_{m}} = \frac{\mu}{n-1} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ -\frac{2\pi^{4}c}{3\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}} \left( z^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{h_{g_{i}} - n h_{g_{m}}}{(z-z_{i})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{z_{i}}(\log\langle\sigma\rangle - n \log\langle\sigma_{m}\rangle)}{z-z_{i}} \right) \right) + \bar{z}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_{i}} - n \bar{h}_{g_{m}}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{i})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_{i}}(\log\langle\sigma\rangle - n \log\langle\sigma_{m}\rangle)}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{i}} \right) \right) + \frac{16\pi^{2}}{\beta_{+}\beta_{-}} m \left( \frac{z^{2}\bar{z}^{2}}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \left( \frac{h_{g_{i}}}{(z-z_{i})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{z_{i}}\log\langle\sigma\rangle}{z-z_{i}} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_{j}}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{j})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_{j}}\log\langle\sigma\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{j}} \right) - n z^{2}\bar{z}^{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{4} \left( \frac{h_{g_{m}}}{(z-z_{i})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{z_{i}}\log\langle\sigma_{m}\rangle}{z-z_{i}} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_{m}}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{j})^{2}} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_{j}}\log\langle\sigma_{m}\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_{j}} \right) \right) \right].$$

$$(3.28)$$

Finally, taking the replica limit  $n, m \to 1$  we may obtain the leading correction to the reflected entropy for the present configuration as follows

$$\delta S_R \left( A: B \right) = -\frac{\pi^4 c^2 \mu}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \int_0^{i\Omega_E} \mathrm{d}x \int_0^\beta \mathrm{d}\tau \left( \frac{z^2 \sqrt{(z_1 - z_2)(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)(z_3 - z_4)}}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)(z - z_3)(z - z_4)} + \mathrm{h.c.} \right), \tag{3.29}$$

where,  $z = e^{\frac{2\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_+}}$ ,  $\bar{z} = e^{\frac{2\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_-}}$ . In order to compute the above definite integral, we again employ the rotated frame  $(x', \tau')$  given in eq. (3.12). As a result, the definite integral under consideration reduces to (recall that the Jacobian of transformation is unity)

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{disj}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x' \int_{0}^{\beta_{e}} \mathrm{d}\tau' \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} \sqrt{(z_{1}'-z_{2}')(z_{1}'-z_{3}')(z_{2}'-z_{4}')(z_{3}'-z_{4}')}}{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z_{1}'\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z_{2}'\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z_{3}'\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}} - z_{4}'\right)} + \text{c.c.} \right]$$

As earlier, we may evaluate this integral utilizing the tools developed in [33, 37] with a careful consideration of various branch cuts, resulting in

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{disj}} = \frac{\beta_e^2}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(z_1 - z_2)(z_3 - z_4)}{(z_1 - z_3)(z_2 - z_4)}} \left( \frac{z_2 + z_1}{z_2 - z_1} \log \left| \frac{z_2'}{z_1'} \right| + \frac{z_4 + z_3}{z_4 - z_3} \log \left| \frac{z_4'}{z_3'} \right| - \frac{z_4 + z_1}{z_4 - z_1} \log \left| \frac{z_4'}{z_1'} \right| - \frac{z_3 + z_2}{z_3 - z_2} \log \left| \frac{z_3'}{z_2'} \right| \right) \\
+ \frac{\beta_e^2}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)(\bar{z}_3 - \bar{z}_4)}{(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_3)(\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_4)}} \left( \frac{\bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_1} \log \left| \frac{\bar{z}_2'}{\bar{z}_1'} \right| + \frac{\bar{z}_4 + \bar{z}_3}{\bar{z}_4 - \bar{z}_3} \log \left| \frac{\bar{z}_4'}{\bar{z}_3'} \right| - \frac{\bar{z}_4 + \bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_4 - \bar{z}_1} \log \left| \frac{\bar{z}_4'}{\bar{z}_1'} \right| - \frac{\bar{z}_3 + \bar{z}_2}{\bar{z}_3 - \bar{z}_2} \log \left| \frac{\bar{z}_3'}{\bar{z}_2'} \right| \right) \\ (3.30)$$

Transforming back to the original unprimed coordinates and subsequently performing the Wick rotation  $\tau = -it$ ,  $\Omega_E = -i\Omega$ , the leading order correction to the reflected entropy due to the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformation may be written as

$$\delta S_R(A:B) = -\frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu}{18\beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \sqrt{\eta} \left( \mathcal{P}_{12} + \mathcal{P}_{34} - \mathcal{P}_{14} - \mathcal{P}_{23} \right) - \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu}{18\beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \sqrt{\bar{\eta}} \left( \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{12} + \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{34} - \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{14} - \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{23} \right) , \qquad (3.31)$$

where we have defined the functions

F

$$\mathcal{P}_{ij} = (x_{ij} - t_{ij}\Omega) \coth\left(\frac{\pi(x_{ij} + t_{ij})}{\beta_+}\right) \quad , \quad \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} = (x_{ij} - t_{ij}\Omega) \coth\left(\frac{\pi(x_{ij} - t_{ij})}{\beta_-}\right) \tag{3.32}$$

Furthermore, in eq. (3.30),  $\eta, \bar{\eta}$  denote the finite temperature cross-ratios:

$$\eta = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}+t_{21})}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{43}+t_{43})}{\beta_{+}}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}+t_{31})}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{42}+t_{42})}{\beta_{+}}\right)} , \quad \bar{\eta} = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}-t_{21})}{\beta_{-}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{43}-t_{43})}{\beta_{-}}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}-t_{31})}{\beta_{-}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{42}-t_{42})}{\beta_{-}}\right)}$$
(3.33)

The leading correction to the reflected entropy between two boosted disjoint intervals in a  $T\bar{T}$ deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> defined on a cylinder with temporal compactification may be reproduced from the above expression simply by setting  $\beta_+ = \beta_-$  or  $\Omega = 0$ . A direct comparison to the results reported in [43] serves as a strong consistency check of the above analysis.

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider the case of two purely timelike intervals  $A = [(x, t_1), (x, t_2)]$  and  $B = [(x, t_3), (x, t_4)]$ . Once again, due to the presence of conserved angular momentum, we find a non-trivial leading correction to the reflected entropy:

$$\delta S_R(A:B) = \frac{\mu \Omega \pi^4 \beta c^2 \sqrt{\eta_t}}{18\beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left[ t_{12} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{12}}{\beta_+}\right) + t_{34} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{34}}{\beta_+}\right) - t_{14} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{14}}{\beta_+}\right) - t_{23} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{23}}{\beta_+}\right) \right] \\ + \frac{\mu \Omega \pi^4 \beta c^2 \sqrt{\eta_t}}{18\beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left[ t_{12} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{12}}{\beta_-}\right) + t_{34} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{34}}{\beta_-}\right) - t_{14} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{14}}{\beta_-}\right) - t_{23} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{23}}{\beta_-}\right) \right] \right]$$

$$(3.34)$$

with

$$\eta_t = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_+}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{43}}{\beta_+}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_+}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{42}}{\beta_+}\right)} \quad , \quad \bar{\eta}_t = \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_-}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{43}}{\beta_-}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_-}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{42}}{\beta_-}\right)} \,. \tag{3.35}$$

Evidently, for a vanishing angular speed  $\Omega$ , the leading order correction vanishes identically conforming to earlier literature [43]. However, contrary to the correction to timelike entanglement entropy, we have found that the leading correction to the reflected entropy for timelike subsystems is not always positive.

#### 3.2.2 Two adjacent intervals

In this subsection, we focus on the correlation between two adjacent Lorentz boosted intervals  $A = [(x_1, \tau_1), (x_2, \tau_2)]$  and  $B = [(x_2, \tau_2), (x_3, \tau_3)]$ . The relevant correlation functions for the twist operators on the replica manifolds  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{1,m}$  are given, respectively, by [13]

$$\langle \sigma \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma_{g_A}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \sigma_{g_A^{-1}g_B}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \sigma_{g_B^{-1}}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \rangle$$

$$= (2m)^{-4h_{g_A^{-1}g_B}} |z_1 - z_2|^{-4h_{g_A}} |z_2 - z_3|^{-4h_{g_A}} |z_1 - z_3|^{4h_{g_A} - 4h_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}$$

$$(3.36)$$

$$\langle \sigma_m \rangle \equiv \langle \sigma_{g_m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \sigma_{g_m^{-1}}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \rangle = |z_1 - z_2|^{-2h_{g_m}},$$
(3.37)

where the conformal dimensions are given in eq. (3.27). Now utilizing the above expression in eq. (3.24), the expectation value of the  $T\bar{T}$  operator on the replica manifolds may be readily computed through the conformal Ward identities and the conformal map (2.8) to the complex plane. The leading order correction to the Rényi reflected entropy for the two adjacent intervals may now be computed from eq. (3.23) In this case using eq. (3.24) as follows [43],

$$\delta S_n \left(AA^{\star}\right)_{\psi_m} = \frac{\mu}{n-1} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ -\frac{2\pi^4 c}{3\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( z^2 \left( \frac{h_{g_i}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) + \bar{z}^2 \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_i}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i} \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{i=1,3} \left( n \, z^2 \left( \frac{h_{g_m}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) - n \, \bar{z}^2 \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_m}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i} \right) \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{16\pi^2}{\beta_+\beta_- m} \left( \frac{z^2 \bar{z}^2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \left( \frac{h_{g_i}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_j}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_j} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j} \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. - n \, z^2 \bar{z}^2 \sum_{i,j=1,3} \left( \frac{h_{g_m}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_m}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_j} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j} \right) \right) \right],$$

$$(3.38)$$

Finally, taking the replica limit  $n, m \to 1$ , we may obtain the leading order correction to the reflected entropy from eq. (3.38) in terms of the following integral on the twisted cylinder,

$$\delta S_R(A:B) = -\frac{\pi^4 c^2 \mu}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^2 w \left( \frac{z^2 (z_2 - z_1)(z_2 - z_3)}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)^2 (z - z_3)} + \mathrm{c.c.} \right) \,. \tag{3.39}$$

In order to simplify the computation of the above integral on the twisted cylinder, we once again employ the rotated frame given in eq. (3.12) to obtain

$$\mathcal{I}_{adj} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \int_{0}^{\beta_{e}} d\tau' \left( \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}}(z'_{2}-z'_{1})(z'_{2}-z'_{3})}{\left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}}-z'_{1}\right) \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}}-z'_{2}\right)^{2} \left(e^{\frac{2\pi(x'+i\tau')}{\beta_{e}}}-z'_{3}\right)} + c.c.\right).$$
(3.40)

We may now evaluate the above definite integral on the regular cylinder by utilizing the techniques developed in [33, 37]. After carefully addressing the branch cuts that arise during the integration, the result we obtain for the integration is given as

$$\mathcal{I}_{adj} = \frac{\beta_e}{2\pi} \left( \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_2} + \frac{z_1}{z_3 - z_1} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_3 - \bar{z}_1} \right) \log \left| \frac{z_2'}{z_1'} \right| - \frac{\beta_e}{2\pi} \left( \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_3} + \frac{z_2}{z_3 - z_2} + \frac{\bar{z}_1}{\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_3} + \frac{\bar{z}_2}{\bar{z}_3 - \bar{z}_2} \right) \log \left| \frac{z_3'}{z_2'} \right|.$$
(3.41)

Transforming back to the original unprimed coordinates and subsequently performing the Wick rotation  $\tau = -it$ ,  $\Omega_E = -i\Omega$ , the leading order correction to the reflected entropy due to the TT-deformation may be written as

$$\delta S_R \left( A:B \right) = -\frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu}{18 \beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left( x_{21} - t_{21} \Omega \right) \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{21} + t_{21}\right)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{21} - t_{21}\right)}{\beta_-}\right) \right) \\ -\frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu}{18 \beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left( x_{32} - t_{32} \Omega \right) \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{32} + t_{32}\right)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{32} - t_{32}\right)}{\beta_-}\right) \right) \\ +\frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu}{18 \beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left( x_{31} - t_{31} \Omega \right) \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{31} + t_{31}\right)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{31} - t_{31}\right)}{\beta_-}\right) \right).$$
(3.42)

The leading correction to the reflected entropy between two boosted adjacent intervals in a TTdeformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> defined on a cylinder with temporal compactification may be reproduced from the above expression simply by setting  $\beta_+ = \beta_-$  or  $\Omega = 0$ . A direct comparison to the results reported in [43] serves as a strong consistency check of the above analysis.

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider two purely timelike intervals  $A = [(x, t_1), (x, t_2)]$  and  $B = [(x, t_2), (x, t_3)]$ in the presence of conserved angular momentum. Following the above analysis for boosted spacelike intervals, we may compute the correction to the reflected entropy arising from the  $T\bar{T}$ deformation, which is expressed as follows,

$$\delta S_R^{(T)} = \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2 \mu \Omega}{18 \beta_-^2 \beta_+^2} \left[ t_{21} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) + t_{32} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) - t_{31} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) \right] \right].$$

$$(3.43)$$

Clearly the above expression vanishes identically for zero angular momentum which aligns with the result obtained earlier [43].

#### 3.2.3 A single interval

Finally, we consider a Lorentz boosted single spacelike interval  $A \equiv [(0,0), (\ell,t)]$  in a CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved charge on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ , deformed by the TT operator. A naive guess for the relevant correlator is a two point function of twist operators inserted at the endpoints of the subsystem A. However, as discussed in [64, 65] in the context of an undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub>, this requires tracing out an infinite branch cut<sup>9</sup> present along the complement  $A^c$ . A careful investigation of the replica manifold reveals that such a pathology stems from a non-trivial gluing of the replica sheets for the present configuration and hence requires the introduction of auxiliary subsystems [64]. For the case of a deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> where the replica manifold is essentially modified by the insertion of stress energy tensor components, one may utilize a similar construction as in [64] and introduce two auxiliary subsystems  $B_1 \equiv [(-L, -T), (0, 0)]$ and  $B_2 \equiv [(\ell, t), (L, T)]$ , adjacent to the interval A on the either sides. The leading order correction to the reflected entropy may then be obtained with this modified setup, taking the bipartite limit  $B_1 \cup B_2 \equiv B \to A^c (L \to \infty)$  as the end of calculations.

For this configuration where the interval A is sandwiched between  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , the relevant four point correlation function of twist operators on the replica manifold  $\mathcal{M}_{n,m}$  is given as [64,65]

$$\langle \sigma_{g_B}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \sigma_{g_B^{-1}g_A}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \sigma_{g_A^{-1}g_B}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \sigma_{g_B^{-1}}(z_4, \bar{z}_4) \rangle = \frac{1}{z_{14}^{2h_{g_B}} z_{23}^{2h_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{mn}(\eta)}{\eta^{h_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}} \\ \times \frac{1}{\bar{z}_{14}^{2\bar{h}_{g_B}} \bar{z}_{23}^{2\bar{h}_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}(\eta)}{\bar{\eta}^{\bar{h}_{g_A^{-1}g_B}}} ,$$
(3.44)

where,  $\eta = \frac{(z_1-z_2)(z_3-z_4)}{(z_1-z_3)(z_2-z_4)}$  and  $\bar{\eta} = \frac{(\bar{z}_1-\bar{z}_2)(\bar{z}_3-\bar{z}_4)}{(\bar{z}_1-\bar{z}_3)(\bar{z}_2-\bar{z}_4)}$  are the cross ratios. The functions  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}(\eta)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}(\bar{\eta})$  are non-universal and depend on the full operator context of the theory. These have the following limiting values [64],

$$\lim_{\eta \to 1} \mathcal{F}_{mn}(\eta) = \lim_{\bar{\eta} \to 1} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}(\bar{\eta}) = 1 , \quad \mathcal{F}_{mn}(0) = \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}(0) = C_{mn} , \qquad (3.45)$$

 $C_{mn}$  being a non-universal OPE coefficient. Now utilizing eqs. (2.8), (3.23) and (3.24), the leading order correction to the Rényi reflected entropy between A and B may be found as follows,

$$\delta S_n \left(AA^{\star}\right)_{\psi_m} = \frac{\mu}{n-1} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left[ -\frac{2\pi^4 c}{3\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \left( z^2 \sum_{i=1}^4 \left( \frac{h_{g_i}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) + \bar{z}^2 \sum_{i=1}^4 \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_i}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i} \right) \right) \right] \\ - n z^2 \sum_{i=1,4} \left( \frac{h_{g_m}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) - n \bar{z}^2 \sum_{i=1,4} \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_m}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_i} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{16\pi^2}{\beta_+\beta_- m} \left( \frac{z^2 \bar{z}^2}{n} \sum_{i,j=1}^4 \left( \frac{h_{g_m}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_m}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_j} \log\langle\sigma\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j} \right) \right) \\ - n z^2 \bar{z}^2 \sum_{i,j=1,4} \left( \frac{h_{g_m}}{(z-z_i)^2} + \frac{\partial_{z_i} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{z-z_i} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{h}_{g_m}}{(\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j)^2} + \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}_j} \log\langle\sigma_m\rangle}{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_j} \right) \right)$$

$$(3.46)$$

where,

$$\log\langle\sigma\rangle \equiv \log\langle\sigma_{g_B}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)\sigma_{g_B^{-1}g_A}(z_2, \bar{z}_2)\sigma_{g_A^{-1}g_B}(z_3, \bar{z}_3)\sigma_{g_B^{-1}}(z_4, \bar{z}_4)\rangle$$
(3.47)

$$\log\langle\sigma_m\rangle \equiv \log\langle\sigma_{g_m}(z_1, \bar{z}_1)\sigma_{g_m^{-1}}(z_4, \bar{z}_4)\rangle = -h_{g_m}\log(z_4 - z_1) - \bar{h}_{g_m}\log(\bar{z}_4 - \bar{z}_1).$$
(3.48)

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$ Such investigation in [64] was inspired by a similar pathology discussed in [66] in the context of the entanglement negativity.

In the replica limit  $n, m \to 1$ , the above expression may be simplified to obtain the following result for the leading order correction to the reflected entropy between A and B:

$$\delta S_R(A:B) = -\frac{\pi^4 c^2 \mu}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^2 w \left( H\left(z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\right) + H\left(\bar{z}, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_3, \bar{z}_4\right) \right) \,, \tag{3.49}$$

where the integrand H has the following form,

$$H = z^{2} \left( \frac{1}{z - z_{2}} - \frac{1}{z - z_{3}} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{z - z_{1}} + \frac{1}{z - z_{2}} - \frac{1}{z - z_{3}} + \frac{1}{z - z_{4}} \right) - \frac{z^{2} \eta f'(\eta)}{z_{13} z_{24} (z - z_{1}) (z - z_{2}) (z - z_{3}) (z - z_{4})},$$
(3.50)

with,  $z = e^{\frac{2\pi(x+i\tau)}{\beta_+}}$ ,  $\bar{z} = e^{\frac{2\pi(x-i\tau)}{\beta_-}}$  and the functions f and  $\bar{f}$  are defined as<sup>10</sup>,

$$\frac{c}{6}\log f(\eta) = \lim_{m,n\to 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log[\mathcal{F}_{mn}(\eta)], \quad \frac{c}{6}\log \bar{f}(\bar{\eta}) = \lim_{m,n\to 1} \frac{1}{1-n} \log[\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}(\bar{\eta})]. \quad (3.51)$$

To evaluate the definite integral, we once again apply the rotated frame  $(x', \tau')$  in (3.12), which reduces the integral into a more manageable form:

$$\mathcal{I}_{\rm sing} = \int_{\mathcal{M}} d^2 w \left( H\left(z, z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4\right) + \text{c.c} \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' \int_{0}^{\beta_e} d\tau' \left[ H\left( e^{\frac{2\pi (x'+i\tau')}{\beta_e}}, z_1', z_2', z_3', z_4' \right) + \text{c.c} \right] ,$$
(3.52)

where  $z'_i = e^{\frac{2\pi(x'_i + i\tau'_i)}{\beta_e}}$ . Now we can compute the integral using the machinery developed in [33,37], leading to the result

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{sing}} = \frac{\beta_e}{2\pi} \left[ \left( -\frac{z_1}{z_2 - z_1} - \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_3} \right) \log \left| \frac{z_2'}{z_1'} \right| + \left( \frac{z_1}{z_1 - z_3} + \frac{2z_2}{z_3 - z_2} + \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_4} \right) \log \left| \frac{z_3'}{z_2'} \right| \\
+ \left( \frac{z_2}{z_2 - z_4} + \frac{z_3}{z_4 - z_3} \right) \log \left| \frac{z_4'}{z_3'} \right| + \left( \frac{z_1(z_2 - z_3)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_3 - z_1)} \log |z_1'| + \frac{z_2(z_4 - z_1)}{(z_2 - z_1)(z_4 - z_2)} \log |z_2'| \\
+ \frac{z_3(z_1 - z_4)}{(z_3 - z_1)(z_4 - z_3)} \log |z_3'| + \frac{z_4(z_3 - z_2)}{(z_4 - z_2)(z_4 - z_3)} \log |z_4'| \right) \eta f'(\eta) + \text{c.c} \right]$$
(3.53)

After performing the integration, we apply the inverse map of (3.12) to return to the original coordinate system. Next, we implement the Wick rotation and finally take the bipartite limit  $L \to \infty$  to obtain the first-order correction to the reflected entropy for a boosted spacelike interval as follows,

$$\delta S_R(A:A^c) = -\mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} (\ell - t\Omega) \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell + t)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell - t)}{\beta_-}\right) - 2 \right] \\ +\mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2 \sqrt{1 - \Omega^2}} (\ell - t\Omega) \left[ e^{-\frac{2\pi(\ell + t)}{\beta_+}} f'\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi(\ell + t)}{\beta_+}}\right) + e^{-\frac{2\pi(\ell - t)}{\beta_-}} \bar{f}'\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi(\ell - t)}{\beta_-}}\right) \right] .$$
(3.54)

The leading correction to the reflected entropy for a single Lorentz boosted intervals in a  $T\bar{T}$ deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> defined on a cylinder with temporal compactification may be reproduced from the above expression simply by setting  $\beta_+ = \beta_-$  or  $\Omega = 0$ , which may be checked against the results reported in [43].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Note that the non-universal functions  $\mathcal{F}_{mn}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{mn}$  are expected to be sub-leading in the large central charge limit [64]. However, to simplify expressions, we have extracted factors of  $\frac{c}{6}$  and the resulting auxiliary functions scale as  $f, \bar{f} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c}\right)$ .

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider a boosted, purely timelike interval A = [(0,0), (0,t)] in a thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> in the presence of a conserved angular momentum. In this timelike scenario, the time direction is compactified, so no auxiliary intervals appear. The relevant correlation function in this case therefore simplifies to a two-point function. Therefore, the correction term to the reflected entropy in a CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved charge can be computed, similar to the case of entanglement entropy, as follows:

$$\delta S_R^{\mathrm{T}}(A:A^c) = \mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{9\beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} t\Omega \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_-}\right) \right] \,. \tag{3.55}$$

Note that when the angular momentum vanishes, the leading order correction to the reflected entropy becomes zero, aligning with the known result [43] for a single timelike interval.

## 4 Cut-off AdS holography

In this section, we investigate the holographic characterization of entanglement and correlation in the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved angular momentum utilizing the cut-off proposal of [19] discussed briefly in subsection section 2.2. The holographic dual of the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> defined on the twisted cylinder is described by a non-extremal rotating BTZ black hole geometry in the bulk with line element (we have set the AdS radius to unity,  $\ell_{AdS} = 1$ )

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}{r^{2}}dt^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(dx - \frac{r_{+}r_{-}}{r^{2}}dt\right)^{2}$$
$$= \frac{r^{2}}{\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}dr^{2} - \frac{\left(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}\right)}{\left(r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}\left(r_{+}dt - r_{-}dx\right)^{2} + \frac{\left(r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}{\left(r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}\right)}\left(r_{+}dx - r_{-}dt\right)^{2}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

In the above metric,  $r_{\pm}$  denote the outer and inner horizons respectively and the spatial and temporal directions are compactified as follows

$$x \sim x - \beta \Omega$$
 ,  $t \sim t + i\beta$ , (4.2)

where the angular speed  $\Omega$  and temperature  $\beta$  of the black hole are related to the horizon radii as follows^{11}

$$\beta = \frac{2\pi r_+}{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \quad , \quad \Omega = \frac{r_-}{r_+} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

One may define the effective left and right moving temperatures form the above relations:

$$\beta_{\pm} = \beta(1 \pm \Omega) = \frac{2\pi}{r_{+} \pm r_{-}} \,. \tag{4.5}$$

According to the holographic correspondence discussed in [19], the dual field theory is located at the asymptotic boundary  $r = r_c$  (cf. eq. (2.15)) and the metric on this holographic screen is given by

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{(r_{c}^{2} - r_{+}^{2})}{(r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2})} (r_{+}dt - r_{-}dx)^{2} + \frac{(r_{c}^{2} - r_{-}^{2})}{(r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2})} (r_{+}dx - r_{-}dt)^{2}$$
(4.6)

1

$$M = r_{+}^{2} + r_{-}^{2} , \quad J = 2r_{+}r_{-} .$$
(4.3)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>The ADM mass and angular momentum of the rotating black hole may also be written in terms of the horizon radii (cf. appendix A for more details),

Interestingly, the above metric may be recast in a conformally flat form by performing the conformal map

$$x' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}} \left( r_+ x - r_- t \right) \quad , \quad t' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}} \left( r_+ t - r_- x \right) \,. \tag{4.7}$$

Utilizing eq. (4.4), it is evident that this is nothing but the rotation of the twisted cylinder given in (3.12). In these coordinates, the metric on the conformal boundary is given by

$$ds^{2} \sim -\left(dt'\right)^{2} + \frac{r_{c}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}{r_{c}^{2} - r_{+}^{2}} \left(d\tilde{x}'\right)^{2} \equiv -\left(dt'\right)^{2} + \left(dx'\right)^{2} , \qquad (4.8)$$

where, we have defined the conformal coordinate

$$x' = \tilde{x}' \left(\frac{r_c^2 - r_-^2}{r_c^2 - r_+^2}\right)^{-1/2}.$$
(4.9)

From the periodicity of the timelike coordinate t', it is evident that the boundary theory has the effective inverse temperature<sup>12</sup>  $\beta_e = \beta \sqrt{1 - \Omega^2}$  conforming to our finding in eq. (3.13).

The rotating BTZ black hole may be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{2,2}$  according to eq. (2.20) utilizing the following coordinate transformations

$$X_{1} = \sqrt{\frac{r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}}{r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}} \cosh(r_{+}x - r_{-}t) ,$$

$$X_{2} = \sqrt{\frac{r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}{r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}} \sinh(r_{+}t - r_{-}x) ,$$

$$X_{3} = \sqrt{\frac{r^{2} - r_{+}^{2}}{r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}} \sinh(r_{+}x - r_{-}t) ,$$

$$X_{4} = \sqrt{\frac{r^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}{r_{+}^{2} - r_{-}^{2}}} \cosh(r_{+}t - r_{-}x) . \qquad (4.11)$$

In the following, we will utilize the above embedding coordinates to compute lengths of various extremal curves (geodesics) in the cut-off rotating BTZ geometry.

#### 4.1 Holographic entanglement entropy

In the following, we compute the holographic entanglement entropy utilizing the Ryu-Takayanagi prescription [5,6] extended to a BTZ black hole spacetime with a finite radial cut-off. Consider the boosted subsystem  $A = [(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2)]$  on the twisted cylinder. The length of the geodesic connecting these endpoints may be obtained utilizing the embedding coordinates in eq. (4.11) as follows

$$\mathcal{L} = \cosh^{-1}(\zeta_{12}) = \cosh^{-1}(-X_1 \cdot X_2) , \qquad (4.12)$$

<sup>12</sup>In fact, the coordinate transformations in eq. (4.7) together with the redefinition of the holographic coordinate  $r \to R = \sqrt{r^2 - r_-^2}$ , the metric in eq. (4.1) may be recast into the form of a non-rotating BTZ black hole

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dR^{2}}{R^{2} - R_{h}^{2}} - (R^{2} - R_{h}^{2})(dt')^{2} + R^{2}(dx')^{2}, \qquad (4.10)$$

with horizon at  $R_h = \sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}$ . This in turn, implies that the kinematics of a rotating BTZ black hole with Hawing temperature  $\beta^{-1}$  is equivalent to that of a non-rotating BTZ black hole with an effective inverse temperature  $\beta_e = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2}} = \beta \sqrt{1 - \Omega^2}$ , where in the second equality, we have utilized (4.4). where  $\zeta_{12}$  is the unique conformal invariant associated with the endpoints  $X_1^{\mu}$  and  $x_2^{\mu}$  of our subsystem, written in the embedding coordinates. Utilizing eqs. (4.9) and (4.11) this invariant may be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{12} &= \left(\frac{r_c^2 - r_+^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}\right) \cosh\left[\sqrt{r_+^2 - r_-^2} \left(t_2' - t_1'\right)\right] - \left(\frac{r_c^2 - r_-^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}\right) \cosh\left[\sqrt{\frac{\left(r_c^2 - r_+^2\right)\left(r_+^2 - r_-^2\right)}{\left(r_c^2 - r_-^2\right)}} |x_2' - x_1'|\right] \\ &= \left(\frac{r_c^2 - r_+^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}\right) \cosh\left(r_- x_{21} - r_+ t_{21}\right) - \left(\frac{r_c^2 - r_-^2}{r_+^2 - r_-^2}\right) \cosh\left[\sqrt{\frac{r_c^2 - r_+^2}{r_c^2 - r_-^2}} \left(r_+ x_{21} - r_- t_{21}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.13)$$

where, in the second equality, we have inverted the coordinate transformation (4.7). Now using the Ryu-Takayanagi prescription and the holographic dictionary in eq. (2.15), we may obtain the holographic entanglement entropy for the single interval under consideration as follows

$$S(A) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\hat{\mu}(1 - \Omega^2)} \left( \left( \hat{\mu} - \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2} \left( 1 - \Omega^2 \right)^2 \right) \cosh \left[ \frac{2\pi (t_{21} - x_{21}\Omega)}{\beta (1 - \Omega^2)} \right] - \left( \Omega^2 \hat{\mu} - \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2} \left( 1 - \Omega^2 \right)^2 \right) \cosh \left[ \frac{2\pi (x_{21} - t_{21}\Omega)}{\beta (1 - \Omega^2)} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi^2 \hat{\mu} - \beta^2 (1 - \Omega^2)^2}{4\pi^2 \Omega^2 \hat{\mu} - \beta^2 (1 - \Omega^2)^2}} \right] \right]$$
(4.14)

where we have defined a re-scaled deformation parameter  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{\pi c \mu}{6}$ . Note that the above expression is non-perturbative in the deformation parameter  $\hat{\mu}$ . We have verified that the entanglement entropy in eq. (4.14) violates the strong sub-additivity property which indicates that the field theory is non-local. We have also computed the *C*-function which decreases monotonically with the subsystem size which set the scale for the holographic renormalization group flow, thereby validating Zamolodchikov's *C*-theorem [67]. We refrain from quoting the analytic expressions here as they do not provide significant insight.

In order to compare our holographic result in eq. (4.14), we now consider the limit of a small deformation parameter, which corresponds to a large cut-off radius  $r_c$ . The leading order correction to the entanglement entropy due to the deformation is then given as

$$S(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{\beta_{+}\beta_{-}}{\pi^{2}\epsilon_{c}^{2}} \sinh \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} + t_{21})}{\beta_{+}} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} - t_{21})}{\beta_{-}} \right) \right] - \frac{2\pi^{2}\hat{\mu}}{\beta^{3}\left(1 - \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \pi(x_{21} - t_{21}\Omega) \left[ \coth \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} + t_{21})}{\beta_{+}} \right) + \coth \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} - t_{21})}{\beta_{-}} \right) \right] - \frac{2\pi^{2}\hat{\mu}}{\beta^{2}\left(1 - \Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \left[ \left( 1 + \Omega^{2} \right) - \left( 1 - \Omega^{2} \right) \coth \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} + t_{21})}{\beta_{+}} \right) \coth \left( \frac{\pi(x_{21} - t_{21})}{\beta_{-}} \right) \right], \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\epsilon_c = \sqrt{\hat{\mu}}$  is the UV cut-off in the dual field theory. Since the bulk rotating BTZ black hole is dual to the deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> in the limit of high temperatures, we may further consider the limit  $\beta \ll |x_{21}|, t_{21}$ . Dropping the third term, the above expression is easily seen to match with our perturbative field theoretic computations in eq. (3.17).

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider the case of a purely timelike interval A = [(x, 0), (x, t)]. As described in [48], for a real Euclidean theory, the corresponding Lorentzian metric becomes complex valued under the analytic continuation of the inner horizon  $r_{-} \rightarrow ir_{-}$  and consequently, the entanglement entropy in eq. (4.14) also becomes complex-valued and should be interpreted as a pseudo entropy [68]. This is manifestly seen from the perturbative expansion in eq. (4.15), as  $|x_{21}| \rightarrow 0$  the argument of the logarithmic term becomes negative and hence procures a imaginary part,

$$S^{(\mathrm{T})}(A) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{\beta_{+}\beta_{-}}{\pi^{2}\epsilon_{c}^{2}} \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_{+}}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_{-}}\right) \right] + \frac{c}{6}\pi i + \frac{2\pi^{3}\hat{\mu}\Omega t}{\beta^{3}\left(1-\Omega^{2}\right)^{2}} \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_{+}}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi t}{\beta_{-}}\right) \right].$$
(4.16)

In the above expression, the first two terms correspond to the timelike entanglement entropy for an undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> on the twisted cylinder [47, 48] having an UV cut-off  $\epsilon_c$ , while the rest of the expression corresponds to effects of the TT-deformation as the holographic screen is pushed inside the bulk. Utilizing the holographic dictionary (2.15), the above expression may be straightforwardly seen to match half of the reflected entropy obtained in eq. (3.20). Furthermore, as discussed earlier, the leading order correction due to the deformation remains real-valued and positive for the timelike subsystem. This seems to reflect the spurious fact that the spacelike part of the corresponding RT surface gets larger despite the inward displacement of the holographic screen, which may be ameliorated by the re-scaling of the UV cut-off of the dual field theory as seen from eq. (2.15).

#### 4.1.1 Bounds on $\mu$ and Hagedorn behavior

Now we investigate the reality of the holographic entanglement entropy in eq. (4.14). In particular, the reality puts a sharp upper bound on the (re-scaled) deformation parameter:

$$\hat{\mu} < \hat{\mu}_{\max} = \frac{\beta^2 (1 - \Omega^2)^2}{4\pi^2 \Omega^2} \,. \tag{4.17}$$

Note that, at  $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_{\text{max}}$  the entanglement entropy diverges. Furthermore, there exists a universal zero of the holographic entanglement entropy at the value

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2} (1 - \Omega^2)^2 \,. \tag{4.18}$$

Evidently, at  $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\mu}_0$  the spacelike geodesic computing the HEE becomes null. Furthermore, we have checked numerically that beyond  $\hat{\mu}_0$  the HEE becomes complex valued for a certain window, depending upon the size of the subsystem under consideration.

For purely spacelike subsystems on a constant time slice, we have plotted the entanglement entropy against the strength of deformation in fig. 7. Note that for certain subsystem sizes, the HEE exhibits a gap in its spectrum, where its value becomes complex. However, there exists a critical size when the gap closes up. Furthermore, the zeros of the HEE change branches below and above this critical size. It will be interesting to further investigate the physical origin of such phenomena.

On the other hand, for timelike subsystems, even without the TT-deformation, the entanglement entropy is complex-valued as described in [47, 48], which may be interpreted as a pseudo entropy. With TT-deformation, the timelike entanglement entropy also captures the essence of the spectrum being complex-valued. In figs. 8 and 9, we have plotted the real part of the timelike entanglement entropy for various sizes of the subsystem with respect to the spin  $\Omega$  of the black hole as well as the deformation parameter  $\hat{\mu}$ . Similar to the case of spacelike intervals, we found that for different sizes of the timelike subsystem, the plot of the HEE with respect to  $\hat{\mu}$  exhibits a band-gap. Once again, for a critical size this gap closes up.

It is also instructive to analyze the reality of the holographic entanglement entropy for a deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with zero angular momentum dual to a non-rotating BTZ black hole with a finite radial cut-off. Setting the angular speed  $\Omega = 0$  in eq. (4.14), we may obtain the



Figure 7: Plot of holographic entanglement entropy for a single interval on a constant time slice with respect to the strength of deformation  $\hat{\mu}$ . Top panel:  $|x_{21}| = 1$ ,  $\Omega = 0.65, 0.6, 0.55$ ; bottom panel:  $\Omega = 0.65, |x_{21}| = 1, 3, 5$ . We have set  $\beta = 2\pi$  and  $4G_N = 1$ .



Figure 8: Variation of holographic entanglement entropy for a timelike interval with respect to the strength of deformation  $\hat{\mu}$ . We have set  $\mu = 0.5$ ,  $\beta = 2\pi$  and  $4G_N = 1$ .

holographic entanglement entropy for a boosted interval in a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed thermal  $CFT_2$  dual to a non-rotating BTZ black hole as follows

$$S(A) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1}\left[\left(1 - \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2\hat{\mu}}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi t_{21}}{\beta}\right) + \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2\hat{\mu}} \cosh\left(\frac{2\pi x_{21}}{\beta}\sqrt{1 - \frac{4\pi^2\hat{\mu}}{\beta^2}}\right)\right], \quad (4.19)$$

which may be checked against the non-perturbative holographic results in [33,37] for the case of a single interval on a constant time slice. Similar to the rotating case, we found that the upper



Figure 9: Variation of holographic entanglement entropy for a timelike interval with respect to the angular speed  $\Omega$ ; we have set  $|t_{21}| = 2.0$ ,  $\beta = 2\pi$ ,  $4G_N = 1$ .

bound to the strength of deformation is given by

$$\hat{\mu}_0 = \frac{\beta^2}{4\pi^2} \,. \tag{4.20}$$

However, contrary to the rotating case, at  $\hat{\mu}_0$  the HEE vanishes and beyond  $\hat{\mu}_0$  it becomes



Figure 10: Plot of HEE against  $\hat{\mu}$  for a non-rotating BTZ black hole. We have set  $\beta = 2\pi, |x_{21}| = 1$ .

complex valued. Interestingly, this value of  $\hat{\mu}$  corresponds to the Hagedorn temperature where the ground state energy is ill-defined<sup>13</sup>. In this connection, (4.18) may be regarded as the spinning analogue of the Hagedorn behavior.

#### 4.2 Entanglement wedge cross-section

In this section, we compute the bulk EWCS for various bipartite mixed states in the rotating BTZ geometry with a finite radial cut-off dual to the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved angular momentum. We expand our holographic results about the seed theory for a small deformation parameter and find agreement with our field theoretic computations in the large central charge limit.

#### 4.2.1 Two disjoint intervals

We begin with the case of two disjoint boosted intervals  $A = [(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2)]$  on the twisted cylinder, as depicted in fig. 11. We consider the case of a connected entanglement wedge. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Note that this is contrary to the findings in [45], where the authors employ the mixed boundary condition proposal of [46] to obtain divergent behavior of entanglement at the Hagedorn temperature.

green curve depicts the corresponding EWCS. We may obtain the area of the EWCS corresponding to the mixed state  $\rho_{AB}$  utilizing the embedding coordinate formalism. The invariant dot product  $\zeta_{ij}$  may be obtained similar to eq. (4.13) and subsequently the EWCS may be obtained by utilizing eq. (2.21).

We now consider the limit of a small deformation parameter  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{r_c^2}$  as well as the high temperature limit  $\beta \ll |x_{ij}|, t_{ij}$  to obtain the leading order correction to the EWCS due to the deformation as follows

$$E_W(A:B) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1} \left[ \frac{1 + \sqrt{\eta \bar{\eta}}}{\sqrt{(1 - \eta)(1 - \bar{\eta})}} \right] - \frac{\beta \pi^3 \sqrt{\eta \bar{\eta}}}{2G_N r_c^2 \beta_+^2 \beta_-^2 (\sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\bar{\eta}})} \left[ \mathbb{P}_{21} + \mathbb{P}_{43} - \mathbb{P}_{32} - \mathbb{P}_{41} \right] - \frac{\beta \pi^3}{2G_N r_c^2 \beta_+^2 \beta_-^2 (\sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\bar{\eta}})} \left[ \mathbb{P}_{31} + \mathbb{P}_{42} - \mathbb{P}_{32} - \mathbb{P}_{41} \right]$$
(4.21)

where we have defined

$$\mathbb{P}_{ij} = \mathcal{P}_{ij} + \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ij} = (x_{ij} - \Omega t_{ij}) \left[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{ij} + t_{ij}\right)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi \left(x_{ij} - t_{ij}\right)}{\beta_-}\right) \right]$$
(4.22)

and  $\eta, \bar{\eta}$  are the finite temperature cross-ratios given in eq. (3.33). The first term in eq. (4.21)



Figure 11: Schematics of two boosted disjoint intervals  $A = [(x_1, t_1), x_2, t_2]$  and  $B = [(x_3, t_3), (x_4, t_4)]$  in the cutoff rotating BTZ geometry. The two shaded planes represent the holographic screens before and after the deformation. The interior of the black hole is shaded green. Figure modified from [43].

corresponds to the EWCS corresponding to two disjoint boosted intervals in an undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> located at the asymptotic boundary  $r \to \infty$  of the bulk spacetime. On the other hand, the rest of the terms may be seen to match identically with the field theoretic results in eq. (3.31) upon utilizing the holographic dictionary in eq. (2.15) and the Brown-Henneaux relation in AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> [52].

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider the correlation between two purely timelike subsystems  $A = [(x, t_1), (x, t_2)]$  and  $B = [(x, t_3), (x, t_4)]$  having a timelike separation between them. However, a precise geometric construction of a timelike entanglement wedge in the spirit of [47, 48] remains elusive. We

proceed along the lines of [43] and consider a straightforward analytic continuation of the result (4.21).

$$E_W^{(\mathrm{T})}(A:B) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\eta_t}{1-\eta_t}\right) + \frac{1}{4G_N} \cosh^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\bar{\eta}_t}{1-\bar{\eta}_t}\right) \\ + \frac{\beta \pi^3 \sqrt{\eta_t}}{2G_N r_c^2 \beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \left[ t_{12} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{12}}{\beta_+}\right) + t_{34} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{34}}{\beta_+}\right) - t_{14} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{14}}{\beta_+}\right) - t_{23} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{23}}{\beta_+}\right) \right] \\ + \frac{\beta \pi^3 \sqrt{\bar{\eta}_t}}{2G_N r_c^2 \beta_+^2 \beta_-^2} \left[ t_{12} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{12}}{\beta_-}\right) + t_{34} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{34}}{\beta_-}\right) - t_{14} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{14}}{\beta_-}\right) - t_{23} \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{23}}{\beta_-}\right) \right]$$

$$(4.23)$$

where the cross-ratios  $\eta_t, \bar{\eta}_t$  are defined in eq. (3.35). Note that, contrary to the non-rotating case discussed in [20, 43], the timelike EWCS for the present configuration gets a non-trivial contribution due to the TT-deformation. Furthermore, the timelike EWCS is manifestly real indicating no timelike segment in the geometric construction.

#### 4.2.2 Two adjacent intervals

Next, we examine the case of two adjacent intervals,  $A = [(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2)]$  and  $B = [(x_2, t_2), (x_3, t_3)]$ , on the twisted cylinder. The cutoff rotating BTZ geometry is illustrated in fig. 12. The entanglement wedge corresponding to the reduced density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$  is always connected and the EWCS is depicted as the green curve. By utilizing eq. (2.23) and the embedding coordinates for the rotating BTZ geometry provided in eq. (4.11), the EWCS between the two adjacent subsystems can be computed in a straightforward manner as earlier. To make connection with the field theoretic computations, we again make the assumption of a small deformation parameter  $\hat{\mu}$  as well as consider the high temperature limit to obtain the leading order expression of the EWCS as follows

$$E_W(A:B) = \frac{1}{8G_N} \log \left[ \frac{2\beta_+}{\pi\epsilon_c} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}+t_{21})}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{32}+t_{32})}{\beta_+}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}+t_{31})}{\beta_+}\right)} \right] \\ + \frac{1}{8G_N} \log \left[ \frac{2\beta_-}{\pi\epsilon_c} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}-t_{21})}{\beta_-}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{32}-t_{32})}{\beta_-}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}-t_{31})}{\beta_-}\right)} \right] \\ - \frac{\pi^3\beta}{4G_N r_c^2 (\beta_-\beta_+)^2} \left(\mathbb{P}_{21} + \mathbb{P}_{32} - \mathbb{P}_{31}\right), \qquad (4.24)$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_{ij}$  is defined in eq. (4.22), and we have utilized the holographic dictionary (2.15) to incorporate the UV cut-off  $\epsilon_c$  of the dual field theory. In the above expression, the logarithmic terms may be identified as the area of the EWCS corresponding to two adjacent subsystems in the undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with an UV cut-off  $\epsilon_c$ . On the other hand, the subleading terms proportional to  $r_c^{-2}$  correspond to the leading correction due to the TT-deformation which may be checked against the corrections to the reflected entropy for the present configuration obtained in eq. (3.42) through conformal perturbation theory.

#### **Timelike entanglement**

For two purely timelike adjacent intervals  $A = [(x, t_1), (x, t_2)]$  and  $B = [(x, t_2), (x, t_3)]$ , the bulk entanglement wedge may be identified as the region of spacetime bounded by two spacelike and one timelike geodesic segments computing the entanglement entropy of  $A \cup B$  [47, 48]. We may compute the minimal EWCS by analytically continuing the result (4.24) for boosted spacelike



Figure 12: Schematics of two boosted adjacent intervals in the cutoff rotating BTZ geometry. Figure modified form [43].

intervals as follows

$$E_W^{(\mathrm{T})}(A:B) = \frac{1}{8G_N} \log \left[ \frac{4\beta_+\beta_-}{\pi^2 \epsilon_c^c} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_-}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_-}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_-}\right)} \right] + \frac{i\pi}{4G_N} + \frac{\pi^3 \beta \Omega}{4G_N r_c^2 (\beta_-\beta_+)^2} \left[ t_{21} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{21}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) + t_{32} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{32}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) - t_{31} \left( \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_+}\right) - \coth\left(\frac{\pi t_{31}}{\beta_-}\right) \right) \right].$$
(4.25)

Once again, in the above expression, the leading order term correspond to the timelike EWCS corresponding to an undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with cutoff  $\epsilon_c$ . On the other hand, the subleading terms are easily seen to match with the corresponding correction to the reflected entropy computed through conformal perturbation theory in eq. (3.43). Furthermore, the presence of an imaginary part signifies a timelike geodesic segment in the bulk construction for the minimal EWCS.

#### 4.2.3 A single interval

Finally, we consider a single boosted subsystem  $A = [(0,0), (\ell, t)]$  in the  $T\bar{T}$  deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved charge defined on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$ . As described in subsection 3.2.3, the computation of the reflected entropy for for the present configuration requires the introduction of two large auxiliary intervals  $B_1 \equiv [(-L, -T), (0,0)]$  and  $B_2 \equiv [(\ell, t), (L,T)]$ , both adjacent to A as shown in fig. 13. Following this, the bulk codimension one entanglement wedge dual to the reduced density matrix  $\rho_{AB}$  with  $B \equiv B_1 \cup B_2$  may be constructed utilizing the RT surface corresponding to  $A \cup B$ . We may then determine an upper bound of the EWCS between A and B using the following expression [64, 69],

$$\tilde{E}_W(A:B) = E_W(A:B_1) + E_W(A:B_2)$$
(4.26)

The upper bound of the EWCS for the given Lorentz boosted interval in the thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved charge may then be obtain by applying the bipartite limit  $B \to A^c$ , namely by sending the auxiliary intervals to infinity,  $L \to \infty$ . In this scenario, as A and  $B_i$  are adjacent,



Figure 13: Schematics for the upper bound to the EWCS corresponding to a single interval in the cutoff rotating BTZ geometry. Figure modified from [43].

we may utilize the result (4.24) from the previous subsection, to obtain

$$E_W(A:B_1) = \frac{1}{8G_N} \log \left[ \frac{4\beta_+\beta_-}{\pi^2\epsilon_c^2} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_+}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L+T)}{\beta_+}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-T)}{\beta_-}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L+\ell+T+t)}{\beta_+}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L+\ell-T-t)}{\beta_-}\right)} \right] - \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(L - T\Omega\right)\left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-T)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L+T)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2} - \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(\ell - t\Omega\right)\left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(t+\ell)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2} + \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(\ell + L - (t+T)\Omega\right)\left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+L-t-T)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(t+T+\ell+L)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2}$$
(4.27)

and

$$E_W(A:B_2) = \frac{1}{8G_N} \log \left[ \frac{4\beta_+\beta_-}{\pi^2 \epsilon_c^2} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell+T-t)}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell-T+t)}{\beta_-}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-T)}{\beta_-}\right)} - \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(\ell-t\Omega\right) \left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(t+\ell)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2} - \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(L-\ell-(T-t)\Omega\right) \left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell-T+t)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell-T+t)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2} + \frac{\pi^3\beta\left(L-T\Omega\right) \left(\coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-T)}{\beta_-}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(T+L)}{\beta_+}\right)\right)}{4G_N r_c^2 \left(\beta_-\beta_+\right)^2} \right).$$
(4.28)

The upper bound of the EWCS for the single boosted subsystem with angular momentum can now be obtained by applying the bipartite limit  $L \to \infty$  as follows

$$\tilde{E}_W(A:A^c) = \frac{1}{4G_N} \log\left[\frac{4\beta_+\beta_-}{\pi^2\epsilon_c^2} \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_+}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right)\right] - \frac{\pi(\ell-\Omega t)}{2G_N\beta(1-\Omega^2)} - \frac{\pi^3\beta}{2G_Nr_c^2\beta_+^2\beta_-^2}(\ell-\Omega t) \left[\coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_+}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_-}\right) - 2\right].$$
(4.29)

As earlier, the first two terms in the above expression correspond to the (upper bound to the) EWCS corresponding to a single interval in the undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with a modified cut-off  $\epsilon_c$  [64], whereas the subleading terms proportional to  $r_c^{-2}$  correspond to the corrections due to the TTdeformation. utilizing the holographic dictionary (2.15) and the Brown-Henneaux relation, the subleading terms may be identified with the corresponding corrections to the reflected entropy obtained from conformal perturbation theory in eq. (3.54). Note that the non-universal functions  $f, \bar{f}$  are expected to be sub-dominant in the large central charge limit and hence do not appear in our holographic computations.

Interestingly, the above expression for the EWCS corresponding to a single interval in the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> on the twisted cylinder  $\mathcal{M}$  may be recast in the instructive form

$$\tilde{E}_W(A:A^c) = S(A) - S^{\text{Th}}(A) + \frac{1}{2G_N} \log 2, \qquad (4.30)$$

where S(A) is the corresponding entanglement entropy and  $S^{\text{Th}}(A)$  represents the thermal entropy

$$S^{\rm Th}(A) = \frac{\pi(\ell - \Omega t)}{2G_N \beta(1 - \Omega^2)} \left( 1 - \frac{\pi^2}{r_c^2 \beta_+ \beta_-} \right) = \frac{\pi c(\ell - \Omega t)}{3\beta(1 - \Omega^2)} \left( 1 - \frac{2\pi^2 \hat{\mu}}{\beta^2(1 - \Omega^2)} \right) \,. \tag{4.31}$$

The above expression indicates that the thermal entropy gets non-trivial correction due to the  $T\bar{T}$  deformation. This may be interpreted in terms of the wrapping of the corresponding HRT surface around the black hole horizon. As the holographic screen is pushed inside the bulk, the portion of the HRT surface wrapped around the black hole horizon decreases.

#### **Timelike entanglement**

We now consider a purely timelike interval A = [(x, 0), (x, t)] on the twisted cylinder with a compactified time direction. Hence, contrary to the spacelike subsystem considered above, in this case no auxiliary subsystems are needed to remove the pathology of tracing out an infinite branch cut. Furthermore, the entanglement wedge for the present configuration may be identified as the bulk region bounded by the collection of extremal surfaces computing the entanglement entropy of A, as demonstrated in [47, 48]. As a result, the minimal EWCS reduces to the HRT surface homologous to the subsystem under consideration. The length of such extremal surface has already been computed in subsection 4.1 with the result (4.16). Upon utilizing the holographic dictionary in eq. (2.15) and the Brown-Henneaux relation in AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>, the EWCS matches identically with half of the reflected entropy for the present configuration.

#### 4.3 Holographic mutual information and Markov gap

In this subsection, we report the leading order corrections to the holographic Markov gap [70] due to the  $T\bar{T}$  deformation. The Markov gap, defined as the difference between the reflected entropy and holographic mutual information, is related to the fidelity of a specific Markov recovery process concerning the canonical purification of a given mixed state. Furthermore, in [70], it was posited that in holographic theories, the Markov gap is bounded in terms of the number of non-trivial boundaries of the EWCS as follows

$$h(A:B) = S_R(A:B) - I(A:B) \ge \ell_{\text{AdS}} \frac{\log 2}{2G_N} \times (\text{boundaries of EWCS}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{G_N}\right). \quad (4.32)$$

In the following, we observe that deforming a  $CFT_2$  with the  $T\bar{T}$  operator does not always lead to finite corrections to the Markov gap.

#### 4.4 Disjoint intervals

For the case of two disjoint boosted intervals with a connected entanglement wedge, the holographic mutual information may readily be obtained from eq. (4.15) as follows:

$$I(A:B) = S(A) + S(B) - S(A \cup B)$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{4G_N} \log \left[ \frac{\eta \bar{\eta}}{(1-\eta)(1-\bar{\eta})} \right] - \mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{18 (\beta_+ \beta_-)^2} \left( \mathbb{P}_{12} + \mathbb{P}_{34} - \mathbb{P}_{14} - \mathbb{P}_{23} \right), \quad (4.33)$ 

where, we have defined  $\mathbb{P}_{ij} = \mathcal{P}_{ij} + \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{ij}$ , and the functions  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$  are defined in eq. (3.32). Utilizing eqs. (3.31) and (4.21), we may now compute the leading order correction to the holographic Markov gap as follows

$$h(A:B) = S_R(A:B) - I(A:B)$$

$$= \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{(1+\sqrt{\eta})^2 (1+\sqrt{\eta})^2}{\eta \eta} \right]$$

$$- \mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{18 (\beta_+ \beta_-)^2} (\sqrt{\eta} - 1) (\mathcal{P}_{12} + \mathcal{P}_{34} - \mathcal{P}_{14} - \mathcal{P}_{23})$$

$$- \mu \frac{\pi^4 \beta c^2}{18 (\beta_+ \beta_-)^2} (\sqrt{\eta} - 1) (\bar{\mathcal{P}}_{12} + \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{34} - \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{14} - \bar{\mathcal{P}}_{23}) .$$
(4.34)

Note that the inequality (4.32) is obeyed even after deforming the theory with the  $T\bar{T}$  operator (recall that the cross-ratios lie within  $0 \leq \eta, \bar{\eta} \leq 1$ ). The corresponding correction to the Markov gap for tow disjoint boosted intervals in the absence of a conserved angular momentum may be obtained by setting  $\beta_+ = \beta_-$ . Furthermore, we observe that for purely timelike subsystems on a spatial slice, the correction to the Markov gap is always positive indicating a better Markov recovery compared to the undeformed CFT<sub>2</sub>. It would be interesting to investigate the physical origin of such behavior along the lines of [70].

#### 4.5 Adjacent intervals

Next we consider the case of two adjacent boosted intervals, discussed in subsection 4.2.2. As earlier, the holographic mutual information may be obtained from eq. (4.15) as follows

$$I(A:B) = \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{\beta_{+}\beta_{-}}{\pi^{2}\epsilon_{c}^{2}} \frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}+t_{21})}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{32}+t_{32})}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{21}-t_{21})}{\beta_{-}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{32}-t_{32})}{\beta_{-}}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}+t_{31})}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(x_{31}-t_{31})}{\beta_{-}}\right)} \right] - \frac{\pi^{4}\beta c^{2}\mu}{18\beta_{-}^{2}\beta_{+}^{2}} \left(\mathbb{P}_{12} + \mathbb{P}_{23} - \mathbb{P}_{13}\right)$$
(4.35)

Utilizing eqs. (3.42) and (4.24), the holographic Markov gap for the present configuration may now be obtained as

$$h(A:B) = \frac{c}{3}\log 2.$$
(4.36)

Interestingly, in this case the holographic Markov gap is given by a constant irrespective of the strength of the deformation. This is also evident from eq. (4.34) as the adjacent limit is approached as  $\eta, \bar{\eta} \to 1$ .

#### 4.6 single interval

Finally, we investigate the leading order correction to the Markov gap for a single interval on the twisted cylinder. We utilize the monogamy of holographic mutual information [71] to obtain

$$\begin{split} I(A:B_{1}B_{2}) \geq &I(A:B_{1}) + I(A:B_{2}) \\ = & \frac{c}{6} \log \left[ \frac{\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}}{\pi^{4}\epsilon_{c}^{4}} \frac{\sinh^{2}\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh^{2}\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_{-}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell+T-t)}{\beta_{+}}\right)\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell-T+t)}{\beta_{-}}\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi(L+\ell-T-t)}{\beta_{-}}\right)} \right] \\ & - \mu \frac{\pi^{4}c^{2}\beta}{9\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}}(\ell-t\Omega) \Big[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_{+}}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_{-}}\right) \Big] \\ & - \mu \frac{\pi^{4}c^{2}\beta}{18\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}}\left(L+\ell-\Omega(T+t)\right) \Big[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L+\ell+T+t)}{\beta_{+}}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L+\ell-T-t)}{\beta_{-}}\right) \\ & + \mu \frac{\pi^{4}c^{2}\beta}{18\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}}\left(L-\ell-\Omega(T-t)\right) \Big[ \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell+T-t)}{\beta_{+}}\right) + \coth\left(\frac{\pi(L-\ell-T+t)}{\beta_{-}}\right) \\ & + (4.37) \end{split}$$

Subsequently, employing the bipartite limit  $L \to \infty$ , we obtain the following lower bound to the mutual information between the single interval and its complement:

$$I(A:A^{c}) \geq \frac{c}{3} \log \left[ \frac{\beta_{+}\beta_{-}}{\pi^{2}\epsilon_{c}^{2}} \sinh \left( \frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_{+}} \right) \sinh \left( \frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_{-}} \right) \right] - \frac{2\pi c}{3\beta(1-\Omega^{2})} (\ell-\Omega t) - \mu \frac{\pi^{4}\beta c^{2}}{9\beta_{+}^{2}\beta_{-}^{2}} (\ell-\Omega t) \left[ \coth \left( \frac{\pi(\ell+t)}{\beta_{+}} \right) + \coth \left( \frac{\pi(\ell-t)}{\beta_{-}} \right) - 2 \right]$$
(4.38)

Now, from eqs. (4.15) and (4.29), we may obtain an upper bound to the holographic Markov gap for the present configuration as follows:

$$h(A:B) \le \frac{c}{3}\log 4$$
. (4.39)

Note that the inequality (4.32) is saturated owing to the two boundaries of the (upper bound to the) EWCS. Once again, the Markov gap is independent of the deformation parameter  $\mu$ .

## 5 Summary and discussion

To summarize, in this article, we have investigated the mixed state entanglement and correlation structure in a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed thermal CFT<sub>2</sub> with a conserved angular momentum defined on a twisted cylinder with complex identifications. In particular, we have investigated the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy in such deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with a particular focus on Lorentz boosted subsystems. We have extended the conformal perturbation theory developed in earlier literature to the case with a conserved charge through a coordinate rotation that effective changes the complex period of the twisted cylinder. In particular, we obtained a CFT<sub>2</sub> defined on a cylinder with temporal identification whose bulk dual geometry is given by a non-rotating black hole with an effective temperature which encapsulates the essence of rotation. Utilizing this rotated frame, we obtain the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy and the reflected entropy for various bipartite mixed states in the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed theory with conserved angular momentum. We have also investigated the timelike entanglement in such field theories, and found that the leading corrections for timelike subsystems remain positive, in contrast to the cases with boosted spacelike subsystems.

The holographic dual of the TT-deformed theory defined on the twisted cylinder is described by a rotating BTZ black hole with a finite radial cut-off. We have obtained the holographic reflected entropy through the Ryu-Takayanagi prescription by obtaining the induced metric on the cut-off surface through the coordinate rotation discussed above. Interestingly, the holographic entanglement entropy is complex valued and imposing its reality puts an universal upper bound to the strength of the deformation. Furthermore, there also exists an universal zero of the entanglement entropy which might be related to the Hagedorn behavior of the theory. Furthermore, we have found that  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed  $CFT_2$  have a complex entanglement spectrum and violates the boosted strong sub-additivity property of entanglement entropy, thereby exhibiting non-locality. We have also obtained the minimal cross-section of the entanglement wedge, holographically dual to the reflected entropy, in the cut-off BTZ geometry. Interestingly, to the leading order in the deformation parameter, all our holographic results match identically with earlier field theoretic computations from conformal perturbation theory.

In this study, we have restricted ourselves to finite temperature systems. however, the entanglement properties of a  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved angular momentum at zero temperature may be obtained by taking appropriate limits of our finite temperature results. The bulk dual geometry is described by an extremal rotating BTZ black hole with a finite radial cut-off. Due to the presence of spin, observables in such theories depend on an effective Frolov-Thorne temperature  $\beta_{\rm FT}^{-1}$ . For example, the leading order correction to the entanglement entropy of a Lorentz boosted interval A = [(0,0), (x,t)] may be obtained from eq. (3.17) by taking the limit  $\beta \to \infty$  and subsequently setting  $\Omega = 1$ ,

$$-\mu \frac{\pi^3 c^2}{36\beta_{\rm FT}^2} \left(\frac{x-t}{x+t}\right) \, .$$

The above result is supported by the extremal limit of the holographic computations for the non-extremal black hole, performed in subsection 4.1. However, a concrete field theoretic interpretation of the above result is still illusive, and the known perturbative analysis in [33,37] seems to indicate a vanishing contribution from the  $T\bar{T}$  operator insertion. Moreover, a straightforward holographic computation of the HRT surface utilizing the extremal BTZ metric does not seem to align with the above result. We hope to return to this issue in the near future.

There are several other open issues related to our study. It will be interesting to study other mixed state entanglement and correlation measures such as the entanglement negativity [11,12], the balanced partial entanglement [15] and the entanglement of purification [14], in the context of  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> with conserved charges holographically dual to rotating black holes with finite radial cut-offs. Interestingly, in a recent article [72], the authors have investigated the  $T\bar{T}$ deformation of holographic boundary conformal field theories extending the island formulation of the black hole information loss paradigm to  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed baths coupled to semi-classical gravity. The mixed state entanglement structure in Hawking radiation in such a non-conformal bath may be studied through the island framework for the reflected entropy introduced in [73,74]. It will also be interesting to explore different holographic descriptions of  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFTs in this context, such as the mixed boundary condition proposal of [46]. Perhaps a more profound understanding of the non-local properties of the  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> requires non-perturbative field theoretic computations of the entanglement properties, maybe along the lines of [35, 44]. We leave these interesting open issues for future investigations.

## Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to Mrinmoy Biswas, Apratim Kaviraj and Diptarka Das for their valuable discussions and insights that greatly contributed to the development of this work. SB acknowledges the financial support provided by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) under the grant number 09/0092(12686)/2021-EMR-I.

## A On rotating BTZ

In this appendix, we recollect some details on the (Euclidean) rotating BTZ black hole with complex periods. The Euclidean rotating BTZ metric in the Schwarzschild coordinates is given by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2})(r^{2} + \tilde{r}_{-}^{2})}{r^{2}}d\tau^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{(r^{2} - r_{+}^{2})(r^{2} + \tilde{r}_{-}^{2})}dr^{2} + r^{2}\left(d\phi + \frac{r_{+}\tilde{r}_{-}}{r^{2}}d\tau^{2}\right), \qquad (A.1)$$

where  $\tilde{r}_{-} = ir_{-}$  and the complex period

 $(x,\tau) \sim (x - i\beta\Omega_E, \tau + \beta)$ 

is related to the inner and outer horizon radii through eq. (4.4). We may recast eq. (A.1) in the Fefferman-Graham form

$$ds^{2} = \frac{d\rho^{2}}{4\rho^{2}} + \frac{1}{\rho} \left( dw + \rho \bar{L}_{0}(\bar{w}) d\bar{w} \right) \left( d\bar{w} + \rho L_{0}(w) dw \right) , \qquad (A.2)$$

by choosing

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{4}(r_+ + i\tilde{r}_-)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{\beta_+^2} \quad , \quad \bar{L}_0 = \frac{1}{4}(r_+ - i\tilde{r}_-)^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{\beta_-^2} \,, \tag{A.3}$$

and redefining the radial coordinate as

$$r^{2} = \frac{1}{\rho} + L_{0} + \bar{L}_{0} + \rho L_{0} \bar{L}_{0} .$$
(A.4)

Note that, any general asymptotically  $AdS_3$  solution may be written in the Fefferman-Graham expansion

$$ds^{2} = \frac{d\rho^{2}}{4\rho^{2}} + g_{ab}dx^{a}dx^{b} , \quad g_{ab} = \frac{1}{\rho}g_{ab}^{(0)} + g_{ab}^{(2)} + \rho g_{ab}^{(4)} , \quad (A.5)$$

where the expansion coefficients  $g_{ab}^{(2)}$  and  $g_{ab}^{(4)}$  may be algebraically obtained from the seed metric  $g_{ab}^{(0)}$  by Employing the Einstein equations. Incidentally, the form (A.2) is an example of the Fefferman-Graham expansion with a flat seed metric  $g_{ab}^{(0)}$ . In particular,  $g_{ab}^{(2)}$  determines the seed expectation value of the CFT stress tensor as [75–77]

$$g_{ab}^{(2)} = 8\pi G_N \left( T_{ab}^{(0)} - \text{Tr}[T^{(0)}] g_{ab}^{(0)} \right) \,. \tag{A.6}$$

From the above holographic stress tensor, one may obtain the holographic mass and angular momentum as

$$M = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}x \, T_{\tau\tau}^{(0)} = \frac{c}{6} (L_0 + \bar{L}_0) = \frac{c}{3} \left( r_+^2 - \tilde{r}_-^2 \right)$$
$$\tilde{J} = -\int_{0}^{2\pi} \mathrm{d}x \, T_{\tau x}^{(0)} = -i \frac{c}{6} (L_0 - \bar{L}_0) = \frac{2c}{3} r_+ \tilde{r}_- \,. \tag{A.7}$$

We may obtain a generic torus background by making a coordinate rotation

$$w \to e^{i\theta}w = w', \tag{A.8}$$

or, equivalently

$$\begin{pmatrix} x'\\ \tau' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x\\ \tau \end{pmatrix}$$
(A.9)

The periods are transformed accordingly as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta' \\ -\beta'\Omega'_E \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ -\beta\Omega_E \end{pmatrix}$$
(A.10)

In particular, we may obtain an equivalent description of the rotating BTZ black hole in terms of a non-rotating one with the choice  $\theta = \tan^{-1}(\Omega_E)$ , leading to

$$\beta' = \beta \sqrt{1 + \Omega_E^2} \quad , \quad \Omega'_E = 0 \,. \tag{A.11}$$

Interestingly, this is nothing but the coordinate rotation used in eq. (3.12) to investigate the entanglement properties in  $T\bar{T}$ -deformed CFT<sub>2</sub>s with a conserved angular momentum.

### References

- J. M. Maldacena, "The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231-252, arXiv:hep-th/9711200.
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory," *Phys. Lett. B* 428 (1998) 105–114, arXiv:hep-th/9802109.
- [3] M. Van Raamsdonk, "Building up spacetime with quantum entanglement," Gen. Rel. Grav. 42 (2010) 2323-2329, arXiv:1005.3035 [hep-th].
- [4] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind, "Cool horizons for entangled black holes," Fortsch. Phys. 61 (2013) 781-811, arXiv:1306.0533 [hep-th].
- [5] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 96 (2006) 181602, arXiv:hep-th/0603001.
- [6] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy," JHEP 08 (2006) 045, arXiv:hep-th/0605073.
- [7] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani, and T. Takayanagi, "A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," *JHEP* 07 (2007) 062, arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th].
- [8] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, "Generalized gravitational entropy," JHEP 08 (2013) 090, arXiv:1304.4926 [hep-th].
- [9] X. Dong, A. Lewkowycz, and M. Rangamani, "Deriving covariant holographic entanglement," *JHEP* 11 (2016) 028, arXiv:1607.07506 [hep-th].
- [10] H. Casini, M. Huerta, and R. C. Myers, "Towards a derivation of holographic entanglement entropy," *JHEP* 05 (2011) 036, arXiv:1102.0440 [hep-th].
- G. Vidal and R. F. Werner, "Computable measure of entanglement," *Phys. Rev. A* 65 (2002) 032314, arXiv:quant-ph/0102117.
- [12] M. B. Plenio, "Logarithmic Negativity: A Full Entanglement Monotone That is not Convex," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95 (2005) 090503, arXiv:quant-ph/0505071.
- [13] S. Dutta and T. Faulkner, "A canonical purification for the entanglement wedge cross-section," JHEP 03 (2021) 178, arXiv:1905.00577 [hep-th].
- [14] T. Takayanagi and K. Umemoto, "Entanglement of purification through holographic duality," *Nature Phys.* 14 no. 6, (2018) 573-577, arXiv:1708.09393 [hep-th].

- [15] Q. Wen, "Balanced Partial Entanglement and the Entanglement Wedge Cross Section," JHEP 04 (2021) 301, arXiv:2103.00415 [hep-th].
- [16] A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Expectation value of composite field T anti-T in two-dimensional quantum field theory," arXiv:hep-th/0401146.
- [17] A. Cavaglià, S. Negro, I. M. Szécsényi, and R. Tateo, "TT-deformed 2D Quantum Field Theories," JHEP 10 (2016) 112, arXiv:1608.05534 [hep-th].
- [18] F. A. Smirnov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, "On space of integrable quantum field theories," *Nucl. Phys. B* 915 (2017) 363-383, arXiv:1608.05499 [hep-th].
- [19] L. McGough, M. Mezei, and H. Verlinde, "Moving the CFT into the bulk with TT," JHEP 04 (2018) 010, arXiv:1611.03470 [hep-th].
- [20] M. Asrat, A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki, and D. Kutasov, "Holography Beyond AdS," *Nucl. Phys. B* 932 (2018) 241–253, arXiv:1711.02690 [hep-th].
- [21] V. Shyam, "Background independent holographic dual to TT deformed CFT with large central charge in 2 dimensions," JHEP 10 (2017) 108, arXiv:1707.08118 [hep-th].
- [22] P. Kraus, J. Liu, and D. Marolf, "Cutoff  $AdS_3$  versus the  $T\overline{T}$  deformation," *JHEP* 07 (2018) 027, arXiv:1801.02714 [hep-th].
- [23] W. Cottrell and A. Hashimoto, "Comments on TT double trace deformations and boundary conditions," *Phys. Lett. B* 789 (2019) 251-255, arXiv:1801.09708 [hep-th].
- [24] M. Taylor, " $T\bar{T}$  deformations in general dimensions," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 27 no. 1, (2023) 37-63, arXiv:1805.10287 [hep-th].
- [25] T. Hartman, J. Kruthoff, E. Shaghoulian, and A. Tajdini, "Holography at finite cutoff with a T<sup>2</sup> deformation," JHEP 03 (2019) 004, arXiv:1807.11401 [hep-th].
- [26] V. Shyam, "Finite Cutoff AdS<sub>5</sub> Holography and the Generalized Gradient Flow," JHEP 12 (2018) 086, arXiv:1808.07760 [hep-th].
- [27] P. Caputa, S. Datta, and V. Shyam, "Sphere partition functions \& cut-off AdS," JHEP 05 (2019) 112, arXiv:1902.10893 [hep-th].
- [28] A. Giveon, N. Itzhaki, and D. Kutasov, "A solvable irrelevant deformation of AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>," JHEP 12 (2017) 155, arXiv:1707.05800 [hep-th].
- [29] J. Tian, "On-shell action of TT-deformed Holographic CFTs," arXiv:2306.01258 [hep-th].
- [30] A. Dei, B. Knighton, K. Naderi, and S. Sethi, "Tensionless AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> and Single Trace TT," arXiv:2408.00823 [hep-th].
- [31] Z. Du, W.-X. Lai, K. Liu, and W. Song, "Asymptotic Symmetries in the  $TsT/T\bar{T}$ Correspondence," arXiv:2407.19495 [hep-th].
- [32] J. Tian, T. Lai, and F. Omidi, "Modular transformations of on-shell actions of (root-)TT deformed holographic CFTs," *Nucl. Phys. B* 1007 (2024) 116675, arXiv:2404.16354 [hep-th].
- [33] B. Chen, L. Chen, and P.-X. Hao, "Entanglement entropy in *TT*-deformed CFT," *Phys. Rev. D* 98 no. 8, (2018) 086025, arXiv:1807.08293 [hep-th].

- [34] W. Donnelly and V. Shyam, "Entanglement entropy and TT deformation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** no. 13, (2018) 131602, arXiv:1806.07444 [hep-th].
- [35] A. Lewkowycz, J. Liu, E. Silverstein, and G. Torroba, "TT and EE, with implications for (A)dS subregion encodings," JHEP 04 (2020) 152, arXiv:1909.13808 [hep-th].
- [36] A. Banerjee, A. Bhattacharyya, and S. Chakraborty, "Entanglement Entropy for TT deformed CFT in general dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B 948 (2019) 114775, arXiv:1904.00716 [hep-th].
- [37] H.-S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim, and M. Nishida, "Entanglement and Rényi entropy of multiple intervals in TT-deformed CFT and holography," *Phys. Rev. D* 100 no. 10, (2019) 106015, arXiv:1906.03894 [hep-th].
- [38] C. Murdia, Y. Nomura, P. Rath, and N. Salzetta, "Comments on holographic entanglement entropy in *TT* deformed conformal field theories," *Phys. Rev. D* 100 no. 2, (2019) 026011, arXiv:1904.04408 [hep-th].
- [39] S. He and H. Shu, "Correlation functions, entanglement and chaos in the  $T\overline{T}/J\overline{T}$ -deformed CFTs," *JHEP* **02** (2020) 088, arXiv:1907.12603 [hep-th].
- [40] M. Asrat, "Entropic *c*-functions in  $T\overline{T}, J\overline{T}, T\overline{J}$  deformations," *Nucl. Phys. B* **960** (2020) 115186, arXiv:1911.04618 [hep-th].
- [41] D. Basu, Lavish, and B. Paul, "Entanglement negativity in TT<sup>-</sup>-deformed CFT2s," *Phys. Rev. D* 107 no. 12, (2023) 126026, arXiv:2302.11435 [hep-th].
- [42] D. Basu, S. Biswas, A. Dey, B. Paul, and G. Sengupta, "Odd entanglement entropy in TT<sup>-</sup> deformed CFT2s and holography," *Phys. Rev. D* 108 no. 12, (2023) 126013, arXiv:2307.04832 [hep-th].
- [43] D. Basu and V. Raj, "Reflected entropy and timelike entanglement in TT<sup>-</sup>-deformed CFT2s," *Phys. Rev. D* 110 no. 4, (2024) 046009, arXiv:2402.07253 [hep-th].
- [44] J.-C. Chang, S. He, Y.-X. Liu, and L. Zhao, "The holographic  $T\bar{T}$  deformation of the entanglement entropy in (A)dS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub>," arXiv:2409.08198 [hep-th].
- [45] A. Banerjee and P. Roy, "Bounds on  $T\bar{T}$  deformation from entanglement," arXiv:2404.16946 [hep-th].
- [46] M. Guica and R. Monten, " $T\bar{T}$  and the mirage of a bulk cutoff," *SciPost Phys.* 10 no. 2, (2021) 024, arXiv:1906.11251 [hep-th].
- [47] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi, and Y. Taki, "Pseudoentropy in dS/CFT and Timelike Entanglement Entropy," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** no. 3, (2023) 031601, arXiv:2210.09457 [hep-th].
- [48] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi, and Y. Taki, "Timelike entanglement entropy," JHEP 05 (2023) 052, arXiv:2302.11695 [hep-th].
- [49] L. Apolo, W. Song, and B. Yu, "On the universal behavior of TT-deformed CFTs: single and double-trace partition functions at large c," JHEP 05 (2023) 210, arXiv:2301.04153 [hep-th].
- [50] L. Apolo, P.-X. Hao, W.-X. Lai, and W. Song, "Glue-on AdS holography for TT-deformed CFTs," JHEP 06 (2023) 117, arXiv:2303.04836 [hep-th].

- [51] L. Apolo, P.-X. Hao, W.-X. Lai, and W. Song, "Extremal surfaces in glue-on AdS/TT holography," JHEP 01 (2024) 054, arXiv:2311.04883 [hep-th].
- [52] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, "Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.
- [53] J. D. Brown, J. Creighton, and R. B. Mann, "Temperature, energy and heat capacity of asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes," *Phys. Rev. D* 50 (1994) 6394–6403, arXiv:gr-qc/9405007.
- [54] P. Nguyen, T. Devakul, M. G. Halbasch, M. P. Zaletel, and B. Swingle, "Entanglement of purification: from spin chains to holography," *JHEP* 01 (2018) 098, arXiv:1709.07424 [hep-th].
- [55] Y. Kusuki and K. Tamaoka, "Entanglement Wedge Cross Section from CFT: Dynamics of Local Operator Quench," JHEP 02 (2020) 017, arXiv:1909.06790 [hep-th].
- [56] Y. Kusuki and K. Tamaoka, "Dynamics of entanglement wedge cross section from conformal field theories," *Phys. Lett. B* 814 (2021) 136105, arXiv:1907.06646 [hep-th].
- [57] D. Basu, H. Chourasiya, V. Raj, and G. Sengupta, "Reflected entropy in a BCFT on a black hole background," *JHEP* **05** (2024) 054, arXiv:2311.17023 [hep-th].
- [58] H.-S. Jeong, K.-Y. Kim, and M. Nishida, "Reflected Entropy and Entanglement Wedge Cross Section with the First Order Correction," JHEP 12 (2019) 170, arXiv:1909.02806 [hep-th].
- [59] M. Asrat and J. Kudler-Flam, " $T\bar{T}$ , the entanglement wedge cross section, and the breakdown of the split property," *Phys. Rev. D* **102** no. 4, (2020) 045009, arXiv:2005.08972 [hep-th].
- [60] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory," J. Stat. Mech. 0406 (2004) P06002, arXiv:hep-th/0405152.
- [61] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and conformal field theory," J. Phys. A 42 (2009) 504005, arXiv:0905.4013 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [62] Y. Sun and J.-R. Sun, "Note on the Rényi entropy of 2D perturbed fermions," *Phys. Rev. D* 99 no. 10, (2019) 106008, arXiv:1901.08796 [hep-th].
- [63] A. L. Fitzpatrick, J. Kaplan, and M. T. Walters, "Universality of Long-Distance AdS Physics from the CFT Bootstrap," JHEP 08 (2014) 145, arXiv:1403.6829 [hep-th].
- [64] D. Basu, H. Parihar, V. Raj, and G. Sengupta, "Entanglement negativity, reflected entropy, and anomalous gravitation," *Phys. Rev. D* 105 no. 8, (2022) 086013, arXiv:2202.00683 [hep-th]. [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 105, 129902 (2022)].
- [65] M. Afrasiar, H. Chourasiya, V. Raj, and G. Sengupta, "Covariant holographic reflected entropy in AdS3/CFT2," *Phys. Lett. B* 835 (2022) 137590, arXiv:2209.02654 [hep-th].
- [66] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy, and E. Tonni, "Finite temperature entanglement negativity in conformal field theory," J. Phys. A 48 no. 1, (2015) 015006, arXiv:1408.3043 [cond-mat.stat-mech].
- [67] A. B. Zamolodchikov, "Irreversibility of the Flux of the Renormalization Group in a 2D Field Theory," *JETP Lett.* **43** (1986) 730–732.

- [68] Y. Nakata, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, K. Tamaoka, and Z. Wei, "New holographic generalization of entanglement entropy," *Phys. Rev. D* 103 no. 2, (2021) 026005, arXiv:2005.13801 [hep-th].
- [69] D. Basu, A. Chandra, V. Raj, and G. Sengupta, "Entanglement wedge in flat holography and entanglement negativity," *SciPost Phys. Core* 5 (2022) 013, arXiv:2106.14896 [hep-th].
- [70] P. Hayden, O. Parrikar, and J. Sorce, "The Markov gap for geometric reflected entropy," *JHEP* 10 (2021) 047, arXiv:2107.00009 [hep-th].
- [71] P. Hayden, M. Headrick, and A. Maloney, "Holographic Mutual Information is Monogamous," *Phys. Rev. D* 87 no. 4, (2013) 046003, arXiv:1107.2940 [hep-th].
- [72] F. Deng, Z. Wang, and Y. Zhou, "End of the world brane meets  $T\overline{T}$ ," *JHEP* **07** (2024) 036, arXiv:2310.15031 [hep-th].
- [73] V. Chandrasekaran, M. Miyaji, and P. Rath, "Including contributions from entanglement islands to the reflected entropy," *Phys. Rev. D* 102 no. 8, (2020) 086009, arXiv:2006.10754 [hep-th].
- [74] T. Li, J. Chu, and Y. Zhou, "Reflected Entropy for an Evaporating Black Hole," JHEP 11 (2020) 155, arXiv:2006.10846 [hep-th].
- [75] K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin, "Quantum effective action from the AdS / CFT correspondence," *Phys. Lett. B* 472 (2000) 316–322, arXiv:hep-th/9910023.
- [76] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin, and K. Skenderis, "Holographic reconstruction of space-time and renormalization in the AdS / CFT correspondence," *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622, arXiv:hep-th/0002230.
- [77] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, "A Stress tensor for Anti-de Sitter gravity," Commun. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) 413-428, arXiv:hep-th/9902121.