

THE BRYLINSKI BETA FUNCTION OF A COAXIAL LAYER

POOJA RANI AND M. K. VEMURI

ABSTRACT. In [Pooja Rani and M. K. Vemuri, The Brylinski beta function of a double layer, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **92**(2024)], an analogue of Brylinski’s knot beta function was defined for a compactly supported (Schwartz) distribution T on Euclidean space. Here we consider the Brylinski beta function of the distribution defined by a coaxial layer on a submanifold of Euclidean space. We prove that it has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane as a meromorphic function with only simple poles, and in the case of a coaxial layer on a space curves, we compute some of the residues in terms of the curvature and torsion.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], Brylinski introduced the beta function of a geometric knot in \mathbb{R}^3 . He was partly motivated by the desire to give a definition of Möbius energy (see [3, 6]) independent of an arbitrary “renormalization”. However, he also gave some beautiful formulae for the first few residues of his beta function. They turn out to be integrals of polynomials in the curvature, torsion and their derivatives.

The basic idea behind Brylinski’s beta function is much more general. For instance, in [4], Fuller and Vemuri defined and studied the beta function of a compact submanifold of Euclidean space (see also [7, 8]). In [9], Rani and Vemuri defined the beta function of a compactly supported (Schwartz) distribution on Euclidean space, and studied the beta function of a uniform double layer distribution on a hypersurface.

Here we consider the beta function of a uniform coaxial layer on a compact submanifold of Euclidean space. In Section 2, we define the concepts of coaxial derivative and coaxial layer, and give an explicit expression for the beta function of a coaxial layer. In Section 3, we express the beta function of a coaxial layer in terms of Fuller and Vemuri’s beta function and an additional term involving the mean curvature. We use this to give the analytic continuation of the Brylinski beta function of a coaxial layer to the whole complex plane as a meromorphic function with only simple poles. In Section 4, we consider, in detail, the case of curves in three dimensional space, and compute the residues at 3, 1 and -1 .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A99 (57M27).

Key words and phrases. Analytic continuation; distribution; energies of submanifolds; invariant theory; second fundamental form.

Pooja Rani is supported by DST-INSPIRE Fellowship/2019/IF190300.

2. THE BRYLINSKI BETA FUNCTION

Let T be a compactly supported distribution on \mathbb{R}^d . Since T has finite order, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that T extends to a continuous linear functional on $C^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Observe that if $\operatorname{Re} s > 2k$ and $N_s(u) = \|u\|^s$ then $N_s \in C^{2k}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and so $N_s * T \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The following definition was given in [9].

Definition 2.1. The Brylinski beta function of T is the function $B_T(s)$, defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > 2k$ by

$$B_T(s) = T(N_s * T).$$

Suppose $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact smooth n -dimensional submanifold, and dA is the surface measure on M .

If $T : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the distribution defined by

$$T\varphi = \int_M \varphi dA,$$

then $B_T = B_M$ is the beta function studied by Fuller and Vemuri in [4].

Given $u \in M$, let $\nu_u = (T_u M)^\perp$ be the normal space to M at u . Let Σ_r denote the sphere of radius r centered at the origin in ν_u .

Lemma 2.2. *If φ is a C^2 function defined in a neighborhood of u , then*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{\omega_{d-n-1} r^{d-n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi(u + \sigma) d\sigma - \varphi(u)}{r^2}$$

exists.

Proof. Let X_1, \dots, X_{d-n} be orthonormal vectors which span ν_u , and extend them to constant vector fields on \mathbb{R}^d . We claim that the limit is in fact

$$\frac{(X_1^2 \varphi + \dots + X_{d-n}^2 \varphi)(u)}{2(d-n)}.$$

By Taylor's theorem, we may write, for $\sigma \in \Sigma_r$,

$$\varphi(u + \sigma) = \varphi(u) + \sum_{j=1}^{d-n} (X_j \varphi)(u) \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{d-n} (X_j X_k \varphi)(u) \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle \langle \sigma, X_k \rangle + o(r^2).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\omega_{d-n-1} r^{d-n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi(u + \sigma) d\sigma - \varphi(u) \\
= & \frac{1}{\omega_{d-n-1} r^{d-n-1}} \left(\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{d-n} (X_j \varphi)(u) \int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle d\sigma \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^{d-n} (X_j X_k \varphi)(u) \int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle \langle \sigma, X_k \rangle d\sigma \end{aligned} \right) + o(r^2) \\
= & \frac{(X_1^2 \varphi + \cdots + X_{d-n}^2 \varphi)(u)}{2(d-n)} r^2 + o(r^2),
\end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle d\sigma = 0, \quad \text{and} \\
& \frac{1}{\omega_{d-n-1} r^{d-n+1}} \int_{\Sigma_r} \langle \sigma, X_j \rangle \langle \sigma, X_k \rangle d\sigma = \frac{\delta_{jk}}{d-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We define the *coaxial derivative* of φ at u by

$$\Delta_{\circ_u} \varphi = 2(d-n) \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{\omega_{d-n-1} r^{d-n-1}} \int_{\Sigma_r} \varphi(u + \sigma) d\sigma - \varphi(u)}{r^2}.$$

Thus, $\Delta_{\circ_u} = X_1^2 + \cdots + X_{d-n}^2$ where $\{X_1, \dots, X_{d-n}\}$ is any orthonormal basis of ν_u , and so Δ_{\circ_u} is a distribution of order two supported at u . Define $T_{\circ} : C_0^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$T_{\circ} \varphi = \int_M \Delta_{\circ_u} \varphi dA(u).$$

Then T_{\circ} is a distribution of order two supported on M , which we call the *coaxial layer* on M .

If $\operatorname{Re} s > 4$, then

$$(N_s * T_{\circ})(u) = T_{\circ}(\tau_{-u} N_s) = \int_M \Delta_{\circ_v} N_s(v - u) dA(v),$$

and so

$$B_{T_{\circ}}(s) = T_{\circ}(N_s * T_{\circ}) = \int_M \int_M \Delta_{\circ_u} \Delta_{\circ_v} N_s(v - u) dA(v) dA(u).$$

We will refer to $B_{T_{\circ}}$ as the *Brylinski beta function of a coaxial layer on M* , and will use the notation

$$B_{M_{\circ}} = B_{T_{\circ}}.$$

Remark 2.3. In calculations, it is convenient to regard N_s as a function of two variables:

$$N_s(u, v) = N_s(u - v).$$

3. THE ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF B_{M_\circ}

Theorem 3.1. *The function B_{M_\circ} admits an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane as a meromorphic function with only simple poles at $-n - j$, $j = -4, -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots$*

Proof. Observe first that if $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then

$$\Delta_{\circ_u}\varphi = (\Delta\varphi - \Delta_M\varphi + H\varphi)(u),$$

(see[11]) where Δ is the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^d , Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the Riemannian manifold M (see [2, p99]) and H is the mean curvature vector of M . Therefore

$$T_\circ\varphi = \int_M \Delta\varphi dA(u) + \int_M H\varphi dA(u),$$

because

$$\int_M \Delta_M\varphi dA(u) = 0,$$

by the divergence theorem (see [2, p149]). Therefore, if $\operatorname{Re} s > 4$, then

$$(N_s * T_\circ)(u) = T_\circ(\tau_{-u}N_s) = \int_M \Delta_v N_s(v - u) dA(v) + \int_M H_v N_s(v - u) dA(v),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} B_{T_\circ}(s) &= T_\circ(N_s * T_\circ) \\ &= \int_M \int_M \Delta_u \Delta_v N_s(v - u) dA(v) dA(u) + \int_M \int_M H_u H_v N_s(v - u) dA(v) dA(u) \quad (1) \\ &= B_1(s) + B_2(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(s) &= \int_M \int_M \Delta_u \Delta_v N_s(v - u) dA(v) dA(u), \quad \text{and} \\ B_2(s) &= \int_M \int_M H_u H_v N_s(v - u) dA(v) dA(u), \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\Delta_v H_u N_s(v - u) + H_v \Delta_u N_s(v - u) = 0.$$

For $v \in M$, let

$$\begin{aligned} B_M^v &= \int_M N_s(v - u) dA(u), \\ B_1^v &= \int_M \Delta_u \Delta_v N_s(v - u) dA(u), \quad \text{and} \\ B_2^v &= \int_M H_u H_v N_s(v - u) dA(u). \end{aligned}$$

By a translation of M , we may assume that $v = 0$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^v &= s(s-2+d)(s-2)(s-4+d) \int_M \left(\sum_{i=1}^d u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} dA(u) \\ &= s(s-2+d)(s-2)(s-4+d) B_M^v(s-4). \end{aligned}$$

Integration over M with respect to v gives

$$B_1(s) = s(s-2)(s-2+d)(s-4+d) B_M(s-4), \quad \operatorname{Re} s > 4.$$

It follows from [4, Theorem 3.3], that B_1 has an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane as a meromorphic function with possible simple poles at $4-n-k$, $k = 0, 2, 4, \dots$

We claim that B_2 may be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with simple poles at $-n-j$, $j = -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots$. It suffices to give the argument for B_2^v (integration over M gives the result for B_2).

Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be identically 1 on a neighborhood of v , and define

$$B_2^\psi(s) = \int_M H_u H_v N_s(u, v) \psi(u) dA(u).$$

Then B_2^ψ has the same principal part as B_2^v , so it suffices to prove our claim with B_2^v replaced by B_2^ψ .

By rotating M , we may assume that the tangent space to M at $v = 0$ is $\mathbb{R}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ (clearly this process does not affect B_2). Then, in a neighborhood of 0, M is the graph of a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-n}$ which vanishes to second order at 0. By making the neighborhood smaller, we may assume that $\|f(w)\| < \|w\|$. Choose ψ to have support in that neighborhood. Let $\varphi(w) = \psi(w, f(w))A(w)$, where $A(w)$ is the area-density of M (it may be expressed in terms of the partial derivatives of f).

Write

$$H_u = a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} + \dots + a_d \frac{\partial}{\partial u_d},$$

and

$$H_v = a_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial v_1} + \dots + a_d \frac{\partial}{\partial v_d},$$

where a_1, \dots, a_d are smooth functions defined on M .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_u H_v N_s(u, 0) &= -s \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i(0) a_i(u) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} \\ &\quad - s(s-2) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i(0) u_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d a_i(u) u_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^d u_i^2 \right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \\ &= -s (H_0 \cdot H_{(w, f(w))}) (\|w\|^2 + \|f(w)\|^2)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} \\ &\quad - s(s-2) (H_0 \cdot (w, f(w))) (H_{(w, f(w))} \cdot (w, f(w))) (\|w\|^2 + \|f(w)\|^2)^{\frac{s-4}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $X \cdot Y$ denotes the dot product of X and Y in \mathbb{R}^d .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} B_2^\psi(s) &= -s(s-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|w\|^s \left(1 + \frac{f(w)^2}{\|w\|^2}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \frac{(H_0 \cdot (w, f(w))) (H_{(w, f(w))} \cdot (w, f(w)))}{\|w\|^2} \varphi(w) dw \\ &\quad - s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|w\|^{s-2} \left(1 + \frac{f(w)^2}{\|w\|^2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (H_0 \cdot H_{(w, f(w))}) \varphi(w) dw \\ &= -s(s-2) \int_0^\infty r^{s+n-1} S_1(r, s) dr - s \int_0^\infty r^{s+n-3} S_2(r, s) dr, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(r, s) &= \int_{S^{n-1}(1)} \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \frac{(H_0 \cdot (w, f(w))) (H_{(w, f(w))} \cdot (w, f(w)))}{\|w\|^2} \varphi(w) d\sigma(w), \\ S_2(r, s) &= \int_{S^{n-1}(1)} \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (H_0 \cdot H_{(w, f(w))}) \varphi(w) d\sigma(w), \end{aligned}$$

and σ is the surface measure on $S^{n-1}(1)$. Note that $(H_0 \cdot (w, f(w)))$ and $(H_{(w, f(w))} \cdot (w, f(w)))$ vanish to order two at 0 because H is orthogonal to M .

Using the same argument as in the proof of [9, Theorem 3.1], it follows that B_2^v may be analytically continued to a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C} with simple poles at $-n-j$, $j = -2, 0, 2, 4, \dots$, and in particular, the residues of B_2 may be computed by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=2-n} B_2^v &= -s S_2(0, s), \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-n-j} B_2^v &= -s(s-2) \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j S_1}{\partial r^j}(0, -n-j) - s \frac{1}{j+2!} \frac{\partial^{j+2} S_2}{\partial r^{j+2}}(0, -n-j). \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from Equation (1). \square

4. CURVES IN \mathbb{R}^3

In this section, we assume $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth curve. We will compute $\text{Res}_{s=k} B_{M_o}$ for $k = 3, 1$, and -1 in terms of the curvature, torsion and their derivatives with respect to a unit speed parametrization.

Theorem 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=3} B_{M_o} &= 48 \text{Length}(M) \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} B_{M_o} &= -2 \int_M \kappa_0^2 dA \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_{M_o} &= \int_M \left(\frac{3}{4} \kappa_0^4 - \kappa_0^2 \tau_0^2 + \kappa_0 \kappa_2 \right) dA \end{aligned}$$

where κ_0 and τ_0 are the curvature and torsion of M respectively, and κ_n and τ_n are the n -th derivative of κ_0 and τ_0 with respect to a unit speed parametrization.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we compute the residues of $B_{M_0}^v$ for each $v \in M$; integration over M gives the stated result. Also, we may assume that $v = 0$, and locally M is the graph of a function $f = (f_1, f_2)$ which vanishes to second order at 0. By the proof of Theorem 3.1,

$$B_1^v(s) = (s+1)s(s-1)(s-2)B_M^v(s-4),$$

and by the proof of Theorem 3.3 of [4],

$$\text{Res}_{s=-1-j} B_M^v = \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j S}{\partial r^j}(0, -1-j),$$

where

$$S(r, s) = \sum_{w=\pm 1} \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi(rw),$$

for small r .

If we write

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(u_1) &= a_2 u_1^2 + a_3 u_1^3 + a_4 u_1^4 + a_5 u_1^5 + a_6 u_1^6 + a_7 u_1^7 + a_8 u_1^8 + O(u_1^9), \\ f_2(u_1) &= b_2 u_1^2 + b_3 u_1^3 + b_4 u_1^4 + b_5 u_1^5 + b_6 u_1^6 + b_7 u_1^7 + b_8 u_1^8 + O(u_1^9), \\ \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s}{2}} &= \left(1 + \frac{s f^2}{2 r^2} + \frac{s(s-2) f^4}{8 r^4} + \frac{s(s-2)(s-4) f^6}{48 r^6}\right) + O(r^8), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

substitute into the definition of $S(r, s)$, and perform the indicated differentiation (using the computer algebra package Maxima [10]), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_M^v &= 2, \\ \text{Res}_{s=-3} B_M^v &= b_2^2 + a_2^2, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-5} B_M^v &= \frac{24 b_2 b_4 + 16 b_3^2 - 21 b_2^4 - 42 a_2^2 b_2^2 + 24 a_2 a_4 + 16 a_3^2 - 21 a_2^4}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=3} B_1^v &= 48, \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} B_1^v &= 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_1^v &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=1} B_2^v &= -s S_2(0, s), \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1-j} B_2^v &= -s(s-2) \frac{1}{j!} \frac{\partial^j S_1}{\partial r^j}(0, -1-j) - s \frac{1}{j+2!} \frac{\partial^{j+2} S_2}{\partial r^{j+2}}(0, -1-j), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(r, s) &= \sum_{w=\pm 1} \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \frac{(H_0 \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{\|w\|^2} \frac{(H_{(rw, f(rw))} \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{\|w\|^2} \varphi(rw), \quad \text{and} \\ S_2(r, s) &= \sum_{w=\pm 1} \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (H_0 \cdot H_{(rw, f(rw))}) \varphi(rw), \end{aligned}$$

for small r , with

$$H_{(u_1, f(u_1))} = \frac{(-f_1' f_1'' - f_2' f_2'', f_2'^2 f_1'' - f_1' f_2' f_2'' + f_1'', f_1'^2 f_2'' - f_2' f_1' f_1'' + f_2'')}{(1 + f_1'^2 + f_2'^2)^2}.$$

If we write f_1 and f_2 as indicated in equation (2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-4}{2}} \frac{(H_0 \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{r^2} \frac{(H_{(rw, f(rw))} \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{r^2} \\ = & \left(1 + \frac{(s-4)f^2}{2r^2} + \frac{(s-4)(s-6)f^4}{8r^4} + \frac{(s-4)(s-6)(s-8)f^6}{48r^6}\right) \frac{(H_0 \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{r^2} \\ & \frac{(H_{(rw, f(rw))} \cdot (rw, f(rw)))}{r^2} + O(r^{12}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 + \frac{f(rw)^2}{r^2}\right)^{\frac{s-2}{2}} (H_0 \cdot H_{(rw, f(rw))}) \\ = & \left(1 + \frac{(s-2)f^2}{2r^2} + \frac{(s-2)(s-4)f^4}{8r^4} + \frac{(s-2)(s-4)(s-6)f^6}{48r^6}\right) (H_0 \cdot H_{(rw, f(rw))}) + O(r^{10}), \end{aligned}$$

substitute into the definition of $S_1(r, s)$ and $S_2(r, s)$ and perform the indicated differentiation (using the computer algebra package Maxima [10]), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=1} B_2^v &= -8b_2^2 - 8a_2^2, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_2^v &= 48b_2b_4 - 36b_2^4 - 72a_2^2b_2^2 + 48a_2a_4 - 36a_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=3} B_{M_o}^v &= 48, \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} B_{M_o}^v &= -8b_2^2 - 8a_2^2, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_{M_o}^v &= 48b_2b_4 - 36b_2^4 - 72a_2^2b_2^2 + 48a_2a_4 - 36a_2^4. \end{aligned}$$

At $u_1 = 0$, we compute (using Maxima)

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_0 &= \sqrt{4b_2^2 + 4a_2^2}, \\ \kappa_1 &= \frac{24b_2b_3 + 24a_2a_3}{2\sqrt{4b_2^2 + 4a_2^2}}, \\ \kappa_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{4b_2^2 + 4a_2^2}}{(b_2^2 + a_2^2)^2} \left[\begin{aligned} &((12b_2^3 + 12a_2^2b_2)b_4 + 9a_2^2b_3^2 - 18a_2a_3b_2b_3 - 12b_2^6 - 36a_2^2b_2^4) \\ &+ (12a_2a_4 + 9a_3^2 - 36a_2^4)b_2^2 + 12a_2^3a_4 - 12a_2^6 \end{aligned} \right], \\ \kappa_3 &= \frac{\sqrt{4b_2^2 + 4a_2^2}}{(b_2^2 + a_2^2)^3} \left[\begin{aligned} &((60b_2^5 + 120a_2^2b_2^3 + 60a_2^4b_2)b_5 + ((108a_2^2b_2^2 + 108a_2^4)b_3 - 108a_2a_3b_3^3 \\ &- 108a_2^3a_3b_2)b_4 - 81a_2^2b_2b_3^3 + (162a_2a_3b_2^2 - 81a_2^3a_3)b_3^2 + (-228b_2^7 - 684a_2^2b_2^5 \\ &+ (-108a_2a_4 - 81a_3^2 - 684a_2^4)b_2^3 + (-108a_2^3a_4 + 162a_2^2a_3^2 - 228a_2^6)b_2)b_3 \\ &- 228a_2a_3b_2^6 + (60a_2a_5 + 108a_3a_4 - 684a_2^3a_3)b_2^4 + (120a_2^3a_5 + 108a_2^2a_3a_4 \\ &- 81a_2a_3^3 - 684a_2^5a_3)b_2^2 + 60a_2^5a_5 - 228a_2^7a_3) \end{aligned} \right], \\ \tau_0 &= \frac{3a_2b_3 - 3a_3b_2}{b_2^2 + a_2^2}, \\ \tau_1 &= \frac{1}{(b_2^2 + a_2^2)^2} \left[\begin{aligned} &(12a_2b_2^2 + 12a_2^3)b_4 - 18a_2b_2b_3^2 + (18a_3b_2^2 - 18a_2^2a_3)b_3 \\ &- 12a_4b_2^3 + (18a_2a_3^2 - 12a_2^2a_4)b_2 \end{aligned} \right], \\ \tau_2 &= \frac{1}{(b_2^2 + a_2^2)^3} \left[\begin{aligned} &(60a_2b_2^4 + 120a_2^3b_2^2 + 60a_2^5)b_5 + ((-216a_2b_2^3 - 216a_2^3b_2)b_3 + 108a_3b_2^4 \\ &- 108a_2^4a_3)b_4 + (162a_2b_2^2 - 54a_2^3)b_3^3 + (486a_2^2a_3b_2 - 162a_3b_2^3)b_3^2 + (96a_2b_2^6 \\ &+ (108a_4 + 288a_2^3)b_2^4 + (288a_2^5 - 486a_2a_3^2)b_2^2 - 108a_2^4a_4 + 162a_2^3a_3^2 \\ &+ 96a_2^7)b_3 - 96a_3b_2^7 + (-60a_5 - 288a_2^2a_3)b_2^5 + (-120a_2^2a_5 + 216a_2a_3a_4 \\ &+ 54a_3^3 - 288a_2^4a_3)b_2^3 + (-60a_2^4a_5 + 216a_2^3a_3a_4 - 162a_2^2a_3^3 - 96a_2^6a_3)b_2 \end{aligned} \right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tau_3 = \frac{1}{(b_2^2 + a_2^2)^4} \left[\begin{aligned} &(360a_2b_2^6 + 1080a_2^3b_2^4 + 1080a_2^5b_2^2 + 360a_2^7)b_6 + ((-1440a_2b_2^5 - 2880a_2^3b_2^3 \\ &- 1440a_2^5b_2)b_3 + 720a_3b_2^6 + 720a_2^2a_3b_2^4 - 720a_2^4a_3b_2^2 - 720a_2^6a_3)b_5 + (-864a_2b_2^5 \\ &- 1728a_2^3b_2^3 - 864a_2^5b_2)b_4^2 + ((3888a_2b_2^4 + 2592a_2^3b_2^2 - 1296a_2^5)b_3^2 + (-2592a_3b_2^5 \\ &+ 5184a_2^2a_3b_2^3 + 7776a_2^4a_3b_2)b_3 + 1104a_2b_2^8 + (864a_4 + 4416a_2^3)b_2^6 + (864a_2^2a_4 \\ &- 3888a_2a_3^2 + 6624a_2^5)b_2^4 + (-864a_2^4a_4 - 2592a_2^3a_3^2 + 4416a_2^7)b_2^2 - 864a_2^6a_4 \\ &+ 1296a_2^5a_3^2 + 1104a_2^9)b_4 + (1944a_2^3b_2 - 1944a_2b_2^3)b_3^4 + (1944a_3b_2^4 - 11664a_2^2a_3b_2^2 \\ &+ 1944a_2^4a_3)b_3^3 + (-792a_2b_2^7 + (-1296a_4 - 2376a_2^3)b_2^5 + (2592a_2^2a_4 + 11664a_2a_3^2 \\ &- 2376a_2^5)b_2^3 + (3888a_2^4a_4 - 11664a_2^3a_3^2 - 792a_2^7)b_2)b_3^2 + (792a_3b_2^8 + (720a_5 \\ &+ 1584a_2^2a_3)b_2^6 + (720a_2^2a_5 - 7776a_2a_3a_4 - 1944a_3^3)b_2^4 + (-720a_2^4a_5 - 5184a_2^3a_3a_4 \\ &+ 11664a_2^2a_3^3 - 1584a_2^6a_3)b_2^2 - 720a_2^6a_5 + 2592a_2^5a_3a_4 - 1944a_2^4a_3^3 - 792a_2^8a_3)b_3 \\ &- 1104a_4b_2^9 + (-360a_6 - 4416a_2^2a_4 + 792a_2a_3^2)b_2^7 + (-1080a_2^2a_6 + 1440a_2a_3a_5 \\ &+ 864a_2a_4^2 + (1296a_3^2 - 6624a_2^4)a_4 + 2376a_2^3a_3^2)b_2^5 + (-1080a_2^4a_6 + 2880a_2^3a_3a_5 \\ &+ 1728a_2^3a_4^2 + (-2592a_2^2a_3^2 - 4416a_2^6)a_4 - 1944a_2a_4^4 + 2376a_2^5a_3^2)b_2^3 + (-360a_2^6a_6 \\ &+ 1440a_2^5a_3a_5 + 864a_2^5a_4^2 + (-3888a_2^4a_3^2 - 1104a_2^8)a_4 + 1944a_2^3a_3^4 + 792a_2^7a_3^2)b_2 \end{aligned} \right].$$

In order to re-express the residues in terms of the curvature, torsion and their derivatives, we use the concept of *weight* (see [9, Theorem 5.1]).

Let us consider the weights of the various functionals at hand.

- (1) The coefficients a_i and b_i have weight $i - 1$.
- (2) The functional $M \mapsto \text{Res}_{s=-k} B_{M_0}^v$ has weight $k + 3$.
- (3) The invariants $\kappa_n(0)$ and $\tau_n(0)$ (in the u_1 -coordinate system) have weight $n + 1$.

The following table lists the monomials in the invariants according to weight.

| Weight | Monomial |
|--------|--|
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | κ_0, τ_0 |
| 2 | $\kappa_0^2, \kappa_1, \tau_0^2, \tau_1, \kappa_0\tau_0$ |
| 4 | $\kappa_0^4, \kappa_1^2, \kappa_0\kappa_2, \kappa_0^2\kappa_1, \kappa_3, \tau_0^4, \tau_1^2, \tau_0\tau_2, \tau_0^2\tau_1, \tau_2\kappa_0, \tau_1\kappa_0^2, \tau_1\kappa_1, \kappa_2\tau_0, \kappa_1\tau_0^2, \kappa_0^2\tau_0^2, \tau_0\kappa_0^3, \kappa_0\tau_0^3, \tau_3$ |

From this we conclude that $\text{Res}_{s=3} B_{M_0}^v$ is independent of M , $\text{Res}_{s=1} B_{M_0}^v$ is a linear combination of $\kappa_0^2, \kappa_1, \tau_0^2, \tau_1, \kappa_0\tau_0$, and $\text{Res}_{s=-1} B_{M_0}^v$ is a linear combination of $\kappa_0^4, \kappa_1^2, \kappa_0\kappa_2, \kappa_0^2\kappa_1, \kappa_3, \tau_0^4, \tau_1^2, \tau_0\tau_2, \tau_0^2\tau_1, \tau_2\kappa_0, \tau_1\kappa_0^2, \tau_1\kappa_1, \kappa_2\tau_0, \kappa_1\tau_0^2, \kappa_0^2\tau_0^2, \tau_0\kappa_0^3, \kappa_0\tau_0^3, \tau_3$.

Using Macaulay2 [5], we can find the coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_M &= 2 \text{Length}(M), \\ \text{Res}_{s=-3} B_M &= \int_M \frac{1}{4} \kappa_0^2 dA, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-5} B_M &= \int_M \left(\frac{3}{64} \kappa_0^4 - \frac{1}{72} \kappa_0^2 \tau_0^2 + \frac{1}{8} \kappa_0 \kappa_2 + \frac{1}{9} \kappa_1^2 \right) dA. \end{aligned}$$

The residues of $B_M(s)$ for $s = -1, -3, -5$ were computed by Brylinski in [1] (see also [7]). Here, we took the opportunity to correct the coefficient of $\text{Res}_{s=-5} B_M$ for knots in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=3} B_1 &= 48 \text{Length}(M), \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} B_1 &= 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{s=3} B_2 &= 0, \\ \text{Res}_{s=1} B_2 &= -2 \int_M \kappa_0^2 dA, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Res}_{s=-1} B_2 &= \int_M \left(\frac{3}{4} \kappa_0^4 - \kappa_0^2 \tau_0^2 + \kappa_0 \kappa_2 \right) dA. \end{aligned}$$

So the result follows from Equation (1). □

REFERENCES

1. Jean-Luc Brylinski, *The beta function of a knot*, Internat. J. Math. **10** (1999), no. 4, 415–423. MR 1697615 (2000f:58026)
2. Isaac Chavel, *Riemannian geometry*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, A modern introduction. MR 2229062
3. Michael H. Freedman, Zheng-Xu He, and Zhenghan Wang, *Möbius energy of knots and unknots*, Ann. of Math. (2) **139** (1994), no. 1, 1–50. MR 1259363 (94j:58038)
4. E. J. Fuller and M. K. Vemuri, *The Brylinski beta function of a surface*, Geom. Dedicata **179** (2015), 153–160. MR 3424661
5. Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman, *Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, available at <http://www2.macaulay2.com>.
6. Jun O’Hara, *Energy of a knot*, Topology **30** (1991), no. 2, 241–247. MR 1098918 (92c:58017)
7. Jun O’Hara and Gil Solanes, *Regularized Riesz energies of submanifolds*, Math. Nachr. **291** (2018), no. 8-9, 1356–1373. MR 3817322
8. ———, *Erratum to the paper “Regularized Riesz energies of submanifolds” (Published in Math. Nachr. 291 (2018), no. 8–9, 1356–1373)*, Math. Nachr. **293** (2020), no. 5, 1014–1019. MR 4100552
9. Pooja Rani and M. K. Vemuri, *The Brylinski beta function of a double layer*, Differential Geom. Appl. **92** (2024), Paper No. 102078, 12. MR 4669461
10. William Schelter, *Maxima, a computer algebra system*, available at <https://maxima.sourceforge.io>.
11. user99914, *Laplacian of a function restricted to a submanifold*, Mathematics Stack Exchange, URL:<https://math.stackexchange.com/q/1802632> (version: 2016-05-27).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT (BHU), VARANASI 221 005.