GEN TSO: A General JWST Simulator for Exoplanet Times-series Observations

Patricio E. Cubillos^{1,2}

¹Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstrasse 6, A-8042, Graz, Austria ²INAF – Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, 10025 Pino Torinese, Italy

Abstract

GEN TSO is a noise calculator specifically tailored to simulate *James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)* time-series observations of exoplanets. GEN TSO enables the estimation of signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) for transit or eclipse depths through an interactive graphical interface, similar to the *JWST* Exposure Time Calculator (ETC). This interface leverages the ETC by combining its noise simulator, PANDEIA, with additional exoplanet resources from the NASA Exoplanet Archive, the Gaia DR3 catalog of stellar sources, and the TrExoLiSTS database of *JWST* programs. The initial release of GEN TSO allows users to calculate S/Ns for all *JWST* instruments for the spectroscopic time-series modes available as of the Cycle 4 GO call. Additionally, GEN TSO allows users to simulate target acquisition on the science targets or, when needed, on nearby stellar targets within the visit splitting distance. This article presents an overview of GEN TSO and its main functionalities. GEN TSO has been designed to provide both an intuitive graphical interface and a modular API to access the resources mentioned above, facilitating planing and simulation of *JWST* exoplanet time-series observations. GEN TSO is available for installation via the Python Package Index and its documentation can be found at pcubillos.github.io/gen_tso.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Exoplanets (498), James Webb Space Telescope (2291), Time series analysis (1916), Astronomy databases (83)

1. Introduction

Time-series observations, particularly transit and eclipse spectroscopy, have become a cornerstone of exoplanet characterization. The start of operations of the *James Webb Space Telescope (JWST)*, has demonstrated this over the last couple of years. Thanks to its unrivaled data quality and spectral coverage, *JWST* have revolutionized our ability to detect atmospheric molecules, constrain cloud properties, and infer the dynamic, chemical, and physical processes responsible for these observations (e.g., Ahrer et al. 2023; Alderson et al. 2023; Coulombe et al. 2023; Beatty et al. 2024; Bell et al. 2024, 2023; Benneke et al. 2024; Carter et al. 2024; Dyrek et al. 2024; Feinstein et al. 2023; Fu et al. 2024; Holmberg & Madhusudhan 2024; Madhusudhan et al. 2023; Murphy et al. 2024; Rustamkulov et al. 2023; Sing et al. 2024; Valentine et al. 2024; Welbanks et al. 2024; Xue et al. 2024).

To plan observations, the Space Telescope Science Institute provides the *JWST* Exposure Time Calculator (ETC, https://jwst.etc.stsci.edu/), a web-based tool for estimating the exposure time needed to achieve a desired science goal. The ETC uses the current best knowledge of the performance of the *JWST* instruments to estimate their flux rates, noise properties, and signal-to-noise ratios. As such, the ETC is a vital tool for simulating realistic exoplanet time-series observations with *JWST*.

However, the ETC is a complex tool, since it must support all *JWST* instruments and observing modes. *JWST* is equipped with four instruments to sample the near-infrared

(NIRISS, NIRCam, and NIRSpec, $0.6-5.0 \ \mu\text{m}$) and midinfrared region of the spectrum (MIRI, $5.0-28.0 \ \mu\text{m}$), each with their own specific observing modes including imaging, spectroscopy, coronography, and aperture masking interferometry. These instruments were designed to study a variety of astronomical phenomena including the early universe after the Big Bang, the evolution of galaxies, the formation of stars and their planetary systems, and the physical properties of solar and extrasolar planets.

Further, estimating the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of exoplanet time-series observations requires additional calculations beyond the core design of the ETC, as well as additional information of stellar and planetary parameters. This information is distributed in multiple databases, e.g., the NASA Exoplanet Archive¹ for the planetary physical parameters; the SIMBAD database², Gaia DR3 catalog³, and 2MASS catalog⁴ for the for stellar physical properties and coordinates; or the TrExoLiSTS database⁵ for *JWST* observing programs. Using the ETC for exoplanet applications can thus be complex and time consuming.

With this in mind, we have developed GEN TSO, an opensource Python package designed to simplify the estimation of exoplanet transit and eclipse S/Ns from *JWST* time-series observations. Gen TSO provides a streamlined graphical interface that emulates the ETC web application, but containing

patricio.cubillos@oeaw.ac.at

¹https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu

²https://simbad.u-strasbg.fr/simbad

³https://gea.esac.esa.int/archive

⁴https://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/Missions/2mass.html

⁵https://www.stsci.edu/~nnikolov/TrExoLiSTS/JWST/trexolists.html



Figure 1. GEN TSO graphical user interface. This is a layout that incorporates thes elements of the *JWST* ETC, but custom designed for transiting exoplanet time-series observations. The layout has well defined sections for inputs and outputs, as well as astrophysical and instrumental settings. The top left panel sets the instrument and mode selection. The lower left panel ("Target") contains the inputs for the target, host star, and transit or eclipse settings. The panel to the right ("Detector setup") contains the inputs for the instrumental configuration. The top panel on the right contains the buttons to calculate S/N estimations and list of all previous runs. Below there are two multi-tab panels with ouput figures (filters, field of view, stellar SED models, transit or eclipse spectra, and time-series S/N simulations) and output text information (real-time S/N, warnings, and list of stellar sources around the science target).

only the components that are needed for exoplanet simulations. This interface is enhanced by incorporating physical and observational information for the known population of exoplanets from a variety or databases, enabling the direct calculation of transit or eclipse depths S/Ns, and providing a range of interactive visualization tools.

This article introduces GEN TSO package to the wider scientific community. Section 2 outlines the components, features, and usage of GEN TSO. Section 3 provides benchmark comparisons to validate the package's calculations. Finally, Section 4 presents a summary and future development plans.

2. Overview of Gen TSO

At its core, the GEN TSO package is an exoplanet noise simulator for *JWST*. Its main interface is a graphical user application (Figure 1). This interface resembles the *JWST* ETC; However, it is simplified by focusing specifically on the simulation of time-series observations. Importantly, GEN TSO also leverages the ETC by offering additional features, such as direct access to several exoplanet databases and the ability to directly estimate S/Ns for exoplanet transits and eclipses. This is achieved by bringing together PANDEIA (Pontoppidan et al. 2016), the ETC's noise calculator, with the following resources:

- SYNPHOT (STScI Development Team 2018): stellar spectral energy distribution (SED) models
- NASA Exoplanet Archive: system parameters of confirmed and candidate exoplanets
- TrExoLiSTS (Nikolov et al. 2022): list of approved *JWST* exoplanet time-series programs
- Gaia Data Release 3 (DR3, Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023): catalog of stellar sources
- ESASky (Baines et al. 2017; Giordano et al. 2018): interactive visualization of the field of view

The goal of the GEN TSO package is to provide an intuitive and interactive interface, integrating in a single place the resources required to simulate *JWST* observations. The following sections describe in more detail the graphical interface and the features enabled by each of these components.

2.1. Graphical User Interface

The GEN TSO graphical user interface (Figure 1) is a Python-based application deployed locally in the browser using the SHINY package. SHINY provides a highly reactive framework that enables an interactive interface, allowing users to visualize inputs and outputs in real-time, upload and download files, and perform web-based operations.

The graphical interface is divided into three main sections for the physical inputs, instrumental inputs, and outputs. At the top of the interface, the user can select the instrument and observing mode. GEN TSO simplifies this choice by only including the *JWST* observing modes that can perform spectroscopic time-series observations and target acquisition. Table 1 list the available observing modes for each instrument at the time of publication.

Instrument	Modes			
NIRISS	Single Object Slitless Spectroscopy (SOSS)			
	Target Acquisition			
NIRSpec	Bright Object Time Series (BOTS)			
	Target Acquisition			
NIRCam	LW Grism Time Series			
	SW Grism Time Series			
	Target Acquisition			
MIRI	Low Resolution Spectroscopy (LRS) Slitless			
	MRS Time Series			
	Target Acquisition			

Table 1. Available observing configurations in GEN TSO

As the user selects an observing mode, the "Detector setup" panel (Fig. 1) updates the instrumental configuration options for the current mode. These include the choice of disperser, filter, orders (for NIRISS), subarray, readout pattern, groups, and integrations.

To help users to quickly assess the spectral range covered by the combination of disperser, filter, and subarray, the panel on the right ("Filters" tab) interactively displays the throughput curves of different instruments and observing modes, highlighting the throughput for the current configuration (Fig. 2).

The "Target" panel on the left of the interface (Fig. 1) contains the configuration for the stellar and planetary properties. At the top of the panel a menu allows users to search for known science targets. Selecting one fills automatically the rest of the fields in this panel with information extracted from the NASA Exoplanet Archive. This menu contains all known confirmed and TESS-candidate targets. If desired, users can filter the target selection to transiting, non-transiting, candidates, or previously observed JWST targets. Section 2.2



Figure 2. Filter throughput display for the NIRSpec instrument (top) or for all instruments (bottom). This display highlights the throughput currently selected (yellow thicker line) in addition to other available throughputs, helping the user to visualize the spectral range being covered and compare to that of other configurations. Users can interactively select/unselect which filters to display with the menu on the right hand side of the display.

explains in more detail how this information is extracted and maintained.

The buttons above the selected target provide additional information about the selected target, such as name aliases, a link to the NASA archive, a link to the system parameters, and a link to the previous JWST programs of the host star.

2.2. NASA Exoplanet Archive

GEN TSO provides system parameters for all confirmed exoplanets and TESS candidates, sourced from the NASA Exoplanet Archive. Ideally, this information could be retrieved live from the NASA web interface. However, the latency of NASA online service makes this sub-optimal for a real-time interactive application, as individual requests require several seconds to execute. Therefore, we opted to fetch and integrate the NASA data directly into the GEN TSO package.

The advantage of this approach is that the application can switch between targets in a seemingly instantaneous manner, as well as function offline. The disadvantage is that not all targets may have up-to-date parameters. To address this issue, the GEN TSO package will be updated regularly to include the latest system parameters (approximately a monthly base, but more frequently when expecting higher demand from proposal cycles). Additionally, GEN TSO provides the tools for users to fetch the current values from the NASA database at any time.

To fetch the NASA data, we use their Table Access Protocol service⁶ (TAP). TAP allows users to download the entire Planetary Systems and TESS Project Candidates tables. From these we retrieve the host star and planet names; the stellar radius, mass, Ks magnitude, effective temperature, surface gravity, right ascension, and declination; and the planetary

⁶https://exoplanetarchive.ipac.caltech.edu/docs/TAP/usingTAP.html

JWST programs for: WASP-107

Program ID	PI	Target	Event	Status	Instrument / Mode	Subarray	Readout	Groups	Duration (h)	Obs date (prop. period) ~
<u>GTO 1201</u>	Lafreniere	WASP-107	transit	Archived	NIRSPEC BOTS+G395M	SUB2048	NRSRAPID	6	10.85	2024-06-26 (12 m)
<u>GTO 1185</u>	Greene	WASP-107	transit	Archived	NIRCAM GRISMR+F444W	SUBGRISM256	BRIGHT2	15	9.43	2023-07-04 (12 m)
<u>GTO 1224</u>	Birkmann	WASP-107	transit	Archived	NIRSPEC BOTS+G395H	SUB2048	NRSRAPID	20	8.59	2023-06-23 (12 m)
GTO 1201	Lafreniere	WASP-107	transit	Archived	NIRISS SOSS	SUBSTRIP256	NISRAPID	5	8.26	2023-06-11 (12 m)
GTO 1280	Lagage	WASP-107	transit	Archived	MIRI LRS	SLITLESSPRISM	FASTR1	40	10.65	2023-01-19 (12 m)
<u>GTO 1185</u>	Greene	WASP-107	transit	Archived	NIRCAM GRISMR+F322W2	SUBGRISM256	BRIGHT2	7	9.44	2023-01-14 (12 m)
<u>GTO 1201</u>	Lafreniere	WASP-107	transit	Failed	NIRSPEC BOTS+G395H	SUB2048	NRSRAPID	20	10.85	(12 m)

Keys: Observed, publicly available. Observed, in proprietary period. To be observed, planned window. Failed, withdrawn, or skipped.

Figure 3. List of *JWST* observing programs for the host star WASP-107. For each observation, GEN TSO displays the program ID and principal investigator, type of observation, instrumental configuration, and observing timing. To guide the users, the observations are color coded by publicly available (green), in proprietary period (black), to be observed (orange), and unsuccessful (red). This information helps users to avoid duplicating observations, complement observation with other instruments, or simulate existing observations. The Program ID column provides links to the programs, hosted at the Space Telescope website.

radius, mass, orbital period, semi-major axis, and transit duration. TESS candidates flagged as "false alarm" or "false positive" are excluded. Since the TESS table does not include the Ks magnitudes, we obtained the values from the SIMBAD astronomical database or the Vizier/2MASS catalog (Wenger et al. 2000).

When multiple references (entries) exist for a given target, the system parameters are selected adopting a hierarchical approach. First, the parameters from the entry flagged as the "default parameter set" by the NASA catalog are chosen. If any parameters are missing, they are supplemented with data from the remaining entries, which have been sorted from most to least complete (regarding the parameters listed in the paragraph above). Parameters of planets belonging to a same host are cross checked to ensure consistent stellar parameters, adopting the values from the most complete parameter set, or else from the one with most entries (assuming that translates into the one most studied). If stellar parameters have changed, the planetary parameters are updated while preserving the observed properties (e.g., if a stellar radius changed, the planet radius is updated according to the $R_{\rm p}/R_{\star}$ ratio). The graphical interface provides a link to the planet's entry in the NASA archive for users to verify the source of the parameters shown (Fig. 1, black circled *i* icon).

When dealing with entire catalogs, a main challenge is to ensure consistency between different databases, primarily because objects are often named differently across the multiple databases (e.g., the same target is listed as WASP-178 in the NASA confirmed-planets catalog, but is listed as TOI-1337 in the NASA TESS-candidates catalog, and is listed as HD 134004 in the SIMBAD and TrExoLiSTS databases; whereas in the literature the object can also be found under the name KELT-26). To solve this issue, we also collected all known aliases from the NASA System Aliases Lookup Service, which we complemented with the alias names from SIMBAD. This allowed us to identify and cross-match most targets, except for a few non-standard names in TrExoLiSTS which were corrected manually. As a result, targets searches in GEN TSO will recognize any of the names used in the NASA and TrExoLiSTS databases, as well as any of the most common names found in the literature (i.e., HAT, KELT, MASCARA, TOI, TrES, WASP, and XO). For example, a search for either "WASP-189" or "HD 133112" will return the target's JWST program information in GEN TSO, even though that would not occur in a search in TrExoLiSTS (at the time of publication).

2.3. JWST exoplanet time-series programs

To provide the information on existing *JWST* programs for a given target, GEN TSO integrates data from the TrExoLiSTS database (Nikolov et al. 2022). This database catalogs all accepted *JWST* exoplanet time-series programs, including executed and upcoming observations. Each entry details the target host, event type (transit, eclipse, or phase curve), observation status (archived, pending, or unsuccessful), instrumental configuration, observing date, program ID, and principal investigator. GEN TSO provides this data for each host,



Figure 4. Stellar SED viewer panel of the GEN TSO graphical interface (Fig. 1). The solid curves show a PHOENIX (K5V, light blue), Kurucz (K7V, yellow), and a blackbody ($T_{\rm eff} = 4143$ K, yellow) SED models for the host star WASP-80. All models are normalized to a Ks magnitude of 8.351. The light-blue shaded area marks the spectral coverage of the filter currently selected in the application (NIRSpec G395H/F290P).

indicating which observations are scheduled to be observed, under proprietary period, publicly available, or were unsuccessful (Fig. 3).

2.4. Stellar SED models

The spectral energy distribution (SED) of the host star is a key factor determining the S/N of the observations. GEN TSO enables four types of SED models, similar to those available in the ETC. These options are PHOENIX (Allard & Hauschildt 1995) and Kurucz (1993) stellar models (Kurucz 1979) both provided via the SYNPHOT package (STScI Development Team 2018), blackbody spectra, and custom-provided SED models. The later can be either pre-loaded or uploaded while running the application. While selecting SED models, users can bookmark them to visualize and compare in the "Stellar SED" tab (Fig. 4), facilitating an easy comparison of different models.

When a science target is selected, the SED model is automatically set to the most suitable option, depending on the SED type. PHOENIX and Kurucz SEDs are updated to the one that best matches the target's effective temperature (T_{eff}) and surface gravity $(\log(g))$. Blackbody SEDs adopt the effective temperature of the T_eff field.

2.5. PANDEIA Noise Modeling

To compute the noise properties of an observation GEN TSO uses the PANDEIA engine (Pontoppidan et al. 2016), the exposure time calculator (ETC) system developed for the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST). PANDEIA calculates the twodimensional pixel-by-pixel signal and noise properties of the JWST instruments, appropriately accounting for the instrumental point spread functions, detector readouts, and detector readnoise. The PANDEIA photometric and spectral extraction strategies provide the flux rates ($F(\lambda)$, in $e^- s^{-1}$) and their variances.

For exoplanet time-series observations, we are often interested in the transit or eclipse depth:

$$d(\lambda) = 1 - F_{\rm in}/F_{\rm out}.$$
 (1)

For transits, the flux rates in and out of transit are given by

$$F_{\rm in} = F_{\star} (1 - (R_{\star}/R_{\rm p})^2),$$
 (2)

$$F_{\rm out} = F_{\star}.\tag{3}$$

For eclipses, the flux rates are given by

$$F_{\rm in} = F_\star, \tag{4}$$

$$F_{\rm out} = F_{\star} + F_{\rm p}.\tag{5}$$

Following the last-minus-first formalism, GEN TSO estimates the time-integrated variances in and out of transit as the sum in quadrature of the shot, background, and read noise: $\sigma^2 = \sigma_{shot}^2 + \sigma_{bkg}^2 + \sigma_{read}^2$ (for a detailed description see Batalha et al. 2017). All of these quantities are directly provided by PANDEIA or can be derived from its outputs; thus, all that remains is to propagate uncertainties according to the transit or eclipse depth calculation of Eq. (1):

$$\sigma_d^2 = \left(\frac{1}{t_{\rm in}F_{\rm out}}\right)^2 \sigma_{\rm in}^2 + \left(\frac{F_{\rm in}}{t_{\rm out}F_{\rm out}^2}\right)^2 \sigma_{\rm out}^2, \qquad (6)$$

where $t_{\rm in}$ and $t_{\rm out}$ are the times spent integrating in and out of transit, i.e., the time between the first and last measurements of an integration multiplied by the number of integrations (Rauscher et al. 2007). These times are also a direct output from PANDEIA, and depend on the instrumental configuration.

2.6. Time-series S/N Calculations

To run transit or eclipse S/N calculations, users must configure the observation in the "Target" panel of the application (Fig. 1). The timing parameters to set are the transit duration and the observation duration. The default value of the observation is automatically set according to the recommendation of the *JWST* documentation⁷:

$$T_{\rm dur} = T_{\rm start} + T_{\rm settling} + T_{\rm base} + T_{\rm transit} + T_{\rm base}, \quad (7)$$

where $T_{\rm start}$ (defaulted value of 1 h) is the required start timing window, $T_{\rm settling}$ (0.75 h) is the time recommended for systematics settling, $T_{\rm transit}$ is the transit or eclipse duration, and $T_{\rm base}$ (max{0.5 $T_{\rm transit}$, 1 h}) is the baseline time recommended before and after the transit. These default parameters can be adjusted by the user.

Models for the transit or eclipse depth spectra must be provided by the users. These are plain text files containing the wavelength and the depths in two columns. These files can be pre-loaded or updated while running the application. Like with the SED models, the planetary spectra can also be displayed and compared in the output panel. Alternatively, GEN TSO provides basic blackbody eclipse models or flat transit spectra to make quick S/N assessments.

⁷https://jwst-docs.stsci.edu/jwst-near-infrared-imager-and-slitlessspectrograph/niriss-example-science-programs/niriss-soss-time-seriesobservations-of-a-transiting-exoplanet/step-by-step-etc-guide-for-nirisssoss-time-series-observations-of-a-transiting-exoplanet



Figure 5. Output plots for the simulation of a time-series observation of a WASP-80b transit with NIRSpec G395H/L290P. Top left: Transmission spectrum of the *JWST* simulation (blue markers with error bars) and the input model (solid green curve). Top right: 1D flux rates of the source in-transit source, out-of-transit source, and background. Bottom left: 2D detector S/N map. The yellow and green curves denote the boundaries of the source and background used for the spectral extraction. Bottom right: 1D S/N spectra of the source in and out of transit (note that these have been integrated in time, unlike the figure at the top).

While setting the instrumental and astrophysical parameters, GEN TSO provides real-time feedback to set the number of groups and integrations. The "Results" tab (Fig. 1, lower right panel) indicates the saturation level according to the current number of groups, as well as the number of groups to reach 80% and 100% of saturation. Clear warnings will be displayed when saturation fractions exceed 100%. For a given SED, instrumental configuration, and exposure time, only two scalar parameters are needed to characterize the saturation level: the flux rate at the brightest pixel and the number of counts to saturate the detector (computed by PANDEIA). GEN TSO has tabulated these values for the spectroscopic observing modes and for the PHOENIX and Kurucz SEDs over a grid of Ks magnitudes (from 20 to 3.5), allowing it to provide immediate information about the saturation levels. For other configurations, users can compute the saturation information before deciding to calculate a full S/N estimation. Additionally, the number of integrations can be set to automatically match the total observation duration.

After executing transit, eclipse, or acquisition S/N calculations (Fig. 1, "Run Pandeia" button), the application will store the configuration and outputs (which can then be saved to a file for later use). The "TSO" tab will also display the simulated observations, as well as figure of the 1D and 2D flux rates, 1D and 2D S/N ratios, and 2D saturation maps (Fig. 5). All of these figures are interactive, allowing users to change the data binning resolution or number of simulated events.

Results	Warnings A	cquisition targ	ets							
Science	target: WASP-80	b								
Exposure time: 21640.12 s (6.01 h)										
Max trac	Max fraction of saturation: 77.2%									
ngroup below 80% saturation: 14										
ngroup b	elow 100% satur	ation: 18								
Signal-t	o-noise ratio	5908.7								
Extracte	d flux	5999.7	e-/s							
Flux sta	ndard deviation	1.0	e-/s							
Brightes	t pixel rate	3857.6	e-/s							
		in-t	ransit	out	-tran	sit				
Integrat	ions:		567		1030					
Duty cyc	le:		0.93		0.93					
Total ex	posure time:		7683.1	139	57.0	s				
Firstl	ast dt per expo	sure:	7683.1	139	57.0	s				
Resetl	ast dt per inte	gration:	6648.6	120	77.8	s				
Referenc	e wavelength:		2	.95	micr	ons				
Area of	extraction aper	ture:	6	.60	pixe	ls				
Area of	background meas	urement:	1	5.1	pixe	ls				
Backgrou	nd surface brig	htness:		0.1	МЈу/	sr				
Total sk	y flux in backg	round apertu	ire: 0	.07	e-/s					
Total fl	ux in backgroun	d aperture:	14	.10	e-/s					
Backgrou	nd flux fractio	n from scene	: 0	.99						
Number o	f cosmic rays:	0.0029	events	/pix	el/re	ad				

Figure 6. Results output text for a WASP-80b transit simulation with NIRSpec G395H/L290P.

Finally, a text output (similar to that of the ETC, Fig. 6) will summarize the simulation flux rates, S/Ns, integrations, duty



-		-				
Gaia DR3 target	G_mag	separation (")	T_eff (K)	log(g)	RA (deg)	dec (deg)
6910753016653587840	9.49	0.050	4729.4	4.51	315.0260	-5.0949
6910752844854895360	16.81	38.070	5503.6	4.42	315.0342	-5.0882
6910747136843460480	18.48	40.125	4959.2	4.77	315.0150	-5.0928
6910746934979897088	16.33	53.851	5394.8	4.38	315.0152	-5.1053
6910747141138328064	18.97	64.838	3979.6	5.02	315.0097	-5.1026
6910753046718453248	17.18	67.683	5134.8	4.39	315.0404	-5.0828
6910746930684973952	18.02	69.122	4528.3	4.63	315.0227	-5.1138
6910746866260418944	15.70	74.121	5572.2	3.77	315.0353	-5.1133
6910746934979895936	16.90	77.674	4862.3	4.67	315.0218	-5.1160

Figure 7. Top: ESASky visualization of the field of view around WASP-69. **Bottom:** List of Gaia DR3 stellar sources within the visit splitting distance of WASP-69. Queries for Gaia stellar sources around a target will trigger the red square markers in the ESASky viewer indicating the location of the Gaia stellar sources and the green circle denoting the 80^{''} visit splitting distance. As an additional visual cue to guide the user, the ESASky viewer will highlight the source currently selected from the Gaia DR3 target list.

cycle, exposure times, and other values. When applicable, this information will be broken down by in/out-of-transit.

2.7. Target Acquisition, ESASky viewer, and Gaia DR3 Catalog

One of the interactive output components of GEN TSO is the ESASky viewer panel (Figure 7). ESASky⁸ is an online science driven discovery portal providing full access to the entire sky as observed with space, ground based, and multimessenger astronomy missions (Baines et al. 2017; Giordano et al. 2018). GEN TSO incorporates ESASky primariliy as a viewer panel of the field of view around the selected science target.

Through the interaction with the Gaia Data Release 3 catalog (DR3 Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023), GEN TSO enables users to search for stellar sources and their properties around a science target. This is particularly useful when the science target is not suitable for acquisition, typically when targets are too bright for the NIRSpec instrument.

Search queries will deploy a table of stellar targets that are located within the science target's visit splitting distance ($\sim 80''$). This table lists the relevant information to determine the suitability of these sources for target acquisition: target

name, Gaia magnitude, separation from the science target, effective temperature, $\log(g)$, right ascension, and declination (Fig. 7). Simultaneously, the ESASky viewer will display the location of the Gaia targets and the visit splitting distance area. Users can then select targets from this list to perform the target acquisition.

3. Validation

We validated the GEN TSO scientific outputs in two ways. First, we implemented a test suite using the Python PYTEST package to ensure that the calculations that can be directly compared to the online ETC indeed produce the expected values. These tests include the saturation fractions, exposure times, flux rates and signal to noise ratios, among others.

More importantly, we also compared the GEN TSO depth uncertainty estimations with those of PANDExo (Batalha et al. 2017). PANDExo is also an interface to PANDEIA, designed to compute signal-to-noise ratios (S/N) for exoplanet time-series observations. While both tools handle JWST observations, a key difference is that PANDExo also supports time-series simulations for the Hubble's WFC3 instrument. In contrast, GEN TSO is tailored for JWST, adding features like target acquisition simulations (Section 2.7) and offering easier access to the targets' system parameters (Section 2.2) and prior JWST observations (Section 2.3). Also the more interactive graphical interface of GEN TSO facilitates the visualization and comparison in real time of different stellar SEDs and planetary spectra. For our comparison test, we simulated a spectroscopic transit observation of the warm Jupiter WASP-80b. The host star's SED is a PHOENIX K5V model ($T_{\rm eff} = 4250$ K, $\log g = 4.5$), with a Ks magnitude of 8.351. The observation has a total duration of 6.0 h with a transit duration of 2.1 h. The transit depth spectrum of the planet was computed using the PYRAT BAY code (Cubillos & Blecic 2021) from a radiativethermochemical equilibrium model of a solar-abundance atmosphere with system parameters consistent with WASP-80b. This resulted in a transmission spectrum with a transit depth of $\sim 3\%$ and spectral feature amplitudes of ~ 1 ppt.

We simulated an observation selecting one configuration for each of the four instruments onboard *JWST* (Table 2). For each configuration we selected the fastest readout pattern. The number of groups was selected requiring a maximum saturation fraction of 80%.

Table 2. Instrumental configurations for validation comparison

Instrument / Mode	Grism	Filter	Subarray	ngroup
NIRISS / SOSS	GR700XD	Clear	SUBSTRIP256	3
NIRSpec / BOTS	G395H	F290LP	SUB2048	14
NIRCam / LW Grism	GRISMR	F444W	SUBGRISM64	104
MIRI / LRS	P750L	None	SLITLESSPRISM	34

We ran both GEN TSO and PANDExo using identical input configurations of the instrument, target, background levels, and spectral extraction strategy. Figure 8 shows the simu-

⁸https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/esdc/esasky-help



Figure 8. Benchmarking between GEN TSO (blue) and PANDExo (salmon) for WASP-80b transit simulations with NIRISS (top left panel), NIRSpec (top right), NIRCam (bottom left), and MIRI (bottom right). For clarity, the noisiest data points have been clipped out (when the error > 10 median(error)). Table 2 shows the configuration setup. For each instrument the top panels show a random realization of the simulated spectrum of the true underlying transit model (black curve). The simulated spectra have been binned to a resolving power of R = 80 (NIRISS), 90 (NIRSpec), 150 (NIRCam), and 50 (MIRI). The middle panels show the transit-depth residuals compared to a noiseless model. The bottom panels show the corresponding uncertainties of the transit depth (note that while the shown transit depths are a random stochastic realization, the uncertainties are a deterministic calculation).

lated transit depth spectra and their estimated uncertainties after binning to a similar resolution. Both packages produced consistent uncertainties across the spectra, typically agreeing within $\sim 1-5\%$. Small differences are expected due to variations in how each package processes the data (e.g., interpolation between stellar SED and transit depth spectra, rounding of the number of integration, spectral binning, etc.). The largest discrepancies seen occur near the edges of the spectra or in the gap between detectors for NIRSpec at $\sim 3.7 \mu m$, which are due to the difference in binning method from each package.

4. Summary

The GEN TSO package is a tool designed to compute S/Ns for exoplanet time-series observations with *JWST*. While this tool does not eliminate the need for users to familiarize with the *JWST* instrumentation, it significantly simplifies the planning and simulation of *JWST* observations by providing an interactive interface. This interface provides direct access to the database of confirmed and candidate exoplanets, to the existing catalog of *JWST* exoplanet programs, and to the PANDEIA noise calculator. This allows users to perform S/N estimations for transit, eclipse, and target acquisition simulations, while having interactive feedback of the stellar and planetary spectra, the target's field of view, integration and saturation times, and all outputs that are typically provided by the *JWST* ETC.

We have designed the GEN TSO API in a modular manner such that users have direct access to the astrophysical catalogs and the PANDEIA engine functionality through Python scripts of Jupyter notebooks. For example, users can search for targets with specific properties or specific *JWST* observations. Users can also perform any of the PANDEIA operations shown in this article (e.g., extract stellar SEDs, calculate exposure and saturation times, or run PANDEIA). For practical examples of the API usage, see Listing 1 and the documentation at pcubillos.github.io/gen_tso/tutorials.html

Future development plans include adding support for photometric time-series observing modes, facilitating setting custom parameters for known targets, or enable users to add custom targets not present in the NASA catalogs.

GEN TSO is an open-source package available for installation via the Python Package Index (PyPI):

pip install gen_tso

The source code is public and hosted at Github⁹, and the documentation can be found at pcubillos.github.io/gen_tso.

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her time and valuable comments that improved the manuscript. PEC acknowledges financial support by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Erwin Schroedinger Fellowship, program J4595-N. This research has made use of ESASky, developed by the ESAC Science Data Centre (ESDC) team and maintained alongside other ESA science mission's archives at ESA's European

```
import gen_tso.pandeia_io as jwst
2 import gen_tso.catalogs as cat
3
  import numpy as np
5 # Simulate a WASP-80b transit using NASA values
6 catalog = cat.Catalog()
  target = catalog.get_target('WASP-80 b')
  sed = jwst.find_closest_sed(
8
      target.teff,
9
      target.logg_star,
10
      sed_type='phoenix'
12 )
14 # in-transit and total duration times:
15 transit_dur = target.transit_dur
16 t_base = np.max([0.5*transit_dur, 1.0])
17 obs_dur = 1.75 + transit_dur + 2*t_base
18
19
20 # Planet model spectrum, a plain text file
21 # containing: wl(um) and transit depth
22 depth_model = np.loadtxt(
       WASP80b_transit.dat', unpack=True,
23
24 )
25
26 # Initialize the instrument config object
 pando = jwst.PandeiaCalculation('nirspec',
                                               'bots')
27
28 pando.set_scene(
      sed_type='phoenix', sed_model=sed,
29
30
      norm_band='2mass,ks'
      norm_magnitude=target.ks_mag,
31
32 )
33
34 # Simulate transit TSO remaining at 70% saturation
35 ngroup = pando.saturation_fraction(fraction=70.0)
36 obs_type = 'transit
37 tso = pando.tso_calculation(
      obs_type, transit_dur, obs_dur, depth_model,
38
39
      ngroup=ngroup,
40 )
```

Listing 1. Sample Python GEN TSO script to reproduce the simulation of a WASP-80b transit with NIRspec/G395H shown in Fig. 8.

Space Astronomy Centre (ESAC, Madrid, Spain). This research has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services. The idea for this project was conceived at the "dotAstronomy 12" conference in New York, NY, 2023. PEC thanks contributors to the Python Programming Language and the free and open-source community, including developers of PANDEIA (Pontoppidan et al. 2016), PANDEXO (Batalha et al. 2017), SHINY, PLOTLY, NUMPY (Harris et al. 2020), AASTeX6.2 (AAS Journals Team & Hendrickson 2018), and BIBMANAGER¹⁰ (Cubillos 2020).

References

AAS Journals Team, & Hendrickson, A. 2018, Aasjournals/Aastex60: Version 6.2 Official Release, ADS

```
Ahrer, E.-M. et al. 2023, Nature, 614, 653, ADS, 2211.10489
```

Alderson, L. et al. 2023, Nature, 614, 664, ADS, 2211.10488 Allard, F., & Hauschildt, P. H. 1995, ApJ, 445, 433, ADS, astro-ph/9601150 Baines, D. et al. 2017, PASP, 129, 028001, ADS, 1701.02533 Batalha, N. E. et al. 2017, PASP, 129, 064501, ADS, 1702.01820

⁹https://github.com/pcubillos/gen_tso

¹⁰https://bibmanager.readthedocs.io

- Beatty, T. G. et al. 2024, ApJL, 970, L10, ADS, 2406.04450
- Bell, T. J. et al. 2024, Nature Astronomy, 8, 879, ADS, 2401.13027
- Bell, T. J. et al. 2023, Nature, 623, 709, ADS, 2309.04042
- Benneke, B. et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2403.03325, ADS, 2403.03325
- Carter, A. L. et al. 2024, Nature Astronomy, 8, 1008, ADS, 2407.13893
- Coulombe, L.-P. et al. 2023, Nature, 620, 292, ADS, 2301.08192
- Cubillos, P. E. 2020, bibmanager: A BibTeX manager for LaTeX projects, Zenodo, doi:10.5281/zenodo.2547042, Zenodo, ADS
- Cubillos, P. E., & Blecic, J. 2021, MNRAS, 505, 2675, ADS, 2105.05598
- Dyrek, A. et al. 2024, Nature, 625, 51, ADS, 2311.12515
- Feinstein, A. D. et al. 2023, Nature, 614, 670, ADS, 2211.10493
- Fu, G. et al. 2024, Nature, 632, 752, ADS, 2407.06163
- Gaia Collaboration et al. 2023, A&A, 674, A1, ADS, 2208.00211
- Giordano, F. et al. 2018, Astronomy and Computing, 24, 97, ADS, 1811.10459
- Harris, C. R. et al. 2020, Nature, 585, 357, ADS, 2006.10256
- Holmberg, M., & Madhusudhan, N. 2024, A&A, 683, L2, ADS, 2403.03244
- Kurucz, R. L. 1979, ApJS, 40, 1, ADS
- Madhusudhan, N., Sarkar, S., Constantinou, S., Holmberg, M., Piette, A. A. A., & Moses, J. I. 2023, ApJL, 956, L13, ADS, 2309.05566
- Murphy, M. M. et al. 2024, Nature Astronomy, ADS
- Nikolov, N. K., Kovacs, A., & Martlin, C. 2022, Research Notes of the American Astronomical Society, 6, 272, ADS, 2212.07966
- Pontoppidan, K. M. et al. 2016, in Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 9910, Observatory Operations: Strategies, Processes, and Systems VI, ed. A. B. Peck, R. L. Seaman, & C. R. Benn, 991016, 1707.02202, ADS
- Rauscher, B. J. et al. 2007, PASP, 119, 768, ADS, 0706.2344
- Rustamkulov, Z. et al. 2023, Nature, 614, 659, ADS, 2211.10487
- Sing, D. K. et al. 2024, Nature, 630, 831, ADS, 2405.11027
- STScI Development Team. 2018, synphot: Synthetic photometry using Astropy, Astrophysics Source Code Library, record ascl:1811.001, ADS
- Valentine, D. et al. 2024, AJ, 168, 123, ADS, 2410.08148
- Welbanks, L. et al. 2024, Nature, 630, 836, ADS, 2405.11018
- Wenger, M. et al. 2000, A&AS, 143, 9, ADS, astro-ph/0002110
- Xue, Q., Bean, J. L., Zhang, M., Welbanks, L., Lunine, J., & August, P. 2024, ApJL, 963, L5, ADS, 2310.03245