

A strong-form stability for a class of L^p Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg interpolation inequality*

Yingfang Zhang, Wenming Zou

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

Abstract

We study the stability of a class of Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg (CKN) interpolation inequality and establish a strong-form stability as following:

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^p} \|u - v\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{H_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}} \leq C \delta_{p,a,b}(u)^t,$$

where $t = 1$ for $p = 2$ and $t = \frac{1}{p}$ for $p > 2$, and $\delta_{p,a,b}(u)$ is deficit of the CKN. We also note that it is impossible to establish stability results for $\|\cdot\|_{H_b^p}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{L_a^p}$ separately. Moreover, we consider the second-order CKN inequalities and establish similar results for radial functions.

Keywords: Stability; Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg (CKN) inequality; Minimizers.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46E35(Secondary 26D10); 35J61; 35B50.

1 Introduction

Recall the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg (CKN) inequality:

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). *For $N \geq 1$, let s, p, q, a, b, c and θ satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} p, q \geq 1, \quad s > 0, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1; \\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{b}{N} > 0, \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{a}{N} > 0, \quad \frac{1}{s} + \frac{c}{N} > 0; \\ \frac{1}{s} + \frac{c}{N} = \theta \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{b-1}{N} \right) + (1-\theta) \left(\frac{1}{q} + \frac{a}{N} \right); \end{aligned}$$

*Supported by National Key R&D Program of China (Grant 2023YFA1010001) and NSFC(12171265,12271184).
E-mail address: zhangyf22@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn (Zhang), zou-wm@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn (Zou)

$$c \leq \theta b + (1 - \theta)a;$$

$$\frac{1}{s} \leq \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1 - \theta}{q}, \quad \text{if } \theta = 0 \text{ or } \theta = 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{s} + \frac{c}{N} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{b - 1}{N} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{a}{N}.$$

Then there exists some positive constant S such that

$$\| |x|^b \nabla u \|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\theta \| |x|^a u \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{1-\theta} \geq S \| |x|^c u \|_{L^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad (1.1)$$

holds for all $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The largest S that satisfies (1.1) is its best constant, and a function that takes the equality is called a minimizer.

1.1 Brief history of the stability for CKN inequalities

We are concerned with the stability of it — can the *deficit* of u :

$$\delta(u) := \| |x|^b \nabla u \|_{L^p}^\theta \| |x|^a u \|_{L^q}^{1-\theta} - S \| |x|^c u \|_{L^s}$$

control some distance of u from the set of minimizers? Our quest to address this question unfolds in two primary ways: firstly, we need to figure out the best constant and the structure of the set of minimizers. Subsequently, we embark on identifying and employing suitable methods to estimate the distance.

The question on the stability of functional inequalities traced back to Brézis and Lieb [2], and the initial result of the Sobolev inequalities (for the case $p = 2$) was given by Bianchi and Egnell in [1]. The quantitative form of the L^p Sobolev inequality with $p \neq 2$ was proved by [10, 16]. For more detailed results about the Sobolev-type inequalities, see [6, 9, 15, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26].

When $\theta = 1$, in the special case, only the term of derivative remains on the left, and this is known as weighted Sobolev inequality. Fortunately, some methods of studying the stability of the Sobolev inequality remain applicable in this case, and there are already many stability results. Chou and Chu [8] gave the best constant and minimizers for $p = 2$, $(b, c) \neq (0, 0)$. Based on their work, Wei and Wu [27] established some stability results in this case. For $p \neq 2$, the explicit best constant was given by Horiuchi in [20]. See Zhou and Zou [28] and the references therein for stability results in this case.

When it comes to the case $\theta \neq 1$, the inclusion of the interpolation terms in the CKN inequality makes it difficult to study. When $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$, it becomes the renowned Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Sobolev (GNS) inequality. Since there are no singular terms, we can use some rearrangement and

symmetrization tricks to get its best constants and minimizers. Del Pino and Dolbeault [12] gave the best constant and minimizers for $p = 2$, and [11] gave another proof by using mass transportation. Carlen and Figalli [4] used dimension reduction method to derive a stability result for the GNS inequality in \mathbb{R}^2 by a result for Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^4 . Later, Nguyen [24] and Seuffert [25] generalized their work.

The case $\theta \neq 1$, $(a, b, c) \neq (0, 0, 0)$ is perhaps the most challenging one. Until recently, stability results in this case had not been extensively explored. In [22], McCurdy and Venkatraman gave a stability result for $(p, q, s) = (2, 2, 2)$, $(a, b, c) = (1, 0, 0)$. Specifically, there exist constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2(N) > 0$ such that for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|x|u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \| |x|u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{N^2}{4} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^4 \geq C_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} d_1(u, E)^2 + C_2(N) d_1(u, E)^4,$$

where $E = \{ce^{-\alpha|x|^2} : c \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha > 0\}$ is the set of minimizers, and $d_1(u, E)$ denotes the distance from u to E under L^2 -norm. Fathi [14] gave a short proof with explicit constants $(C_1, C_2) = (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{16})$. Further advancements were made by Cazacu, Flynn, Lam and Lu [5], which established an identity and used it to obtain the optimal constants $(C_1, C_2) = (N, 1)$. Do, Flynn, Lam and Lu [13] followed their methods and generalized their result to the following L^p -CKN inequality:

Theorem 1.2 ([13, Corollary 1.2]). *Let $N \geq 1$, $p > 1$, $b + 1 - a > 0$ and $b \leq \frac{N-p}{p}$. Then for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{pb}} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{pa}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \geq \frac{N-1-(p-1)a-b}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{(p-1)a+b+1}} dx. \quad (1.2)$$

They also obtain the following weak stability results for some special (p, a, b) :

Theorem 1.3 ([13, Theorem 1.9]). *Let $p \geq 2$, $0 \leq b < \frac{N-p}{p}$, $a < \frac{Nb}{N-p}$, and $(p-1)a + b + 1 = \frac{pbN}{N-p}$. There exists a universal constant $C(N, p, a, b) > 0$ such that for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{pb}} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{pa}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - \frac{N-1-(p-1)a-b}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^p}{|x|^{(p-1)a+b+1}} dx \\ & \geq C(N, p, a, b) \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u-v|^p}{|x|^{(p-1)a+b+1}} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where its set of minimizers is

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b} = \left\{ k \exp \left(-\frac{\lambda}{b+1-a} |x|^{b+1-a} \right) : k \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.4 ([13, Theorem 1.8]). *Let $\frac{N-2}{2} < b \leq N-2$ and $N(b-a+3) = 2(3b-a+3)$. There exists a universal constant $C(N, a, b) > 0$ such that for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{|x|^{2b}} dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{2a}} dx \right)^{1/2} - \frac{3b-a-N+3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u|^2}{|x|^{a+b+1}} dx \\ & \geq C(N, a, b) \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u-v|^2}{|x|^{a+b+1}} dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

where its set of minimizers is

$$M_{2,a,b} = \left\{ k|x|^{2b+2-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b+1-a}|x|^{b+1-a}\right) : k \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

While it is natural to anticipate that the deficit $\delta(u)$, as defined above, can control one of the two norms on the left side of (1.2), unfortunately, it was derived in [22] that, for any nonnegative constants $(M_1, M_2) \neq (0, 0)$, there exists $u \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $|x|u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u^* \in E$ such that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \| |x|u \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{N^2}{4} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^4 \leq M_1 \|\nabla(u-u^*)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 + M_2 \| |x|(u-u^*) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

For the L^p -CKN inequality, we will prove that this property holds as well (see Proposition 1.7 below), hence, it is impossible to establish stability results for these two norms separately.

Recently, Chen and Tang [7] considered the optimal constants and minimizers of the following second-order CKN inequalities:

Theorem 1.5 ([7, Theorem 2.5]). *Let $N \geq 1$ and $p > 1$. Assume (a, b) satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $b + (p-1)a + 1 > 0$, $b - a + 1 > 0$ and $pb + (p-1)N < 0$;
- (2) $b + (p-1)a + 1 < 0$, $b - a + 1 < 0$ and $pb + (p-1)N > 0$;
- (3) $b + (p-1)a + 1 = 0$,

then there holds

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\Delta u|^p}{|x|^{pb}} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{pa}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \geq \frac{|b + (p-1)a + (p-1)(N-1)|}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{b+(p-1)a+1}} dx. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= \Lambda \int_{|x|}^{\infty} r^{1-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda r^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}\right) dr, \quad \text{for } a, b \text{ satisfy (1);} \\ u(x) &= \Lambda \int_{|x|}^{\infty} r^{1-N} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda r^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}\right) dr, \quad \text{for } a, b \text{ satisfy (2).} \end{aligned}$$

Their proof relied on the following identity:

Theorem 1.6 ([7, Theorem 2.3 and 2.4]). *Let $N \geq 1$, $p > 1$, $b - a + 1 > 0$ and set $\epsilon = \text{sgn}(pb + (p - 1)N)$. For $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$, there holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\Delta u|^p}{|x|^{pb}} dx \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{pa}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} - \frac{|b + (p-1)a + (p-1)(N-1)|}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{|x|^{b+(p-1)a+1}}, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{|x|^{pb}} \mathcal{R}_p \left(-\lambda^{\frac{1}{p}} |x|^{b-a-1} x \cdot \nabla u, \lambda^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \Delta u \right) dx \\ &+ \epsilon [b + (p-1)a + 1] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p-2} [(x \cdot \nabla u)^2 - |x|^2 |\nabla u|^2]}{|x|^{b+(p-1)a+3}} dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_p(s, t) := |t|^p + (p-1)|s|^p - p|s|^{p-2}st, \quad s, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$\text{and } \lambda = \frac{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-pb} |\Delta u|^p \right)^{1/p}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-pa} |\nabla u|^p \right)^{1/p}}.$$

1.2 Main results of the current paper

We begin by some notations. Let $N \geq 1$, $p > 1$. The space $H_b^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_b^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-pb} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{1/p},$$

and $L_a^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_a^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^{-pa} |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{b,a}^{p,q}$ is the closure of $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ under the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{b,a}^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{H_a^q(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

In the following sections, for the sake of simplifying notations, we will omit \mathbb{R}^N if there are no confusions.

We define the deficit of a function $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$ to be

$$\delta_{p,a,b}(u) = \frac{\|u\|_{H_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p} - S_{p,a,b}, \tag{1.7}$$

where $c = \frac{(p-1)a+b+1}{p}$ and $S_{p,a,b}$ is the best constant of the CKN inequality. Similarly, we define the deficit of a function $u \in \mathcal{H}_{b,a}^{p,p}$ to be

$$\sigma_{p,a,b}(u) := \frac{\|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{H_c^p}^p} - K_{p,a,b}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $K_{p,a,b}$ is the best constant of the second-order CKN inequality.

The first part of our paper focus on the CKN inequalities. Firstly, we will prove the following proposition, which means it is impossible to establish stability results for $\|\cdot\|_{H_b^p}$ or $\|\cdot\|_{L_a^p}$ separately.

Proposition 1.7. *Assume $1 < p < N$ and $b+1 \neq a$, then there do not exist universal constant $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{H_b^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C \delta_{p,a,b}(u)$$

or

$$\left(\frac{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{L_a^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C \delta_{p,a,b}(u)$$

holds for all $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$.

In light of this, our focus in this paper shifts towards providing a strong-form stability with respect to the interpolation terms. Specifically, we observe very different behaviors for the cases of $p = 2$ and $p > 2$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let $p = 2$ and (a, b) satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $0 \leq b < \frac{N-2}{2}$, $a < \frac{Nb}{N-2}$ and $a + b + 1 = \frac{2bN}{N-2}$;
- (2) $\frac{N-2}{2} < b \leq N - 2$ and $N(b - a + 3) = 2(3b - a + 3)$.

Then there exists a constant C depending only on N, a, b , such that for all $u \in H_b^2 \cap L_a^2$, it holds

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2} \|u - v\|_{L_a^2}}{\|u\|_{H_b^2} \|u\|_{L_a^2}} \leq C \delta_{2,a,b}(u). \quad (1.9)$$

We additionally mention that it is sharp (See Remark 3.1).

For the case $p > 2$, we get

Theorem 1.9. *Let $p > 2$, $0 \leq b < \frac{N-p}{p}$, $a < \frac{Nb}{N-p}$, and $(p-1)a + b + 1 = \frac{pbN}{N-p}$. Then there exists a constant C depending only on N, a, b, p , such that for all $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$, it holds*

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u-v\|_{H_b^p} \|u-v\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{H_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}} \leq C \delta_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p}. \quad (1.10)$$

To obtain such statements, we introduce a stronger deficit:

$$\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u) = \frac{\|u\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{L_a^p}^p}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p} - pS_{p,a,b}. \quad (1.11)$$

It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u) \geq p\delta_{p,a,b}(u) \geq 0$ (cf. (1.7)). Interestingly, we are able to prove that this stronger deficit $\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u)$ can control the distance of u from $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ under both H_b^p - and L_a^p -norms:

Theorem 1.10. *Suppose that p, a, b satisfy one of the conditions of Theorem 1.8 or Theorem 1.9, then there exists a constant C depending only on p, a, b, N such that for any $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$,*

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \frac{\|u-v\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u-v\|_{L_a^p}^p}{\|u\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{L_a^p}^p} \leq C \tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p} \quad (1.12)$$

if $p > 2$;

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u-v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u-v\|_{L_a^2}^2}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_a^2}^2} \leq C \tilde{\delta}_{2,a,b}(u) \quad (1.13)$$

if $p = 2$.

Next, we state results for the second-order CKN inequalities. With identity (1.6), we can establish the following stability results for radial functions $u \in H_{b,a}^{p,p}$ under some conditons for N, p, a, b :

Theorem 1.11. *Assume $N \geq 1$, $2 \leq p < N$, $1-N \leq b < \frac{N(1-p)}{p}$, $b-a+1 > 0$ and $b+(p-1)a+1 = \frac{Npb}{N-p} + \frac{p^2(N-1)}{N-p}$. Then their exists a constant C depending only on N, p, a, b , such that for all radial function $u \in H_{b,a}^{p,p}$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1} - \frac{-b - (p-1)a - (p-1)(N-1)}{p} \|u\|_{H_c^p}^p \\ & \geq C(N, p, a, b) \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2} \|u-v\|_{H_c^p}^p, \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2$ is the set of minimizers:

$$\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2 := \left\{ v(x) = \Lambda \int_{|x|}^{\infty} r^{1-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda r^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}\right) dr : \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Using this weak stability result, we can then obtain a strong-form version:

Theorem 1.12. *Under the same conditions as in Theorem 1.11, there exists a constant C depending only on N, p, a, b , such that for all radial function $u \in H_{b,a}^{p,p}$, it holds*

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2} \frac{\|\Delta(u-v)\|_{L_b^p} \|u-v\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}} \leq C \sigma_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p} \quad (1.15)$$

if $p > 2$;

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}^2} \frac{\|\Delta(u-v)\|_{L_b^2} \|u-v\|_{H_a^2}}{\|\Delta u\|_{L_b^2} \|u\|_{L_a^2}} \leq C \sigma_{2,a,b}(u) \quad (1.16)$$

if $p = 2$.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we provide necessary preliminaries to establish our work. Especially, we give a statement for the variational problem $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u-v\|_{L_c^p}$. The proof of Proposition 1.7 and Theorem 1.10 are presented in Section 3. We will then use them to derive Theorem 1.8 and 1.9. The discussions for the second-order CKN inequalities are included in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In the following, the notation $A \lesssim B$ (resp. $A \gtrsim B$) means there exists a constant C depending at most on N, p, a, b such that $A \leq CB$ (resp. $A \geq CB$). We say $A \sim B$ if $A \lesssim B$ and $A \gtrsim B$.

2.1 Some observations for the CKN inequalities

- When (p, a, b) satisfy conditions in Theorem 1.9 or conditions (1) in Theorem 1.8, then $S_{p,a,b} = \frac{N-1-(p-1)a-b}{p}$. Functions in $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ can be written as

$$v(k, \lambda) = k C_1 \lambda^\alpha \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b+1-a} |x|^{b+1-a}\right),$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{N-1-(p-1)a-b}{(b+1-a)p} = \frac{S_{p,a,b}}{b+1-a},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= \left\| \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) \right\|_{L_c^p}^{-1} \\ &= \left(V(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \int_0^\infty r^{-pc+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{pr^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) dr \right)^{-1/p} \\ &= \frac{p^\alpha V(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{-1/p}}{(b+1-a)^{\alpha-1/p}} \Gamma\left(\frac{pS_{p,a,b}}{b+1-a}\right)^{-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_a^p} = |k|$. We can also compute its L_a^p -norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_a^p} &= |k| C_1 \lambda^{-1/p} \left(V(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \int_0^\infty r^{-pa+N-1} \exp\left(-\frac{pr^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) dr \right)^{1/p} \\ &= |k| \lambda^{-1/p} \left(\frac{b+1-a}{p}\right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{pS_{p,a,b}}{b+1-a}\right)^{1/p} \\ &= |k| \left(\frac{S_{p,a,b}}{\lambda}\right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

- When $p = 2$ and (a, b) satisfy condition (2) in Theorem 1.8, then $S_{2,a,b} = \frac{3b-a-N+3}{2}$.

Functions in $\mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}$ can be written as

$$v(k, \lambda) = k C_1 \lambda^\alpha |x|^{2b+2-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{b+1-a} |x|^{b+1-a}\right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{3b+3-a-N}{2(b+1-a)} = \frac{S_{2,a,b}}{b+1-a}, \\ C_1 &= \left\| |x|^{2b+2-N} \exp\left(-\frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) \right\|_{L_c^2}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_a^2} = |k|$. Similarly, we can also get $\|v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_a^p} = |k| \left(\frac{S_{2,a,b}}{\lambda}\right)^{1/2}$.

It is easy to see that the norms of $v = v(k, \lambda)$ have the following relations (in both cases):

$$\|v\|_{H_b^p} = \lambda \|v\|_{L_a^p}, \quad \|v\|_{L_a^p} = \left(\frac{S_{p,a,b}}{\lambda}\right)^{1/p} \|v\|_{L_c^p}. \quad (2.1)$$

Next, since functions in $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ minimize the following energy functional

$$J(u) = \delta_{p,a,b}(u) \|u\|_{L_c^p}^p \geq 0,$$

we immediately get that $v(k, \lambda) \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ satisfies the following equation:

$$-\operatorname{div}(|x|^{-pb} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v) + (p-1) \lambda^p |x|^{-pa} |v|^{p-2} v - p S_{p,a,b} \lambda^{p-1} |x|^{-pc} |v|^{p-2} v = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Last but not least, we give a statement about the attainability of the variational problem $\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{H_c^p}$, which is an essential step to derive the stability results.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $N \geq 1$, $p \geq 2$. Suppose (p, a, b) satisfy conditions in Theorem 1.9 or Theorem 1.8, then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that for any $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$ with $\delta_{p,a,b}(u) < \delta_0$, the following infimum is attainable:*

$$\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - w\|_{L_c^p}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. We first assume (p, a, b) satisfy conditions in Theorem 1.9 or condition (1) in Theorem 1.8, then

$$pc = (p-1)a + b + 1 = \frac{pbN}{N-p} \in [0, N),$$

and so $|x|^{-c} \in L^p(\{|x| \leq 1\})$. Picking a minimizing sequence $\{v_i = v(k_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, we show $\{k_i\}$, $\{\lambda_i\}$, $\{1/\lambda_i\}$ are bounded. Since by (1.3)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u - v_i\|_{L_c^p} = \inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \|u - w\|_{L_c^p} \lesssim \delta_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p} \|u\|_{L_c^p},$$

then

$$\delta_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p} \|u\|_{L_c^p} \geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u - v(k_i, \lambda_i)\|_{L_c^p} \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |k_i| - \|u\|_{L_c^p}. \quad (2.4)$$

Thus $\{k_i\}$ is bounded: $|k_i| \leq K$, $\forall i$, and we can pick a subsequence such that $k_i \rightarrow k_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$|x|^{-c} |v_i(x)| = |k_i| C_1 \lambda_i^\alpha |x|^{-c} \exp\left(-\lambda_i \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) \leq K C_1 \lambda_i^\alpha |x|^{-c} \rightarrow 0, \quad i \rightarrow \infty.$$

If $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, choosing an integer $m > \alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-c} |v_i(x)| &= |k_i| C_1 \lambda_i^\alpha |x|^{-c} \exp\left(-\lambda_i \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right) \\ &\leq K C_1 \lambda_i^\alpha |x|^{-c} \cdot \frac{m!}{\left(\lambda_i \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right)^m} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim K\lambda_i^{-m+\alpha}|x|^{-m(b+1-a)-c} \rightarrow 0, \quad i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, no matter $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ or $1/\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$, we always have $|x|^{-c}v_i(x) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. Since $u \in L_c^p$, there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $A > 0$ s.t.

$$\int_{\{|x|<\epsilon\} \cup \{|x|>A\}} |x|^{-pc}|u|^p dx > \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p.$$

In the region $\{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq A\}$, we have

$$|x|^{-c}|v_i(x)| \lesssim \begin{cases} K\epsilon^{-c}(\sup \lambda_i)^\alpha, & \text{if } \lambda_i \rightarrow 0, \\ K\epsilon^{-m(b+1-a)-c}(\inf \lambda_i)^{-m+\alpha}, & \text{if } \lambda_i \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Since the above dominated functions of $\{|x|^{-c}v_i\}$ are both in $L^p(\{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq A\})$, the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p > \delta_{p,a,b}(u)\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p &\gtrsim \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|u - v_i\|_{L_c^p}^p \geq \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq A} |x|^{-pc}|u - v_i|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq A} |x|^{-pc}|u|^p dx > \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to a contradiction if $\delta_0 \ll 1$. Thus, $\{\lambda_i\}, \{1/\lambda_i\}$ are bounded, and we can pick a subsequence such that both $k_i \rightarrow k_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and there exist $t, T \in \mathbb{R}_+$ s.t. $0 < t \leq |\lambda_i| \leq T < \infty$. Hence,

$$|x|^{-c}|v_i(x)| \lesssim KT^\alpha|x|^{-c} \cdot \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} + Kt^{-m+\alpha}|x|^{-m(b+1-a)-c} \cdot \chi_{\{|x| \geq 1\}} \in L^p,$$

and so

$$\|u - v_i\|_{L_c^p} \rightarrow \|u - v(k_0, \lambda_0)\|_{L_c^p},$$

showing that $v(k_0, \lambda_0)$ attains the infimum.

Next, we assume (p, a, b) satisfy condition (2) in Theorem 1.8, then

$$2b + 2 - N - c = \frac{3b - a + 1}{2} - N = \frac{N}{4}(b + 1 - a) - \frac{N}{2} < -\frac{N}{2},$$

and so $|x|^{2b+2-N-c} \in L^2(\{|x| \leq 1\})$. Picking a minimizing sequence $\{v_i = v(k_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, then

$$\limsup_i |k_i| - \|u\|_{L_c^2} \leq \lim_i \|u - v_i\|_{L_c^2} \lesssim \delta_{2,a,b}(u)^{1/2}\|u\|_{L_c^2}$$

and $\{k_i\}$ is bounded. Next, we show $\{\lambda_i\}, \{1/\lambda_i\}$ are bounded. If $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$, then

$$|x|^{-c}|v_i(x)| \leq |k_i|C_1\lambda_i^\alpha|x|^{2b+2-N-c} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

and

$$\delta_{2,a,b}(u)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_c^2} \gtrsim \lim_i \|u - v_i\|_{L_c^2(\{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq M\})} = \|u\|_{L_c^2(\{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq M\})}, \quad \forall 0 < \epsilon < M.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $M \rightarrow \infty$ will lead to a contradiction if $\delta_{2,a,b}(u) \ll 1$.

If $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$, then pick an integer $m > \alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x|^{-c} |v_i(x)| &\leq |k_i| C_1 \lambda_i^\alpha |x|^{2b+2-N-c} \frac{m!}{\left(\lambda_i \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a}\right)^m} \\ &\lesssim K \lambda_i^{\alpha-m} |x|^{2b+2-N-c-m(b+1-a)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for all $0 < \epsilon < M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{2,a,b}(u)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_c^2} &\gtrsim \lim_i \|u - v_i\|_{L_c^2(\{\epsilon < |x| < M\})} \\ &= \|u\|_{L_c^2(\{\epsilon < |x| < M\})}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $M \rightarrow \infty$ will lead to a contradiction if $\delta_{2,a,b}(u) \ll 1$. Thus, we may assume $k_i \rightarrow k_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ with $0 < t \leq |\lambda_i| \leq T < \infty$. Hence,

$$|x|^{-c} |v_i(x)| \lesssim K T^\alpha |x|^{2b+2-N-c} \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} + K t^{\alpha-m} |x|^{2b+2-N-c-m(b+1-a)} \chi_{\{|x| > 1\}} \in L^2.$$

Similar to the above, it yields that $v(k_0, \lambda_0)$ attains the infimum. \square

2.2 On the second-order CKN inequalities

Note that under the condition of Theorem 1.12, $K_{p,a,b} = \frac{-b-(p-1)a-(p-1)(N-1)}{p}$. Functions in $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2$ can be written as

$$v(k, \lambda) = k C_3 \lambda^\beta \int_{|x|}^{\infty} r^{1-N} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda r^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}\right) dr,$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{-b-(p-1)a-(p-1)(N-1)}{(b-a+1)p} = \frac{K_{p,a,b}}{b-a+1},$$

and

$$C_3 = \frac{p^\beta V(\mathbb{S}^{N-1})^{-1/p}}{(b-a+1)^{\beta-1/p}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p K_{p,a,b}}{b-a+1}\right)^{-1/p}.$$

We can show by simple calculation that the norms of $v = v(k, \lambda)$ satisfy

$$\|\Delta v\|_{L_b^p} = \lambda \|v\|_{H_a^p}, \quad \|v\|_{H_a^p} = \left(\frac{K_{p,a,b}}{\lambda}\right)^{1/p} \|v\|_{H_c^p}, \quad \|v\|_{H_c^p} = |k|. \quad (2.5)$$

Also, $v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2$ satisfies the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\Delta(|x|^{-pb}|\Delta v|^{p-2}\Delta v) + (p-1)\lambda^p \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-pa}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) \\ & = pK_{p,a,b}\lambda^{p-1} \operatorname{div}(|x|^{-pc}|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

3 Strong-form stability results for CKN inequalities

For the first part of this section, we show the deficit $\delta_{p,a,b}(u)$ cannot control the H_b^p - or L_a^p -norms of u . For $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$, define $\Phi_\lambda u(x) := \lambda^{N/p-c}u(\lambda x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi_\lambda u\|_{L_c^p} &= \|u\|_{L_c^p}; \\ \|\Phi_\lambda u\|_{L_a^p} &= \lambda^{a-c}\|u\|_{L_a^p}; \\ \|\Phi_\lambda u\|_{H_b^p} &= \lambda^{b-c+1}\|u\|_{H_b^p}, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$\delta_{p,a,b}(\Phi_\lambda u) = \delta_{p,a,b}(u).$$

It is easy to see $\Phi_{\lambda^{-1}}(\Phi_\lambda u) = u$, and the set of minimizers is invariant under this transformation, i.e., $\Phi_\lambda \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b} = \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$, $\forall \lambda > 0$. Thus,

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|\Phi_\lambda u - v\|_{H_b^p} = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|\Phi_\lambda(u - v)\|_{H_b^p} = \lambda^{b-c+1} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{H_b^p}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1.7. If there exist $C > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that for all $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\left(\frac{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{H_b^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C\delta_{p,a,b}(u),$$

replacing u by $\Phi_\lambda u$ we get

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^{b-c+1} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{H_b^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C\delta_{p,a,b}(\Phi_\lambda u) = C\delta_{p,a,b}(u), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Since $b+1 \neq a \implies b-c+1 \neq 0$, taking $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ or 0 , we get a contradiction. Similarly, if

$$\left(\frac{\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{L_a^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C\delta_{p,a,b}(u),$$

then

$$\left(\frac{\lambda^{a-c} \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \|u - v\|_{L_a^p}}{\|u\|_{L_c^p}} \right)^\alpha \leq C\delta_{p,a,b}(\Phi_\lambda u) = C\delta_{p,a,b}(u), \quad \forall \lambda > 0,$$

we also get a contradiction by letting $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ or 0 . □

These properties of transformation indicate that, to establish the stability results of the strong-forms, it is only possible to strengthen the deficit $\delta_{p,a,b}(u)$ into $\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u)$, or reduce the sum of the H_b^p - and L_a^p -norms to their weighted product.

3.1 The case $p = 2$

In this case, we are surprised to find that the minimizer of $\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \|u - w\|_{L_c^2}$ satisfies some orthogonality conditions, and can help us derive the strong-form inequality directly.

Proof of Theorem 1.10: the case $p = 2$. Write $S = S_{2,a,b}$ and $\tilde{\delta}_{2,a,b}(u) = \tilde{\delta}(u)$. Thanks to Proposition 2.1, there exists $0 < \delta_0 < 1/2$ such that if $\tilde{\delta}(u) < 2\delta_0$, then $\delta_{2,a,b}(u) < \delta_0$, and so

$$\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \|u - w\|_{L_c^2}$$

is attainable. If $\tilde{\delta}(u) \geq 2\delta_0$, then

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u - v\|_{L_a^2}^2}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_a^2}^2} \leq 1 \leq \epsilon_1^{-1} \tilde{\delta}(u),$$

and the statement holds.

Next, we assume $\tilde{\delta}(u) < 2\delta_0$. We can choose $v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}$ such that it attains $\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \|u - w\|_{L_c^2}$. Assume $v(x) = v(k_0, \lambda_0)$, then by the minimality, we know $k_0 \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_k \Big|_{k=k_0} \|u - v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_c^2} &= 0, \\ \partial_\lambda \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_0} \|u - v(k, \lambda)\|_{L_c^2} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since for $k \neq 0$, $\lambda > 0$,

$$\partial_k v(k, \lambda) = \frac{v}{k}, \quad \partial_\lambda v(k, \lambda) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} v - \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a} v,$$

we get

$$\int |x|^{-2c} (u - v) \frac{v}{k_0} = 0, \quad \int |x|^{-2c} (u - v) v \left(\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_0} - \frac{|x|^{b+1-a}}{b+1-a} \right) = 0.$$

Hence, the following orthogonality conditions hold:

$$\int |x|^{-(a+b+1)} (u - v) v \, dx = 0 \implies \|v\|_{L_c^2}^2 = \int |x|^{-(a+b+1)} uv \, dx, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\int |x|^{-2a}(u-v)v \, dx = 0 \implies \|v\|_{L_a^2}^2 = \int |x|^{-2a}uv \, dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Since v satisfies equation (2.2), testing v by u , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |x|^{-2b}\nabla v \cdot \nabla u + \lambda_0^2 \int |x|^{-2a}vu - 2S\lambda_0 \int |x|^{-(a+b+1)}vu = 0 \\ \implies & \int |x|^{-2b}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v = -\lambda_0^2\|v\|_{L_a^2}^2 + 2S\lambda_0\|v\|_{L_c^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), when $p = 2$, $\|v\|_{H_b^2} = \lambda_0\|v\|_{L_a^2} = \sqrt{\lambda_0 S}\|v\|_{L_c^2}$, we get the third orthogonality condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int |x|^{-2b}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx = \|v\|_{H_b^2}^2 \\ \implies & \int |x|^{-2b}(\nabla u - \nabla v) \cdot \nabla v \, dx = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), we directly get

$$\|u - v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 - \|v\|^2$$

for the H_b^2 -, L_a^2 -, L_c^2 -norms. And so

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u - v\|_{L_a^2}^2 &= (\|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 - \|v\|_{H_b^2}^2) + (\|u\|_{L_a^2}^2 - \|v\|_{L_a^2}^2) \\ &= \|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_a^2}^2 - 2S^2\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2 + 2S^2\|u - v\|_{L_c^2}^2 \\ &\quad - (\|v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|v\|_{L_a^2}^2 - 2S^2\|v\|_{L_c^2}^2) \\ &= \tilde{\delta}(u)\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2 + 2S^2\|u - v\|_{L_c^2}^2 - \tilde{\delta}(v)\|v\|_{L_c^2}^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{\delta}(u)\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2 + 2S^2\|u - v\|_{L_c^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By (1.3), the minimizer v satisfies

$$\|u - v\|_{L_c^2}^2 \leq C^{-1}(N, 2, a, b)\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2\delta_{2,a,b}(u).$$

Divided by $\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2$ on both sides of (3.4), we obtain

$$\frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u - v\|_{L_a^2}^2}{\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2} \leq \tilde{\delta}(u) + C^{-1}(N, 2, a, b)\delta_{2,a,b}(u) \lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u).$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u - v\|_{L_a^2}^2}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_a^2}^2} \lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u) \frac{\|u\|_{L_c^2}^2}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|u\|_{L_a^2}^2} \lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u),$$

and we prove (1.13). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.8. For $u \in H_b^2 \cap L_a^2$, set $\lambda = \frac{\|u\|_{H_b^2}}{\|u\|_{L_a^2}}$ and let $\tilde{u}(x) = u(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{b+1-a}}x)$, then $\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^2} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^2}$, and $\tilde{\delta}_{2,a,b}(\tilde{u}) = 2\delta_{2,a,b}(u)$. For any $v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\tilde{u} - v\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u} - v\|_{L_a^2}^2}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^2}^2} &= \frac{\lambda^{-1}\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{H_b^2}^2 + \lambda\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{L_a^2}^2}{2\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^2}\|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^2}} \\ &\geq \frac{\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{H_b^2}\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{L_a^2}}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}\|u\|_{L_a^2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{v}(x) = v(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{b+1-a}}x)$. By the symmetry of $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$, we know $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}$ and hence, applying (1.13) to \tilde{u} yields

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}\|u - v\|_{L_a^2}}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}\|u\|_{L_a^2}} \lesssim \tilde{\delta}_{2,a,b}(\tilde{u}) \lesssim \delta_{2,a,b}(u). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.1. *Theorem 1.8 is sharp, i.e.,*

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}\|u - v\|_{L_a^2}}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}\|u\|_{L_a^2}} \lesssim \delta_{2,a,b}(u)^t$$

fails if $t > 1$. In fact, choose $u(x) = v(1,1)(Ax)$ with $A = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 1 + 1/j)$, and then

$$\delta_{2,a,b}(u), \inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^2}\|u - v\|_{L_a^2}}{\|u\|_{H_b^2}\|u\|_{L_a^2}} \sim j^{-2}.$$

3.2 The case $p > 2$

We first give some properties to simplify our problem.

Set $u(x) = u(r\theta) = \tilde{u}(r^l\theta)$ (this transform was used in [20, 21]), where $r = |x|$, $\theta = \frac{x}{|x|}$ and $l = \frac{N-p-pb}{N-p} \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, let $u_1(x) = \tilde{u}(l^{\frac{p-1}{p}}x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H_b^p}^p &= \int |x|^{-pb} |\nabla u|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty (|\nabla_r u|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_\theta u|^2)^{p/2} r^{N-1-bp} dr d\theta \\ &= l^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty (|\nabla_r \tilde{u}|^2 + l^{-2}r^{-2}|\nabla_\theta \tilde{u}|^2)^{p/2} dr d\theta \\ &\geq l^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty (|\nabla_r \tilde{u}|^2 + r^{-2}|\nabla_\theta \tilde{u}|^2)^{p/2} dr d\theta \\ &= l^{p-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^p}^p = l^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \|u_1\|_{H_b^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

where ∇_θ is the gradient on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{N-1} . Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L_a^p}^p &= \int |x|^{-pa} |u|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{-pa+N-1} |u|^p dr d\theta \\
&= l^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{p}{p-1}+N-1} |\tilde{u}|^p dr d\theta \\
&= l^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_{-1/(p-1)}^p}^p = l^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \|u_1\|_{L_{-1/(p-1)}^p}^p, \\
\|u\|_{L_c^p}^p &= \int |x|^{-pc} |u|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{-pc+N-1} |u|^p dr d\theta \\
&= l^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty r^{\frac{p}{p-1}+N-1} |\tilde{u}|^p dr d\theta \\
&= l^{-1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_0^p}^p = l^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}-1} \|u_1\|_{L_0^p}^p.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{p,a,b} &= \frac{N-1-(p-1)a-b}{p} \\
&= \frac{N-\frac{Npb}{N-p}}{p} \\
&= \frac{N}{p} \cdot \frac{N-p-pb}{N-p} = lS(p, -\frac{1}{p-1}, 0),
\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_{p,a,b}(u) &\geq l\delta_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}(u_1), \\
\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u) &\geq l\tilde{\delta}_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}(u_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Note that, under this transform $u \mapsto u_1$, the set $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ becomes $\mathcal{M}_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}$, so it is enough to show Theorem 1.10 and 1.9 with $(a, b, c) = (-\frac{1}{p-1}, 0, 0)$. In the following we will omit a, b if there are no confusions, and write

$$\begin{aligned}
H^p &= H_0^p, \quad L^p = L_0^p, \quad S = S_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0} = \frac{N}{p}, \\
\delta(u) &= \delta_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}(u), \quad \tilde{\delta}(u) = \tilde{\delta}_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}(u), \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{p,-\frac{1}{p-1},0}
\end{aligned}$$

for convenience.

To prove Theorem 1.10 and 1.9, we will use the following sharp inequalities on vectors proved in [17].

Lemma 3.2 ([17, Lemma 2.1 and 2.4]). *Let $y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for any $\kappa > 0$, there exist constants $c_0 = c_0(p, \kappa) > 0$ and $c_1 = c_1(p, \kappa) > 0$ such that the following statements hold:*

(i) For $p > 2$,

$$|y + z|^p \geq |y|^p + p|y|^{p-2}y \cdot z + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} \left(p|y|^{p-2}|z|^2 + p(p-2)|w|^{p-2}(|y| - |y+z|)^2 \right) + c_0|z|^p,$$

where

$$w = w(y, y+z) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{if } |y| \leq |y+z|, \\ \left(\frac{|y+z|}{|y|} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}(y+z), & \text{if } |y+z| \leq |y|. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For $p > 2$,

$$|a + b|^p \leq |a|^p + p|a|^{p-2}ab + \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa \right) |a|^{p-2}|b|^2 + c_1|b|^p.$$

Thanks to these primary inequalities, the proof for $p = 2$ is valid as long as we can find some minimizer v satisfying the following orthogonality properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla v) &= 0, \\ \int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |v|^{p-2} v (u - v) &= 0, \\ \int |v|^{p-2} v (u - v) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We hope $v \in \mathcal{M}$ attaining $\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \|u - w\|_{L^p}$ can give us the desired result, but such minimizer only satisfies

$$\int |u - v|^{p-2} uv = 0 = \int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |u - v|^{p-2} uv,$$

and it is difficult to show it satisfies (3.6). To settle it, we pick another element of \mathcal{M} .

Definition 3.3. For $u \in H^p \cap L^p_{-1/(p-1)}$, set

$$P_u = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{M} : \|v\|_{L^p} = 1, \int |v|^{p-2} uv = \sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M} \\ \|w\|_{L^p} = 1}} \int |w|^{p-2} uw \right\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proposition 3.4. There exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for any $u \in H^p \cap L^p_{-1/(p-1)}$ with $\delta(u) < \delta_1$, the following supremum is attainable:

$$\sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M} \\ \|w\|_{L^p} = 1}} \int |w|^{p-2} uw. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Pick a minimizing sequence $\{v_i = v(k_i, \lambda_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty$, where $k_i \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\lambda_i > 0$. Let

$$\mu := \sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M} \\ \|w\|_{L^p} = 1}} \int |w|^{p-2} u w.$$

If $\mu = 0$, since at least one of $v(1, \lambda)$, $v(-1, \lambda)$ makes $\int |v|^{p-1} v u \geq 0$, it is easily to attain it. Next we assume $\mu > 0$. Since $\| |v_i|^{p-1} v_i \|_{L^{p'}}^{p'} = \|v_i\|_{L^p}^p = 1$, there exists $f \in L^{p'}$ s.t. $|v_i|^{p-2} v_i \rightharpoonup f$ weakly in $L^{p'}$. We recall the estimates of $|v_i(x)|$ in Proposition 2.1:

$$|v_i(x)| \lesssim \begin{cases} K (\sup \lambda_i)^\alpha, & \text{If } \lambda_i \rightarrow 0, \\ K |x|^{-m(b+1-a)} (\inf \lambda_i)^{-m+\alpha}, & \text{If } \lambda_i \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Hence, if $\lambda_i \rightarrow 0$ or $\lambda_i \rightarrow +\infty$, then for any $0 < \epsilon < A < +\infty$ and any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\{\epsilon \leq |x| \leq A\})$,

$$\int f \varphi = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int |v_i|^{p-2} v_i \varphi = 0 \implies f = 0 \text{ for a.e. } \epsilon \leq |x| \leq A,$$

yielding that $f = 0$ a.e. and thus,

$$0 = \int f u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int |v_i|^{p-2} v_i u = \mu > 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus, we can assume $k_i \equiv 1$ and $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and so $v_i \rightarrow v_0 := v(1, \lambda_0)$ pointwise. We must have $f = |v_0|^{p-2} v_0$ a.e. and then

$$\int |v_0|^{p-2} v_0 u = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int |v_i|^{p-2} v_i u = \sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M} \\ \|w\|_{L^p} = 1}} \int |w|^{p-2} u w$$

as we desire. □

Remark 3.5. Fix $p \geq 2$, for general (a, b) satisfying the conditions in Theorem 1.9, if $\delta_{p,a,b}(u) < \frac{N-p-pb}{N-p} \delta_0$, using the transform $u(x) = \tilde{u}(r^l \theta)$ with $l = \frac{N-p-pb}{N-p}$, we know

$$\delta(\tilde{u}) \leq \frac{N-p}{N-p-pb} \delta_{p,a,b}(u) < \delta_0.$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M} \\ \|w\|_{L^p} = 1}} \int |w|^{p-2} \tilde{u} w$$

is attainable. As a result,

$$\sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b} \\ \|w\|_{L^p_c} = 1}} \int |x|^{-pc} |w|^{p-2} u w$$

is attainable. So the statement of Proposition 3.4 holds for general (a, b) .

Proposition 3.6. *There exist $\delta_2 \leq \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$ and $C_2 > 0$ depending only on N, p, a, b s.t. for any $u \in H^p \cap L^p_{-1/(p-1)}$ with $\delta(u) < \delta_2$, we have*

$$\sup_{v \in P_u} \frac{\|u - \mu_v v\|_{L^p}}{\|u\|_{L^p}} \leq C_2 \left(\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{\|u - w\|_{L^p}}{\|u\|_{L^p}} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \delta(u)^{1/(2p)}, \quad (3.9)$$

where for $v \in P_u$, $\mu_v = \int |v|^{p-2} v u \geq 0$.

Proof. For $u \in H^p \cap L^p_{-1/(p-1)}$ with $\delta(u) < \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1\}$, we can pick $v \in P_u$, $\mu = \int |v|^{p-2} v u$ and w attaining $\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}} \|u - w\|_{L^p}$. Since $\epsilon := \|u - w\|_{L^p} \lesssim \delta(u)^{1/p} \ll 1$, we have

$$|\|w\|_{L^p} - 1| \leq \|w - u\|_{L^p} = \epsilon. \quad (3.10)$$

From the fact that $v \in P_u$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \mu &\geq \int |w|^{p-2} w u \\ &= \int |w|^{p-2} w (u - w) + \|w\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\geq \|w\|_{L^p}^p - \|w\|_{L^p}^{p-1} \|u - w\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$1 \geq \int |v|^{p-2} v u = \mu \geq \|w\|_{L^p} - \epsilon. \quad (3.11)$$

By (3.10),

$$0 \leq 1 - \mu \leq \epsilon + 1 - \|w\|_{L^p} \leq 2\epsilon. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.10), (3.12), we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - v \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\geq \|u - \mu v\|_{L^p} - \|\mu v - v\|_{L^p} - \|u - w\|_{L^p} - \left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - w \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\geq \|u - \mu v\|_{L^p} - |\mu - 1| - |\|w\|_{L^p} - 1| - \epsilon \\ &\geq \|u - \mu v\|_{L^p} - 4\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\geq \int |v|^{p-2} v \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} = \int |v|^{p-2} v_i \left(\frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - u_i \right) + \mu \\ &\geq \mu - \|u - w\|_{L^p} - \left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - w \right\|_{L^p} \\ &\geq 1 - 4\epsilon > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

if $\epsilon < 1/4$. Thus, v and w have the same sign, and we can assume $v = v(1, \lambda)$ and $w = v(\|w\|_{L^p}, \rho)$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |v|^{p-2} v \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} &= C_1^p \lambda^{\frac{N(p-1)^2}{p^2}} \rho^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} \int \exp\left(-((p-1)\lambda + \rho) \frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}\right) dx \\ &= C_1^p \left(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-\frac{1}{p})\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\rho}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \int \exp\left(-(p-1)|x|^{p/(p-1)}\right) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \rho^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-\frac{1}{p})\lambda + \frac{1}{p}\rho}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} = \left(\frac{t^{1/p}}{1-1/p+t/p}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t = \rho/\lambda$. By (3.14),

$$0 \leq 1 - \left(\frac{t^{1/p}}{1-1/p+t/p}\right)^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p}} \leq 4\epsilon. \quad (3.15)$$

It is easy to see $|t-1| \leq 1/2$ as long as $\epsilon \ll 1$. Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1-x^q}{1-x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{-qx^{q-1}}{-1} = q > 0, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

(3.15) implies

$$1 - \frac{t^{1/p}}{1-1/p+t/p} \lesssim \epsilon. \quad (3.16)$$

Finally, we calculate the distance of $\frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}}$ and v :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - v \right\|_{L^p}^p &= C_1^p \int \left| \rho^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} e^{-\rho \frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}} - \lambda^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} e^{-\lambda \frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}} \right|^p dx \\ &= C_1^p \int \left| t^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} e^{-t \frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}} - e^{-\frac{|x|^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}} \right|^p dx \\ &= C_1^p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{N-1}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(p-1)r^{p/(p-1)}} r^{N-1} \left| 1 - t^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} e^{(1-t)\frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}} \right|^p dr d\theta \\ &= C_1^p V(\mathbb{S}^{N-1}) \int_0^\infty e^{-(p-1)r^{p/(p-1)}} r^{N-1} |f_r(1) - f_r(t)|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$f_r(s) = s^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} e^{(1-s)\frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)}}. \quad (3.17)$$

For $|s-1| \leq 1/2$, we have

$$f'_r(s) = f_r(s) \left(\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2 s} - \frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\implies |f'_r(s)| &\leq \left(\frac{2N(p-1)}{p^2} + \frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)} \right) |f_r(s)| \\
&\leq 2^{\frac{N(p-1)}{p^2}} \left(\frac{2N(p-1)}{p^2} + \frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)} \right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and so

$$|f_r(1) - f_r(t)| \leq |1-t| \sup_{s \in [1,t]} |f'_r(s)| \lesssim |1-t|(1+r^{p/(p-1)}) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \frac{r^{p/(p-1)}}{p/(p-1)} \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Hence, when $\epsilon \ll 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - v \right\|_{L^p}^p &\lesssim |1-t|^p \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{p-1}{2} r^{p/(p-1)}} r^{N-1} (1+r^{p/(p-1)})^p dr \\
&= O(|1-t|^p).
\end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

Since

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{x}{p} - x^{\frac{1}{p}}}{(1-x)^2} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} (1 - x^{\frac{1}{p}-1})}{-2(1-x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) x^{\frac{1}{p}-2}}{2} = \frac{1}{2p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) > 0,$$

(3.16) implies

$$\epsilon \gtrsim 1 - \frac{t^{1/p}}{1 - 1/p + t/p} = O(|1-t|^2) \implies |1-t| \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2}. \quad (3.20)$$

Taking (3.19) and (3.20) into (3.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|1-t| &\gtrsim \left\| \frac{w}{\|w\|_{L^p}} - v \right\|_p \gtrsim \|u - \mu v\|_{L^p} - 4\epsilon \\
\implies \|u - \mu v\|_{L^p} &\lesssim 4\epsilon + |1-t| \lesssim \epsilon + \epsilon^{1/2} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

and we complete our proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.10: the case $p > 2$. We first prove it for $(a, b) = (-\frac{1}{p-1}, 0)$. If $\tilde{\delta}(u) \geq p\delta_2$, then

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - v\|_{L^p_{-1/(p-1)}}^p}{\|u\|_{H^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{L^p_{-1/(p-1)}}^p} \leq 1 \lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u)^{1/p},$$

and we are done. Next, assume $\tilde{\delta}(u) < p\delta_2$, then $\delta(u) < \delta_2$, and $P_u \neq \emptyset$. Thus, we can pick $v \in P_u$, $\mu = \int |v|^{p-2} v u \geq 0$. Since $\|v\|_{L^p} = 1$, we may assume $v = v(1, \lambda)$. By the maximality of v ,

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int |v(1, \lambda)|^{p-2} v(1, \lambda) u$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (p-1) \int |v|^{p-2} u \partial_\lambda v \\
&= \frac{N(p-1)^2}{p^2 \lambda} \int |v|^{p-2} uv - \frac{(p-1)^2}{p} \int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |v|^{p-2} uv.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |v|^{p-2} uv = \frac{p}{(p-1)^2} \cdot \frac{N(p-1)^2}{p^2 \lambda} \mu = \frac{N\mu}{p\lambda} = \frac{S\mu}{\lambda}. \quad (3.21)$$

By (2.2), for any $v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ and $u \in H_b^p \cap L_a^p$, we have

$$\int |x|^{-pb} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u + (p-1)\lambda^p \int |x|^{-pa} |v|^{p-2} uv - Sp\lambda^{p-1} \int |x|^{-pc} |v|^{p-2} uv = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

By (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u &= Sp\lambda^{p-1} \int |v|^{p-2} uv - (p-1)\lambda^p \int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |v|^{p-2} uv \\
&= Sp\lambda^{p-1} \mu - (p-1)\lambda^p \frac{S\mu}{\lambda} = S\lambda^{p-1} \mu.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Note that

$$\|v\|_{L_{-1/(p-1)}^p} = (S/\lambda)^{1/p}, \quad \|\nabla v\|_{L^p} = \lambda(S/\lambda)^{1/p}.$$

Write $\bar{v} = \mu v$, then the following orthogonality conditions hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |\bar{v}|^{p-2} \bar{v} (u - \bar{v}) &= \mu^{p-1} \int |v|^{p-2} vu - \mu^p \|v\|_{L^p}^p = 0, \\
\int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |\bar{v}|^{p-2} \bar{v} (u - \bar{v}) &= \mu^{p-1} \int |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}} |v|^{p-2} vu - \mu^p \|v\|_{L_{-1/(p-1)}^p}^p = 0, \\
\int |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}) &= \mu^{p-1} \int |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u - \mu^p \|\nabla v\|_{L^p}^p = 0.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Applying (3.2) with $(y, z) = (\nabla \bar{v}, \nabla u - \nabla \bar{v})$ and integrate over \mathbb{R}^N , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^p &\geq \|\nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + p \int |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} \left(\int p |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} |\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + p(p-2) \int |w(\nabla \bar{v}, \nabla u)|^{p-2} (|\nabla \bar{v}| - |\nabla u|)^2 \right) \\
&\quad + c_0 \|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p \\
&\geq \|\nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + c_0 \|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Similarly, taking $(y, z) = (|x|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\bar{v}, |x|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}(u - \bar{v}))$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^N , we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p \geq \|\bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p + c_0\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p. \quad (3.26)$$

Lastly, taking $(a, b) = (\bar{v}, u - \bar{v})$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^N , then use Hölder's inequality, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^p}^p &\leq \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + p \int |\bar{v}|^{p-2}\bar{v}(u - \bar{v}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa\right) \int |\bar{v}|^{p-2}|u - \bar{v}|^2 + c_1\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\leq \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa\right) \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^{p-2}\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^2 + c_1\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Now (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p - Sp\|u\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\geq \|\nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|\bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p - Sp\|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\quad + c_0\left(\|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p\right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa\right) \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^{p-2}\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^2 - c_1\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p = \int |\bar{v}|^{p-2}\bar{v}u \leq \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p}^{p-1}\|u\|_{L^p} \implies \|\bar{v}\|_{L^p} \leq \|u\|_{L^p},$$

and by Proposition 3.6,

$$\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \delta(u)^{1/(2p)}\|u\|_{L^p},$$

we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p}{\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p} &\leq \frac{\|\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}\|_{L^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - \bar{v}\|_{L^{p-1/(p-1)}}^p}{Sp\|u\|_{L^p}^p} \\ &\lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u) - \tilde{\delta}(\bar{v}) + \delta(u)^{1/p} + \delta(u)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \tilde{\delta}(u) + \delta(u)^{1/p} + \delta(u)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \tilde{\delta}(u)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

and we get (1.12) with $(a, b, c) = (-\frac{1}{p-1}, 0, 0)$.

By our former discussions, for general (a, b) it holds

$$\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(u) \gtrsim \tilde{\delta}_{p,-1/(p-1),0}(u_1),$$

and (1.12) can be deduced directly from the above result. \square

Finally, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.8, we can deduce Theorem 1.9 directly from Theorem 1.10:

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Set $\lambda = \frac{\|u\|_{H_b^p}}{\|u\|_{L_a^p}}$ and let $\tilde{u}(x) = u(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{b+1-a}}x)$, then $\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^p} = \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^p}$, and $\tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(\tilde{u}) = p\delta_{p,a,b}(u)$. For any $v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\tilde{u} - v\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|\tilde{u} - v\|_{L_a^p}^p}{\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^p}^p} &= \frac{\lambda^{-p+1}\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{H_b^p}^p + (p-1)\lambda\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{L_a^p}^p}{p\|\tilde{u}\|_{H_b^p}^p \|\tilde{u}\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}} \\ &\geq \frac{\|u - \tilde{v}\|_{H_b^p} \|u - \tilde{v}\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{H_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{v}(x) = v(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{b+1-a}}x)$. By the symmetry of $\mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$, we know $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}$ and hence, applying (1.12) to \tilde{u} we obtain

$$\inf_{v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}} \frac{\|u - v\|_{H_b^p} \|u - v\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}}{\|u\|_{H_b^p} \|u\|_{L_a^p}^{p-1}} \lesssim \tilde{\delta}_{p,a,b}(\tilde{u})^{1/p} \lesssim \delta_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p}. \quad \square$$

4 Stabilities for the second-order CKN inequalities

To prove the weak stability result, we first state a weighted L^p -Poincaré inequality, which was used in Do [13]:

Lemma 4.1 ([13, Corollary 4.1]). *For some $m > 0$, $N - p > \mu \geq 0$, $\gamma \geq \frac{N-p-\mu}{N-p}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} > 0$, we have for $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ that*

$$\tilde{\lambda}^{\frac{N\mu}{N-p} - p - \mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\nabla v(y)|^p}{|y|^\mu} e^{-m|\tilde{\lambda}y|^\gamma} dy \geq C(N, p, \gamma, m, \mu) \inf_c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|v(y) - c|^p}{|y|^{\frac{N\mu}{N-p}}} e^{-m|\tilde{\lambda}y|^\gamma} dy.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.11. For $p \geq 2$, there exists $m_p \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\mathcal{R}_p(s, t) \geq m_p |s - t|^p.$$

From (1.6), when $pb + (p - 1)N < 0$ (i.e., $\epsilon = -1$), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1} - K_{p,a,b} \|u\|_{H_c^p}^p \\ & \geq \frac{m_p}{p} \int \frac{1}{|x|^{pb}} \left| \lambda^{1/p} |x|^{b-a-1} x \cdot \nabla u + \lambda^{-\frac{p-1}{p}} \Delta u \right|^p dx \\ & = \frac{m_p}{p} \int \frac{\lambda^{1-p}}{|x|^{pb}} e^{-\frac{p\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \left| \nabla \cdot \left(e^{\frac{\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \nabla u \right) \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

When u is radial, set $w(r) = r^{-1} e^{\frac{\lambda r^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} u'(r)$, the divergence term becomes

$$\nabla \cdot (w(r)x) = rw'(r) + Nw(r) = r^{1-N} (r^N w(r))'.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1} - K_{p,a,b} \|u\|_{H_c^p}^p \\ & \geq \frac{m_p}{p} \int \frac{\lambda^{1-p}}{|x|^{pb+p(N-1)}} e^{-\frac{p\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \left| \nabla (|x|^N w(|x|)) \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by setting $\mu = pb + p(N - 1)$, $\gamma = b - a + 1$, $m = \frac{p}{b-a+1}$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{b-a+1}}$ in Lemma 4.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p} \|u\|_{H_a^p}^{p-1} - K_{p,a,b} \|u\|_{H_c^p}^p \\ & \geq C(N, p, a, b) \inf_c \int \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N\mu}{N-p}}} e^{-\frac{p\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \left| |x|^N w(|x|) - c \right|^p dx \\ & = C(N, p, a, b) \inf_c \int \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N\mu}{N-p} + (N-1)p}} \left| \nabla u(x) - c |x|^{1-N} e^{-\frac{\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \frac{x}{|x|} \right|^p dx \\ & = C(N, p, a, b) \inf_c \int \frac{1}{|x|^{\frac{N\mu}{N-p} + (N-1)p}} |\nabla u - \nabla v(c, \lambda)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

By our conditons for (p, a, b) , we have $\frac{N\mu}{N-p} + (N - 1)p = pc$, and the proof is done. \square

Remark 4.2. *The conditions for (p, a, b) in Theorem 1.11 are set such that $(\mu, \gamma, m, \tilde{\lambda})$ meet the requirement of Lemma 4.1.*

The process from weak stability to strong is similar to Section 3. Let Q_u be the set of $v \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2$ attaining

$$\sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2 \\ \|w\|_{H_c^p} = 1}} \int |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla w \cdot \nabla u.$$

Since

$$\nabla v(k, \lambda) = -C_3 k \lambda^\beta |x|^{1-N} e^{-\frac{\lambda|x|^{b-a+1}}{b-a+1}} \frac{x}{|x|}$$

and $\beta = \frac{K_{p,a,b}}{b-a+1} > 0$, using the methods in Proposition 2.1 and 3.4, we can show

Proposition 4.3. *There exists $\sigma_0 > 0$ such that for any $u \in H_{b,a}^{p,p}$ with $\sigma_{p,a,b}(u) < \sigma_0$, the following infimum and supremum are attainable:*

$$\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2} \|u - w\|_{H_c^p}, \quad \sup_{\substack{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2 \\ \|w\|_{H_c^p} = 1}} \int |\nabla w|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla w. \quad (4.1)$$

Next, we can check each step in Proposition 3.6 and obtain

Proposition 4.4. *There exist $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma_0$ and $C_4 > 0$ depending only on N, p, a, b s.t. for any $u \in H_{b,a}^{p,p}$ with $\sigma_{p,a,b}(u) < \sigma_1$, we have*

$$\sup_{v \in Q_u} \frac{\|u - \mu_v v\|_{H_c^p}}{\|u\|_{H_c^p}} \leq C_4 \left(\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{p,a,b}^2} \frac{\|u - w\|_{H_c^p}}{\|u\|_{H_c^p}} \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \sigma_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/(2p)}, \quad (4.2)$$

where for $v \in Q_u$, $\mu_v = \int |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u \geq 0$.

By (2.6) and the maximality property of Q_u , for $v \in Q_u$, $\bar{v} := \mu_v v$ satisfies the following orthogonality conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{1}{|x|^{pc}} |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}) &= 0, \\ \int \frac{1}{|x|^{pa}} |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} \nabla \bar{v} \cdot (\nabla u - \nabla \bar{v}) &= 0, \\ \int \frac{1}{|x|^{pb}} |\Delta \bar{v}|^{p-2} \Delta \bar{v} (\Delta u - \Delta \bar{v}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.12. When $p = 2$, pick $v \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}^2$ that attains $\inf_{w \in \mathcal{M}_{2,a,b}^2} \|u - w\|_{H_c^2}$, we can show v satisfy (4.3) and the proof is similar to that of (1.9).

When $p > 2$, pick $v \in Q_u$ and let $\mu := \mu_v = \int |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \cdot \nabla u$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p}^p &\geq \|\Delta \bar{v}\|_{L_b^p}^p + c_0 \|\Delta u - \Delta \bar{v}\|_{L_b^p}^p, \\ \|u\|_{H_a^p}^p &\geq \|\bar{v}\|_{H_a^p}^p + c_0 \|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_a^p}^p, \\ \|u\|_{H_c^p}^p &\leq \|\bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^p + \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa \right) \int |\nabla \bar{v}|^{p-2} |\nabla \bar{v} - \nabla u|^2 + c_1 \|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{H_a^p}^p - pK_{p,a,b}\|u\|_{H_c^p}^p \\
& \geq \|\Delta \bar{v}\|_{L_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|\bar{v}\|_{H_a^p}^p - pK_{p,a,b}\|\bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^p \\
& \quad + c_0\left(\|\Delta u - \Delta \bar{v}\|_{L_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_a^p}^p\right) \\
& \quad - \left(\frac{p(p-1)}{2} + \kappa\right)\|\bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^{p-2}\|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^2 - c_1\|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_c^p}^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Define

$$\tilde{\sigma}(u) := \frac{\|\Delta u\|_{L_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u\|_{H_a^p}^p}{\|u\|_{H_c^p}^p} - pK_{p,a,b},$$

then $\tilde{\sigma}(u) \geq p\sigma_{p,a,b}(u) \geq 0$, and (4.4) indicates

$$\frac{\|\Delta u - \Delta \bar{v}\|_{L_b^p}^p + (p-1)\|u - \bar{v}\|_{H_a^p}^p}{\|u\|_{H_c^p}^p} \lesssim \tilde{\sigma}(u) + \sigma_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/2} + \sigma_{p,a,b}(u)^{1/p} \lesssim \tilde{\sigma}(u)^{1/p}.$$

Hence, the proof is done by using the transformation as in the proof of Theorem 1.9. \square

Remark 4.5. *When u is not radial, it is hard to get the weak stability as in Theorem 1.11, but once it is done, we can deduce a strong-form result by using our methods.*

References

- [1] G. Bianchi and H. Egnell, ‘‘A note on the Sobolev inequality,’’ *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 100, pp. 18–24, Aug. 1991.
- [2] H. Brezis and E. H. Lieb, ‘‘Sobolev inequalities with remainder terms,’’ *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 62, pp. 73–86, June 1985.
- [3] L. N. L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn, ‘‘First order interpolation inequalities with weights,’’ *Composition Math.*, vol. 53, pp. 259–275, 1984.
- [4] E. A. Carlen and A. Figalli, ‘‘Stability for a GNS inequality and the log-HLS inequality, with application to the critical mass Keller–Segel equation,’’ *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 162, Feb. 2013.
- [5] C. Cazacu, J. Flynn, N. Lam, and G. Lu, ‘‘Caffarelli-kohn-nirenberg identities, inequalities and their stabilities,’’ *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 182, pp. 253–284, Feb. 2024.

- [6] S. Chen, R. Frank, and T. Weth, “Remainder terms in the fractional Sobolev inequality,” *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, vol. 62, no. 4, pp. 1381–1397, 2013.
- [7] X.-P. Chen and C.-L. Tang, “The second order caffarelli-kohn-nirenberg identities and inequalities,” May 2024.
- [8] K. S. Chou and C. W. Chu, “On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev-Hardy inequality,” *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. s2-48, no. 1, pp. 137–151, 1993.
- [9] A. Cianchi, “A quantitative Sobolev inequality in BV,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 237, pp. 466–481, Aug. 2006.
- [10] A. Cianchi, N. Fusco, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, “The sharp Sobolev inequality in quantitative form,” *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, pp. 1105–1139, 2009.
- [11] D. Cordero-Erausquin, B. Nazaret, and C. Villani, “A mass-transportation approach to sharp Sobolev and Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 182, pp. 307–332, Mar. 2004.
- [12] M. Del Pino and J. Dolbeault, “Best constants for Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and applications to nonlinear diffusions,” *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, vol. 81, pp. 847–875, Sept. 2002.
- [13] A. X. Do, J. Flynn, N. Lam, and G. Lu, “ L^p -Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg inequalities and their stabilities,” Oct. 2023. arXiv:2310.07083.
- [14] M. Fathi, “A short proof of quantitative stability for the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 210, p. 112403, Sept. 2021.
- [15] A. Figalli, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, “Sharp stability theorems for the anisotropic Sobolev and log-Sobolev inequalities on functions of bounded variation,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 242, pp. 80–101, Aug. 2013.
- [16] A. Figalli and R. Neumayer, “Gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality: The case $p \geq 2$,” *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, vol. 21, pp. 319–354, Oct. 2018.
- [17] A. Figalli and Y. R.-Y. Zhang, “Sharp gradient stability for the Sobolev inequality,” *Duke Mathematical Journal*, vol. 171, Sept. 2022.

- [18] N. Fusco, F. Maggi, and A. Pratelli, “The sharp quantitative sobolev inequality for functions of bounded variation,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 244, pp. 315–341, Mar. 2007.
- [19] F. Gazzola and T. Weth, “Remainder terms in a higher order Sobolev inequality,” *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 95, pp. 381–388, Oct. 2010.
- [20] T. Horiuchi, “Best constant in weighted Sobolev inequality with weights being powers of distance from the origin,” *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 1997, p. 878396, Jan. 1997.
- [21] N. Lam and G. Lu, “Sharp constants and optimizers for a class of Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequalities,” *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, vol. 17, pp. 457–480, Aug. 2017.
- [22] S. McCurdy and R. Venkatraman, “Quantitative stability for the Heisenberg–Pauli–Weyl inequality,” *Nonlinear Analysis*, vol. 202, p. 112147, Jan. 2021.
- [23] R. Neumayer, “A note on strong-form stability for the sobolev inequality,” *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 59, p. 25, Jan. 2020.
- [24] V. H. Nguyen, “The sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg–Sobolev inequality in quantitative form,” *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 277, pp. 2179–2208, Oct. 2019.
- [25] F. Seuffert, “A stability result for a family of sharp Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities,” Oct. 2016. arXiv:1610.06869.
- [26] G. Talenti, “Best constant in Sobolev inequality,” *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, vol. 110, pp. 353–372, Dec. 1976.
- [27] J. Wei and Y. Wu, “On the stability of the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequality,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 384, pp. 1509–1546, Dec. 2022.
- [28] Y. Zhou and W. Zou, “Quantitative stability for the Caffarelli–Kohn–Nirenberg inequality,” Jan. 2024. arXiv:2312.15735.