

Uniform Convergence Rate of the Nonparametric Estimator for Integrated Diffusion Processes

Shaolin Ji, Linlin Zhu*

Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Abstract

Nonparametric estimation of integrated diffusion processes has been thoroughly studied, primarily focusing on point-wise convergence. This paper firstly obtains the uniform convergence rates of the Nadaraya-Watson estimators for the coefficients of the integrated diffusion processes. We derive the uniform convergence rates over unbounded support under the shrinking observation interval and long time span assumption. While existing literature suggests that the convergence rate for the diffusion coefficient estimator in continuous-time diffusion processes can reach $(\log n/n)^{2/5}$, which corresponds to the minimax lower bound in sup-norm risk for nonparametric estimation with i.i.d. data. However, we find that the diffusion coefficient estimator of integrated diffusion processes can not attain this rate. Our results are necessary tools for specification testing and semiparametric estimation of certain types of diffusion processes and time series, based on nonparametric estimators, with applications in fields such as finance, geology, and physics.

Keywords: Uniform Convergence Rate, Integrated Diffusion Process, Kernel Smoothing, Exponential Concentration Inequality

2000 MSC: 60J60, 62G20, 62M05

1. Introduction

Statistical inference of diffusion process has become a hot topic in finance, economics, geology, and physics, including parameter and nonparametric estimation of the process based on discrete observations and other type of observations, for example, [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]. In several cases, the stochastic process can be seen as an integrated diffusion process, representing the cumulation of all past perturbations. For example, the integrated diffusion process can be applied to model ice-core data on oxygen isotopes, which is used to investigate paleo-temperatures, see [8]. Additionally, it can also be seen as a generalization of the unit root process in the continuous case, see [9], and play an important role in the so-called realized volatility in finance, see [10], [11].

Parameter estimation of the integrated diffusion process has been studied in [12], [13], [14]. In terms of nonparametric estimation, the N-W estimators, local linear estimators, and re-weighted N-W estimators for the infinitesimal coefficients of the process have been established in [9], [15], [16], among others. These articles investigated the point-wise convergence, such as consistency and asymptotic normality of these

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: js1@sdu.edu.cn (Shaolin Ji), 201611343@mail.sdu.edu.cn (Linlin Zhu)

nonparametric estimators. However, the uniform convergence rates of these estimators have yet to be established.

Uniform convergence rates of nonparametric estimators of the related functionals for the diffusion process are crucial for specification testing of the process, see [17], [18], [19], [20]. Additionally, in the context of semiparametric estimation, where either the drift or the diffusion term is known up to a parameter while the other remains unspecified, the uniform convergence rate of the nonparametric estimator of the unspecified term can be applied to get the asymptotic properties of the semiparametric estimator of the unknown parameter, see [21]. In the discrete-time setting, uniform consistency results of nonparametric kernel-based estimators have been studied by several researchers, including [22], [23], [24], [25], [26], [27], [28]. However, results on the uniform consistency rates of estimators for diffusion processes are relatively sparse. [29] presents results on the uniform convergence of their nonparametric drift and diffusion estimators for a locally semiparametric stationary diffusion process. [30] derived the uniform convergence rate of the modified N-W estimators of the drift and diffusion coefficients for the continuous time stationary diffusion process by applying a damping function. [21] obtained uniform and \mathbb{L}_p convergence rates of kernel-type nonparametric estimators for the instantaneous conditional mean and variance functions for a continuous time recurrent diffusion process. This paper aims to study the uniform convergence rate of the N-W estimators for the coefficients of integrated diffusion processes.

Consider an integrated diffusion process $Y_t = \int_0^t X_s ds$, where X is a diffusion process satisfying the following stochastic differential equation,

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t, \quad (1)$$

where μ and σ are the drift and diffusion coefficients. W is a standard Brownian motion. Suppose the process $\{Y_t\}$ is observed at $t_i = i\Delta, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ over the time interval $[0, T]$, where $T > 0$, and $\Delta = T/n$ is the time distance between adjacent observations. Without loss of generality, we assume the observations are equispaced. Estimating the coefficients of $\{X_t\}$ directly from the observations $\{Y_{t_i}\}$ is difficult due to the unknown conditional distribution of $\{Y_t\}$. Instead, we use $\tilde{X}_{i\Delta} = \frac{Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}}{\Delta}$ as an estimate of $X_{i\Delta}$. Based on the sample $\{\tilde{X}_{i\Delta}; i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the following relationships hold:

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left. \frac{(\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})^2}{\Delta} \right| \mathcal{F}_{(i-1)\Delta} \right] = \frac{2}{3} \sigma^2(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) + O_p(\Delta), \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left. \frac{\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta}}{\Delta} \right| \mathcal{F}_{(i-1)\Delta} \right] = \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) + O_p(\Delta), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{X_s, s \leq t\}$. Based on these relationships, the N-W estimators of $\sigma^2(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ can be defined as follows,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \frac{3}{2} (\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})^2}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)}, \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{\mu}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)(\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)}, \quad (5)$$

where K is the kernel function, h is the bandwidth, $K_h(\cdot - x) = K((\cdot - x)/h)/h$.

In comparison, the N-W estimators of $\sigma^2(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ based on direct observations $\{X_{i\Delta}; i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ are given by,

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta})^2}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)}, \quad \tilde{\mu}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta})}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^n K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)}.$$

To establish point-wise properties, such as the consistency of $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$ (or $\tilde{\mu}(x)$), it is sufficient to verify that $\hat{\sigma}^2(x) - \tilde{\sigma}^2(x) = o_p(1)$ (or $\hat{\mu}^2(x) - \tilde{\mu}^2(x) = o_p(1)$). This can be obtained using the following relationships,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\frac{3}{2} \left(\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta} \right)^2}{\Delta} - \frac{(X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta})^2}{\Delta} \right] &= O(\Delta), \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta}}{\Delta} - \frac{X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}}{\Delta} \right] &= O(\Delta). \end{aligned}$$

These relations are also used to establish the asymptotic normality of the estimators. However, the uniform convergence rate cannot be derived directly from these expressions. To obtain the uniform rate, the global modulus of continuity of the process under the long time span ($T \rightarrow \infty$) assumption is required to control the discretization error. The covering-number technique is employed to derive the rate over unbounded support. Moreover, compared to the proof of the uniform convergence rates for $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$, there is a time inconsistency in $\hat{\sigma}^2(x)$. Specifically, the terms $(\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})^2$ and $K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)$ in $\hat{\sigma}^2(x)$ involve different observations, whereas in $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$, the same observations are used. This makes it difficult to decompose the estimator into a structure that ensures $\hat{\sigma}^2(x)$ achieves an optimal convergence rate applying Itô formula. Furthermore, since the process $\{X_t\}$ is unobservable, we need to deal with terms like $(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})^2$ rather than $(X_{(i+1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})^2$, as required for $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$. It is worth noting [30] suggested that the convergence rate of the diffusion estimator $\tilde{\sigma}^2(x)$ can reach $(\log n/n)^{2/5}$, which aligns with the minimax lower bound in sup-norm risk for nonparametric estimation with i.i.d. data. However, we find that there is a gap in their proof. Specifically, the convergence rate for the martingale term is overstated. While [30] suggests a rate of $\sqrt{\log n/nh}$ for the martingale term, implying $(\log n/n)^{2/5}$ when $h = (\log n/n)^{1/5}$, their approach actually results in an achievable rate $\sqrt{(\log n)^3/nh}$. See Remark 2.5 for details.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces some assumptions on the process $\{X_t\}$ and our main results. Section 3 gives some auxiliary lemmas. In Section 4, we prove our results. The finite-sample performance of the estimators is investigated through Monte-Carlo simulations in Section 5.

2. Assumptions and Main Theorems

Denote \mathfrak{D} as the domain of the process $\{X_t\}$, and the left and right boundaries of \mathfrak{D} are l and r , respectively ($-\infty \leq l < r \leq \infty$). In order to establish the uniform convergence rates of the estimators, we need the following assumptions on the process $\{X_t\}$, and the kernel function.

Assumption 2.1. (1) $\mu(\cdot): (l, r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma(\cdot): (l, r) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ are twice continuously differentiable on (l, r) . They satisfy global Lipschitz and linear growth conditions.

(2) The process $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strictly stationary with the invariant probability density $\pi(\cdot)$ and α -mixing. The mixing coefficient of the process $\alpha(s)$ satisfies $\alpha(s) \leq As^{-\beta}$ for some $\beta > 0$ and $A > 0$.

(3) There exists a constant $q > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}[|X_t|^{2+q}] < \infty$.

Remark 2.2. Condition (1) is sufficient for the existence of a unique strong solution to the model (1) up to an explosion time. The results regarding the mixing property of the process can refer to [31], [32]. A sufficient condition for ensuring that the process $\{X_t\}$ is positive recurrent, with a stationary distribution and time-invariant density, is that $\int_l^r m(x)dx < \infty$, where $m(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2(x)} \exp\left\{2 \int_c^x \frac{\mu(u)}{\sigma^2(u)} du\right\}$, for $c \in (l, r)$. A positive recurrent process initiated at its stationary distribution is strictly stationary.

Given the linear growth condition of μ and σ , along with condition (3), we have $\mathbb{E}[|\mu(X_t)|^{2+\bar{q}}] < \infty$, and $\mathbb{E}[|\sigma(X_t)|^{2+\bar{q}}] < \infty$ for some constant $\bar{q} > 0$. Consequently, $\mathbb{E}[|X_t - X_s|^{2+\bar{q}}] < C|t - s|^{\frac{2+\bar{q}}{2}}$. Therefore, according to Lemma A.1 in [33], as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, the modulus of continuity of X_t satisfies,

$$\sup_{|s-t| \in [0, \Delta], s, t \in [0, \infty)} |X_s - X_t| = O_{a.s.}(\Delta^r), \quad \text{for any } r \in [0, 1/2 - 1/(2 + \bar{q})]. \quad (6)$$

Assumption 2.3. (1) The kernel function $K(\cdot) : (\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$ is of bounded variation and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x)dx = 1$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} xK(x)dx = 0$. Also, there exists some $\bar{K} > 0$ such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |K(x)| \leq \bar{K}$, and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |K(x)|dx \leq \bar{K}$.

(2) The kernel function $K(\cdot)$ is Lipschitz continuous whose support is $[-\bar{c}_K, \bar{c}_K]$ for some constant $\bar{c}_K > 0$.

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose that

(1) Assumption 2.1 and 2.3 hold. σ is uniformly bounded. $|\partial^2 \tilde{f}(x)| = O(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tilde{f} = \mu, \sigma$.

(2) $\sup_x |\partial^k \pi(x)| < \infty$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. $\sup_{x, y} |\pi_{t, t+s}(x, y)| < \infty$, where $s, t \geq 0$. $\pi_{t, t+s}$ is the joint density of X_t and X_{t+s} .

(3) $\Delta^{-1} = O(n^\kappa)$, $(\log n)/n^\theta h \rightarrow 0$, and $\Delta^r/h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\kappa \in (0, 1 - \bar{\alpha}/2)$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$. where $\bar{\alpha} = 1 - (1 - 2r)\kappa - (2 + 2\theta)/(2 + q) \in (0, 1]$.

Let $a_{n, T} = \Delta h^{-1/(1+q)} + \sqrt{(\log n)/n^{\bar{\alpha}} h} + h^2$. If

$$\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{2 + 3\theta}{1 - \theta - \kappa}, \frac{2 + 1/(2 + q)}{1 - 2(\bar{\alpha} - 1 + \kappa)/\bar{\alpha}} \right\},$$

and $a_{n, T}/\delta_{n, T} \rightarrow 0$, $\Delta^r/h\delta_{n, T} \rightarrow 0$, where $\delta_{n, T} := \inf_{|x| \leq b_{n, T}} \pi(x) > 0$, $b_{n, T}$ is any sequence tending to infinity (as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$). It holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq b_{n, T}} |\hat{\sigma}^2(x) - \sigma^2(x)| = O_p(a_{n, T}/\delta_{n, T}). \quad (7)$$

Remark 2.5. It is worth noting that [30] suggests that the convergence rate of the diffusion term estimator with direct observations $\{X_{i\Delta}\}_{i=1}^n$ is $\Delta \log(1/\Delta) + \sqrt{(\log n)/n}h + h^2$, implying the rate of $((\log n)/n)^{2/5}$ when $h = ((\log n)/n)^{1/5}$, which matches the minimax lower bound in sup-norm risk for nonparametric estimation with i.i.d. data. However, the convergence rate for \mathcal{V}_2 is overstated (see Supplementary Material, Page S-6

of [30]). Specifically, to obtain the rate \bar{V}_{212} for $\sqrt{(\log n)/nh}$, it is necessary to ensure that the probability $\mathbb{P}[|\tilde{M}_T| \geq aTh\sqrt{(\log n)/nh}]$ approaches zero as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, where \tilde{M}_T represents the martingale part as follows,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} K((X_{j\Delta} - x_k)/h) \int_{j\Delta}^{(j+1)\Delta} \bar{q}_{s,j\Delta} \mathbb{I}_{\{\bar{q}_{s,j\Delta} \leq \sqrt{\Delta} \log(1/\Delta)\}} dW_s,$$

where $\bar{q}_{s,j\Delta}$ is an adapted process. The boundedness of $\bar{q}_{s,j\Delta}$ benefits from the application of the damping function. It is easy to obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[|\tilde{M}_T| \geq aTh\sqrt{(\log n)/nh}] \\ & \leq \mathbb{P}[|\tilde{M}_T| \geq aTh\sqrt{(\log n)/nh}, J_{n,T}(x_k, h) \leq 2aTh\Delta] \\ & \quad + \mathbb{P}[|J_{n,T}(x_k, h) - \mathbb{E}[J_{n,T}(x_k, h)]| > aTh\Delta] + \mathbb{P}[\mathbb{E}[J_{n,T}(x_k, h)] > aTh\Delta], \end{aligned}$$

where $a > 0$, and $J_{n,T}(x_k, h)$ is the quadratic variation of \tilde{M}_T . The bounds for the first and second terms can be derived using the exponential inequality for continuous martingale and the Bernstein inequality for zero-mean mixing arrays. For the third part, it is essential that the following relationship holds,

$$\mathbb{P}[E[J_{n,T}(X_k, h)] \geq aTh\Delta] = 0. \quad (8)$$

However, in [30], it is stated that $E[J_{n,T}(X_k, h)] \leq C_J Th\Delta \log(1/\Delta)^2$ (or equivalently, $C_J Th\Delta (\log n)^2$ with $\Delta = O(n^{-\kappa})$), where $C_J > 0$. This makes it infeasible to find a common a that satisfies (8). Instead, we find that $\mathbb{P}[E[J_{n,T}(X_k, h)] \geq aTh\Delta (\log n)^2] = 0$ for sufficiently large a , which leads to a revised convergence rate for \tilde{M}_T of $\sqrt{(\log n)^3/nh}$, rather than $\sqrt{(\log n)/nh}$.

In this paper, we also address the martingale part, specifically, M_T in Lemma 3.2. We employ the truncation technique alongside the moment condition to bound $\rho_{t,i}$ within M_T . Consequently, the mean of the quadratic variation of M_T is bounded by $C_3 Th\Delta^{2r} (\log n)^{2/q^2 - 3/qn^{(1+\theta)/(2+a)}}$, where $C_3 > 0$. This results in a convergence rate of $\sqrt{(\log n)/n^{\tilde{\alpha}}h}$ for M_T . In fact, if we set $q = \infty$, the convergence rate of M_T could be $\sqrt{(\log n)^3/nh}$.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that*

- (1) Assumption 2.1 and 2.3 hold. $q > 2\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{\kappa}$. $\mu(\cdot)$ is uniformly bounded.
- (2) $\sup_x |\partial^k \pi(x)| < \infty$, $k = 0, 1, 2$. $\sup_{x,y} |\pi_{t,t+s}(x, y)| < \infty$.
- (3) $\Delta^{-1} = O(T^{\bar{\kappa}})$, $(\log T)/T^{\bar{\theta}}h \rightarrow 0$, and $\Delta^r/h \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\bar{\kappa} > 0$ and $\bar{\theta} \in (0, 1)$.

Let $a_{n,T}^* = \Delta^r + \sqrt{(\log T)/T^{\tilde{\alpha}}h} + h^2$, where $\tilde{\alpha} = 1 - (2 + 2\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{\kappa})/(2 + q)$. If

$$\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{2 + 3\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{\kappa} + (6\bar{\theta} + 4\bar{\kappa} + 4)/q}{1 - \bar{\theta} + (2 - 2\bar{\theta})/q}, \frac{(2 + 1/(2 + q))(\tilde{\alpha} + \bar{\theta})}{2 - \tilde{\alpha} - \bar{\theta}} \right\},$$

and $a_{n,T}^*/\delta_{n,T} \rightarrow 0$, $\Delta^r/h\delta_{n,T} \rightarrow 0$. It holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq b_{n,T}} |\hat{\mu}(x) - \mu(x)| = O_p(a_{n,T}^*/\delta_{n,T}). \quad (9)$$

3. Auxiliary Lemmas

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma A.3 in [30]). *Suppose that a function $K(\cdot)$ ($:\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) is of bounded variation and there exists some constant $\bar{K} \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |K(x)| \leq \bar{K}$. Denote $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q)$ as the set of functions g ($:\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) such that $[\int |g|^{\bar{r}} dQ]^{1/\bar{r}} < \infty$, where $\bar{r} \geq 1$ and Q is a probability measure on \mathbb{R} . Let \mathcal{K} be a set of all rescaled translates of K , i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{K} := \{K((\cdot - x)/h) \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } h > 0\}.$$

Then, the covering numbers of \mathcal{K} satisfy

$$\sup_Q N(\varepsilon 8\bar{K}, \mathcal{K}, \mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q)) \leq \Lambda \varepsilon^{-4\bar{r}} \text{ for } \varepsilon \in (0, 1),$$

where the supremum is over all probability measures on \mathbb{R} ; and Λ is some positive constant independent of measure Q . The covering number $N(\varepsilon 8\bar{K}, \mathcal{K}, \mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q))$ is the minimum number of $\varepsilon 8\bar{K}$ -balls in $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q)$ need to cover \mathcal{K} , where an $\varepsilon 8\bar{K}$ -ball in $\mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q)$ around a function $g \in \mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q)$ is the set $\{\check{f} \in \mathbb{L}^{\bar{r}}(Q) \mid [\int |\check{f} - g|^{\bar{r}} dQ]^{\frac{1}{\bar{r}}} < \varepsilon 8\bar{K}\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose Assumption 2.1, and (1) in Assumption 2.3 hold. $\sup_x |\pi(x)| < \infty$. $\Delta^{-1} = O(n^\kappa)$, $(\log n)/n^\theta h \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\kappa > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$ with $1 - \theta - \kappa > 0$. If*

$$\beta > (2 + 3\theta)/(1 - \theta - \kappa),$$

it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \left(\sigma(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{i\Delta}) - \sigma(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_{i\Delta} ds \right) dW_t \right| = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h}),$$

where $\bar{\alpha} = 1 - (1 - 2r)\kappa - (2 + 2\theta)/(2 + q) \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Using triangle inequality, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \left(\sigma(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{i\Delta}) - \sigma(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_{i\Delta} ds \right) dW_t \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} dW_t \right| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \tilde{\rho}_{t,i} dW_t \right| \\ & := V_1 + V_2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{t,i} & := \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[\sigma(X_t) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_s ds - \sigma(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_{i\Delta} ds \right] e_{\Delta,i}, \\ \tilde{\rho}_{t,i} & := \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[\sigma(X_t) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_s ds - \sigma(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^t X_{i\Delta} ds \right] (1 - e_{\Delta,i}), \quad t \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta]. \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{\Delta, i} := \mathbb{I}_{\left\{ \sup_{s \in [i\Delta, (i+1)\Delta]} |X_s - X_{i\Delta}| \leq \Delta^r (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/2q} \right\}}.$$

According to (6), $V_2 = 0$ almost surely for sufficiently small Δ . To find the rate of V_1 , define a class of functions as $\mathcal{K}(h) = \{K((\cdot - x)/h) | x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ for each $h > 0$. By Lemma 3.1, we can construct a finite covering $\{\mathcal{K}_k(h)\}_{k=1}^{v(h)}$ of $\mathcal{K}(h)$, which satisfies the following conditions: $\mathcal{K}(h) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{v(h)} \mathcal{K}_k(h)$; every $\mathcal{K}_k(h)$ has the center $g_k(\cdot) = K((\cdot - x_k)/h)$; for any probability measure Q ,

$$\forall \varepsilon \in (0, 1), \forall g \in \mathcal{K}_k(h), \int |g - g_k| dQ \leq \varepsilon 8\bar{K}, \text{ and } v(h) \leq \Lambda \varepsilon^{-4}. \quad (10)$$

Then, V_1 can be bounded by,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t \right| \\ & + \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, v(h)\}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{K}_k(h)} \left| \frac{1}{Th} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [g_k(X_{i\Delta}) - g(X_{i\Delta})] \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t \right| \\ & + \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, v(h)\}} \left| \frac{1}{Th} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_k(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t \right| \\ & =: \mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2 + \mathcal{V}_3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\psi}_n$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. Using Hölder and BDG inequalities, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{V}_1] & \leq \frac{\bar{K}(n-1)}{Th} \left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t \right)^2 \right] \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{\bar{K}(n-1)}{Th} \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \bar{\psi}_n\}} dt \right] \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{\bar{K} \Delta^{1/2} \Delta^r (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/2q}}{\Delta h} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}[|X_{i\Delta}|^{2+q}]}{\bar{\psi}_n^q} \right)^{1/2} \\ & = O \left(\frac{\Delta^{r-1/2} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/2q}}{h \bar{\psi}_n^{q/2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\log(1/\Delta) = O(\log n)$ and $h^{-1} = O(n^\theta (\log n)^{-1})$, let $\bar{\psi}_n = (\log n)^{\frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{2}{q}} n^{(\theta + \kappa - 2r\kappa + \alpha)/q}$, then, $\mathcal{V}_1 = O_p(\sqrt{\log n / (n^{\bar{\alpha}} h)})$. For \mathcal{V}_2 , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_2 & \leq \frac{n-1}{Th} \left\{ \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, v(h)\}} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{K}_k(h)} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |g_k(X_{i\Delta}) - g(X_{i\Delta})|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t \right|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{n-1}{Th} \varepsilon 8\bar{K} \times O_p(\Delta^{1/2+r} \bar{\psi}_n (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/2q}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= O_p \left(\frac{\Delta^{r-1/2} \bar{\psi}_n (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/2q} \varepsilon}{h} \right),$$

where the first and second inequalities follow from the Hölder inequality and the BDG inequality, respectively. Let

$$\varepsilon = \bar{\psi}_n^{-(1+\frac{q}{2})} = (\log n)^{1+\frac{3}{2q}-\frac{1}{q^2}} n^{-((\theta+\kappa-2r\kappa+\alpha)/q)(1+q/2)},$$

we have \mathcal{V}_2 is of the order of $O_p(\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h})$. For \mathcal{V}_3 , define

$$M_T := \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_k(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i} \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dW_t,$$

which can be represented as a continuous martingale with quadratic variation

$$\langle M \rangle_T = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_k^2(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dt.$$

Denote

$$Z_{n,i}(x_k, h) := g_k^2(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dt - \mathbb{E} \left[g_k^2(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dt \right].$$

It is easy to obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned} |Z_{n,i}(x_k, h)| &\leq C_1 \Delta^{2r+1} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/q} \bar{\psi}_n^2, \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^m Z_{n,i}(x_k, h) \right)^2 \right] &\leq C_2 m^2 \Delta^{4r+2} (\log(1/\Delta))^{2/q} \bar{\psi}_n^4 h, \quad 0 < m \leq (n-1), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants C_1, C_2 . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M \rangle_T &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_{n,i}(x_k, h) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[g_k^2(X_{i\Delta}) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \rho_{t,i}^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \bar{\psi}_n\}} dt \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} Z_{n,i}(x_k, h) + C_3 Th \bar{\psi}_n^2 \Delta^{2r} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 > 0$. If $\bar{\alpha} = 1 - (1 - 2r)\kappa - (2 + 2\theta)/(2 + q)$, we have, $Th \bar{\psi}_n^2 \Delta^{2r} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/q} \leq aT^2 hn^{-\bar{\alpha}}$ for sufficiently large a . Moreover, for any sufficiently large $a > 0$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P} \left[\mathcal{V}_3 \geq a \sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h} \right] \\ &\leq \Lambda \varepsilon^{-4} \left\{ \mathbb{P} \left[M_T \geq aTh \sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h}, \langle M \rangle_T \leq 2aT^2 hn^{-\bar{\alpha}} \right] + \mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |Z_{n,i}(x_k, h)| > aT^2 hn^{-\bar{\alpha}} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \Lambda \varepsilon^{-4} \left[\exp\left(-\frac{a \log n}{4}\right) + 4Anm^{-1-\beta} \Delta^{-\beta} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4 \exp\left(-\frac{a^2 T^4 h^2 n^{-2\bar{\alpha}}}{64n (C_2 m \Delta^{4r+2} (\log(1/\Delta))^{2/q} \bar{\psi}_n^4 h) + \frac{8}{3} C_1 \Delta^{2r+1} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/q} \bar{\psi}_n^2 a T^2 h n^{-\bar{\alpha}} m}\right) \right] \\
&\leq \Lambda \varepsilon^{-4} \left[n^{-\frac{q}{4}} + 4An^{-2+2\bar{\alpha}-3\beta+2\bar{\alpha}\beta} h^{-1-\beta} (\log n)^{1+\beta} \Delta^{-\beta} \bar{b}_n^{1+\beta} + 4 \exp\left(-\frac{a \log n}{64C_2/a + (8/3)C_1}\right) \right] \\
&= O\left((\log n)^{-4-\frac{6}{q}+\frac{4}{q^2}} n^{(2+\frac{4}{q})(\bar{\alpha}+\theta)+\frac{4\kappa}{q}-\frac{8r\kappa}{q}+2\kappa-4r\kappa} (n^{-\frac{q}{4}} + 4n^{-C_4 a}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (\log n)^{-4-\frac{12}{q}-\frac{6\beta}{q}+\frac{8+4\beta}{q^2}} n^{2\bar{\alpha}-2+(2+\frac{8}{q})(\bar{\alpha}+\theta)+\frac{8\kappa}{q}-\frac{16r\kappa}{q}+4\kappa-8r\kappa+\theta+\beta(2\kappa-4r\kappa)+\beta\theta-(3-2\bar{\alpha})\beta+\kappa\beta+\frac{4\kappa-8r\kappa}{q}\beta+\frac{4(\bar{\alpha}+\theta)}{q}\beta}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_4 = 1/(64C_2/a + (8/3)C_1)$. The second inequality follows from the exponential inequality for continuous martingales, the Bernstein inequality for strong mixing arrays. The third inequality holds with

$$m = \frac{n^{3-2\bar{\alpha}} h}{(\log n) \bar{b}_n}, \quad \left(m \leq \min \left\{ n-1, \frac{aTh\Delta}{4C_1 \Delta^{2r+1} (\log(1/\Delta))^{1/q} \bar{\psi}_n^2} \right\} \text{ for large } a, n, \text{ and small } h, \Delta \right),$$

where $\bar{b}_n = \Delta^{4r-2} (\log n)^{\frac{2}{q}} \bar{\psi}_n^4$. If

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\bar{\alpha} - 2 + (2 + 8/q)(\bar{\alpha} + \theta + \kappa) + \theta + 2\kappa(1 - 4r - 8r/q) \\
&< \beta(4r\kappa - 2\kappa) - \beta\theta + (3 - 2\bar{\alpha})\beta - \kappa\beta - (4\kappa - 8r\kappa + 4\bar{\alpha} + 4\theta)\beta/q,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\beta > (2 + 3\theta)/(1 - \theta - \kappa)$, we could get $\mathcal{V}_3 = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h})$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose that*

- (1) *Assumption 2.1 and (1) in Assumption 2.3 hold. $\sup_x |\pi(x)| < \infty$. $q > 2\theta + 2\kappa$.*
- (2) *$\Delta^{-1} = O(T^{\bar{\kappa}})$, $(\log T)/T^{\bar{\theta}}h \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\bar{\kappa} > 0$ and $\bar{\theta} \in (0, 1)$.*

If

$$\beta > \frac{2 + 3\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{\kappa} + (6\bar{\theta} + 4\bar{\kappa} + 4)/q}{1 - \bar{\theta} + (2 - 2\bar{\theta})/q},$$

it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \sigma(X_t) dW_t \right| = O_p(\sqrt{\log T/T^{\bar{\alpha}}h}),$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = 1 - (2 + 2\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{\kappa})/(2 + q)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that*

- (1) *Assumption 2.1 and 2.3 hold. σ is uniformly bounded. $\sup_x |\pi(x)| < \infty$. $\sup_{x,y} |\pi_{t,t+s}(x,y)| < \infty$.*
- (2) *$\Delta^{-1} = O(n^{\kappa})$, $(\log n)/n^{\theta}h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and $\kappa \in (0, 1 - \bar{\alpha}/2)$, where $\bar{\alpha} \leq 1$.*

If $\beta > \frac{2+1/(2+q)}{1-2(\bar{\alpha}-1+\kappa)/\bar{\alpha}}$, it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x)) - \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x))]] \right| = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h}).$$

Proof. Denote

$$H_i(x) = K((X_{i\Delta} - x)/h) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x)).$$

Consider a compact set $[-b_n, b_n] \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $b_n \rightarrow \infty$, whose growing rate is specified below, and its finite covering $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\bar{v}(n)}$ such that $[-b_n, b_n] \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\bar{v}(n)} I_k$, each I_k is a closed ball in \mathbb{R} with its center x_k and radius r_n , and $\bar{v}(n) = b_n/r_n$. Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [H_i(x) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x)]] \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{|x| > b_n} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |H_i(x) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x)]| \\ & \quad + \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, \bar{v}(n)\}} \sup_{x \in I_k} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [|H_i(x) - H_i(x_k)| + |\mathbb{E}[H_i(x)] - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]|] \\ & \quad + \max_{k \in \{1, \dots, \bar{v}(n)\}} \left| \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [H_i(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]] \right| \\ & := R_1 + R_2 + R_3. \end{aligned}$$

For R_1 , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 & \leq \sup_{|x| > b_n} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \frac{b_n}{2}\}} - \mathbb{E} \left[H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \frac{b_n}{2}\}} \right] \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{|x| > b_n} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \frac{b_n}{2}\}} - \mathbb{E} \left[H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| \leq \frac{b_n}{2}\}} \right] \right| \\ & := R_{11} + R_{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(X_{i\Delta} - x)/h \geq b_n/(2h)$, if $|x| > b_n$ and $|X_{i\Delta}| \leq b_n/2$. Then, $K((X_{i\Delta} - x)/h) = 0$, if $b_n/(2h) \geq \bar{c}_K$ with sufficiently large n . Therefore, the convergence rate of R_1 is denominated by R_{11} . Applying the mean-value theorem, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{|x| > b_n} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \frac{b_n}{2}\}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{\bar{K}}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{I}_{\{|y-x| \leq \bar{c}_K h\}} |\sigma^2(y) - \sigma^2(x)| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}| > \frac{b_n}{2}\}} \\ & \leq \frac{\bar{K}}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sup_{|y-x| \leq \bar{c}_K h} |(\sigma^2)'(y + \bar{\lambda}(x-y)) (y-x)| \frac{|X_{i\Delta}|^{2+q}}{(b_n/2)^{2+q}} \\ & = O_p(1/(b_n^{2+q})), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\lambda} \in [0, 1]$. The last equality follows from the boundedness of $(\sigma^2)'$ and the moment condition of X_t .

Similarly, we could get

$$\sup_{|x|>b_n} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \mathbb{E} \left[H_i(x) \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_{i\Delta}|>\frac{b_n}{2}\}} \right] \right| = O(1/(b_n^{2+q})).$$

In conclusion, $R_1 = O_p(1/(b_n^{2+q}))$. For R_2 , define an event $D_{n,i}(x, x_k)$ in Ω as follows for each (n, i, x, x_k) ,

$$D_{n,i}(x, x_k) := \{\max\{|X_{i\Delta} - x|, |X_{i\Delta} - x_k|\} \leq \bar{c}_K h + r_n\}.$$

Note that for any $x \in I_k$, $|x - x_k| \leq r_n$, we can get,

$$\{|X_{i\Delta} - x| \leq \bar{c}_K h\} \subset D_{n,i}(x, x_k), \quad \text{and, } \{|X_{i\Delta} - x_k| \leq \bar{c}_K h\} \subset D_{n,i}(x, x_k).$$

For any $x \in I_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |H_i(x) - H_i(x_k)| \\ & \leq \sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) \left| K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x_k}{h}\right) \right| + K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h}\right) \mathbb{I}_{D_{n,i}(x, x_k)} |\sigma^2(x) - \sigma^2(x_k)| \\ & \quad + \sigma^2(x_k) \mathbb{I}_{D_{n,i}(x, x_k)} \left| K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h}\right) - K\left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x_k}{h}\right) \right| \\ & \leq \tilde{K} |x_k - x|/h + \tilde{K} \mathbb{I}_{D_{n,i}(x, x_k)} |(\sigma^2)'(x + \lambda(x_k - x))| |x - x_k| + \tilde{K} \mathbb{I}_{D_{n,i}(x, x_k)} |x - x_k|/h \\ & \leq (\tilde{K}_1 r_n)/h, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\tilde{K}, \tilde{K}_1 > 0$. The first inequality uses the triangle inequality, the second inequality holds with the boundedness of K , σ^2 , and Lipschitz property of K . Therefore, $R_2 = O_p(r_n/h^2)$. Let $b_n = (n^{\bar{\alpha}} h / \log n)^{1/(2(2+q))}$ and $r_n = \sqrt{h^3 \log n / n^{\bar{\alpha}}}$, we can get R_1 and R_2 are all of order $\sqrt{\log n / n^{\bar{\alpha}} h}$. For R_3 , define

$$\Sigma_{H,m}^2 := \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^m [H_i(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]] \right|^2 \right], \quad \text{where } m \leq n-1.$$

In the following, we will show that if $\beta > 1$, there exists some constant \bar{C}_2 such that

$$\Sigma_{H,m}^2 \leq \bar{C}_2 m \Delta^{-1} h^{4-\frac{2}{\beta}}. \quad (11)$$

Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{H,m}^2 &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^m [H_i(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]]^2 \right] + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathbb{E} [[H_i(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]] [H_j(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_j(x_k)]]] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} [H_i^2(x_k)] - \sum_{i=1}^m [\mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]]^2 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} [\mathbb{E} [H_i(x_k) H_j(x_k)] - \mathbb{E} [H_i(x_k)] \mathbb{E} [H_j(x_k)]] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{E} [H_i^2(x_k)] + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathbb{E} [|H_i(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \bar{C}mh^3 + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathbb{E} [|H_i(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|] \quad (12)$$

where $\bar{C} > 0$. The last inequality uses change-of variable and the boundedness of $(\sigma^2)'$. To find the bound of the second term in (12), we bound $\mathbb{E} [|H_1(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|]$ in two ways. At first, for $j > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} [|H_1(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h^2 K(u) |\sigma^2(uh + x_k) - \sigma^2(x_k)| K(v) |\sigma^2(vh + x_k) - \sigma^2(x_k)| \pi_{1,j}(uh + x_k, vh + x_k) dudv \\ &\leq \bar{C}_1 h^4, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{C}_1 > 0$. The last inequality holds with the boundedness of $\pi_{1,j}$ and $(\sigma^2)'$. Second, it is easy to obtain that $|H_i(x_k)| \leq C_H h$ for some positive constant C_H , where $i \geq 1$. Using the Billingsley inequality (Corollary 1.1 in [34]), we have,

$$\mathbb{E} [|H_1(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|] \leq 4\alpha(j\Delta) \|H_1(x_k)\|_{\infty}^2 \leq 4AC_H^2 j^{-\beta} \Delta^{-\beta} h^2, \quad j > 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \mathbb{E} [|H_i(x_k)| |H_j(x_k)|] \\ &\leq 2m \left[\sum_{1 < j \leq \Delta^{-1}h^{-2/\beta}} \bar{C}_1 h^4 + \sum_{j > \Delta^{-1}h^{-2/\beta}} 4AC_H^2 j^{-\beta} \Delta^{-\beta} h^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2\bar{C}_1 m \Delta^{-1} h^{-2/\beta} h^4 + 8AC_H^2 m \Delta^{-1} h^{-2/\beta} h^4 / (\beta - 1) \\ &= \bar{C}_2 m \Delta^{-1} h^{4-2/\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $\bar{C}_2 = 2\bar{C}_1 + 8AC_H^2 / (\beta - 1)$. It is worth mentioning that $\beta > 1$, and $\Delta^{-1}h^{-2/\beta} \geq 2$ for small Δ and h . The second inequality holds with the application of

$$\sum_{j > \Delta^{-1}h^{-2/\beta}} j^{-\beta} \leq \int_{\Delta^{-1}h^{-2/\beta}}^{\infty} x^{-\beta} dx = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \Delta^{\beta-1} h^{2-2/\beta}.$$

Combined (12) and (13), we could get (11). Employing the Bernstein exponential inequality for strong mixing arrays, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}[R_3 \geq a\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h}] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\bar{v}(n)} \mathbb{P} \left[\left| \sum_{i=1}^n [H_i(x_k) - \mathbb{E}[H_i(x_k)]] \right| \geq anh\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h} \right] \\ &\leq \bar{v}(n) \left[4 \exp \left(- \frac{a^2 n^2 h^2 \log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h}{64\bar{C}_2 n \Delta^{-1} h^{4-2/\beta} + (8/3) amnh \sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h} C_H h} \right) + 4Anm^{-1-\beta} \Delta^{-\beta} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{b_n}{r_n} \left[4 \exp \left(-\frac{a^2 \log n}{64\bar{C}_2 \Delta^{-1} h^{3-2/\beta} / n^{1-\bar{\alpha}} + (8/3)aC_H} \right) + 4An \left(\frac{n^{2-\bar{\alpha}}}{h \log n} \right)^{(-1-\beta)/2} \Delta^{-\beta} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{4b_n}{r_n} n^{-\frac{a^2}{64\bar{C}_2 + (8/3)aC_H}} + 4A \frac{b_n}{r_n} \left(\frac{n^{2-\bar{\alpha}}}{h \log n} \right)^{(-1-\beta)/2} n \Delta^{-\beta},
\end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality holds with $m = n^{1-\bar{\alpha}/2} (h(\log n))^{-1/2}$ ($m \leq \max\{n-1, (anh\sqrt{\log n/n^{\bar{\alpha}}h})/(4C_H h)\}$) for large a). The last inequality holds with $\Delta^{-1} h^{3-2/\beta} \leq 1$ as $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$ if $\beta > 1$. Note that,

$$\frac{b_n}{r_n} = (\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2(2+q)} - \frac{1}{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2(2+q)} - \frac{3}{2}} n^{\frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2(2+q)} + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{2}},$$

then, the second term of the last inequality is of the order

$$(\log n)^{-\frac{1}{2(2+q)} + \frac{\beta}{2}} h^{\frac{1}{2(2+q)} + \frac{\beta}{2} - 1} n^{\frac{\beta(\bar{\alpha}-2+2\kappa) + \bar{\alpha}(2+1/(2+q))}{2}}.$$

If $\beta(\bar{\alpha}-2+2\kappa) + \bar{\alpha}(2+1/(2+q)) < 0$, i.e., $\beta > \frac{2+1/(2+q)}{1-2(\bar{\alpha}-1+\kappa)/\bar{\alpha}}$ with $\kappa < 1-\bar{\alpha}/2$, we have $R_3 = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 and 2.3 hold. μ is uniformly bounded. $\sup_x |\pi(x)| < \infty$. $\sup_{x,y} |\pi_{t,t+s}(x,y)| < \infty$. $\Delta^{-1} = O(T^{\bar{\kappa}})$, $(\log T)/T^{\bar{\theta}} h \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$, for some constants $\bar{\kappa} > 0$ and $\bar{\theta} \in (0, 1)$.*

(1) *If $\beta > \frac{(2+1/(2+q))(\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\theta})}{2-\bar{\alpha}-\bar{\theta}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \leq 1$, it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x)]] \right| = O_p(\sqrt{\log T/T^{\bar{\alpha}}h}).$$

(2) *If $\beta > \frac{2(\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\theta}) + ((\bar{\alpha}-\bar{\theta})/(2+q))}{2-\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\theta}}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \leq 1$, it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\mu(X_{i\Delta}) - \mu(x)) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\mu(X_{i\Delta}) - \mu(x))]] \right| = O_p(\sqrt{\log T/T^{\bar{\alpha}}h}).$$

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose the assumptions in Lemma 3.4 hold. $|(\sigma^2)''(x)| = O(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} (\sigma^2(X_t) - \sigma^2(X_{i\Delta})) dt \right| = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} + \sqrt{\log n/nh}).$$

Proof. Applying Itô formula, we can get,

$$\sigma^2(X_{(i+1)\Delta}) - \sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) = \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \mathcal{A}\sigma(X_t) dt + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} (\sigma(\sigma^2)')(X_t) dW_t,$$

where $\mathcal{A}\sigma = (\sigma^2)'\mu + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^2)''\sigma^2$. Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} (\sigma^2(X_t) - \sigma^2(X_{i\Delta})) dt \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \mathcal{A}\sigma(X_s) ds dt \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t (\sigma(\sigma^2)')(X_s) dW_s dt \right| \\ & := \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x)]] \right| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x)]| \\ & = O_p(1), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the first term in (14) is $o_p(1)$, as verified in Lemma 3.4. The second term is $O_p(1)$, which follows from the boundedness of π . Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_1 & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |\mathcal{A}\sigma(X_s)| ds \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |\mathcal{A}\sigma(X_s)| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_s| \leq \bar{\phi}_n\}} ds \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |\mathcal{A}\sigma(X_s)| \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_s| > \bar{\phi}_n\}} ds \right| \\ & = O_p(\Delta \bar{\phi}_n) + O_p(\Delta/h\bar{\phi}_n^q), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\phi}_n$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. Let $\bar{\phi}_n = h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})$. Applying the method of proving Lemma 3.2, we can get $\mathcal{Q}_2 = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose the assumptions in Lemma 3.4 hold. $|\mu''(x)| = O(|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, it holds that as $n, T \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta, h \rightarrow 0$,*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left[\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) ds - \frac{\Delta^2}{2} X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right] \right| = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} + \sqrt{\log n/nh}).$$

Proof. Applying Itô formula, we have,

$$\mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) = \int_{i\Delta}^s X_u \mu(X_u) du + \int_{i\Delta}^s (\mu\mu')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) du$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{i\Delta}^s (\sigma^2 \mu'')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) du + \int_{i\Delta}^s (\sigma \mu')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) dW_u,$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left[\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) ds - \frac{\Delta^2}{2} X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right] \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^s (X_u \mu(X_u) - X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta})) duds \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^s (\mu \mu')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) duds \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{2T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^s (\sigma^2 \mu'')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) duds \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^s (\sigma \mu')(X_u)(Y_u - Y_{i\Delta}) dW_u ds \right| \\ & := \bar{Q}_1 + \bar{Q}_2 + \bar{Q}_3 + \bar{Q}_4. \end{aligned}$$

Employing the method in Lemma 3.6, we have $\bar{Q}_1 = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}) + O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. Denote $\bar{f} = \mu \mu'$, \bar{Q}_2 can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_2 & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |\bar{f}(X_t)| dt \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t| dt \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} C(1 + |X_t|) dt \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t| dt \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\tilde{C}}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t| dt \right)^2 \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\tilde{C}\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t|^2 dt \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\tilde{C}\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t|^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_t|^2 \leq \tilde{\phi}_n\}} dt \right| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\tilde{C}\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} |X_t|^2 \mathbb{I}_{\{|X_t|^2 > \tilde{\phi}_n\}} dt \right| \\ & = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\phi}_n$ is a sequence of positive real numbers. \tilde{C}, C are some constants. The proof of the last equality is similar to \bar{Q}_1 in Lemma 3.6, we omit here. Moreover, it is easy to obtain that $\bar{Q}_3 = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}})$, and $\bar{Q}_4 = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. \square

4. Proof of the Main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Denote

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \frac{3}{2} (\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})^2}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)} := \frac{C_n(x)}{\hat{\Pi}(x)}. \quad (15)$$

At first, we will show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| C_n(x) - \hat{\Pi}(x) \sigma^2(x) \right| = O_p(a_n, T). \quad (16)$$

Observe that,

$$\Delta^2 (\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})^2 = (Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})^2 + (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta})^2 - 2(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}).$$

Then,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| C_n(x) - \hat{\Pi}(x) \sigma^2(x) \right| \leq \bar{H}_1 + \bar{H}_2 + \bar{H}_3 + \bar{H}_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_1 &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \right) \left[\frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} [(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})^2 - \Delta^2 X_{i\Delta}^2] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2\Delta X_{i\Delta} (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - 2(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right] - \frac{1}{2n} \sigma^2(x) \right|, \\ \bar{H}_2 &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left[\frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} [(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})^2 - \Delta^2 X_{i\Delta}^2] \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2\Delta X_{i\Delta} (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - 2(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right] - \frac{1}{2n} \sigma^2(x) \right|, \\ \bar{H}_3 &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \right) \left[\frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta} - \Delta X_{i\Delta})^2 - \frac{1}{2n} \sigma^2(x) \right] \right|, \\ \bar{H}_4 &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \left[\frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta} - \Delta X_{i\Delta})^2 - \frac{1}{2n} \sigma^2(x) \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We analyze \bar{H}_2 at first, applying Itô formula, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})^2 - \Delta^2 X_{i\Delta}^2 \\ &= \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \int_{i\Delta}^s (4X_u \mu(X_u) + 2\sigma^2(X_u)) dudsd t + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) dsdt \\ &\quad + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \int_{i\Delta}^s 4X_u \sigma(X_u) dW_u dsdt + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\sigma(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) dW_s dt, \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$2(Y_{(i+1)\Delta} - Y_{i\Delta})(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - 2\Delta X_{i\Delta}(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta})$$

$$= \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\mu(X_s) ds dt (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\sigma(X_s)(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) dW_s dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\bar{H}_2 \leq \bar{H}_{21} + \bar{H}_{22} + \bar{H}_{23} + \bar{H}_{24},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}_{21} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left[\left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \int_{i\Delta}^s 4X_u \mu(X_u) du ds dt - \frac{2\Delta^3}{3} X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) ds dt - \frac{\Delta^3}{3} X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2\mu(X_s)(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) ds dt - \Delta^3 X_{i\Delta} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right) \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \int_{i\Delta}^s 2\sigma^2(X_u) du ds dt - \frac{\Delta^3}{3} \sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) \right) \right] \right|, \\ \bar{H}_{22} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{3}{2T\Delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) \left[\int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \int_{i\Delta}^s 4(X_u \sigma(X_u) - X_{i\Delta} \sigma(X_{i\Delta})) dW_u ds dt \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2(\sigma(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{i\Delta}) - (s - i\Delta)X_{i\Delta} \sigma(X_{i\Delta})) dW_s dt \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t 2(\sigma(X_s)(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - \Delta X_{i\Delta} \sigma(X_{i\Delta})) dW_s dt \right] \right|, \\ \bar{H}_{23} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{2T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x)) - \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x))]] \right|, \\ \bar{H}_{24} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{2T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) (\sigma^2(X_{i\Delta}) - \sigma^2(x))] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.6 and 3.7, we can get $\bar{H}_{21} = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}}) + O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. With Lemma 3.2, we have $\bar{H}_{22} = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$. Moreover, $\bar{H}_{23} = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh})$, which follows from Lemma 3.4. $\bar{H}_{24} = O(h^2)$ by simple calculation. For \bar{H}_4 , note that

$$\begin{aligned} &2\Delta X_{i\Delta} (Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) \\ &= 2\Delta^2 X_{(i-1)\Delta}^2 + \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t (4X_s \mu(X_s) + 2\sigma^2(X_s)) ds dt + \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 4X_s \sigma(X_s) dW_s dt \\ &\quad + \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2\mu(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) dt + \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2\sigma(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, it is easy to obtain

$$(Y_{i\Delta} - Y_{(i-1)\Delta} - \Delta X_{i\Delta})^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\Delta^2 \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2X_t \mu(X_t) dt - 2\Delta^3 X_{(i-1)\Delta} \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&+ \left(\int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^s 4X_u \mu(X_u) dudsd t - \frac{2\Delta^3}{3} X_{(i-1)\Delta} \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&+ \left(\int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 2\mu(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) ds dt - \frac{\Delta^3}{3} X_{(i-1)\Delta} \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&- \left(\Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 4X_s \mu(X_s) ds dt - 2\Delta^3 X_{(i-1)\Delta} \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&- \left(\Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2\mu(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) dt - \Delta^3 X_{(i-1)\Delta} \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&+ \left(\Delta^2 \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \sigma^2(X_t) dt - \Delta^3 \sigma^2(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) - \left(\Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 2\sigma^2(X_s) ds dt - \Delta^3 \sigma^2(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&+ \left(\int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^s 2\sigma^2(X_u) dudsd t - \frac{\Delta^3}{3} \sigma^2(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \\
&+ \Delta^2 \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2(X_t \sigma(X_t) - X_{(i-1)\Delta} \sigma(X_{(i-1)\Delta})) dW_t \\
&+ \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^s 4(X_u \sigma(X_u) - X_{(i-1)\Delta} \sigma(X_{(i-1)\Delta})) dW_u ds dt \\
&+ \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 2(\sigma(X_s)(Y_s - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - (s - (i-1)\Delta) X_{(i-1)\Delta} \sigma(X_{(i-1)\Delta})) dW_s dt \\
&- \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t 4(X_s \sigma(X_s) - X_{(i-1)\Delta} \sigma(X_{(i-1)\Delta})) dW_s dt \\
&- \Delta \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} 2(\sigma(X_t)(Y_t - Y_{(i-1)\Delta}) - (t - (i-1)\Delta) X_{(i-1)\Delta} \sigma(X_{(i-1)\Delta})) dW_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar as the proof of \bar{H}_2 , we have $\bar{H}_4 = O_p(\Delta h^{-\frac{1}{q+1}} + \sqrt{\log n/nh} + h^2)$. For \bar{H}_1 and \bar{H}_3 , using mean-value theorem, we have,

$$K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - K_h(X_{i\Delta} - x) = \frac{1}{h^2} K' \left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})}{h} \right) (\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta}),$$

where $0 \leq \bar{\lambda} \leq 1$. According to the conditions on the kernel function, we can construct a function $K^*(u) = K_1 \mathbb{I}_{\{|u| \leq \bar{c}_K + \bar{v}\}}$ such that $\sup_{|v| \leq \bar{v}} |K'(u+v)| \leq K^*(u)$, where $K_1 > 0$, $\bar{v} > 0$, v are some constants. Then,

$$\left| K' \left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h} + \frac{\bar{\lambda}(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})}{h} \right) \right| \mathbb{I}_{\{|\bar{\lambda}(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})/h| \leq \bar{v}\}} \leq K^* \left(\frac{X_{i\Delta} - x}{h} \right).$$

Note that $(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - X_{i\Delta})/h = O_{a.s.}(\Delta^r/h)$. Following from the proof of \bar{H}_2 and \bar{H}_4 , we can get \bar{H}_1 and

\bar{H}_3 are all of the order of $O_p(a_{n,T})$. For the denominator $\hat{\Pi}(x)$ of $\hat{\sigma}^2(x)$, it is easy to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \hat{\Pi}(x) - \pi(x) \right| \\ & \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)]] \right| + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)] - \pi(x)| \\ & \quad + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \right) \right] \right| \\ & = O_p(\sqrt{\log n/nh}) + O_p(h^2) + O_p(\Delta^r/h). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq b_{n,T}} \left| \frac{\hat{\Pi}(x)}{\pi(x)} - 1 \right| = \sup_{|x| \leq b_{n,T}} \left| \frac{\hat{\Pi}(x) - \pi(x)}{\pi(x)} \right| \leq \frac{O_p(a_{n,T})}{\delta_{n,T}}. \quad (17)$$

Combining (16) and (17), we have,

$$\hat{\sigma}^2(x) - \sigma^2(x) = \frac{(C_n(x) - \hat{\Pi}(x)\sigma^2(x))/\pi(x)}{\hat{\Pi}(x)/\pi(x)} = \frac{O_p(a_{n,T}/\delta_{n,T})}{1 + o_p(1)} = O_p\left(\frac{a_{n,T}}{\delta_{n,T}}\right),$$

uniformly over $|x| \leq b_{n,T}$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Denote

$$\hat{\mu}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)(\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta})}{\frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x)} := \frac{A_n}{\hat{\Pi}(x)}.$$

To get the result, we need to show that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |A_n - \hat{\Pi}(x)\mu(x)| = O_p(\Delta^r + h^2 + \sqrt{\log T/Th}).$$

It is easy to obtain

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |A_n - \hat{\Pi}(x)\mu(x)| \leq \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_1 & := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \left((\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta}) - \Delta\mu(x) \right) \right|, \\ \tilde{A}_2 & := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(K_h(\tilde{X}_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) - K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \right) \left((\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta}) - \Delta\mu(x) \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

We analyze \tilde{A}_1 in the first step. Using Itô formula, we have,

$$\tilde{X}_{(i+1)\Delta} - \tilde{X}_{i\Delta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} (X_t - X_{i\Delta}) dt - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} (X_t - X_{(i-1)\Delta}) dt + (X_{i\Delta} - X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \\
&= \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \mu(X_t) dt + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \mu(X_s) ds dt - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \mu(X_s) ds dt \\
&\quad + \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \sigma(X_t) dW_t + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s dt - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can get,

$$\tilde{A}_1 \leq \tilde{A}_{11} + \tilde{A}_{12} + \tilde{A}_{13} + \tilde{A}_{14},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{A}_{11} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \left(\int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \mu(X_t) dt - \Delta \mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \mu(X_s) ds dt - \frac{\Delta}{2} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \mu(X_s) ds dt - \frac{\Delta}{2} \mu(X_{i\Delta}) \right) \right|, \\
\tilde{A}_{12} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \sigma(X_t) dW_t \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^{(i+1)\Delta} \int_{i\Delta}^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s dt - \frac{1}{\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^{i\Delta} \int_{(i-1)\Delta}^t \sigma(X_s) dW_s dt \right|, \\
\tilde{A}_{13} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} [K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) (\mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) - \mu(x)) - \mathbb{E}[K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) (\mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) - \mu(x))]] \right|, \\
\tilde{A}_{14} &:= \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\Delta}{T} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} [K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) (\mu(X_{(i-1)\Delta}) - \mu(x))] \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Following from the lipschitz condition of μ , \tilde{A}_{11} can be bounded by,

$$\frac{\tilde{C}_\mu}{n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K_h(X_{(i-1)\Delta} - x) \right| \times \sup_{|s-t| \in [0, \Delta], s, t \in [0, \infty)} |X_s - X_t| = O_p(\Delta^r),$$

where $\tilde{C}_\mu > 0$. Applying Lemma 3.3 and 3.5, we could get $\tilde{A}_{12} = O_p(\log T/Th)$, and $\tilde{A}_{13} = O_p(\log T/Th)$. $\tilde{A}_{14} = O(h^2)$ by simple calculation. Similar to the proof of \bar{H}_1 in Theorem 2.4, we could get $\bar{A}_2 = O_p(a_{n,T}^*)$. (9) can be obtained as (7), we omit it here for simplicity. \square

5. Simulation

In this section, we investigate the finite-sample performance of the N-W estimator for the drift and diffusion coefficients in two types of integrated diffusion process. The models are defined as follows.

Model 1 (O-U process).

$$dY_t = X_t dt,$$

$$dX_t = \mu_1 X_t dt + \sigma_1 dW_t,$$

where $\mu_1 = -1$, $\sigma_1 = 1$.

Model 2 (CIR process).

$$dY_t = X_t dt,$$

$$dX_t = \mu_2(\tilde{\mu} - X_t)dt + \sigma_2\sqrt{X_t}dW_t,$$

where $\mu_2 = 0.85837$, $\tilde{\mu} = 0.085711$, $\sigma_2 = 0.1566$.

For the nonparametric estimation, we employ the Epanechnikov kernel $K(u) = \frac{3}{4}(1 - u^2)\mathbb{I}(|u| \leq 1)$. To assess the performance of the estimators, we use three measures: mean square error (MSE), mean absolute error (MEAE), maximum absolute error (MAAE) which are defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^L \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (\hat{g}(x_i^k) - g(x_i^k))^2, \\ \text{MEAE} &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^L \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N |\hat{g}(x_i^k) - g(x_i^k)|, \\ \text{MAAE} &= \frac{1}{L} \sum_{k=1}^L \max_i \{|\hat{g}(x_i^k) - g(x_i^k)|\}, \end{aligned}$$

where x_i are equidistant points within the range of X_t , which is $[-0.1, 0.1]$ for the O-U process and $[0.08, 0.09]$ for the CIR process. g represents either the drift or diffusion function of the two models. L denotes the number of Monte Carlo simulations, and N represents the number of grid points. To verify the result of the theorem, we set $h = ((\log n)^3/n)^{1/5}$, $\Delta = n^{-0.41}$ for the diffusion function estimator, and $h = (\log T/T)^{0.21}$, $\Delta = T^{-0.9}$ for the drift function estimator. These choices ensure that the uniform convergence rates of estimators for the drift and diffusion function are $(\log T/T)^{0.42}$ and $((\log n)^3/n)^{2/5}$, respectively.

Tables 1 and 3 summarize the MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the estimators for the drift function in both models, while Tables 2 and 4 summarize the MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the estimators for the diffusion function. For the drift function estimators, the MSE, MEAE, and MAAE decrease with increasing T . Increasing the number of observations n for the diffusion function estimators leads to a notable error reduction. Figure 1 presents an analysis of the maximum absolute error across 1000 trajectories for the drift and diffusion estimators of two models. The mean, maximum, and minimum values of the maximum absolute errors are plotted against $((\log n)^3/n)^{2/5}$ for the diffusion function estimators and $(\log T/T)^{0.42}$ for the drift function estimators. Notably, the linear trend of the MAAE confirms our theoretical results, demonstrating that the uniform convergence rates of the estimators match the predicted rates.

Table 1: MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the drift function estimator for O-U process

T	80	120	200	300	400
MSE($\times 10$)	0.254	0.235	0.209	0.200	0.181
MEAE	0.127	0.124	0.115	0.113	0.108
MAAE	0.158	0.154	0.144	0.141	0.134

Table 2: MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the diffusion function estimator for O-U process


n	1490	2568	5180	13926	75518
MSE	0.269	0.265	0.257	0.252	0.251
MEAE	0.510	0.508	0.504	0.501	0.500
MAAE	0.542	0.537	0.528	0.519	0.512

Table 3: MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the drift function estimator for CIR process


T	80	120	200	300	400
MSE($\times 10^6$)	7.083	6.944	6.862	6.805	6.774
MEAE($\times 10^3$)	2.284	2.267	2.257	2.250	2.246
MAAE($\times 10^3$)	4.809	4.726	4.689	4.658	4.643

Table 4: MSE, MEAE, and MAAE of the diffusion function estimator for CIR process


n	1490	2568	5180	13926	75518
MSE($\times 10^6$)	1.338	1.271	1.235	1.156	1.074
MEAE($\times 10^3$)	1.125	1.106	1.096	1.067	1.033
MAAE($\times 10^3$)	1.244	1.224	1.213	1.181	1.139




(a) $\hat{\mu}$, O-U process



(b) $\hat{\sigma}^2$, O-U process



(c) $\hat{\mu}$, CIR process



(d) $\hat{\sigma}^2$, CIR process

Figure 1: MAAE, AE_{\max} and AE_{\min} versus $(\log T/T)^{0.42}$ and $((\log n)^3/n)^{2/5}$ for the drift and diffusion estimators of the two models, respectively. AE_{mean} represents the MAAE defined as above. $AE_{\max} := \max_{1 \leq k \leq L} \max_i |\hat{g}(x_i^k) - g(x_i^k)|$. $AE_{\min} := \min_{1 \leq k \leq L} \max_i |\hat{g}(x_i^k) - g(x_i^k)|$.

Funding

The research work of Shaolin Ji is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12431017), the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2023YFA1008701).

Competing interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

Availability of data

Data sharing does not apply to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] D. Florens-Zmirou, On estimating the diffusion coefficient from discrete observations, *Journal of Applied Probability* 30 (4) (1993) 790–804, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3214513>.
- [2] B. M. Bibby, M. Sørensen, Martingale estimation functions for discretely observed diffusion processes, *Bernoulli* (1995) 17–39.
- [3] G. J. Jiang, J. L. Knight, A nonparametric approach to the estimation of diffusion processes, with an application to a short-term interest rate model, *Econometric Theory* 13 (5) (1997) 615–645, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466600006101>.
- [4] F. M. Bandi, P. C. B. Phillips, Fully nonparametric estimation of scalar diffusion models, *Econometrica* 71 (1) (2003) 241–283, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0262.00395>.

- [5] J. Fan, C. Zhang, A reexamination of diffusion estimators with applications to financial model validation, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 98 (461) (2003) 118–134, <https://doi.org/10.1198/016214503388619157>.
- [6] C. Y. Tang, S. X. Chen, Parameter estimation and bias correction for diffusion processes, *Journal of Econometrics* 149 (1) (2009) 65–81, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2008.11.001>.
- [7] Y. Aït-Sahalia, J. Y. Park, Bandwidth selection and asymptotic properties of local nonparametric estimators in possibly nonstationary continuous-time models, *Journal of Econometrics* 192 (1) (2016) 119–138, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2015.11.002>.
- [8] P. D. Ditlevsen, S. Ditlevsen, K. K. Andersen, The fast climate fluctuations during the stadial and interstadial climate states, *Annals of Glaciology* 35 (2002) 457–462, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756402781816870>.
- [9] J. Nicolau, Nonparametric estimation of second-order stochastic differential equations, *Econometric theory* 23 (5) (2007) 880–898, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466607070375>.
- [10] O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen, N. Shephard, Econometric analysis of realized volatility and its use in estimating stochastic volatility models, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology* 64 (2) (2002) 253–280, <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9868.00336>.
- [11] T. G. Andersen, T. Teräsvirta, Realized volatility, in: *Handbook of financial time series*, Springer, 2009, pp. 555–575.
- [12] A. Gloter, Parameter estimation for a discrete sampling of an intergrated ornstein-uhlenbeck process, *Statistics* 35 (3) (2001) 225–243, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02331880108802733>.
- [13] S. Ditlevsen, M. Sørensen, Inference for observations of integrated diffusion processes, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 31 (3) (2004) 417–429, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9469.2004.02_023.x.
- [14] A. Gloter, Parameter estimation for a discretely observed integrated diffusion process, *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 33 (1) (2006) 83–104, <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1467-9469.2006.00465.X>.
- [15] H. Wang, Z. Lin, Local linear estimation of second-order diffusion models, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods* 40 (3) (2011) 394–407, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03610920903391345>.
- [16] Y. Song, Z. Lin, H. Wang, Re-weighted nadaraya–watson estimation of second-order jump-diffusion model, *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* 143 (4) (2013) 730–744, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jspi.2012.09.010>.
- [17] Y. Hong, H. Li, Nonparametric specification testing for continuous-time models with applications to term structure of interest rates, *The Review of Financial Studies* 18 (1) (2005) 37–84.
- [18] F. Li, Testing the parametric specification of the diffusion function in a diffusion process, *Econometric Theory* 23 (2) (2007) 221–250, <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0266466607070107>.

- [19] Y. Aït-Sahalia, J. Fan, H. Peng, Nonparametric transition-based tests for jump diffusions, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 104 (487) (2009) 1102–1116.
- [20] D. Kristensen, Semi-nonparametric estimation and misspecification testing of diffusion models, *Journal of Econometrics* 164 (2) (2011) 382–403, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2011.07.001>.
- [21] R. Bu, J. Kim, B. Wang, Uniform and \mathbb{L}_p convergences for nonparametric continuous time regressions with semiparametric applications, *Journal of Econometrics* 235 (2) (2023) 1934–1954, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2023.02.006>.
- [22] H. J. Bierens, Uniform consistency of kernel estimators of a regression function under generalized conditions, *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 78 (383) (1983) 699–707, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2288140>.
- [23] D. W. K. Andrews, Nonparametric kernel estimation for semiparametric models, *Econometric Theory* 11 (3) (1995) 560–596, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466600009427>.
- [24] E. Liescher, Strong convergence of sums of α -mixing random variables with applications to density estimation, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications* 65 (1) (1996) 69–80, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149\(96\)00096-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(96)00096-8).
- [25] B. E. Hansen, Uniform convergence rates for kernel estimation with dependent data, *Econometric Theory* 24 (3) (2008) 726–748, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466608080304>.
- [26] D. Kristensen, Uniform convergence rates of kernel estimators with heterogeneous dependent data, *Econometric Theory* 25 (5) (2009) 1433–1445, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1144782>.
- [27] D. Li, Z. Lu, O. Linton, Local linear fitting under near epoch dependence: uniform consistency with convergence rates, *Econometric Theory* 28 (5) (2012) 935–958, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466612000011>.
- [28] H. Wang, B. Peng, D. Li, C. Leng, Nonparametric estimation of large covariance matrices with conditional sparsity, *Journal of Econometrics* 223 (1) (2021) 53–72.
- [29] B. Koo, O. Linton, Estimation of semiparametric locally stationary diffusion models, *Journal of Econometrics* 170 (1) (2012) 210–233, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2012.05.003>.
- [30] S. Kanaya, Uniform convergence rates of kernel-based nonparametric estimators for continuous time diffusion processes: A damping function approach, *Econometric Theory* 33 (4) (2017) 874–914, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266466616000219>.
- [31] P. Doukhan, Mixing: Properties and examples, *Lecture Notes in Statistics* 85, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-2642-0> (1995).
- [32] A. Y. Veretennikov, On polynomial mixing bounds for stochastic differential equations, *Stochastic processes and their applications* 70 (1) (1997) 115–127, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149\(97\)00056-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-4149(97)00056-2).

- [33] S. Kanaya, D. Kristensen, Estimation of stochastic volatility models by nonparametric filtering, *Econometric Theory* 32 (4) (2016) 861–916.
- [34] D. Bosq, *Nonparametric statistics for stochastic processes*, 2nd ed, Springer, New York, 1998.