

POINCARÉ-SOBOLEV EQUATIONS WITH THE CRITICAL EXPONENT AND A POTENTIAL IN THE HYPERBOLIC SPACE

MOUSOMI BHAKTA, DEBDIP GANGULY, DIKSHA GUPTA, AND ALOK KUMAR SAHOO

ABSTRACT. On the hyperbolic space, we study a semilinear equation with non-autonomous non-linearity having a critical Sobolev exponent. The Poincaré-Sobolev equation on the hyperbolic space explored by Mancini and Sandeep [Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. 7 (2008)] resembles our equation. As seen from the profile decomposition of the energy functional associated with the problem, the concentration happens along two distinct profiles: localised Aubin-Talenti bubbles and hyperbolic bubbles. Standard variational arguments cannot obtain solutions because of nontrivial potential and concentration phenomena. As a result, a deformation-type argument based on the critical points at infinity of the associated variational problem has been carried out to obtain solutions for $N > 6$. Conformal change of metric is used for proofs, enabling us to convert the original equation into a singular equation in a ball in \mathbb{R}^N and perform a fine blow-up analysis.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
3. Existence of solutions: The case with Palais-Smale condition	6
3.1. Higher Index Solutions:	9
4. Existence of solutions: The case without Palais-Smale	12
4.1. Projections and Setting up the Problem	13
4.2. Green's Function	14
4.3. Critical Point at Infinity and the Strategy of the Proof	14
5. Appendix	25
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the following elliptic problem on the hyperbolic space of ball model \mathbb{B}^N :

$$(P_a) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = a(x) |u|^{2^*-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^N, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{B}^N & \text{and } u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N), \end{cases}$$

where $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $\frac{N(N-2)}{4} < \lambda < \frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$ and $N \geq 4$. $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ denotes the Sobolev space on the ball model of the hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^N , $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{B}^N , $\frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$ being the bottom of the L^2 spectrum of $-\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$.

Throughout the article, we assume the following:

$$(A) \quad 0 < a \in C^2(\mathbb{B}^N) \quad \text{and} \quad a(x) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } d(x, 0) \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $d(x, 0)$ is the geodesic distance of x from 0 in the hyperbolic space \mathbb{B}^N . The Euclidean unit ball $B^N := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| < 1\}$ endowed with the metric $g_{\mathbb{B}^N} := \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^2 g_{Eucl}$ represents the ball

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35J20, 35J60, 58E30.

Key words and phrases. Aubin-Talenti bubbles, critical Sobolev exponent, critical points at infinity, hyperbolic space, hyperbolic bubbles.

model for the hyperbolic N -space where g_{Eucl} is the Euclidean metric. The corresponding volume element is given by $dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^N dx$, where dx is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^N .

The geometric aspect of the problem is the primary feature of this equation, aside from the existence of the Sobolev exponent in (P_a) . Mathematically speaking, the equation posed on the hyperbolic space presents some new features for the problem's analysis, which we will address in more detail below. Non-compact variational elliptic problems have garnered significant attention recently due to their applicability in various domains, including applied mathematics, differential geometry, and mathematical physics. Noncompactness is a common feature of equations involving critical nonlinearities, singularities, or unbounded domains, which presents a major obstacle for conventional methods. Many mathematicians have created intricate mathematical frameworks and analytical methods suited for non-compact problems to address these difficulties. These include the Palais-Smale (PS) condition, blow-up analysis, concentration-compactness principles, and numerous topological techniques. The theory of non-compact issues has been thoroughly studied and developed to a high degree of sophistication by many researchers. Brezis and Nirenberg [19] showed the existence and non-existence of positive solutions in bounded domains for the case of \mathbb{R}^N in their key works. Their novel approach to restoring compactness centres on the examination of local PS sequences. In addition, throughout the past few decades, many variants of scalar field equations with potential $a(\cdot)$ have been studied in detail, beginning with the groundbreaking work of Berestycki-Lions, Bahri-Li, Bahri-Berestycki, and Bahri-Li [4, 9, 10, 12, 13]. These subjects have now undergone extensive development, especially concerning the multiplicity-related concerns and the assumptions on the potential $a(\cdot)$. Works like [1, 2, 20, 21, 23, 24, 25], among others, demonstrate this advancement; nonetheless, this list is by no means complete.

With its connections to several other critical exponent problems, such as the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya equations, and several geometric partial differential equations, including those found in the Yamabe problem, a natural generalisation of this well-known Brezis-Nirenberg problem in the hyperbolic space gained prominence. Mancini and Sandeep thoroughly studied the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions to this Brezis-Nirenberg-type problem in hyperbolic space. They have shown in their seminal work [38] that the following equation

$$(P_{\lambda,1,0}) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} u - \lambda u = |u|^{2^*-2} u & \text{in } \mathbb{B}^N, \\ u > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{B}^N & \text{and } u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \end{cases}$$

has a unique weak solution $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_{N,\lambda}$ (up to hyperbolic isometries), which is also an extremal of the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality (2.2). By elliptic regularity, any solution of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ is also C^∞ . In [38], authors have also shown that any solution of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ is radially symmetric, symmetric-decreasing and satisfies the following decay property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist positive constants C_1^ε and C_2^ε , depending on ε , such that

$$C_1^\varepsilon e^{-(c(N,\lambda)+\varepsilon)d(x,0)} \leq \mathcal{U}(x) \leq C_2^\varepsilon e^{-(c(N,\lambda)-\varepsilon)d(x,0)}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{B}^N,$$

where $c(N,\lambda) = \frac{N-1+\sqrt{(N-1)^2-4\lambda}}{2}$ and $d(x,0)$ is the hyperbolic distance. Later, Bandle and Kabeya in [8, Lemma 2.3] improved the above result and showed that the estimate holds for $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., there exist constants $\chi_i := \chi_i(N,\lambda)$, $i = 1, 2$ such that

$$\chi_1 e^{-c(N,\lambda)d(x,0)} \leq \mathcal{U}(x) \leq \chi_2 e^{-c(N,\lambda)d(x,0)}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{B}^N.$$

For simplicity of notations, we will always denote the radially symmetric solution by \mathcal{U} and the dependence of N, λ will be implicitly assumed. Moreover, the authors in [38] discovered that $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ naturally arises when studying the Euler-Lagrange equations that correspond to the Hardy-Sobolev-Maz'ya (HSM) inequalities. Recently, mathematicians have been curious to investigate equivalent HSM inequalities for higher-order derivatives after its connection with $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ (see [35, 36]). The authors in [37] have thereafter studied the existence, nonexistence, and symmetry of solutions to the higher-order Brezis-Nirenberg problem in the hyperbolic space. The aforementioned authors' work depends on the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on the hyperbolic space, as well as on estimates of Green's functions for the kernels of powers of fractional Laplacian and the Helgason-Fourier analysis.

Inspired by this, in this article, we aim to investigate whether the solutions persist in the critical case after perturbing with a non-radial potential function, denoted by $a(x)$. The primary difficulty in studying such problems across the entirety of \mathbb{B}^N lies in the translation invariance of the limit equation $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ under the set of isometries of \mathbb{B}^N , resulting in the loss of compactness. On the other hand, the local compactness argument and accurate energy estimates can be exploited in the subcritical scenario (see [26, 27, 28]) for the existence of solutions. Nonetheless, in the presence of the critical exponent, even local compactness cannot be regained. Indeed, the PS decomposition established by Bhakta and Sandeep in [16] identified the precise cause of this loss of compactness, demonstrating that it can be attributed to two profiles: one along the hyperbolic bubble, i.e., the solutions of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$, and the other along the localized Aubin-Talenti profile, i.e., the positive solutions of

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta V = |V|^{2^*-2} V, \quad V \in D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Positive solutions of the above equation are given by

$$V^{\varepsilon,y}(x) := \varepsilon^{(2-N)/2} V\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

where

$$V(x) := [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}} (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{2-N}{2}}.$$

Furthermore, we investigated the problem (P_a) with a non-homogeneous term $f \not\equiv 0$ in [14]. To establish solutions in that scenario, we initially extended the PS decomposition of Bhakta-Sandeep, which posed significant challenges due to the presence of the potential $a(\cdot)$ and necessitated several estimates and geometric arguments regarding the isometry group (Möbius group) of the hyperbolic space. However, the techniques employed to prove the existence results would not be applicable here because $f \equiv 0$. Indeed, for case $a(x) \leq 1$, the first solution was identified as a unique critical point $\mathcal{V}_{a,f}(x)$ of the corresponding energy functional $I_{\lambda,a,f}$ in $B(r_1) = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) : \|u\| < r_1\}$ for some $r_1 > 0$. Then, we proved the existence of $R > 0$ such that the following subadditivity property is demonstrated by the energy functional

$$(1.2) \quad I_{\lambda,a,f}(\mathcal{V}_{a,f}(x) + t\mathcal{U}(\tau_{-y}(x))) < I_{\lambda,a,f}(\mathcal{V}_{a,f}(x)) + I_{\lambda,1,0}(\mathcal{U})$$

for all $d(y,0) \geq R$, $t > 0$, $\|f\|_{H^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)}$ sufficiently small, and $\tau_{-y}(\cdot)$ denotes the hyperbolic translation with $\tau_{-y}(0) = -y$. Now note that $\mathcal{V}_{a,0}(x) = 0$ and hence the energy estimate does not hold when $f \equiv 0$, and therefore the entire arguments, when applied to the case $f \equiv 0$, collapses. Furthermore, in the case where $a(x) \geq 1$, we partition $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ into three components and demonstrate that the energy functional achieves its infimum on one of these components. The proof hinges on the existence of a certain u belonging to one of the components such that $\langle f, u \rangle > 0$. Subsequently, the derivation of the second solution relies on the first solution and a similar energy estimate as (1.2), aiding us in establishing the min-max level below the first level of the breaking of the PS sequence. Thus, the case addressed in this article, where $f \equiv 0$, presents its own set of challenges and requires an entirely different approach.

As expected, we turn to the PS decomposition (see Theorem 2.1) to address the loss of compactness even in this case. We then use this global compactness result to prove existence results. Nevertheless, accomplishing this is challenging, so we divide our study into three cases based on the levels of the breakdown of the PS condition. Initially, we address the scenarios where the Aubin-Talenti blow-up can be circumvented, specifically when $2D_\lambda \leq \max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D$ or $\max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D \leq D_\lambda$, where D_λ and D are defined in (2.3). In these instances, we establish the existence of solutions by constructing a PS sequence at levels within these safe energy ranges, employing the Ekeland variational principle under different sign relations between the potential term and its behaviour at infinity. The challenge arises when the energy level of the PS sequence potentially falls within the region where the Aubin-Talenti blow-up occurs. To address this, we exploit *Critical point at infinity* due to A. Bahri and then carefully analyse the problem, we refer, ([3, 5, 7, 9, 17, 18, 19, 22, 31, 32, 33, 34, 41, 43, 44]) for more details.

The structure of the paper is as follows: Section 2 covers the preliminaries, where we introduce essential notations, geometric definitions, and fundamental results in hyperbolic space. Section 3 presents the existence results, focusing on scenarios where Palais-Smale sequences can be safely

constructed at specific energy levels. In Section 4, we address the remaining case where the energy level of the constructed Palais-Smale sequence may fall within the region where an Aubin-Talenti blow-up cannot be excluded. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Section 5 provides the appendix, which contains crucial estimates used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Notations: Throughout this paper, we denote by \mathcal{U} as the unique positive solution of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$. S_λ denotes the best constant in (2.2) and S denotes the best constant in Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^N . $\tau_b(\cdot)$ denotes the hyperbolic translation (see (2.1)) with $\tau_b(0) = b$. Numerous positive constants, whose specific values are irrelevant, are denoted by C .

2. PRELIMINARIES

We denote the inner product on the tangent space of \mathbb{B}^N by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{B}^N}$. $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ denote gradient vector field and Laplace-Beltrami operator, respectively. Therefore in terms of local (global) coordinates $\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N}$ takes the form

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{2} \right)^2 \nabla, \quad \Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{2} \right)^2 \Delta + (N - 2) \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{2} \right) x \cdot \nabla,$$

where ∇, Δ are the standard Euclidean gradient vector field and Laplace operator, respectively, and ' \cdot ' denotes the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^N .

Hyperbolic distance on \mathbb{B}^N . The hyperbolic distance between two points x and y in \mathbb{B}^N will be denoted by $d(x, y)$. The hyperbolic distance between x and the origin can be computed explicitly

$$\rho := d(x, 0) = \int_0^{|x|} \frac{2}{1 - s^2} ds = \log \frac{1 + |x|}{1 - |x|},$$

and therefore $|x| = \tanh \frac{\rho}{2}$. Moreover, the hyperbolic distance between $x, y \in \mathbb{B}^N$ is given by

$$d(x, y) = \cosh^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{2|x - y|^2}{(1 - |x|^2)(1 - |y|^2)} \right).$$

As a result, a subset of \mathbb{B}^N is a hyperbolic sphere in \mathbb{B}^N if and only if it is a Euclidean sphere in \mathbb{R}^N and contained in \mathbb{B}^N , possibly with a different centre and different radius, which can be explicitly computed from the formula of $d(x, y)$ [39]. Geodesic balls in \mathbb{B}^N with radius r centered at $x \in \mathbb{B}^N$ will be denoted by

$$B_r(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{B}^N : d(x, y) < r\},$$

and $B(x, r)$ denotes the open ball in Euclidean space with center x and radius $r > 0$.

Next, we introduce the concept of hyperbolic translation.

Hyperbolic translation. Given a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $|a| > 1$ and $r > 0$, let $S(a, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - a| = r\}$ be the sphere in \mathbb{R}^N with center a and radius r that intersects $S(0, 1)$ orthogonally. It is known that it is the case if and only if $r^2 = |a|^2 - 1$, and hence r is determined by a . Let ρ_a denotes the reflection with respect to the plane $P_a := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid x \cdot a = 0\}$ and σ_a denotes the inversion with respect to the sphere $S(a, r)$. Then $\sigma_a \rho_a$ leaves \mathbb{B}^N invariant (see [39]).

For $b \in \mathbb{B}^N$, the hyperbolic translation $\tau_b : \mathbb{B}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^N$ that takes 0 to b is defined by $\tau_b = \sigma_{b^*} \circ \rho_{b^*}$ and can be expressed by the following formula

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_b(x) := \frac{(1 - |b|^2)x + (|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1)b}{|b|^2|x|^2 + 2x \cdot b + 1},$$

where $b^* = \frac{b}{|b|^2}$. It turns out that τ_b is an isometry and forms the Möbius group of \mathbb{B}^N (see [39], Theorem 4.4.6 for details and further discussions on isometries). Note that $\tau_{-b} = \sigma_{-b^*} \circ \rho_{-b^*}$ is the hyperbolic translation that takes b to the origin. In other words, the hyperbolic translation that takes b to the origin is the composition of the reflection ρ_{-b^*} and the inversion σ_{-b^*} .

Now we recall the Poincaré-Sobolev inequality: for $N \geq 3$ and $\lambda < \frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$, there exists an optimal constant $S_\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad S_\lambda \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \lambda u^2] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Furthermore, the constant S_λ is achieved by a unique positive solution \mathcal{U} of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ (i.e., unique solution of (P_a) with $a \equiv 1$) and \mathcal{U} is hyperbolic symmetric (see [38]). By S , we denote the best constant in the Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^N , i.e.

$$S \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

for all $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover $S_\lambda < S$ for $\lambda > \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ (See [15]). It's well known that S is achieved by the unique (up to translation and dilation) positive solution of (1.1). The associated energy $J(V)$ is given by

$$J(V) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla V|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V|^{2^*} dx.$$

Corresponding to the equation (P_a) , we define the energy functional $\mathcal{I}_a : H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}_a(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x),$$

where

$$\|u\|_\lambda^2 := \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \lambda u^2) dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \quad \text{for all } u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N),$$

is an equivalent norm w.r.t. the standard norm in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$, since $\lambda < \frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$ and the corresponding inner product is given by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$. Denote

$$(2.3) \quad D_\lambda := \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}) \quad \text{and} \quad D := J(V).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{N} S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}}$ and $J(V) = \frac{1}{N} S^{\frac{N}{2}}$, hence $D_\lambda < D$.

A sequence $(u_n)_n$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is said to be a Palais-Smale sequence (in short, PS sequence) of \mathcal{I}_a at the level c if $\mathcal{I}_a(u_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\mathcal{I}'_a(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{B}^N)$. Here we recall the profile decomposition theorem associated with the functional \mathcal{I}_a from [14, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2.1. [14, Theorem 3.1] *Let $(u_n)_n \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ be a PS sequence at the level $\beta \geq 0$. There exists $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, a critical point u of \mathcal{I}_a , and sequences $u_n^j, v_n^k \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq k \leq n_2$, such that up to a subsequence (still denoted by the same index),*

$$u_n = u + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} u_n^j + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} v_n^k + o(1),$$

where $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$. The sequences $(u_n^j)_{n \geq 1}$ are PS sequences of the form $u_n = \mathcal{U} \circ T_n$ and T_n is a hyperbolic isometry on \mathbb{B}^N such that $T_n(0) \rightarrow \infty$. Let $y_0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$, and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi(x) = 1$ for $|x| < r$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|x| > R$, where R is chosen so that $B(y_0, R) \subseteq B(0, 1)$. The sequences $(v_n^k)_{n \geq 1}$ are PS sequences of the form

$$(2.4) \quad v_n(x) := \left(\frac{1 - |x|^2}{2} \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} a(y_0)^{\frac{2-N}{4}} \phi(x - y_0) \varepsilon_n^{\frac{2-N}{2}} V \left(\frac{x - y_0}{\varepsilon_n} \right),$$

where $\varepsilon_n > 0$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$. Moreover,

$$\beta = \mathcal{I}_a(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} a(y^k)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} J(V^k) + o(1),$$

where \mathcal{U}^j and V^k are the solutions of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$ and (1.1) respectively corresponding to u_n^j and v_n^k . Furthermore, we have

- (1) $T_n^j \circ T_n^{-i}(0) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \neq j$, where $T_n^{-i} = (T_n^i)^{-1}$.
- (2) $\left| \log \left(\frac{\varepsilon_n^k}{\varepsilon_n^l} \right) \right| + \left| \frac{y_n^k - y_n^l}{\varepsilon_n} \right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \neq l$.

Corollary 2.1. *For arbitrary naturals n_1, n_2 and points $y^k \in \mathbb{R}^N$, any positive PS-sequence for \mathcal{I}_a at a level that cannot be written in the following form*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} a(y^k)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} J(V^k)$$

yields a nontrivial weak solution of (P_a) .

Proof. Let u_n be a PS sequence at the level β . Then u_n is bounded, and suppose its weak limit is u . Now if $u \not\equiv 0$, then u is a weak solution. Now if $u \equiv 0$, then using the previous theorem, we have

$$\beta = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \mathcal{I}_1(U^j) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_2} a(y^k)^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} J(V^k).$$

This is a contradiction. This proves the corollary. \square

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS: THE CASE WITH PALAIS-SMALE CONDITION

This section discusses the results in the region where the Palais-Smale (PS) condition holds. Initially, we determine these ranges based on λ . It is challenging to compare $a(y^k)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D$ with D_λ , even though $D_\lambda < D$. The domain will now be divided into the following three cases for analysis:

- (a) $2D_\lambda \leq \max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D$,
- (b) $D_\lambda < \max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D < 2D_\lambda$,
- (c) $\max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D \leq D_\lambda$.

Whether the solutions are constrained minimizers (index 1 solutions) or constrained mountain pass points (index 2 solutions) will depend on how the weight function $a(\cdot)$ behaves.

Part I: Constrained Minimizers. We define the Nehari manifold \mathcal{N} by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N) \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \langle \mathcal{I}'_a(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $u \neq 0$, then there exists a t_u such that $t_u u \in \mathcal{N}$. A simple computation reveals

$$(3.1) \quad t_u = \left(\frac{\|u\|_\lambda^2}{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x)|u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*-2}},$$

and

$$\mathcal{I}_a(t_u u) = \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\lambda^N \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x)|u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)^{-\frac{N}{2^*}}.$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\mathcal{I}_a(t_u u) = \frac{1}{N} (E_a(u))^{\frac{N}{2}}$$

where

$$E_a(u) := \|u\|_\lambda^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x)|u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*}} \quad \text{and} \quad E_\infty(u) := \|u\|_\lambda^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)^{-\frac{2}{2^*}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Assume (A) holds. If*

$$\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{I}_a(u) < \min \left(D_\lambda, \max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D \right),$$

then the infimum is achieved. Moreover, \mathcal{I}_a has a nontrivial critical point.

Proof. Using the Ekeland variational principle, there exists a positive PS sequence $(u_n)_n$ for \mathcal{I}_a (without loss of generality, we may assume that the minimizing sequence is positive) restricted to \mathcal{N} at the infimum level. Since $(u_n)_n$ is a PS sequence for \mathcal{I}_a by definition of \mathcal{N} , $u_n \rightharpoonup u \in \mathcal{N}$. $(u_n)_n$ has a strongly convergent subsequence that converges to some minimizer, according to Theorem 2.1. The minimizer is a nontrivial critical point of \mathcal{I}_a , using features of \mathcal{N} . \square

We will explore different possibilities to verify the hypothesis as in Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N \geq 4$. Assume (A) and we are in either case (a) or (b), and additionally, one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) $a(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}^N$.

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [a(x) - 1] \mathcal{U}^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} > 0$.

Then (P_a) admits at least one positive solution.

Proof. We know the existence result for $a(x) \equiv 1$. So now we assume $a(x) \not\equiv 1$. Moreover, since either of (i) and (ii) holds, there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$ such that $a(x_0) > 1$. Thus $\max(a) > 1$. We are in the case (a) or (b) and consequently, $\min(D_\lambda, \max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D) = D_\lambda$. Therefore, thanks to Proposition 3.1, to conclude the proof it is enough to show that $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{I}_a < D_\lambda$.

Case 1: when $a(x) \geq 1 \ \forall x \in \mathbb{B}^N$, we have

$$E_a(\mathcal{U}) = \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \leq \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} = E_\infty(\mathcal{U}).$$

Now if $E_a(\mathcal{U}) = E_\infty(\mathcal{U})$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \implies \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (a(x) - 1) |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction because $\mathcal{U} > 0$ and $a(x) \not\equiv 1$. Hence $E_a(\mathcal{U}) < E_\infty(\mathcal{U})$.

Case 2: If (ii) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_a(\mathcal{U}) &= \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} = \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (a(x) - 1) |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x)\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} \\ &< \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^*}}} = E_\infty(\mathcal{U}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence in both the cases $E_a(\mathcal{U}) < E_\infty(\mathcal{U})$. Now, as we observed before, $t_\lambda \mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$\mathcal{I}_a(t_\lambda \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{N} (E_a(\mathcal{U}))^{\frac{N}{2}} < \frac{1}{N} (E_\infty(\mathcal{U}))^{\frac{N}{2}} = \frac{1}{N} S_\lambda^{\frac{N}{2}} = \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}) = D_\lambda,$$

which in turn implies $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{I}_a < D_\lambda$. This completes the proof. \square

The case (c), that is, $\max(a)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D \leq D_\lambda$, will now be examined. To achieve this, we will convert the problem into a singular problem in the Euclidean ball using the conformal change of metric.

Conformal Change of Metric: It is well known that the conformal Laplacian or the Yamabe operator $P_{1, \mathbb{B}^N} := -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} + \frac{(N-2)}{4(N-1)} R_{\mathbb{B}^N} = -\Delta_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ is the first order conformal invariant operator where $R_{\mathbb{B}^N} := -N(N-1)$ is the scalar curvature with respect to the metric $g_{\mathbb{B}^N}$. This implies that if $\tilde{g} = e^{2\psi} g$ is a conformal metric then $P_{1, \tilde{g}}(u) = e^{-(\frac{N}{2}+1)\psi} P_{1, \mathbb{B}^N}(e^{(\frac{N}{2}-1)\psi} u)$ for every smooth function u . Since the Poincaré metric is conformal to the Euclidean metric with $\psi(x) = \ln\left(\frac{1-|x|^2}{2}\right)$, we can transform (P_a) in the Euclidean space as follows: Let u be a solution to (P_a) .

Define $\varphi := e^{-(\frac{N}{2}-1)\psi} = \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}$. Then, the function $v := \varphi u$ satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad -\Delta v - c(x)v = a(x)|v|^{2^*-2}v, \quad v \in H_0^1(B(0,1)),$$

where $c(x) = \frac{4\lambda - N(N-2)}{(1-|x|^2)^2}$. Here, $H_0^1(B(0,1))$ refers to the Sobolev space consisting of functions defined on $B(0,1)$ that vanish on the boundary $\partial B(0,1)$. It is important to note that $c(x) > 0$ in $B(0,1)$ provided that $\lambda > \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$.

Let us denote the energy functional corresponding to (3.2) by

$$\mathcal{J}_a(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0,1)} [|\nabla v|^2 - c(x)v^2] dx - \frac{1}{2^*} \int_{B(0,1)} a(x)|v|^{2^*} dx.$$

For any $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$, define $\tilde{u} := \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} u$. Then $\mathcal{I}_a(u) = \mathcal{J}_a(\tilde{u})$. In addition, when \tilde{v} is defined similarly, $\langle \mathcal{I}'_a(u), v \rangle = \langle \mathcal{J}'_a(\tilde{u}), \tilde{v} \rangle$. Also, let $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$ denote the Nehari Manifold corresponding to \mathcal{J}_a , i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}} := \{u \in H_0^1(B(0,1)) \setminus \{0\} \text{ such that } \langle \mathcal{J}'_a(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

As a result, we get

$$(3.3) \quad \inf_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{I}_a = \inf_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{J}_a.$$

On $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}$, \mathcal{J}_a takes the form

$$\mathcal{J}_a(v) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_{B(0,1)} [|\nabla v|^2 - c(x)v^2] \, dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\int_{B(0,1)} a(x)|v|^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{(2-N)}{2}}.$$

We consider the extension of this functional to the whole $H_0^1(B(0,1))$, we call it $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $N \geq 4$. Assume that (A) is satisfied and the assumption c) holds. Then at least one positive solution of (P_a) exists.*

Proof. Note that condition (c) implies that there exists some $x_0 \in \mathbb{B}^N$ such that $a(x_0) > 1$. If not, then $a(x) \leq 1 \, \forall x \in \mathbb{B}^N$, which in turn would imply $\max a^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \geq 1$ and thus $D \leq \max a^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D \leq D_\lambda$, which contradicts the fact that $D_\lambda < D$. Therefore, $\max a > 1$. Let $y \in \mathbb{B}^N$ such that $a(y) = \max(a)$. Now observe that, to prove this theorem, it suffices to show that, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) < a(y)^{(2-N)/2} D$ for some $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \in H_0^1(B(0,1))$. Indeed, for this $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$, we can find $t_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}$ such that $t_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}$, then performing an easy computation yields

$$\mathcal{J}_a(t_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}).$$

Consequently, using the above equality and (3.3), we obtain

$$\inf_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{I}_a = \inf_{\tilde{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{J}_a \leq \mathcal{J}_a(t_{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) < a(y)^{(2-N)/2} D.$$

We can conclude the proof by combining the above estimate with Proposition 3.1. Therefore, we now aim to prove $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) < a(y)^{(2-N)/2} D$ for some $\tilde{\mathcal{V}} \in H_0^1(B(0,1))$.

To this end, define

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x) := V^{\varepsilon \cdot y}(x)\phi(x-y),$$

where V is the unique positive solution of (1.1) and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi(z) = 1$ for $|z| < r$ and $\phi(x) = 0$ for $|z| > R$, and R is chosen such that $B(y, R) \subseteq B(0,1)$. Set, $c(N) := [N(N-2)]^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$, $B := B(0,1)$ and we estimate each term of $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}})$ for the above chosen $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |\nabla \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^2 \, dx &= (c(N))^2 \int_B \left| \nabla \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(x-y) \right) \right|^2 \, dx \\ &= (c(N))^2 \int_B \left| \nabla \left(\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |z|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(z) \right) \right|^2 \, dz \\ &= S^{\frac{N}{2}} + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) \\ &= ND + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, if we define $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B c(x)|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^2 \, dx &= \tilde{\lambda}(c(N))^2 \int_B \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2} \right)^2 \left| \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(x-y) \right|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq \tilde{\lambda}(c(N))^2 C \int_B \left| \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |z|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(z) \right|^2 \, dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq \begin{cases} C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) & \text{if } N > 4, \\ C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2|\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N = 4. \end{cases}$$

Finally, since y is the point of maximum, we have

$$\int_B a(x)|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^{2^*} dx = \underbrace{\int_B a(y)|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^{2^*} dx}_{(I)} + \underbrace{\int_B [a(x) - a(y) - \langle \nabla a(y) | x - y \rangle](\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x))^{2^*} dx}_{(II)}.$$

First, we consider (I)

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &:= \int_B a(y)|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^{2^*} dx = (c(N))^{2^*} a(y) \int_{B(y,R)} \left| \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(x-y) \right|^{2^*} dx \\ &= (c(N))^{2^*} a(y) \int_B \left| \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |z|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(z) \right|^{2^*} dz \\ &= a(y)ND + O(\varepsilon^N). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the integral (II). Since a is twice differentiable, bounded and asymptotically tends to 1, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|a(x) - a(y) - \langle \nabla a(y) | x - y \rangle| \leq C \frac{|x-y|^2}{1+|x-y|^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |(II)| &\leq C \int_B \frac{|x-y|^2}{1+|x-y|^2} (\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x))^{2^*} dx = (c(N))^{2^*} \int_{B(0,R)} \frac{|z|^2}{1+|z|^2} \left| \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^2 + |z|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(z) \right|^{2^*} dz \\ &= (c(N))^{2^*} \int_{B(0, \frac{R}{\varepsilon})} \frac{|\varepsilon z|^2}{1+|\varepsilon z|^2} \left| \left[\frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \phi(\varepsilon z) \right|^{2^*} dz \leq C\varepsilon^2 |V|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2^*} = C\varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\int_B a(x)|\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(x)|^{2^*} dx = a(y)ND + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Now combining all the above estimates, for $N \geq 5$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{[ND + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) - C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2]^{\frac{N}{2}}}{[a(y)ND + O(\varepsilon^2)]^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \approx a(y)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) - C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2 < a(y)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} D,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.

For $N = 4$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}) \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{[ND + O(\varepsilon^2) - C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2|\ln \varepsilon|]^2}{[a(y)ND + O(\varepsilon^2)]} \leq a(y)^{-1} D - C\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon^2|\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^2) < a(y)^{-1} D,$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. \square

3.1. Higher Index Solutions: In this subsection, $\inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{I}_a \geq D_\lambda$ is the scenario under examination. Previously, using Proposition 3.1, we discussed the existence of solutions when the opposite inequality was considered in the preceding subsection. To find a solution in this case, we utilize the min-max level and require the Lemma 3.1. Before moving forward, note that on \mathcal{N} , \mathcal{I}_a can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{I}_a(u) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} [|\nabla_{\mathbb{B}^N} u|^2 - \lambda u^2] dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{N/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x)|u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{(2-N)/2},$$

which is beneficial because it is homogeneous of order zero. Thus, it can be useful to extend this homogeneous functional of order zero to the entire $H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$; we refer to this extension as $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a$. Firstly, we claim the existence of a positive constant R_1 for which the following holds:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(\mathcal{U}(\tau_{-y}(\cdot))) \leq D_\lambda \quad \text{for every } y \in \mathbb{B}^N \quad \text{with } d(y, 0) \geq R_1.$$

To justify this assertion, we utilize **(A1)** and apply Fatou's lemma, yielding:

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}(x)|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \leq \liminf_{d(y,0) \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(\tau_y(x)) |\mathcal{U}(x)|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{d(y,0) \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(\mathcal{U}(\tau_{-y}(\cdot))) &= \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^N}{\liminf_{d(y,0) \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(\tau_y(x)) |\mathcal{U}(x)|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)^{\frac{N}{2^*}}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^N}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\mathcal{U}(x)|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)^{\frac{N}{2^*}}} \\ &= \mathcal{I}_1(\mathcal{U}) = D_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.4) to get the last inequality. Hence the claim follows.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $a(\cdot)$ satisfy **(A)**, and there exist some positive constants C and $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$(A1) \quad a(x) \geq 1 - C \exp(-\delta d(x, 0)) \quad \forall d(x, 0) \rightarrow \infty,$$

and \mathcal{U} be the unique positive solution of $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$. Then, there exists a large number R_0 , such that for any $R \geq R_0$, and for $d(x_1, 0) \geq R^\alpha$, $d(x_2, 0) \geq R^\alpha$, $R^{\alpha'} \leq d(x_1, x_2) \leq R^{\alpha'} - \alpha \min\{d(x_1, 0), d(x_2, 0)\}$, where $\alpha > \alpha' > 1$, the following inequality holds

$$(3.6) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(tu_1 + (1-t)u_2) < 2D_\lambda,$$

where $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $u_i = \mathcal{U}(\tau_{-x_i}(\cdot))$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Proof. The proof proceeds along the same lines as in [27, Lemma 4.2]. We shall sketch the proof here for the sake of completeness. Set, $A := \|\mathcal{U}\|_\lambda^2 = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} \mathcal{U}(x)^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}$. Thus, $D_\lambda = \frac{1}{N}A$. First, we shall prove (3.6) for $t = \frac{1}{2}$. For $u := \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2}$, we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(u) = \frac{1}{N} \|u\|_\lambda^N \underbrace{\left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) \right)}_I^{(2-N)/2},$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|u\|_\lambda^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[\|u_1\|_\lambda^2 + \|u_2\|_\lambda^2 + 2 \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda \right] = \frac{1}{2} [A + \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ND_\lambda + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that u_1, u_2 also solve $(P_{\lambda,1,0})$. Thereafter,

$$I^{\frac{2}{2^*-N}} := \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(x) |u|^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(x) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (u_1 + u_2)^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}_{I_1} - \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (1-a(x)) (u_1 + u_2)^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}_{I_2}.$$

Note that for any non-negative real numbers a and b , the following holds

$$(a+b)^{2^*} \geq a^{2^*} + b^{2^*} + (2^* - 1) \left(a^{2^*-1} b + ab^{2^*-1} \right).$$

Therefore,

$$I_1 = \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (u_1 + u_2)^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \geq 2(A + (2^* - 1) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda) = 2(ND_\lambda + (2^* - 1) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}).$$

Now following the arguments as in [26, pg. 15-17], we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad I_2 := \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (1-a) (u_1 + u_2)^{2^*} dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \leq o(1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)$$

for large $R > 0$ and $\delta < 2^*c(N, \lambda)$. In these calculations, we utilized the hypothesis $d(x_1, x_2) \leq R^{\alpha' - \alpha}d(x_i, 0)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Combining the estimates (3.7)-(3.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(u) &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left[ND_\lambda + \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right] \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left(2ND_\lambda + 2(2^* - 1) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} - o(1) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{ND_\lambda}{2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} (2ND_\lambda)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}{ND_\lambda} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left(1 + \frac{(2^* - 1 - o(1)) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}}{ND_\lambda} \right)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \\ &\leq 2D_\lambda \left(1 + \left(\frac{D_\lambda^{-1}}{2} + o(1) \right) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{N+2}{2N} D_\lambda^{-1} + o(1) \right) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right) \\ &\leq 2D_\lambda - \left(\frac{2}{N} + o(1) \right) \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} u_1^{2^*-1} u_2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} < 2D_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

for large R , using [15, Lemma 4.1].

Now for $t \in [0, 1] \setminus \frac{1}{2}$, set $v_1 = tu_1$ and $v_2 = (1-t)u_2$. Then, a straightforward computation (see [26, Lemma 4.2] for further details) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_a(v_1 + v_2) &= \frac{1}{N} \left((t^2 + (1-t)^2) A + 2t(1-t) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |v_1 + v_2|^{2^*} \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} - \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} (1-a) |v_1 + v_2|^{2^*} \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \left((t^2 + (1-t)^2) A + 2t(1-t) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left[\left(t^{2^*} + (1-t)^{2^*} \right) A + (2^* - 1) \left(t^{2^*-1}(1-t) + t(1-t)^{2^*-1} - o(1) \right) \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle_\lambda \right]^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} A \frac{(t^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(t^{2^*} + (1-t)^{2^*})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} + o(1) < 2D_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

for large R and using the fact that $\frac{(t^2 + (1-t)^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(t^{2^*} + (1-t)^{2^*})^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} < 2$ for $t \neq 1/2$. \square

Now, select R_0 as specified in Lemma 3.1, and then choose R_2 such that $R_2 > \max\{R_0, R_1\}$. Furthermore, set $\mathcal{U}(\tau_{-y}(\cdot)) = \mathcal{U}_y$, and define:

$$\Gamma := \left\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{C} \left(B(0, R_2)^\circ, \mathcal{N} \right) \text{ s.t. } \exists \tilde{R} > R' > 0 \mid \gamma(y) = Q(\mathcal{U}_{-y}) \forall y \text{ such that } d(y, 0) > \tilde{R} \right. \\ \left. \text{and } \gamma(y) = Q(\mathcal{U}_{-y}) \forall y \text{ such that } d(y, 0) < R' \right\},$$

where Q is the central projection on \mathcal{N} , i.e., $u \mapsto t_u u$ where t_u is defined as in (3.1). Then define

$$d_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{y \in B(0, R_2)^\circ} \mathcal{I}_a(\gamma(y)).$$

Fix R_4 sufficiently large such that $R_4, R_4 - R_4^{\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}} > R_2^\alpha > R_2$. Denote $R_3 = R_4 - R_4^{\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}}$. And for this fixed R_4 , choose

$$x_2 = \left(0, 0, \dots, \tanh \left(\frac{R_4 - R_4^{\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha}}}{2} \right) \right).$$

Using the previous Lemma, we can attain that $d_\lambda < 2D_\lambda$. Specifically, we define a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\gamma(y) := Q(\mathcal{U}_{-y})$ if $d(y, 0) < R_3$ or $d(y, 0) > R_4$. For γ restricted to the annulus

with an inner radius of R_3 and an outer radius of R_4 , we parameterize the image by Q of the segment joining \mathcal{U}_{-x_1} and \mathcal{U}_{-x_2} for $x_1 \in \partial B(0, R_4)$ and $x_2 \in \partial B(0, R_3)$, i.e., $\gamma(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) = t\mathcal{U}_{-x_1} + (1-t)\mathcal{U}_{-x_2}$ ($t\mathcal{U}_{-x_1} + (1-t)\mathcal{U}_{-x_2}$). Using (3.5),

$$\max_{y \in (B(0, R_3) \setminus B(0, R_2)) \cup B(0, R_4)^c} \mathcal{I}_a(\gamma(y)) < 2D_\lambda.$$

Thus, by the choice of x_1 and x_2 , and using Lemma 3.1, along with the definition of d_λ , we can conclude that $d_\lambda < 2D_\lambda$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $N \geq 4$. Suppose $a(x) > 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{B}^N$, and we are in either case (a) or (c). Then (P_a) possesses at least one positive solution.*

Proof. We can extend the definition of Γ to include all of \mathbb{B}^N . Within the ball $B(0, R_2)$, the inequality $d_\lambda < 2D_\lambda$ can be immediately derived from $a(x) > 1$. This leads to two possible scenarios:

Under the condition that $d_\lambda = D_\lambda$, there exists a sequence $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{D}_+^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $\mathcal{I}_a(u_n) \rightarrow D_\lambda$ and

$$G(u_n) := \int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} |\nabla \mathcal{U}|^2 \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N} \right)^{-1} = c < 1.$$

For every path $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\lim_{d(y,0) \rightarrow 0} G(\gamma(y)) = \left[\frac{\|\mathcal{U}\|^2}{\int_{\mathbb{B}^N} a(z)|\mathcal{U}|^{2^*} \, dV_{\mathbb{B}^N}(z)} \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*-2}} < 1$ and $\lim_{d(y,0) \rightarrow \infty} G(\gamma(y)) =$

1. According to Ekeland's variational principle, there is a Palais-Smale sequence $(v_n) \subset \mathcal{D}_+^{1,2}(\mathbb{B}^N)$ such that $G(v_n) \rightarrow c$ and $\mathcal{I}_a(v_n) \rightarrow D_\lambda$. Therefore, by utilizing Theorem 2.1, the sequence (v_n) possesses a subsequence that converges weakly to a nonzero solution of (P_a) .

If $d_\lambda > D_\lambda$, according to the Mountain Pass lemma, there exists a Palais-Smale sequence for \mathcal{I}_a at level d_λ . Additionally, since we have established $d_\lambda < 2D_\lambda$, and under the conditions of case (a) or (c), d_λ falls within the safe energy range. \square

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS: THE CASE WITHOUT PALAIS-SMALE

The situation where the Palais-Smale criterion is not satisfied is the focus of this section. Here, we will address the remaining scenario described below:

$$(4.1) \quad D_\lambda < \max(a)^{(2-N)/2} D < 2D_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad D_\lambda < d_\lambda < 2D_\lambda.$$

Indeed, the same result as in the preceding section applies if $d_\lambda < \max(a)^{(2-N)/2} D_0$. This is why the problem of reversed inequality is interesting. It is delicate because the usual variational arguments will not be sufficient to address this scenario. We shall follow the approach of A. Bahri's *critical point at infinity* to establish solutions to the problem. The critical exponent problem with Hardy potential in the entire Euclidean space was studied in the seminal paper [42], which proved a solution in dimension $N = 4$. The dimension restriction is quite delicate because few estimates are limited to that dimension. We will specifically utilise Smets' concepts in our context. Nonetheless, the problem in the hyperbolic space will be reduced to an equation in the Euclidean ball with a singularity at the ball's boundary via a conformal change of metric. Because of Theorem 2.1, we will avoid the boundary when conducting blow-up analysis. Local blow-up only occurs within the ball's interior of radius $2 - \sqrt{3}$ as demonstrated in the proof of [14, Theorem 3.1]. Furthermore, unlike in the entire Euclidean space, we now have to deal with the bounded domain, hence projection-type arguments must be used. This adds a great deal of complexity to the subsequent estimates.

Let $y_0 \in B(0, 1)$ be such that $d_\lambda = a(y_0)^{(2-N)/2} D$, and define $A^* := \{x \in B(0, 1) : a(x) = a(y_0)\}$. Assume that $a(y_0) \neq 1$. Let A_* denote a compact neighbourhood of A^* within $B(0, 1)$. Thus, for any $y \in B(0, 1)$, there exists $\bar{y} \in A_*$ such that $\text{dist}(y, A_*) = |y - \bar{y}|$. Furthermore, we impose the following assumption on the potential $a(x)$:

(A1) There exists θ such that $4 < \theta < N$ and for any $\tilde{y} \in A_*$, $|a(x) - a(\tilde{y})| = o(|x - \tilde{y}|^\theta)$ as $x \rightarrow \tilde{y}$.

This additional assumption on the potential $a(x)$ is motivated by the work of ([29, 30]), such an assumption is sometimes called the non-degeneracy condition on $a(x)$. We will now prove the main theorem of this section, assuming $a(y_0) \neq 1$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $N > 6$. Assume that **(A)**, **(A1)** are satisfied and the assumption (4.1) holds. Then (P_a) has at least one positive solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ for all $\frac{N(N-2)}{4} < \lambda < \frac{N(N-2)}{4} + \frac{1}{N+4}$.*

Remark 4.1. The dimension restriction $N > 6$ appeared in the intermediate results while proving crucial estimates. Interestingly, only in dimension $N = 4$ was the existence of the solution shown in [42]. The analysis carried out in that dimension will not be extended, specifically because of an integrability problem resulting from the Hardy term at the origin. Similarly, in our case, a singularity appears at the Euclidean ball boundary following the conformal change of metric, but our dimension restriction avoids the integrability problems.

Remark 4.2. The limitation on the upper bound of λ is another shortcoming of Theorem 4.1. This limitation results from the sharp constant in the *spectral inequality*, which O. Rey [40, Appendix D] demonstrated. Although we would prefer to continue until $\frac{(N-1)^2}{4}$, we believe that this restriction on λ is reasonable given that, in the process of proving our theorem, we will be interested in the case where $d_\lambda = a(y_0)^{(2-N)/2} D$. As a result, we will conduct the analysis close to d_λ , or close to the localised Aubin-Talenti Bubble. So, when λ is close to $\frac{N(N-2)}{4}$, the energy of the associated functional will be quite close to D .

To this end, we shall first recall some of the well-known results concerning projection operators, the Green's function, its regular part, and the strategy of the proof.

4.1. Projections and Setting up the Problem. Let P denote the projection from $H^1(B(0, 1))$ onto $H_0^1(B(0, 1))$, i.e., $u = Pf$ is the solution of :

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = \Delta f \text{ on } B(0, 1), \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial B(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

For $V^{\varepsilon, y}$ as defined in (1.1) and P as defined in (P), we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. [3, Proposition 5.2] *Let $N \geq 4$. For all $\mu > 0$, there exists $\nu > 0$ such that if $u \in H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ satisfies*

$$\|u - cPV^{\varepsilon, y}\|_{H_0^1(B(0, 1))} < \nu$$

for some $0 < \varepsilon < \nu, c > \mu$, and $y \in B(0, 1)$, then there exist unique $y(u), \varepsilon(u), c(u), \delta(u) > 0$, and $v(u) \in H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ such that

$$u = c(u)PV^{\varepsilon(u), y(u)} + \delta(u)v(u)$$

where v satisfies the orthogonality condition

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \langle PV^{\varepsilon(u), y(u)}, v \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \partial_{y_i} PV^{\varepsilon(u), y(u)}, v \rangle = \langle \partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon(u), y(u)}, v \rangle = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \end{cases}$$

and $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0, 1))} = 1$.

Remark 4.3. It is evident that any $w \in H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ naturally extends by zero outside $B(0, 1)$. We will refer to this extension of w by \tilde{w} . Additionally, $\tilde{w} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Furthermore, employing integration by parts, the definition of projection, and (*), we can infer

$$\begin{cases} \langle V^{\varepsilon, y}, \tilde{v} \rangle_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0, \\ \langle \partial_{y_i} V^{\varepsilon, y}, \tilde{v} \rangle_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \langle \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon, y}, \tilde{v} \rangle_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N. \end{cases}$$

Also, it is important to observe that by utilizing (1) of Estimate 5.1, we obtain $PV^{\varepsilon, y} \leq V^{\varepsilon, y}$.

Remark 4.4. The functions $c(\cdot), \varepsilon(\cdot), y(\cdot), \delta(\cdot)$ and $v(\cdot)$ are smooth. We will neglect to indicate the dependency of these coefficients on u from now on, whenever it won't confuse. Also, we shall denote by $d = \text{dist}(y, \partial B(0, 1))$.

4.2. Green's Function. Consider $\varphi : B(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\varphi(x) = H(x, x),$$

where $H(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} - G(x, y)$ on $B(0, 1) \times B(0, 1)$ with G satisfying

$$\forall x \in B(0, 1) \begin{cases} -\Delta G(x, \cdot) = \delta_x & \text{on } B(0, 1), \\ G(x, \cdot) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

Here, δ_x denotes the Dirac mass at x . Consequently, H represents the regular part of the Green's function and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_y H(x, y) = 0 & \text{in } B(0, 1), \\ H(x, y) = \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-2}} & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1). \end{cases}$$

4.3. Critical Point at Infinity and the Strategy of the Proof. For a given $\rho > 0$, we define the ρ -neighborhood of the critical set at infinity as

$$\mathcal{I}_\rho := \left\{ cPV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v \text{ s.t. } \left| c - a(y_0)^{\frac{2-N}{4}} \right| < \rho, \varepsilon < \rho, \delta < \rho, \text{ and } \text{dist}(y, A^*) < \rho \right\},$$

where $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0,1))} = 1$ and v satisfies the orthogonality condition (*).

Our goal is to prove the existence of $\rho > 0$ and a sequence $(\gamma_n)_n \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$\max_{y \in B(0, R_2)^c} \mathcal{J}_a(\gamma_n(y)) \rightarrow d_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_n(B(0, R_2)^c) \cap \mathcal{I}_\rho = \emptyset \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The above goal is demonstrated in Proposition 4.1. After proving this deformation argument and further utilizing the Mountain Pass Lemma, Theorem 2.1, and Lemma 4.2, proving Theorem 4.1 will be straightforward.

The proof of Proposition 4.1 proceeds as follows: We will use a path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and two consecutive intersection times of γ with \mathcal{I}_ρ , say t_{u-y_1} and t_{u-y_2} , where t_u for $0 \neq u \in H^1(\mathbb{B}^N)$ is as defined in (3.1). We then create a deformation $\tilde{\gamma}$ of γ inside $[t_{u-y_1}, t_{u-y_2}]$ such that it no longer enters into \mathcal{I}_ρ without raising the energy level. This proof method is inspired by [18]. The first step in doing this is to, without raising the energy level, connect $\gamma(y_1)$ and $\gamma(y_2)$, respectively, to functions for which $\delta = 0$ but $\varepsilon > \rho$. After that, the path is closed while travelling along $\{\delta = 0\}$, a finite-dimensional manifold.

To achieve our goal, we need to prove the subsequent lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $N \geq 4$ and P denoting the projection operator as defined in (P), the following holds*

$$\|PV^{\varepsilon, y} - \phi V^{\varepsilon, y}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where ϕ is defined in (2.4) and $\|\cdot\| := \|\cdot\|_{H_0^1(B(0,1))}$. In particular, $PV^{\varepsilon, y}$ and $\phi V^{\varepsilon, y}$ are close in $H_0^1(B(0,1))$ norm for ε small.

Proof. We write the difference in $H_0^1(B(0,1))$ as follows

$$\|PV^{\varepsilon, y} - \phi V^{\varepsilon, y}\| = \|PV^{\varepsilon, y} - V^{\varepsilon, y} - (\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon, y}\| \leq \underbrace{\|PV^{\varepsilon, y} - V^{\varepsilon, y}\|}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\|(\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon, y}\|}_{I_2}.$$

Let us denote $B(0, 1)$ by B , and we can estimate I_1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^2 &= \int_B |\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon, y} - V^{\varepsilon, y})|^2 dx = \int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx + \int_B |\nabla V^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx - 2 \int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y} \cdot \nabla V^{\varepsilon, y} dx \\ &= \int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx + \int_B |\nabla V^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx - 2 \int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y} \cdot (\nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} + \nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}) dx \\ &= - \int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx + \int_B |\nabla V^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx - 2 \int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y} \cdot \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx \\ &= -2 \int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y} \cdot \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) = O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) \quad (\text{using Estimate 5.2 and (5.1)}). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used integration by parts in the term $\int_{B(0,1)} \nabla PV^{\varepsilon,y} \cdot \nabla \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}$, considering that $PV^{\varepsilon,y} = 0$ on $\partial B(0,1)$ and $\varphi^{\varepsilon,y}$ is harmonic.

Next, we turn to estimate I_2 . From (2.4), it follows that $\phi \equiv 1$ in $B(y, r - (2 - \sqrt{3}))$ with $r > 2 - \sqrt{3}$. Also, it follows that $B(0,1) \subset B(y, R+1)$ for $y \in B(0,R)$. Indeed, for $x \in B(0,1)$, we have $|x-y| < |x| + |y| < 1 + R$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\phi \equiv 0$ in $B(y, R+1)^c$. Indeed, for $z \in B(y, R+1)^c$, it holds that $|z| \geq |z-y| - |y| > R+1-1 = R$.

By renaming $R+1$ as \tilde{R} and $r - (2 - \sqrt{3})$ as \tilde{r} , and denoting $B(y, \tilde{r})$ by $B_{\tilde{r}}$, $B(y, \tilde{R})$ by $B_{\tilde{R}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon,y}\|^2 &= \int_B |\nabla[(\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon,y}]|^2 dx \\
&= \int_{B \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla(\phi - 1)|^2 (V^{\varepsilon,y})^2 dx + \int_{B \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla V^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 (\phi - 1)^2 dx \\
&\quad + 2 \int_{B \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} (\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon,y} \nabla(\phi - 1) \cdot \nabla V^{\varepsilon,y} dx \\
&\leq c \left[\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla(\phi - 1)|^2 (V^{\varepsilon,y})^2 dx + \int_{B \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla V^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 (\phi - 1)^2 dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} (\phi - 1)V^{\varepsilon,y} \nabla(\phi - 1) \cdot \nabla V^{\varepsilon,y} dx \right] \\
&\leq c(N) \left[\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} \frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{[\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^{2N-2}]^{N-2}} dx + \int_{B \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} \frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}|x-y|^2}{[\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^{2N}]^N} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |V^{\varepsilon,y} \nabla(\phi - 1)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla V^{\varepsilon,y}(\phi - 1)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq c(N) \left[\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^2 dz + \int_{\frac{\tilde{r}}{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^2}{[1 + |z|^2]^N} dz \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |V^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{B_{\tilde{R}} \setminus B_{\tilde{r}}} |\nabla V^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right] \\
&\leq c(N) \left[\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^{N-2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}} \right] = O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

for $N \geq 4$. Therefore, $PV^{\varepsilon,y} - \phi V^{\varepsilon,y} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $H_0^1(B(0,1))$. \square

In the subsequent computations, we shall perform the estimations using the energy functional after the conformal change. Specifically, we will work with the functional $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a$ associated with the Aubin-Talenti bubbles. Thus, for some estimations, we may set the multiplicative factor c to be 1. In the subsequent computations, we refer to $B(0,1)$ as B . The initial lemma provides an energy control for $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $\{\delta = 0\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For $N \geq 4$, there exists $0 < \varepsilon_1^+ < \nu$ such that for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1^+$, there exists $\tau > 0$ such that if $\text{dist}(y, A^*) < \tau$, then $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(PV^{\varepsilon,y}) < d_\lambda = a(y_0)^{(2-N)/2} D$.*

Proof.

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(PV^{\varepsilon,y}) = \frac{1}{N} \left(\int_B [|\nabla PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 - c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^2] dx \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left(\int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{(2-N)}{2}}.$$

Let's proceed to estimate each term in the equation above. First, we observe that, applying the Estimate 5.2, we obtain,

$$\int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) = ND + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right).$$

Now let $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4}$. By employing Estimate 5.5, we obtain

$$\int_B c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^2 dx = \frac{4\tilde{\lambda}C(N)\varepsilon^2}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^3) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5 \end{cases}.$$

Finally, using Estimate 5.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx &= \int_B a(y)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx + \int_B (a(x) - a(y))|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx \\ &= a(y) \int_B (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*} dx + O\left(\int_B (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} dx\right) + \int_B (a(x) - a(y))|V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx \\ &= a(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |V|^{2^*} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^N\right) + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) + \int_B (a(x) - a(y))|V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx \\ &= a(y)ND + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) + \int_B (a(x) - a(y))|V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can estimate the integral in the above expression similar to in Theorem 3.2. However, in this case, the point y may not necessarily be the maximum point of the function a . To perform the required estimation, consider the following function where $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B)$ serves as a cut-off function around y and is even with respect to y . Readily, we have

$$\int_B \langle \nabla a(y), z - y \rangle (\psi(z)V^{\varepsilon,y}(z))^{2^*} dz = 0,$$

and using estimates similar to those in Theorem 3.2 will lead us to

$$\int_B (a(x) - a(y))|V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} dx = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Therefore, we can conclude the lemma similarly to Theorem 3.2 and using the continuity of a . \square

Lemma 4.4. *For $N > 6$ and $\lambda < \frac{N(N-2)}{4} + \frac{1}{N+4}$, there exist constants $C^+ > 0, 0 < \varepsilon_2^+ < \varepsilon_1^+$, and $0 < \delta_2^+ < \nu$ such that if $\delta \geq C^+ \varepsilon^{1+\kappa}$, $y - \bar{y} = \varepsilon \zeta$ for some $\zeta \in B(0, 1)$, then*

$$\partial_\delta \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v) > 0$$

holds for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_2^+, \delta < \delta_2^+, 0 < \kappa < 1$ and v satisfying $()$ with $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0,1))} = 1$.*

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v) &= \frac{1}{N} \left(\underbrace{\int_B [|\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v)|^2 - c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v)^2] dx}_A \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \times \\ &\quad \left(\underbrace{\int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v|^{2^*} dx}_{\tilde{A}} \right)^{\frac{(2-N)}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $u := PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v$,

$$\partial_\delta \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(u) = \frac{1}{2} A^{(N-2)/2} \tilde{A}^{(2-N)/2} \partial_\delta A - \frac{1}{2^*} A^{N/2} \tilde{A}^{-N/2} \partial_\delta \tilde{A}.$$

Now we will estimate $A, \tilde{A}, \partial_\delta A, \partial_\delta \tilde{A}$. Let's start by estimating A .

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \int_B [|\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v)|^2 - c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v)^2] dx \\ &= \int_B (|\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon,y})|^2 + |\nabla(\delta v)|^2 + 2\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon,y}) \cdot \nabla(\delta v) - c(x)[(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^2 + (\delta v)^2 + 2PV^{\varepsilon,y}\delta v]) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$= ND + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) + O(\delta^2) + O(\varepsilon^2) + \delta \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2/3}\right) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^2\right) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases}$$

In the final step, we applied Estimate 5.2, (5.6) from Estimate 5.5, the orthogonality condition for v with $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0,1))} = 1$, and the Hardy inequality in hyperbolic space [11, Corollary 2.2]. Moreover, to obtain the above estimate of the last integral, note that

$$\left| \int_B c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})v \, dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{B(y,r)} \right| + \left| \int_{B \setminus B(y,r)} \right|,$$

where r is small. We can eliminate the singularity for the integral near the point y and apply Estimate (5.6). For the integral outside the ball, we use Hölder's inequality followed by Hardy's inequality, as demonstrated in the proof of Estimate 5.6.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} := \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v|^{2^*} \, dx &= \int_B a(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*} \, dx + 2^* \int_B a(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \delta v \, dx \\ &\quad + O\left(\int_B a(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta^2 v^2 + a(x)|\delta v|^{2^*} \, dx\right). \end{aligned}$$

Considering each of the terms individually

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} \, dx &= \int_B a(y)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} \, dx + \int_B (a(x) - a(y))|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*} \, dx \\ &= a(y)ND + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) + O(\varepsilon^2) \text{ (following Lemma 4.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Estimate 5.7, we obtain

$$2^* \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*-1} |\delta v| \, dx = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}} \delta\right).$$

Finally, applying Hölder inequality to the remaining terms yields

$$\int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*-2} |\delta v|^2 \, dx \leq \|a\|_{\infty} \delta^2 \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*-2})^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \, dx \right]^{\frac{2^*-2}{2^*}} \left[\int_B |v|^{2^*} \, dx \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} = O(\delta^2).$$

Consequently, we derive

$$\tilde{A} = a(y)ND + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right) + O(\varepsilon^2) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}} \delta\right) + O(\delta^2) + O(\delta^{2^*}).$$

Using these estimates, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\delta} \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(u) &= \frac{1}{2} (ND + o(1))^{(N-2)/2} (a(y)ND + o(1))^{(2-N)/2} \partial_{\delta} A \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^*} (ND + o(1))^{N/2} (a(y)ND + o(1))^{-N/2} \partial_{\delta} \tilde{A}, \end{aligned}$$

as $(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 0$.

Now estimating $\partial_{\delta} A$ and $\partial_{\delta} \tilde{A}$: Using the expression of A , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\delta} A &= 2\delta \left(1 - \int_B c(x)v^2 \, dx\right) - 2 \int_B c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})v \, dx \\ &= 2\delta \left(1 - \int_B c(x)v^2 \, dx\right) + \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2/3}\right) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^2\right) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By applying Estimate 5.7, we can easily deduce

$$\partial_\delta \tilde{A} = 2^*(2^* - 1)\delta \int_B a(x) |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}}) + o(\delta).$$

Estimating the integral in the above expression yields

$$\int_B a(x) \delta |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx = \int_B a(y) \delta |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx + \int_B (a(x) - a(y)) \delta |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B |a(x) - a(y)| \delta |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx &\leq c\delta \int_B \frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} |V^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx \\ &\leq c\delta \left[\int_B \left(\frac{|x-y|}{1+|x-y|} |V^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-2}} \, dx \right]^{\frac{2^*-2}{2^*}} \left[\int_B |v|^{\frac{2 \cdot 2^*}{2}} \, dx \right]^{\frac{2}{2^*}} \\ &\leq c\delta \varepsilon \underbrace{\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |z|^{N/2} |V(z)|^{2^*} \, dz \right]^{2/N}}_{< \infty} \underbrace{\int_B |\nabla v(x)|^2 \, dx}_{=1} \\ &= O(\delta \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where for the penultimate step, Poincaré's inequality has been utilized.

Therefore, we get

$$\partial_\delta \tilde{A} = 2^*(2^* - 1)\delta a(y) \int_B |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx + O(\delta \varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}}) + o(\delta).$$

Also, note that $\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N} > 2$ since $\theta > 4$. Thus, combining all the above estimates implies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\delta \tilde{J}(u) &= a(y)^{(2-N)/2} \delta \left(1 - \int_B c(x) v^2 \, dx - (2^* - 1) \int_B |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx \right) \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\delta \varepsilon) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}}) + o(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to show that the bracketed term is positive. For that, we use the inequality [40, D.1] to obtain

$$1 - (2^* - 1) \int_B |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx \geq 1 - (2^* - 1) \int_B |V^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx \geq \frac{4}{N+4} \int_B |\nabla v|^2 \, dx.$$

Furthermore, [11, Corollary 2.2] implies

$$(4\lambda - N(N-2)) \int_B |\nabla v|^2 \, dx \geq \left(\lambda - \frac{N(N-2)}{4} \right) \int_B \left(\frac{2}{1-|x|^2} \right)^2 v^2 \, dx.$$

Therefore, for $\lambda < \frac{N(N-2)}{4} + \frac{1}{N+4}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &1 - \int_B c(x) v^2 \, dx - (2^* - 1) \int_B |PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^{2^*-2} v^2 \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{4}{N+4} \int_B |\nabla v|^2 - (4\lambda - N(N-2)) \int_B |\nabla v|^2 \, dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the lemma. \square

Let

$$\mathcal{V} := \{u \in H_0^1(B(0,1)) \text{ s.t. } \|u - cPV^{\varepsilon, y}\| < \nu \text{ for some } 0 < \varepsilon < \nu, c > \mu \text{ and } y \in B(0,1)\}.$$

In \mathcal{V} , define the vector field Υ by

$$u := c(u)PV^{\varepsilon(u), y(u)} + \delta(u)v(u) \mapsto c(u)\partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon, y}|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon(u), y=y(u)},$$

where v satisfies condition (*) and $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0,1))} = 1$. By the definition of \mathcal{V} , the vector field Υ is well-defined and exhibits local Lipschitz continuity, even if unbounded as $\varepsilon(u) \rightarrow 0$. Let $\eta(\cdot, u)$

represent the flow generated by Υ starting from the initial condition u . Initially, η is defined only locally.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $u_0 \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $\eta(\cdot, u_0)$ is defined as long as $\varepsilon(\eta(\cdot, u_0)) < \nu$, and*

- (1) $c(\eta(\cdot, u_0)) = c(u_0)$,
- (2) $\delta(\eta(\cdot, u_0)) = \delta(u_0)$,
- (3) $\|y(\eta(t, u_0)) - y(u_0)\| \leq tO(\delta(u_0))$,
- (4) $\partial_t \varepsilon(\eta(t, u_0)) = 1 + O(\delta(u_0))$.

Proof. The proof follows along the lines of [42, Lemma 5.7]. We shall briefly sketch the proof. Consider the curve $\tilde{\eta}$ defined by

$$\tilde{\eta}(t) := c(u_0) PV^{\varepsilon(u_0)+t, y(u_0)} + \delta(u_0) v(u_0),$$

with $\tilde{c}, \tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\delta}$, and \tilde{v} being its coordinate functions.

Since $\partial_t \tilde{\eta}(0) = \Upsilon(u_0)$, the derivative $\partial_t \varepsilon(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0}$ coincides with $\partial_t \tilde{\varepsilon}(0)$, and this holds for the other coordinates as well. Indeed, for $\eta(\cdot, u_0)$ to be defined as a flow from $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$, we have

$$\eta(t, u_0) = c(\eta(t, u_0)) PV^{\varepsilon(\eta(t, u_0)), y(\eta(t, u_0))} + \delta(\eta(t, u_0)) v(\eta(t, u_0)).$$

Differentiating the above equation with respect to t , we have

(4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \eta(0, u_0) &= \partial_t c(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0} PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)} + c(u_0) \partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)} \partial_t \varepsilon(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0} \\ &\quad + c(u_0) \left\langle \nabla_y PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)}, \partial_t y(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0} \right\rangle + \partial_t \delta(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0} v(u_0) + \delta(u_0) \partial_t v(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the flow η satisfies the following ODE:

$$(4.3) \quad \partial_t \eta(t, u_0) = \Upsilon(\eta(t, u_0)), \quad \eta(0, u_0) = u_0.$$

Now differentiating $\tilde{\eta}$ with respect to t gives

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t \tilde{\eta}(0) &= \partial_t \tilde{c}(0) PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)} + c(u_0) \partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)} \partial_t \tilde{\varepsilon}(0) \\ &\quad + c(u_0) \left\langle \nabla_y PV^{\varepsilon(u_0), y(u_0)}, \partial_t \tilde{y}(0) \right\rangle + \partial_t \tilde{\delta}(0) v(u_0) + \delta(u_0) \partial_t \tilde{v}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, keeping in mind (4.3) and comparing (4.2) and (4.4), we find that the derivative $\partial_t \varepsilon(\eta(t, u_0))|_{t=0}$ is the same as $\partial_t \tilde{\varepsilon}(0)$, and this equivalence holds for the other coordinates as well.

The rest of the proof hinges on the orthogonality condition of v , and on estimates 5.2, (5.2), and (5.3) from Estimate 5.3. For brevity, we skip the details. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let C^+ and y be as specified by Lemma 4.4, and consider $N > 6$. There exist $0 < \varepsilon_3^+ < \varepsilon_2^+$, $0 < \kappa' < 1$ and $0 < \delta_3^+ < \delta_2^+$ such that*

$$\left(\delta \leq 2C^+ \varepsilon^{1+\kappa'} \right) \implies \partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\eta(t, PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v))|_{t=0} < 0$$

for all $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_3^+$, $\delta < \delta_3^+$ and $v \in H_0^1(B(0, 1))$ that satisfies (*) with $\|v\|_{H_0^1(B(0, 1))} = 1$.

Proof. To begin, observe that

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(\eta(t, PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v))|_{t=0} = \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v)|_{\varepsilon=\varepsilon}.$$

For this proof, we will refer to the ball $B(y, d)$ simply as B_d .

As detailed in Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathcal{J}}_a(u) &= \frac{1}{2} (ND + o(1))^{(N-2)/2} (a(y)ND + o(1))^{(2-N)/2} \partial_\varepsilon A \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2^*} (ND + o(1))^{N/2} (a(y)ND + o(1))^{-N/2} \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{A}, \end{aligned}$$

when $(\varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 0$, where A and \tilde{A} were defined in Lemma 4.4 and $u := PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v$. Remember that

$$A := \int_B (|\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v)|^2 - c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon, y} + \delta v)^2) dx.$$

Expanding this, we get

$$\partial_\varepsilon A = \underbrace{\partial_\varepsilon \int_B |\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon, y})|^2 dx}_{A_1} - \underbrace{\partial_\varepsilon \int_B c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon, y})^2 dx}_{A_2} - 2 \underbrace{\partial_\varepsilon \int_B c(x)PV^{\varepsilon, y}\delta v dx}_{A_3}.$$

Next, we will estimate each term in turn.

$$\int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx = \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} PV^{\varepsilon, y} dx = \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \partial_\varepsilon \int_B |\nabla(PV^{\varepsilon, y})|^2 dx = \partial_\varepsilon \left[\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx \right] \\ &= \partial_\varepsilon \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx \right] \\ &= -\partial_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \partial_\varepsilon \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx &= 2^* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx \\ &\leq C(N) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_d} \frac{[|\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}|^2 - 1]}{\varepsilon^{N+1} [1 + |\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}|^2]^{N+1}} dx \\ &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\partial_\varepsilon \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx = \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx}_{A_{1.2a}} + (2^* - 1) \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx}_{A_{1.2b}}.$$

First, we can evaluate $A_{1.2a}$ using Estimate 5.1 in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx &= \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \left[\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right) \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, x) + \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right] dx \\ &= \frac{(N-2)}{2} \underbrace{\int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, x) dx}_{a_1} + \frac{(N-2)}{2} \underbrace{\int_{B \setminus B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, x) dx}_{a_2} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx}_{a_3}. \end{aligned}$$

Utilizing Estimate 5.1 and (5.4), we find that

$$a_3 := \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}}{d^N} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx\right) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right).$$

Furthermore, considering the integral over $B \setminus B_d$, we first note, based on harmonicity, that

$$H(y, x) \leq \max_B H(y, \cdot) = \max_{\partial B} H(y, \cdot) \lesssim \frac{1}{d^{N-2}}.$$

Using this inequality along with (5.4), we obtain

$$a2 := \int_{B \setminus B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, x) \, dx = O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{N-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \, dx \right) = O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N} \right).$$

Finally, we need to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} a1 &:= \int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, x) \, dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, y) \int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \, dx + \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} \int_{B_d} [H(y, x) - H(y, y)] (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $H(y, \cdot)$ in a Taylor series up to the third order around y , we have $H(y, x) = H(y, y) + H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + R$, where H_j denotes the j -th order term (e.g., $H_1 = \nabla H(y, y) \cdot (x - y)$). It can be seen that the integrals involving H_j vanish: H_1 and H_3 are zero due to symmetry, and H_2 is zero due to harmonicity because the Hessian matrix, being symmetric, can be diagonalized orthogonally. Additionally, the remainder term $|R|$ is bounded by $\sup_{B_d} \|\nabla^4 H(y, x)\| |x - y|^4$. Using the explicit form of H , it is easy to verify that

$$\sup_{B_d} \|\nabla^4 H(y, \cdot)\| \leq \frac{C}{d^{N+2}},$$

leading to the estimate

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} \left| \int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} R \, dx \right| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{N+2}} \int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} |x - y|^4 \, dx.$$

This is then evaluated using scaling arguments and spherical coordinates

$$\int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} |x - y|^4 \, dx = C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+3} \int_0^{\frac{d}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+3}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} \, dr \leq C \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+3} \left(\frac{d}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 \approx d^2 \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+1}.$$

Therefore, using (5.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a1 &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} H(y, y) \int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \, dx + \varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}} \int_{B_d} [H(y, x) - H(y, y)] (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \, dx \\ &= \varepsilon^{N-3} H(y, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} \, dx + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$A1.2a := \frac{(N-2)}{2} \varepsilon^{N-3} H(y, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} \, dx + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^2} \right) + O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N} \right).$$

Next, applying Estimate 5.1, A1.2b becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A1.2b &:= \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} \, dx \\ &= \underbrace{\int_{B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} H(y, x) \, dx}_{b1} + \underbrace{\int_{B \setminus B_d} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} H(y, x) \, dx}_{b2} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon, y} \, dx}_{b3}. \end{aligned}$$

First, we estimate

$$\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \, dx = C(N) \int_B \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} \frac{\left(\frac{|x-y|^2}{\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right)}{\left[1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{\varepsilon^2} \right]^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} \, dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= C(N)\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} dz + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \int_{\frac{d}{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{(r^2 - 1)r^{N-1}}{(1 + r^2)^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} dr\right) \\
&= C(N)\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} dz + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}}{d^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using Estimate 5.1, we have

$$b3 := \int_B (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} f^{\varepsilon,y} dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \frac{\partial V^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx\right) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right).$$

Employing methods analogous to those utilized for analyzing $a2$ and $a1$, we can similarly evaluate $b2$ and $b1$, leading to the following estimate

$$A1.2b = C(N)\varepsilon^{N-3} H(y, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} dz + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right).$$

Combining all the derived estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
A1 &= -\frac{(N-2)}{2} \varepsilon^{N-3} H(y, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dz - C(N)\varepsilon^{N-3} H(y, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{\frac{N}{2}+2}} dz \\
&\quad + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Turning to $A2$, applying (5.8) from Estimate 5.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A2 &:= \partial_\varepsilon \int_B c(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^2 dx = 2 \int_B c(x)PV^{\varepsilon,y} \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx \\
&= \frac{C(N)\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon}{(1 - |y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{N-1}} dz + O(\varepsilon^{N-3}) + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by estimating $A3$ as in Lemma 4.4 by replacing $PV^{\varepsilon,y}$ by $\partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon,y}$, we get

$$A3 := \partial_\varepsilon \int_B c(x)PV^{\varepsilon,y} \delta v dx = \delta \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2/3}) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases}$$

Combining all the necessary estimates, we obtain

$$\partial_\varepsilon A = -\frac{C(N)\tilde{\lambda}\varepsilon}{(1 - |y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1 + |z|^2)^{N-1}} dz + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5 \end{cases} + \delta \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^{1/2}) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{2/3}) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases}$$

Further, recall

$$\tilde{A} := \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v|^{2^*} dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\varepsilon \tilde{A} &= 2^* \int_B a(x)|PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v|^{2^*-2} (PV^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v) \partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon,y} dx \\
&= 2^* \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon,y} \left[(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} + (2^* - 1)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v \right] dx \\
&\quad + O\left(\int_B |\partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon,y}| (PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |\delta v|^2 + |\partial_\varepsilon PV^{\varepsilon,y}| |\delta v|^{2^*-1} dx\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Let's analyze each term individually.

For the following integral, we use the expansion

$$(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} = (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} - (2^* - 1)(V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \phi^{\varepsilon,y} + O\left((V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} (\phi^{\varepsilon,y})^2\right),$$

and perform the calculations similar to those done in Estimate 5.7 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx &= \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&\quad - \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon \phi^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&= \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&\quad - (2^* - 1) \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \phi^{\varepsilon,y} dx \\
&\quad - \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon \phi^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&\quad + O\left(\int_B |\partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y}| (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} (\phi^{\varepsilon,y})^2\right) \\
&= -a(\bar{y}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B} \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&\quad + \int_B (a(x) - a(\bar{y})) \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx \\
&\quad + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}\right) \\
&= O(\varepsilon^{\theta-1}) + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The next term of $\delta_\varepsilon \tilde{A}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2^* - 1) \int_B a(x) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v dx &= (2^* - 1) \int_B a(y) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v dx \\
&\quad + (2^* - 1) \int_B (a(x) - a(y)) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality, the second term of the above integral can be estimated as

$$(2^* - 1) \int_B (a(x) - a(y)) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v dx = O(\delta).$$

For the first term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2^* - 1) \int_B a(y) \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \delta v dx \\
&= (2^* - 1) \delta a(y) \left[\underbrace{\int_B \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} v dx}_{B1} - \underbrace{\int_B \partial_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} v dx}_{B2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + O\left(\underbrace{\int_B |\partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y}| \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |v| dx}_{B3}\right) + O\left(\underbrace{\int_B |\partial_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}| \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |v| dx}_{B4}\right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the integrals in the above expression.

For $B1$, take $v \in H_0^1(B(0,1))$ as a test function in the following equation

$$-\Delta \partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y} = (2^* - 1) (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y} \quad \text{on } B(0,1).$$

Using (*), we obtain $B1 \equiv 0$. Next, we estimate $B2$ using Hölder's inequality, the Sobolev embedding theorem, and Estimate 5.1 to get

$$B2 := \int_B \partial_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} v dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{\frac{(N-2)}{2}}}\right).$$

We then estimate $B3$ using $\varphi^{\varepsilon,y} \leq V^{\varepsilon,y}$:

$$\begin{aligned} B3 &:= \int_B |\partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y}| \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |v| \, dx \\ &= O \left[\|\varphi^{\varepsilon,y}\|_\infty \left(\int_B |v|^{2^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \left(\int_{B_d} |\partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} |V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{\frac{(2^*-3)2^*}{2^*-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\int_B |v|^{2^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \left(\int_{B \setminus B_d} |\partial_\varepsilon V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} |V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{\frac{(2^*-2)2^*}{2^*-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.5), we can deduce the following estimate for $B3$

$$B3 = O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} + \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} & \text{if } N > 6 \\ \varepsilon^3 |\log(\frac{d}{\varepsilon})|^{\frac{2}{3}} & \text{if } N = 6 \\ \frac{\varepsilon^{N-3} d^4}{d^{N-2}} & \text{if } N < 6 \end{cases} \right).$$

Finally, $B4$ can be estimated similarly to $B2$:

$$B4 := \int_B |\partial_\varepsilon \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}| \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |v| \, dx = O \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{\frac{(N-2)}{2}}} \right).$$

Using similar techniques as in the previous estimates, we can evaluate the next term in $\partial_\varepsilon \tilde{A}$

$$\int_B a(x) |\partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y}| (P V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-3} |\delta v|^2 \, dx = O(\delta^2 \varepsilon^{-1}).$$

We can use analogous methods to estimate the remaining term, yielding

$$\int_B |\partial_\varepsilon P V^{\varepsilon,y}| |\delta v|^{2^*-1} \, dx = O(\varepsilon^{2^*-2} \varepsilon^{\kappa'(2^*-1)}),$$

where κ' is chosen such that $\frac{3-2^*}{2^*-1} < \kappa' < 1$.

Therefore, by combining all these estimates and using the condition $\delta < 2C^+ \varepsilon^{1+\kappa'}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\varepsilon \tilde{J}(P V^{\varepsilon,y} + \delta v) &= -\frac{C(N) \tilde{\lambda} a(y)^{\frac{2-N}{2}} \varepsilon}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2 - 1)}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-1}} \, dz + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon^{\theta-1}) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}) \\ &\quad + O(\varepsilon^{2^*-2} \varepsilon^{\kappa'(2^*-1)}) + O(\delta) + O(\delta^2 \varepsilon^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows when δ and ε are sufficiently small. \square

We can now state the key proposition of this section:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $N > 6$. There exists $\rho > 0$ such that for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there is a corresponding $\tilde{\gamma} \in \Gamma$ that satisfies*

$$\tilde{\gamma} \left(B(0, R_2)^{\circ} \right) \cap \mathcal{I}_\rho = \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{y \in B(0, R_2)^{\circ}} \mathcal{J}_a(\tilde{\gamma}(y)) \leq \max \left(d_\lambda, \max_{y \in B(0, R_2)^{\circ}} \mathcal{J}_a(\gamma(t)) \right).$$

Proof. Standard arguments of the deformation type lead to the proof. Indeed, the proof's essential phases depend on earlier lemmas. Together with Lemma 4.6, Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 4.5, we adhere to the procedures of [42, Proposition 5.9] to obtain the desired proposition. \square

5. APPENDIX

For the proof of the following estimate, refer to [40, Proposition 1]. In the following estimates, we will refer to $B(0, 1)$ simply as B .

Estimate 5.1. Let $(y, \varepsilon) \in B \times \mathbf{R}_+$ and define $\varphi^{\varepsilon, y} = V^{\varepsilon, y} - PV^{\varepsilon, y}$. Then, we have the following:

(1)

$$0 \leq \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} \leq V^{\varepsilon, y}.$$

(2)

$$\varphi^{\varepsilon, y} = \varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} H(y, \cdot) + f^{\varepsilon, y},$$

where $f^{\varepsilon, y}$ satisfies the uniform estimates:

$$f^{\varepsilon, y} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^N}\right), \quad \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}}}{d^N}\right), \quad \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial y_i} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^{N+1}}\right).$$

(3)

$$|\varphi^{\varepsilon, y}|_{L^{2^*}(B)} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}\right),$$

$$\left|\frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon}\right|_{L^{2^*}(B)} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{\frac{(N-2)}{2}}}\right), \quad \left|\frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial y_i}\right|_{L^{2^*}(B)} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N}{2}}}\right),$$

where $d = d(y, \partial B)$ is the distance between y and the boundary of B .

Estimate 5.2. $\int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} V^{2^*} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right)$.

Proof.

$$\int_B |\nabla PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2 dx = \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} PV^{\varepsilon, y} dx = \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx}_{A_1} - \underbrace{\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx}_{A_2}.$$

Now, we estimate A_1 and A_2 as follows:

$$A_1 := \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx - \int_{\mathbf{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N \setminus B} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N \setminus B(y, d)} (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^N \setminus B(y, d)} \left[\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{[\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2]^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \right]^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} dx = \int_{\frac{d}{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^N} dr = O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^N\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*} dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} V^{2^*} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^N\right).$$

Now, for A_2

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 &:= \int_B (V^{\varepsilon, y})^{2^*-1} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y} dx \\ &\leq \|\varphi^{\varepsilon, y}\|_{\infty} \int_B \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}} \left[1 + \left[\frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon}\right]^2\right]^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1}}{d^{N-2}} \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} dr = O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is complete. \square

Additionally, we also have the following estimate

$$(5.1) \quad \int_B |\nabla V^{\varepsilon,y}|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx + O\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^{N-2}\right).$$

The following estimates concern integrals involving the projection operator and its derivatives.

Estimate 5.3.

(5.2)

$$\int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon,y} \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_j} dx = -\varepsilon^{N-2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_j}(y,y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^N}{d^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^N}{d^{N+1}}\right).$$

(5.3)

$$\int_B \nabla PV^{\varepsilon,y} \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} dx = -\varepsilon^{N-3} H(y,y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^2}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^N}\right).$$

$$\int_B \left| \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_i} \right|^2 dx = \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} + C\varepsilon^{N-3} \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_j}(y,y) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^N}{d^3}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{d^N}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^N}{d^{N+2}}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-1}}{d^{N+1}}\right).$$

$$\int_B \left| \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right|^2 dx = \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} + CH(y,y) \frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{d^2} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{d^N}\right) + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}).$$

$$\int_B \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_i} dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-3}}{d^{N-1}}\right).$$

$$\int_B \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_j} \nabla \frac{\partial PV^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_i} dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{d^N}\right), \quad i \neq j.$$

Proof. The derivations for these estimates are detailed in (B.4) to (B.9) of [40]. \square

However, we will utilize the following estimates that arise in the proof of these results, and hence, will cite them separately.

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} dx &= C(N) \int_{B(y,d)} \frac{dx}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}} \left[1 + \left[\frac{|x-y|}{\varepsilon}\right]^2\right]^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(y,d)} \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \int_{\frac{d}{\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{r^{N-1} dr}{(1+r^2)^{(N+2)/2}}\right) \\ &= \varepsilon^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{2^*-1} dx + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The L^∞ estimate for $\varphi^{\varepsilon,y}$ on B , using the maximum principle, is given by

$$|\varphi^{\varepsilon,y}(x)| \leq \max_B \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}(x) = \max_{\partial B} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{[\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2]^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-2}},$$

thus,

$$(5.5) \quad \|\varphi^{\varepsilon,y}\|_\infty \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-2}}.$$

Similarly, for the first partial derivatives,

$$\left| \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_j}(x) \right| \leq \max_B \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon,y}}{\partial y_j}(x) \leq \max_{\partial B} \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} |x-y|}{[\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2]^{\frac{N}{2}}} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-1}},$$

so,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial y_j} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-1}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon} \right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-4}{2}}}{d^{N-2}}.$$

We now compute integrals involving the second derivative of the projection operator with respect to the parameters ε and y .

Estimate 5.4.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 PV^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial y_i \partial \varepsilon} \right\|_{H_0^1(B)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right). \\ \left\| \frac{\partial^2 PV^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right\|_{H_0^1(B)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right). \\ \left\| \frac{\partial^2 PV^{\varepsilon, y}}{\partial \varepsilon^2} \right\|_{H_0^1(B)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Refer to (B.19), (B.20), (B.21) of [40]. □

Next, we turn to the estimates of the integrals involving the conformal factor.

Estimate 5.5. *For $N > 4$, the following estimates hold:*

(5.6)

$$\int_B \frac{|PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \frac{C(N)\varepsilon^2}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz + O(\varepsilon^{N-2}) + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^3) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5. \end{cases}$$

(5.7)

$$\int_B \frac{|\partial_{\varepsilon} PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \frac{C(N)}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(|z|^2-1)^2}{(1+|z|^2)^N} dz + O(\varepsilon^{N-4}) + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5. \end{cases}$$

(5.8)

$$\int_B \frac{PV^{\varepsilon, y} \partial_{\varepsilon} PV^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \frac{C(N)\varepsilon}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z|^2-1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-1}} dz + O(\varepsilon^{N-3}) + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N > 5 \\ O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We begin by proving (5.6) as follows

$$\int_B \frac{|PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \underbrace{\int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})}}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\int_{B \setminus B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})}}_{I_2}.$$

We first consider the integral I_1

$$I_1 = \int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{|PV^{\varepsilon, y}|^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \underbrace{\int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{(V^{\varepsilon, y})^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx}_{I_1.a} + \underbrace{\int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{(\varphi^{\varepsilon, y})^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx}_{I_1.b} - 2 \underbrace{\int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{V^{\varepsilon, y} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx}_{I_1.c}.$$

Let's first address $I_1.a$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1.a &:= \int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{(V^{\varepsilon, y})^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = C(N) \int_{B(y, \frac{\varepsilon}{4})} \frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{(\varepsilon^2 + |x-y|^2)^{N-2} (1-|x|^2)^2} dx \\ &= C(N)\varepsilon^2 \int_{|z| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2} (1-|z\varepsilon + y|^2)^2} dz. \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the following integral

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \left[\frac{1}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \right] dz \\
&= \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \left[\frac{(1-|y|^2)^2 - (1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2 (1-|y|^2)^2} \right] dz \\
&= \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \left[\frac{(\varepsilon^2|z|^2 + 2z\varepsilon \cdot y)(1-|y|^2) + (1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)(\varepsilon^2|z|^2 + 2z\varepsilon \cdot y)}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2} (1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2 (1-|y|^2)^2} \right] dz \\
&= \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \frac{(\varepsilon^2|z|^2 + 2z\varepsilon \cdot y)}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2 (1-|y|^2)} dz \\
&\quad + \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \frac{(\varepsilon^2|z|^2 + 2z\varepsilon \cdot y)}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)(1-|y|^2)^2} dz \\
&\leq \frac{c\varepsilon^2}{(1-|y|^2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{16} - \frac{d}{2}|y| - |y|^2\right)^2} \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz \\
&\quad + \frac{c\varepsilon|y|}{(1-|y|^2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{16} - \frac{d}{4}|y| - |y|^2\right)^2} \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{|z|}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz \\
&\leq \frac{c\varepsilon^2}{(1-|y|^2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{16} - \frac{d}{2}|y| - |y|^2\right)^2} \int_0^{\frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr \\
&\quad + \frac{c\varepsilon}{(1-|y|^2)^2 \left(1 - \frac{d^2}{16} - \frac{d}{2}|y| - |y|^2\right)^2} \int_0^{\frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{r^N}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N+1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr &= \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } N > 6, \\ O(|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(\varepsilon^{-2}) & \text{if } N = 4, \end{cases} \\
\int_0^{\frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{r^N}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr &= \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(\varepsilon^{-1}) & \text{if } N = 4. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \left[\frac{1}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2} - \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \right] dz = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(1) & \text{if } N = 4, \end{cases}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} \frac{1}{(1-|z\varepsilon+y|^2)^2} dz$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{|z| < \frac{d}{4\varepsilon}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(1) & \text{if } N = 4, \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz - \underbrace{\frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B(0, \frac{d}{4\varepsilon})} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz}_{\int_{\frac{d}{4\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{N-2}} dr = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{N-4}}{d^{N-4}}\right)} + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(1) & \text{if } N = 4, \end{cases} \\
&= \frac{1}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(1) & \text{if } N = 4. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we have

$$I1.a := \frac{C(N)\varepsilon^2}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-2}} dz + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^3) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon^3|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N = 4. \end{cases}$$

Note that $(1-|x|^2) > C > 0$ for all $x \in B(y, \frac{d}{4})$. Moreover, using (5.5), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I1.b &:= \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{(\varphi^{\varepsilon, y})^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx \\
&\leq \|\varphi^{\varepsilon, y}\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{1}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx \leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{N-2}}{d^{2(N-2)}} d^N = O(\varepsilon^{N-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we can estimate the third integral as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I1.c &:= \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{V^{\varepsilon, y} \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx \leq C \|\varphi^{\varepsilon, y}\|_{\infty} \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} V^{\varepsilon, y} dx \\
&\leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-2}} \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{|x-y|^2}{\varepsilon^2}\right]^{\frac{N-2}{2}}} dx = O(\varepsilon^{N-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the next integral

$$\int_{B \setminus B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{(PV^{\varepsilon, y})^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx \leq C \int_{B \setminus B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{(PV^{\varepsilon, y})^2 (1-\psi)^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx + C \int_{B \setminus B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{(PV^{\varepsilon, y})^2 \psi^2}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx,$$

where ψ is a C_c^{∞} function that equals 1 on $B(y, d/2)$ and vanishes outside $B(y, d)$. Applying Hardy's inequality to the first integral and considering the support of ψ in the second integral, it is not difficult to conclude that $I2 = O(\varepsilon^{N-2})$. Thus, by combining all the estimates, we obtain (5.6).

The estimate (5.7) follows the same approach as the above, so we omit the proof.

To prove (5.8), we start by using the identity $PV^{\varepsilon, y} = V^{\varepsilon, y} - \varphi^{\varepsilon, y}$. Applying similar techniques as before and utilizing Hölder's inequality for the integral outside $B(y, \frac{d}{4})$, we obtain

$$\int_B \frac{PV^{\varepsilon, y} \partial_{\varepsilon} PV^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{V^{\varepsilon, y} \partial_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx + O(\varepsilon^{N-3}).$$

To estimate the integral in the above expression, we can use the explicit form of $V^{\varepsilon, y}$ and perform the calculations as in the proof of (5.6), leading to

$$\int_{B(y, \frac{d}{4})} \frac{V^{\varepsilon, y} \partial_{\varepsilon} V^{\varepsilon, y}}{(1-|x|^2)^2} dx = \frac{C(N)\varepsilon}{(1-|y|^2)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-1}} dz + \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N > 5, \\ O(\varepsilon^2|\log \varepsilon|) & \text{if } N = 5. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, note that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z|^2 - 1}{(1+|z|^2)^{N-1}} dz > 0$ for $N > 4$. □

Next, we proceed with the estimates of the integrals involving v .

Estimate 5.6.

$$\int_B PV^{\varepsilon,y} v = \begin{cases} O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) & \text{if } N = 3, \\ O(\varepsilon) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O\left(\varepsilon^2 \left(\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{2/3}\right) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O(\varepsilon^2) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By applying Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding theorem, we get

$$\left| \int_B PV^{\varepsilon,y} v \right| \leq \int_B V^{\varepsilon,y} |v| = O\left(\left(\int_B (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \|v\|_{H_0^1(B)} \right).$$

From here, using the explicit form of $V^{\varepsilon,y}$, it is straightforward to arrive at our result. \square

Estimate 5.7. For y as in Lemma 4.4 and $N > 5$, we have

$$\int_B a(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx = O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}}\right).$$

Proof. We can decompose the integral as follows

$$\int_B a(x)(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx = \underbrace{a(\bar{y}) \int_B (PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx}_{T1} + \underbrace{\int_B (a(x) - a(\bar{y})) (PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx}_{T2}.$$

Before estimating these two terms, observe that by using (*) and integration by parts, we obtain

$$\int_B (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v = \int_B (-\Delta V^{\varepsilon,y}) v = \int_B \nabla V^{\varepsilon,y} \cdot \nabla v = 0.$$

Thus, using this result and noting that $PV^{\varepsilon,y} \leq V^{\varepsilon,y}$, we can rewrite $T1$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} T1 &:= a(\bar{y}) \int_B (PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx = a(\bar{y}) \int_B \left[(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \, dx - (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \right] v \, dx \\ &= a(\bar{y}) \underbrace{\int_{B(y,d)} \left[(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} - (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \right] v \, dx}_{T1.a} + \underbrace{O\left(\int_{B \setminus B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx \right)}_{T1.b}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that by applying Hölder's inequality, $T1.b$ can be estimated as follows

$$T1.b = O\left(\left(\int_{B \setminus B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \|v\|_{H_0^1(B)} \right) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N+2}{2}}} \right).$$

Next, we estimate $T1.a$

$$T1.a := \int_{B(y,d)} \left[(PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} - (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} \right] v \, dx = O\left(\int_{B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} v \, dx \right).$$

For the integral in the expression above, we use (5.5) to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-2} \varphi^{\varepsilon,y} v \, dx &\leq \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-2}} \left(\int_{B(y,d)} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{(2^*-2)\frac{2^*}{2^*-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \|v\|_{H_0^1(B)} \\ &\leq C \frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{d^{N-2}} \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N(N-2)}{N+2}} \int_0^{\frac{d}{\varepsilon}} \frac{r^{N-1}}{(1+r^2)^{\frac{4N}{N+2}}} \, dr \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right) & \text{if } N = 3, \\ O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{d^2}\right) & \text{if } N = 4, \\ O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^3}{d^3}\right) & \text{if } N = 5, \\ O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^4 (\log(\frac{d}{\varepsilon}))^{2/3}}{d^4}\right) & \text{if } N = 6, \\ O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}\right) & \text{if } N > 6. \end{cases}$$

Now, let's estimate $T2$

$$\left| \int_B (a(x) - a(\bar{y})) (PV^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} v \, dx \right| \leq \int_B |a(x) - a(\bar{y})| (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx = \int_{B(y,d)} + \int_{B \setminus B(y,d)}.$$

For the integral over $B(y, d)$, consider

$$\int_{B(y,d)} |a(x) - a(\bar{y})| (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx = \int_{B(y,d) \cap B(\bar{y},r)} + \int_{B(y,d) \cap B(\bar{y},r)^c}.$$

For the integral near the point \bar{y} , using the assumption **(A1)**, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B(y,d) \cap B(\bar{y},r)} |a(x) - a(\bar{y})| (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{B(y,d) \cap B(\bar{y},r)} |a(x) - a(\bar{y})|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*} \, dx \right]^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \left[\int_B |v|^{2^*} \right]^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \left[\int_{\{|z\varepsilon+y-\bar{y}|<r\}} |z\varepsilon+y-\bar{y}|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^N} \, dz \right]^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} \\ & \leq C \left[r^{\frac{2N\theta}{N+2}-\theta} \varepsilon^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|z|^\theta}{(1+|z|^2)^N} \, dz + r^{\frac{2N\theta}{N+2}-\theta} |y-\bar{y}|^\theta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^N} \, dz \right]^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta(N+2)}{2N}}), \end{aligned}$$

as $\theta < N$. Finally, since $\{|z\varepsilon+y-\bar{y}| \geq r\} \subset \{|z| \geq r/2\varepsilon\}$ for $|y-\bar{y}|$ small enough, we have

$$\int_{B(y,d) \cap B(\bar{y},r)^c} |a(x) - a(\bar{y})| (V^{\varepsilon,y})^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx \leq C \|a\|_\infty \left[\int_{\{|z\varepsilon+y-\bar{y}| \geq r\}} \frac{1}{(1+|z|^2)^N} \, dz \right]^{\frac{2^*-1}{2^*}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}).$$

Similarly, we find that $\int_{B \setminus B(y,d)} |a(x) - a(\bar{y})| |V^{\varepsilon,y}|^{2^*-1} |v| \, dx = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}{d^{\frac{N+2}{2}}}\right)$. Combining all these estimates, we can now conclude the result. \square

Acknowledgement: The research of M. Bhakta and A. K. Sahoo are partially supported by Bhakta's grant DST Swarnajaynti fellowship (SB/SJF/2021-22/09), D. Ganguly is partially supported by the SERB MATRICES (MTR/2023/000331). and D. Gupta is supported by the PMRF.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ADACHI, A positive solution of a nonhomogeneous elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N with G-invariant nonlinearity, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 27, no. 1-2, 1–22 (2002).
- [2] S. ADACHI, K. TANAKA, Existence of positive solutions for a class of nonhomogeneous elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^N , *Nonlinear Anal.* 48, no. 5, Ser. A: Theory Methods, 685–705 (2002).
- [3] A. BAHRI, Critical points at infinity in some variational problems, *Pitman Res. Notes in Math. Ser. 182, Longman Scientific and Techn* (1989).
- [4] A. BAHRI, H. BERESTYCKI, A perturbation method in critical point theory and applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 267, no. 1, 1–32 (1981).
- [5] A. BAHRI, H. BREZIS, Non-linear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds with the Sobolev critical exponent, Topics in geometry, 1-100, *Progr. Nonlinear Diff. Eqns Appl.* 20, Birkhäuser, Boston (1996).
- [6] A. BAHRI, J.M. CORON, On a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent, The effect of the topology of the domain, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 41, 253–294 (1988).

- [7] A. BAHRI, J.M. CORON, The scalar-curvature problem on standard three dimensional sphere, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 95, 106-172 (1991).
- [8] C. BANDLE, Y. KABEYA, On the positive, "radial" solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{H}^n , *Adv. Nonlinear Anal* 1, no. 1, 1–25 (2012).
- [9] A. BAHRI, Y. Y. LI, On a min-max procedure for the existence of a positive solution for certain scalar field equations in \mathbb{R}^N . *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana*. 6 , no. 1-2, 1–15 (1990).
- [10] A. BAHRI, P. L. LIONS, On the existence of a positive solution of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 14, no. 3, 365–413 (1997).
- [11] E. BERCHIO, D. GANGULY, G. GRILLO, Sharp Poincaré–Hardy and Poincaré–Rellich inequalities on the hyperbolic space, *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 272, 4 (2017).
- [12] H. BERESTYCKI, P. L. LIONS, Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 82 , no. 4, 313–345 (1983).
- [13] H. BERESTYCKI AND P. L. LIONS, Nonlinear scalar field equations. II. Existence of infinitely many solutions, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 82, no. 4, 347–375 (1983).
- [14] M. BHAKTA, D. GANGULY, D. GUPTA, A. K. SAHOO, Global compactness result and multiplicity of solutions for a class of critical exponent problem in the hyperbolic space (2024), *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (accepted and to appear).
- [15] M. BHAKTA, D. GANGULY, D. KARMAKAR, S. MAZUMDAR, Sharp quantitative stability of Struwe’s decomposition of the Poincaré–Sobolev inequalities on the hyperbolic space: Part I, *arXiv: 2211.14618*.
- [16] M. BHAKTA, K. SANDEEP, Poincaré–Sobolev equations in the hyperbolic space, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 44, 247–269 (2012).
- [17] G. BIANCHI, H. EGNELL, A note on the Sobolev inequality, *J. of Funct. Anal.*, 100, 18-24 (1991).
- [18] G. BIANCHI, H. EGNELL, A variational approach to the equation $\Delta u + Ku^{(N+2)/(N-2)} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 122, 159-182 (1993).
- [19] H. BREZIS, L. NIRENBERG, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36, no. 4, 437-477 (1983).
- [20] G. CERAMI, Some nonlinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Milan Journal of Mathematics* 74, 47–77 (2006).
- [21] G. CERAMI, Existence and multiplicity results for some scalar fields equations, *Analysis and Topology in Nonlinear Differential Equations, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications* 85, 207–30 (2014).
- [22] G. CERAMI, D. FORTUNATO, M. STRUWE, Bifurcation and multiplicity results for nonlinear elliptic problems involving critical Sobolev exponents, *Ann. IHP Anal. Non Lin.* 1, 341-350 (1984).
- [23] G. CERAMI, D. PASSASEO, S. SOLIMINI, Infinitely many positive solutions to some scalar field equations with nonsymmetric coefficients, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math* 66, 372–413 (2013).
- [24] G. CERAMI, D. PASSASEO, S. SOLIMINI, Nonlinear scalar field equations: the existence of a positive solution with infinitely many bumps, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* 32, no. 1, 23–40 (2015).
- [25] M. CLAPP, T. WETH, Multiple solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 29, no. 9-10, 1533–1554 (2004).
- [26] D. GANGULY, D. GUPTA, K. SREENADH, Existence of high energy-positive solutions for a class of elliptic equations in the hyperbolic space, *J. Geom. Anal.* 33, 79 (2023).
- [27] D. GANGULY, D. GUPTA, K. SREENADH, Multiplicity of positive solutions for a class of nonhomogeneous elliptic equations in the hyperbolic space, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh: Section A* (2024), doi:10.1017/prm.2024 (accepted and to appear).
- [28] D. GANGULY, D. GUPTA, K. SREENADH, Existence and non existence of positive solutions on a class of elliptic equations with critical perturbations in the hyperbolic space, *Asymptotic Analysis* (2024), doi:10.3233/ASY-241895 (accepted and to appear).
- [29] V. FELLI, A. PISTOIA, Existence of Blowing-up Solutions for a Nonlinear Elliptic Equation with Hardy Potential and Critical Growth, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 31:1, 21-56, DOI: 10.1080/03605300500358145 (2006).
- [30] B. ABDELLAOUI, V. FELLI, AND I. PERAL, Existence and multiplicity for perturbations of an equation involving a Hardy inequality and the critical Sobolev exponent in the whole of \mathbb{R}^N , *Advances in Differential Equations* 9(5-6), 481-508, (2004). <https://doi.org/10.57262/ade/1355867933>
- [31] J.L. KAZDAN, F.W. WARNER, Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure, *Journal of Differential Geometry* 10, 113–134 (1975).
- [32] Y.Y. LI, Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems, Part I, *J. Diff. Eqns* 120, 319-410 (1995).
- [33] Y.Y. LI, Prescribing scalar curvature on S^n and related problems, Part II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 49, 541-597 (1996).
- [34] Y.Y. LI, M. ZHU, Yamabe type equations on three dimensional Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* 1, 1-50 (1999).
- [35] G. LU, Q. YANG, Paneitz operators on hyperbolic spaces and high order Hardy-Sobolev-Maz’ya inequalities on half spaces, *Amer. J. Math.* 141 (2019), no. 6, 1777–1816.
- [36] G. LU, Q. YANG, Green’s functions of Paneitz and GJMS operators on hyperbolic spaces and sharp Hardy-Sobolev-Maz’ya inequalities on half spaces, *Adv. Math.* (2022), no. 108156, 42 pp.

- [37] J. LI, G. LU, Q. YAN, Higher order Brezis-Nirenberg problem on hyperbolic spaces: existence, nonexistence and symmetry of solutions, *Adv. Math.* 399 (2022), no. 108259, 39 pp.
- [38] G. MANCINI, K. SANDEEP, On a semilinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{H}^n , *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* 5 (2008).
- [39] J. G. RATCLIFFE: Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 149., Springer, New York (1994).
- [40] O. REY, The role of the Green's function in a nonlinear elliptic equation involving the critical Sobolev exponent, *Journal of Functional Analysis* 89 (1), 1–52 (1990).
- [41] R. SCHOEN, Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* 20, 479–495 (1984).
- [42] D. SMETS, Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with Hardy potential and critical nonlinearities. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 357, no. 7, 2909–2938 (2005).
- [43] M. STRUWE, A global compactness result for elliptic boundary value problems involving limiting nonlinearities, *Math. Z.* 187 (1984).
- [44] H. YAMABE, On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds, *Osaka Math. J.* 12, 21–37 (1960).

M. BHAKTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PUNE (IISER-PUNE), DR HOMI BHABHA ROAD, PUNE-411008, INDIA
Email address: mousomi@iiserpune.ac.in

D. GANGULY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, IIT CAMPUS, HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI, DELHI 110016, INDIA
Email address: debdipmath@gmail.com, debdip@maths.iitd.ac.in

D. GUPTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY DELHI, IIT CAMPUS, HAUZ KHAS, NEW DELHI, DELHI 110016, INDIA
Email address: maz208233@maths.iitd.ac.in

A.K.SAHOO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH PUNE (IISER-PUNE), DR HOMI BHABHA ROAD, PUNE-411008, INDIA
Email address: alok.sahoo@acads.iiserpune.ac.in