

Holography for Confined and Deformed Theories: TsT-Generated Solutions in type IIB Supergravity

Federico Castellani^{†1} and Carlos Nunez^{*2}

[†]INFN, Sezione di Firenze and Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Firenze,
Via G. Sansone 1, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino (Firenze), Italy.

^{*} Department of Physics, Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

Abstract

In this paper, we present a series of solutions of type IIB Supergravity, obtained through the TsT deformation of a seed background that is holographically dual to $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills theory compactified on a circle (with a twist). We explore various holographic observables, focusing on disentangling the contributions of confinement, marginal deformations, and their interplay. Additionally, we provide a detailed analysis of how certain observables are influenced by the dynamics of the Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes arising from the circle compactification. Our results offer an improved understanding of the connections between the type IIB deformed backgrounds and the dual QFTs.

¹federico.castellani@unifi.it

²c.nunez@swansea.ac.uk

Contents

1	Introduction and general idea of this work	2
1.1	Outline of this paper	4
2	Geometry	5
2.1	The (marginal) beta-deformation	6
2.2	Dipole deformation	9
2.3	Non-commutative deformation	11
3	Field theory and observables	15
3.1	Comments on the dual QFTs	15
3.2	Observables	29
4	Conclusions and future lines of study	43
A	TsT: details of the generating technique	45
A.1	Abelian T-duality	46
A.2	TsT transformation on $AdS_5 \times S^5$	46
A.3	Details on the β -transformed RR forms in $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$	48

1 Introduction and general idea of this work

The *AdS/CFT* conjecture and its refinements [1, 2, 3] have led to applications of gauge-string duality to the study of Renormalization Group (RG) flows in quantum field theories (QFTs). This duality have been applied to a wide variety of systems, describing the RG flows of maximally supersymmetric (SUSY) Yang-Mills theories in different dimensions [4, 5, 6, 7].

One extension of the gauge-string duality is via wrapped brane constructions. The idea is to begin with a higher-dimensional brane system (typically D4, D5, or D6 branes) and wrap these branes on a shrinking q -cycle Σ_q , often while preserving some amount of supersymmetry to ensure the stability of the supergravity background. The resulting system is proposed to be dual to the RG flow between a higher-dimensional QFT and a lower-dimensional, often minimally supersymmetric, QFT in $(1 + 1)$, $(2 + 1)$, or $(3 + 1)$ dimensions. The dimensional reduction is characterised by the difference between the brane and wrapped cycle dimensionalities, $(p + 1 - q)$, the amount of SUSY preserved, etc. Numerous examples of such constructions have been developed (see early works such as [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]). For comprehensive reviews, see [27, 28, 29]. These developments have yielded connections between gauge-string duality and QFT observables, including the addition of dynamical quarks (fundamental fields of the gauge group), as seen in works such as [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. For more detailed reviews, see [42, 43].

Despite the significant progress, two key challenges afflict wrapped brane systems:

- UV Behavior: the UV limit of these systems (usually $r \rightarrow \infty$ in the dual string description) often describes a higher-dimensional dynamics that is not a well-defined QFT and requires a string-theoretic UV completion. The non-*AdS* asymptotics of these backgrounds complicate the application of holographic renormalization techniques [44, 45], limiting the computational power of the dual descriptions.
- KK-Modes Interactions: The wrapping of D_p branes on a Σ_q cycle corresponds to compactifying a maximally SUSY $(p + 1)$ -dimensional QFT on Σ_q . This introduces an infinite tower of massive Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes that interact with the massless particles (gauge fields, scalars, and fermions), whose dynamics are typically of primary interest. In the supergravity approximation, there is no hierarchy between the KK-mode masses and the QFT's strong coupling scale, making it challenging to disentangle the effects of these modes from the low-energy dynamics. A clean separation would require working in the string sigma model on the background (see [46] for an example).

In this paper, we address these two issues above. To tackle the first, we focus on a system of D3 branes wrapped on a supersymmetric (SUSY) circle. The presence of some preserved supersymmetry guarantees the stability of the background. Importantly, the asymptotic geometry is

AdS_5 . This offers a significant advantage over other wrapped brane systems by allowing the use of well-developed Holographic Renormalisation. The specific background we use was introduced by Anabalón and Ross [47], with similar backgrounds discussed in [48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55]. Some of these solutions have already been explored within the context of holography.

Regarding the second issue—the KK-mode interaction with the massless sector—we know that achieving a hierarchical separation between the strong coupling scale and the mass of the KK modes is only possible at finite α' , which introduces numerous technical challenges. Instead, we adopt a more practical and modest approach aimed at identifying whether an observable computed via the string dual receives contributions from the KK modes. The paper [56] suggested a method to achieve this by comparing an observable calculated in the original (seed) background with the same observable in a “deformed” background. The deformed background is obtained by performing a TsT transformation (T-duality, shift of coordinates, and T-duality) on the seed geometry.

The TsT transformation was proposed by Lunin and Maldacena [57] as a way of producing backgrounds dual to marginal deformations of SCFTs. The paper [56] used the fact that the KK-modes are typically charged under internal symmetries of the QFT, associated with non-R-isometries of the dual background.

If the calculation of the observable differs when performed in the seed and the deformed background, we argue that such observable receives contribution from the KK-modes.

In our case, we use the Anabalón-Ross background [47] as the seed solution, which can be understood as a vacuum expectation value (VEV) deformation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ SYM. In the IR, this theory flows to a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Chern-Simons theory with level N . A detailed exploration of the associated QFT has been carried out in [58] and [59].

We perform two distinct TsT transformations to generate what we term the “ β -deformed”¹ and “dipole-deformed” backgrounds. These new backgrounds help disentangle the effects of KK modes arising from the twisted compactification of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM on a circle. The backgrounds are characterized by two parameters, Q and γ . The parameter Q is associated with the circle compactification, the confinement of the theory, and the appearance of a mass gap. The parameter γ , on the other hand, originates from the TsT transformation along certain isometries of the background. In the QFT, this is related to the introduction of a \star -product in the interactions, modifying how KK modes interact with the low-energy fields (gauge fields, fermions, and scalars) and among themselves.

Observables computed holographically may or may not depend on these parameters, although independence is rare and non-generic. Observables that do depend on both Q and γ can be interpreted as being affected by both confinement and marginal deformations. Please, notice that the first (confinement) is a low energy effect, whilst the marginal deformation occurs close to the UV fixed point.

¹We refer to this case also as “beta-deformed” interchangeably.

This paper is long and detailed. We aim to present the material pedagogically, providing extensive explanations and calculations to benefit researchers working in this area. Below, we outline the contents of the paper.

1.1 Outline of this paper

The paper is divided in two main parts: Geometry is the first part, whilst the second is Quantum Field Theory and Observables.

Section 2 (on the geometries) presents in detail the seed background written by Anabalón and Ross. After that, the new solutions obtained by the application of TsT are given. One of the solutions uses the $U(1)$'s (the torus isometries) to be inside the S^5 (they are R-symmetries), we call this the β -deformed solution. The second solution uses a $U(1)$ inside the five sphere and the second is chosen to be that on which the UV-QFT is compactified. We call this “dipole background” following the notation of [60]. To close the section we present the solutions dual to the non-commutative version of the QFT and a last solution dual to a dipole-version of the non-commutative background. We do not study further the last two new solutions. All backgrounds are presented with details, quantised charges are discussed and geometric invariants written. Details of the calculations are fully spelled in Appendix A.

Section 3 presents details about the QFT dual to the first two new backgrounds above mentioned. We start with a careful account of the perturbative analysis of the QFT, once it is compactified on S^1 , with an R-symmetry twist. We discuss the \star -product in the beta-deformed and in the dipole deformed QFTs. We clarify why any observable involving the KK-modes part of the dynamics is likely to be weighted by a factor of the parameter γ . We study Coulomb branches before and after the deformations and comment briefly about Chern-Simons terms from the holographic viewpoint. In this same section we calculate a number of observable quantities that could have not been obtained were not for the holographic dual solution. Among these observables, we discuss: Wilson, 't Hooft and Polyakov loops, making the point that confinement of electric quarks works in our systems and the breaking of a \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry is at work. The Entanglement Entropy is calculated and a discussion is made around the behaviour in the different backgrounds. The observables above make a good case for the confining properties of the background, as we explain.

An interesting quantity, called the flow central charge is computed. This can be argued to be indicative of the number of degrees of freedom in our QFT. This quantity is energy-dependent and in our dual QFTs, that represent a flow across dimensions, interpolates between zero degrees of freedom at low energy (indicating a gapped system) and the degrees of freedom corresponding to the UV CFT. We analyse the dipole-deformed QFT with some care, for which the number of degrees of freedom diverges in the UV, suggesting a non-local, non-field theoretical description. Semiclassical strings are carefully studied, showing that some of these heavy operators in the QFT feel the effects of both confinement and marginal deformations.

Section 4 gives some brief conclusions and closing comments, proposing some possible future work.

2 Geometry

Let us start this section briefly reviewing the relevant features of a set of smooth type II backgrounds presented in *e.g.* [47, 58, 61, 62]. These are type IIB supergravity solutions constructed by a lifting procedure of the five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity solution of [47] to different type II backgrounds of the form

$$\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{M}^5, \quad (2.1)$$

the hats refer to deformations of both the AdS_5 and the five-dimensional internal manifold M^5 . More precisely, the deformation algorithm can be described as follows.

Let us start by performing a compactification on S^1 circle of radius R of one of the Poincaré directions of AdS_5 , let us call it ϕ . We deform further the AdS_5 part of the background by introducing a cigar-like geometry in the subspace spanned by the compact ϕ and the holographic radial direction r . In doing so, we introduce a warping function $f(r)$ at whose zero the S^1_ϕ circle smoothly shrinks to zero size (in the case in which $f(r)$ displays multiple positive roots, the tip of the cigar is placed at the bigger root). Finally, introducing a finely-tuned fibration for the internal manifold M^5 over the S^1_ϕ circle direction, we can ask for the preservation of four supercharges for the type IIB supergravity solution. We refer to [47, 61, 62] for a more detailed analysis of this family of new backgrounds and generalizations to massive IIA and eleven dimensional supergravity solutions.

In this work, we focus mainly on the case of the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background, while we leave to future work [63] an extension to the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{T}^{1,1}$ solution [61, 62].

Following the steps of the algorithm sketched above, we can modify the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution to obtain a metric for the deformed background that reads [47]²

$$ds_{10}^2 = r^2(-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + f(r)d\phi^2) + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + \mu_i^2 (d\phi_i + \mathcal{A})^2, \quad (2.2)$$

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{Q^6}{r^6}, \quad \mathcal{A} = Q^3 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right) d\phi.$$

$$F_5 = (1 + \star_{10})G_5, \quad G_5 = -4r^3 dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr \wedge d\phi - 2Q^3 J_2 \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$J_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i d\mu_i \wedge (d\phi_i + \mathcal{A}).$$

²Notice that in general the warping function is defined as $f(r) = 1 - \frac{\mu^2}{r^4} - \frac{Q^6}{r^6}$, but only the solution with $\mu = 0$ is SUSY preserving. We focus mostly on the $\mu = 0$ case in what follows. We also set $g_s = \alpha' = 1$.

For a lighter notation, we have fixed the AdS radius $l = (4\pi g_s N)^{1/4} = 1$, and we have defined

$$\mu_1 = \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \quad \mu_2 = \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \quad \mu_3 = \cos \theta, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i^2 = 1. \quad (2.4)$$

The holographic radial direction r ranges between its minimal value at $r = Q$, namely the zero of the warp factor $f(r)$, and the conformal boundary at $r \rightarrow \infty$. The compact coordinate ϕ is set to be periodic with period

$$\phi \sim \phi + 2\pi R, \quad R = \left. \frac{2}{r^2 f'(r)} \right|_Q = \frac{1}{3Q}, \quad (2.5)$$

in order to avoid conical singularities at the tip of the cigar. The range of the other angles is,

$$\theta \in [0, \pi/2], \quad \varphi \in [0, \pi/2], \quad \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3 \in [0, 2\pi]. \quad (2.6)$$

Notice that in eq.(2.2) the five-sphere is written in such a way that all the three $U(1)$ isometric directions ϕ_i are uniformly fibered over the compactification S^1_ϕ circle, through the one form \mathcal{A} . Note also that eq.(2.5) sets a precise relation between the compactification circle radius R and the magnitude of the gauge field Q .

The five-form in eq.(2.3) is sourced by a stack of D3-branes. The quantization condition for the flux is (restoring momentarily the parameters g_s, α'),

$$Q_{D3} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4 g_s \alpha'^2} \int \star_{10} G_5 = \frac{l^4}{4\pi g_s \alpha'^2} = N, \quad (2.7)$$

We close here the presentation of the seed-background in eqs.(2.2)-(2.3). In what follows, we apply different TsT transformations, generating new backgrounds.

2.1 The (marginal) beta-deformation

In this subsection we follow [57] and perform a so-called β -transformation of the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background presented in eqs.(2.2)-(2.3). The transformation, a solution generating technique, can be schematically summarized by the following steps:

- Identify an appropriate $U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry inside the isometry group of a string theory background. In particular, taking two directions, say Θ_1 and Θ_2 , the two $U(1)$ symmetries can be seen as acting as shifts on these two coordinates;
- Perform a T-duality transformation along the first circle, *e.g.* $U(1)_{\Theta_1}$;
- Implement a shift in the second $U(1)_{\Theta_2}$ isometry direction, $\Theta_2 \rightarrow \Theta_2 + \gamma \Theta_1$, with γ a real number parametrizing the transformation;

- T -dualize back along $U(1)_{\Theta_1}$.

It is custom to refer to the the latter background generating procedure also as a TsT transformation. In Appendix A.2, a detailed computation of the original Lunin-Maldacena TsT-transformation in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is provided.

Let us consider the deformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ metric in eq. (2.2) and rewrite the internal \widehat{S}^5 as follows

$$ds_{S^5}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + \mu_1^2 (D\psi - d\varphi_1)^2 + \mu_2^2 (D\psi + d\varphi_1 + d\varphi_2)^2 + \mu_3^2 (D\psi - d\varphi_2)^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where we have introduced a new basis for the three $U(1)$ isometry directions given by

$$\phi_1 = \psi - \varphi_1, \quad \phi_2 = \psi + \varphi_1 + \varphi_2, \quad \phi_3 = \psi - \varphi_2, \quad (2.9)$$

and subsequently defined

$$D\psi = d\psi + \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.10)$$

Referring to [57], we express eq.(2.8) to easily recognize a two-dimensional torus subspace, realising the $U(1) \times U(1)$ isometry needed for the TsT transformation. In particular, choosing the two $U(1)$ acting as shifts along the φ_1 and φ_2 directions, it is convenient to rewrite the five-sphere metric (2.8) in the form

$$ds_{S^5}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} D\psi^2 + (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) \left(D\tilde{\varphi}_1 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \right)^2 + \frac{g_0}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2^2, \quad (2.11)$$

where the following objects have been introduced

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 + \mu_1^2 \mu_3^2 + \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2, \\ D\tilde{\varphi}_1 &= d\varphi_1 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) D\psi = D\varphi_1 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) \mathcal{A}, \\ D\tilde{\varphi}_2 &= d\varphi_2 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_2^2 \mu_1^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) D\psi = D\varphi_2 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_2^2 \mu_1^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Following the prescription summarised above, we can perform the transformation along φ_1 and φ_2 in eq.(2.11), providing a new type IIB supergravity solution, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= r^2 (-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 + f(r) d\phi^2) + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + ds_{S^5}^2, \\ ds_{S^5}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} D\psi^2 + G(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) \left(D\tilde{\varphi}_1 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \right)^2 + \frac{g_0 G}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2^2, \\ B &= \gamma g_0 G D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2, \quad e^{2\Phi} = G, \\ F_3 &= \frac{\gamma}{G} i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \star_{10\beta} G_5, \quad F_5 = (1 + \star_{10\beta}) G_5, \quad F_7 = G_5 \wedge B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here the G factor has been defined as

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2 g_0, \quad (2.14)$$

and the $\star_{10\beta}$ symbol represents the Hodge-dual respect with the deformed metric in eq.(2.13). Moreover, notice that the G_5 form is still given as in eq.(2.3). Since the AdS part of the background is not involved in the TsT transformation, then the asymptotic behavior of the solution is unchanged respect with the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ solution (2.2). We refer to Appendix A for more details on the derivation of (2.13).

We can verify that the β -deformation does not modify the quantization flux condition (2.7), once we involve the Page five-form flux [34],[64], namely ³

$$Q'_{D3} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} G_5 + B \wedge F_3 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} G_5 (1 + \gamma^2 g_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10} G_5 = N, \quad (2.15)$$

where we have taken the cycle Σ_5 as spanned by the deformed five sphere directions.

Moreover, we can verify if the F_3 flux is supported by the presence of $D5$ -branes, generated in the deformed background. To do that, let us evaluate the following charge

$$Q'_{D5} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Sigma_3} F_3 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\gamma}{G} \int_{\Sigma_3} i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \star_{10\beta} G_5 = \frac{\gamma}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10} G_5 = \gamma N, \quad (2.16)$$

with $\Sigma_3 = [\theta, \varphi, \psi]$. We observe that in the special case in which

$$\gamma = \frac{m}{n}, \quad N = n\kappa, \quad m, n, \kappa \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2.17)$$

we have that eq.(2.16) provides a charge quantization condition for a new stack of $N_{D5} = m\kappa$ $D5$ -branes. In what follows, we come back to this interesting feature. On the other hand, we can check that the present transformation does not introduce additional $D1$ -branes sourcing the F_3 and F_7 fluxes, for any γ values. Indeed, we have

$$Q'_{D1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} \star_{10\beta} F_3 + B \wedge G_5 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} B \wedge G_5 - F_7 = 0, \quad (2.18)$$

for every seven cycle Σ_7 supporting the relevant fluxes involved above.

Notice that, expressing back the deformed solution (2.13) in terms of the angles ϕ_i basis in (2.9) it is possible to check that (2.13) is in agreement with the ones found in [57] and [65].⁴

For the present β -transformed background in eq.(2.13), we have been able to verify on Mathematica the Bianchi identity for the B field as well as the Maxwell equations for F_3, F_5 . On the other hand, the dilaton and Einstein equations are computationally too demanding. We expect them to work well.

³Here we are back to set $g_s = \alpha' = 1$.

⁴In [65] authors consider a consistent truncation of the TsT transformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ containing a graviphoton gauge field A . In the IIB supergravity background the latter is identified as the gauge field associated the ψ shift isometry. Then the ten-dimensional metric is obtained by the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ by replacing $d\phi_i \rightarrow d\phi_i + A$.

2.2 Dipole deformation

In this subsection, we perform an alternative TsT transformation on the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background of eq.(2.2). We choose the $U(1) \times U(1)$ isometries to be an internal S^5 angle and the compact ϕ direction. Since the SUSY spinor depends on the ϕ -direction, the T-duality along it breaks SUSY. We call this a dipole-deformation, following the notation in [60].

In order to generate the new background, it is convenient to write the metric of the five-sphere \widehat{S}^5 in eq.(2.2) as a (deformed) $U(1)$ fibration over $\mathbb{C}P^2$. With this parametrisation, the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ metric reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + r^2 f(r) d\phi^2 + (\mathcal{D}\varphi + \mathcal{A})^2, \\ ds_8^2 &= r^2(-dt^2 + dx_1^2 + dx_2^2) + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2, \quad \mathcal{D}\varphi = d\varphi + \eta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where the $ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2$ is the Fubini-Study metric [66, 67]

$$ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2 = d\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \alpha [\cos^2 \alpha (d\psi - \cos \theta_1 d\theta_2) + d\theta_1^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1 d\theta_2^2], \quad (2.20)$$

along with the Kähler potential

$$\eta = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \alpha (d\psi - \cos \theta_1 d\theta_2). \quad (2.21)$$

Here the one-form \mathcal{A} is still given as in eq.(2.2). Using the parametrisation of eq.(2.20), the forms in eq.(2.3) can be recast as,

$$\begin{aligned} F_5 &= (1 + \star_{10}) G_5, \\ G_5 &= -4r^3 dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr \wedge d\phi - 2Q^3 J_2 \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \quad J_2 = \frac{1}{2} d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

We proceed with the Lunin-Maldacena TsT deformation along the directions $\Theta_1 = \varphi$ and $\Theta_2 = \phi$, obtaining the new type IIB supergravity solution,

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + Gr^2 f(r) d\phi^2 + G (\mathcal{D}\varphi + \mathcal{A})^2, \\ B &= \gamma r^2 f(r) G \mathcal{D}\varphi \wedge d\phi, \quad e^{2\Phi} = G, \\ F_5 &= (1 + \star_{10\beta}) G_5, \quad F_3 = \frac{\gamma}{G} i_\phi i_\varphi \star_{10\beta} G_5, \quad F_7 = G_5 \wedge B. \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

We have defined

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2 r^2 f(r). \quad (2.24)$$

As above, the $\star_{10\beta}$ symbol refers to the Hodge-dual operator of the β -deformed metric in eq.(2.23) and the five-form G_5 is still written in terms of the original solution as in eq.(2.22).

The 2-form potential C_2 and the associated F_3 can be written as,

$$C_2 = -\gamma \frac{Q^3}{r^2} J_2, \quad F_3 = dC_2 = 2\gamma \frac{Q^3}{r^3} dr \wedge J_2. \quad (2.25)$$

The quantization of Page fluxes follows closely the observations in Subsection 2.1. Indeed, using the expressions for the fluxes in eq.(2.23), we have

$$Q'_{D3} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} G_5 + B \wedge F_3 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} G_5 (1 + \gamma^2 r^2 f(r)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \star_{10} G_5 = N, \quad (2.26)$$

with $\Sigma_5 = [\mathbb{C}P^2, \varphi]$. For D1 branes we find,

$$Q'_{D1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} \star_{10\beta} F_3 + B \wedge G_5 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} B \wedge G_5 - F_7 = 0. \quad (2.27)$$

We do not impose a charge quantization condition for D5-branes, since F_3 has no magnetic components on a compact cycle, we conclude that in the present dipole deformation, we do not have D5-branes in the background (also for rational γ).

Finally, let us analyze the NS5-brane charge by considering a three cycle

$$Q'_{NS5} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{M_3} H_3. \quad (2.28)$$

Since H_3 has 'legs' only on non-compact cycles, we do not impose the quantizations condition.

For the background in eqs.(2.23)-(2.24), we checked with Mathematica the Bianchi identities for H_3 , F_3 and F_5 , as well as the Maxwell, dilaton and Einstein equations. We also verified that the seven and three forms are self dual respect with the Hodge conjugation $\star_{10\beta}$.

Let us present the results for geometric invariants. The Ricci scalar for the metric in eq. (2.23) reads

$$R = \frac{2\gamma^2 (Q^{12} (26\gamma^2 r^2 + 28) + Q^6 (2r^6 - 34\gamma^2 r^8) + r^{12} (8\gamma^2 r^2 + 15))}{(-\gamma^2 Q^6 r + \gamma^2 r^7 + r^5)^2}, \quad (2.29)$$

which in the IR ($r \rightarrow Q$) and UV ($r \rightarrow \infty$) displays the following asymptotic behaviour,

$$R|_{r=Q} = 90Q^2\gamma^2, \quad R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 16 - \frac{2}{\gamma^2 r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \quad (2.30)$$

This suggests the absence of singularities for the background at the two ends of the geometry ($r = Q$), ($r \rightarrow \infty$), and also along the radial coordinate. Let us present the asymptotic form of other geometric invariants,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}|_{r=Q} &= 36 (81\gamma^4 Q^4 - 2\gamma^2 Q^2 + 6), \quad R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 176 - \frac{96}{\gamma^2 r^2} + O(r^{-3}), \\ R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r=Q} &= 36 (99\gamma^4 Q^4 + 40\gamma^2 Q^2 + 14), \quad R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 232 - \frac{248}{\gamma^2 r^2} + O(r^{-3}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

The above invariants in Einstein frame instead have asymptotics

$$R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{5}{2\sqrt{\gamma r}} + O\left(r^{-\frac{5}{2}}\right), \quad (2.32)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{821}{4\gamma r} + O\left(r^{-3}\right), \quad (2.33)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{4057}{16\gamma r} + O\left(r^{-3}\right). \quad (2.34)$$

Also in this frame we have that the curvature scalars, being in particular vanishing, are regular in the UV limit.

Let us conclude by commenting on the UV asymptotic behavior of the background metric in eq.(2.23). Notice from eq.(2.24) that in the large r limit, the G factor scales as

$$G|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{1}{\gamma^2 r^2}, \quad (2.35)$$

from which, we have that the spacetime described by the metric in eq.(2.23) is no longer asymptotically AdS_5 .

2.3 Non-commutative deformation

The next case that we are interested in is the transformation of the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ in eq. (2.2) involving a TsT performed along two Minkowski directions. The latter will lead to a type IIB supergravity solution dual to a *non commutative* gauge theory [68]. A simple motivation for this argument can be given as follows [57, 69]. As we have observed in the previous examples, the TsT procedure introduces for the deformed solution a B field along the two $U(1)_{\Theta_i}$ directions (see *e.g.* eqs. (2.13) and (2.23)). Hence, in this case, we expect a B -field extending along the AdS directions of the deformed background. Then, the presence of the latter intuitively yields a non-commutativity (anti-symmetric) coupling modifying the effective metric for an open string theory as

$$g^{ij} \rightarrow G^{ij} + \theta^{ij}, \quad G^{ij} = \left((g + B)^{-1}\right)^{(ij)}, \quad \theta^{ij} = \left((g + B)^{-1}\right)^{[ij]}. \quad (2.36)$$

In what follows, we focus on two different possible non-commutative β transformations of $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$. First, we deform choosing the TsT directions, Θ_i , as two Minkowski coordinates, say x_1 and x_2 . In the second case, we perform the TsT along the shrinking circle ϕ and the x_1 direction.

2.3.1 First non-commutative solution

Let us start by expressing the metric in eq.(2.2) in the following useful way

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + r^2(dx_1^2 + dx_2^2), \\ ds_8^2 &= r^2(-dt^2 + f(r)d\phi^2) + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + \mu_i^2 (d\phi_i + \mathcal{A})^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

where the function $f(r)$ and the one-form \mathcal{A} are given as in eqs.(2.2). Now, having the metric in the form of (2.37), we can identify (x_1, x_2) as the two torus subspace along with to carry out the TsT transformation. Hence, let us perform the first T-duality along the x_1 direction, followed by the shift

$$x_2 \rightarrow x_2 + \gamma x_1, \quad (2.38)$$

and then T-dualize back on x_1 . This process returns a deformed solution of the form

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + Gr^2 (dx_1^2 + dx_2^2), \\ B &= \gamma r^4 G dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \quad e^{2\Phi} = G, \\ F_5 &= (1 + \star_{10\beta}) G G_5, \quad F_3 = \gamma i_{x_2} i_{x_1} G_5, \quad F_7 = \star_{10\beta} G_5 \wedge B, \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

where, here we have defined the G -factor as

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2 r^4. \quad (2.40)$$

Moreover the Hodge-dual operator $\star_{10\beta}$ refers to the TsT-transformed metric in eq.(2.39), while the five-form G_5 is given as in eq.(2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} G_5 &= -4r^3 dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr \wedge d\phi - 2Q^3 J_2 \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \\ J_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i d\mu_i \wedge (d\phi_i + \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

In analogy with what has been done before, here we provide the charge quantization conditions for the possible emerging D_p -branes. In particular these read⁵

$$Q'_{D3} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} G G_5 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10} G_5 = N, \quad (2.42)$$

where $\Sigma_5 = [\theta, \varphi, \phi_i]$, and

$$Q'_{D1} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} \star_{10\beta} F_3 + B \wedge \star_{10\beta} G_5 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^6} \int_{\Sigma_7} B \wedge \star_{10\beta} G_5 - F_7 = 0. \quad (2.43)$$

Here we have $Q'_{D5} = 0$, as F_3 is electric. An analogous argument can be given regarding the NS5-brane charge: as there is no a compact three cycle supporting H_3 (see the expression for B in eq.(2.39)), we can conclude that $Q'_{NS5} = 0$.

In order to analyze the smoothness properties of the background in eq. (2.39), let us show the expression for the Ricci scalar

$$R = \frac{8\gamma^2 (Q^6 (4\gamma^2 r^4 - 3) + 2\gamma^2 r^{10} + 9r^6)}{r^2 (\gamma^2 r^4 + 1)^2}, \quad (2.44)$$

⁵Notice that in this case, there is not a $B \wedge F_3$ term in eq.(2.42) since both B and F_3 extend along non-compact directions.

which is regular at all r finite values. The asymptotic IR and UV limits of the latter are given by

$$R|_{r=Q} = \frac{48\gamma^2 Q^4}{\gamma^2 Q^4 + 1}, \quad R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 16 + O(r^{-3}). \quad (2.45)$$

Below we display also other curvature invariants, such as the contractions of two Ricci tensors and the Kretschmann scalar evaluated at the minimal radius $r = Q$ and in the boundary limit. In particular these read respectively

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}|_{r=Q} &= \frac{24(17\gamma^4 Q^8 + 2\gamma^2 Q^4 + 9)}{(\gamma^2 Q^4 + 1)^2}, \quad R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 96 + O(r^{-3}), \\ R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r=Q} &= \frac{72(7\gamma^4 Q^8 + 6\gamma^2 Q^4 + 7)}{(\gamma^2 Q^4 + 1)^2}, \quad R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 80 + O(r^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

The invariants in Einstein frame have asymptotics

$$R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\gamma}r} + O(r^{-3}), \quad (2.47)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{116}{\gamma r^2} + O(r^{-3}), \quad (2.48)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{97}{\gamma r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \quad (2.49)$$

Notice that also in this frame none of these diverge.

Let us also notice that since G factor in eq. (2.40) scales as $G \sim (\gamma^2 r^4)^{-1}$ in the large r limit, it spoils the AdS_5 UV asymptotic behavior of the background. The latter feature is understandable by the fact that the present non-commutative TsT-transformation is equivalent to the introduction of an irrelevant operator in the dual field theory.

For the present deformed solution in eq.(2.39) we verified on Mathematica the type IIB Einstein, Maxwell and dilaton equations, as well as the Bianchi identities for the NS and RR fields.

2.3.2 Second non-commutative solution

Finally, we focus on an intermediate example, between the dipole and non commutative β -transformed solution in Subsections 2.2 and 2.3.1. In doing that we analyze a TsT of the background in eq.(2.2), where the T-duality transformations are performed along the shrinking compact direction ϕ and the shift along the spatial x_1 coordinate as

$$x_1 \longrightarrow x_1 + \gamma\phi. \quad (2.50)$$

In the present case, before to T-dualize along the ϕ direction it is useful to rewrite the metric in eq.(2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + r^2 dx_1^2 + \left(r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2\right) \left(d\phi + \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}{r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2} D\varphi\right)^2, \\ ds_8^2 &= r^2(-dt^2 + dx_2^2) + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2 + \frac{r^2 f(r)}{r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2} D\varphi^2, \\ \mathcal{D}\varphi &= d\varphi + \eta, \end{aligned} \quad (2.51)$$

where again the $ds_{\mathbb{C}P^2}^2$ is the Fubini-Study metric given as in eq.(2.20) [66, 67] and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = Q^3 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right). \quad (2.52)$$

Now we can apply the T-dualities along ϕ , interspersing them with the shift in eq.(2.50). Hence this procedure leads to the solution

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_8^2 + Gr^2 dx_1^2 + G \left(r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2\right) \left(d\phi + \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}{r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2} D\varphi\right)^2, \\ B &= -\gamma Gr^2 \left(r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2\right) dx_1 \wedge \left(d\phi + \frac{\widehat{\mathcal{A}}}{r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2} D\varphi\right), \quad e^{2\Phi} = G, \end{aligned} \quad (2.53)$$

along with the RR-forms content given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_5 &= (1 + \star_{10\beta}) \tilde{G}_5, \\ \tilde{G}_5 &= -4r^3 dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr \wedge d\phi - Q^3 d\eta \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + B \wedge F_3, \\ F_3 &= -4\gamma r^3 dt \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr, \quad F_7 = -4\gamma r^4 f(r) G dx_1 \wedge d\phi \wedge \text{vol}_{\mathbb{C}P^2} \wedge D\varphi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.54)$$

We have defined the G -function as

$$G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2 r^2 \left(r^2 f(r) + \widehat{\mathcal{A}}^2\right). \quad (2.55)$$

Let us now analyze the various D_p -brane charge quantization conditions. Since the fluxes F_3 , F_7 and the B field in eq. (2.54) have at least one leg on non-compact cycle, the only non trivial D_p (NS) brane charge is the one related to D3 branes. Indeed, setting the five-cycle $\Sigma_5 = [\mathbb{C}P^2, \varphi]$, the latter is given by

$$Q'_{D3} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} \star_{10\beta} \tilde{G}_5 = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\Sigma_5} 4 \text{vol}_{\mathbb{C}P^2} d\varphi = N. \quad (2.56)$$

The Ricci scalar reads

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{2\gamma^2}{r^2 (2\gamma^2 Q^4 - \gamma^2 Q^2 r^2 - \gamma^2 r^4 - 1)^2} \left[19\gamma^2 Q^{10} + 22\gamma^2 Q^8 r^2 - 8\gamma^2 Q^6 r^4 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 6Q^6 - 64\gamma^2 Q^4 r^6 + 23\gamma^2 Q^2 r^8 + 15Q^2 r^4 + 8\gamma^2 r^{10} + 36r^6 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.57)$$

which displays the following IR and UV asymptotic limits

$$R|_{r=Q} = 90\gamma^2 Q^4, \quad R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 16 + 14\frac{Q^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \quad (2.58)$$

Moreover, we show here also the asymptotic behavior of other geometric scalars, namely

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}|_{r=Q} &= 36(81\gamma^4 Q^8 - 14\gamma^2 Q^4 + 6), \quad R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 96 + O(r^{-3}), \\ R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r=Q} &= 36(99\gamma^4 Q^8 + 24\gamma^2 Q^4 + 14), \quad R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim 80 - 40\frac{Q^2}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.59)$$

The invariants in Einstein frame have the same UV asymptotics as the first non-commutative solution, namely

$$R|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim -\frac{2}{\sqrt{\gamma}r} + O(r^{-3}), \quad (2.60)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{116}{\gamma r^2} + O(r^{-3}), \quad (2.61)$$

$$R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{97}{\gamma r^2} + O(r^{-3}). \quad (2.62)$$

Remarkably, all these quantities are regular and vanishing in the large r limit.

In the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the G -function in eq. (2.55) behaves as

$$G|_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sim \frac{1}{\gamma^2 r^4}, \quad (2.63)$$

preventing the background in eq. (2.53) from having an AdS_5 UV asymptote as the original \widehat{AdS}_5 solution.

Let us conclude by emphasizing that we have verified (using Mathematica) the Einstein, Maxwell, dilaton equations of motion for type IIB background in eqs.(2.53)-(2.55) as well as Bianchi identities for the RR and H_3 fields.

3 Field theory and observables

In this section we discuss details about the perturbative QFTs and study holographic observables for the strongly coupled QFTs dual to the backgrounds in Section 2.

3.1 Comments on the dual QFTs

We start giving some details of the quantum field theories dual to the TsT deformed type IIB backgrounds presented in Section 2. We display the main features of the marginal and dipole deformed theories, including their perturbative Lagrangians, the compactification and SUSY preserving mechanisms. In Subsection 3.1.4, we comment about the expected Coulomb branches of the theories we analyse.

3.1.1 Twisted circle compactification of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

Let us begin by displaying some details on the 3D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY theory derived from a twisted circle compactification of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ SYM in four dimension. In doing this perturbative analysis, we refer mainly to [58]. Our starting point is the Lagrangian for the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory on $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ (in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ formalism), that using the conventions in [70] is given by ⁶

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \text{Tr} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} F_{MN}^2 - 2 \overline{D_M Z^i} D^M Z^i + g^2 [\bar{Z}^i, Z^i]^2 + 2g^2 [\bar{Z}^j, \bar{Z}^k][Z^k, Z^j] + \right. \\ & \left. + i\bar{\lambda} \not{D} \lambda + i\bar{\psi}^i \not{D} \psi^i + 2\sqrt{2}g (\bar{\lambda} [Z^i, \psi^i] - [\bar{\psi}^i, \bar{Z}^i] \lambda) - \sqrt{2}\epsilon_{ijk} \left([\bar{\psi}^i, Z^j] \psi^k - [\bar{\psi}^i, \bar{Z}^j] \psi^k \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

In the Lagrangian of eq. (3.1), all the fields are in the adjoint representation of $SU(N)$, $\Phi = \Phi^a T^a$. Moreover notice that the gauge field A_μ and the Weyl fermion λ are the propagating fields of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector multiplet, while the complex scalars Z_i and the Weyl fermions ψ_i correspond to the three $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 4$. The covariant derivative is defined as

$$D_M = \partial_M - ig[A_M, \cdot]. \quad (3.2)$$

We analyze the case in which the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) is reduced on a S^1 cycle of radius R in the ϕ direction, imposing periodic and anti-periodic boundary conditions for bosons and fermions, respectively. The Fourier decomposition of the fields content of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vector and chiral multiplets in eq. (3.1) reads ⁷

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu(x, \phi) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n\phi}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)}(x), \\ A_\phi(x, \phi) \equiv \Theta(x, \phi) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n\phi}{R}} \Theta^{(n)}(x), \\ Z^j(x, \phi) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n\phi}{R}} Z^j{}^{(n)}(x), \quad j : 1, 2, 3. \\ \chi(x, \phi) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{(n+1/2)\phi}{R}} \chi^{(n)}(x), \quad \chi = \lambda, \psi^j, \quad j : 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

In the light of this decomposition, we can analyze some of terms in the Lagrangian of eq. (3.1).

⁶Here we are using the normalization conventions of $\text{Tr}(T^a T^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$, and $[T^a, T^b] = i f^{abc} T^c$, with f^{abc} structure constant of $SU(N)$. The indices M, N run over the $\mathbb{R}^{1,3}$ directions, while after the compactification along the ϕ circle, the Greek indices run over the $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ directions

⁷The reality condition for A_μ requires the identifications $A_\mu^{(-n)}(x) = A_\mu^{(n)\dagger}(x)$. Analogously for $\Theta^{(n)}(x)$.

We start looking at the gaugino kinetic term

$$\begin{aligned}
i\bar{\lambda}\not{D}\lambda &= i\bar{\lambda}(x, \phi) \left[\gamma^\mu D_\mu \lambda(x, \phi) + \gamma^\phi \partial_\phi \lambda(x, \phi) - i\gamma^\phi g [\Theta(x, \phi), \lambda(x, \phi)] \right] \\
&= \sum_{n, m, p \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{(m+1/2)\phi}{R}} e^{-i\frac{(n+1/2)\phi}{R}} e^{i\frac{p\phi}{R}} i\bar{\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \left\{ \delta_{p,0} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda^{(m)}(x) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. -i\gamma^\mu g \left[A_\mu^{(p)}(x), \lambda^{(m)}(x) \right] + i\frac{(n+1/2)}{R} \delta_{p,0} \gamma^\phi \lambda^{(m)}(x) - i\gamma^\phi g \left[\Theta^{(p)}(x), \lambda^{(m)}(x) \right] \right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{aligned}$$

which after the integration over the S_ϕ^1 cycle reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} [i\bar{\lambda}\not{D}\lambda] &= \text{Tr} \left[\sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \left\{ \delta_{m,0} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \lambda^{(n)}(x) + \gamma^\mu g \left[A_\mu^{(n-m)}(x), \lambda^{(m)}(x) \right] + \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. -\frac{(n+1/2)}{R} \delta_{m,0} \gamma^\phi \lambda^{(n)}(x) + \gamma^\phi g \left[\Theta^{(n-m)}(x), \lambda^{(m)}(x) \right] \right\} \right]. \tag{3.5}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us focus on the bi-linear terms for a certain $\lambda^{(n)}(x)$ mode, namely

$$\bar{\lambda}^{(n)}(x) \left[i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \frac{(n+1/2)}{R} \gamma^\phi \right] \lambda^{(n)}(x), \tag{3.6}$$

from which, using the fact that $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\phi\} = 0$, we can recognize a Kaluza-Klein mass term for the gaugino mode,

$$m_{n,\lambda} = \frac{|n+1/2|}{R} \neq 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.7}$$

We find the same results for the Weyl fermions ψ^j . We focus on the gauge field (integrated over S_ϕ^1) kinetic term that reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [F_{MN}^2] &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [F_{\mu\nu}^2] + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[\partial_\mu \Theta^{(-n)} \partial^\mu \Theta^{(n)} - \frac{n^2}{R^2} A_\mu^{(-n)} A^{(n)\mu} \right] + \\
&-ig \sum_{n, m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Tr} \left[\left(\partial_\mu \Theta^{(-n-m)} + i\frac{n+m}{R} A_\mu^{(-n-m)} \right) \left[A^{(n)\mu}, \Theta^{(m)} \right] \right] + \\
&-\frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{n, m, p, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{n+m+p+s,0} \text{Tr} \left[\left[A^{(n)\mu}, \Theta^{(m)} \right] \left[A_\mu^{(p)}, \Theta^{(s)} \right] \right], \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for a lighter expression we have left implicit the $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ gauge field strength terms. Moreover from eq. (3.8) we can recognize a mass term for the KK modes $A_\mu^{(n)}(x)$, *i.e.*

$$m_{n,A} = \frac{|n|}{R}. \tag{3.9}$$

The mass of each mode of the scalar field Θ is the same as the one in eq.(3.9), namely $m_{n,\Theta} = \frac{|n|}{R}$.

This can be seen by decomposing in modes the equations of motion.

Finally, we look at the reduced scalar kinetic terms

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\phi \text{Tr}(D_M Z^j)^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} \left[\overline{D_\mu Z^i} D^\mu Z^i \right] + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{n^2}{R^2} \text{Tr} \left[\bar{Z}^{i(n)} Z^{i(n)} \right] + \\ &-g \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{n}{R} \text{Tr} \left[\bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} \left[\Theta^{(m)}, Z^{i(n)} \right] + \left[\bar{Z}^{i(n+m)}, \Theta^{(m)} \right] Z^{i(n)} \right] + \\ &+g^2 \sum_{n,m,p,s \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{n,m+p+s} \text{Tr} \left[\left[\bar{Z}^{i(n)}, \Theta^{(m)} \right] \left[\Theta^{(p)}, Z^{i(s)} \right] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

that provide a mass term for the modes of the scalar fields, given by

$$m_{n,Z^i} = \frac{|n|}{R}. \quad (3.11)$$

We consider the theory to be coupled to an external gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu,\phi}$ for the diagonal combination (Cartan) of the R -symmetry $U(1)^3$, such that the covariant derivative components are modified as follows

$$D_\mu \Phi \rightarrow D_\mu \Phi, \quad D_\phi \rightarrow D_\phi \Phi - iR_\Phi \mathcal{A}_\phi \Phi, \quad \mathcal{A}_\phi = Q. \quad (3.12)$$

We have considered the covariant derivative acting on a general field Φ , and defined R_Φ as the charge of the Φ field under the diagonal $U(1)^3$ part of the R -symmetry. More precisely, it is possible to define the normalized charge of the complex scalar as

$$R_{Z^i} = 1, \quad (3.13)$$

while for the fermions λ and ψ^i

$$R_\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \quad R_{\psi^i} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.14)$$

Note that the R-charges in eqs.(3.13)-(3.14) are scaled by a factor of $\frac{3}{2}$ of the canonical R-charge. Also, remind that the charges for $\bar{Z}_i, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\psi}^i$ have opposite signs. The rules for the covariant derivatives in eq. (3.12), along with the charge values in eqs. (3.13) and (3.14) yield a shift in the field masses in eqs. (3.7), (3.9) and (3.11), as

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n,Z^i} &\rightarrow \left| \frac{n}{R} - Q \right|, \quad m_{n,A} \rightarrow \left| \frac{n}{R} \right|, \\ m_{n,\psi^i} &\rightarrow \left| \frac{n+1/2}{R} + \frac{Q}{2} \right|, \quad m_{n,\lambda} \rightarrow \left| \frac{n+1/2}{R} - \frac{3Q}{2} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Thus, by fixing the value of the background field to be

$$Q = \frac{1}{3R}, \quad (3.16)$$

we can allow the 3D theory gaugino λ to have massless zero modes. Indeed in this case the spectrum in eq. (3.15) reduces

$$\begin{aligned} m_{n,Z^i} &\rightarrow \frac{|n-1/3|}{R}, & m_{n,A} &\rightarrow \frac{|n|}{R}, \\ m_{n,\psi^i} &\rightarrow \frac{|n+2/3|}{R}, & m_{n,\lambda} &\rightarrow \frac{|n|}{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

Let us observe how the spectra for the complex scalar Z^i and their Weyl fermion partner ψ^i massive modes are settle such that

$$m_{n+1,Z^i} = m_{n,\psi^i}. \quad (3.18)$$

This feature thus ensures the perturbative spectrum of the 3D theory to be supersymmetric.

3.1.2 Marginal beta deformation of twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ on $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times S^1$

Here, referring mainly to the Lunin-Maldacena approach in [57], we display the analysis of marginal beta-deformation of the twisted S^1_ϕ circle compactification of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory presented in the previous Section 3.1.1.

Let us start by taking into account the $SU(N)$ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory, whose Lagrangian is given in eq. (3.1). Then, considering a $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry of the latter, a class of deformed theories can be introduced. Indeed, identifying \widehat{Q}^1 and \widehat{Q}^2 with the generators of the two global $U(1)$ and describing their action on a general field Φ of the theory as

$$\widehat{Q}^i \Phi = Q_\Phi^i \Phi, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (3.19)$$

we deform the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) by defining an alternative field product (denoted as \star) given by [57]

$$\Phi_A \Phi_B \longrightarrow \Phi_A \star \Phi_B \equiv e^{i\pi\gamma(Q_{\Phi_A}^1 Q_{\Phi_B}^2 - Q_{\Phi_A}^2 Q_{\Phi_B}^1)} \Phi_A \Phi_B, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.20)$$

In the case in which the $U(1) \times U(1)$ is taken inside the R-symmetry of the original theory, the introduction of the product in eq. (3.20) defines a marginal deformation of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$: the so-called *beta*-deformation [57, 71]. This is the case of interest in this subsection.

The family of deformations following from the prescription in eq. (3.20) are conjectured to correspond in the dual gravity theories to TsT-transformations [57]. In particular, in this picture, the two global $U(1)$ symmetries in eq. (3.20) are related with the two $U(1)$ metric isometries, involved in performing the TsT-transformation of the supergravity solution.

Let us now focus on the marginal beta-deformation of the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory and its twisted circle compactification. The beta-deformation modifies the Lagrangian in eq.(3.1) by the introduction of the new product in eq. (3.20) for the terms involving fields that are charged under the $U(1) \times U(1)$

R-symmetry. Then, it is useful to look closely at the action of the $U(1)$ generators on the fields as in eq. (3.19), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{Q}^{1,2} A_M &= 0, & \widehat{Q}^{1,2} Z^i &= -\widehat{Q}^{1,2} \bar{Z}^i = Q_{Z^i}^{1,2} Z^i, \\ \widehat{Q}^{1,2} \lambda &= -\widehat{Q}^{1,2} \bar{\lambda} = Q_\lambda^{1,2} \lambda, & \widehat{Q}^{1,2} \psi^i &= -\widehat{Q}^{1,2} \bar{\psi}^i = Q_{\psi^i}^{1,2} \psi^i.\end{aligned}\quad (3.21)$$

Notice that since the gauge field is uncharged under the R-symmetry, thus considering a generic field Φ and eq. (3.20), we observe that

$$\Phi A_M \longrightarrow \Phi \star A_M = e^{i\pi\gamma(Q_\Phi^1 Q_A^2 - Q_\Phi^2 Q_A^1)} \Phi A_M = \Phi A_M. \quad (3.22)$$

This implies that the terms in the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) involving either A_μ or Θ are unchanged by the introduction of the modified product. We conclude that the spectrum in eq. (3.17) of the 3D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY theory is invariant under the present marginal deformation. By the same reasoning, the interaction terms involving two R-symmetry charged fields in the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) are typically affected by the beta deformation. In particular, the terms that will actually feel the effect of the deformation, are the ones that involve fields belonging to different chiral multiplets. This feature can be interpreted as follows: the two $U(1)$ global symmetries used to define the product in eq. (3.20) can be seen as acting on the three $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ as [57]

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) &\longrightarrow (\Phi_1, e^{i\varphi_A} \Phi_2, e^{-i\varphi_A} \Phi_3), \\ (\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \Phi_3) &\longrightarrow (e^{-i\varphi_B} \Phi_1, e^{i\varphi_B} \Phi_2, \Phi_3).\end{aligned}\quad (3.23)$$

From these transformation rules, we observe that fields belonging to the same chiral multiplets are clearly equally charged under $\widehat{Q}^{1,2}$, namely

$$Q_{Z^i}^{1,2} = Q_{\psi^i}^{1,2}. \quad (3.24)$$

Using eq. (3.24) we notice that

$$\bar{\lambda} [\bar{Z}^i, \psi] \longrightarrow \bar{\lambda} \star [\bar{Z}^i, \psi]_\star = \bar{\lambda} \star [\bar{Z}^i, \psi^i] = \bar{\lambda} [\bar{Z}^i, \psi^i]. \quad (3.25)$$

Since all the terms in the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) that involve the vector multiplet fields (A_M, λ) are invariant under the introduction of the product in eq. (3.20), and given that after the compactification the zero modes belong only to the spectrum of the latter field (see eq. (3.17)), we conclude that if an observable (operator) of the beta-deformed theory depends on the γ -parameter of eq. (3.20), then the operator (observable) is 'made-out' by the Kaluza-Klein modes.

Hence, the beta-deformation give us a useful tool in discerning between observables of the twisted compactified $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory that depend on the IR theory only or also in its UV completion. In this direction, we provide the analysis of some relevant observables in Subsection 3.2.

3.1.3 Dual Dipole QFT

Starting from the Lagrangian in eq. (3.1), we analyze the dual QFT of the dipole TsT transformation in Subsection 2.2. Before doing that, let us briefly review the symmetry properties of the solution. As the $D3$ -branes in this case wrap the S^1_ϕ cycle, then the Lorentz symmetry along the branes decompose to $SO(1,2) \times U(1)_\phi$. Moreover, in the transverse space is present a $SU(4)_R$ symmetry, in which we can identify the diagonal $U(1)$, related to the φ isometry in the dual supergravity metric in eq. (2.23), *i.e.* the circle isometry respect to which the dipole TsT transformation is performed. Here, we will refer to the latter as $U(1)_\varphi$.

After the compactification on the ϕ direction and the introduction of the external gauge field \mathcal{A}_ϕ , we can label the fields in the Lagrangian of eq. (3.1) by their quantum numbers under the two $U(1)_\phi$ and $U(1)_\varphi$ symmetries, as in eq. (3.21). In particular we will use the following notation

$$\widehat{R}\Phi = R_\Phi\Phi, \quad \widehat{L}\Phi = L_\Phi\Phi, \quad (3.26)$$

where we have assigned each field in the theory Φ a dipole length L_Φ , according to its charge under the $U(1)_\phi$ isometry, while R_Φ represent its charge under the R-symmetry diagonal $U(1)$. We can further define the (unit) dipole operator as [56, 72]

$$\widehat{L} \equiv -i \partial_\phi. \quad (3.27)$$

Then, following the prescription for the deformations in eq. (3.20), we can replace the field products in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) with the modified one

$$\Phi_A\Phi_B \rightarrow \Phi_A \star \Phi_B \equiv e^{i\pi\gamma(R_{\Phi_A}L_{\Phi_B} - R_{\Phi_B}L_{\Phi_A})}\Phi_A\Phi_B. \quad (3.28)$$

Notice that the operators \widehat{R} and \widehat{L} have mass dimensions zero and one, respectively, as it should be clear from eq. (3.27). Hence, modifying the field products via eq. (3.28) is equivalent to introducing an irrelevant deformation in the field theory. Then, using eqs. (3.13) and (3.14) and recalling that the gauge field is invariant under R-symmetry, we can start analyzing the bosonic part of the (not-compactified) Lagrangian in eq. (3.1) after the dipole-deformation, that reads

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \text{Tr} \left[-\frac{1}{2}F_{MN} \star F^{MN} - 2\overline{D_M Z^i} \star D^M Z^i + g^2[\bar{Z}^i, Z^i]_\star^2 + 2g^2[\bar{Z}^j, \bar{Z}^k]_\star \star [Z^k, Z^j]_\star \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

Here, the covariant derivative acting on the R-charged field (as the scalar Z^i) is defined with the modified product in eq. (3.20) [56, 72, 73]

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu\Phi &= \partial_\mu\Phi - ig(A_\mu \star \Phi - \Phi \star A_\mu), \\ D_\phi\Phi &= \partial_\phi\Phi - ig(\Theta \star \Phi - \Phi \star \Theta) - iR_\Phi\mathcal{A}_\phi\Phi. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Since the gauge field A_M (and so the 3D gauge field A_μ and scalar Θ) is uncharged under R-symmetry, the first term in eq. (3.29) is invariant under this deformation and after the compactification takes again the form of eq. (3.8). This leaves untouched the spectrum for the KK modes from the 3D gauge field A_μ and Θ in eq. (3.17).

The scalars part of the Lagrangian (Z^i), is modified by the deformation. This follows from the fact that these fields display non-vanishing R_Φ charges as in eqs. (3.13)-(3.14). Then, it is useful to consider again the (integrated over the S_ϕ^1 cycle) kinetic term for the scalars Z^i , but this time with the dipole deformed field product in eqs.(3.30):

$$\frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} \overline{D_M Z^i} \star D^M Z^i = \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} \left[\overline{D_\mu Z^i} \star D^\mu Z^i + \overline{D_\phi Z^i} \star D^\phi Z^i \right]. \quad (3.31)$$

Let us focus on the first term in the above equation, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} \overline{D_\mu Z^i} \star D^\mu Z^i &= \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \text{Tr} \left[\partial_\mu \bar{Z}^i \star \partial^\mu Z^i - ig \partial_\mu \bar{Z}^i \star [A^\mu, Z^i]_\star + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - ig [A_\mu, \bar{Z}^i]_\star \partial^\mu Z^i - g^2 [A_\mu, \bar{Z}^i]_\star \star [A^\mu, Z^i]_\star \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

It is helpful to notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}^i \star Z^i &= e^{i\pi\gamma(R_{\bar{Z}^i} L_{Z^i} - R_{Z^i} L_{\bar{Z}^i})} \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\frac{n}{R}\phi} e^{i\frac{m}{R}\phi} \bar{Z}^i(n) Z^i(m) \\ &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi\gamma\frac{n-m}{R}} e^{-i\frac{n}{R}\phi} e^{i\frac{m}{R}\phi} \bar{Z}^i(n) Z^i(m), \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu \star Z^i &= e^{i\pi\gamma(R_{A_\mu} L_{Z^i} - R_{Z^i} L_{A_\mu})} \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n}{R}\phi} e^{i\frac{m}{R}\phi} A_\mu^{(n)} Z^i(m) \\ &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-i\pi\gamma\frac{n}{R}} e^{i\frac{n}{R}\phi} e^{i\frac{m}{R}\phi} A_\mu^{(n)} Z^i(m). \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Moreover we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\mu, Z^i]_\star &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n+m}{R}\phi} \left[e^{-i\pi\gamma\frac{n}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)} Z^i(m) - e^{i\pi\gamma\frac{n}{R}} Z^i(m) A_\mu^{(n)} \right], \\ [A_\mu, \bar{Z}^i]_\star &= \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\frac{n-m}{R}\phi} \left[e^{i\pi\gamma\frac{n}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)} \bar{Z}^i(m) - e^{-i\pi\gamma\frac{n}{R}} \bar{Z}^i(m) A_\mu^{(n)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

from which eq. (3.32) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \operatorname{Tr} \partial_\mu \bar{Z}^i \partial^\mu Z^i - ig \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Tr} \left\{ \partial^\mu \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} \left[e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)} Z^{i(m)} - e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} Z^{i(m)} A_\mu^{(n)} \right] + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left[e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} - e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} A_\mu^{(n)} \right] \partial^\mu Z^{i(m)} \right\} + \\
& \quad - g^2 \sum_{n,m,s \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} A_\mu^{(n)} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m+s)} - e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m+s)} A_\mu^{(n)} \right] \times \\
& \quad \quad \quad \times \left[e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{m}{R}} A^{(m)\mu} Z^{i(s)} - e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{m}{R}} Z^{i(s)} A^{(m)\mu} \right]. \quad (3.36)
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now analyze the second term in eq (3.31), namely

$$\frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \operatorname{Tr} \overline{D_\phi Z^i} \star D^\phi Z^i, \quad (3.37)$$

then using similar rules as in eqs. (3.33), (3.34), and (3.35) (notice that Θ has the same quantum number under \hat{R} and \hat{L} as A_μ), we can write eq. (3.37) as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{n}{R} - Q \right)^2 \bar{Z}^{i(n)} Z^{i(n)} + \right. \\
& \quad - g \sum_{n,m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \left(\frac{n+m}{R} - Q \right) \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} \left[e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \Theta^{(n)} Z^{i(m)} - e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} Z^{i(m)} \Theta^{(n)} \right] + \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \left(\frac{m}{R} - Q \right) \left[e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \Theta^{(n)} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} - e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m)} \Theta^{(n)} \right] Z^{i(m)} \right\} + \\
& \quad \left. - g^2 \sum_{n,m,s \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \Theta^{(n)} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m+s)} - e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{n}{R}} \bar{Z}^{i(n+m+s)} \Theta^{(n)} \right] \left[e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{m}{R}} \Theta^{(m)} Z^{i(s)} - e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{m}{R}} Z^{i(s)} \Theta^{(m)} \right] \right]. \quad (3.38)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, from eqs.(3.36), (3.38), and the fact that the YM kinetic term is invariant under the deformation in eq. (3.28), we have that the spectrum of the bosonic sector of the effective 3D theory is not modified in response of the dipole deformation. Indeed, both A_μ and Θ are uncharged under $U(1)_\varphi$. On the other hand, the interactions between the various massless and KK fields are affected. A similar analysis can be performed regarding the fermion sector of the theory, leading to the same conclusion: in the dipole case the spectrum in eq. (3.20) is untouched after the deformation.

In analogy with the observation in eq.(3.25), we can analyze the following (integrated) interaction term

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi R} \int_{S_\phi^1} d\phi \operatorname{Tr} \bar{\lambda} \star [\bar{Z}^i, \psi^i]_\star = \\
& = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\sum_{n,m,s \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_{m,n+s} e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{s(R_\psi - R_Z) - (m-n)R_\lambda}{R}} \bar{\lambda}^i(s) \left[e^{i\pi\gamma \frac{nR_Z - mR_\psi}{R}} \bar{Z}^i(n) \psi^i(m) - e^{-i\pi\gamma \frac{nR_Z - mR_\psi}{R}} \psi^i(m) \bar{Z}^i(n) \right], \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. \right], \quad (3.39)
\end{aligned}$$

which this time depends in a non-trivial way on the transformation parameter γ . In other words, the interactions between the KK-modes do change under the dipole deformation. Note, as we anticipated, SUSY is broken by this transformation. A T-duality along the R-symmetry direction is performed.

This closes the presentation of the Lagrangian (perturbative) study of the QFTs dual to the backgrounds in eqs.(2.13) and (2.23). Let us now discuss the space of vacua of these theories.

3.1.4 Coulomb branches

In this section we study the Coulomb branches that appear (or not) for the marginal beta deformed twisted $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $SU(N)$ theory on $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times S^1$ presented in Subsection 3.1.2. We perform this analysis using both the point of view of the field theory and that of the TsT-transformed dual supergravity background in Subsection 2.1.

Field theory point of view

In Subsection 3.1.2 we have seen that a TsT-transformation along two isometries of the internal S^5 of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ is conjectured to correspond to a marginal deformation in the dual $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. More precisely the deformation in eq. (3.20) (or analogously in eq. (3.23)) turns out to be a change of the superpotential (in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ formalism) of the form

$$\text{Tr}(\Phi_i[\Phi_j, \Phi_k]) \longrightarrow \text{Tr}(\Phi_i[e^{i\pi\gamma}\Phi_j\Phi_k - e^{-i\pi\gamma}\Phi_k\Phi_j]) . \quad (3.40)$$

Here Φ_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the three chiral multiplets in the adjoint representation of the gauge group, the scalar components of which are Z_i . This feature suggests that the Coulomb branch configurations are affected by the beta deformation as well. For early analysis of the Coulomb branch in the marginal beta deformed 4D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory we refer to *e.g* [74, 75].

Before the deformation, the F and D terms constrains read

$$[Z_i, Z_j] = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 [Z_i, Z_i^\dagger] = 0, \quad (3.41)$$

and the vacuum equations are solved by taking the three chirals as diagonal matrices,

$$\langle Z_i \rangle = \text{diag} [x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, \dots, x_N^{(i)}] , \quad (3.42)$$

where, clearly the eigenvalues $x_a^{(i)}$ (here $a = 1, 2, \dots, N$) are unconstrained. With this choice, the $SU(N)$ gauge symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM is spontaneously broken down to $U(1)^{N-1}$. This is the classical Coulomb branch of the theory. On the other hand, turning on the deformation (3.40), the constraints in eq. (3.41) modify to

$$[Z_i, Z_j]_\star = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 [Z_i, Z_i^\dagger]_\star = 0, \quad (3.43)$$

the commutator $[\cdot, \cdot]_\star$ is defined in eq. (3.20). Hence, the ansatz in eq. (3.42) is not a good solution anymore. A simple generalization of the latter is the following [75]. Let us consider the three chirals as in eq. (3.42), but this time with the eigenvalues $x_a^{(i)}$ no longer taken as unconstrained: for each entrance “ a ” only one chiral can display a non-zero $x_a^{(i)}$, while the others must have vanishing elements. This ensures that the F and D conditions in eq. (3.43) are satisfied, leading to a Coulomb branch configuration for a generic value of the deformation parameter γ .

Moreover, following [57, 76], we notice that for specific values of γ , namely

$$\gamma = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ coprime}, \quad (3.44)$$

the F and D constraints in eq. (3.43) admit another solution, which reads

$$Z_1 = \chi_1 U^m, \quad Z_2 = \chi_2 V, \quad Z_3 = \chi_3 V^{-1} U^{-m}, \quad (3.45)$$

where here χ_i are complex numbers and the matrices U and V satisfying the algebra

$$\left[e^{i\pi/n} UV - e^{-i\pi/n} VU \right] = 0. \quad (3.46)$$

In the case in which $N = nk$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, U and V have a simple representation as $SU(N)$ matrices, *i.e.*

$$U = \text{diag} \left[1, e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{n}}, \dots, e^{-\frac{2\pi i(n-1)}{n}} \right] \otimes \mathbb{1}_k, \quad V = \widehat{V} \otimes \mathbb{1}_k, \quad (3.47)$$

where \widehat{V} is a $n \times n$ matrix with non-zero entrances given by

$$\widehat{V}_{i+1,i} = \widehat{V}_{n,1} = 1. \quad (3.48)$$

As a simple example, let us consider the case $k = 1$, $N = 3$: in this case

$$U = \text{diag} \left[1, e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}}, e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{3}} \right], \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.49)$$

Here, it is easy to verify that $UV = e^{2\pi i/3} VU$ and so that the algebra in eq. (3.46) is satisfied.

In light of these results, let us move a step forward through the analysis of a possible presence of Coulomb branches in the beta deformed theory of Subsection 3.1.2. Notice that after the compactification procedure along the ϕ direction the spectrum of the effective 3D theory is given by

eq. (3.17), were the KK modes associated to the chiral multiplets Z_i , acquire real masses. Then, we expect the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Coulomb branch to be lifted due to the latter masses for the chirals.

In the 3D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ effective theory, a massless scalar is present, namely the zero mode of the “ ϕ ” component of the vector multiplet gauge field, $\Theta^{(0)}$. In analogy to what is observed in [58], $\Theta^{(0)}$ can acquire a VEV of the form

$$\langle \Theta^{(0)} \rangle = \text{diag} \left[x_1^{(0)}, \dots, x_N^{(0)} \right], \quad x_i^{(0)} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (3.50)$$

with $x_i^{(0)} \sim x_i^{(0)} + 1/R$. The configuration in eq. (3.50) along with the choice for the (massive) complex scalars KK modes

$$\langle Z_i^{(n)} \rangle = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (3.51)$$

yield a breaking of gauge group from $SU(N)$ to $U(N)^{N-1}$, leading to a (classic) Coulomb branch for the 3D effective theory. At the quantum level, however, it can be show that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet zero modes gain masses via loop corrections. Hence, the Coulomb branch is completely lifted, as expected [58].

Holographic point of view

In the previous subsection, we have observed how it is expected to find a lifted Coulomb branch in the field theory dual to the beta-transformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background of Subsection 2.1. Here, we explore this from the holographic point of view. In fact, the presence (or absence) of the special Coulomb branch appearing in the Lunin-Maldacena background [57] for rational γ as in eq.(3.44). In the original supergravity solution [57],⁸ this Coulomb branch is probed by the use of a D5-brane extending along the Poincare slice of AdS and wrapping the TsT two torus. The DBI action for the D5-brane thus reads

$$S_{DBI} = T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} d^{5+1} \xi e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(P[g]_{ab} + F_{ab} + P[B]_{ab})}. \quad (3.52)$$

We have switched on a gauge field with field strength F on the brane, and $P[\cdot]$ indicates the pullback on the D5-worldvolume of the various background fields. Moreover, the Wess-Zumino term is given by⁹

$$S_{WZ} = T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} C_6 + C_4 \wedge (F + B). \quad (3.53)$$

Following a similar argument to the one in [57], we notice that

$$d(C_6 + C_4 \wedge B) = d \star C_2 + H \wedge C_4 + dC_4 \wedge B = -F_7 + G_5 \wedge B = 0, \quad (3.54)$$

⁸Notice that the Lunin-Maldacena background can be recovered from the one in eq.(2.13) by simply fixing $Q \rightarrow 0$.

⁹Here and in what follows, for a lighter notation we have omitted the pull-back symbols for the C_p and B forms.

where we have used the following relations for RR forms

$$G_5 = dC_4, \quad F_7 = -dC_6 - H \wedge C_4, \quad (3.55)$$

and the specific expression for F_7 of solution in eq. (2.13). Hence, we have

$$C_6 + C_4 \wedge B = \text{const.} \quad (3.56)$$

We can chose a configuration for the D5-brane that shrinks to a D3 one, for which the latter coupling in eq. (3.56) is not present [57]. This argument allows us to set to zero the constant value in eq. (3.56). Then, the WZ term in eq. (3.53) reduces to

$$S_{WZ} = T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} C_4 \wedge F. \quad (3.57)$$

We analyze the BPS condition for the probe D5-brane, *i.e.*

$$S_{DBI} + S_{WZ} = 0. \quad (3.58)$$

Setting F_2 to extend along the two torus only, the lhs of eq. (3.58) reads

$$T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} d^{5+1}\xi \left[e^{-\Phi} r^4 \sqrt{f(r)} \sqrt{G^2 g_0 + (F_{12} + B_{12})^2} + F_{12} r^4 \left(1 - \frac{Q^4}{r^4} \right) \right], \quad (3.59)$$

where we have use the expression for C_4 , namely

$$C_4 = r^4 \left(1 - \frac{Q^4}{r^4} \right) dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge d\phi. \quad (3.60)$$

We set a special value for the field strength F on the two torus, that is

$$F_{12} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad (3.61)$$

from which eq. (3.59) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} d^{5+1}\xi \left[e^{-\Phi} r^4 \sqrt{f(r)} \sqrt{G^2 g_0 + \frac{1}{\gamma^2} (1 - \gamma^2 g_0 G)^2} - \frac{1}{\gamma} r^4 \left(1 - \frac{Q^4}{r^4} \right) \right], \\ & = T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} d^{5+1}\xi \frac{1}{\gamma} \left[e^{-\Phi} r^4 \sqrt{f(r)} \sqrt{G} - r^4 \left(1 - \frac{Q^4}{r^4} \right) \right], \\ & = T_{D5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,3} \times T^2} d^{5+1}\xi \frac{r^4}{\gamma} \left[\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{Q^6}{r^6} \right)} - \left(1 - \frac{Q^4}{r^4} \right) \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

From eq. (3.62) we can observe that the D5 probe brane is attracted to the tip of the cigar at $r = Q$, and therefore this leads to a complete lifting of the Coulomb branch. Finally, notice that in the limit in which $Q \rightarrow 0$, we recover the D5-brane to be a good BPS configuration as in [57].

Comments on Chern-Simons terms

According to the analysis in [58],[59], the low energy regime of field theory dual to the background in eqs.(2.2)-(2.3) is an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ with a Chern-Simons term of level N .

It is natural to ask if something similar occurs for the background in eq.(2.13). To answer this, let us consider a D7-probe extending along the directions $[t, x_1, x_2, \theta, \varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi]$ in the beta-deformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ of eq.(2.13). We are interested in the Wess-Zumino part of the action, that is used to read the Chern-Simons level. The Bon-Infeld-Wess-Zumino action reads,

$$S_{\text{BI}} + S_{\text{WZ}} = T_{D7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \widehat{S}^5} d^8 \xi e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(P[g]_{ab} + F_{ab} + P[B]_{ab})} + \\ + T_{D7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \widehat{S}^5} C_8 + C_6 \wedge (F + B) + \frac{1}{2} C_4 \wedge (F + B) \wedge (F + B). \quad (3.63)$$

We have introduced a gauge field A , with field strength F , on the $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ part of the brane worldvolume. In the background in eq. (2.13) we have $C_8 = 0$ and

$$C_6 + C_4 \wedge B = \text{const}, \quad (3.64)$$

as noticed in the Coulomb branch analysis. By similar arguments we can set the constant value of (3.64) to zero, implying a WZ term of the form

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = T_{D7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \widehat{S}^5} \frac{1}{2} C_4 \wedge F \wedge F = -T_{D7} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times \widehat{S}^5} F_5 \wedge A \wedge F \\ = -\frac{N}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}} A \wedge F. \quad (3.65)$$

Hence, we find a Chen-Simons term of level N in the effective action for the gauge field A on the D7 brane. A similar calculation could be to switch on an axion field $C_0 = \frac{N}{2\pi R} \phi$, such that $F_1 = \frac{N}{2\pi R} d\phi$. Switching on a gauge field, the Wess-Zumino term for a D3 brane extended along $[t, x_1, x_2, \phi]$ reads,

$$S_{\text{WZ}} = T_{D3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times S^1} C_0 F \wedge F = -T_{D3} \int_{S^1} F_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}} A \wedge F = -\frac{N}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{1,2}} A \wedge F. \quad (3.66)$$

The arguments above are indicative or intuitive. One can criticize the following: in the first argument, we are obtaining the action of an Abelian CS-term (the QFT is argued to be $SU(N)_N$ CS, see [58], [59]). Having N D7 branes takes the system out of the probe approximation. Regarding the second argument leading to eq.(3.66), one should be wary of the non-single valuedness of the field C_0 . In fact, switching on a background field with that strength should actually deform the background.

In the next section, we focus our attention to observables that are purely non-perturbative. We have access to them via the holographic backgrounds in eqs.(2.13) and (2.23).

3.2 Observables

In this subsection, we analyze various observables of the field theories corresponding to the β -deformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ type IIB supergravity backgrounds introduced in Section 2. We briefly review the main features of the accounted quantities.

We leave for future work [63] an extended study of observables of field theories dual to TsT transformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{T}^{1,1}$ solutions.

3.2.1 Wilson loops and confinement properties

The first feature of the TsT-transformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ backgrounds in Section 2, on which we are interested in is the confining behaviour. We focus on the marginal and dipole TsT-transformed solutions presented in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2. To unravel the confining properties of these backgrounds, we study the (non-dynamical) quark-anti-quark potential energy via the holographic computation of the field theory rectangular Wilson loop expectation value. The latter is identified with the worldsheet boundary of a probing string that explores the bulk geometry [77, 78].

More precisely, the classical string (of length L) embedding can be chosen as

$$t = \tau, \quad x^1 = \sigma, \quad r = r(\sigma), \quad \sigma \in [-L/2, L/2], \quad (3.67)$$

while taking as constants the remaining coordinates. In the marginal and dipole β -transformations of subsections 2.1 and 2.2, the Minkowski and the radial coordinates are not involved in the TsT procedure. Hence, we expect the confining behavior of the deformed backgrounds to be equal to the corresponding $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ seed-solution. Indeed, the directions containing the new physical information of the deformed solutions are frozen in analyzing these types of observables, that result to be blind to the transformation.

In both the β -deformed backgrounds in eqs. (2.13) and (2.23), the induced metric on the worldsheet following from the embedding in eq. (3.67) reads

$$ds_{\text{ind}}^2 = r^2 \left[-d\tau^2 + \left(1 + \frac{r'(\sigma)^2}{r^4 f(r)} \right) d\sigma^2 \right], \quad r'(\sigma) = \partial_\sigma r(\sigma). \quad (3.68)$$

Using eq. (3.68), the Nambu-Goto action for the probe string is,

$$S_{NG} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\sigma d\tau \sqrt{F_w^2(r) + G_w(r)^2 r'(\sigma)^2} = \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} d\sigma \sqrt{F_w^2(r) + G_w(r)^2 r'(\sigma)^2}. \quad (3.69)$$

In the notation of [62, 79], we have defined the functions

$$F_w(r) = r^2, \quad G_w(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)}}. \quad (3.70)$$

From the equation (3.69) we find the effective potential [79]

$$r'(\sigma) = \pm V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \pm \frac{r^2(\sigma)}{r_0^2} \sqrt{f(r) (r^4(\sigma) - r_0^4)}, \quad (3.71)$$

with r_0 defined as the turning point of the string in the radial direction. This suggests a confinement behavior for the backgrounds. Indeed, notice that $V_{\text{eff}}(r)$ diverges in the boundary limit of large r (with power of $\sim r^4$), while goes to zero when we approach the turning radius $r \rightarrow r_0$, satisfying the necessary conditions for confinement [79].

Using the expression in eq.(3.71), we obtain that the quark-anti-quark length as function of the turning point r_0 is given by

$$L_{QQ}(r_0) = 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{V_{\text{eff}}(r)} = 2r_0^2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{r}{\sqrt{(r^6 - Q^6)(r^4 - r_0^4)}}, \quad (3.72)$$

It is useful to introduce a combination of the functions $F_w(r)$ and $G_w(r)$ in eq. (3.70), that well approximates the length $L_{QQ}(r_0)$ in eq.(3.72). This is given by [80, 81]

$$\tilde{L}_{QQ}(r_0) \equiv \pi \frac{G_w(r)}{\partial_r F_w(r)} \Big|_{r=r_0} = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{r_0^2}{\sqrt{r_0^6 - Q^6}}. \quad (3.73)$$

Notice that $\tilde{L}_{QQ}(r_0)$ in eq. (3.73) diverges in the limit in which the turning point radius approaches the minimal one, *i.e.* $r_0 \rightarrow Q$. This feature suggests a confining behavior for the present background. In fact, the deeper the string probes the IR region of the geometry, the more the quark-anti-quark pair are separated, reaching an infinite relative distance. A finite maximal separation, on the other hand, would indicate screening.

The on-shell action in eq.(3.69) can be written as

$$S_{NG} = \frac{T}{2\pi} r_0^2 L_{QQ}(r_0) + 2 \frac{T}{2\pi} \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{\sqrt{r^4 - r_0^4}}{r^2 \sqrt{f(r)}}. \quad (3.74)$$

The (regularized) quark-anti-quark pair energy as a function of the turning point and $L_{QQ}(r_0)$ can be read from eq. (3.74) and the relation

$$S_{NG} \sim T \times E_{QQ}(r_0). \quad (3.75)$$

Hence we obtain,

$$E_{QQ}(r_0) = r_0^2 L_{QQ}(r_0) + 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{\sqrt{r^4 - r_0^4}}{r^2 \sqrt{f(r)}} - 2 \int_Q^{\infty} dr \frac{1}{\sqrt{f(r)}}, \quad (3.76)$$

Notice then that the first term in eq. (3.76) being linearly dependent on the pair separation length, underlines the confining behavior of the dual field theories.

Let us conclude this subsection by commenting on the stability of the probe string embedding in eq. (3.67) in the present confining backgrounds. We introduce a r_0 -dependent quantity useful when analyzing the (perturbative) stability of the embedding [82], namely

$$\mathcal{Z}_w(r_0) \equiv \frac{d\tilde{L}_{QQ}(r_0)}{dr_0} = -\pi r \frac{(2r^6 + Q^6)}{(r^6 - Q^6)^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (3.77)$$

A classically stable quark-anti-quark solution is characterised by a force which is both attractive and non-increasing with increasing distance. These two conditions are,

$$\frac{dE_{QQ}}{dL_{QQ}} = F_w(r_0) > 0, \quad \left(\frac{d^2 E_{QQ}}{dL_{QQ}^2} \right) \sim \mathcal{Z}_w(r_0)^{-1} \frac{dF_w(r_0)}{dr_0} < 0. \quad (3.78)$$

Inspecting the expressions in eqs. (3.70) and (3.77) we observe that the conditions in eq. (3.78) are verified. This strongly suggests that the embedding for the probe string is stable.

In summary, for both backgrounds in eqs.(2.13), (2.23) we find indications that the holographic dual QFTs are confining, as is the seed-background in eq.(2.2)-(2.3).

3.2.2 't Hooft loops

Another interesting observable to analyze is the 't Hooft loop. As in the previous subsection, here we focus on the marginal and dipole deformed background of Subsections 2.1 and 2.2.

The effective string connecting a monopole-anti-monopole pair is modelled by studying a probe D3 brane extended in the $[t, x_1, \phi, \alpha]$ directions (α is an angular coordinate along the internal $\widehat{S^5}$ submanifold), with the radial direction taken as function of the spatial Minkowski direction, *i.e.* $r(x_1)$. All the remaining coordinates are fix to be constant.

The D3-brane DBI action,

$$S_{D_3} = \int d^4 \xi e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det(P[g]_{ab} + P[B]_{ab})}, \quad (3.79)$$

and integrating out the compact coordinates $[\phi, \alpha]$, we end up with a two-dimensional effective action. In eq. (3.79), $P[\cdot]$ represents the pull-back of the background fields on the worldvolume. We study this in the case of the backgrounds of eqs.(2.13) and (2.23).

Marginal beta deformed solution

Let us consider the TsT-transformed background in eq. (2.13). We choose the embedding for the probe D3 brane along the $[t, x_1, \phi, \psi]$ directions. Furthermore, we set $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The induced metric is,

$$ds_{D_3}^2 = r^2 \left[-dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{r'(x_1)^2}{r^4 f(r)} \right) dx_1^2 + f(r) d\phi^2 \right] + G (d\psi + \mathcal{A})^2, \quad r'(x_1) = \partial_{x_1} r(x_1), \quad (3.80)$$

while

$$g_0 = \frac{1}{4} \quad \rightarrow \quad G^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}. \quad (3.81)$$

We also have a non-trivial dilaton given in eq. (2.13). The B field in eq. (2.13) does not contribute to this particular D3-brane probe.

The DBI action for the probe brane in eq. (3.79) reduces to

$$S_{D_3} = \int d^4 \xi e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{-\det g_{D_3}}, \quad (3.82)$$

and after integrating-out the compact $[\phi, \psi]$ coordinates, we find a two-dimensional effective action for a magnetically charged probe,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = e^{-\Phi} L_\phi L_\psi \int dt dx_1 \sqrt{G} \sqrt{r^6 f(r) + r^2 r'^2} = L_\phi L_\psi T \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \sqrt{F_t(r)^2 + G_t(r)^2 r'^2}. \quad (3.83)$$

We have defined the functions

$$F_t(r) = r^3 \sqrt{f(r)} \quad G_t(r) = r. \quad (3.84)$$

From eqs. (3.83) and (3.84), we observe that the effective string tension is vanishing in the limit $r \rightarrow Q$, because $F_t(r) \rightarrow 0$ in the same limit. This means that in the IR the magnetic monopole pair can be separated without any energy expense. The latter observation suggests a screening behavior of the dual field theory for magnetic charges.

Following a similar procedure to the Wilson loop one, we can derive the effective potential, the monopole-anti-monopole separation length $L_{MM}(r_0)$ and its approximate expression $\hat{L}_{MM}(r_0)$:

$$V_{\text{eff}}(r) = \frac{F_t(r)}{F_t(r_0)G_t(r)} \sqrt{F_t(r)^2 - F_t(r_0)^2}, \quad (3.85)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{MM}(r_0) &= 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{V_{\text{eff}}(r)} = 2F_t(r_0) \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{1}{r^2 \sqrt{f(r)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^6 f(r) - F_t(r_0)^2}}, \\ \tilde{L}_{MM}(r_0) &\equiv \pi \frac{G_t(r)}{\partial_r F_t(r)} \Big|_{r=r_0} = \frac{\pi}{3r_0^4} \sqrt{r_0^6 - Q^6}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.86)$$

From eq. (3.86), we observe that as $r_0 \rightarrow Q$ the approximated length $\tilde{L}_{MM}(r_0)$ vanishes, suggesting a screening behavior for the monopole-anti-monopole pair. Finally, the magnetic charge pair energy is given in terms of $L_{MM}(r_0)$ as it follows

$$E_{MM}(r_0) = F_t(r_0)L_{MM}(r_0) + 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} dr \frac{\sqrt{r^6 f(r) - F_t(r_0)^2}}{r^2 \sqrt{f(r)}} - 2 \int_Q^{\infty} dr r. \quad (3.87)$$

In the same way as in the Wilson loop case, we have regularized E_{MM} adding a counterterm to the on-shell action. In the expression in eq. (3.87) the linear term in L_{MM} is proportional to the function $F_t(r_0)$ which goes to zero as the turning point approaches the minimum radius $r = Q$. This feature underlines the screening property of the system.

Regarding the stability of the embedding, similarly to eq. (3.77), we consider the function

$$\mathcal{Z}_t(r_0) \equiv \frac{d\tilde{L}_{MM}(r_0)}{dr_0} = -\frac{\pi}{3} \frac{r_0^6 - 4Q^6}{r_0^5 \sqrt{r_0^6 - Q^6}}, \quad (3.88)$$

which displays a sign transition at $r_0 = 2^{1/3}Q$. As in the Wilson loop case, the region in which $\mathcal{Z}_t(r_0)$ is negative, is understood as the physical and stable one [82]. Otherwise, the probe is likely

unstable indicating a transition to a possibly disconnected configuration.

Finally, notice that we have found the same expressions for the separation length and energy in eqs. (3.86) and (3.87) that we would have obtained in the original solution in eq. (2.2). In fact, the dilaton and the factor of \sqrt{G} in eq.(3.83) have cancelled out. The probe D3 displays the same behaviour in the seed-background of eq.(2.2). We refer to [61, 62] for a detailed analysis.

Dipole-deformed solution

Now, we study the expectation value of a 't Hooft loop in the dipole β -transformed solution in eq. (2.23). Choosing the embedding of the D3-brane along the $[t, x_1, \phi, \varphi]$ coordinates and $r = r(x_1)$, the induced metric on the worldvolume reads

$$ds_{D3}^2 = r^2 \left[-dt^2 + \left(1 + \frac{r'(x_1)^2}{r^4 f(r)} \right) dx_1^2 + Gf(r)d\phi^2 \right] + G(d\varphi + \mathcal{A})^2, \quad (3.89)$$

with the G function defined as in eq. (2.24). We stress that the dilaton in eq. (2.23) is r dependent, so it does not factorize out in the effective action. Moreover, in the present case the B field in eq. (2.23) does contribute to the DBI action. Using eqs. (2.23) and (3.89) we have that

$$-\det(P[g]_{ab} + P[B]_{ab}) = G(r) (r^6 f(r) + r^2 r'^2). \quad (3.90)$$

After the integration of the compact coordinates $[\phi, \varphi]$, the 2-dimensional effective action reads

$$S_{\text{eff}} = L_\phi L_\psi \int e^{-\Phi} dt dx_1 \sqrt{G(r)(r^6 f(r) + r^2 r'^2)} = L_\phi L_\psi T \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \sqrt{F_t(r)^2 + G_t(r)^2 r'^2}. \quad (3.91)$$

The functions $F_t(r)$ and $G_t(r)$ are defined in eq. (3.84). Hence, eq. (3.91) coincides with the expression in eq. (3.83). The same analysis suggests that the dipole-transformed background displays a magnetic monopole-anti-monopole screening behavior. Notice the interesting cancellation between the dilaton and the function G in eq.(3.91).

3.2.3 Entanglement Entropy

The next observable we study is the Entanglement Entropy (EE) on a strip. Following the standard holographic procedure (see *e.g.* [83, 84, 80]), the EE for two boundary regions can be accounted for by considering a codimension two (minimal-action) manifold Σ_8 that approaches the boundary of the entangling surface. As in [84, 80] the entangling surface can be taken as a strip of length L . The action to be minimized is given by

$$S_{\text{EE}} = \frac{1}{4G_N} \int_{\Sigma_8} d^8x e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{\det g_{\Sigma_8}} \quad (3.92)$$

where $\det g_{\Sigma_8}$ is the determinant of the induced metric over the codimension two surface and G_N is the ten-dimensional Newton's constant.

The papers [84, 80] point out that (typically) in a confining background, the EE exhibits a first-order phase transition along with the variation of the width L of the entangled region boundary. Thus the EE provides a useful tool in analyzing the confining properties of a given background. In the present case, we take Σ_8 in the seed-background of eq.(2.2), as a fixed time surface extending along internal $\widehat{S^5}$ submanifold and the $[x_1, x_2, \phi]$ AdS directions, with $r = r(x_1)$. We can compare this with the analogous Σ_8 calculated in the backgrounds of eqs.(2.13),(2.23). As we discuss below, a different behaviour occurs for the non-commutative background of eq. (2.39).

The calculation shows that after the TsT-transformation, in both the marginal and dipole deformed backgrounds, we have that $\det g_{\Sigma_8}$ is modified such that

$$\det g_{\Sigma_8} \longrightarrow G^2 \det g_{\Sigma_8}. \quad (3.93)$$

Notice that in the transformed backgrounds, we found out that the dilaton is given in terms of the G by the relation

$$e^{2\Phi_0} \longrightarrow \epsilon^{2\Phi_0} G. \quad (3.94)$$

We denoted by Φ_0 the value of the dilaton in the seed background of eq.(2.2). All in all, we have that the EE action in eq. (3.92) is an invariant quantity under the TsT-transformations considered here, since

$$S_{EE} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4G_N} \int_{\Sigma_8} d^8x G^{-1} e^{-2\Phi_0} \sqrt{G^2 \det g_{\Sigma_8}} = S_{EE}. \quad (3.95)$$

We conclude that the analysis of the S_{EE} behavior and its dependence on the strip length L follows identically what is presented in [61, 62], to which we refer for precise formulae and plots.

Let us give some details. Considering the surface Σ_8 to be

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{8,\beta} &= [x_1, x_2, \phi, \theta, \varphi, \phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3], \quad \text{with } r(x_1), \\ \Sigma_{8,dip} &= [x_1, x_2, \phi, \mathbb{C}P^2, \varphi], \quad \text{with } r(x_1), \end{aligned} \quad (3.96)$$

for the beta deformed and dipole deformed backgrounds respectively. Calculating the S_{EE} in eq.(3.92), we find

$$S_{EE,\beta} = \mathcal{N}_\beta \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \sqrt{r^6 f(r) + r^2 r'^2}, \quad S_{EE,dip} = \mathcal{N}_{dip} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \sqrt{r^6 f(r) + r^2 r'^2}. \quad (3.97)$$

For the beta deformed and dipole backgrounds respectively. The constants \mathcal{N}_β and \mathcal{N}_{dip} refer to the integration over the remaining seven coordinates.

In sharp contrast, for the non-commutative background of eq.(2.39), we find a qualitatively different result. Choosing the $\Sigma_{8,NC} = [x_1, x_2, \phi, S^5]$, with $r(x_1)$, we find after integration

$$S_{EE,NC} = \mathcal{N}_{NC} \int_{-L/2}^{L/2} dx_1 \sqrt{r^6 f(r) + r^2 G(r) r'^2}. \quad (3.98)$$

The presence of the $G(r)$ -factor changes the behaviour qualitatively. In the case of the backgrounds of eqs.(2.39),(2.53) the dual QFT is highly non-local in the UV. This makes the criterium of [84] not applicable, as discussed in [80], [85]. Interestingly, the dipole theory, being non-local, is not afflicted by this and the EE gives a field theoretical result (see the flow central charge in the next section, for a fingerprint of such non-localities).

In particular, in each (beta deformed and dipole deformed) backgrounds, the EE of the strip as a function of its length L displays a so-called “butterfly shape” first-order phase transition between the connected and the disconnected solutions. The latter is argued to be a signal for confinement. Finally, there is an interesting feature to notice: in [62] has been observed that in the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background (and further examples analyzed in that paper), once having integrated out all the directions aside from x_1 , S_{EE} in eq. (3.92) reduces to a one-dimensional integral expression equivalent (up to overall factors) to the one that appears in the ’t Hooft loops calculations. Hence, in those cases, the ’t Hooft loop and EE display the same behavior with the monopole-anti-monopole/entangling strip lengths respectively. In fact, compare the expressions in eq.(3.97) with those in eqs.(3.83)-(3.84), and (3.91)

In summary, the Wilson loop, the ’t Hooft loop and the Entanglement Entropy point to the confining behaviour of the QFTs dual to the backgrounds in eqs.(2.13),(2.23). Interestingly, all the particular details of the TsT transformed backgrounds cancel-out in the calculation. This points to a form of universality for these QFTs that would be difficult to guess pure on field theoretical grounds.

3.2.4 Holographic flow central charge

In this subsection we analyse a quantity of particular relevance in conformal field theories (which is not the case of the QFT dual to the present backgrounds), the holographic central charge. In a CFT, its central charge encodes the number of degrees of freedom and is related to the system’s free energy. The study of this observable can be extended in the context of holography (both in AdS like and non AdS “confining” background) to the analysis of the holographic central charge flow: a monotonic function describing the counting of the degrees of freedom of a system, undergoing a flow across dimensions [86, 87]. To define this flow central charge, it is useful to write the metric of a general background in the following form

$$ds^2 = -\alpha_0 dt^2 + \sum_{n=1}^d \alpha_n dx_n^2 + \left(\prod_{n=1}^d \alpha_n \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \beta(r) dr^2 + g_{ij}(dy^i - A^i)(dy^j - A^j), \quad (3.99)$$

as well as the dilaton

$$\Phi = \Phi(r, y^i). \quad (3.100)$$

In equation (3.99), the y^i identify the coordinates of the internal manifold and we called x_n the coordinates "of the QFT". We define

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{g}_{ab}d\xi^a d\xi^b &\equiv \sum_{n=1}^d \alpha_n dx_n^2 + g_{ij} dy^i dy^j. \\ H^{\frac{1}{2}}(r) &\equiv \int d\xi^a e^{-2\Phi} \sqrt{\det \widehat{g}_{ab}}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.101}$$

Using the above equations and following [86, 87], the holographic central charge flow is defined as¹⁰

$$c_{\text{flow}} = d^d \frac{\beta(r)^{d/2} H^{(2d+1)/2}}{G_N^{(10)} (H')^d}.\tag{3.102}$$

For the backgrounds in this work, we have $d = 3$, since the x_n span the $[x_1, x_2, \phi]$ directions. Moreover, the coordinates y^i describe the five-dimensional internal manifold. The functions in eq. (3.99) and the dilaton depend on the specific choice of background. We observe a common feature in all the present examples. As noticed in the study of the entanglement entropy in Subsection 3.2.3, in all the β -transformed backgrounds of Section 2 the dilaton is related to its original value as in eq. (3.94). Furthermore, in this work, the TsT-transformations have been performed involving $U(1)$ isometries laying in the submanifold described by $[x_n, y^i]$. We then have

$$\det \widehat{g}_{ab} \longrightarrow_{\beta} G^2 \det \widehat{g}_{ab}.\tag{3.103}$$

As before, we focus on the backgrounds in eqs.(2.13) and (2.23). The function $H(r)$ in eq. (3.101) is invariant under TsT-transformations. This could drive us to the conclusion that the flow central charge in eq. (3.102) is invariant as well. This conclusion is not correct, see below for a careful study.

Let us summarise the analysis of c_{flow} in the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background of eq.(2.2) [62]. In this case, we have that the functions in eq. (3.99) reads

$$\alpha_{1,2} = r^2, \quad \alpha_3 = r^2 f(r), \quad \beta(r) = \frac{1}{r^4} f(r)^{-\frac{4}{3}}.\tag{3.104}$$

Moreover, using the metric in eq. (2.2) we can evaluate the function $H(r)$ in eq. (3.101), obtaining

$$H^{\frac{1}{2}}(r) = \text{vol}_{S^5} r^3 \sqrt{f(r)}.\tag{3.105}$$

We find c_{flow} in eq. (3.102) to be,

$$c_{\text{flow}} = \frac{\text{vol}_{S^5}}{8G_N^{(10)}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(r)}}{f(r) + \frac{r}{6} f'(r)} \right)^3 = \frac{\text{vol}_{S^5}}{8G_N^{(10)}} \left(1 - \frac{Q^6}{r^6} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}.\tag{3.106}$$

¹⁰Here the primes " ' " stands for the derivative respect with the r coordinate.

The quantity in eq. (3.106) is defined all along the range of the radial coordinate $r \in [Q, \infty)$. In particular, in the limit of the deep IR, *i.e.* $r \rightarrow Q$, c_{flow} approaches zero, suggesting the lack of dynamical degrees of freedom (a gapped system). On the other hand, in the UV regime c_{flow} has a non-vanishing limit value given by

$$c_{\text{UV}} = \frac{\text{vol}_{S^5}}{8G_N^{(10)}}. \quad (3.107)$$

We have a monotonic quantity for a quantity that describes a flow across dimensions. This interpolates between zero degrees of freedom in the IR, and the value in eq.(3.107) for the UV. This value in eq.(3.107) corresponds to the 4d SCFT point. The same result is obtained if we work with the background of eq.(2.13). In fact, being a marginal deformation, we should expect that the holographic central charge at the UV fixed point is unchanged, and the same to occur along the flow.

The case of the dipole deformation—the background in eq.(2.23) is subtly different. In fact, whilst α_1, α_2 take the same values as in eq.(3.104), we find that

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = r^2, \quad \alpha_3 = r^2 f(r) G(r), \quad \beta(r) = \frac{1}{r^4 f(r)^{4/3} G^{1/3}}. \quad (3.108)$$

The change in α_3 respect to eq.(3.104) is not so relevant as it is cancelled by the factor of the dilaton $e^{-2\Phi} = \frac{1}{G}$, leading to the same $H(r)$ as in eq.(3.105). In contrast, the change in the function $\beta(r)$ implies that after calculating with eq.(3.102) we find,

$$c_{\text{flow}} = \frac{\text{vol}_{S^5}}{8G_N^{(10)}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{f(r)}}{f(r) + \frac{r}{6} f'(r)} \right)^3 \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{G(r)}}. \quad (3.109)$$

Analysing this expression we find still the tell-sign of a gapped system (vanishing at $r = Q$), but the far UV asymptotics is not that corresponding to a CFT. In fact, we observe that the quantity grows unbounded $c_{\text{flow}} \sim r$. We anticipated this, given that the $r \rightarrow \infty$ asymptotics of the background is not AdS_5 —see the comment around eq.(2.35). This is the sign of a QFT in need of a UV completion. As we insisted, this dipole-deformed QFTs do not have a well defined UV, they are non-local field theories. The good definition of the system is (probably) in terms of a string theory. In some sense, the UV-behaviour is reminiscent of what occurs in the systems of [50], [51]. Whilst the behaviour of the EE, Wilson and 't Hooft loops was universal for backgrounds in eqs.(2.2),(2.13) and (2.23), the behaviour of the flow central charge is not the same for the three backgrounds. This could have been anticipated given the non-local character of the dipole QFT.

3.2.5 A Polyakov-like loop and symmetry breaking

In this subsection, we study the properties of the Wilson loop of the $SU(N)$ gauge field along the compactified S^1 cycle. The latter plays the role of order parameter for the spontaneous breaking

of a \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry of the dual field theory on the $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times S^1$.

The loop we study is not taken along a thermal circle as in [8]. Following the notation in [58], we refer to this order parameter as *Polyakov loop*.¹¹ In particular in the present case, this is given by

$$P_\phi = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr} \exp i \oint_{S_\phi^1} \Theta^{(0)}, \quad (3.110)$$

where $\Theta^{(0)}$ is the zero-mode of the ϕ -component of the $SU(N)$ gauge field, A_ϕ after the Kaluza-Klein reduction. Let us consider an extended (large) gauge transformation. Then, the Polyakov loop in eq. (3.110) transforms under the latter as [88, 8]

$$P_\phi \rightarrow h P_\phi, \quad h \in \mathbb{Z}_N = \left\{ e^{2\pi k i/N}, k = 0, \dots, N-1 \right\}. \quad (3.111)$$

From eq. (3.111) is clear why the expectation value of the Polyakov loop is an order parameter for the \mathbb{Z}_N spontaneous symmetry breaking. If $\langle P_\phi \rangle = 0$, the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry is unbroken. On the other hand when $\langle P_\phi \rangle \neq 0$, it reveals the spontaneously broken phase of the symmetry. Notice that we do not associate this discrete symmetry with the center of the electric gauge group.

The authors of [58] noted that the holographic computation for the (regularized) Polyakov loop in the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background leads to a non-vanishing expectation value proportional to the square root of the 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$, namely

$$\langle P_\phi \rangle \sim \exp(-S_{NG}^{\text{reg}}) \sim e^{-a\sqrt{\lambda}} \neq 0, \quad (3.112)$$

with a being a constant. This yields the conclusion that field theory dual to solution in (2.2) displays a spontaneous breaking of the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry.

Thus, it is very interesting to analyze the Polyakov loop behavior also in the field theories dual to the backgrounds introduced in Section 2, with special regard to the marginal and dipole deformed ones. Let us start by analyzing the holographic computation of the Polyakov loop in the β -transformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ solution in Subsection 2.1. To do that we take the embedding for the (Euclidean) string worldsheet as given by

$$\phi(\tau) = \tau, \quad r(\sigma) = \sigma, \quad \mu_i = \text{constant}, \quad (3.113)$$

from which the induced metric reads

$$ds_{\text{ind}}^2 = \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} dr^2 + \left[r^2 f(r) + G (1 + 9\gamma^2 \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2) Q^6 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^2 \right] d\phi^2. \quad (3.114)$$

¹¹In its original definition as temporal Wilson loop, the Polyakov loop acts as an order parameter for confinement. It is related to the free energy of a static (infinitely) massive quark, $|P_t| \sim \exp(-F/T)$ [88, 8]. Notice that this is not the case of the expression in eq. (3.110), where the integral is taken over the spatial cycle S_ϕ^1 and there are no proper notions of temperature and free energy.

We can immediately notice that given the embedding in eq. (3.113), this probe string configuration is thus not blind to the TsT-transformation, since the result is directly depending on the γ parameter.

The on-shell Nambu-Goto action for the string is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NG}^{\text{on-shell}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_Q^\infty dr \int_0^{2\pi R} d\phi \sqrt{\det g_{\text{ind}}} = R \int_Q^\infty dr \sqrt{1 + G \left(1 + 9\gamma^2 \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2\right) \left(\frac{Q^2(r^2 - Q^2)^2}{r^6 f(r)}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{2\lambda} R Q \int_1^\infty d\rho \sqrt{1 + G \left(1 + 9\gamma^2 \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2\right) \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)^2}{(\rho^6 - 1)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.115)$$

In the limit $\gamma \rightarrow 0$, this expression reduces to the one for the seed-background $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ solution in eq. (3.112) [58]. In the last line we have introduced back the *AdS* radius ℓ and α' , used the identification

$$\ell^4 / \alpha'^2 = 2g_{YM}^2 N = 2\lambda. \quad (3.116)$$

and defined the dimensionless radial coordinate ρ as

$$r = Q\ell^2 \rho. \quad (3.117)$$

Notice that similarly to what observed in [58], the expression is regular at $\rho = 1$ but has to be regularized at infinity adding a well-suited counterterm (in particular the integral in eq. (3.120) is linearly divergent). Thus, following the standard procedure, we can write the UV regularized on-shell action as

$$S_{NG}^{\text{reg}} = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2\lambda} R Q \left[\int_1^\Lambda d\rho \sqrt{1 + G \left(1 + 9\gamma^2 \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2\right) \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)^2}{(\rho^6 - 1)}} - \Lambda \right], \quad (3.118)$$

which remarkably is finite and non-vanishing.

Interesting is also the case of the field theory dual to β -dipole solution of Subsection 2.2. Using the background in eq. (2.23), and fixing the probe string embedding as in eq. (3.113), we have that the induced metric on the worldsheet is

$$ds_{\text{ind}}^2 = \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} dr^2 + G(r) \left[r^2 f(r) + Q^6 \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{Q^2} \right)^2 \right] d\phi^2, \quad (3.119)$$

and the on-shell string action reads

$$\begin{aligned} S_{NG}^{\text{on-shell}} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_Q^\infty dr \int_0^{2\pi R} d\phi \sqrt{\det g_{\text{ind}}} = R \int_Q^\infty dr \sqrt{G(r) \left(1 + \frac{Q^2(r^2 - Q^2)^2}{r^6 f(r)}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{2\lambda} R Q \int_1^\infty d\rho \sqrt{\frac{\rho^4}{\rho^4 + \gamma^2 Q^2 \ell^2 (\rho^6 - 1)} \left(1 + \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)^2}{(\rho^6 - 1)}\right)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.120)$$

In the present case, the expression in eq. (3.120) is regular in $\rho = 1$, while the regularized action is given by

$$S_{NG}^{\text{reg}} = \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{2\lambda} R Q \left[\int_1^\Lambda d\rho \sqrt{\frac{\rho^4}{\rho^4 + \gamma^2 Q^2 \ell^4 (\rho^6 - 1)}} \left(1 + \frac{(\rho^2 - 1)^2}{(\rho^6 - 1)} \right) - \frac{1}{\gamma Q \ell^2} \log \Lambda \right], \quad (3.121)$$

which gives a (γ and Q depending) non-zero value .

Given the results in eqs. (3.118) and (3.121), we observe that after the marginal and dipole TsT-transformations, the field theories dual to the deformed backgrounds in Subsections 2.1 and 2.2, display a non-vanishing Polyakov loop expectation value as in eq. (3.112). From this feature we conclude that the present theories are characterized by a symmetry \mathbb{Z}_N broken phase, with N possible different vacua. Note also that in both the marginal and dipole deformed QFTs dual to the backgrounds in eqs.(2.13) and (2.23), the VEV of the Polyakov loop is dependent on the deformation parameter γ . This is an observable whose dynamics is actually influenced by the KK-modes of the circle reduction. The KK modes do contribute to the VEV of the Polyakov-like loop.

3.2.6 Semiclassical spinning strings in beta-deformed $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$

In this subsection, we study a semiclassical spinning string in the marginal β -deformed solution of eq. (2.13). We consider the simple case of a string laying on the minimal radius surface $r = Q$ of the AdS -spacetime and probing the internal β -deformed \widehat{S}^5 , spinning in two directions. Since in this case the string is not moving around the compact ϕ or the radial directions, we expect that the results will not differ so much from the one in [89, 90] for the Lunin-Maldacena β -transformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution.

We start displaying the bosonic part of the Polyakov classical string action in curved background,¹²

$$S_P = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int d^2\sigma \left[\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n g_{mn} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n B_{mn} \right]. \quad (3.122)$$

Here g_{mn} is the ten-dimensional target spacetime metric, B_{mn} is the NS-NS 2-form field and $X^m(\tau, \sigma)$ are the embedding functions. We have chosen the conformal gauge fixing

$$\sqrt{-h} h^{\alpha\beta} \equiv \eta^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (3.123)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for the Polyakov action in eq.(3.122) read

$$2\partial_\alpha \left(\eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta X^m g_{ms} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta X^m B_{sm} \right) = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n \partial_s g_{mn} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha X^m \partial_\beta X^n \partial_s B_{mn}. \quad (3.124)$$

¹²We use the convention $\epsilon^{\tau\sigma} = 1$. Also, to avoid confusion between target space and worldsheet quantities, we use Greek and Latin letters for worldsheet and background indices, respectively.

or equivalently ¹³

$$g_{sm}\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta X^m + \frac{1}{2}[\partial_n g_{ms} + \partial_m g_{ns} - \partial_s g_{mn}]\eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n H_{smn}. \quad (3.125)$$

Then, introducing the Christoffel symbols for background metric, Γ_{mn}^s , we can recast eq. (3.125) in the form [91, 92]¹⁴

$$\eta^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta X^s + \partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n\Gamma_{mn}^s) = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n H^s{}_{mn}. \quad (3.126)$$

Equation (3.126) has to be solved along with the Virasoro constraints

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n g_{mn} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\rho\lambda}\partial_\rho X^m\partial_\lambda X^n g_{mn} = 0. \quad (3.127)$$

In this subsection we follow a similar approach to the one in [89, 90, 93]. We refer to these works for more details of the semiclassical analysis of rotating and spinning strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and β -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$.

Let us start our analysis by requiring the string to move on the surface described by

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \theta = \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad \mu_1 = \sin \varphi \quad \mu_2 = \cos \varphi, \quad \mu_3 = 0, \quad x_1, x_2, \phi, \psi = \text{const} \right\}, \quad (3.128)$$

where the μ_i coefficient are defined in eq. (2.4). Using the marginal deformed solution in eq. (2.13) and angular coordinates in eq. (2.9),¹⁵ we can write down the induced metric on the surface in eq. (3.128) as

$$ds_{10}^2|_\Sigma = -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + d\varphi^2 + G(\sin^2 \varphi d\phi_1^2 + \cos^2 \varphi d\phi_2^2), \quad (3.129)$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{Q^6}{r^6}, \quad G^{-1} = 1 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4} \sin^2 2\varphi, \quad g_0 = \sin^2 \varphi \cos^2 \varphi. \quad (3.130)$$

Moreover, for the presents ansatz the B -field is given by

$$B|_\Sigma = -\gamma g_0 G d\phi_1 \wedge d\phi_2. \quad (3.131)$$

¹³Here, we have use the identity: $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n [\partial_m B_{sn} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_s B_{mn}] = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha X^m\partial_\beta X^n H_{smn}$.

¹⁴In the case of vanishing Christoffel connection for the induced metric $P[g_{mn}]$ (as in the configurations analyzed in what follows), the rhs of eq.(3.126) coincides with the trace of the so-called second fundamental form or extrinsic curvature of the worldsheet $K_{\alpha\beta}^m$ [92].

¹⁵Since the ψ -direction is frozen, the ϕ_i angles are defined as $\phi_1 = -\varphi_1$ and $\phi_2 = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$.

Using the ansatz in eq. (3.128), we can derive the equations of motions for the fundamental string modes as in eq. (3.126)

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta t + 2\Gamma_{tr}^t \partial_\alpha t \partial_\beta r) &= 0, \\
\eta^{\alpha\beta} (\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta r + \Gamma_{rr}^r \partial_\alpha r \partial_\beta r + \Gamma_{tt}^r \partial_\alpha t \partial_\beta t) &= 0, \\
\eta^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \varphi + \Gamma_{\phi_1 \phi_1}^\varphi \partial_\alpha \phi_1 \partial_\beta \phi_1 + \Gamma_{\phi_2 \phi_2}^\varphi \partial_\alpha \phi_2 \partial_\beta \phi_2 \right) + \partial_\varphi B_{\phi_1 \phi_2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi_1 \partial_\beta \phi_2 &= 0, \\
\eta^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \phi_1 + 2\Gamma_{\phi_1 \varphi}^{\phi_1} \partial_\alpha \phi_1 \partial_\beta \varphi \right) - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha (B_{\phi_1 \phi_2} \partial_\beta \phi_2) g^{\phi_1 \phi_1} &= 0, \\
\eta^{\alpha\beta} \left(\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \phi_2 + 2\Gamma_{\phi_2 \varphi}^{\phi_2} \partial_\alpha \phi_2 \partial_\beta \varphi \right) + \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha (B_{\phi_1 \phi_2} \partial_\beta \phi_1) g^{\phi_2 \phi_2} &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.132}$$

We further choose to place the string at the minimal radius $r = Q$ and with $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{4}$. We find ¹⁶

$$\Gamma_{tt}^r = 0, \quad \Gamma_{\phi_1 \varphi}^{\phi_1} = -\Gamma_{\phi_2 \varphi}^{\phi_2} = 1, \quad \Gamma_{\phi_1 \phi_1}^\varphi = -\Gamma_{\phi_2 \phi_2}^\varphi = -\frac{2}{4 + \gamma^2}. \tag{3.133}$$

Hence, a solution for eq. (3.132) is then given by

$$t = \kappa\tau, \quad \phi_i = \omega_i \tau + m_i \sigma \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad r = Q, \quad \varphi = \frac{\pi}{4}, \tag{3.134}$$

with the “ φ ” equation prescribing

$$\omega_1^2 - m_1^2 = \omega_2^2 - m_2^2. \tag{3.135}$$

Moreover, the solution in eq. (3.134) has to satisfy the Virasoro constraints in eq. (3.127), namely

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\tau\tau} &= -\frac{Q^2}{2} \kappa^2 + \frac{G}{4} (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2) \equiv 0, \\
T_{\tau\sigma} &= \frac{G}{2} (\omega_1 m_1 + \omega_2 m_2) \equiv 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.136}$$

which further fix

$$\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega, \quad m_1 = -m_2 = -m, \quad \kappa^2 Q^2 = G (\omega^2 + m^2). \tag{3.137}$$

We can now account for the conserved charges corresponding to the t , and ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 variables, *i.e.* the Energy and angular momenta J_1, J_2 . The first step consists in writing down the canonical momentum density

$$\Pi_m^\tau = \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_\tau X^m} = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\partial_\tau X^n g_{mn} + \partial_\sigma X^n B_{mn}), \tag{3.138}$$

¹⁶Notice that working around the cigar tip, we formally consider string worldsheet at constant $r = Q + \varepsilon$ and must take the $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit only at the end of the geometrical calculations in order to circumvent any coordinate singularities. See [94] for a similar worldsheet analysis.

Thus, using eq. (3.138) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_t^\tau &= -\frac{1}{2\pi}Q^2\partial_\tau t = -\frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2\pi}Q^2\kappa, \\
\Pi_{\phi_1}^\tau &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{G}{2}\left(\partial_\tau\phi_1 - \frac{\gamma}{2}\partial_\sigma\phi_2\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{G}{2}\left(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2}m\right), \\
\Pi_{\phi_2}^\tau &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{G}{2}\left(\partial_\tau\phi_2 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\partial_\sigma\phi_1\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2\pi}\frac{G}{2}\left(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2}m\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.139}$$

where we have restored the *AdS* radius ℓ and α' , and used the relation in eq. (3.116) for the 't Hooft coupling λ . Hence, we can finally compute the conserved charges associated with the Poincaré invariance

$$P_m = \int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma \Pi_m^\tau. \tag{3.140}$$

In particular using eq. (3.139) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E = \sqrt{2\lambda}\mathcal{E} = -P_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma Q^2\kappa = \sqrt{2\lambda}Q^2\kappa, \\
J_1 = J_2 = \sqrt{2\lambda}\mathcal{J}_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{2\lambda}G}{4\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} d\sigma\left(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2}m\right) = \sqrt{2\lambda}\frac{G}{2}\left(\omega - \frac{\gamma}{2}m\right), \\
\mathcal{J} &= \mathcal{J}_1 + \mathcal{J}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.141}$$

Using the Virasoro constraints in eq. (3.137) and expressions in eq. (3.141), we can then relate the string energy as

$$E = \sqrt{2\lambda}Q\sqrt{\mathcal{J}^2 + \left(m + \frac{\gamma}{2}\mathcal{J}\right)^2}. \tag{3.142}$$

This result is closely related to those in [89] for the circular string spinning in two directions of β -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background.

Notice that the result above mixes the phenomena of confinement (characterised by the parameter Q) and the beta-deformation (characterised by the parameter γ). The spinning strings allow us to observe, using the energy in eq.(3.142) a superposition between the two effects. One effect is typical of the IR of the QFT, the other is associated with the UV of it.

4 Conclusions and future lines of study

The conclusions drawn from this work span both geometrical aspects and quantum field theory (QFT) observables, providing new connections between string theory and gauge theories using holography. Through the two main parts, Geometry and Quantum Field Theory duals—this study contributes to the understanding of simple background geometries and their field theory counterparts.

A summary of the paper goes as follows: in the first part we revisited the seed background originally written by Anabalón and Ross [47], which serves as the seed for the new solutions generated using TsT (T-duality, shift, and T-duality) transformations. These transformations, applied with different choices of $U(1)$ symmetries in the five-sphere and in the compact direction of the QFT, have led to some new type IIB backgrounds. One is the β -deformed background, where the $U(1)$ symmetries are embedded as R-symmetries inside S^5 . The second is the dipole background, where one of the $U(1)$ symmetries is inside the five-sphere and the other is associated with the compact direction of the UV-QFT. This distinction is central to the structure of the resulting quantum field theories.

We also introduced two additional backgrounds: a non-commutative deformation and its dipole counterpart. While these solutions are presented with detailed calculations of the associated quantised charges and geometric invariants, their full exploration is reserved for future work, as they lie outside the primary focus of this study.

In the second part, we turned to the dual QFTs associated with these geometric backgrounds, shedding light on how these deformations influence observables in the quantum theory. A perturbative analysis of the compactified QFT on S^1 with an R-symmetry twist reveals interesting behaviours through the use of the \star -product in the β -deformed and dipole-deformed field theories. These deformations introduce a parameter, γ , which alters observables involving KK modes. Our analysis emphasizes the impact of this parameter on the dynamics.

By analyzing the Wilson, 't Hooft, and Polyakov loops, we demonstrate that electric quarks in these backgrounds exhibit confinement, with a breaking of the \mathbb{Z}_N symmetry. This would have been difficult to uncover without the use of holographic dual backgrounds. Moreover, the calculation of the entanglement entropy in the deformed backgrounds, supports the argument for confinement.

Of particular note is the result of the flow central charge, which serves as an energy-dependent measure of the degrees of freedom in the dual quantum field theories. This quantity provides an insightful window into the flow across dimensions of these QFTs, capturing the transition from zero degrees of freedom in the low-energy, gapped phase to the degrees of freedom corresponding to the UV conformal field theory. In the case of the dipole-deformed background, the central charge diverges in the UV, signaling the presence of a non-local theory that may not be describable by traditional field-theoretic means. This suggests the existence of more exotic UV behavior, possibly involving stringy or other non-local effects.

The analysis of semiclassical strings further supports these findings. By studying the behavior of heavy operators in the deformed QFTs, we find that these strings exhibit characteristics that mix both confinement and the effects of marginal deformations.

Let us propose some topics for future investigation:

- An intriguing direction would be to apply TsT transformations to other related systems,

further exploring the interaction between massless modes and KK-modes in the context of dynamical observables. This could improve the understanding of the role of KK-modes in holographic setups.

- It would also be valuable to explore one-loop corrections to the Wilson loops computed in our background. Additionally, investigating the potential Hagedorn behavior of our QFTs would be of interest, building on the insights from recent studies [94, 95, 96, 97]. This could provide further information on the thermal properties and phase transitions of these theories.
- Another worthwhile pursuit would be the construction of Anabalón-Ross-like deformations of AdS geometries in different dimensions. For instance, similar deformations could be considered in families of AdS_7 [98, 99], AdS_6 [100, 101], AdS_5 [61, 62, 102, 103], and AdS_4 [104, 105]. These generalizations could shed light on the RG flows and dual QFTs in various dimensions.

In conclusion, this work offers an exploration of both geometric and field-theoretic aspects of TsT-deformed backgrounds, with insights into the behavior of quantum field theories under such deformations. The holographic duality provides a powerful framework for analyzing these systems, allowing us to compute a wide range of observables that shed light on the underlying physics. The study of confinement, symmetry breaking, and entanglement entropy underscores the rich structure of these QFTs, while the flow central charge and semiclassical string analysis point towards interesting connections between geometry and field theory. Future work may also explore the non-commutative and dipole-deformed solutions in greater depth, potentially giving new understanding of non-local theories and their holographic duals.

Acknowledgments

For discussions, comments on the manuscript and for sharing their ideas with us, we wish to thank: Francesco Bigazzi, Riccardo Borsato, Aldo Cotrone, S. Prem Kumar, Massimo Porrati, Ricardo Stuardo, Stijn van Tongeren. F.C. wants to thank the Swansea University for the hospitality during the research period that led to this work. We are supported by the grants ST/Y509644-1, ST/X000648/1 and ST/T000813/1.

Open Access Statement—For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

Data Statement—no new data were generated in this work.

A TsT: details of the generating technique

In this appendix we clearly derive some of the formulas obtained in the main body of the paper.

A.1 Abelian T-duality

Following a similar approach to the one in [106], it is also useful to recall Abelian T-duality transformation rules in the flat-index formalism. Let us consider a general ten-dimensional metric with a $U(1)$ isometry, then the latter can be written in the form

$$ds_{10}^2 = ds_9^2 + e^{2C}(dz + A_1)^2. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Moreover, we can decompose further the fields of the related type II supergravity solution as

$$B = B_2 + B_1 \wedge dz, \quad F = F_\perp + F_\parallel \wedge e^C(dz + A_1), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and in addition, consider a non-zero dilaton field Φ . Then, following the conventions for Abelian T-duality transformations in [106], we get the T-dual solution will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^{2(2)} &= ds_9^2 + e^{-2C}(dz - B_1)^2, \\ B^{(2)} &= B_2 - A_1 \wedge (dz - B_1), \quad \Phi^{(2)} = \Phi - C, \\ F^{(2)} &= F_\perp^{(2)} + F_\parallel^{(2)} \wedge e^{-C}(dz - B_1), \quad F_\perp^{(2)} = e^C F_\perp, \quad F_\parallel^{(2)} = e^C F_\parallel. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The results in (A.3) turn out to be very helpful in performing the TsT transformation of type II supergravity analyzed in this work.

A.2 TsT transformation on $AdS_5 \times S^5$

In this appendix, referring closely to [57], we want to briefly review the original Lunin-Maldacena β -transformation in $AdS_5 \times S^5$. Let us start by displaying the field content of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ type IIB supergravity solution, which reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^2 &= ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) \left(D\varphi_1 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2 \right)^2 + \frac{g_0}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2^2, \\ e^{2\Phi} &= 1, \quad B = 0, \\ F_5 &= 4(\omega_{AdS_5} + \omega_{S^5}), \quad \omega_{S^5} = 3d\omega_1 \wedge d\psi \wedge d\varphi_2 \wedge d\varphi_1, \quad d\omega_1 = \sqrt{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} \mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 d\theta \wedge d\varphi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where we the μ_i are defined as in eq.(2.4) and

$$\begin{aligned} g_0 &= \mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 + \mu_1^2 \mu_3^2 + \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2, \\ D\varphi_1 &= d\varphi_1 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) d\psi, \quad D\varphi_2 = d\varphi_2 + \left(3 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2}{g_0} - 1 \right) d\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Now, looking at the prescription for Abelian T-dualities in A.1, we can apply step by step the TsT-transformation algorithm on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution as in [57]. Let us start by performing

a T-duality with respect to one of the $U(1)$ isometries of the metric in (A.4), say associated with the φ_1 direction. In particular, before applying the T-transformation, it is useful to look at the expressions in (A.3), and recognize the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} dz &= d\varphi_1 \quad A_1 = \left(3\frac{\mu_2^2\mu_3^2}{g_0} - 1\right) d\psi + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2, \\ ds_9^2 &= ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9\frac{\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + \frac{g_0}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2^2, \\ e^{2C} &= (\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2), \quad B_1 = B_2 = 0, \quad F_\perp = 4\omega_{AdS_5}, \quad F_\perp = 4e^{-C}i_{\varphi_1}\omega_{S^5}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where $i_{\varphi_1} \cdot$ is the interior product respect with the φ_1 direction. Then, we can perform the T-duality along the φ_1 direction, obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^{2(2)} &= ds_9^2 + e^{-2C} d\varphi_1^2, \\ B^{(2)} &= -A_1 \wedge d\varphi_1, \quad \Phi^{(2)} = \Phi - C, \\ F^{(2)} &= F_\perp^{(2)} + F_\parallel^{(2)} \wedge e^{-C} d\varphi_1, \quad F_\parallel^{(2)} = e^C 4\omega_{AdS_5}, \quad F_\perp^{(2)} = 4i_{\varphi_1}\omega_{S^5}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

After that, we can perform the second step of the β -transformation by shifting the φ_2 as

$$\varphi_2 \rightarrow \varphi_2 + \gamma\varphi_1, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Hence under this shift (A.8) the metric in (A.7) transforms to

$$ds_{10}^{2(3)} = ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9\frac{\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + \frac{g_0}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} (D\varphi_2 + \gamma d\varphi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} d\varphi_1^2, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and the solution (A.7) can be recast as

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{10}^{2(3)} &= ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9\frac{\mu_1^2\mu_2^2\mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + \frac{g_0 G}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2^2 + \frac{G^{-1}}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} \left(d\varphi_1 + A_1^{(3)}\right)^2, \\ A_1^{(3)} &= \gamma g_0 G D\varphi_2, \quad G^{-1} = 1 + \gamma^2 g_0, \quad e^{2C^{(3)}} = \frac{G^{-1}}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2}, \\ B^{(3)} &= -A_1 \wedge d\varphi_1, \quad \Phi^{(3)} = \Phi - C, \\ F^{(3)} &= F_\perp^{(3)} + F_\parallel^{(3)} \wedge e^{C^{(3)}} \left(d\varphi_1 + A_1^{(3)}\right), \\ F_\parallel^{(3)} &= e^{-C^{(3)}} 4 \left(\omega_{AdS_5} + \gamma i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \omega_{S^5}\right), \quad F_\perp^{(3)} = 4 \left(G i_{\varphi_1} \omega_{S^5} - \omega_{AdS_5} \wedge A_1^{(3)}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Finally, using again the prescription in (A.3), we have to T-dualize back (A.10) along the φ_1

direction, and we end up on the type IIB solution given by

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{10}^2{}^{(4)} &= ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + \frac{g_0 G}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2^2 + G(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) (d\varphi_1 + A_1)^2, \\
B^{(4)} &= -A_1^{(3)} \wedge (d\varphi_1 + A_1), \quad \Phi^{(4)} = \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \log G, \\
F^{(4)} &= F_{\perp}^{(4)} + F_{\parallel}^{(4)} \wedge e^{-C^{(3)}} (d\varphi_1 + A_1), \\
F_{\perp}^{(4)} &= 4 (\omega_{AdS_5} + \gamma i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \omega_{S^5}), \quad F_{\parallel}^{(4)} = 4e^{C^{(3)}} \left(G \omega_{S^5} - \omega_{AdS_5} \wedge A_1^{(3)} \right), \tag{A.11}
\end{aligned}$$

that can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
ds_{10}^2 &= ds_{AdS_5}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^3 d\mu_i^2 + 9 \frac{\mu_1^2 \mu_2^2 \mu_3^2}{g_0} d\psi^2 + \frac{g_0 G}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2^2 + G(\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2) \left(D\varphi_1 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\varphi_2 \right)^2, \\
B &= \gamma g_0 G D\varphi_1 \wedge D\varphi_2, \quad \Phi^{(4)} = \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \log G, \\
F_5 &= 4 (\omega_{AdS_5} + G \omega_{S^5}), \quad F_3 = 4\gamma i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \omega_{S^5}, \quad F_7 = 4\omega_{AdS_5} \wedge B, \tag{A.12}
\end{aligned}$$

which coincides with the Lunin-Maldacena solution for the β -deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [57].

A.3 Details on the β -transformed RR forms in $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$

Here, in this appendix, we give some details on the β -transformed RR forms in the $\widehat{AdS}_5 \times \widehat{S}^5$ background in eq. (2.3). In particular, we provide a step by step calculation of the TsT transformations acting on the electric part of the five-form G_5 defined in eq. (2.3). The result will be easily extendable to the magnetic part $\star_{10} G_5$. Using the definitions in eq. (2.3), G_5 can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
G_5 &= -4\text{vol}_5 - 2Q^3 J_2 \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \\
&= -4\text{vol}_5 - 2Q^3 \left[\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i d\mu_i \wedge D\psi + (\mu_2 d\mu_2 - \mu_3 d\mu_3) \wedge d\varphi_2 + (\mu_2 d\mu_2 - \mu_1 d\mu_1) \wedge d\varphi_1 \right] \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \\
&= -4\text{vol}_5 + \beta_4 \wedge D\psi + \gamma_4 \wedge d\varphi_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge d\varphi_1, \tag{A.13}
\end{aligned}$$

where, for a shorter notation, we have introduced the following definition

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{vol}_5 &\equiv dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dr \wedge d\phi, \quad \alpha_4 \equiv 2Q^3 (\mu_2 d\mu_2 - \mu_1 d\mu_1) \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \\
\beta_4 &\equiv 2Q^3 \sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i d\mu_i \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2, \quad \gamma_4 \equiv 2Q^3 (\mu_2 d\mu_2 - \mu_3 d\mu_3) \wedge dt \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2. \tag{A.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, having in mind the expressions in eq. (A.3), we can massage G_5 in eq. (A.13) as

$$\begin{aligned} G_5 &= G_{5\perp} + \alpha_4 \wedge (d\varphi_1 + A_1), \\ G_{5\perp} &= -4\text{vol}_5 + \beta_4 \wedge D\psi + \gamma_4 \wedge d\varphi_2 - \alpha_4 \wedge A_1, \\ A_1 &= \left(3\frac{\mu_2^2\mu_3^2}{g_0} - 1\right) D\psi + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Then, at this point we can proceed with the T-transformation respect with φ_1 , obtaining a four-form and a six-form

$$G_4^{(2)} = \alpha_4, \quad G_6^{(2)} = G_{5\perp} \wedge d\varphi_1, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

and after the shift $\varphi_2 \rightarrow \varphi_2 + \gamma\varphi_1$, these read

$$\begin{aligned} G_4^{(3)} &= \alpha_4, \\ G_6^{(3)} &= G_{5\perp} \wedge \left(d\varphi_1 + A_1^{(3)}\right) - G_{5\perp} \wedge A_1^{(3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where, in analogy with eq. (A.10), $A_1^{(3)}$ is defined as

$$A_1^{(3)} = \gamma g_0 G D\tilde{\varphi}_2. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Finally, we can T-dualize again along the φ_1 direction. The electric form content of the TsT-transformed type IIB theory is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_5^{(4)} &= G_5, \\ G_7^{(4)} &= -G_{5\perp} \wedge A_1^{(3)} \wedge (d\varphi_1 + A_1). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.19})$$

Now, let us look closer at the above seven-form: indeed, using eq. (A.13) and eq. (A.15), the latter can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} G_7^{(4)} &= -\gamma g_0 G G_{5\perp} \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \wedge \left(D\tilde{\varphi}_1 + \frac{\mu_2^2}{\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2} D\tilde{\varphi}_2\right) \\ &= -\gamma g_0 G G_{5\perp} \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \omega D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \\ &= \gamma g_0 G (-4\text{vol}_5 + \beta_4 \wedge D\psi + \gamma_4 \wedge d\varphi_2 - \alpha_4 \wedge A_1) \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ &= \gamma g_0 G (-4\text{vol}_5 + \beta_4 \wedge D\psi + \gamma_4 \wedge d\varphi_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \varphi_1) \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2 \\ &= \gamma g_0 G G_5 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.20})$$

obtaining the result in eq. (A.12). Notice that, performing a similar analysis for the magnetic RR-forms, we expect the total RR form content of the β -dual theory to be

$$\begin{aligned} F_3 &= \gamma i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \star_{10} G_5 = \frac{\gamma}{G} i_{\varphi_2} i_{\varphi_1} \star_{10\beta} G_5, \\ F_5 &= (1 + G\star_{10})G_5 = (1 + \star_{10\beta})G_5, \\ F_7 &= \gamma g_0 G G_5 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_1 \wedge D\tilde{\varphi}_2 = G_5 \wedge B. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.21})$$

References

- [1] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [4] N. Itzhaki, J. M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, *Supergravity and the large N limit of theories with sixteen supercharges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 046004 [[hep-th/9802042](#)].
- [5] H. J. Boonstra, K. Skenderis and P. K. Townsend, *The domain wall / QFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **01** (1999) 003 [[hep-th/9807137](#)].
- [6] L. Girardello, M. Petrini, M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni, *Novel local CFT and exact results on perturbations of $N=4$ superYang Mills from AdS dynamics*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 022 [[hep-th/9810126](#)].
- [7] L. Girardello, M. Petrini, M. Porrati and A. Zaffaroni, *The Supergravity dual of $N=1$ superYang-Mills theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **569** (2000) 451 [[hep-th/9909047](#)].
- [8] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505 [[hep-th/9803131](#)].
- [9] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, *Towards the large N limit of pure $N=1$ superYang-Mills*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86** (2001) 588 [[hep-th/0008001](#)].
- [10] H. Nieder and Y. Oz, *Supergravity and D-branes wrapping special Lagrangian cycles*, *JHEP* **03** (2001) 008 [[hep-th/0011288](#)].
- [11] B. S. Acharya, J. P. Gauntlett and N. Kim, *Five-branes wrapped on associative three cycles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 106003 [[hep-th/0011190](#)].
- [12] J. P. Gauntlett, N. Kim and D. Waldram, *M Five-branes wrapped on supersymmetric cycles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **63** (2001) 126001 [[hep-th/0012195](#)].
- [13] G. Papadopoulos and A. A. Tseytlin, *Complex geometry of conifolds and five-brane wrapped on two sphere*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18** (2001) 1333 [[hep-th/0012034](#)].

- [14] J. D. Edelstein and C. Nunez, *D6-branes and M theory geometrical transitions from gauged supergravity*, *JHEP* **04** (2001) 028 [[hep-th/0103167](#)].
- [15] J. Gomis and J. G. Russo, *D = 2 + 1 N = 2 Yang-Mills theory from wrapped branes*, *JHEP* **10** (2001) 028 [[hep-th/0109177](#)].
- [16] C. Nunez, I. Y. Park, M. Schvellinger and T. A. Tran, *Supergravity duals of gauge theories from F(4) gauged supergravity in six-dimensions*, *JHEP* **04** (2001) 025 [[hep-th/0103080](#)].
- [17] M. Petrini, R. Russo and A. Zaffaroni, *N=2 gauge theories and systems with fractional branes*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **608** (2001) 145 [[hep-th/0104026](#)].
- [18] J. M. Maldacena and H. S. Nastase, *The Supergravity dual of a theory with dynamical supersymmetry breaking*, *JHEP* **09** (2001) 024 [[hep-th/0105049](#)].
- [19] M. Schvellinger and T. A. Tran, *Supergravity duals of gauge field theories from SU(2) x U(1) gauged supergravity in five-dimensions*, *JHEP* **06** (2001) 025 [[hep-th/0105019](#)].
- [20] J. P. Gauntlett, N. Kim, D. Martelli and D. Waldram, *Wrapped five-branes and N=2 superYang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **64** (2001) 106008 [[hep-th/0106117](#)].
- [21] U. Gursoy, C. Nunez and M. Schvellinger, *RG flows from spin(7), CY 4 fold and HK manifolds to AdS, Penrose limits and pp waves*, *JHEP* **06** (2002) 015 [[hep-th/0203124](#)].
- [22] M. Naka, *Various wrapped branes from gauged supergravities*, [hep-th/0206141](#).
- [23] J. D. Edelstein, A. Paredes and A. V. Ramallo, *Let's twist again: General metrics of G(2) holonomy from gauged supergravity*, *JHEP* **01** (2003) 011 [[hep-th/0211203](#)].
- [24] J. Gomis and T. Mateos, *D6 branes wrapping Kahler four cycles*, *Phys. Lett. B* **524** (2002) 170 [[hep-th/0108080](#)].
- [25] J. Gomis, *D-branes, holonomy and M theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **606** (2001) 3 [[hep-th/0103115](#)].
- [26] M. Kruczenski, *Supergravity backgrounds corresponding to d7-branes wrapped on Kahler manifolds*, *JHEP* **01** (2004) 031 [[hep-th/0310225](#)].
- [27] F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, *Supergravity duals of supersymmetric four-dimensional gauge theories*, *Riv. Nuovo Cim.* **25N12** (2002) 1 [[hep-th/0303191](#)].
- [28] M. Bertolini, *Four lectures on the gauge / gravity correspondence*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **18** (2003) 5647 [[hep-th/0303160](#)].

- [29] O. Aharony, *The NonAdS / nonCFT correspondence, or three different paths to QCD*, in *NATO Advanced Study Institute and EC Summer School on Progress in String, Field and Particle Theory*, pp. 3–24, 12, 2002, [hep-th/0212193](#).
- [30] R. Casero, C. Nunez and A. Paredes, *Towards the string dual of N=1 SQCD-like theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006) 086005 [[hep-th/0602027](#)].
- [31] O. Aharony, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, *A Holographic model of deconfinement and chiral symmetry restoration*, *Annals Phys.* **322** (2007) 1420 [[hep-th/0604161](#)].
- [32] A. Paredes, *On unquenched N=2 holographic flavor*, *JHEP* **12** (2006) 032 [[hep-th/0610270](#)].
- [33] F. Benini, F. Canoura, S. Cremonesi, C. Nunez and A. V. Ramallo, *Unquenched flavors in the Klebanov-Witten model*, *JHEP* **02** (2007) 090 [[hep-th/0612118](#)].
- [34] F. Benini, F. Canoura, S. Cremonesi, C. Nunez and A. V. Ramallo, *Backreacting flavors in the Klebanov-Strassler background*, *JHEP* **09** (2007) 109 [[0706.1238](#)].
- [35] E. Conde and A. V. Ramallo, *On the gravity dual of Chern-Simons-matter theories with unquenched flavor*, *JHEP* **07** (2011) 099 [[1105.6045](#)].
- [36] R. Casero, C. Nunez and A. Paredes, *Elaborations on the String Dual to N=1 SQCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 046003 [[0709.3421](#)].
- [37] C. Hoyos-Badajoz, C. Nunez and I. Papadimitriou, *Comments on the String dual to N=1 SQCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008) 086005 [[0807.3039](#)].
- [38] F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone, A. Paredes and A. V. Ramallo, *The Klebanov-Strassler model with massive dynamical flavors*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 153 [[0812.3399](#)].
- [39] F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone and A. Paredes, *Klebanov-Witten theory with massive dynamical flavors*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 048 [[0807.0298](#)].
- [40] F. Bigazzi, A. L. Cotrone, J. Mas, A. Paredes, A. V. Ramallo and J. Tarrío, *D3-D7 Quark-Gluon Plasmas*, *JHEP* **11** (2009) 117 [[0909.2865](#)].
- [41] J. Gaillard, D. Martelli, C. Nunez and I. Papadimitriou, *The warped, resolved, deformed conifold gets flavoured*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **843** (2011) 1 [[1004.4638](#)].
- [42] J. Erdmenger, N. Evans, I. Kirsch and E. Threlfall, *Mesons in Gauge/Gravity Duals - A Review*, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **35** (2008) 81 [[0711.4467](#)].
- [43] C. Nunez, A. Paredes and A. V. Ramallo, *Unquenched Flavor in the Gauge/Gravity Correspondence*, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2010** (2010) 196714 [[1002.1088](#)].

- [44] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, *AdS / CFT correspondence and geometry*, *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.* **8** (2005) 73 [[hep-th/0404176](#)].
- [45] I. Kanitscheider, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, *Precision holography for non-conformal branes*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 094 [[0807.3324](#)].
- [46] K. Hori and A. Kapustin, *World sheet descriptions of wrapped NS five-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2002) 038 [[hep-th/0203147](#)].
- [47] A. Anabalón and S. F. Ross, *Supersymmetric solitons and a degeneracy of solutions in AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **07** (2021) 015 [[2104.14572](#)].
- [48] A. Anabalón, A. Gallerati, S. Ross and M. Trigiante, *Supersymmetric solitons in gauged $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity*, *JHEP* **02** (2023) 055 [[2210.06319](#)].
- [49] A. Anabalón and H. Nastase, *Universal IR holography, scalar fluctuations, and glueball spectra*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 066011 [[2310.07823](#)].
- [50] C. Nunez, M. Oyarzo and R. Stuardo, *Confinement and D5-branes*, *JHEP* **03** (2024) 080 [[2311.17998](#)].
- [51] C. Nunez, M. Oyarzo and R. Stuardo, *Confinement in $(1 + 1)$ dimensions: a holographic perspective from I-branes*, *JHEP* **09** (2023) 201 [[2307.04783](#)].
- [52] A. Fatemiabhari and C. Nunez, *From conformal to confining field theories using holography*, [2401.04158](#).
- [53] A. Anabalón, D. Astefanesei, A. Gallerati and J. Oliva, *Supersymmetric smooth distributions of M2-branes as AdS solitons*, [2402.00880](#).
- [54] A. Anabalón, H. Nastase and M. Oyarzo, *Supersymmetric AdS Solitons and the interconnection of different vacua of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang-Mills*, [2402.18482](#).
- [55] M. Giliaberti, A. Fatemiabhari and C. Nunez, *Confinement and screening via holographic Wilson loops*, [2409.04539](#).
- [56] U. Gursoy and C. Nunez, *Dipole deformations of $N=1$ SYM and supergravity backgrounds with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **725** (2005) 45 [[hep-th/0505100](#)].
- [57] O. Lunin and J. M. Maldacena, *Deforming field theories with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals*, *JHEP* **05** (2005) 033 [[hep-th/0502086](#)].
- [58] S. P. Kumar and R. Stuardo, *Twisted circle compactification of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM and its Holographic Dual*, [2405.03739](#).

- [59] D. Cassani and Z. Komargodski, *EFT and the SUSY Index on the 2nd Sheet*, *SciPost Phys.* **11** (2021) 004 [2104.01464].
- [60] A. Bergman, K. Dasgupta, O. J. Ganor, J. L. Karczmarek and G. Rajesh, *Nonlocal field theories and their gravity duals*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 066005 [hep-th/0103090].
- [61] D. Chatzis, A. Fatemiabhari, C. Nunez and P. Weck, *Conformal to confining SQFTs from holography*, 2405.05563.
- [62] D. Chatzis, A. Fatemiabhari, C. Nunez and P. Weck, *SCFT deformations via uplifted solitons*, 2406.01685.
- [63] F. Castellani, *To appear*, .
- [64] M. J. D. Hamilton, *The field and Killing spinor equations of M-theory and type IIA/IIB supergravity in coordinate-free notation*, 1607.00327.
- [65] J. T. Liu and B. McPeak, *Gauged Supergravity from the Lunin-Maldacena background*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 177 [1905.06861].
- [66] C. N. Pope, *Eigenfunctions and Spin (c) Structures in CP^2* , *Phys. Lett. B* **97** (1980) 417.
- [67] M. Herrero, Y. Lozano and M. Picos, *Dielectric 5-Branes and Giant Gravitons in ABJM*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 132 [1107.5475].
- [68] J. M. Maldacena and J. G. Russo, *Large N limit of noncommutative gauge theories*, *JHEP* **09** (1999) 025 [hep-th/9908134].
- [69] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, *JHEP* **09** (1999) 032 [hep-th/9908142].
- [70] S. Kovacs, *$N=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory and the AdS / SCFT correspondence*, other thesis, 8, 1999.
- [71] R. G. Leigh and M. J. Strassler, *Exactly marginal operators and duality in four-dimensional $N=1$ supersymmetric gauge theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **447** (1995) 95 [hep-th/9503121].
- [72] M. Guica, F. Levkovich-Maslyuk and K. Zarembo, *Integrability in dipole-deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 39 [1706.07957].
- [73] T. Meier and S. J. van Tongeren, *Gauge theory on twist-noncommutative spaces*, *JHEP* **12** (2023) 045 [2305.15470].
- [74] F. Benini, *The Coulomb branch of the Leigh-Strassler deformation and matrix models*, *JHEP* **12** (2004) 068 [hep-th/0411057].

- [75] N. Dorey and T. J. Hollowood, *On the Coulomb branch of a marginal deformation of $N = 4$ SUSY Yang-Mills*, *JHEP* **06** (2005) 036 [[hep-th/0411163](#)].
- [76] D. Berenstein and R. G. Leigh, *Discrete torsion, AdS / CFT and duality*, *JHEP* **01** (2000) 038 [[hep-th/0001055](#)].
- [77] S.-J. Rey and J.-T. Yee, *Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **22** (2001) 379 [[hep-th/9803001](#)].
- [78] J. M. Maldacena, *Wilson loops in large N field theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 4859 [[hep-th/9803002](#)].
- [79] C. Nunez, M. Piai and A. Rago, *Wilson Loops in string duals of Walking and Flavored Systems*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 086001 [[0909.0748](#)].
- [80] U. Kol, C. Nunez, D. Schofield, J. Sonnenschein and M. Warschawski, *Confinement, phase transitions and non-locality in the entanglement entropy*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2014** (2014) .
- [81] A. F. Faedo, M. Piai and D. Schofield, *Gauge/gravity dualities and bulk phase transitions*, *Physical Review D* **89** (2014) .
- [82] A. F. Faedo, M. Piai and D. Schofield, *On the stability of multiscale models of dynamical symmetry breaking from holography*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **880** (2014) 504 [[1312.2793](#)].
- [83] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602 [[hep-th/0603001](#)].
- [84] I. R. Klebanov, D. Kutasov and A. Murugan, *Entanglement as a probe of confinement*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **796** (2008) 274 [[0709.2140](#)].
- [85] N. Jokela and J. G. Subils, *Is entanglement a probe of confinement?*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 147 [[2010.09392](#)].
- [86] N. T. Macpherson, C. Núñez, L. A. Pando Zayas, V. G. J. Rodgers and C. A. Whiting, *Type IIB supergravity solutions with AdS_5 from Abelian and non-Abelian T dualities*, *JHEP* **02** (2015) 040 [[1410.2650](#)].
- [87] Y. Bea, J. D. Edelstein, G. Itsios, K. S. Kooner, C. Nunez, D. Schofield et al., *Compactifications of the Klebanov-Witten CFT and new AdS_3 backgrounds*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 062 [[1503.07527](#)].
- [88] G. 't Hooft, *On the Phase Transition Towards Permanent Quark Confinement*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **138** (1978) 1.

- [89] S. A. Frolov, R. Roiban and A. A. Tseytlin, *Gauge-string duality for superconformal deformations of $N=4$ super Yang-Mills theory*, *JHEP* **07** (2005) 045 [[hep-th/0503192](#)].
- [90] N. P. Bobev, H. Dimov and R. C. Rashkov, *Semiclassical strings in Lunin-Maldacena background*, *Bulg. J. Phys.* **35** (2008) 274 [[hep-th/0506063](#)].
- [91] C. G. Callan, Jr. and L. Thorlacius, *SIGMA MODELS AND STRING THEORY*, in *Theoretical Advanced Study Institute in Elementary Particle Physics: Particles, Strings and Supernovae (TASI 88)*, 3, 1989.
- [92] V. Forini, V. G. M. Puletti, L. Griguolo, D. Seminara and E. Vescovi, *Remarks on the geometrical properties of semiclassically quantized strings*, *J. Phys. A* **48** (2015) 475401 [[1507.01883](#)].
- [93] S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, *Semiclassical quantization of rotating superstring in $AdS(5) \times S^{**5}$* , *JHEP* **06** (2002) 007 [[hep-th/0204226](#)].
- [94] F. Bigazzi, T. Canneti and W. Mück, *Semiclassical quantization of the superstring and Hagedorn temperature*, *JHEP* **08** (2023) 185 [[2306.00588](#)].
- [95] F. Bigazzi, T. Canneti and A. L. Cotrone, *Higher order corrections to the Hagedorn temperature at strong coupling*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 056 [[2306.17126](#)].
- [96] F. Bigazzi, T. Canneti, F. Castellani, A. L. Cotrone and W. Muck, *Hagedorn temperature in holography: world-sheet and effective approaches*, [2407.00375](#).
- [97] F. Bigazzi, T. Canneti, A. L. Cotrone and J. M. Penin, *Holographic Hagedorn Temperature: Strong Coupling, Flavor and θ -angle Effects*, [2407.07943](#).
- [98] S. Cremonesi and A. Tomasiello, *6d holographic anomaly match as a continuum limit*, *JHEP* **05** (2016) 031 [[1512.02225](#)].
- [99] K. Filippas, C. Núñez and J. Van Gersel, *Integrability and holographic aspects of six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ superconformal field theories*, *JHEP* **06** (2019) 069 [[1901.08598](#)].
- [100] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle and C. F. Uhlemann, *Warped $AdS_6 \times S^2$ in Type IIB supergravity III: Global solutions with seven-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 200 [[1706.00433](#)].
- [101] A. Legramandi and C. Nunez, *Electrostatic description of five-dimensional SCFTs*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **974** (2022) 115630 [[2104.11240](#)].
- [102] C. Nunez, D. Roychowdhury, S. Speziali and S. Zacarias, *Holographic aspects of four dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs and their marginal deformations*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **943** (2019) 114617 [[1901.02888](#)].

- [103] G. Itsios, Y. Lozano, J. Montero and C. Nunez, *The AdS_5 non-Abelian T-dual of Klebanov-Witten as a $\mathcal{N} = 1$ linear quiver from M5-branes*, *JHEP* **09** (2017) 038 [1705.09661].
- [104] B. Assel, C. Bachas, J. Estes and J. Gomis, *Holographic Duals of $D=3$ $N=4$ Superconformal Field Theories*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 087 [1106.4253].
- [105] M. Akhond, A. Legramandi and C. Nunez, *Electrostatic description of 3d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ linear quivers*, *JHEP* **11** (2021) 205 [2109.06193].
- [106] O. Kelekci, Y. Lozano, N. T. Macpherson and E. O. Colgáin, *Supersymmetry and non-Abelian T-duality in type II supergravity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32** (2015) 035014 [1409.7406].