

Boundary Interpolation on Triangles via Neural Network Operators

Aaqib Ayoub Bhat¹, Asif Khan¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India
bhataqib19@gmail.com; akhan.mm@amu.ac.in;

Abstract. The primary objective of this study is to develop novel interpolation operators that interpolate the boundary values of a function defined on a triangle. This is accomplished by constructing New Generalized Boolean sum neural network operator $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}$ using a class of activation functions. Its interpolation properties are established and the estimates for the error of approximation corresponding to operator $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}$ is computed in terms of mixed modulus of continuity. The advantage of our method is that it does not require training the network. Instead, the number of hidden neurons adjusts the weights and bias. Numerical examples are illustrated to show the efficacy of these newly constructed operators. Further, with the help of MATLAB, comparative and graphical analysis is given to show the validity and efficiency of the results obtained for these operators.

MSC 2020. 41A05, 41A35, 41A80.

Keywords. Neural network operators; Sigmoidal function; Interpolation; Generalized Boolean sum neural network operators; Mixed modulus of continuity; Quantitative estimates.

1 Introduction

Neural networks (NNs) initially appeared in the early 1900s to develop a basic human brain model. The fundamental aim was to create a network architecture in layers, with each node representing the behavior of a biological neuron. Neural networks are widely investigated for their applications in disciplines like artificial intelligence and machine learning, computer vision [25], medical sciences for diagnosis of various diseases [30, 32, 33], self-driving cars, real-time translation software, image processing, stock market predictions, weather prediction, social media user behavior analysis, etc. Additionally, NNs are useful in Approximation Theory, it is widely known that NNs can serve as universal approximators.

A brief introduction to Neural Network Operators is as following:

Let $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the activation function. Feed-forward FNNs with one hidden layer

are mathematically expressed as

$$\mathcal{N}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \xi(a_k \cdot x + b_k) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad d \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.1)$$

where $c_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are the coefficients, weights and the threshold values respectively. If ξ is defined on \mathbb{R} , then \mathcal{N} becomes

$$\mathcal{N}_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_k \xi(\langle A_k \cdot x \rangle + b_k) \quad (1.2)$$

Further $\langle A_k \cdot x \rangle$ is the inner product of A_k and x .

A FNN can approximate every continuous function defined on a compact set to any preassigned degree of accuracy. This can be done by increasing the number of hidden layers [7]. Cardaliaguet and Euvrard introduced NN operators in [16], primarily on bell-shaped activation functions having compact support. Several mathematicians have focused on developing NN-based approximation techniques. Cybenko's [20] work is frequently cited as a foundation for this topic. Cybenko investigated single layer NNs and proved the corresponding approximation theorem using non-constructive approach, such as the Hahn-Banach theorem of functional analysis.

Barnhill, Birkhoff, and Gordon's [4] introduction of Boolean sum interpolation theory on triangles inspired many mathematicians to formulate various interpolation operators that interpolate a given function defined on a triangular domain on the boundary of triangle. e.g., [6, 23, 29]. This sort of approximation is used in fields such as finite element analysis [26] and computer-aided geometric design [5]. In 1912 S.N. Bernstein [8] gave the landmark constructive proof of Weierstrass approximation theorem by constructing Bernstein polynomials which lead to the development of constructive approximation theory. P. Blaga et al [9] extended Bernstein's result on a triangular domain by constructing Bernstein-type operators which interpolate the given target function on the edges of the triangle. For some recent advances on Bernstein type operators on triangular domains and their applications, one is accessible to look at [14, 24].

Inspired by Bernstein's constructive proof, NN operators encountered constructive approach and is often implemented by researchers, for example [18, 19, 22, 27]. The primary objective of this paper is to develop the neural network operators which interpolate the target function on the edges of the triangular domain.

2 Preliminaries and known results

Definition 1. A measurable function $\xi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be sigmoidal function if ξ satisfies the following:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \xi(x) = 1, \text{ and } \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \xi(x) = 0.$$

Qian et al. [31] introduced a newly class of sigmodal functions $\mathcal{A}(m)$ defined as follows:

Definition 2. For fixed $m \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we say a sigmodal function $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) $\xi(x)$ is nondecreasing;
- (ii) $\xi(x) = 1$ for $x \geq m$, and $\xi(x) = 0$ for $x \leq -m$.

Now, we take a kind of combinations of the translations of $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ and define a new function Ψ as follows:

$$\Psi(x) = \xi(x + m) - \xi(x - m). \quad (2.1)$$

Ψ satisfies many basic properties given in following lemma.

Lemma 1. For $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$, Ψ satisfies the following properties:

- P1: Ψ is non-decreasing;
- P2: Ψ is non-decreasing for $x \leq 0$, and non-increasing for $x \geq 0$;
- P3: $\text{supp}(\Psi) \subseteq [-2m, 2m]$
- P4: $\Psi(x) + \Psi(x - 2m) = 1, \quad x \in [0, 2m]$

In sections 3 and 4, we have constructed new parametric extensions $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x, \mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y$ and a bivariate extension $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}$ of the univariate neural network operator (3.1) on triangular domain which interpolate the given real valued function on the edges of the triangle. We estimated the error of approximation attained by these neural network operators in terms of modulus of continuity. In section 5, we established the neural network version of the Generalized Boolean Sum operators for Bögel continuous (B-continuous) functions and established the estimates for the error of approximation in terms of mixed modulus of continuity. In sections 6 and 7, we examined our theoretical findings using examples and computed the approximation error for the target function.

3 Construction of operators

We recall a neural network operator with single hidden layer introduced by Qian et al. [31]. Let $\Psi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$ and $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and measurable function, the operator $\mathcal{S}_{n, \xi}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{n, \xi}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x_k) \Psi \left(\frac{2m}{h}(x - x_k) \right), \quad (3.1)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $x_k = a + kh$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$, with $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$.

Now we introduce the parametric extensions $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y$ of univariate neural network operator $\mathcal{S}_{n, \xi}$ on a triangular domain; i.e., $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x$ is defined in such a way as if $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}$ were applied to a bivariate function \mathcal{F} with the second variable fixed and $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y$ defined in the similar way.

Due to the fact that triangle is affine invariant, we consider a standard triangular domain Δ as follows;

$$\Delta = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x \geq 0, y \geq 0, x + y \leq a, a > 0 \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : x = 0\}, \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : y = 0\}, \text{ and} \\ \Gamma_3 &= \{(x, y) \in \Delta : x + y = a\} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $A_y = (0, y)$ and $B_y = (a - y, y)$ are the end points of line segment $A_y B_y$ from Γ_2 to Γ_3 parallel to X-axis. Similarly $C_x = (x, 0)$ and $D_x = (x, a - x)$ are the endpoints of line segment $C_x D_x$ from Γ_1 to Γ_3 parallel to Y-axis as shown in figure 1.




Figure 1

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y} (x - x_k) \right) & (x, y) \in \Delta \setminus \{(0, a)\} \\ \mathcal{F}(0, a), & (0, a) \in \Delta \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(x, y) = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x, y_l) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x} (y - y_l) \right) & (x, y) \in \Delta \setminus \{(a, 0)\} \\ \mathcal{F}(a, 0), & (a, 0) \in \Delta, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $x_k = \frac{k}{n_1}(a - y)$, and $y_l = \frac{l}{n_2}(a - x)$.

Equation 3.2 describes a neural network operator with single hidden layer in which $\mathcal{F}(x_k, y)$ and $\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}$ represents the connection weights between adjoining layers i.e., from input layer to hidden layer and from hidden layer to output layer respectively, and $\frac{2mn_1}{a-y} x_k$ represents the threshold (bias). Clearly, the connection weights and bias depend on the number of hidden neurons n_1 . Hence, weights and bias are adjusted by the number of hidden neurons. Similarly, equation 3.3 defines a neural network operator with a single hidden layer.

Lemma 2. For any $(x, y) \in \Delta$, we have

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathbf{1})(x, y) = 1, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\mathbf{1}(x, y) = 1 \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Delta$.

Firstly, we show that the operators defined in (3.2) interpolate \mathcal{F} on $\Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and measurable function, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \text{ on } \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3.$$

Proof. Let $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \Gamma_2$ be arbitrary, we have $\tilde{x} = 0$. Using the property (P3) in lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(0, \tilde{y}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}(x_k, \tilde{y}) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - \tilde{y}} (0 - x_k) \right), \quad (x, y) \in \Gamma_2 \setminus \{(0, a)\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}(x_k, \tilde{y}) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - \tilde{y}} \left(-\frac{k}{n_1} (a - \tilde{y}) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}(x_k, \tilde{y}) \Psi(-2mk) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(x_0, \tilde{y}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(0, \tilde{y}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove for $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \Gamma_3$.

□

We have a similar result for the operator $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and measurable function, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F} \text{ on } \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_3.$$

The proof of this theorem runs parallel to the proof of previous theorem.

Definition 3. Let $C[a, b]$ denote the set of all continuous functions defined on compact interval $[a, b]$. Recall the definition of modulus of continuity of function f :

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{x, y \in [a, b], |x-y| \leq \delta} |f(x) - f(y)| \quad (3.5)$$

or equivalently,

$$\omega(f, \delta) = \sup_{x, y \in [a, b], |h| \leq \delta} |f(x+h) - f(x)|. \quad (3.6)$$

Now, we will compute the estimate for the error of approximation corresponding the operator $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 3. *If $\mathcal{F}(\cdot, y) \in C[0, a - y]$, then*

$$\|(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\| \leq \omega(\mathcal{F}(\cdot, y), h_1), \quad \text{where } h_1 = \frac{a - y}{n_1}. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Assume that $x \in [x_i, x_{i+1}]$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$. Using (P3) in lemma 1, we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y}(x - x_k)\right) = 0, \quad k \neq i, i + 1. \quad (3.8)$$

By using lemma 2 and equation (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F}(x, y)) - \mathcal{F}(x, y)| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} (\mathcal{F}(x_k, y) - \mathcal{F}(x, y)) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y}(x - x_k)\right) \right| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{F}(x_i, y) - \mathcal{F}(x, y)| \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y}(x - x_i)\right) \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{F}(x_{i+1}, y) - \mathcal{F}(x, y)| \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y}(x - x_{i+1})\right) \\ &= \omega(\mathcal{F}(\cdot, y), h_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

We have a similar result for $\mathcal{F}(x, \cdot) \in C[0, a - x]$ as follows:

Theorem 4. *If $\mathcal{F}(x, \cdot) \in C[0, a - x]$, then*

$$\|(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^y \mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\| \leq \omega(\mathcal{F}(x, \cdot), h_2), \quad \text{where } h_2 = \frac{a - x}{n_2}. \quad (3.9)$$

This theorem can be proved in a similar way as that of previous theorem.

4 Bivariate neural network operator

Let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function. For $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$, $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a bivariate extension $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F}$ of operator $\mathcal{S}_{n, \xi} \mathcal{F}$ as:

$$(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y}(x - x_k)\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a - x}(y - y_l)\right). \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{F} : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded and measurable function, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$. Then

(i) $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$ on Γ_3 .

(ii) $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(x, 0) = (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, 0)$ i.e., $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})$ agree on Γ_1 .

(iii) $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(0, y) = (\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(0, y)$ i.e., $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})$ agree on Γ_2 .

Proof. (i) Let $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \Gamma_3$ be arbitrary. Using the property (P3) in lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - \tilde{y}} (\tilde{x} - x_k) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a - \tilde{x}} (\tilde{y} - y_l) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \Psi(2m(n_1 - k)) \Psi(2m(n_2 - l)) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(x_{n_1}, y_{n_2}) \\ &= \mathcal{F}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have proved that

$$(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \text{ on } \Gamma_3.$$

(ii) Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(x, 0) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a} (x - x_k) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a - x} (0 - y_l) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a} (x - x_k) \right) \Psi(2m(-l)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \mathcal{F}(x_k, 0) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a} (x - x_k) \right) \\ &= (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(0, y) = (\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(0, y).$$

□

Lemma 3. For any $(x, y) \in \Delta$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a - y} (x - x_k) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a - x} (y - y_l) \right) = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

This lemma can be proved by using similar arguments as in lemma 2.

Definition 4. Let $C(\mathbf{X})$ be the set of all continuous functions $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on the compact set \mathbf{X} , the modulus of continuity of f is defined by

$$\omega(f, \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbf{X}, |h| \leq \delta_1, |k| \leq \delta_2} |f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)|. \quad (4.3)$$

Now, we will compute the estimate for the error of approximation corresponding to the operator $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F}$ in terms of modulus of continuity.

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{F} \in C(\Delta)$, then we have

$$\|\mathcal{F} - (\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})\| \leq \omega(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2), \quad (4.4)$$

where $h_1 = \frac{a}{n_1}$ and $h_2 = \frac{a}{n_2}$.

Proof. Assume that x lies between x_i and x_{i+1} , and y lies between y_j and y_{j+1} . Using lemma 3 and properties P3 and P4 of lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{F}(x, y) - (\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(x, y)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} (\mathcal{F}(x, y) - \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l)) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_k) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_l) \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{k=i, i+1} \sum_{l=j, j+1} \left| \mathcal{F}(x, y) - \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) \right| \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_k) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_l) \right) \\ &\leq \omega(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j) \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1}) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j) \right) \\ &\quad + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1}) \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1}) \right) \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1}) \right) \right) \\ &= \omega(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i) \right) \left(\Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j) \right) + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1}) \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1}) \right) \left(\Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j) \right) + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1}) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \omega(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i) \right) + \Psi \left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1}) \right) \right) \\ &= \omega(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

5 Generalized Boolean sum neural network operator

In this section, we shall introduce the neural network version of Generalized Boolean Sum operators for Bögel continuous (B-continuous) functions. In 1934, Bögel [11] introduced the concept of B-continuous and B-differentiable functions. For detailed literature, one can refer to [10], [11], and [12]. Recently several authors have contributed in this direction. Badea and Cottin [3] proved the Korovkin type theorems for GBS (Generalized Boolean Sum) operators, S.A. Mohiuddine [28] constructed the bivariate form of Bernstein–Schurer type operator and gave the associated GBS operator, Q.B. Cai et al introduced GBS operators of q -Bernstein-Kantorovich Type [13] and λ -Bernstein-Kantorovich Type [15], Ansari et al [2] used a summability method and constructed Bernstein-Kantorovich operators in two variables and related GBS operators, Khan et al [23] constructed Boolean Sum operators based on quantum analogue.

A brief introduction of Bögel continuous functions is as follows:

Definition 5. Let $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function, where \mathbf{X} is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , the mixed difference $\Lambda_{(s,t)}f(x, y)$ is defined as:

$$\Lambda_{(s,t)}f(x, y) = f(x, y) - f(s, y) - f(x, t) + f(s, t).$$

If $\lim_{(x,y) \rightarrow (s,t)} \Lambda_{(s,t)}f(x, y) = 0$, then f is said to be Bögel continuous on the compact domain \mathbf{X} .

Denote the space of all Bögel continuous functions defined on compact domain \mathbf{X} by $C_B(\mathbf{X})$.

Now, we shall introduce the Generalized Boolean Sum Neural Network Operator as follows:

Let $\mathcal{F} \in C_B(\Delta)$, the Generalized Boolean Sum Neural Network Operator $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} = \mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x + \mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y - \mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}.$$

Theorem 7. Let $\mathcal{F} \in C_B(\Delta)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$. Then

$$(\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \quad \forall (\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \in \partial\Delta.$$

Proof. Since

$$\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x + \mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y - \mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}) \mathcal{F}$$

Let $(x, y) \in \Gamma_1$ be arbitrary, we have $y = 0$. Using Theorem 5 (ii) and Theorem 2

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F})(x, 0) &= (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, 0) + (\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(x, 0) - (\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})(x, 0) \\
&= (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, 0) + (\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(x, 0) - (\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})(x, 0) \\
&= (\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})(x, 0) \\
&= \mathcal{F}(x, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved that $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ on Γ_1 .

Similarly, using Theorem 5 (iii) and Theorem 1, we can prove that $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ on Γ_2 .

For $(x, y) \in \Gamma_3$, the interpolation properties of $(\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x \mathcal{F})$, $(\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y \mathcal{F})$, and $(\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F})$ on Γ_3 imply that $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ on Γ_3 \square

Definition 6. Let $C_B(\mathbf{X})$ be the set of all B -continuous functions $f : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on the compact set $\mathbf{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the mixed modulus of continuity [1, 21] of f is defined by

$$\omega_{mixed}(f, \delta) = \sup_{(x, y) \in \mathbf{X}, |h| \leq \delta, |k| \leq \delta} |f(x+h, y) + f(x, y+k) - f(x+h, y+k) - f(x, y)|. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we will compute the estimate for the error of approximation corresponding to the operator $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}^x \mathcal{F}$ in terms of mixed modulus of continuity.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{F} \in C_B(\Delta)$, $\xi \in \mathcal{A}(m)$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}\| \leq \omega_{mixed}(f, h_1, h_2).$$

Proof. Assume that x lies between x_i and x_{i+1} , and y lies between y_j and y_{j+1} . Using

lemma (3) and properties P3 and P4 of lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi})(x, y) - \mathcal{F}(x, y)| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n_1} \sum_{l=0}^{n_2} (\mathcal{F}(x_k, y) + \mathcal{F}(x, y_l) - \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l)) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_k)\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_l)\right) - \mathcal{F}(x, y) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=i, i+1} \sum_{l=j, j+1} \left| \mathcal{F}(x_k, y) + \mathcal{F}(x, y_l) - \mathcal{F}(x_k, y_l) - \mathcal{F}(x, y) \right| \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_k)\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_l)\right) \\
&\leq \omega_{mixed}(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i)\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j)\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1})\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j)\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i)\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1})\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1})\right) \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1})\right) \right) \\
&= \omega_{mixed}(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i)\right) \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j)\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1})\right) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1})\right) \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_j)\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_2}{a-x}(y-y_{j+1})\right) \right) \right) \\
&= \omega_{mixed}(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2) \left(\Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_i)\right) + \Psi\left(\frac{2mn_1}{a-y}(x-x_{i+1})\right) \right) \\
&= \omega_{mixed}(\mathcal{F}, h_1, h_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

6 Numerical Examples and Error Analysis

In this section, we provide some illustrations to support the applicability of our theoretical conclusions. We consider $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = \sin(10x) + \cos(5y)$ defined on triangle Δ as the target function.

In [31], a few examples of activation function in $\mathcal{A}(m)$ were provided. In this paper, the following activation functions are taken into consideration for computational and graphical investigations of these operators:

1. A special ramp function ρ_R , introduced by Yu in [34]

$$\rho_R(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varkappa \leq -\frac{1}{2} \\ 10(\varkappa + \frac{1}{2})^3 - 15(\varkappa + \frac{1}{2})^4 + 6(\varkappa + \frac{1}{2})^5, & -\frac{1}{2} \leq \varkappa \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & \varkappa \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

One can easily verify that $\rho_R \in \mathcal{A}(\frac{1}{2})$.

Let $\varphi_R(\varkappa) = \rho_R(\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}) - \rho_R(\varkappa - \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\varphi_R(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varkappa \leq -1 \\ 10(\varkappa + 1)^3 - 15(\varkappa + 1)^4 + 6(\varkappa + 1)^5, & -1 \leq \varkappa \leq 0 \\ 1 - (10\varkappa^3 - 15\varkappa^4 + 6\varkappa^5), & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 1 \\ 0, & \varkappa \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

2. Another ramp function σ_R , introduced by Costarelli in [17]

$$\sigma_R(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varkappa \leq -\frac{1}{2} \\ \varkappa + \frac{1}{2}, & -\frac{1}{2} \leq \varkappa \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1, & \varkappa \geq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

One can easily verify that $\sigma_R \in \mathcal{A}(\frac{1}{2})$.

Let $\Psi_R(\varkappa) = \sigma_R(\varkappa + \frac{1}{2}) - \sigma_R(\varkappa - \frac{1}{2})$. Then

$$\Psi_R(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varkappa \leq -1 \\ \varkappa + 1, & -1 \leq \varkappa \leq 0 \\ 1 - \varkappa, & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 1 \\ 0, & \varkappa \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (6.4)$$

3.

$$\sigma(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0 & \varkappa \leq -1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\varkappa + \frac{1}{2} & -1 \leq \varkappa \leq 1 \\ 1 & \varkappa \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

One can easily verify that $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(1)$.

Let $\varphi(\varkappa) = \sigma(\varkappa + 1) - \sigma(\varkappa - 1)$. Then

$$\varphi(\varkappa) = \begin{cases} 0, & \varkappa \leq -2 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\varkappa + 1, & -2 \leq \varkappa \leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}\varkappa + 1, & 0 \leq \varkappa \leq 2 \\ 0, & \varkappa \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (6.6)$$

Table 1 gives the approximation error by operators $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \rho_R \sigma}^x(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \rho_R}^y(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}(\mathcal{F})$, while Table 2 gives the approximation error by operators $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \sigma}^x(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \sigma}^y(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \sigma}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \sigma}(\mathcal{F})$. It shows that approximation error varies with change in activation function.

It is evident from Table 1 and Table 2 that with an increase in the value of n_1 and n_2 the approximation error reduces. The weights and the bias related with n_1 and n_2 get adjusted to yield the desired results.

$n_1 = n_2$	$\ \mathcal{S}_{n_1, \rho_R}^x(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{S}_{n_2, \rho_R}^y(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}^y(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $
5	0.452497	0.156546	0.478877	1.5438e-15
15	0.101601	0.049354	0.118881	1.6701e-15
30	0.048032	0.023884	0.057413	1.6787e-15
50	0.027995	0.014066	0.035111	1.5326e-15
75	0.019564	0.009770	0.022506	1.7282e-15
100	0.014114	0.007005	0.015961	1.7734e-15


Table 1: Approximation error of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \rho_R}^x(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \rho_R}^y(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \rho_R}^y(\mathcal{F})$

$n_1 = n_2$	$\ \mathcal{S}_{n_1, \xi}^x(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{S}_{n_2, \xi}^y(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $	$\ \mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \xi}^y(\mathcal{F}) - \mathcal{F}\ $
5	0.440815	0.114639	0.440815	1.3971e-15
15	0.054179	0.013715	0.061273	1.5412e-15
30	0.054179	0.003450	0.015711	1.6072e-15
50	0.004995	0.001250	0.005642	1.3757e-15
75	0.002218	0.000555	0.002423	1.6474e-15
100	0.001222	0.000289	0.001390	1.7359e-15


Table 2: Approximation error of $\mathcal{S}_{n_1, \sigma}^x(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{S}_{n_2, \sigma}^y(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2, \sigma}(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2, \sigma}^y(\mathcal{F})$

7 Graphical Analysis


For graphical analysis, we let $\mathcal{F}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{7} \exp\left(-\frac{81}{16}((x - 0.2)^2 + (y - 0.3)^2)\right)$ be the target function. Figure (2a), (2b) (2c), (2d), (2e) and (2f) represent the function \mathcal{F} , the projection of function \mathcal{F} , operators $\mathcal{S}_{\xi, n_1}^x \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{S}_{\xi, n_2}^y \mathcal{F}$, bivariate operator $\mathcal{P}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F}$ and Generalized Boolean sum operator $\mathcal{B}_{n_1, n_2} \mathcal{F}$ respectively for $n_1 = n_2 = 15$. It is evident from the graphs that each approximation mimics the graph of the target function \mathcal{F} as per theoretical results obtained.




(a) $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$




(b) Projection of function \mathcal{F}




(c) $\mathcal{S}_{\xi, n}^x \mathcal{F}$



(d) $\mathcal{S}_{\xi, n_2}^y \mathcal{F}$



(e) $\mathcal{P}_{n_1 n_2} \mathcal{F}$



(f) $\mathcal{B}_{mn} \mathcal{F}$

Figure 2

References

- [1] George A. Anastassiou and Sorin G. Gal. *Approximation Theory*. Birkhäuser Boston, 2000.
- [2] Khursheed Ansari, Sedef Karakilic, and Faruk Özger. Bivariate Bernstein-Kantorovich operators with a summability method and related GBS operators. *Filomat*, 36, 12 2022.
- [3] C Badea and C Cottin. Korovkin-type theorems for generalized Boolean sum operators. In *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai*, volume 58, pages 51–67, 1990.
- [4] R.E Barnhill, G Birkhoff, and W.J Gordon. Smooth interpolation in triangles. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 8(2):114–128, 1973.
- [5] Robert E. Barnhill. Representation and approximation of surfaces. In John R. Rice, editor, *Mathematical Software*, pages 69–120. Academic Press, 1977.
- [6] Robert E Barnhill and Lois Mansfield. Error bounds for smooth interpolation in triangles. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 11(4):306 – 318, 1974. Cited by: 34.
- [7] A.R. Barron. Universal approximation bounds for superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 39(3):930–945, 1993.
- [8] S.N. Bernstein. Constructive proof of Weierstrass approximation theorem. *Comm. Kharkov Math. Soc.*, 13:1–2, 1912.
- [9] Petru Blaga and Gheorghe Coman. Bernstein-type operators on triangles. *Rev. Anal. Numér. Théor. Approx.*, 38(1):9–21, Feb. 2009.
- [10] Karl Bögel. Über die mehrdimensionale Differentiation. *Jber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein.*, 65:45–71, 1962/63.
- [11] Karl Bögel. Mehrdimensionale differentiation von funktionen mehrerer reeller veränderlichen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 170:197–217, 1934.
- [12] Karl Bögel. Über mehrdimensionale differentiation, integration und beschränkte variation. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 173:5–30, 1935.
- [13] Qing-Bo Cai, Wen-Tao Cheng, and BAYRAM ÇEKİM. Bivariate alpha, q-Bernstein-Kantorovich operators and GBS operators of bivariate alpha, q-Bernstein-Kantorovich type. *MATHEMATICS*, 7(12), 2019.
- [14] Qing-Bo Cai, Asif Khan, Mohd Shanawaz Mansoori, Mohammad Iliyas, and Khalid Khan. Approximation by λ -Bernstein type operators on triangular domain. *Filomat*, 37(6):1941–1958, 2023.

- [15] Qing-Bo Cai and Guorong Zhou. Blending type approximation by GBS operators of bivariate tensor product of λ -Bernstein–Kantorovich type. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, 2018(1):268, 2018.
- [16] Pierre Cardaliaguet and Guillaume Euvrard. Approximation of a function and its derivative with a neural network. *Neural Networks*, 5(2):207–220, 1992.
- [17] Danilo Costarelli. Interpolation by neural network operators activated by ramp functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 419(1):574–582, 2014.
- [18] Danilo Costarelli. Neural network operators: Constructive interpolation of multivariate functions. *Neural Networks*, 67:28–36, 2015.
- [19] Danilo Costarelli and Renato Spigler. Convergence of a family of neural network operators of the Kantorovich type. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 185:80–90, 2014.
- [20] George V. Cybenko. Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function. *Mathematics of Control, Signals and Systems*, 2:303–314, 1989.
- [21] Heinz H Gonska. Degree of simultaneous approximation of bivariate functions by Gordon operators. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 62(2):170–191, 1990.
- [22] Uğur Kadak. Multivariate neural network interpolation operators. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 414:114426, 2022.
- [23] Asif Khan, M.S. Mansoori, Khalid Khan, and M. Mursaleen. Lupaş type Bernstein operators on triangles based on quantum analogue. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 60(6):5909–5919, 2021.
- [24] Asif Khan, MS Mansoori, Khalid Khan, and M Mursaleen. Phillips-type q -Bernstein operators on triangles. *Journal of Function Spaces*, 2021(1):6637893, 2021.
- [25] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey Hinton. Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Neural Information Processing Systems*, 25, 01 2012.
- [26] JA Marshall and AR Mitchell. Blending interpolants in the finite element method. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 12(1):77–83, 1978.
- [27] H.N. Mhaskar and C.A. Micchelli. Degree of approximation by neural and translation networks with a single hidden layer. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 16(2):151–183, 1995.
- [28] S. A. Mohiuddine. Approximation by bivariate generalized Bernstein–Schurer operators and associated GBS operators. *Advances in Difference Equations*, 2020, 12 2020.

- [29] G.M. Nielson, D.H. Thomas, and J.A. Wixom. Interpolation in triangles. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 20(1):115–130, 1979.
- [30] Abdul Qayyum, Imran Razzak, M Tanveer, and Ajay Kumar. Depth-wise dense neural network for automatic covid19 infection detection and diagnosis. *Annals of operations research*, pages 1–21.
- [31] Yunyou Qian and Dansheng Yu. Rates of approximation by neural network interpolation operators. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 418:126781, 2022.
- [32] M. Tanveer, A.H. Rashid, Rahul Kumar, and R. Balasubramanian. Parkinson’s disease diagnosis using neural networks: Survey and comprehensive evaluation. *Inf. Process. Manage.*, 59(3), may 2022.
- [33] M Tanveer, B Richhariya, RU Khan, AH Rashid, P Khanna, M Prasad, and CT Lin. Machine learning techniques for the diagnosis of alzheimer’s disease: A review. *ACM Transactions on Multimedia Computing, Communications and Applications*, 2020.
- [34] Dan Sheng Yu and Ping Zhou. Approximation by neural network operators activated by smooth ramp functions. *Acta Mathematica Sinica, Chinese Series*, 59(5):623 – 638, 2016.