

MULTI-WINDOW SUPER GABOR FRAMES ON DISCRETE PERIODIC SETS

NAJIB KHACHIAA^{1*} AND MOHAMED ROSSAFI²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R) \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ denotes a L -window super Gabor system on a periodic set \mathbb{S} , where $L, M, N, R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g = \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. We characterize which g generates a complete multi-window super Gabor system and a multi-window super Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ on \mathbb{S} using the vector-valued Zak transform. Admissibility conditions for a periodic set to admit a complete multi-window super Gabor system, multi-window super Gabor (Parseval) frame, and multi-window super Gabor (orthonormal) basis $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ are given with respect to the parameters L, M, N and R .

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The study of Gabor frames has become a cornerstone of time-frequency analysis, with numerous applications across various scientific and engineering fields. Traditionally, Gabor frames are constructed using a single window function, enabling the decomposition of signals into localized time-frequency components. However, the limitations of single-window Gabor frames, particularly in handling signals with diverse characteristics, have led to the development of multi-window Gabor frames. These frames provide greater versatility by employing multiple window functions, thereby offering enhanced flexibility in capturing the intricate structures of complex signals. Due to their potential applications in multiplexing techniques, such as Time Division Multiple Access (TDMA) and Frequency Division Multiple Access (FDMA), super frames have garnered interest among mathematicians and engineers. In this paper, we focus on multi-window super Gabor frames.

A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where \mathcal{I} is a countable set, in a separable Hilbert space H is said to be frame if there exist $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ (called frame bounds) such that for all $f \in H$,

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2.$$

If only the upper inequality holds, $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is called a Bessel sequence with Bessel bound B . If $A = B$, the sequence is called a tight frame and if $A = B = 1$, it is called a Parseval frame for H . For more details on frame theory, the reader can refer to [1].

Denote by \mathbb{N} the set of positive integers, i.e. $\mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and for a given $K \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathbb{N}_K := \{0, 1, \dots, K-1\}$. Let $N, M, L \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{pgcd}(p, q) = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. A nonempty subset \mathbb{S} of \mathbb{Z} is said to be $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic set if for all $j \in \mathbb{S}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j + nN \in \mathbb{S}$. For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, write $\mathbb{S}_K := \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{N}_K$. We denote by $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ the closed subspace of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ defined by,

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{S}) := \{f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) : f(j) = 0 \text{ if } j \notin \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Date: ...

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C15; 42C40.

Key words and phrases. Multi-window Discrete Gabor Frame, Super Gabor frames, Discrete Periodic Set, Discrete Zak-transform.

Define the modulation operator $E_{\frac{m}{M}}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the translation operator T_{nN} with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ by:

$$E_{\frac{m}{M}} f(\cdot) := e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} f(\cdot), \quad T_{nN} f(\cdot) := f(\cdot - nN).$$

The modulation and translation operators are unitary operators of $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$.

For $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, the associated multiwindow discrete Gabor system (M-D-G) is given by,

$$\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N) := \{E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}.$$

Let $R \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{H_r\}_{r=1, \dots, R}$ be a sequence of Hilbert spaces on \mathbb{C} , we denote by $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$ their direct sum space (the super Hilbert space) endowed with the inner product $\langle f, \tilde{f} \rangle := \sum_{r=1}^R \langle f_r, \tilde{f}_r \rangle_{H_r}$ for $f = (f_1, \dots, f_R)$ and $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_R) \in \bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$. In particular, when $H_r =$

$\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ for all $r = 1, \dots, R$, $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$ is the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, and when $H_r = L^2(E)$ for all

$r = 1, \dots, R$, $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$ is the Hilbert space $L^2(E, \mathbb{C}^R)$. A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ in $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$ is said to be

super Bessel sequence if it is a Bessel sequence in $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$, and called a super frame for $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$

if it is a frame for $\bigoplus_{r=1}^R H_r$. For more details on super frame theory, the reader can refer to [2].

In this paper, we are interested in Gabor frames for the super Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, that is to say super Gabor frames for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.

Define the modulation operator $E_{\frac{m}{M}}$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the translation operator T_{nN} with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ by:

$$E_{\frac{m}{M}} f(\cdot) := (E_{\frac{m}{M}} f_1(\cdot), E_{\frac{m}{M}} f_2(\cdot), \dots, E_{\frac{m}{M}} f_R(\cdot))$$

and

$$T_{nN} f(\cdot) := (T_{nN} f_1(\cdot), T_{nN} f_2(\cdot), \dots, T_{nN} f_R(\cdot)).$$

The modulation and translation operators are unitary operators of $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.

For $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, the associated multi-window super Gabor system is given by,

$$\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R) := \{E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M, n \in \mathbb{Z}, l \in \mathbb{N}_L}.$$

If $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a multi-window super Gabor frame and $S \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R))$ is its frame operator, then it is easy to verify that $S^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)) = \mathcal{G}(S^{-1}g, L, M, N, R)$ and $S^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)) = \mathcal{G}(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}g, L, M, N, R)$ which is a Parseval super Gabor frame. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$. The discrete Zak transform z_K of $h \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined by,

$$z_K h(j, \theta) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} h(j + kK) e^{2\pi i k \theta}.$$

For $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, the vector-valued discrete Zak transform $z_K f$ for f is defined by

$$z_K f(j, \theta) := (z_K f_1(j, \theta), z_K f_2(j, \theta), \dots, z_K f_R(j, \theta)).$$

We can verify easily that the vector-valued Zak transform $z_K f$ is quasi-periodic, i.e. for all $j, k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$z_K f(j + kK, \theta + l) = e^{-2\pi i k \theta} z_K f(j, \theta).$$

Then the vector-valued discrete Zak transform is completely determined by its values for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we will present some auxiliary lemmas to be used in the following sections. In section 3, we characterize which $g \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ generates a complete Multi-window super Gabor system and a multi-window super Gabor frame $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ using the vector-valued Zak transform. In section 4, we provide an admissibility characterization for complete multi-window super Gabor systems and multi-window super Gabor frames $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ on a discrete periodic set \mathbb{S} , and we finish with an example.

2. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

In this section, we introduce a series of lemmas and establish the notations that will be used in the following sections. Beyond the notations mentioned in the introduction, let $\mathcal{M}_{s,t}$ denote the collection of all $s \times t$ matrices with elements in \mathbb{C} . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, I_s denotes the identity matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{s,s}$. The notation $p \wedge q$ is used to indicate that p and q are coprime. For any matrix A , A^* stands for its conjugate transpose, $N(A)$ represents its null space, and $A_{s,t}$ indicates its (s, t) entry. When A is a column vector, we denote its r -th entry by A_r . Let $L, M, N, R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote $\mathcal{K}_j := \{k \in \mathbb{N}_p : j + kM \in \mathbb{S}\}$, $\mathcal{K}(j) := \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1))$ and $\Lambda_j := \{k + rp : k \in \mathcal{K}_j, r \in \mathbb{N}_R\}$. Following this, we provide several definitions and results that will be pertinent throughout the rest of the paper.

The following lemma is a vector version of Theorem 2.1 in [4].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $K, R \in \mathbb{N}$, and let \mathbb{S} be a $K\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic set in \mathbb{Z} . Then the vector-valued Zak transform z_K is a unitary operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{S}_K \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$, where*

$$L^2(\mathbb{S}_K \times [0, 1[) := \left\{ \psi : \mathbb{S}_K \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}_K} \int_0^1 |\psi(j, \theta)|^2 d\theta < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that A is $K\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to B if there exists a partition $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of A such that $\{A_k + kK\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a partition of B .

Lemma 2.2. *Let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Then the set $\Delta := \{j + kM - rN : j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}, k \in \mathbb{N}_p, r \in \mathbb{N}_q\}$ is qN -congruent to \mathbb{N}_{pM} .*

Let $R, M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Write $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$ such that $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \wedge q = 1$. For each $h \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, we associate a matrix-valued function $Z_h : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q,p}$ whose entry at the r -th row and the k -th column is defined for $(j, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$Z_h(j, \theta)_{r,k} = z_{pM} h(j + kM - rN, \theta).$$

For $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_R) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, we associate a matrix-valued function $Z_f : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q,pR}$ defined for $(j, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$Z_f(j, \theta) := (Z_{f_1}(j, \theta), Z_{f_2}(j, \theta), \dots, Z_{f_R}(j, \theta)).$$

By Lemma 2.2 and quasi-periodicity of the discrete Zak transform, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_R) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and let $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Then $z_{pM} f$ is completely determined by the matrices $Z_f(j, \theta)$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and*

$\theta \in [0, 1[$. Conversely, a matrix-valued function $Z : \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times [0, 1[\rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{q,pR}$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $Z(j, \cdot)_{r,k} \in L^2([0, 1[)$ also determines a unique $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $Z_f(j, \theta) = Z(j, \theta)$.

For $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, we associate a matrix-valued function $Z_g : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{qL,pR}$ defined for $(j, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$Z_g(j, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{g_0}(j, \theta) \\ Z_{g_1}(j, \theta) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{g_{L-1}}(j, \theta) \end{pmatrix} = (Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta))_{l=0,1,\dots,L-1, r=1,2,\dots,R}$$

where for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, we write $g_l = (g_{l,1}, g_{l,2}, \dots, g_{l,R})$.

For $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_R) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, we, also, associate a vector-valued function $F : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{pR}$ defined for $(j, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$F(j, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} F_1(j, \theta) \\ F_2(j, \theta) \\ \vdots \\ F_R(j, \theta) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $F_r : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^p$ is a vector-valued function defined for $(j, \theta) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ by the column vector:

$$(F_r(j, \theta)) := (z_{pM} f_r(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p}.$$

By lemma 2.1 and the quasiperiodicity of discrete Zak transform, f and $F(j, \theta)$ with $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $\theta \in [0, 1[$ are mutually uniquely determined.

By Lemma 3 in [8], we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Let $h \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, then for all $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and $(m, n, r) \in \mathbb{N}_M \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}_q$, we have:*

$$\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)Nh} \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \left(\int_0^1 \overline{(Z_h(j, \theta))_r} F(j, \theta)_r e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \right) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j}.$$

Let $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \mathcal{M}_{s,t}$. For $K \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $I_K \otimes A$ the block matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{sK,tK}$ defined by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A \end{pmatrix}$$

By simple computations, we obtain the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.5. Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_{s,s}$, $A' \in \mathcal{M}_{t,t}$ and $\{B_{k,k'}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_K, k' \in \mathbb{N}_{K'}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{s,t}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} AB_{0,0}A' & AB_{0,1}A' & \cdots & AB_{0,K'-1}A' \\ AB_{1,0}A' & AB_{1,1}A' & \cdots & AB_{1,K'-1}A' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ AB_{K-1,0}A' & AB_{K-1,1}A' & \cdots & AB_{K-1,K'-1}A' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (I_K \otimes A) \begin{pmatrix} B_{0,0} & B_{0,1} & \cdots & B_{0,K'-1} \\ B_{1,0} & B_{1,1} & \cdots & B_{1,K'-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B_{K-1,0} & B_{K-1,1} & \cdots & B_{K-1,K'-1} \end{pmatrix} (I_{K'} \otimes A'). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and A, B be two matrices. Then:

$$I_K \otimes (\lambda A + B) = \lambda(I_K \otimes A) + (I_K \otimes B).$$

Lemma 2.7. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and A, B be two matrices such that AB is well defined. Then:

$$I_K \otimes (AB) = (I_K \otimes A)(I_K \otimes B).$$

Remark 2.8. Let $K, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $I_K \otimes I_s = I_{sK}$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and A be a matrix. Then:

$$(I_K \otimes A)^* = I_K \otimes A^*.$$

Lemma 2.10. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and A be a square matrix. Then:

(1) A is invertible $\iff I_K \otimes A$ is invertible. Moreover, in this case, we have:

$$(I_K \otimes A)^{-1} = I_K \otimes A^{-1}.$$

(2) A is a unitary matrix $\iff I_K \otimes A$ is a unitary matrix.

Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$. By lemma 4 in [4], for each $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a unique $(k_\ell, r_\ell, m_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{N}_q \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell = k_\ell q + (m_\ell q - r_\ell)p$. Define the two following matrix-valued functions as follows (for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$):

$$A_\ell(\theta) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-2\pi i \theta} I_{k_\ell} \\ I_{p-k_\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } k_\ell \neq 0, \\ I_p & \text{if } k_\ell = 0. \end{cases}$$

And:

$$C_\ell(\theta) := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{q-r_\ell} \\ e^{2\pi i \theta} I_{r_\ell} & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \text{if } r_\ell \neq 0, \\ I_q & \text{if } r_\ell = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.11. The matrices $A_\ell(\theta)$ and $C_\ell(\theta)$ are unitaries.

Proof. By a simple computation, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\ell(\theta)A_\ell(\theta)^* &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{k_\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{p-k_\ell} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= I_p. \end{aligned}$$

And:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\ell(\theta)C_\ell(\theta)^* &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{q-r_\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{r_\ell} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= I_q. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.12. *Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then, for all $j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we have:*

$$Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) = e^{-2\pi i m_\ell \theta} (I_L \otimes C_\ell(\theta)) Z_g(j, \theta) (I_R \otimes A_\ell(\theta)). \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, we have:

$$Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta)^* Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) = (I_R \otimes A_\ell(\theta))^* Z_g(j, \theta)^* Z_g(j, \theta) (I_R \otimes A_\ell(\theta)). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. By lemma 5 in [8], we have for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, R\}$, $j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$Z_{g_{l,r}}(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) = e^{-2\pi i m_\ell \theta} C_\ell(\theta) Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta) A_\ell(\theta).$$

Then, Lemma 2.5 implies (1) and the unitarity of $C_\ell(\theta)$ together with Lemma 2.10 implies (2). □

Lemma 2.13. *Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$. Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (2) All entries of $Z_g(j, \cdot)$ are in $L^\infty([0, 1])$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.

Proof. It is well known that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ if and only if for each $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Since for $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if $\mathcal{G}(g_{l,r}, M, N)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, then by proposition 1 in [25], for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and $1 \leq r \leq R$, all entries of $Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \cdot)$ are in $L^\infty([0, 1])$ (for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$). Hence $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a Bessel sequence in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ if and only if all entries of $Z_g(j, \cdot)$ are in $L^\infty([0, 1])$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$.
- (2) $Z_g(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$.
- (3) $Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ if and only if f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g_l, M, N, R)$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. Then, by Lemma 2.4, $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ if and only if $Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$, hence (1) \iff (3). Since

$$Z_g(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{g_0}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} \\ Z_{g_1}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} \\ \vdots \\ Z_{g_{L-1}}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} \end{pmatrix},$$

then (2) \iff (3). □

Lemma 2.15. For all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathcal{K}(j)$ is an orthogonal projection on \mathbb{C}^p . i.e.

- (1) $\mathcal{K}(j)^2 = \mathcal{K}(j)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{K}(j)^* = \mathcal{K}(j)$.

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(j)^2 &= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0)^2, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1)^2, \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)^2) \\ &= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)) = \mathcal{K}(j). \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(j)^* &= \text{diag}(\overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0)}, \overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1)}, \dots, \overline{\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)}) \\ &= \text{diag}(\chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(0), \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(p-1)) = \mathcal{K}(j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.16. Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then:

$$\text{diag}(\chi_{\Lambda_j}(0), \chi_{\Lambda_j}(1), \dots, \chi_{\Lambda_j}(pR-1)) = (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j)).$$

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}$, then there exist a unique $(k', r) \in \mathbb{N}_p \times \mathbb{N}_R$ such that $k = k' + pr$. It is clear that $\chi_{\Lambda_j}(k) = \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(k')$. Then lemma 2.5 completes the proof. □

Lemma 2.17. [6] For all $j, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell) = (I_R \otimes A_\ell(\theta))^*(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))(I_R \otimes A_\ell(\theta)).$$

Lemma 2.18. [1] Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$, where \mathcal{I} is a countable sequence, be a Parseval frame for a separable Hilbert space H . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a Riesz basis.
- (2) $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ is an orthonormal basis.
- (3) For all $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\|f_i\| = 1$.

Lemma 2.19. Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_l\|^2 = \frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.2 in [7], we have for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_N$, $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_l(j - nN)|^2 = \frac{1}{M}$. Then

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{S}_N} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |g_l(j - nN)|^2 = \frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}, \text{ thus } \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_l\|^2 = \frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}.$$

□

3. CHARACTERIZATIONS OF COMPLETE MULTI-WINDOW SUPER GABOR SYSTEMS AND MULTI-WINDOW SUPER GABOR FRAMES

In this section we use all the notations already introduced without introducing them again. Note also that, since no confusion is possible, all the norms that will be used will be noted by the same notation $\|\cdot\|$. Let $L, M, N, R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$ and denote for $K \in \mathbb{N}_K$, $\mathbb{S}_K = \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{N}_K$. In what follows, we characterise what $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ generates a complete super Gabor system, a super Gabor frame and a super gabor (orthonormal) basis $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$.

We first present the following proposition:

Proposition 3.1. *Let $g := (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_R)$, $h := (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_R) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are both Bessel sequences in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} & ((z_{pM} S_{h,g} f)(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} \\ &= M \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \left(Z_{g_{l,1}}^t(j, \theta), Z_{g_{l,2}}^t(j, \theta), \dots, Z_{g_{l,R}}^t(j, \theta) \right) \left(I_R \otimes (\overline{Z_{h_l}(j, \theta)} F(j, \theta)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_R) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

Proof. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{h,g} f &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} \langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} h_l \rangle E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} \sum_{r=1}^R \langle f_r, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} h_{l,r} \rangle E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^R \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} \langle f_r, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} h_{l,r} \rangle E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_{l,1}, \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} \langle f_r, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} h_{l,r} \rangle E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_{l,R} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} (S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,1}} f_r, S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,2}} f_r, \dots, S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,R}} f_r). \end{aligned}$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (z_{pM} S_{h,g} f)(j + kM, \theta) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} (z_{pM} S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,1}} f_r(j + kM, \theta), \dots, z_{pM} S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,R}} f_r(j + kM, \theta)). \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & ((z_{pM} S_{h,g} f)(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \left((z_{pM} S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,1}} f_r(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p}, \dots, (z_{pM} S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,R}} f_r(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 2 in [8], we have:

$$\left((z_{pM} S_{h_{l,r}, g_{l,r'}} f_r)(j + kM, \theta) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} = M Z_{g_{l,r'}}^t(j, \theta) \overline{Z_{h_{l,r}}(j, \theta)} F_r(j, \theta).$$

Then lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 imply that:

$$\begin{aligned} ((z_{pM} S_{h,g} f)(j + kM, \theta))_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} &= \sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \left(Z_{g_{l,1}}^t(j, \theta), Z_{g_{l,2}}^t(j, \theta), \dots, Z_{g_{l,R}}^t(j, \theta) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(I_R \otimes (\overline{Z_{h_{l,r}}(j, \theta)} F_r(j, \theta)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \left(Z_{g_{l,1}}^t(j, \theta), Z_{g_{l,2}}^t(j, \theta), \dots, Z_{g_{l,R}}^t(j, \theta) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(I_R \otimes \left(\sum_{r=1}^R \overline{Z_{h_{l,r}}(j, \theta)} F_r(j, \theta) \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \left(Z_{g_{l,1}}^t(j, \theta), Z_{g_{l,2}}^t(j, \theta), \dots, Z_{g_{l,R}}^t(j, \theta) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(I_R \otimes (\overline{Z_{h_l}(j, \theta)} F(j, \theta)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.2. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, h := \{h_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are both Bessel sequences. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are strongly disjoint.
- (2) $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are strongly disjoint if and only if $S_{h,g}f = 0$ for all $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. By choosing $R = 1$ in proposition 3.1, we obtain that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are strongly disjoint if and only if $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) \overline{Z_{h_l}(j, \theta)} F(j, \theta) = 0$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are strongly disjoint if and only if $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. For

an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{C}^p$, define $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $\overline{F(j, \theta)} = x$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) x = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Hence:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_M \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[. \quad (3.1)$$

Evidently, (3) implies that $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e

$\theta \in [0, 1[$, then that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ and $\mathcal{G}(h, L, M, N, R)$ are strongly disjoint. Let's prove now that (3) is equivalent to:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[. \quad (3.2)$$

For this, we prove only that (4) implies (3). By lemma 2.12, we have for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_q$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$:

$$Z_{g_l}^*(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) = A_\ell^*(\theta) Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) A_\ell(\theta).$$

Thus:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell, \theta) = A_\ell^*(\theta) \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{h_l}(j, \theta) \right) A_\ell(\theta),$$

for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_q$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Hence (4) implies (3). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, then:*

$$Z_f(j, \theta) \mathcal{K}(j) = Z_f(j, \theta) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[.$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}_q$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_f(j, \theta) \mathcal{K}(j))_{s,t} &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,k} \mathcal{K}(j)_{k,t} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,k} \delta_{k,t} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(t) \\ &= Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(t) \\ &= \begin{cases} Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} & \text{if } t \in \mathcal{K}_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} = z_{pM} f(j+tM-sN, \theta) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j+tM-sN+kpM) e^{2\pi i k \theta} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j+tM-sN+kqN) e^{2\pi i k \theta}$ since $pM = qN$. Then, if $t \notin \mathcal{K}_j$, $j+tM \notin \mathbb{S}$, then, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j+tM-sN+kqN \notin \mathbb{S}$ by the $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodicity of \mathbb{S} , thus $f(j+tM-sN+kqN) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence $Z_f(j, \theta)_{s,t} = 0$ if $t \notin \mathcal{K}_j$. The proof is completed. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:*

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) \leq R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. By Lemma 3.3, we have for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and $1 \leq r \leq R$, $Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta) \mathcal{K}(j) = Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta)$, where for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $g_l := (g_{l,1}, g_{l,2}, \dots, g_{l,R})$. Then, by Lemma 2.5, we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$:

$$Z_g(j, \theta) (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j)) = Z_g(j, \theta). \quad (3.4)$$

Thus:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta) (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))) \leq \text{rank}(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j)) \leq R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.5. The inequality (5) in Proposition 3.4 holds for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

In fact, Lemma 2.12 implies that $\text{rank}\left(Z_g(j + \frac{M}{q}\ell)\right) = \text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta))$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ since $A_\ell(\theta)$ and $C_\ell(\theta)$ are both unitaries. And by Remark 1 in [3], $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$ is $\frac{M}{q}$ -periodic with respect to j .

The following proposition characterizes which g generates a complete super Gabor system on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 3.6. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (2) For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$,

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j). \quad (3.5)$$

For the proof, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S})$, then:*

$$\mathcal{K}(j)F(j, \theta) = F(j, \theta) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_M \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[.$$

Proof. Let $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_p$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}(j)F(j, \theta))_k &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_p} (\mathcal{K}(j))_{k,n} F(j, \theta)_n \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_p} \delta_{n,k} \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(k) F(j, \theta)_n \\ &= \chi_{\mathcal{K}_j}(k) F(j, \theta)_k \\ &= \begin{cases} F(j, \theta)_k & \text{if } k \in \mathcal{K}_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have $F(j, \theta)_k = z_{pM} f(j+kM, \theta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(j+kM+npM, \theta) e^{2\pi i n \theta}$. Then if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$ (i.e $j+kM \notin \mathbb{S}$), $j+kM+npM \notin \mathbb{S}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodicity of \mathbb{S} and since $pM = qN$. Hence if $k \notin \mathcal{K}_j$, $F(j, \theta)_k = 0$. Hence $(\mathcal{K}(j)F(j, \theta))_k = F(j, \theta)_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_p$. Thus $\mathcal{K}(j)F(j, \theta) = F(j, \theta)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 2.14, $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ if and only if $Z_g(j, \theta) \overline{F(j, \theta)} = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, which, together with Lemmas 3.3 and 3.7, is equivalent to:

$$(Z_g(j, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j)))(\overline{(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))F(j, \theta)}) = 0 \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_M \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[. \quad (3.6)$$

By Remark 3.5, we only need to prove that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete if and only if

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))) = R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \text{ for } j \in \mathbb{N}_M \text{ and a.e } \theta \in [0, 1[. \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose (9) and let $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$. (9) Shows that the rank of $Z_g(j, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))$ is exactly the number of nonzero columns of $Z_g(j, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then, from (8), it follows that $((I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j)) \overline{F(j, \theta)}) = 0$, and thus by Lemma 3.3, $F(j, \theta) = 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Hence $f = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and suppose that (9) fails. Then there exists $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $E_0 \subset [0, 1[$ with positive measure such that for all $\theta \in E_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)) &= \text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))) \\ &< R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_{j_0}) \\ &= \text{card}(\Lambda_{j_0}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Denote by $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta) : \mathbb{C}^{pR} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{pR}$ the orthogonal projection onto the kernel of $Z_g(j_0, \theta)$, $N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$, for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Let $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}}$ be the standard orthonormal basis for \mathbb{C}^{pR} . Suppose that $\text{span}\{e_k : k \in \Lambda_{j_0}\} \subset N(\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta))$ for some $\theta \in E_0$. Then $\{e_k : k \in \Lambda_{j_0}\} \oplus N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$ is an orthogonal sum, then:

$$pR \geq \text{card}(\Lambda_{j_0}) + (pR - \text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)))$$

Then:

$$\text{rank}(Z_g(j_0, \theta)) \geq \text{card}(\Lambda_{j_0}).$$

Contradiction with (10). Therefore, there exist $k_0 \in \Lambda_{j_0}$ and $\tilde{E}_0 \subset E_0$ with positive measure such that $e_{k_0} \notin N(\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta))$ for all $\theta \in \tilde{E}_0$. That means that $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \neq 0$ for all $\theta \in \tilde{E}_0$. Define $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $F(j, \theta) := \delta_{j, j_0} \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then $\|F(j, \theta)\|_{\mathbb{C}^{pR}} \leq 1$, and the k -th component of $F(j_0, \theta)$ equals $\langle \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0}, e_k \rangle = \langle e_{k_0}, \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_k \rangle$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Observe that when $k \notin \Lambda_{j_0}$, $e_k \in N(Z_g(j_0, \theta))$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ by the definition of z_{pM} , which implies that the k -th component of $F(j_0, \theta)$ equals $\langle e_{k_0}, \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_k \rangle = \langle e_{k_0}, e_k \rangle = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $f \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Since $F(j_0, \theta) = \mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \neq 0$ for all $\theta \in \tilde{E}_0$ which is with positive measure, then $f \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have for $j \neq j_0$, $F(j, \theta) = 0$, then $Z_g(j, \theta)F(j, \theta) = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ and $Z_g(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta) = Z_g(j_0, \theta)\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} = 0$ for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ since $\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta)e_{k_0} \in N(\mathbb{P}(j_0, \theta))$. Hence, by Lemma 2.14, f is orthogonal to $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ but $f \neq 0$. Contradiction with the fact that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. \square

Remark 3.8.

- (1) For $R = 1$ in Proposition 3.6, we obtain the Proposition 3 in [3].
- (2) For $L = 1$ in Proposition 3.6, we obtain the Theorem 3.1 in [3].
- (3) For $R = 1$ and $L = 1$ in Proposition 3.6, we obtain the Theorem 3.3 in [4].

Remark 3.9. For the special case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}_j = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition (2) in the Proposition 3.6 is equivalent to $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = pR$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, which is equivalent to: $Z_g(j, \theta)$ is injective for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

The following proposition characterizes which g generates a multi-window super Gabor frame on \mathbb{S} .

Proposition 3.10. *Given $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ with frame bounds $0 < A \leq B$.
(2)

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j). \quad (3.9)$$

for all $j \in \frac{M}{q}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

- (3) The inequality (11) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$.

For the proof, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Denote $L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$ the set of vector-valued functions H on $\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{S}_{pM}$, $H(j, \cdot) \in L^\infty([0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$, and $\Delta := z_{pM}^{-1} |_{\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)} (L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R))$.*

Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ with frame bounds $A \leq B$.
(2) For all $f \in \Delta$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By density of $L^\infty(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and by the unitarity of z_{pM} from $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ onto $L^2(\mathbb{S}_{pM} \times [0, 1[, \mathbb{C}^R)$ (Since $pM = qN$, then \mathbb{S} is pMZ -periodic in \mathbb{Z}), Δ is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Hence $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ with frame bounds $A \leq B$ if and only if for all $f \in \Delta$,

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2,$$

if and only if for all $f \in \Delta$,

$$A \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)N} g_l \rangle|^2 \leq B \|f\|^2.$$

Let $f \in \Delta$, by Lemma 2.4 we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)N} g_l \rangle &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \left(\int_0^1 \overline{(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta))_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \right) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} T(j) e^{-2\pi i \frac{m}{M} j}, \\ &= \langle T, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $T(j) = \int_0^1 \overline{(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta))_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta$. Observe that T is M -periodic. Since $\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M}$ is an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_M)$; the space of M -periodic sequences, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)N} g_l \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle T, e^{2\pi i \frac{m}{M} \cdot} \rangle|^2 \\ &= M \|T\|^2 \\ &= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \left| \int_0^1 \overline{(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta))_r} e^{-2\pi i n \theta} d\theta \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{e^{2\pi in\theta}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2([0, 1[)$ and $(\overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)})_r \in L^2([0, 1[)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}_q$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)Ng_l} \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_0^1 (\overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)})_r e^{-2\pi in\theta} d\theta \right|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle (\overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \cdot)F(j, \cdot)})_r, e^{2\pi in \cdot} \rangle \right|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \left\| (\overline{Z_{g_l}(j, \cdot)F(j, \cdot)})_r \right\|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 |(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta))_r|^2 d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)Ng_l} \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_q} |(Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta))_r|^2 d\theta \\
&= M \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

The norm in the last line is the 2-norm in \mathbb{C}^q . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)Ng_l} \rangle|^2 \\
&= M \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_q} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_M} |\langle f, E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{(nq+r)Ng_l} \rangle|^2 = M \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, we have by unitarity of z_{pM} :

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^2 &= \|z_{pM}f\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_R} \|z_{pM}f_r\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_R} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{pM}} \int_0^1 |z_{pM}f_r(j, \theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_R} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} \int_0^1 |z_{pM}f_r(j + kM, \theta)|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \sum_{r \in \mathbb{N}_R} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_p} |F_r(j, \theta)_k|^2 d\theta \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta
\end{aligned}$$

The norm in the last line is the 2-norm in \mathbb{C}^{pR} . Thus:

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_M} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \quad (3.11)$$

Then, combining (12) and (13), the proof is completed. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.10.

(1) \implies (3): Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then for all $f \in \Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Fix $x := \{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}} \in \mathbb{C}^{pR}$, $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $h \in L^\infty([0, 1])$, and define for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1]$, $F(j, \theta) := \{\delta_{j, j_0} \chi_{\Lambda_j}(k) x_k h(\theta)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}}$.

Then: $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta = \|\{\chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(k)x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}}\|^2 \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta$. Since $\{\chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(k)x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}} = \text{diag}(\chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(0), \chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(1), \dots, \chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(pR-1))x$, then by Lemma 2.16, $\{\chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(k)x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{pR}} = (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))x$. Thus, by Lemmas 2.9, 2.7 and 2.15, we have: $\sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta = \langle (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta$. On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)F(j, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta)\|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} |(Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)F(j_0, \theta))_r|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{pR-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} F(j_0, \theta)_k \right|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{pR-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} \chi_{\Lambda_{j_0}}(k) x_k h(\theta) \right|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{pR-1} Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)_{r,k} ((I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))x)_k \right|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{r=0}^{q-1} |(Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))x)_r|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j_0))x\|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)x\|^2 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \quad \text{by Lemma 3.3} \\ &= \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j_0, \theta)Z_{g_l}(j_0, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^{pR}$ and $h \in L^\infty([0, 1[)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A}{M} \cdot \langle (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta &\leq \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot \langle (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))x, x \rangle \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

For $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^{pR}$ fixed, denote $C = \frac{A}{M} \cdot \langle (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))x, x \rangle$ and $D = \frac{B}{M} \cdot \langle (I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))x, x \rangle$. Assume, by contradiction, that

$$C > \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle, \quad (3.13)$$

on a subset of $[0, 1[$ with a positive measure. Denote $D = \{\theta \in [0, 1[: (15) \text{ holds}\}$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, denote:

$$D_k := \left\{ \theta \in [0, 1[: C - \frac{C}{k+1} \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle \leq C - \frac{C}{k} \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\{D_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a partition for D . Since $mes(D) > 0$, then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mes(D_k) > 0$. Let $h := \chi_{D_k}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta &= \int_{D_k} \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle d\theta \\ &\leq (C - \frac{C}{k}) \cdot mes(D_k) \\ &< C \cdot mes(D_k) \\ &= C \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction with (14). Suppose, again by contradiction, that

$$D < \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle, \quad (3.14)$$

on a subset of $[0, 1[$ with a positive measure. Denote $D' = \{\theta \in [0, 1[: (16) \text{ holds}\}$. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote

$$D'_{k,m} := \left\{ \theta \in [0, 1[: D(k + \frac{1}{m+1}) \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle \leq D(k + \frac{1}{m}) \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\{D'_{k,m}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a partition for D' . Since $mes(D') > 0$, then there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mes(D'_{k,m}) > 0$. Let $h := \chi_{D'_{k,m}}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta &= \int_{D'_{k,m}} \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{l-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta)x, x \right\rangle d\theta \\ &\geq D(k + \frac{1}{m+1}) \cdot mes(D'_{k,m}) \\ &> D \cdot mes(D'_{k,m}) \\ &= D \int_0^1 |h(\theta)|^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Contradiction with (14). Hence for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$, and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j) \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j).$$

(3) \implies (1): Assume (3). Let $f \in \Delta$, then by Lemma 3.7, we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $(I_R \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))F(j, \theta) = F(j, \theta)$. Then, by (3), we have:

$$\frac{A}{M} \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \left\langle \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta), F(j, \theta) \right\rangle \leq \frac{B}{M} \|F(j, \theta)\|^2.$$

Hence:

$$\frac{A}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) F(j, \theta)\|^2 \leq \frac{B}{M} \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_0^1 \|F(j, \theta)\|^2.$$

Then Lemma 3.11 implies (1).

(2) \iff (3): It is a direct consequence of Lemmas 2.12 and 2.17. □

Remark 3.12.

- (1) For $R = 1$ in Proposition 3.10, we obtain the Proposition 4 in [3].
- (2) For $L = 1$ in Proposition 3.10, we obtain the Theorem 3.2 in [6].
- (3) For $R = 1$ and $L = 1$ in Proposition 3.10, we obtain the Theorem 3.4 in [4].

Remark 3.13. In the special case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}(j) = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition (2) in the Proposition 3.10 is equivalent to: For all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$,

$$\frac{A}{M} \cdot I_{pR} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) \leq \frac{B}{M} \cdot I_{pR}.$$

Where I_{pR} is the identity matrix in $\mathcal{M}_{pR, pR}$.

Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ and write for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $g_l = (g_{l,1}, g_{l,2}, \dots, g_{l,R})$. Since for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) &= \begin{pmatrix} Z_{g_{l,1}}^*(j, \theta) \\ Z_{g_{l,2}}^*(j, \theta) \\ \vdots \\ Z_{g_{l,R}}^*(j, \theta) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} Z_{g_{l,1}}(j, \theta) & Z_{g_{l,2}}(j, \theta) & \dots & Z_{g_{l,R}}(j, \theta) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta) \right)_{1 \leq r, r' \leq R}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\left(Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta) \right)_{1 \leq r, r' \leq R}$ is a block-structured matrix, where r refers to the row index and r' refers to the column index. Hence for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_l}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_l}(j, \theta) = \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta) \right)_{1 \leq r, r' \leq R},$$

where the matrix in the right hand is a block-structured matrix, where r refers to the row index and r' refers to the column index. Thus, Proposition 3.2 together with Proposition 3.10 leads to the following two corollaries, as noted as well in [2].

Corollary 3.14. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ if for each $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ and $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$, for $1 \geq r \leq R$, are mutually strongly disjoint.*

Corollary 3.15. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor tight frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ with frame bound A .
- (2) For each $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Gabor tight frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$ with frame bound A and $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$, for $1 \leq r \leq R$, are mutually strongly disjoint.

4. ADMISSIBILITY CONDITIONS FOR MULTI-WINDOW SUPER GABOR FRAMES

In this section, we use all the notations already introduced in what above. Let $L, M, N, R \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p \wedge q = 1$ and that $\frac{N}{M} = \frac{p}{q}$, and let \mathbb{S} be an $N\mathbb{Z}$ -periodic set in \mathbb{Z} . In what follows, we give an admissibility condition for \mathbb{S} to admit a complete super Gabor system, a super Gabor (Parseval) frame and a super Gabor (orthonormal) basis.

Proposition 4.1. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (2) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (3) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (4) $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) \leq q \cdot L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.

Proof. It is clear that (1) \implies (2) \implies (3). Assume (3), then by Proposition 3.6, we have for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\text{rank}(Z_g(j, \theta)) = R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$. Since $Z_g(j, \theta) \in \mathcal{M}_{qL, pR}$, then $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$. Hence (3) \implies (4). It remains to show that (4) implies (1). Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and let K be the maximal integer such that $K \lfloor \frac{q}{R} \rfloor \leq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)$, where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the floor function. Define for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_K$, \mathcal{K}_j^l ; the set of $(l+1)$ -th $\lfloor \frac{q}{R} \rfloor$ elements of \mathcal{K}_j , \mathcal{K}_j^K ; the set of the rest elements of \mathcal{K}_j and for $l \in \mathbb{N}_L - \mathbb{N}_{K+1}$, we define $\mathcal{K}_j^l = \emptyset$. Then, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ we have $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l) \leq q$. for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, choose $\{s_{j,i}^r\}_{1 \leq r \leq R, i \in \mathcal{K}_j^l} \subset \mathbb{N}_q$ such that $s_{j,i}^r \neq s_{j,i'}^{r'}$ if $(i, r) \neq (i', r')$ (this choice is guaranteed since $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l) \leq q$). Then for $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$ and $1 \leq r \leq R$, we define:

$$E_j^{l,r} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & \text{if } \mathcal{K}_j^l = \emptyset, \\ \{j + k_{j,i}^l M + s_{j,i}^r N : i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

And thus $E^{l,r} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} E_j^{l,r}$ and $g_{l,r} := \chi_{E^{l,r}}$. Take for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $g_l := (g_{l,1}, g_{l,2}, \dots, g_{l,R})$, and

$g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}$ and let's prove that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super tight frame with frame bound M . We prove, now, that for all $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. For this, as justified in the proof of Proposition 6 in [3], it suffices to prove that E_0, E_1, \dots, E_{L-1} are mutually disjoint, and for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $E^{l,r}$ is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M , and $E^r := \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} E^{l,r}$ is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to \mathbb{S}_N .

\rightarrow Let's show that for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $E^{l,r}$ is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M . Let $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$. For this, it suffices to show that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}$, we have:

$$M \mid (j + k_{j,i}^l M - s_{j,i}^r N) - (j' + k_{j',i'}^l M - s_{j',i'}^r N) \implies j = j' \text{ and } i = i'.$$

Let $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ and $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}$ and suppose that $M|(j + k_{j,i}^l M - s_{j,i}^r N) - (j' + k_{j',i'}^l M - s_{j',i'}^r N)$. Then $M|j - j' + (k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^l)M - (s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^r)N$.

Put $d = \frac{M}{q}$, then $M = dq$ and $N = dp$. Thus $dq|j - j' + (k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^l)dq - (s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^r)dp$, then $d|j - j'$, hence $j = j'$ since $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_d$. On the other hand, we have $dq|(s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^r)dp$, then $q|(s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^r)p$, thus $q|s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^r$ since $p \wedge q = 1$, hence $s_{j,i}^r = s_{j',i'}^r$ since $s_{j,i}^r, s_{j',i'}^r \in \mathbb{N}_q$. And then $i = i'$.

Hence for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, E_l is $M\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_M .

→ Let's prove now that E^r is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to \mathbb{S}_N . We show first that E^r is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_N . For this, let $(l, j, i), (l', j', i') \in \mathbb{N}_L \times \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \times \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j)}$ and suppose that $N|(j - j') + (k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^{l'})M - (s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^{r'})N$. Put $d = \frac{M}{q}$, then $M = dq$ and $N = dp$. Thus $dp|j - j' + (k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^{l'})dq - (s_{j,i}^r - s_{j',i'}^{r'})dp$, then $d|j - j'$, hence $j = j'$ since $j, j' \in \mathbb{N}_d$. On the other hand, we have $dp|(k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^{l'})dq$, then $p|k_{j,i}^l - k_{j',i'}^{l'}$, hence $k_{j,i}^l = k_{j',i'}^{l'}$ since $k_{j,i}^l, k_{j',i'}^{l'} \in \mathbb{N}_p$. Then $l = l'$ and $i = i'$ by definition of the elements $k_{j,i}^l$. Thus E^r is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{N}_N . Observe that $E^r \subset \mathbb{S}$, then E^r is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to a subset of \mathbb{S}_N . By what above, we have, in particular, that for a fixed r , the $E_j^{l,r}$ are mutually disjoint (and also the $E^{l,r}$ are mutually disjoint). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{card}(E^r) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{M}{q}n) \quad \text{remark 1 in [3]} \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j) \\ &= \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence E^r is $N\mathbb{Z}$ -congruent to \mathbb{S}_N .

To complete the proof, by corollary 3.15, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$, for $1 \leq r \leq R$, are mutually strongly disjoint. Let $(s, k) \in \mathbb{N}_q \times \mathbb{N}_p$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta))_{s,k} &= z_{pM} g_{l,r}(j + kM - sN, \theta) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_{l,r}(j + kM - sN + npM, \theta) e^{2\pi i n \theta} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{E^{l,r}}(j + kM - sN + npM, \theta) e^{2\pi i n \theta} \\ &= \chi_{E^{l,r}}(j + kM - sN, \theta) \text{ by theorem 3.2 in [4]} \end{aligned}$$

Again by Theorem 3.2 in [4], we have $(Z_{g_{l,r}}(j, \theta))_{s,k}$ is nonzero if and only if $k \in \mathcal{K}_j^l$ and $s \in$

$\{s_{j,i}^r\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}}$. Let $1 \leq r \neq r' \leq R$. Since $(Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta))_{s,k} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta))_{n,s} (Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta))_{n,k}$

and that $s_{j,i}^r \neq s_{j,i'}^{r'}$ for all $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}_{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j^l)}$, then $Z_{g_{l,r}}^*(j, \theta) Z_{g_{l,r'}}(j, \theta) = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, hence by Proposition 3.2, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$, for $1 \leq r \leq R$, are mutually strongly disjoint. \square

Remark 4.2.

- (1) For $R = 1$ in Proposition 4.1, we obtain the Corollary 1 in [3].
- (2) For $L = 1$ in Proposition 4.1, we obtain the Theorem 5.1 in [6].
- (3) For $R = 1$ and $L = 1$ in Proposition 4.1, we obtain the Theorem 4.3 in [4].

Remark 4.3. In the special case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}(j) = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition: $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) = q \cdot L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ is equivalent to $RN \leq LM$. Hence, in the case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (2) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (3) There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is complete in $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
- (4) $RN \leq LM$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$, then:*

- (1) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ only when $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) \leq LM$.
- (2) Suppose that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Riesz Basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.
 - (b) $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$.

Proof.

- (1) Suppose that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(S^{-\frac{1}{2}}g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Then we can suppose that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N)$ is a Parseval frame. Write for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $g_l = (g_{l,1}, g_{l,2}, \dots, g_{l,R})$. Then for each $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\mathcal{G}(\{g_{l,r}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L}, L, M, N)$ is a Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S})$. Then, by Lemma 2.19, for all $1 \leq r \leq R$, $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_{l,r}\|^2 = \frac{\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}$. Hence $\sum_{r=1}^R \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_{l,r}\|^2 = \frac{R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}$.

Since $\sum_{r=1}^R \|g_{l,r}\|^2 = \|g_l\|^2$, then:

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_l\|^2 = \frac{R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}. \quad (4.1)$$

Since $E_{\frac{m}{M}}$ and T_{nN} are both unitary operators of $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}_M$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

Then $1 \geq \|E_{\frac{m}{M}} T_{nN} g_l\| = \|g_l\|$. Hence $\frac{R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M} \leq L$.

- (2) Assume that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. by the same argument in the 2-th line of this proof, we can suppose that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Assume (a), then by Lemma 2.18, $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. By (17), we have $L = \frac{R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N)}{M}$. Then (a) \implies (b). Conversely, assume (b), then by (17) again, we have $\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \|g_l\|^2 = L$.

Since, by the same arguments above, $\|g_l\| \leq 1$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, then for all $l \in \mathbb{N}_L$, $\|g_l\| = 1$. Hence, Lemma 2.18 completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.5.

- (1) $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) \leq q \cdot L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} \implies R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) \leq LM$.
- (2) Assume that $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) \leq q \cdot L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.
 - (a) $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$.
 - (b) $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) = q \cdot L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.

Proof.

(1) Assume that $R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} R.\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) &= R. \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j) \\ &= R. \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{\mathbb{S}_N}(j + \frac{M}{q}n) \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \quad \text{by remark 1 in [3]} \\ &\leq \frac{M}{q} .qL = LM. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Assume that $R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.

Assume that $R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$. Then by the proof of 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R.\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \\ &= \frac{M}{q} .qL = LM. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, assume that $R.\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$. Again by the proof of 1, we have

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}} R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = R.\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM = \frac{M}{q} .qL. \text{ Since } R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) \leq qL \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}, \text{ then } R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_j) = qL \text{ for all } j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}.$$

□

Corollary 4.6. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.*
- (2) *There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.*
- (3) *$R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) = q.L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$.*

Proof. It is clear that (1) \implies (2). By Propositions 4.1 and 4.4 and Lemma 4.5 together, we have clearly that (2) \implies (3). Assume (3), then by proposition 4.1, there exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Parseval frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Lemma 4.5 together with (3) implies that $R.\text{card}(\mathbb{S}_N) = LM$. Hence, by Proposition 4.4, $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$. Thus, Lemma 2.18 completes the proof. □

Remark 4.7.

- (1) For $R = 1$ in Corollary 3.14, we obtain the Proposition 7 in [3].
- (2) For $L = 1$ in Corollary 3.14, we obtain the Porollary 5.1 in [6].
- (3) For $R = 1$ and $L = 1$ in Corollary 3.14, we obtain the Theorem 5.3 in [4].

Remark 4.8. In the special case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$, $\mathcal{K}(j) = \mathbb{N}_p$. Then the condition: $R.\text{card}(\mathcal{K}(j)) = q.L$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}}$ is equivalent to $RN \leq LM$. Hence, in the case of $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Z}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) *There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.*
- (2) *There exists $g := \{g_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_L} \subset \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ such that $\mathcal{G}(g, L, M, N, R)$ is a super Gabor Riesz basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{C}^R)$.*
- (3) *$RN = LM$.*

We finish our paper by the following example.

Example 4.9. In this example, we will use the notations already introduced in what above. Let $R = 2$, $M = 4$, $N = 6$ and $\mathbb{S} = \{3, 5\} + 6\mathbb{Z} = \{3, 5, 9, 11\} + 12\mathbb{Z}$. We have then $p = 3$ and $q = 2$, thus $\mathbb{N}_{\frac{M}{q}} = \mathbb{N}_2 = \{0, 1\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{K}_0 = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{K}_1 = \{1, 2\}$. Then $\max\{\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_0), \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_1)\} = 2$. Then, by Proposition 4.1, there is no super Gabor frame with a single window for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ but, by the same proposition, the existence of a L -window super Gabor frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is guaranteed for $L \geq 2$. Here we give an example of a two-window super Gabor frame. Define $g_0 = (g_{0,1}, g_{0,2}) := (\chi_{\{9\}}, \chi_{\{3\}})$, $g_1 = (g_{1,1}, g_{1,2}) := (\chi_{\{5\}}, \chi_{\{11\}}) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^2)$. By a simple computation, we get the following for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$:

$$Z_{g_{0,1}}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_{0,2}}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_{1,1}}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_{1,2}}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Z_{g_{0,1}}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_{0,2}}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_{1,1}}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $Z_{g_{1,2}}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i \theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then, for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, we have:

$$Z_{g_0}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_{g_1}(0, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$Z_{g_0}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } Z_{g_1}(1, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{2\pi i \theta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_5)^t \in \mathbb{C}^6$, we have for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\|Z_{g_0}(0, \theta)x\|^2 = \|Z_{g_1}(0, \theta)x\|^2 = 0$, $\|Z_{g_0}(1, \theta)x\|^2 = |x_2|^2 + |x_5|^2$, $\|Z_{g_1}(1, \theta)x\|^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_4|^2$. Since $I_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}(0) = 0$, then $\|(I_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}(0))x\|^2 = 0$. We have also for a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$, $\|(I_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}(1))x\|^2 = |x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 + |x_4|^2 + |x_5|^2$. Then we have for all $j \in \{0, 1\}$, a.e $\theta \in [0, 1[$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^6$,

$$\langle Z_{g_0}^*(j, \theta)Z_{g_0}(j, \theta)x, x \rangle + \langle Z_{g_1}^*(j, \theta)Z_{g_1}(j, \theta)x, x \rangle = \langle (I_2 \otimes \mathcal{K}(j))x, x \rangle.$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.10, $\mathcal{G}(g, 2, 4, 6, 2)$ is a super tight frame for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^2)$ with frame bound 4, where $g := \{g_0, g_1\}$. Observe that $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_1) = q \cdot L$ but $R \cdot \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_0) \neq q \cdot L$, then $\mathcal{G}(g, 2, 4, 6, 2)$ is not a super Riesz basis (Lemma 4.5 together with Proposition 4.4). Moreover, Corollary 4.6 shows that there is no super Gabor Riesz basis $\mathcal{G}(g, L, 4, 6, R)$ for $\ell^2(\mathbb{S}, \mathbb{C}^R)$ for any $L, R \in \mathbb{N}$ since $\text{card}(\mathcal{K}_0) \neq \text{card}(\mathcal{K}_1)$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for his careful reading of the paper and for several helpful suggestions.

ETHICS DECLARATIONS

Availability of data and materials. Not applicable.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Fundings. Not applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases*, 2nd ed., Birkhäuser, 2016.
- [2] D. Han and D. R. Larson, *Frames, bases and group representations*, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* 147, no. 697, (2000), 1-91.
- [3] N. Khachiaa and M. Rossafi, *Admissibility conditions for Multi-window Gabor frames on discrete periodic sets*, arXiv:2409.03423v1 [math.FA] 5 sep 2024.
- [4] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Gabor systems on discrete periodic sets*, *Sci. China Ser. A*, 52(2009), 1639-1660.
- [5] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Tight Gabor sets on discrete periodic sets*, *Acta Appl. Math.*, 107 (2009), 105-119.
- [6] Y.-Z. Li and Q.-F. Lian, *Super Gabor frames on discrete periodic sets*, *Adv Comput Math* (2013) 38:763-799.
- [7] Q.-F. Lian, J. Gong and M.-H. You, *Time domain characterization of multiwindow Gabor systems on discrete periodic sets*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44(1):47-76, February 2013.
- [8] Q.-F. Lian and Y.-Z. Li, *The duals of Gabor frames on discrete periodic sets*, *J. Math. Phys.*, 50(2009), 013534, 22pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF IBN TOFAIL, KENITRA, MOROCCO
Email address: khachiaa.najib@uit.ac.ma

²HIGHER SCHOOL OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING, IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY, KENITRA, MOROCCO
Email address: mohamed.rossafil@uit.ac.ma