

DERIVED SYMMETRIES FOR CREPANT CONTRACTIONS TO HYPERSURFACES

W. DONOVAN

ABSTRACT. Given a crepant contraction f to a singularity X , we may expect a derived symmetry of the source of f . Under easily-checked geometric assumptions, I construct such a symmetry when X is a hypersurface in a smooth ambient S , using a spherical functor from the derived category of S . I describe this symmetry, relate it to other symmetries, and establish its compatibility with base change.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	13
3. Pullback from the ambient	16
4. Blowups in non-Cartier divisors	28
5. Twists and non-Cartier divisors	37
6. Action on a spanning class	46
7. Blowups in general codimension	47
8. Compatibility with base change	51
9. Local examples	54
References	59

1. INTRODUCTION

Background. The derived category of coherent sheaves on a variety is a fundamental invariant. In recent years it has continued to be a key tool in subjects including birational and enumerative geometry, mirror symmetry, and moduli, see for instance [BM1, HL2, PT, SS1, Tod2]. Its autoequivalences may be considered as *derived symmetries* of the variety.

Derived symmetries have found important and diverse applications, in particular in studying symplectic geometry via mirror symmetry [KS2, SS2], in constraining enumerative geometry [BM2, Tod3, Tod4], and in categorifying perverse sheaves [KS1, BKS].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F08; Secondary 14J32, 18G80.

Key words and phrases. Birational geometry, blowup, crepant contraction, derived category, autoequivalence, spherical functor, semiorthogonal decomposition.

I am supported by Yau MSC, Tsinghua University, BIMSA, and China TTP.

The derived symmetry group of a variety depends strongly on its canonical bundle. Varieties with ample or antiample canonical bundle have tightly constrained derived symmetries [BO], whereas varieties with trivial canonical bundle may have much richer derived symmetry groups. As a relative analog of this, a class of birational morphisms $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with trivial relative canonical bundle, known as *crepant contractions*, may be expected to yield derived symmetries of \tilde{X} .

Existing work. Derived symmetries of such \tilde{X} have been constructed and studied for various classes of singularities X , for instance for Du Val surface singularities [ST] and compound Du Val singularities of 3-folds [DW1, DW3]. There has also been work on higher-dimensional examples and classes of hyperkähler \tilde{X} [CKL, DS1, HT].

These approaches are often restricted to particular geometries, or require increasingly challenging homological calculations in higher dimensions. Furthermore, global assumptions such as projectivity or quasi-projectivity are commonly required to control coherent duality.

Grade restriction window techniques from [BFK, HL1] are effective for constructing derived symmetries especially when the contraction is associated to a ‘balanced’ wall crossing [HLS]. Noncommutative methods have led to general results in particular for 3-folds and contractions of families of curves [BB, DW1, DW4, IW], though these methods face challenges in higher dimensions from obstructions to noncommutative crepant resolutions [Dao].

The author and Wemyss gave a derived symmetry construction for general fibre dimension in [DW4], assuming a relative tilting bundle and using the associated sheaf of noncommutative algebras. Barbacovi gave a different general construction in a flop setting [Bar], generalizing results from [BB].

Nevertheless, for general contractions with fibres of dimension more than 1, constructing and understanding derived symmetries remains difficult. Addressing this challenge may be seen as complementary to ongoing efforts to address the long-standing D-equivalence conjecture [Kaw].

It is therefore important to develop new approaches to drive progress, especially in higher dimensions, and to develop general constructions of derived symmetries using minimal assumptions.

This paper. For a large class of crepant contractions $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, I construct an associated derived symmetry of \tilde{X} using a smooth ambient space for X . Taking the case when X is a hypersurface, this approach yields new derived symmetries and relations between them in arbitrary dimension, as well as new descriptions of existing equivalences.

My standing assumptions in Section 1.1 are geometric and easily-checked. Apart from assuming some equidimensionality, these assumptions are furthermore local on X , so that in particular projectivity or quasi-projectivity are not needed for the main results.¹

I achieve this by exploiting the interplay between Orlov’s blowup formula for the derived category, and known spherical functors for Cartier divisors. Strikingly, no explicit Ext calculations are required — I do not even need to consider particular sheaves on spaces, apart from those associated to the exceptional divisors of blowups, and their tensor powers.

I hope therefore that this new approach will make the study of derived symmetries more accessible, and stimulate progress on further general constructions.

1.1. Setting. Take a birational contraction of reduced separated schemes

$$f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$$

where ‘contraction’ means that f is projective, and the pushforward of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is \mathcal{O}_X . I will use the following assumptions throughout.²

Assumption (a). Take X to be a hypersurface in a smooth equidimensional ambient scheme S .

Our interest will be in the case when X is singular. When X is cut out by a global function t , we may think of $t: S \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ as a smoothing of X .

To control the geometry of f , I make the following assumption.

Assumption (b). The contraction f is the blowup of X along a smooth equidimensional subscheme Y of constant codimension n .

The following will be important to control the homological algebra associated to the contraction.

Assumption (c). The contraction f is crepant.

Here ‘crepant’ means that $\omega_{\tilde{X}} \cong f^*\omega_X$ or equivalently that the relative canonical sheaf $\omega_f = \omega_{\tilde{X}} \otimes f^*\omega_X^\vee$ is trivial.³ Note that \tilde{X} and X are Gorenstein by Assumptions (a) and (b) (see Proposition 3.3) so their canonical sheaves are invertible.

First examples of this setting may be obtained by letting X be the affine cone over a hypersurface of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n , and f the blowup at its vertex, see Example 3.10.

¹A more restrictive assumption (projective Calabi–Yau) is used in Section 7 to describe the derived symmetry in a class of contractions with higher fibre dimension.

²As a mnemonic, we have ‘a’ for ambient, ‘b’ for blowup, and ‘c’ for crepant.

³We say ‘crepant’ following Reid because ω_f measures ‘discrepancy’.

Notation. Let $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow S$ be f composed with the inclusion of X into S .

Remark 1.1. For $n \geq 2$, the assumptions above force X to be singular: otherwise, by the smooth blowup formula, ω_f corresponds to the exceptional divisor of f with multiplicity $n - 1 > 0$, contradicting crepancy. For $n = 1$, so that Y is a divisor in X , we will see that many interesting examples occur with Y non-Cartier.

1.2. Pullback from ambient. I first show that there is a strong homological relationship between \tilde{X} and the ambient space S .

Theorem A (Theorem 3.14). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 the pullback functor*

$$g^*: \mathbf{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical, where \mathbf{D} denotes the bounded coherent derived category.

Spherical functors are briefly reviewed in Section 2. They provide a natural language to describe and manipulate autoequivalences of (enhanced) triangulated categories and their relatives [AL3, Seg]. For now, note that a key property of a spherical functor is that it induces an autoequivalence of its target category: Theorem A thence gives a *twist* autoequivalence \mathbf{T}_{g^*} of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$ which fits into a triangle of Fourier–Mukai functors [Huy] as follows.

$$g^*g_* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{g^*} \rightarrow \tag{1.A}$$

The autoequivalence \mathbf{T}_{g^*} is central to this paper. I will describe it in a range of natural geometric settings, and establish its properties.

I outline the proof of Theorem A. Let $h: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup along Y , which has codimension $n + 1$ by Assumptions (a) and (b). Then Orlov [Orl] gives a semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) = \langle h^*\mathbf{D}(S), \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \mathbf{D}(Y) \rangle \tag{1.B}$$

with n copies of $\mathbf{D}(Y)$. Writing $\mathrm{inc}: \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}$, it is known that the derived restriction functor

$$\mathrm{inc}^*: \mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical, using that inc is the embedding of a Cartier divisor. Under a certain criterion of Halpern-Leistner and Shipman [HLS] given in Section 2.5, the restriction of inc^* to the subcategory $h^*\mathbf{D}(S)$ is also a spherical functor. I find that this criterion holds using the crepancy of f from Assumption (c). This takes up most of Section 3. I then conclude that the functor $\mathrm{inc}^*h^* \cong g^*$ is spherical.

Remark 1.2. We do not require smoothness of \tilde{X} , so that f may be a partial resolution, see for instance Remark 3.11.

1.3. Blowups in non-Cartier divisors. The following describes \mathbb{T}_{g^*} when $n = \text{codim}_X Y = 1$ in terms of the blowup of X along Y .

Theorem B (Theorem 3.20). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 suppose $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$. Define a functor*

$$F: \mathbf{D}(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbf{D}(E_Y X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

by composition, where p is the restriction of the contraction f to the exceptional locus $E_Y X$, and the last functor is derived pushforward. Then:

- (1) F is spherical.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$ is the invertible normal sheaf of \tilde{X} in $\tilde{S} = \text{Bl}_Y S$.

The proof is a continuation of the proof of Theorem A for the case $n = 1$. The criterion of Halpern-Leistner–Shipman used there also gives that the restriction of inc^* to the other subcategory appearing in (1.B), namely $\mathbf{D}(Y)$, is a spherical functor. Using a certain base change isomorphism⁴ I find that this restriction is isomorphic to F , yielding part (1). The criterion furthermore gives a factorization of the twist $\mathbb{T}_{\text{inc}^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^{\vee}[2]$ with factors \mathbb{T}_{g^*} and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}$. This factorization then rearranges to give the isomorphism (2).

A first example is as follows.

Example 1.3. Take $X = \{ac + bd = 0\}$ a hypersurface in $S = \mathbb{k}^4$ with an ordinary double point, and \tilde{X} a small resolution. The latter may be obtained by taking $Y = \{c, d = 0\}$, which is Cartier in X except at the origin. We have $Y \cong \mathbb{k}^2$, and a calculation of the blowup shows that $E_Y X \cong \text{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbb{k}^2$. Part (1) above therefore gives a spherical functor

$$F: \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{k}^2) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbf{D}(\text{Bl}_{\{0\}} \mathbb{k}^2) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

to the resolution \tilde{X} . The isomorphism (2) then says that the twist $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is inverse to \mathbb{T}_{g^*} up to homological shift and tensoring by an invertible sheaf.

Even in this first example, I am not aware of other work on the functor $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Remark 1.4. In the degenerate case when Y is Cartier in X , so that f is an isomorphism, \mathbb{T}_{g^*} is easily seen to be induced by an invertible sheaf as follows, see Proposition 3.6(1).

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong - \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X^{\vee}[2]$$

⁴Here I need some smoothness on S , see Remark 3.18.

Here \mathcal{N}_X is the normal sheaf in S , which is invertible as X is Cartier in S . The isomorphism (2) above then follows from a relation between invertible sheaves, see Remark 3.7.

The following theorem establishes a general setting where $E_Y X \cong \text{Bl}_Z Y$ for some Z , in particular generalizing Example 1.3. It gives a description of \mathbb{T}_{g^*} in terms of the blowup of Y along Z . I allow this Z to be singular and non-reduced.

Theorem C (Corollary 5.2). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 suppose $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$, and furthermore that:*

- (i) *The normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is cut out of the rank 2 bundle $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ by fibre-wise linear functions induced by a section θ of a rank 2 locally free sheaf on Y , as explained in Assumption 4.2.*
- (ii) *The section θ in part (i) is regular.*

Let Z be the (possibly singular and non-reduced) zeroes of θ in Y . Then:

- (0) *The projection $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of Y along Z .*

For this latter blowup, let q be the projection from $E_Z Y$. We may put

$$\mathbf{G}: \mathbf{D}(Z) \xrightarrow{q^*} \mathbf{D}(E_Z Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}_q(-1)} \mathbf{D}(E_Z Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

where the last functor is derived pushforward. Then furthermore:

- (1) *\mathbf{G} is spherical.*
- (2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{G}}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here \mathcal{N}_X is the invertible normal sheaf of X in S .

If $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$ then the fibre dimension of f is at most 1, see Proposition 3.3(3). Note that Z is codimension 2 in Y by (ii) above, and so Z is codimension 3 in X . Hence in the setting of the above theorem, f is necessarily a small contraction.

Remark 1.5. In the case that Z is a fattened point, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ coincides with the fat spherical twist of Toda [Tod1], see Example 5.4. This gives a new proof that this twist is an autoequivalence, avoiding a delicate Ext calculation to verify the fat spherical condition.

More generally, $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is related to the spherical fibrations of Anno and Logvinenko [AL2], and twists by sheafy contraction algebras [DW4]. However the methods here are quite different, and the isomorphism (2) is new, to my knowledge.

Bodzenta and Bondal [BB] consider a setting with more general flops of curves, though with S affine and \mathcal{N}_X trivial. They study a relative of the triangle (1.A), see Remark 5.7. They do not show that g^* is spherical, but their work suggests an isomorphism as in (2) above, modulo tensoring by \mathcal{N}_X . This was one of the inspirations for me to formulate and prove Theorem C.

Example 1.6. Take again a hypersurface $X = \{ac + bd = 0\}$ in $S = \mathbb{k}^4$ with an ordinary double point, and $Y = \{c, d = 0\} \cong \mathbb{k}^2$ with coordinates (a, b) . Then $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ has fibre coordinates (c, d) . For the regular section $\theta = (a, b)$ of the trivial rank 2 locally free sheaf on Y , the induced function on $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ is $ac + bd$, which clearly cuts out the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$. We are therefore in the setting of Theorem C: full details are given in Section 4.

Noting that $Z = \{0\}$ and $E_Z Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, the twist \mathbb{T}_G here simplifies to a twist $\mathbb{T}_\mathcal{E}$ by a spherical object $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$, see Section 2.2. The theorem thence gives the following.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)}[2] \tag{1.C}$$

As a check, it is straightforward to verify that this holds on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$, see Remark 5.6. In this example, (1.C) was proved by the author and E. Segal by a very different method using grade restriction windows [DS1, Section 2.3].

I outline the proof of Theorem C. Assumption (i) gives a family of 3-fold ordinary double point singularities parametrized by Z (see Remark 1.7 below for some first examples). I analyze such geometries in Section 4, obtaining Theorem 4.13. This establishes part (0) above, and also shows that the following is satisfied.

Assumption (d) (Assumption 5.8). The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ to $E_Z Y$ is given by $\mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{M}$ for some invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} .⁵

The rest of the proof is then completed in Section 5. Using part (0) we have a semiorthogonal decomposition as follows.

$$\mathbf{D}(E_Y X) = \langle p^* \mathbf{D}(Y), \mathbf{D}(Z) \rangle \tag{1.D}$$

Though Z may be singular, it is regularly embedded in Y by assumption (ii), and so this decomposition may be obtained using work of Bergh and Schnürer [BS]. Writing now $inc: E_Y X \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$, the pushforward functor

$$inc_*: \mathbf{D}(E_Y X) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical, again using that inc is the embedding of a Cartier divisor.

I then find that the criterion of Halpern-Leistner–Shipman holds for (1.D) using Assumption (d) above, see Proposition 5.14. Restricting inc_* to the

⁵As a mnemonic, we have ‘d’ for degree, noting that this assumption gives that the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ has degree 1 on each fibre of q .

components of (1.D) gives respectively F and G , up to tensoring by an invertible sheaf. Hence these functors are spherical, yielding part (1). I furthermore establish a factorization of the twist $T_{inc_*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X)$ with factors T_F and T_G . Along with Theorem B, I thence obtain two independent descriptions of T_F . Comparing these descriptions, and relating the invertible sheaves which appear in them, finally gives the isomorphism (2).




FIGURE 1. Sketch of the singular geometry of X along Y in the setting of Theorem C. The vertical planes are fibres of the bundle $\mathcal{N}_Y S$. This bundle contains the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$, which is indicated by thickened lines. Recall that $Z \subset Y$ is the codimension 2 locus where θ vanishes: over z in Z , $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ coincides with $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ as $\theta(z)$ is zero; over y not in Z , $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is cut out of $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ by a non-zero linear function $\theta(y)$.

In the setting of Theorem C, I therefore find three spherical functors with target $D(\tilde{X})$ as shown below. These each have different sources and constructions. Nevertheless, their twists coincide up to inverses, homological shifts, and tensoring by an invertible sheaf. In Section 6 I give an explicit description of the action of each twist on objects, using the relations between them and the simple form of T_{g^*} from (1.A).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \begin{array}{l} F \\ D(Y) \end{array} \\
 & \swarrow & \leftarrow \\
 D(S) & \xrightarrow{g^*} & D(\tilde{X}) \\
 & \searrow & \leftarrow \\
 & & \begin{array}{l} G \\ D(Z) \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Theorem C encompasses many kinds of examples in all dimensions, see Section 9. To give a sense of the geometries that occur, I describe a few low-dimensional examples below.

Remark 1.7. Take a smooth scheme Y with a locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} of rank 2 and a regular section θ cutting out Z . Let S be the total space $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}^\vee$ and embed Y as its zero section. Then θ tautologically induces a global function on S , see Assumption 4.2. Taking X to be its zeroes, the assumptions of Theorem C are satisfied by Proposition 9.2.

We then obtain singular Calabi–Yau 3-folds X as follows, for which Z is 2 and 3 points, respectively.

- Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(1, 1)^{\oplus 2}$ with $\theta = (x_1x_2, y_1y_2)$ for coordinates $(x_i : y_i)$ on each \mathbb{P}^1 factor.
- Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ and \mathcal{F} its tangent sheaf with θ generic, so that S is the 4-fold cotangent bundle of \mathbb{P}^2 .

A singular Calabi–Yau 4-fold X may be obtained as follows, for which Z is an elliptic normal curve of degree 4.

- Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$ with θ generic.

In each case \tilde{X} is a small (thence crepant) resolution of X . This construction is elaborated in Section 9, in particular allowing X to be a non-principal divisor in S .

I next study contractions which are not necessarily small.

1.4. Blowups in general codimension. I now describe \mathbb{T}_{g^*} for general $n = \text{codim}_X Y \geq 1$, using some additional global assumptions on X and the ambient space S . These assumptions can surely be relaxed: nevertheless, the statement below already allows us to see a striking new phenomenon, namely a higher degree relation in the autoequivalence group of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$, given in (2) below.

Theorem D (Theorem 7.2). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 with $n = \text{codim}_X Y \geq 1$, suppose furthermore that:*

- (i) ω_X is trivial.
- (ii) S is projective.

We may put

$$\mathbf{F}_m : \mathbf{D}(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}_Y X) \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_p}(m-1)} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}_Y X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the last functor is derived pushforward. Then:

- (1) \mathbf{F}_m is spherical for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong (\mathbb{T}_{F_1} \cdots \mathbb{T}_{F_n})^{-1}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$.

As before, $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$ is the invertible normal sheaf of \tilde{X} in $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Bl}_Y S$.

Remark 1.8. The Calabi–Yau assumption (i) here supplements our assumption that f is crepant, which may be thought of as a relative Calabi–Yau assumption.

Theorem D is proved by again taking the decomposition (1.B) for the blowup $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Bl}_Y S$. This has $n + 1 \geq 2$ components. To describe \mathbb{T}_{g^*} we would like to factor the twist $\mathbb{T}_{inc^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee[2]$ as previously in Theorem B, but this time into $n + 1$ factors.

The criterion of Halpern-Leistner–Shipman applies to 2 components — it may be possible to apply it iteratively to obtain Theorem D, but I was not able to make the argument work. I therefore content myself with applying global assumptions so that we may use a related criterion of Addington and Aspinwall [AA], given in Theorem 7.1, which assumes a Serre functor for \tilde{S} . Assumptions (i) and (ii) indeed yield such a Serre functor.

The rest of the argument is then similar to Theorem B. In particular, spherical functors F_1, \dots, F_n are obtained by restriction of inc^* to the last n components of the decomposition (1.B). I thereby find a factorization of the twist $\mathbb{T}_{inc^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee[2]$ which rearranges to give the isomorphism (2).

For a first example, consider the following.

Example 1.9. Let X be a quartic K3 surface in $S = \mathbb{P}^3$ with a node x , and put $Y = \{x\}$ so that $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ resolves this node. Then $E_Y X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$, and (2) describes \mathbb{T}_{g^*} in terms of twists by spherical objects on \tilde{X} as follows.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)})^{-1}(-)[2] \quad (1.E)$$

The geometry is illustrated in Figure 2. For details, see Example 7.5.

1.5. Compatibility with base change. I explain a useful compatibility of the twist functors \mathbb{T}_{g^*} with base change, in particular to relate twists in different dimensions.

Consider then the morphism $g: \tilde{X} \rightarrow S$ as above in Section 1.1, and take $g': \tilde{X}' \rightarrow S'$ its base change along some $S' \rightarrow S$. Suppose that g' may also be obtained as in Section 1.1. Then I give an intertwinement of the corresponding twists as follows, see Proposition 8.1, via the morphism $\phi: \tilde{X}' \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ induced by the base change.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \phi_* \cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$$

In particular, we may take an embedding $S' \hookrightarrow S$, for example as follows.




FIGURE 2. Local sketch of quartic K3 surface X from Example 1.9 with node x , in smooth ambient 3-fold $S = \mathbb{P}^3$. Blowing up X at $Y = \{x\}$ gives an exceptional $E_Y X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ which is conic in $E_Y S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.

Example 1.10. Let X be a one-parameter deformation of a quartic K3 surface X' with a node x , embedded in a smooth one-parameter deformation S of the ambient space $S' = \mathbb{P}^3$ of X' . Require that X has a 3-fold ordinary double point at x . Taking appropriate resolutions, we are in the setting above, and comparing (1.C) and (1.E) yields a relation in the autoequivalence group of $D(\tilde{X}')$ which follows, after some work, from known facts: for details, see Example 8.3, with the relation given in Proposition 8.4.

1.6. Canonicity. It is interesting to explore to what extent a derived symmetry might be canonically associated to a contraction. Inspired by the above results, we make the following.

Definition. Using Assumption (a) and assuming T_{g^*} is an autoequivalence, define a *hypersurface twist* as follows.

$$\text{hyperT} = T_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X[-2]$$

A priori, this depends on a choice of embedding of the hypersurface X in the ambient space S . However, the above results suggest that, under various assumptions, it may be possible to describe hyperT in terms of the geometry of the contraction f .

- In the settings of Theorem B and D, we have

$$\text{hyperT} \cong T_F^{-1}(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X)$$

and

$$\text{hyperT} \cong (\text{T}_{F_1} \dots \text{T}_{F_n})^{-1}(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(nE_Y X)$$

respectively, using Proposition 3.5.

- In the setting of Theorem C, we immediately have the following.

$$\text{hyperT} \cong \text{T}_G$$

I was not able to recover the right-hand sides of the above equations from the morphism f alone, but I give the following partial results.

- For $n \geq 2$, the locus Y may be characterized by the property that its points have positive-dimensional preimage under f , using Proposition 3.3(3).
- For $n = 1$ in the setting of Theorem C, the locus Z may be characterized scheme-theoretically in terms of the singularities of X , see Proposition 4.8.

Note also that for a flopping contraction f where equivalences

$$\text{FlopFlop}: \text{D}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \text{D}(\tilde{X}') \rightarrow \text{D}(\tilde{X})$$

are known, then FlopFlop may be taken as a derived symmetry canonically associated to the contraction. Remark 5.7 explains why we may hope that $\text{hyperT} \cong \text{FlopFlop}^{-1}$ in a setting where they are both defined, but we do not pursue this claim further here.

1.7. Further questions. In the light of Theorem A it is natural to ask for general conditions on a morphism ϕ such that the functor ϕ^* is spherical: I am grateful to Evgeny Shinder for this interesting question. In particular, my proofs crucially use that Cartier divisors yield spherical functors: the same is true of ramified double covers [KP, Lemma 2.9], so these may likewise give spherical functors in combination with crepant contractions.

It is also natural to ask if there is a mirror statement to Theorem A, relating to known spherical functors in symplectic geometry, see for instance [KPS].

It would be interesting to study whether Assumption (b) can be relaxed to allow singular Y . For instance, in general a flopping contraction f of a 3-fold is a blowup along singular Y . In this setting the author and Wemyss constructed a derived symmetry T_{nc} of the 3-fold by using noncommutative deformation techniques [DW1, DW3], so it would be good to investigate whether the more geometric constructions in this paper may extend to recover such T_{nc} . Note that these constructions can already recover *commutative* deformation algebras, for instance the algebra R from Example 5.4.

Finally, Kuznetsov and Shinder [KS3] develop a theory of categorical absorption of singularities. They apply it to varieties with isolated ordinary

double points, and study its relationship with smoothings. It would be interesting to connect it with this work.

1.8. Conventions. Schemes are over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, and are taken to be of finite type, reduced and separated, with morphisms of finite type, unless explicitly stated.⁶ A contraction $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a projective morphism with $R^0 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, and a morphism f is birational if it induces a bijection of sets of generic points of irreducible components, which in turn induces isomorphisms of local rings at those points.⁷ I say a scheme is Calabi–Yau if it has at worst Gorenstein singularities and trivial canonical sheaf.

I write $\mathcal{C}_B A$ for the normal cone of B in A , and reserve the notation $\mathcal{N}_B A$ for the case when this is a bundle, in particular when B is an effective Cartier divisor in A . I sometimes write simply \mathcal{N}_B when the embedding in A can be clear from context.

The bounded derived category of coherent sheaves is denoted by $D(X)$, and functors are taken to be derived. Components of semiorthogonal decompositions will be required to be admissible (rather than only left or right admissible).

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to A. Bodzenta for our collaboration [BD] which greatly aided this project, and for discussions on relating the results here for $n = 1$ to periodic semiorthogonal decompositions; to E. Segal for enjoyable attempts to remember details of our work [DS1], and an important conversation on an early version of Theorem C where he noted that $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ need not be split; to M. Wemyss for our collaboration since [DW1], and for all we have learnt together, not least about typesetting; to Yu Zhao for inspiring discussions and correspondence on an approach to Theorem C using derived algebraic geometry, and for sharing [Zha1, Zha2]. I thank P. Achinger, N. Addington, A. Bondal, Y. Ito, A. Keating, C. N. Leung, B. Pauwels, M. Romo, I. Smith, and Y. Toda for helpful conversations on and around this project. I gratefully acknowledge the hospitality of ANU in Canberra, Kavli IPMU, U. Kyoto, Makerere U. in Kampala, and U. Oregon, as well as warm welcomes and financial support from IMS at CUHK, J. Knapp and Gufang Zhao at U. Melbourne, and SMRI in Sydney, during extended visits.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Spherical functors. Take a functor S of enhanced triangulated categories with a right adjoint S^R . Suppose further that we have triangles of Fourier–Mukai functors associated to the adjunction counit and unit, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} S S^R &\rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow T_S \rightarrow \\ C_S &\rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow S^R S \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

⁶In particular, I allow non-reduced Z in Theorem C, and correspondingly a non-reduced exceptional locus $E_Z Y$.

⁷I allow non-normal and reducible schemes, see Remark 3.11 for an example. For details of this definition of birational, see for instance [Sta, Definition 01RO].

We call T_S and C_S the *twist* and *cotwist* of S , respectively.⁸ If furthermore S has a left adjoint S^\perp then we have the following.

Definition 2.1. We say that S is *spherical* if the twist T_S and cotwist C_S are both autoequivalences.

This is one of several equivalent characterisations of spherical, see Anno and Logvinenko [Ann, AL3] and also Kuznetsov [Kuz2, Section 2.5].

2.2. Spherical objects. For the special case of a spherical functor

$$S: D(\text{pt}) \rightarrow D(X)$$

we say that $\mathcal{E} = S(\mathcal{O}_{\text{pt}}) \in D(X)$ is a *spherical object*, following the sense of Seidel–Thomas [ST]. In this case we abuse notation by writing $T_{\mathcal{E}}$ for T_S , so that we have the following, where as usual functors are derived.

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes \text{Hom}_X(\mathcal{E}, -) \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow$$

We refer to $T_{\mathcal{E}}$ as the twist by the object \mathcal{E} .

2.3. Cartier divisors. Effective Cartier divisors give fundamental examples of spherical functors, as follows.

Proposition 2.2 ([Ann, Add, Kuz2]). *For the inclusion $\text{inc}: D \rightarrow X$ of an effective Cartier divisor, we have the following.*

(1) *The functor $\text{inc}^*: D(X) \rightarrow D(D)$ is spherical with:*

$$T_{\text{inc}^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_D^\vee[2] \quad C_{\text{inc}^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{I}_D$$

(2) *The functor $\text{inc}_*: D(D) \rightarrow D(X)$ is spherical with:*

$$T_{\text{inc}_*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{I}_D^\vee \quad C_{\text{inc}_*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_D[-2]$$

This appeared, assuming smoothness, in [Add, Section 2.2, (4) and (5)]. It is proved without smoothness in [Kuz2, Example 3.1, Proposition 3.4], and stated in [KP, Lemma 2.8].

Remark 2.3. The adjoints required for the above Proposition 2.2 are

$$\text{inc}_! \dashv \text{inc}^* \dashv \text{inc}_* \dashv \text{inc}^!$$

where we put the following.

$$\text{inc}_! = \text{inc}_*(- \otimes \mathcal{N}_D[-1]) \quad \text{inc}^! = \mathcal{N}_D[-1] \otimes \text{inc}^*(-)$$

Note that inc_* preserves the bounded coherent derived category because inc is proper, and similarly for inc^* because, although X (and furthermore D) is not necessarily smooth, inc is the inclusion of a Cartier divisor.

⁸Note that different conventions are used, for instance Addington [Add] writes C_S where we would write $C_S[1]$. This convention has the advantage that the cotwist is simply the cone on the unit, but the statement of Proposition 2.2 becomes less symmetric and memorable.

Remark 2.4. Parts (1) and (2) above are related by taking inverses. The reason may be seen as follows. Suppose we have triangles of Fourier–Mukai functors

$$\begin{aligned} T'_S &\rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow S S^L \rightarrow \\ S^L S &\rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow C'_S \rightarrow \end{aligned}$$

for T'_S and C'_S the *inverse* twist and cotwist of S , respectively. For a spherical functor S , these may be shown to give quasi-inverses to the twist and cotwist respectively. But T'_{inc^*} and C'_{inc^*} are by construction isomorphic to C_{inc^*} and T_{inc^*} respectively, using the adjunction $inc^* \dashv inc_*$. We deduce the following, which matches the relation between parts (1) and (2) above.

$$T_{inc^*}^{-1} \cong C_{inc^*} \quad C_{inc^*}^{-1} \cong T_{inc^*}$$

Remark 2.5. For a variant of Proposition 2.2 in a triangulated setting using square root stacks and periodic semiorthogonal decompositions, see the author’s recent work with Bodzenta [BD, Corollary 1.5]. Note also that a construction of the twist triangle for part (1) is given in [Huy, Corollary 11.4].

2.4. Decompositions. We will extensively use semiorthogonal decompositions of triangulated categories. For a survey see [Kuz1]. Recall that a full triangulated subcategory A of a triangulated category D is *left admissible* (respectively *right admissible*) if its embedding has a left adjoint (respectively right adjoint). We say that it is *admissible* if its embedding has both adjoints.

Now write ${}^\perp A$ for the *left orthogonal* to A , namely the full (triangulated) subcategory of D with objects having only zero morphisms to A . Assuming that A and ${}^\perp A$ are admissible, we obtain a semiorthogonal decomposition as follows.⁹

$$D = \langle A, {}^\perp A \rangle$$

2.5. Factoring twists. The following result of Halpern-Leistner–Shipman is key for our proofs of Theorems A, B and C. It allows us to factor the twist of a spherical functor when its source category has a semiorthogonal decomposition satisfying an appropriate compatibility. It will be applied throughout to decompositions associated to blowups.

Theorem 2.6 ([HLS, Section 4.3]). *Let $S: D \rightarrow D'$ be a spherical functor such that D has semiorthogonal decompositions as follows.*

$$D = \langle A, B \rangle = \langle C_S B, A \rangle$$

Let S_A and S_B denote the restrictions of S to A and B respectively. Then:

- (1) S_A and S_B are spherical.

⁹Often ‘semiorthogonal decomposition’ describes the weaker notion where the two components are only required to be left and right admissible, respectively. But for us, all components appearing will be found to be admissible: see in particular Proposition 5.9.

(2) \mathbb{T}_S factors as follows.

$$\mathbb{T}_S \cong \mathbb{T}_{S_A} \mathbb{T}_{S_B}$$

3. PULLBACK FROM THE AMBIENT

In this section I prove Theorem A, that the derived pullback functor g^* from the ambient space S is spherical, by studying semiorthogonal decompositions associated to a blowup of S . The same line of argument yields also Theorem B. Along the way I give some useful consequences of crepancy (Propositions 3.5 and 3.8) which are also used later (in particular in the proofs of Theorem C and D respectively).

Throughout take the setting of Section 1.1 with $Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow S$. Our contraction $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the blowup of X along Y by Assumption (b). Let $h: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ be the blowup of S along this same Y . Writing $inc: \tilde{X} \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}$ for the associated inclusion, we thence get a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{inc} & \tilde{S} \\ f \downarrow & \searrow g & \downarrow h \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & S \end{array} \quad (3.A)$$

Remark 3.1. I distinguish the inclusion inc of \tilde{X} notationally as it plays a special role in the proofs of Theorems A and B. Namely, we will use that the derived restriction inc^* is spherical by Proposition 2.2. Note that I reuse the same notation in Section 5 for the embedding of $E_Y X$ in \tilde{X} , as this plays a similar role in the proof of Theorem C there.

Assumptions (a) and (b) control the geometry of h as follows.

Proposition 3.2. *Recall that Y is smooth equidimensional and has constant codimension n in X by Assumption (b). We have that:*

- (1) $n \geq 1$.
- (2) Y is regularly embedded in S with codimension $n + 1$.
- (3) \tilde{S} and $E_Y S$ are smooth equidimensional.
- (4) The relative canonical sheaf $\omega_h = \omega_{\tilde{S}} \otimes h^* \omega_S^\vee$ is as follows.

$$\omega_h \cong \mathcal{O}(nE_Y S)$$

Proof. For (1), suppose for a contradiction that $n = 0$. Note that each connected component Y' of Y is irreducible by smoothness. Then, by definition of codimension, Y' would coincide with some irreducible component

X' of X , and so the blowup \tilde{X} of X along Y would have no irreducible component corresponding to X' , contradicting our assumption that $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is birational.¹⁰

Recall that by Assumption (a), X is a hypersurface in S smooth equidimensional. Then Y is constant codimension $n + 1$ in S by additivity of codimension, see [Sta, Lemma 02I6] which applies because our schemes are catenary [Sta, Lemma 02JB], even though X may not be smooth. This Y is smooth in S smooth therefore it is regularly embedded [Sta, Lemma 067U], and we obtain (2).

We then have that $h: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$ is the blowup of S smooth equidimensional along smooth Y regularly embedded with codimension $n + 1$. Smoothness of \tilde{S} may then be checked locally on S , and equidimensionality of \tilde{S} follows from equidimensionality of S , giving (3). Finally, (4) is standard, for instance by adapting [Har, Exercise II.8.5(b)]. \square

Assumptions (a) and (b) furthermore restrict the singularities and fibre dimension of our contraction $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$.

Proposition 3.3. *We have the following.*

- (1) X and \tilde{X} are effective Cartier divisors in S and \tilde{S} respectively.
- (2) X and \tilde{X} are Gorenstein.
- (3) For $y \in Y$, the fibre $f^{-1}(y)$ has pure dimension $n - 1$ or n .

Proof. (1) By Assumption (a), X is a hypersurface in S , meaning that its irreducible components¹¹ are all codimension 1. I claim furthermore that \tilde{X} is a hypersurface in \tilde{S} . Note that \tilde{X} is the strict transform of X for the blowup h , namely the closure of $h^{-1}(X \setminus Y)$ in \tilde{S} [Sta, Lemma 080E]. For each irreducible component X' of X we have that $X' \setminus Y$ is irreducible of codimension 1 in $S \setminus Y$ [Sta, Lemma 02I4], and is non-empty because Y has positive codimension in X by Proposition 3.2(1). Now h is an isomorphism over the open subscheme $S \setminus Y$ of S [Sta, Lemma 02OS] so $h^{-1}(X' \setminus Y)$ is non-empty, irreducible and codimension 1 in $h^{-1}(S \setminus Y)$. Its closure in \tilde{S} is therefore codimension 1 in \tilde{S} [Sta, Lemma 02I4].

Now we have that X and \tilde{X} are hypersurfaces in smooth S and \tilde{S} respectively, using Assumption (a) and Proposition 3.2(3). They are furthermore reduced by assumption on f . So their irreducible components are integral, and thence define prime Weil divisors, a finite number of them by finite type. So they are Weil divisor on smooth schemes, therefore Cartier.

(2) This follows from (1), using that an effective Cartier divisor in a smooth scheme is Gorenstein.

¹⁰Recall that, according to our conventions, such a morphism induces a bijection between irreducible components by definition.

¹¹For an example where X and \tilde{X} are reducible, see Remark 3.11.

(3) From Proposition 3.2(2), h is the blowup of S along Y regularly embedded with codimension $n + 1$. Hence for closed points $y \in Y$ we have $h^{-1}(y) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. Now by commutativity of (3.A), $f^{-1}(y)$ is the intersection of \tilde{X} and $h^{-1}(y)$ in \tilde{S} . This intersection is non-empty by surjectivity of f , and each of its irreducible components are codimension 0 or 1 in \mathbb{P}^n , because \tilde{X} is Cartier in \tilde{S} by (1). In the codimension 0 case it follows that $f^{-1}(y) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$, and otherwise $f^{-1}(y)$ has constant codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^n , hence the claim. \square

The fibre squares given below are used throughout. In particular, the first is used to obtain a base change isomorphism in Proposition 3.17 which is important in our proof of Theorem B.

Lemma 3.4. *We have fibre squares as follows.*

(1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & E_Y S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \tilde{S} \end{array}$$

(2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} \setminus E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{S} \setminus E_Y S \\ \wr \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow \\ X \setminus Y & \hookrightarrow & S \setminus Y \end{array}$$

Proof. (1) A reference for this is [Ful, Appendix B.6.9]: I include the following diagrammatic explanation, which I found clarifying.

I first describe the base change of the commutative square (3.A) along $Y \hookrightarrow S$. The square (3.A) is the front face in the diagram below. The right-hand face of the diagram is then obtained by base change, using the definition of the exceptional locus.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E_Y S & & \\ & & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \tilde{S} & \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y & & \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ & X & \hookrightarrow & S & \end{array}$$

Continuing to construct a commutative cube, the left-hand face of the diagram below is then also obtained by base change using the definition of

the exceptional locus.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & E_Y S \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \text{inc} \\
 & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} \tilde{S} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 Y & \xlongequal{\quad} & Y \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 X & \hookrightarrow & S
 \end{array}$$

Finally, using commutativity of (3.A), we may complete the diagram to the commutative cube shown above, where the top face is a fibre square as required.¹²

(2) We now consider the base change along $S \setminus Y \hookrightarrow S$ of (3.A). This is constructed as in (1), giving a commutative cube as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{X} \setminus E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{S} \setminus E_Y S \\
 \wr \downarrow & \searrow & \wr \downarrow \text{inc} \\
 & \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\quad} \tilde{S} \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow h \\
 X \setminus Y & \hookrightarrow & S \setminus Y \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow \\
 X & \hookrightarrow & S
 \end{array} \tag{3.B}$$

Note that Y is the centre for our blowups of X and S respectively, so the two marked isomorphisms are indeed isomorphisms [Sta, Lemma 02OS]. Then the back face is a fibre square: this may be checked by taking inverses for the two marked isomorphisms, and directly verifying the universal property. \square

The following comparison of normal sheaves is a straightforward consequence of crepancy. It is used to prove Proposition 3.8 below which is key to all our main theorems. Separately, it is used in the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 3.5. *The invertible normal sheaves of X and \tilde{X} are related as follows.*

- (1) $f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \text{inc}^* \omega_h$
- (2) $\text{inc}^* \omega_h \cong \mathcal{O}(nE_Y X)$

Proof. (1) Consider again the commutative square (3.A). The schemes appearing are smooth or at least Gorenstein, using Proposition 3.3(2). Hence the relative dualizing sheaf ω_g is invertible, and by commutativity we have

¹²In contrast, the front is *not* a fibre square, but rather a strict transform square. The back is also not a fibre square: the top morphism is clearly not an isomorphism, as it has codimension 1.

the following.

$$\omega_f \otimes f^* \omega_i \cong \omega_g \cong \omega_{inc} \otimes inc^* \omega_h$$

On the left-hand side, ω_f is trivial because f is crepant by Assumption (c). Both i and inc are embeddings of Cartier divisors by Proposition 3.3(1). Hence $\omega_i = \mathcal{N}_X$ and $\omega_{inc} = \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$, and the claim follows.

(2) Note that $inc^* \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_Y S) \cong \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_Y X)$ using Lemma 3.4(1). The claim then follows because $\omega_h \cong \mathcal{O}(n\mathbb{E}_Y S)$ by Proposition 3.2(4). \square

We may now easily handle Theorem A in the degenerate case when Y is a Cartier divisor in X , so that f is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3.6. *If Y is a Cartier divisor in X then:*

(1) g^* is spherical, with twist as follows.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong - \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X^\vee[2]$$

(2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-\mathbb{E}_Y X)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. (1) By Assumption (b), f is an isomorphism, so g^* is identified with the derived restriction from S to a Cartier divisor X . This functor is spherical with twist $- \otimes \mathcal{N}_X^\vee[2]$ by Proposition 2.2(1), and the result follows by pullback-tensor compatibility.

(2) This follows from (1) after noting that $n = \text{codim}_X Y = 1$ and using Proposition 3.5 above. \square

Remark 3.7. In this degenerate case that Y is a Cartier divisor in X , we may also now see the conclusion of Theorem B. Indeed, if f is an isomorphism, then its base change p to Y is also an isomorphism, and so the functor \mathbb{F} from Theorem B is identified by construction with the derived pushforward from $\mathbb{E}_Y X$ to \tilde{X} . This is spherical with twist $- \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathbb{E}_Y X)$ by Proposition 2.2(2), giving Theorem B(1). Furthermore Theorem B(2) reduces to the known statement of Proposition 3.6(2) above in this case.

In the following we extend the information provided by Proposition 3.5 to a comparison of the Cartier divisors \tilde{X} and X via the blowup h .

Proposition 3.8. *We have the following isomorphisms on \tilde{S} .*

$$(1) \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^! \mathcal{I}_X$$

$$(2) \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \omega_h$$

$$(3) \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(n\mathbb{E}_Y S)$$

Proof. Note first that $\dim h = 0$, so (1) and (2) are equivalent by construction of $h^!$, and also that (2) and (3) are equivalent by Proposition 3.2(4). We prove

the following, which is equivalent to (3).

$$\mathcal{D} = h^* \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})^\vee \cong \mathcal{O}(nE_Y S) \quad (3.C)$$

By Assumption (b), Y is smooth, and we may further assume that it is connected: if it is not connected, we may check (3.C) after base change to a neighbourhood of each component of Y in S which is disjoint from the other components of Y .

I first show that \mathcal{D} is trivial away from $E_Y S$. Take the base change of h along $S \setminus Y \hookrightarrow S$ and combine with Lemma 3.4(2) to get a fibred diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{X} \setminus E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{S} \setminus E_Y S & \xrightarrow{k} & \tilde{S} \\ \wr \downarrow & & \wr \downarrow h^\circ & & \downarrow h \\ X \setminus Y & \hookrightarrow & S \setminus Y & \xrightarrow{l} & S \end{array}$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} k^* \mathcal{D} &= k^* (h^* \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})^\vee) \\ &\cong h^\circ * l^* \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes k^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})^\vee \\ &\cong h^\circ * \mathcal{O}(X \setminus Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X} \setminus E_Y X)^\vee \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism uses that the bottom and top of the commutative cube (3.B) are fibre squares. But then the invertible sheaf $k^* \mathcal{D}$ is trivial because the left-hand square of the above diagram is a fibre square.

Now \tilde{S} is the blowup of smooth S along smooth connected Y , so $E_Y S$ is smooth connected thence irreducible. Hence $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{O}(mE_Y S)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ by for instance [Har, Proposition II.6.5(c)], so Lemma 3.4(1) gives the following.

$$inc^* \mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{O}(mE_Y X)$$

On the other hand using commutativity of (3.A) we have

$$\begin{aligned} inc^* \mathcal{D} &= inc^* (h^* \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})^\vee) \\ &\cong f^* i^* \mathcal{O}(X) \otimes inc^* \mathcal{O}(\tilde{X})^\vee \\ &= f^* \mathcal{N}_X \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee \\ &\cong \mathcal{O}(nE_Y X) \end{aligned} \quad (3.D)$$

where the last isomorphism is by Proposition 3.5. So (3.C) follows if $\mathcal{O}(E_Y X)$ is not torsion in $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$, as then $m = n$ as required.

I claim that $\mathcal{O}(E_Y X)$ is not torsion in $\text{Pic}(\tilde{X})$ if f is not an isomorphism. This $\mathcal{O}(E_Y X)$ is dual to the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_f(1)$ associated to the blowup f , which is very ample relative to f [Sta, Section 01OF]. Now furthermore

$\mathcal{O}_f(k)$ is very ample relative to f for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by considering the k -uple embedding [Har, Exercise II.5.13]. Suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_Y X)$ is torsion. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is very ample relative to f . But f is a contraction, hence a surjection with $R^0 f_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, so then f embeds \tilde{X} into $\text{Proj}_X \mathcal{O}_X = X$ over the base X , making f an isomorphism. This contradiction gives the claim.

Consider finally the degenerate case when f is an isomorphism. Then Y is Cartier in X by the universal property of blowup, so its codimension n is 1. Furthermore X is Cartier in smooth S using Proposition 3.3(1). A local calculation then gives that (3.C) holds as required. \square

Remark 3.9. The above is the only place where we use that f is a contraction, so if we can obtain the conclusion of Proposition 3.8 in another way, we might work without this assumption.

For instance, the conclusion could follow from an assumption that the multiplicity along Y of X as a subscheme of S is equal to $n = \text{codim}_Y X$, for a suitable notion of multiplicity, such as in the blowup formula of Fulton [Ful, Theorem 6.7].

Example 3.10. I give a class of examples satisfying the assumptions of Section 1.1. Let X be the affine cone over a reduced hypersurface H of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n , with $S = \mathbb{k}^{n+1}$ its ambient space, for $n \geq 2$. Take f to be the blowup of X at the vertex $\{0\}$ of the affine cone, so that $Y = \{0\}$. Then the blowups \tilde{X} and \tilde{S} and their exceptional loci form a fibre square as follows, as in Lemma 3.4(1).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^n \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \tilde{S} \end{array}$$

Consider \tilde{S} as the total space $\text{Tot } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$ with projection π . Then \tilde{X} is cut out by $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n)$, and we find using the adjunction formula that $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is trivial. As X is a hypersurface in affine space, ω_X is also trivial, so indeed f is crepant. Furthermore \mathcal{I}_X is trivial by construction, so Proposition 3.8(3) reduces to the statement that

$$\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-n) \cong \mathcal{O}(n\mathbb{E}_Y S)$$

which indeed holds as $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{E}_Y S) \cong \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(-1)$.

For the case $n = 2$, H is a conic, and under the isomorphism $H \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ we have that \tilde{X} is $\text{Tot } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$.

Remark 3.11. We allow X to be reducible in Example 3.10 above, for instance by taking the cone on a nodal curve cut out by $x_1 x_2$ from \mathbb{P}^2 . Then X has two irreducible components, corresponding to the branches of the node. The

blowup \tilde{X} of X likewise has two irreducible components, namely the preimages of the branches under π . In this case therefore the morphism $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is between non-normal varieties, as both are singular in codimension 1. Our conventions allow such contractions (though note that contractions may be assumed to be normal elsewhere in the literature).

Remark 3.12. I explain how the above may be modified if Assumption (c) is dropped, so that crepancy is not assumed. Then we have an isomorphism

$$f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \text{inc}^* \omega_h \otimes \omega_f^\vee$$

generalizing Proposition 3.5(1). If we assume that

$$\omega_f \cong \mathcal{O}(d E_Y X)$$

so that d measures the discrepancy of f , then the argument of Proposition 3.8 goes through. Namely, in (3.D) n is replaced by $n - d$, so we find $m = n - d$ and obtain the following.

- (1) $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^! \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d E_Y S)$
- (2) $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \omega_h \otimes \mathcal{O}(-d E_Y S)$
- (3) $\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}((n - d) E_Y S)$

Examples arise as in Example 3.10, but letting the hypersurface there have degree $n - d$. Then \tilde{X} is cut out of \tilde{S} by $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n - d)$, and the result may be checked in the same way.

This clarifies why Assumption (c) will be important in the argument that follows. In particular, in the discrepant case we do not have Proposition 3.13 below, which will be key in the proof of Theorem 3.14.

Recall that h is the blowup of smooth S in smooth Y of constant codimension $n + 1$, and take notation as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y S & \xrightarrow{j_Y} & \tilde{S} \\ r \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i_Y} & S \end{array}$$

Then we have semiorthogonal decompositions¹³

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) &= \langle h^* \mathbf{D}(S), \Phi_1 \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \Phi_n \mathbf{D}(Y) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_{-n+1} \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \Phi_0 \mathbf{D}(Y), h^* \mathbf{D}(S) \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.E}$$

¹³See [Orl], or [BS] for a recent treatment with weaker assumptions. In particular, [BS, Corollary 6.10] gives a decomposition of categories of perfect complexes, assuming that Y is regularly embedded in S . For us, this assumption holds by smoothness as noted in Proposition 3.2(2), and also by smoothness the categories of perfect complexes coincide with bounded coherent categories.

where admissible embeddings Φ_m are constructed as follows.

$$\Phi_m = j_{Y*}(r^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1))$$

Proposition 3.13. *We have the following natural isomorphism.*

$$\Phi_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \Phi_{m-n}(- \otimes i_Y^* \mathcal{I}_X)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} &= j_{Y*}(r^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1)) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \\ &\cong j_{Y*}(r^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1) \otimes j_Y^* \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}}) \end{aligned}$$

but by Proposition 3.8(3)

$$\begin{aligned} j_Y^* \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} &\cong j_Y^*(h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(nE_Y S)) \\ &\cong j_Y^* h^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes j_Y^* \mathcal{O}(nE_Y S) \\ &\cong r^* i_Y^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(-n) \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} &\cong j_{Y*}(r^*(-) \otimes r^* i_Y^* \mathcal{I}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1-n)) \\ &\cong j_{Y*}(r^*(- \otimes i_Y^* \mathcal{I}_X) \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1-n)) \\ &= \Phi_{m-n}(- \otimes i_Y^* \mathcal{I}_X) \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

We have now completed the preparations to prove Theorem A, that g^* is spherical. We also give a first description of the twist T_{g^*} . Recall notation as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{inc}} & \tilde{S} \\ f \downarrow & \searrow g & \downarrow h \\ X & \xrightarrow{i} & S \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.14 (Theorem A). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 consider*

$$g^*: \mathbf{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

given by derived pullback. Then:

(0) g^* is spherical.

Let \mathbf{H} be the restriction of inc^ to the left orthogonal of $h^* \mathbf{D}(S)$ in $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S})$, as follows.*

$$\mathbf{H} = \text{inc}^*: {}^\perp h^* \mathbf{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

Then furthermore:

(1) \mathbf{H} is spherical.

(2) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{H}}^{-1}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$ is the invertible normal sheaf of \tilde{X} in $\tilde{S} = \mathrm{Bl}_Y S$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3(1) we have that \tilde{X} is a Cartier divisor in \tilde{S} , so

$$\mathbb{S} = \mathrm{inc}^* : \mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical with cotwist $-\otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}}$ acting on $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S})$ by Proposition 2.2(1). From (3.E) we have semiorthogonal decompositions of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S})$, namely

$$\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) = \langle \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{B}', \mathbf{A} \rangle$$

with $\mathbf{A} = h^*\mathbf{D}(S)$, and \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}' as follows.

$$\mathbf{B} = \langle \Phi_1 \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \Phi_n \mathbf{D}(Y) \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{B}' = \langle \Phi_{-n+1} \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \Phi_0 \mathbf{D}(Y) \rangle$$

Proposition 3.13 above implies that

$$\Phi_m \mathbf{D}(Y) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} = \Phi_{m-n} \mathbf{D}(Y)$$

and so, noting that \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{B}' are the smallest full triangulated subcategories of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S})$ containing the indicated images of $\mathbf{D}(Y)$, we find the following.

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{S}} \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} = \mathbf{B}'$$

We may therefore apply Theorem 2.6 to the spherical functor \mathbb{S} . This gives a spherical functor

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbb{S} h^* = \mathrm{inc}^* h^* \cong g^*$$

so that we have (0), and also a spherical functor $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{B}}$ which is \mathbb{H} by construction, yielding (1). It furthermore gives a factorization

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{S}} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{B}}}$$

for the twist of $\mathbb{S} = \mathrm{inc}^*$. We have $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{S}} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^{\vee}[2]$ by Proposition 2.2(1), and so rearranging we get (2). \square

I now characterize the spherical functor \mathbb{H} from Theorem 3.14 above in terms of functors from the blowup locus Y . This will yield Theorem B, which describes \mathbb{T}_{g^*} in the case when $n = \mathrm{codim}_X Y = 1$. Later we prove Theorem D which describes \mathbb{T}_{g^*} for general $n \geq 1$ under further assumptions. For these results we use the following functors \mathbb{F}_m .

Definition 3.15. Recall notation as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Define a functor

$$F_m : D(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} D(E_Y X) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}_p(m-1)} D(E_Y X) \longrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the last functor is derived pushforward.

Remark 3.16. In the case that Y is a point, as in Example 3.10, we have $F_m : D(\text{pt}) \rightarrow D(\tilde{X})$ with $F_m(\mathcal{O}_{\text{pt}})$ given by the following object.

$$\mathcal{E}_m = \mathcal{O}_{E_Y X}(m-1) \in D(\tilde{X})$$

For F_m spherical, the twists \mathbb{T}_{F_m} are therefore simply twists $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}_m}$ by spherical objects.

The functors F_m are related to the embeddings of the semiorthogonal decompositions (3.E) as follows. This requires base change, and uses in particular smoothness of the ambient space S , see Remark 3.18 below.

Proposition 3.17. $inc^* \Phi_m \cong F_m$

Proof. Recall the intersection from Lemma 3.4(1), and take notation as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \xrightarrow{inc'} & E_Y S \\ j'_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow j_Y \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{inc} & \tilde{S} \end{array} \quad (3.F)$$

Note that we also have a commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \xrightarrow{inc'} & E_Y S \\ p \searrow & & \swarrow r \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

and the following compatibility.

$$inc'^* \mathcal{O}_r(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_p(1) \quad (3.G)$$

These allow us to rewrite F_m as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} F_m &= j'_{Y*}(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(m-1)) \\ &\cong j'_{Y*}(inc'^*r^*(-) \otimes inc'^*\mathcal{O}_r(m-1)) \\ &\cong j'_{Y*}inc'^*(r^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_r(m-1)) \end{aligned}$$

To finish the argument, we claim that base change holds for the intersection (3.F), namely an isomorphism as follows.

$$inc^*j_{Y*} \cong j'_{Y*}inc'^* \tag{3.H}$$

As noted in Proposition 3.2(3), \tilde{S} and $E_Y S$ are smooth equidimensional. Though \tilde{X} may be singular, it is Cohen–Macaulay, indeed Gorenstein, using Proposition 3.3(2), and equidimensional by Proposition 3.3(1). Then using that j_Y is proper, the claimed isomorphism will follow by [Add, Proposition A.1]. The reference assumes finite type over a field and Noetherian: we assume the former, which yields the latter [Sta, Lemma 01T6]. The reference furthermore assumes that \tilde{X} , $E_Y S$ and \tilde{S} are connected, and that $E_Y X$ is of the expected dimension, but the argument goes through if they are equidimensional and the irreducible components of $E_Y X$ are of the expected dimension, given as follows.

$$\dim \tilde{X} + \dim E_Y S - \dim \tilde{S}$$

For us, this is just $\dim \tilde{X} - 1$, and $E_Y X$ is the exceptional divisor of the blowup \tilde{X} , so we are done. \square

Remark 3.18. Assumption (a) may be weakened to allow S smooth in a neighbourhood of X . Then \tilde{S} is smooth in a neighbourhood of \tilde{X} and $E_Y S$, and the argument in [Add, Proposition A.1] suffices to give the required base change.

It seems however that some smoothness of S (giving smoothness of \tilde{S}) is needed for the base change (3.H) used above. For instance, such base change fails for the fibre square associated to the intersection of two transverse lines through the vertex of the singular cone $\{xy = z^2\}$, see [Add, after proof of Proposition A.1].

Proposition 3.19. *Recall that in Theorem 3.14 we put*

$$H = inc^*: {}^\perp h^*D(S) \rightarrow D(\tilde{X})$$

and that by (3.E) we have a semiorthogonal decomposition as follows.

$${}^\perp h^*D(S) = \langle \Phi_1 D(Y), \dots, \Phi_n D(Y) \rangle$$

Restricting H to the m^{th} component of this gives F_m from Definition 3.15.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 3.17. \square

Recall that under Assumption (b) we have a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \hookrightarrow & \tilde{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.20 (Theorem B). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 suppose $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$. Define a functor*

$$F: D(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} D(E_Y X) \longrightarrow D(\tilde{X})$$

by composition, where the last functor is derived pushforward. Then:

- (1) F is spherical.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathbb{T}_F^{-1}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $D(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. For $n = \text{codim}_X Y = 1$, Proposition 3.19 gives that \mathbb{H} coincides with \mathbb{F}_1 , as the semiorthogonal decomposition there has only a single component. Furthermore $F = \mathbb{F}_1$ from Definition 3.15. Then the result is contained in Theorem 3.14. \square

For a first example, recall Example 1.3, namely a small resolution of a 3-fold ordinary double point $\{ac + bd = 0\} \cong \{xy + z^2 + w^2 = 0\}$. We also have the following surface example.

Example 3.21. Let X be a surface quadric cone $\{xy + z^2 = 0\}$, and Y a line through the origin. This Y is Cartier except at the origin, and blowup along it yields a minimal resolution of X . Note that $E_Y X$ here is not smooth, indeed it is a nodal curve, the union of the strict transform of Y and a \mathbb{P}^1 over the origin in X .

Remark 3.22. Continuing the pattern above by taking a curve $\{xy = 0\}$ yields only degenerate examples. Indeed, we saw in Proposition 3.2(1) that $n = \text{codim}_X Y$ must be positive, but blowing up a smooth point gives an isomorphism, whereas blowing up a node Y on a curve is not a contraction (because the exceptional fibre is two points).

4. BLOWUPS IN NON-CARTIER DIVISORS

In this section we work in the setting of Theorem C, where f is the blowup in a divisor. (Note that the divisor is non-Cartier precisely when our contraction f is not an isomorphism.) Under the assumptions of Theorem C,

X has a family of 3-fold ordinary double points parametrized by a possibly singular and non-reduced Z .

I examine the geometry of the blowup of X along Y and prove the geometric part of Theorem C, namely part (0). The remaining homological part of the proof is then completed in Section 5. Further examples of the geometry are given in Section 9.

Remark 4.1. The blowup of X along Y may admit a flop: I use a duality which corresponds to this flop in examples, namely the relation between locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' , see below Definition 4.3 and Example 4.7.

The following gives in detail the assumption of Theorem C, part (i), that the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is cut out of the total space of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ in a particular way. As preparation, note the following.

- $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ is a locally free sheaf of rank 2, as Y is regularly embedded in smooth S with codimension 2 using Proposition 3.2(2).
- $\mathcal{N}_X S$ is an invertible sheaf, as X is an effective Cartier divisor in S by Proposition 3.3(1).

Letting $\text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$ be the total space, and π its projection to Y , we make the following.

Assumption 4.2. Take a section θ of the rank 2 locally free sheaf

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{N}_Y S, \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y)$$

on Y . Assume that the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is cut out of $\text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$ by the canonically induced section η of $\pi^* \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y$, as described in Proposition 4.4.

The sheaves appearing in the above construction will be denoted as follows for readability, and to exhibit a useful duality between \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' which appears in Lemma 4.10 below.

Definition 4.3. Notate sheaves on Y as follows.

- $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{N}_Y S$ a locally free sheaf of rank 2
- $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y$ an invertible sheaf

By construction we therefore have the following.

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \tag{4.A}$$

Proposition 4.4. *A section θ of \mathcal{F} canonically induces a section η of $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$, writing the projection $\pi: \text{Tot } \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow Y$. If θ is regular, then η is also regular.*

Proof. From (4.A) we have

$$\pi^* \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\pi^* \mathcal{F}', \pi^* \mathcal{L})$$

and $\pi^* \mathcal{F}'$ has a tautological section by construction. Hence the section $\pi^* \theta$ of $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$ induces a section η of $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ as required.

If θ is regular, then η is regular by a local calculation as follows. Recalling that Y is smooth, take local coordinates y , and fibre coordinates $z = (z_1, z_2)$ for $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}'$. Then locally we have a section $\theta(y)$ of \mathcal{F} , and may choose a trivialization of \mathcal{F} so that $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $\eta = \theta \cdot z$ with the standard inner product. The claim is then just that this local section η is non-zero, which is clear as the local sections θ_i are non-zero by regularity. \square

The following appears in the statement of Theorem C.

Definition 4.5. Let

$$Z = V(\theta) \subset Y$$

be the zeroes of the section θ of \mathcal{F} from Assumption 4.2.

If we assume the section θ is regular as in Theorem C, assumption (ii), then Z is codimension 2 in Y .

Remark 4.6. If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y$ is trivial then we simply have the following.

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_Y^\vee S$$

For orientation, I give the following basic example, where X is a 3-fold with an ordinary double point Z . Our interest is in more general cases, where X has a family of such points parametrized by Z , see Section 9. Note also that in general Z may be singular and non-reduced, see Example 5.4.

Example 4.7. Take $X = \{ac + bd = 0\}$ in $S = \mathbb{k}^4$, and $Y = \{c, d = 0\} \cong \mathbb{k}^2$ with coordinates (a, b) . The bundle $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{N}_Y S$ has fibre coordinates (c, d) , and X is cut out of S by a function so \mathcal{L} is trivial, and hence $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'^\vee$. Taking dual fibre coordinates for \mathcal{F} , the regular section $\theta = (a, b)$ induces the section $\eta = ac + bd$ of the trivial bundle $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$, which clearly cuts out the normal cone as follows.

$$\mathcal{C}_Y X \cong \{ac + bd = 0\} \subset \text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$$

Note also that $Z = V(\theta) = \{0\}$, namely the singular point of X .

Blowing up X along Y gives a small resolution \tilde{X} . The duality between \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' corresponds to the flop of this \tilde{X} , as follows. Identifying S with $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}'$, the flop is given by blowing up X along $\pi^{-1}Z$. Then $\pi^{-1}Z$ and Y naturally arise as the zeroes of sections of $\pi^* \mathcal{F}$ and $\pi^* \mathcal{F}'$ respectively, namely $\pi^* \theta$ and the tautological section.

Proposition 4.8. *In the setting of Theorem C, for the singular locus Sing_X of X we have:*

$$\text{Sing}_X \cap Y = Z$$

Indeed, this may be interpreted as an equality of subschemes of X .

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 4.4 we work locally on Y . As there, take local coordinates (y, z) for $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}' = \text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$ such that $\eta = \theta(y) \cdot z$.

Then to check the Jacobian criterion we may calculate as follows.

$$\frac{d\eta}{d(y, z)} = \frac{d(\theta \cdot z)}{d(y, z)} = \left(\frac{d\theta}{dy} \cdot z, \theta \cdot \frac{dz}{dz} \right) = \left(\frac{d\theta}{dy} \cdot z, \theta \right)$$

The singular locus of the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is then locally cut out by these two functions and η , therefore $\text{Sing}_X \cap Y$ is given by further cutting by z . But the functions

$$\frac{d\theta}{dy} \cdot z \quad \text{and} \quad \eta = \theta \cdot z$$

are clearly already contained in the ideal generated by z , so we find that $\text{Sing}_X \cap Y$ is locally cut out of $\text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$ by θ and z , giving Z scheme-theoretically, as required. \square

This yields the following dichotomy.

Proposition 4.9. *In the setting of Theorem C, we have:*

- (1) *If $\dim X \leq 2$ then Z is empty.*
- (2) *If $\dim X \geq 3$ then X is singular.*

Proof. The subscheme Z has constant codimension 2 in Y , thence constant codimension 3 in X . So if $\dim X \leq 2$ then Z is empty, giving (1). If $\dim X \geq 3$ then Z is non-empty, giving (2) using Proposition 4.8. \square

The following is a general property of locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' related as in (4.A).

Lemma 4.10. *For \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' locally free sheaves of finite rank, and an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} , all on the same space, we have the following.*

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \iff \mathcal{F}' \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$$

If furthermore \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' have rank 2, then the spaces below are dual.

$$\mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \quad \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$$

Proof. The first is clear. For the second, $\mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ is dual to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \det \mathcal{F}) &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}, \det \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \\ &\cong \det \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{L} \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}), \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \end{aligned}$$

giving the result. \square

For Theorem C(0), we want to show that $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of Y along the codimension 2 locus Z , which is cut out by a section of a rank 2 locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} . The following gives a standard description of such blowups.

Lemma 4.11. *For \mathcal{F} a rank 2 locally free sheaf on Y , write $s: \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$ for the associated projective bundle. Then we have that:*

(1) *There is an isomorphism*

$$s_*(\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \det \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}$$

and thence a section θ of \mathcal{F} induces a section σ of

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F}$$

on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$.

Assuming furthermore that θ is regular:

(2) $V(\sigma) \cong \text{Bl}_{V(\theta)} Y$.

(3) *Restricting s to $V(\sigma)$ gives the blowup morphism.*

Proof. (1) The first isomorphism follows from the projection formula, and the second follows using that \mathcal{F} is rank 2.

(2) Again as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, we work locally on Y , with coordinates y , so that we have a local section $\theta(y)$ of \mathcal{F} . Taking fibre coordinates $w = (w_1, w_2)$ for $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}$ gives coordinates $(w_1 : w_2)$ for $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$. Then trivializing \mathcal{F} so that $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, and using that θ is regular, the blowup $\text{Bl}_{V(\theta)} Y$ is locally cut out of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$ by the following function.

$$\tau = w_1 s^* \theta_2 - w_2 s^* \theta_1$$

Interpreting the w_i as local sections of $\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \mathcal{F}$, we see that τ is a local section of $\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F}$, and is given by σ above. This gives the claim locally, and we deduce the required global result.

(3) This follows immediately from the local construction above. □

The following is also general. It will be used to compare the blowup of Z in Y with the blowup of Y in X .

Proposition 4.12. *Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' be rank 2 locally free sheaves on Y , and \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf on Y such that $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$. Then:*

(1) *There is an isomorphism of projective bundles*

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'$$

on Y coming from the following isomorphism.

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$$

(2) *Writing $s: \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$ and $s': \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}' \rightarrow Y$, we have corresponding invertible sheaves under the isomorphism of (1) as follows.*

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{s'}(1)$$

(3) We have further corresponding sheaves as follows.

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{s'}(1) \otimes s'^* \mathcal{L}$$

Proof. (1) Note that $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \det \mathcal{F}') \cong \mathcal{F}'$ because \mathcal{F}' is rank 2, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \det \mathcal{F}'), \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{F}' \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$ is invertible, so projectivizing gives the claim.

(2) Putting $\mathcal{M}_Y = \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$, under the isomorphism $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'$ from (1) we have corresponding invertible sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{s'}(1) \otimes s'^* \mathcal{M}_Y^\vee$$

and thence the following, as required.

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \mathcal{M}_Y \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{s'}(1)$$

(3) By a similar chain of isomorphisms as above, we have

$$\mathcal{F}' \cong \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$$

and projectivizing gives the same isomorphism $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}'$ as in (1). Now putting $\mathcal{M}'_Y = \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ we have corresponding sheaves as follows.

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_{s'}(1) \otimes s'^* \mathcal{M}'_Y$$

We finally obtain the result using that

$$\mathcal{M}'_Y \cong \det \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

and that the correspondence is monoidal. \square

We are now ready to prove the geometric part of Theorem C: the homological part is completed in Section 5. We take our usual assumptions from Section 1.1 with the exception of Assumption (c), namely crepancy of f .

Theorem 4.13 (Theorem C, part (0)). *Under Assumptions (a) and (b) of Section 1.1 with $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$, suppose furthermore that Assumption 4.2 holds and that the section θ there is regular. Then:*

(0) The projection $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of Y along Z , giving a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Z Y & \xrightarrow{j_Z} & E_Y X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

(1) The invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ is degree 1 on the fibres of q , indeed

$$j_Z^* \mathcal{O}_p(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{M}_Y|_Z$$

with \mathcal{M}_Y an invertible sheaf on Y as follows.

$$\mathcal{M}_Y = \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{N}_Y S, \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y)$$

I give the proof of this theorem after the following remarks.




FIGURE 3. Sketch of the blowup along Y in the setting of Theorem C. Compare Figure 1 which shows the geometry before the blowup. The exceptional locus $E = E_Y S$ is a projective bundle r of relative dimension 1 over Y . I illustrate fibres E_z over z in Z , and E_y over y not in Z . The normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_E \tilde{S}$ is the total space of $\mathcal{O}_r(-1)$. This bundle contains the strict transform of $\mathcal{C}_Y X$. The transform is indicated by thickened lines: its intersection with E is the locus $E_Y X$. Theorem 4.13(0) establishes that $E_Y X$ is the blowup of Y along Z .

Remark 4.14. The sheaf \mathcal{M}_Y appearing in Theorem 4.13 may be motivated as follows. Suppose we are in the degenerate case where Y is Cartier in X , so that f is an isomorphism. Then from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_Y X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_Y S \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y \rightarrow 0$$

we find that $\mathcal{M}_Y \cong \mathcal{N}_Y^\vee X$. So we may think of \mathcal{M}_Y as a substitute for $\mathcal{N}_Y^\vee X$ in the interesting case where Y is non-Cartier in X (and consequently $\mathcal{N}_Y X$ is not invertible).

Remark 4.15. If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y$ is trivial then we simply have the following.

$$\mathcal{M}_Y \cong \det \mathcal{N}_Y^\vee S$$

To prove Theorem 4.13, we study the geometry of the blowup of X along Y , in particular the exceptional locus $E_Y X$. This is illustrated in Figure 3.

Proof of Theorem 4.13(0). First recall that by Definition 4.5, Z is the zeroes of the section θ of \mathcal{F} on Y . Then by Lemma 4.11, writing $s: \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \rightarrow Y$, there is a corresponding section σ of

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F}$$

on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}$, and the zeroes of σ give the subscheme $\text{Bl}_Z Y$.

Now to compare $\text{Bl}_Z Y$ with $E_Y X$, take $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{N}_Y S$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y$ as in Definition 4.3, and apply Proposition 4.12(1). This gives the first isomorphism below: the second follows because Y is regularly embedded in S by Proposition 3.2(2).

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F}' \cong E_Y S \tag{4.B}$$

I will show that the subscheme $\text{Bl}_Z Y$ is taken to $E_Y X$ by this composition. First note that under (4.B) we have, using Proposition 4.12(3), corresponding invertible sheaves

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \det \mathcal{F} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_r(1) \otimes r^* \mathcal{L}$$

where we write $r: E_Y S \rightarrow Y$ for the projection (as before in Proposition 3.17). The section σ of the left-hand sheaf corresponds to a section ρ , say, of the right-hand side.

To determine the image of $\text{Bl}_Z Y$ under (4.B), we describe the section ρ . Note that

$$r_*(\mathcal{O}_r(1) \otimes r^* \mathcal{L}) \cong \mathcal{F}^\vee \otimes \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{F}$$

and so the section θ of \mathcal{F} corresponds to a section of $\mathcal{O}_r(1) \otimes r^* \mathcal{L}$. Reviewing the proofs of Lemma 4.11(1) and Proposition 4.12 this is found to be ρ .

Now $E_Y X$ is the projectivization of the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$, which is a subscheme of $\text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S$. Writing $\pi: \text{Tot } \mathcal{N}_Y S \rightarrow Y$, Proposition 4.4 explains that θ induces a section η of $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ which, by Assumption 4.2, cuts out $\mathcal{C}_Y X$.

By construction η is linear on the fibres of π , so η induces a section of $\mathcal{O}_r(1) \otimes r^*\mathcal{L}$ on $E_Y S \cong \mathbb{P}\mathcal{N}_Y S$ which cuts out $E_Y X$. Reviewing the proof of Proposition 4.4 this latter section is also found to be ρ .

Combining, we find that the isomorphism (4.B) restricts to $\text{Bl}_Z Y \cong E_Y X$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.11(3) the blowup morphism for $\text{Bl}_Z Y$ is identified with the restriction of s to $\text{Bl}_Z Y$, which we may now identify with the projection $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$, completing the proof. \square

Remark 4.16. Working locally on Y , the argument above can be written explicitly in coordinates. For instance, the corresponding sections σ and ρ are given locally by

$$w_1 s^* \theta_2 - w_2 s^* \theta_1 \quad \text{and} \quad z_1 r^* \theta_1 + z_2 r^* \theta_2$$

for fibre coordinates w and z on $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}$ and $\text{Tot } \mathcal{F}'$ respectively, and taking a corresponding trivialization of \mathcal{F} so that $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

Proof of Theorem 4.13(1). We have corresponding invertible sheaves under the isomorphism (4.B) as follows, using Proposition 4.12(2).

$$\mathcal{O}_s(1) \otimes s^* \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \mathcal{O}_r(1)$$

I claim that restricting to $E_Z Y$ gives the following, yielding the result.

$$\mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})|_Z \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad j_Z^* \mathcal{O}_p(1)$$

For the left-hand side note that the restriction of s to $E_Z Y$ is identified with q , and thence the restriction of $\mathcal{O}_s(1)$ is identified with $\mathcal{O}_q(1)$. The claim then follows by commutativity of the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Z Y & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}\mathcal{F} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ Z & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

For the right-hand side the claim follows using the compatibility of $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_r(1)$, previously given as (3.G) in the proof of Proposition 3.17. \square

Finally, we note how the canonical sheaves of X and Z are related for reference later.

Proposition 4.17. *There is an isomorphism as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_Z &\cong \omega_X|_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}|_Z \\ &= \omega_X|_Z \otimes \mathcal{N}_X S|_Z \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the adjunction formula and Definition 4.3 we have the following.

$$\omega_X|_Y \cong \omega_S|_Y \otimes \mathcal{N}_X S|_Y = \omega_S|_Y \otimes \mathcal{L}$$

Combining with

$$\omega_S|_Y \cong \omega_Y \otimes \det \mathcal{N}_Y^\vee S = \omega_Y \otimes \det \mathcal{F}^\vee$$

we then find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_X|_Y &\cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \det \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism is by Lemma 4.10. The result follows by restricting this to Z and comparing with

$$\omega_Z \cong \omega_Y|_Z \otimes \det \mathcal{F}|_Z$$

which again is from the adjunction formula. \square

Remark 4.18. In particular, in the case that \mathcal{L} is trivial on Z , then if ω_X is trivial (or, equivalently by crepancy, $\omega_{\tilde{X}}$ is trivial) then the same is true for ω_Z . In this case, therefore, our construction can be seen as relating Calabi–Yau spaces of different dimension via spherical functors. Examples are discussed in Remark 9.18.

5. TWISTS AND NON-CARTIER DIVISORS

In this section I describe the twist T_{g^*} for f the blowup in a non-Cartier divisor under certain natural geometric assumptions, see Theorem 5.1 below. This is used to complete the proof of Theorem C, which is given as Corollary 5.2.

To prove Theorem 5.1, we repeat the trick of Theorem 3.14, but using a different spherical functor: there, we used that a derived pullback to \tilde{X} is spherical; here, we use that a derived pushforward to \tilde{X} is spherical, namely the pushforward along the inclusion of the exceptional locus $E_Y X$. In this section, inc denotes this inclusion.

First note that under the assumptions of Section 1.1 we have a blowup square as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Y X & \xhookrightarrow{inc} & \tilde{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ Y & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & X \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 take $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$, and suppose furthermore that:*

- (i) The projection p is the blowup of Y along Z , for Z regularly embedded of constant codimension 2, giving a commutative diagram as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Z Y & \hookrightarrow & E_Y X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \hookrightarrow & Y \end{array} \quad (5.A)$$

- (ii) The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ to $E_Z Y$ is given by $\mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{M}$ for some invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} .

Now we may put

$$\mathbf{G}: \mathbf{D}(Z) \xrightarrow{q^*} \mathbf{D}(E_Z Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}_q(-1)} \mathbf{D}(E_Z Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

where the last functor is derived pushforward. Then:

- (1) \mathbf{G} is spherical.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here \mathcal{N}_X is the invertible normal sheaf of X in S .

I prove Theorem 5.1 at the end of this section. Combining it with Theorem 4.13 immediately gives the following.

Corollary 5.2 (Theorem C). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 suppose $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$, and furthermore that Assumption 4.2 holds and that the section θ appearing there is regular. Then:*

- (0) The projection p is the blowup of Y along Z , the zeroes of θ in Y .
- (1) \mathbf{G} is spherical.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here \mathcal{N}_X is the invertible normal sheaf of X in S .

Remark 5.3. Note that $\omega_g \cong \omega_f \otimes f^* \omega_i \cong f^* \omega_i = f^* \mathcal{N}_X$ using crepancy of f , so that (2) above may also be written as follows.

$$\mathbf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \omega_g \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}(-)[2]$$

Corollary 5.2 applies in a range of examples where X contains a family of 3-fold ordinary double points parametrized by Z , see Section 9. A first interesting example is the following 3-fold, which appears in Reid's pagoda [Rei].

Example 5.4. Let X be $u^2 - v^2 = x^2 - y^{2w}$ in $S = \mathbb{A}^4$. This has two small resolutions \tilde{X} given by blowing up in $(u+v, x \pm y^w)$, so we take the following.

$$Y = \{u + v, x + y^w = 0\}$$

Rewriting X as

$$(u + v)((u + v) - 2v) = (x + y^w)((x + y^w) - 2y^w)$$

the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is given as follows.

$$(u + v)v = (x + y^w)y^w$$

Hence Assumption 4.2 holds, as $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is cut out of $\mathcal{N}_Y S$ by a function induced by a regular section $\theta = (v, -y^w)$ of the trivial rank 2 bundle on Y .

We are therefore in the setting of Corollary 5.2 with $Z = \{y^w, v = 0\} \subset Y$, a fattened point of length w . The spherical functor $\mathbf{G}: \mathbf{D}(Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$ may be described as follows. Let $R = \mathbb{k}[y]/y^w$ so that $Z = \text{Spec } R$. Then $\mathcal{O}_q(-1)$ on $\mathbf{E}_Z Y$ has an R -module structure via q , and we may put

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_q(-1) \in \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

using the embedding of $\mathbf{E}_Z Y$ in \tilde{X} . Take a diagram as follows, where $\mathbf{D}(R)$ is the bounded derived category of finitely generated R -modules.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}(Z) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\mathbf{G}^R} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \end{array} & \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X}) \\ \downarrow \wr & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{D}(R) & \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E} \otimes_R -} \end{array} & \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X}) \end{array}$$

Reviewing the definition of \mathbf{G} , we see that both squares in the diagram commute, and thence $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ fits into a triangle as follows.

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes_R \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, -) \rightarrow \text{id} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}} \rightarrow$$

We see that $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ recovers Toda's fat spherical twist [Tod1].¹⁴ However the method of proof here is quite different, being more geometric and avoiding homological calculations, and the isomorphism (2) is new to my knowledge.

Remark 5.5. In the above Example 5.4 it is straightforward and instructive to check Corollary 5.2(0) directly as follows. Note first that \tilde{X} is the graph of the rational map $X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given below.

$$(u + v : x + y^w) = (x - y^w : u - v) = ((x + y^w) - 2y^w : (u + v) - 2v)$$

Now $\mathbf{E}_Y X$ is the graph of this rational map after restriction to Y , which is given by $(-2y^w : -2v) = (y^w : v)$. There is an isomorphism $Y \cong \mathbb{A}^2$ where

¹⁴Note that Toda takes a sheaf \mathcal{E} on $\tilde{X} \times \text{Spec } R$, whereas we have equivalently taken a sheaf \mathcal{E} on \tilde{X} with an R -module structure.

we take coordinates (y, v) on the latter. Hence $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$ is the blowup of \mathbb{A}^2 along $Z = \{y^w, v = 0\}$. Note that $E_Y X$ is singular for $w > 1$: a chart on it is given by the graph of the rational map $y^w/v: Y \dashrightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$, which is a singular hypersurface.

Remark 5.6. For $w = 1$ in the above Example 5.4, $X = \{u^2 - v^2 = x^2 - y^2\} \cong \{ac + bd = 0\}$ after a change of coordinates, giving the 3-fold ordinary double point of Example 4.7. In this case the argument above shows that \mathbb{T}_G is simply a twist $\mathbb{T}_\mathcal{E}$ around a spherical object $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_E(-1)$ with support $E = E_Z Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Corollary 5.2(2) then gives the following.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong \mathbb{T}_\mathcal{E}[2]$$

Note that this is easily seen to hold on the object \mathcal{E} itself, as follows. The left-hand side gives \mathcal{E} because $f_*\mathcal{E} = 0$ by a standard cohomology calculation, and \mathbb{T}_{g^*} fits in a triangle with $g^*g_* \rightarrow \text{id}$ where $g_* \cong i_*f_*$. The right-hand side also gives \mathcal{E} using the following general property of spherical twists, see for instance [Huy, Exercise 8.5(ii)].

$$\mathbb{T}_\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}[-\dim \tilde{X} + 1]$$

These observations are generalized in Section 6.

Remark 5.7. I explain the relation of Corollary 5.2 to work of Bodzenta and Bondal [BB]. They consider a flopping contraction of curves to X an affine canonical hypersurface singularity of multiplicity 2. In this setting, there is an autoequivalence

$$\text{FlopFlop}: \mathbb{D}(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\tilde{X}') \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\tilde{X})$$

via the derived category of the flop \tilde{X}' . Bodzenta–Bondal explain that this autoequivalence fits in a triangle of Fourier–Mukai functors [BB, end of Section 4.5, equation 65] as follows.¹⁵

$$\text{id}[1] \xrightarrow{\eta} g^*g_* \rightarrow \text{FlopFlop} \rightarrow$$

Taking \mathcal{N}_X trivial, as in their setting, we have $g^! \cong g^*[-1]$, so that

$$\eta[-1]: \text{id} \rightarrow g^*g_*[-1]$$

yields a morphism $\epsilon: \text{id} \rightarrow g^!g_*$. If we assume that ϵ is the adjunction unit, and that we may take functorial cones, then $\text{Cone}(\epsilon) \cong \text{FlopFlop}[-1]$. On the other hand, using the inverse twists from Remark 2.4 we have the following.

$$\text{Cone}(\epsilon) \cong \mathbb{T}_{g^!}^{-1}[1] \cong \mathbb{T}_{g^*}^{-1}[1]$$

Bodzenta–Bondal further give a spherical functor Ψ to $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{X})$ and show that $\mathbb{T}_\Psi^{-1} \cong \text{FlopFlop}$ [BB, Corollary 5.18]. Putting together with the above

¹⁵More precisely, they make this statement at the unbounded quasicohherent level.

we would find

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}^{-1}[2] \cong \text{FlopFlop} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\Psi}^{-1}$$

and thence

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong \mathbb{T}_{\Psi}[2]$$

which resembles Corollary 5.2(2) above. It would therefore be interesting to compare Ψ and our functor \mathbb{G} . More broadly, this resemblance suggests that there should be common generalizations of the results here and those of Bodzenta–Bondal.

I now prepare for the proof of Theorem 5.1. Take notation for the blowup square (5.A) as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_Z Y & \xrightarrow{j_Z} & E_Y X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ Z & \xrightarrow{i_Z} & Y \end{array} \quad (5.B)$$

Using this notation, assumption (ii) of Theorem 5.1 has the following form.

Assumption 5.8 (Assumption (d)). The restriction of $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ to $E_Z Y$ is described as follows.

$$j_Z^* \mathcal{O}_p(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{M}$$

Though Z may not be smooth or reduced (see for instance Example 5.4) we still have decompositions as follows.

Proposition 5.9. *Given a blowup square (5.B) for the blowup of smooth Y along Z regularly embedded of constant codimension 2, then:*

- (1) *Functors p^* and q^* preserve the bounded coherent derived category.*
- (2) *There are semiorthogonal decompositions*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}(E_Y X) &= \langle p^* \mathbb{D}(Y), \Psi_1 \mathbb{D}(Z) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Psi_0 \mathbb{D}(Z), p^* \mathbb{D}(Y) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.C)$$

where embeddings Ψ_m are given by

$$\Psi_m = j_{Z*} (q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(m-1)).$$

Proof. (1) We have the following.

- p^* is pullback from smooth Y .¹⁶
- q^* is pullback along a flat morphism.

Note that the flatness here follows because Z is regularly embedded in Y .

¹⁶Note however that $E_Y X$ may be singular, as in Remark 5.5.

(2) Bergh and Schnürer, working in a more general setting of algebraic stacks, prove a version of the first of these decompositions [BS, Corollary 6.10] for categories of ‘locally bounded pseudo-coherent’ complexes. They show the components to be *right* admissible. They require that Z is regularly embedded in Y with constant codimension, which holds for us by assumption. I explain how the first decomposition of (5.C) follows, with admissible components, using that Y is smooth.

First note that categories of locally bounded pseudo-coherent complexes coincide with categories of complexes with bounded coherent cohomology assuming our schemes are Noetherian, which holds by finite type over a field.

It remains for us to show that the components are *left* admissible. For this, we argue that each compositand of the embedding functors has a left adjoint. For tensoring by $\mathcal{O}_q(m-1)$ this is clear. For j_{Z*} we have a left adjoint j_Z^* which preserves the bounded coherent category because j_Z is the inclusion of a Cartier divisor.¹⁷ For the others, we use coherent duality, as follows.

Part (1) implies that the morphisms p and q have finite Tor-dimension, and hence are perfect. Indeed, they are morphisms of finite type between Noetherian schemes so they are pseudo-coherent [Sta, Lemma 0684]. For such morphisms, finite Tor-dimension implies perfect [Sta, Lemma 069C].

Furthermore p and q are proper, indeed projective, as (5.B) is a blowup square. Therefore by coherent duality p^* and q^* have left adjoints, at least at the unbounded quasicoherent level (for a summary, see for instance [AL1, Section 2.2], in particular the end of the section).

I claim that in our setting these left adjoints preserve the bounded coherent derived category. Note first that everything in the blowup square (5.B) is Gorenstein, as follows.

- Y is smooth by Assumption (b).
- Z is not necessarily smooth, but is regularly embedded in Y smooth, by assumption (i) of Theorem 5.1.
- $E_Z Y$ is a projective bundle over Z , again because Z is regularly embedded in Y .
- $E_Y X$ is regularly embedded in smooth \tilde{S} . Indeed, it is Cartier in \tilde{X} , which is in turn Cartier in \tilde{S} by Proposition 3.3(1).

Then the left adjoint $p_!$ of p^* is given by

$$p_! = p_*(- \otimes \omega_p[\dim p])$$

with ω_p an invertible sheaf. The claim follows for p^* , and similarly for q^* .

¹⁷This fact was previously noted in Remark 2.3. It is needed here because we may not assume that the target $E_Y X$ of j_Z is smooth.

We conclude that the embedding functors of the first decomposition have left adjoints, so indeed the components are admissible as required. The second decomposition follows in the same way, after a straightforward modification of the method of Bergh–Schnürer [BS, proof of Theorem 6.9]. \square

The next proposition relates the embedding functors Ψ_m for the above semiorthogonal decompositions (5.C). I will use it to apply Theorem 2.6 to these decompositions in Proposition 5.14 below.

Proposition 5.10. *Under Assumption 5.8 we have the following.*

$$\Psi_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(-1) \cong \Psi_{m-1}(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee)$$

Proof. For the case $m = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(-1) &= j_{Z*}q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(-1) \\ &\cong j_{Z*}(q^*(-) \otimes j_Z^*\mathcal{O}_p(-1)) \\ &\cong j_{Z*}(q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(-1) \otimes q^*\mathcal{M}^\vee) \\ &\cong j_{Z*}(q^*(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(-1)) \\ &= \Psi_0(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee) \end{aligned}$$

and the general case follows similarly. \square

We define functors \mathbf{G}_m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows, noting that \mathbf{G}_0 gives the functor \mathbf{G} from Theorem 5.1.

Definition 5.11. Recalling the notation of (5.B), define a functor

$$\mathbf{G}_m: \mathbf{D}(Z) \xrightarrow{q^*} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}_Z Y) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}_q(m-1)} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}_Z Y) \longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

by composition. Here q^* preserves the bounded coherent derived category as noted in Proposition 5.9(1), and the last functor is derived pushforward.

These functors \mathbf{G}_m are related to the embeddings Ψ_m of the semiorthogonal decompositions (5.C) as follows.

Proposition 5.12. $inc_*\Psi_m \cong \mathbf{G}_m$

Proof. Recalling that inc denotes the inclusion of the exceptional locus $\mathbf{E}_Y X$ in \tilde{X} and that

$$\Psi_m = j_{Z*}(q^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_q(m-1))$$

then the claim is clear. \square

Proposition 5.13. *Under Assumption 5.8 the functors \mathbf{G}_m are related by invertible sheaves, as follows.*

$$\mathbf{G}_{m+l}(-) \cong \mathbf{G}_m(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\vee \otimes l}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-l \mathbf{E}_Y X)$$

Proof. First note that $inc^* \mathcal{O}(E_Y X) \cong \mathcal{O}_p(-1)$ so Proposition 5.10 yields the following.

$$\Psi_m(-) \otimes inc^* \mathcal{O}(E_Y X) \cong \Psi_{m-1}(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee)$$

Applying inc_* gives

$$\mathbf{G}_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X) = \mathbf{G}_{m-1}(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee)$$

using the projection formula and Proposition 5.12 above. Thence

$$\mathbf{G}_m(-) = \mathbf{G}_{m-1}(- \otimes \mathcal{M}^\vee) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E_Y X)$$

and the result follows by induction. \square

Recall that we found a spherical functor \mathbf{F} from $\mathbf{D}(Y)$ in Theorem B. The following establishes that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_0$ from $\mathbf{D}(Z)$ is also spherical, and gives in particular a relation between the twists of \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} on $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Proposition 5.14. *Take the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 and recall the functors \mathbf{G}_m from Definition 5.11, noting that $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{G}_0$. Then:*

- (1) \mathbf{G}_m is spherical for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) There are isomorphisms

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}_1} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X) \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}_0} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}}$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. Recall that \mathbf{F} is defined as follows.

$$\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{D}(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathbf{D}(E_Y X) \xrightarrow{inc_*} \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

Here $E_Y X$ is a Cartier divisor in \tilde{X} so, writing $\mathbf{E} = E_Y X$ for readability,

$$\mathbf{S} = inc_* : \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{E}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical with cotwist $- \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}}[-2]$ by Proposition 2.2(2). Now \mathbf{E} is the exceptional locus of the blowup p so $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{E}} = \mathcal{O}_p(-1)$, and thence Proposition 5.10 yields the following.

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{S}} \Psi_1 \mathbf{D}(Z) = \Psi_0 \mathbf{D}(Z)$$

We may therefore apply Theorem 2.6 to the spherical functor \mathbf{S} , using the semiorthogonal decompositions (5.C). This gives spherical functors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}} &= inc_* p^* = \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{B}} &= inc_* \Psi_1 \cong \mathbf{G}_1 \end{aligned}$$

where the isomorphism is by Proposition 5.12, yielding (1) for $m = 1$. We further obtain a factorization

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}} \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{B}}} \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}_1}$$

and as $\mathsf{T}_S \cong - \otimes \mathcal{I}_E^\vee = - \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)$ by Proposition 2.2(2), we get the first isomorphism of (2).

For the rest we will use some standard facts about spherical functors. By Proposition 5.13 we may write $\mathsf{G}_m \cong \Phi \mathsf{G}_1 \Phi'$ with autoequivalences Φ and Φ' for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Knowing that G_1 is spherical, this implies that G_m is also spherical, completing the proof of (1).

To complete the proof of (2), note that for $m = 0$ we have $\Phi = - \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)$. The twists of G_0 and G_1 are then related as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_0} &\cong \mathsf{T}_{\Phi \mathsf{G}_1 \Phi'} \\ &\cong \mathsf{T}_{\Phi \mathsf{G}_1} \\ &\cong \Phi \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_1} \Phi^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The last two lines follow easily from the definitions: for a reference see for instance [God, Lemma 6.3]. We thence have

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_0} \cong \mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_1}(- \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)$$

but using the first isomorphism of (2) we can write

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_1}(-) \cong \mathsf{T}_F^{-1}(- \otimes \mathcal{O}(E))$$

and so combining we have

$$\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{G}_0}(-) \cong \mathsf{T}_F^{-1}(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E)$$

which rearranges to give the second isomorphism of (2). \square

Remark 5.15. The method of proof above is dual to that of the previous Theorem 3.14 in the sense that it uses the spherical functor associated to the pushforward along the embedding of an effective Cartier divisor, rather than the pullback. However the argument here is simpler, in the sense that Proposition 3.17 required base change whereas Proposition 5.12 does not.

Combining Theorem 3.20 with Proposition 5.14, we now have that T_F is related to two different spherical twists, namely T_{g^*} and T_G . The interplay between these two relations finally gives the following.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Note that $\mathsf{G} = \mathsf{G}_0$. Then Proposition 5.14 gives that G is spherical, and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{T}_G(-) &\cong \mathsf{T}_F^{-1}(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X) \\ &\cong \mathsf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \otimes \mathcal{O}(E_Y X)[-2] && \text{(Theorem 3.20)} \\ &\cong \mathsf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X[-2] && \text{(Proposition 3.5)} \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we use that $n = \text{codim}_X Y = 1$, yielding the result. \square

6. ACTION ON A SPANNING CLASS

In this section I explain how our twist functors act on a spanning class. The simple form of \mathbb{T}_{g^*} means that its action is particularly easily computed: the actions of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{G}}$ follow as corollaries. We make the following additional assumption on f , which holds if f is a resolution of rational singularities (in particular, we should take X normal here, see [Kov, Remark 1.5]).

Assumption 6.1. The canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism.

Here, as usual, we take the derived pushdown f_* . Note therefore that this strengthens our assumption that f is a contraction, which required only that $\mathbb{R}^0 f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathcal{O}_X$.

I first note the following, which is straightforward.

Proposition 6.2. *The objects*

$$\mathcal{C} = g^*\mathbb{D}(S) \cup \ker f_*$$

are a (one-sided) spanning class for $\mathbb{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Proof. Write \mathbb{A}^\perp for the right orthogonal to \mathbb{A} , namely the full (triangulated) subcategory of \mathbb{D} with objects having only zero morphisms from \mathbb{A} . We check that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ implies $\mathcal{F} \cong 0$.¹⁸

For $\mathcal{F} \in g^*\mathbb{D}(S)^\perp$ we have $g_*\mathcal{F} \cong 0$ by adjunction and the Yoneda lemma. Now $g_*\mathcal{F} \cong i_*f_*\mathcal{F} \cong 0$ and, because i is a closed embedding, we deduce that $f_*\mathcal{F} \cong 0$ so that $\mathcal{F} \in \ker f_*$ and the result follows. \square

I then describe the action of our twist functors on this spanning class.

Proposition 6.3. *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 we have:*

- (1) $\mathbb{T}_{g^*} g^*(-) \cong g^*(-) \otimes f^*\mathcal{N}_X^\vee[2]$
- (2) $\mathbb{T}_{g^*}|_{\ker f_*} \cong \text{id}$

Proof. (1) First recall that we have

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} g^*(-) \cong g^* \mathbb{C}_{g^*}(-)[2]$$

by a general property of spherical functors, see for instance [Add, Section 1.3].¹⁹ Under Assumption 6.1, $\text{id} \rightarrow f_*f^*$ is an isomorphism by the projection formula, and so $\mathbb{C}_{g^*} \cong \mathbb{C}_{i^*}$ using that $g^* \cong f^*i^*$. But $\mathbb{C}_{i^*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{I}_X$

¹⁸A (two-sided) spanning class also satisfies this condition with ${}^\perp\mathcal{C}$ in place of \mathcal{C}^\perp , see for instance [Huy, Definition 1.47].

¹⁹Note that Addington [Add] writes \mathbb{C}_S where we would write $\mathbb{C}_S[1]$.

by Proposition 2.2(1). Combining we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{T}_{g^*} g^*(-) &\cong g^*(- \otimes \mathcal{I}_X)[2] \\
 &\cong g^*(-) \otimes g^* \mathcal{I}_X[2] \\
 &\cong g^*(-) \otimes f^* i^* \mathcal{I}_X[2] \\
 &= g^*(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X^\vee[2]
 \end{aligned}$$

as required.

(2) This is immediate from the definition, using that $g_* \cong i_* f_*$. \square

Corollary 6.4. *In the setting of Theorem B we have:*

- (1) $\mathbb{T}_F^{-1} g^*(-) \cong g^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E_Y X)$
- (2) $\mathbb{T}_F^{-1} |_{\ker f_*} \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}[-2]$

Proof. Recall that by Theorem B we have the following.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \mathbb{T}_F^{-1}(-)[2]$$

(1) The above gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{T}_F^{-1} g^*(-)[2] &\cong \mathbb{T}_{g^*} g^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \\
 &\cong g^*(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X^\vee \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}[2]
 \end{aligned}$$

using Proposition 6.3(1). Then noting that $n = \text{codim}_X Y = 1$ in the setting of Theorem B, Proposition 3.5 gives the conclusion.

(2) This follows using Proposition 6.3(2). \square

Corollary 6.5. *In the setting of Theorem C we have:*

- (1) $\mathbb{T}_G g^*(-) \cong g^*(-)$
- (2) $\mathbb{T}_G |_{\ker f_*} \cong - \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X[-2]$

Furthermore, \mathbb{T}_G preserves $\ker f_*$.

Proof. Recall that by Theorem C we have the following.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \mathbb{T}_G(-)[2]$$

Then this is a corollary of Proposition 6.3. The last part follows using the projection formula for f_* . \square

Remark 6.6. In particular, we see that \mathbb{T}_G always fixes the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong g^* \mathcal{O}_S$.

7. BLOWUPS IN GENERAL CODIMENSION

In this section I prove Theorem D which describes \mathbb{T}_{g^*} for f the blowup in a locus with general codimension $n \geq 1$, under additional global assumptions that ω_X is trivial and S is projective.

The following result is a cousin of Theorem 2.6 of Halpern-Leistner and Shipman, in a setting where the source of the spherical functor has a Serre functor [BK, Huy]. It appears in Addington and Aspinwall [AA], where the authors also credit it to unpublished work of Kuznetsov.

Theorem 7.1 ([AA, Theorem 11]). *Let $S: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ be a spherical functor such that \mathcal{D} has a Serre functor Serre and a semiorthogonal decomposition as follows.*

$$\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{A}_0, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n \rangle$$

Assume that $\mathcal{C}_S[d] \cong \text{Serre}$ for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{S}_m denote the restriction of S to \mathcal{A}_m . Then:

- (1) \mathcal{S}_m is spherical.
- (2) \mathcal{T}_S factors as follows.

$$\mathcal{T}_S \cong \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_0} \dots \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}_n}$$

- (3) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{S}_k}[d] \cong \text{Serre}_k$, the restriction of Serre to \mathcal{A}_k .

Though I do not use part (3) of the above in what follows, I include it for reference. We will prove the following.

Theorem 7.2 (Theorem D). *Under the assumptions of Section 1.1 with $n = \text{codim}_X Y \geq 1$, suppose furthermore that:*

- (i) ω_X is trivial.
- (ii) S is projective.

We may put

$$\mathcal{F}_m: \mathcal{D}(Y) \xrightarrow{p^*} \mathcal{D}(E_Y X) \xrightarrow{\otimes \mathcal{O}_p(m-1)} \mathcal{D}(E_Y X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$$

for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where the last functor is derived pushforward. Then:

- (1) \mathcal{F}_m is spherical for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong (\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}_1} \dots \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}_n})^{-1}(-)[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$.

As before, $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$ is the invertible normal sheaf of \tilde{X} in $\tilde{S} = \text{Bl}_Y S$.

Proof. We use an argument similar to Theorem 3.14. Recall from there that

$$S = \text{inc}^*: \mathcal{D}(\tilde{S}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is a spherical functor with cotwist $-\otimes \mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}}$. By assumption (ii), S is projective, so its blowup \tilde{S} is projective [Har, Proposition II.7.16] and furthermore smooth by Proposition 3.2(3). Therefore $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{S})$ has a Serre functor as follows,

see for instance [Huy, Theorem 3.12].

$$\text{Serre} = - \otimes \omega_{\tilde{S}}[\dim \tilde{S}]$$

To check that **Serre** satisfies the condition of Theorem 7.1, first note that by assumption (i) and the adjunction formula we have $\mathcal{I}_X \cong \omega_S$. Then Proposition 3.8(1) gives

$$\mathcal{I}_{\tilde{X}} \cong h^! \mathcal{I}_X \cong h^! \omega_S \cong \omega_{\tilde{S}}$$

where the last isomorphism uses the following.

$$h^!(-) = \omega_h[\dim h] \otimes h^*(-) \cong \omega_{\tilde{S}} \otimes h^* \omega_S^\vee \otimes h^*(-)$$

Hence $\mathbf{C}_S = - \otimes \omega_{\tilde{S}}$ and the condition is satisfied with $d = \dim \tilde{S}$.

Then applying Theorem 7.1 to the semiorthogonal decomposition

$$\mathbf{D}(\tilde{S}) = \langle h^* \mathbf{D}(S), \Phi_1 \mathbf{D}(Y), \dots, \Phi_n \mathbf{D}(Y) \rangle$$

from (3.E) gives spherical functors \mathbf{S}_m for $m = 0, \dots, n$ and a factorization as follows.

$$\mathbf{T}_S \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}_0} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}_1} \dots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{S}_n}$$

We have $\mathbf{T}_S \cong - \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee[2]$ and $\mathbf{S}_0 \cong g^*$ exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.14. Now $\mathbf{S}_m \cong \mathbf{F}_m$ using Proposition 3.17, and so we see that the \mathbf{F}_m are spherical for $m = 1, \dots, n$. The following Lemma 7.3 gives that for each $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{F}_m \cong \Phi \mathbf{F}_{m'}$ for some autoequivalence Φ . We thence deduce (1).

Finally, for (2), note that the above factorization of \mathbf{T}_S gives

$$- \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee[2] \cong \mathbf{T}_{g^*} \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_1} \dots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_n}$$

and rearrange. □

Lemma 7.3. *The functors \mathbf{F}_m are related by invertible sheaves, as follows.*

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+l}(-) \cong \mathbf{F}_m(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(-l \mathbf{E}_Y X)$$

Proof. We have

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1} = j'_{Y*}(p^*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_p(m))$$

where j'_Y denotes the embedding of $\mathbf{E}_Y X$ in \tilde{X} , as in the proof of Proposition 3.17. Noting that $j'_Y^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathbf{E}_Y X) = \mathcal{O}_p(-1)$ and using the projection formula we find

$$\mathbf{F}_{m+1}(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathbf{E}_Y X) \cong \mathbf{F}_m(-)$$

and the result follows by induction. □

Remark 7.4. Applying the same argument to the other decomposition shown in (3.E) gives a factorization

$$(- \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee)[2] \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_{-n+1}} \dots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_0} \mathbf{T}_{g^*}(-)$$

yielding an isomorphism as follows.

$$\mathbf{T}_{g^*}(- \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}) \cong (\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_{-n+1}} \dots \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{F}_0})^{-1}(-)[2]$$

Example 7.5. Let X be a quartic K3 surface in $S = \mathbb{P}^3$ with a node x . Let $Y = \{x\}$ and write $E = E_Y X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ for the exceptional locus in the blowup \tilde{X} , as illustrated in Figure 2. Then Theorem 7.2 applies with $n = 2$. As in Remark 3.16, the twists T_{F_1} and T_{F_2} are simply twists by spherical objects

$$\mathcal{O}_E \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_E(1)$$

respectively, which are

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)$$

via the above isomorphism, as E is a conic in $E_Y S \cong \mathbb{P}^2$. By Theorem 7.2(2) we therefore have a relation

$$T_{g^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} \cong (T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)})^{-1}(-)[2] \quad (7.A)$$

in the autoequivalence group of $D(\tilde{X})$. Note that $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}|_{\mathbb{P}^1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(4)$, where the 4 here is (minus) the self-intersection number of the curve E in \tilde{X} . In Example 8.3 below I explain how, after some work, the above relation is compatible with known results.

It would be interesting to study relations such as (7.A) in further examples satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.2. In particular we have global analogues of the Calabi–Yau n -fold cones of Example 3.10, as follows.

Example 7.6. As in Example 3.10, consider the affine cone over a reduced hypersurface H of degree n in \mathbb{P}^n for $n \geq 2$, defined by $p_n(x)$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree n in variables x_0, \dots, x_n . Now we construct a singular Calabi–Yau n -fold, satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.2, with a chart given by a deformation of this cone.

Take a further variable y and put $X = V(q) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ for q a generic quadratic in y whose coefficients are polynomials in x_0, \dots, x_n , with leading coefficient p_n and homogeneous in x_0, \dots, x_n, y . In other words, we put

$$q(x, y) = p_n(x)y^2 + r_{n+1}(x)y + r_{n+2}(x)$$

where $r_d(x)$ denotes a generic homogeneous polynomial of degree d in the variables x . Then $X = V(q) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ is reduced. On the chart $U = \{y \neq 0\}$, the restriction X_U is cut out by

$$q_U(x/y) = p_n(x/y) + r_{n+1}(x/y) + r_{n+2}(x/y)$$

after dividing through by y^{n+2} . This $q_U(x/y)$ is $p_n(x/y)$ to leading order, so X_U is a deformation of the affine cone $V(p_n) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$, as required.

Take $S = \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ and Y to be the point $(x, y) = (0, 1)$. Then the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ is $V(p_n) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ and so the exceptional locus $E_Y X$ is a degree n hypersurface in $E_Y S \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. For instance, if $n = 2$ then $E_Y X \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ is a conic, and if $n = 3$ then $E_Y X$ is a cubic surface.

This satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 7.2: in particular, f is crepant by a local calculation on the chart U as in Example 3.10, and ω_X is trivial by adjunction because X is a hypersurface of degree $n + 2$ in \mathbb{P}^{n+1} .

For further examples where Theorem 7.2 applies, we may take a family of one of the classes of singularities from the above Example 3.10 over a positive-dimensional base Y .

8. COMPATIBILITY WITH BASE CHANGE

In this section I explain how the twist \mathbb{T}_{g^*} has a pleasing compatibility with base change. I show how this leads to interesting results, even for the basic example of a 3-fold ordinary double point, after base change to a hyperplane section.

Proposition 8.1. *Take a fibre square where g and g' are obtained as in Section 1.1.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{X} \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S' & \longrightarrow & S \end{array}$$

Then the associated twists are intertwined by ϕ_* as follows.

$$\mathbb{T}_{g^*} \phi_* \cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 8.2(2) below, after noting the following. By our assumptions in Section 1.1 we have $\dim g = \dim g' = -1$. By Theorem A the functors g^* and g'^* are spherical so their twists have quasi-inverses. Finally, \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}' are Gorenstein by Proposition 3.3(2), thence Cohen–Macaulay. \square

The following is a general observation regarding twists associated to fibre squares admitting base change.

Lemma 8.2. *Take a fibre square of equidimensional schemes with S and S' smooth, R and R' Cohen–Macaulay, $\dim g = \dim g'$ and g proper, as follows.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R' & \xrightarrow{\phi} & R \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & S \end{array}$$

Assume that \mathbb{T}_{g^*} and $\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$ fit into cones of Fourier–Mukai functors as usual. Then we have:

$$(1) \phi^* \mathbb{T}_{g^*} \cong \mathbb{T}_{g'^*} \phi^*$$

If furthermore \mathbb{T}_{g^*} and $\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$ have quasi-inverses, then:

$$(2) \mathbb{T}_{g^*} \phi_* \cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$$

Proof. (1) We have an isomorphism $\phi^* g^* g_* \cong g'^* \psi^* g_* \xrightarrow{\sim} g'^* g'_* \phi^*$ where the base change follows using the argument of [Add, Proposition A.1], as in the proof of Proposition 3.17. We then check that this isomorphism fits into the following commutative square using the description of the base change morphism in [Lip, Proposition 3.7.2]. Here η and η' denote counits of the respective adjunctions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi^* g^* g_* & \xrightarrow{\sim} & g'^* g'_* \phi^* \\ \phi^* \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta' \phi^* \\ \phi^* & \xlongequal{\quad} & \phi^* \end{array}$$

The result then follows by forming triangles of Fourier–Mukai functors using the two vertical arrows.

(2) Taking right adjoints we find

$$\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}^{-1} \phi_* \cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{g^*}^{-1}$$

and the claim follows. \square

Example 8.3. I continue Example 7.5, where X was a quartic K3 surface. Let X now be a one-parameter *deformation* of a quartic K3 surface X' with a node x . Assume this deformation to be embedded in a smooth one-parameter deformation S of the ambient space $S' = \mathbb{P}^3$ of X' . Require that x is an ordinary double point in the 3-fold X .

Assume given a smooth divisor Y in X whose blowup yields a small resolution \tilde{X} of X whose central fibre is the resolution \tilde{X}' of X' given by blowup of $Y' = \{x\}$. We are then in the setting of Theorem A for both \tilde{X} and \tilde{X}' , and the conditions of Proposition 8.1 are satisfied with $\phi: \tilde{X}' \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$ the inclusion. In particular, we have a fibre square as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}' & \xhookrightarrow{\phi} & \tilde{X} \\ g' \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ S' & \xhookrightarrow{\quad} & S \end{array}$$

Now the surface X' was studied in Example 7.5, where we found the description of $\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$ given below, where \mathbb{P}^1 denotes the exceptional curve of g' .

$$\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}'} \cong (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)})^{-1}(-)[2] \quad (8.A)$$

I explain here how (8.A) is consistent with known relations in the auto-equivalence group of $D(\tilde{X}')$.

Write $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ in $D(\tilde{X})$. It is well-known, and a consequence of Theorem C, that this is a spherical object. Noting that $\mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}'} \cong \phi^* \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}$ we may relate $\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}$ with $\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}'}) &\cong \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}(-) \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}) \\ &\cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{g'^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} && \text{(projection formula)} \\ &\cong \mathbb{T}_{g'^*} \phi_*(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} && \text{(Proposition 8.1)} \\ &\cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \phi_*(-) \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}}^\vee[2] \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}} && \text{(Theorem C)} \\ &\cong \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \phi_*(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)[2] && \text{(Proposition 3.5)} \end{aligned}$$

Here I write E for the exceptional divisor in \tilde{X} .

On the other hand, $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)$ in $D(\tilde{X}')$ is an example of a \mathbb{P}^n -object of Huybrechts and Thomas [HT] for the case $n = 1$. In this case their definition reduces to that of a spherical object on a variety of dimension 2. They study deformations of such objects, and obtain an intertwinement

$$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}} \phi_* \cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}'}^2$$

in [HT, Propositions 2.7 and 2.9]. Combining this intertwinement with the above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{g'^*}(-) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\tilde{X}'})[-2] &\cong \phi_* \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}'}^2(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E) \\ &\cong \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}'}^2(-) \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{O}(-E)) \\ &\cong \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{E}'}^2(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2E')) \end{aligned}$$

where I write E' for the exceptional curve in \tilde{X}' . Here the coefficient 2 for E' comes from the degree of the conic E' in $E \cong \mathbb{P}^2$.²⁰ We may then combine with (8.A) as follows.

$$\phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}} \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)})^{-1}(-) \cong \phi_* (\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1)}^2(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2E')) \quad (8.B)$$

By Proposition 8.4 below, this is implied by a known relation in the auto-equivalence group of $D(\tilde{X}')$.

I verify the following relation in the autoequivalence group of a K3 surface, which is suggested by (8.B) above.

Proposition 8.4. *Take a K3 surface B with a -2 -curve $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Assume there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_B(1)$ with degree 1 on C , so that $\mathcal{O}(C) \cong \mathcal{O}_B(-2)$. Then writing*

$$\mathbb{S}_k = \mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{O}_C(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{L}_k = - \otimes \mathcal{O}_B(k)$$

²⁰Indeed, it is clear that $\phi^* \mathcal{O}(E) \cong \mathcal{O}(mE')$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. But restricting $\mathcal{O}(E)$ to $E' \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ gives $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-2)$ as in Example 7.5, and we may deduce the claim.

for autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(B)$, there is a relation as follows.

$$(\mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_2)^{-1} \cong \mathbf{L}_4 \mathbf{S}_{-1}^2$$

In other words, we have the following.

$$(\mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_2)^{-1}(-) \cong \mathbf{S}_{-1}^2(-) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-2C)$$

Proof. It is well known that

$$(\mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{S}_{-1})^2 \cong \text{id}$$

as may be seen by an argument with a tilting bundle $\mathcal{O}_B \oplus \mathcal{O}_B(1)$: this bundle is tilting relative to the contraction of C , and the functor $\mathbf{L}_1 \mathbf{S}_{-1}$ swaps its two summands. Noting also that $\mathbf{L}_l \mathbf{S}_k \cong \mathbf{S}_{k+l} \mathbf{L}_l$ by for instance [Huy, Lemma 8.21], we deduce the following.

$$\mathbf{S}_{-1} \mathbf{S}_0 \cong \mathbf{L}_{-2}$$

Using this we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_4 \mathbf{S}_{-1}^2 \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_2 &\cong \mathbf{L}_4 \mathbf{S}_{-1} \mathbf{L}_{-2} \mathbf{S}_2 \\ &\cong \mathbf{L}_4 \mathbf{S}_{-1} \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{L}_{-2} \\ &\cong \mathbf{L}_4 \mathbf{L}_{-2} \mathbf{L}_{-2} \\ &\cong \text{id} \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. \square

Remark 8.5. In the case of hypersurfaces of varieties (in particular weighted projective space) with exceptional sequences, Canonaco and Karp [CK, Can] have established general methods to obtain associated higher degree relations in the autoequivalence group, of a similar flavour to the relations above.

9. LOCAL EXAMPLES

In this final section, I discuss a specialization of the setting of Theorem C, in which S is assumed to be the total space of a locally free sheaf over Y . This may be thought of as a local model for the global setting of Section 5. I then finish by giving a range of examples of this geometry.

Setting 9.1 (local model for Theorem C). Take sheaves on a smooth equidimensional scheme Y as follows.

- \mathcal{F}' a locally free sheaf of rank 2
- \mathcal{L} an invertible sheaf

Let $S = \text{Tot } \mathcal{F}'$ with projection π and take also the following.

- θ a regular section of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})$

For η the regular section of $\pi^* \mathcal{L}$ from Proposition 4.4 let

$$X = V(\eta) \subset S$$

and consider Y to be included in S as the zero section. Let $f: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blowup of X along Y .

We begin by verifying the following.

Proposition 9.2. *Setting 9.1 satisfies the assumptions of Theorem C.*

Proof. We first check Assumptions (a) and (b) of Section 1.1. Note that X is a hypersurface in S by definition, and contains Y by construction of η , so that indeed $Y \hookrightarrow X \hookrightarrow S$. We have that $\text{codim}_S Y = \text{rk } \mathcal{F}' = 2$ hence $\text{codim}_X Y = 1$.

Next we check that Assumption 4.2 holds. Observe that the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_Y S \cong \mathcal{F}'$ may be identified with the bundle $\pi: S \rightarrow Y$ itself. Furthermore, noting that η is linear on fibres of π by construction, the normal cone $\mathcal{C}_Y X$ may be identified with X . We thence see by construction that Assumption 4.2 holds, and that the section θ appearing there is regular.

We finally check Assumption (c) of Section 1.1, namely crepancy of the blowup f of X along Y . By Theorem 4.13(0), the projection $p: E_Y X \rightarrow Y$ is itself the blowup of Y along Z , whose exceptional locus is a divisor in $E_Y X$. As p is the restriction of f , we therefore deduce that the exceptional locus of f is codimension 2, and thence that f is crepant. \square

Recall the following from the statement of Theorem C.

Definition 9.3. Let

$$Z = V(\theta) \subset Y$$

be the zeroes of the section θ of \mathcal{F} from Setting 9.1.

I now specialize some of the results of Sections 4 and 5 to the local model Setting 9.1. In this setting the blowup square from Definition 3.15 acquires further morphisms induced by the projection $\pi: S \rightarrow Y$. These map from right to left in the diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E_Y X & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & \tilde{X} \\
 \downarrow p & \nearrow \tilde{\pi} & \downarrow f \\
 Y & \xrightleftharpoons{\quad} & X
 \end{array} \tag{9.A}$$

We then have the following version of Theorem C in the local model.

Corollary 9.4. *In Setting 9.1 we have that:*

- (1) \mathbf{G} is spherical.
- (2) There is an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{T}_{g^*}(-) \otimes \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}[2]$$

between autoequivalences of $\mathbf{D}(\tilde{X})$.

Here $\tilde{\pi}$ is the projection to Y from (9.A) above.

Proof. This is Theorem C after noting that in this setting

$$\mathcal{N}_X = \mathcal{N}_X S \cong \pi^* \mathcal{L}|_X$$

so that $f^* \mathcal{N}_X \cong \tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{L}$. □

Remark 9.5. For calibration, recall that locally around a point $z \in Z$ the geometry is given by a family version of the 3-fold ordinary double point from Example 4.7.

As in Theorem 4.13(1), in this local setting we have that the restriction of the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ to $E_Z Y$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_q(1) \otimes q^* \mathcal{M}_Y|_Z$$

for \mathcal{M}_Y an invertible sheaf on Y .

Proposition 9.6. *In Setting 9.1 we have that:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_Y &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L}) \\ &\cong \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \det \mathcal{F}) \end{aligned}$$

We have special cases as follows.

(1) If \mathcal{L} is trivial then

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}^\vee$$

and $\mathcal{M}_Y \cong \det \mathcal{F} \cong \det \mathcal{F}^\vee$.

(2) If $\mathcal{L} \cong \det \mathcal{F}'$, or equivalently $\mathcal{L} \cong \det \mathcal{F}$, then

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}'$$

and \mathcal{M}_Y is trivial.

Proof. Noting that $\mathcal{N}_Y S \cong \mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{N}_X S \cong \pi^* \mathcal{L}|_X$ so that $\mathcal{N}_X S|_Y \cong \mathcal{L}$, the first isomorphism comes from Theorem 4.13(1), and the second isomorphism uses Lemma 4.10. Now (1) follows using the definition of \mathcal{F} . For (2), we again use Lemma 4.10. □

In the local setting we have the following strengthening of Proposition 4.8.

Proposition 9.7. *In Setting 9.1 the singular locus Sing_X is given by*

$$\text{Sing}_X = Z \subset Y$$

as an equality of subschemes of X .

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.8 using that X is, by construction, a cone in the fibres of S over Y . □

We also have the following description of ω_X in the local setting.

Proposition 9.8. *In Setting 9.1 we have*

$$\omega_S \cong \pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes \det \mathcal{F}'^\vee)$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_X &\cong \pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\det \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{L})) \\ &\cong \pi^*(\omega_Y \otimes \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{L}, \det \mathcal{F})) \end{aligned}$$

where we reuse the notation π for the restriction of $\pi: S \rightarrow Y$ to X .

Proof. The claim for ω_S is standard, using that S is the total space of a bundle. The claim for ω_X then follows using adjunction $\omega_X \cong \omega_S|_X \otimes \mathcal{L}$, and the last isomorphism is by Lemma 4.10. \square

I give examples in the local setting, taking \mathcal{L} trivial unless stated otherwise. I begin with degenerate examples with $\dim X \leq 2$, so that Z is necessarily empty by Proposition 4.9(1). For these the conclusions of Theorem C can easily be seen directly, see Remark 9.11.

Example 9.9. Take Y to be a point. Then \mathcal{F}' and \mathcal{F} trivial. We need only take θ non-zero, and then $X \cong \mathbb{A}^1$ is a line in $S \cong \mathbb{A}^2$. Here Z is empty, as expected.

Example 9.10. Let X be a minimal resolution of an A_1 singularity, namely the total space of $\mathcal{O}(-2)$ on $Y = \mathbb{P}^1$. We have the Euler sequence on \mathbb{P}^1

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(-2) \xrightarrow{(y, -x)^T} \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{(x, y)} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0$$

so X embeds in $S = \text{Tot } \mathcal{O}(-1)^{\oplus 2}$ which may be viewed as the versal deformation of X .²¹ Putting \mathcal{L} trivial, we have $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2}$, and we may take a section $\theta = (x, y)$ of \mathcal{F} . Note that θ has no zeroes and Z is empty, as expected.

Remark 9.11. In the degenerate case of Theorem C when Y is a Cartier divisor in X , with $\dim X \leq 2$ so that Z is empty, as in Examples 9.9 and 9.10 above, we can see the conclusion (2) of the theorem as follows. By construction \mathbf{G} is then the zero functor and $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is the identity, so the content of the theorem is that $\mathbf{T}_{g^*} \cong - \otimes f^* \mathcal{N}_X^\vee[2]$. But we have this by Proposition 3.6(1).

I now give examples with $\dim X \geq 3$, so that X is necessarily singular by Proposition 4.9(2). First I give 3-folds X which may be constructed from surfaces Y giving 4-folds S .

Example 9.12. Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ and a generic section θ of $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(1, 1)^{\oplus 2}$. For instance, taking coordinates $(x_i : y_i)$ with $i = 1, 2$ for the \mathbb{P}^1 factors, we

²¹This same space S appears in the basic example given in Example 4.7, but there it took the role of the resolution \tilde{X} , whereas here it takes the role of the ambient space.

may take $\theta = (x_1x_2, y_1y_2)$. Then Z is the 2 points cut out by θ as follows.

$$((1 : 0), (0 : 1)) \quad ((0 : 1), (1 : 0))$$

The singular 3-fold X is the zeroes of a function on a 4-fold²² namely the total space S of $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{O}(-1, -1)^{\oplus 2}$.

Remark 9.13. We have an analogy between the following related settings, each with a spherical functors between derived categories of smooth varieties.

- (1) In Example 9.10, the surface X is a divisor in a 3-fold S , and derived restriction

$$\mathrm{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(X)$$

is spherical by Proposition 2.2(1).

- (2) In Example 9.12, the 3-fold X is a divisor in a 4-fold S , and derived pullback

$$g^* : \mathrm{D}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{D}(\tilde{X})$$

is spherical by Theorem A.

In general then, in the setting of Theorem C with $\dim X \geq 3$, the spherical functor g^* of (2) may be thought of as a higher-dimensional analog of the spherical functor of (1), where this higher-dimensional analog incorporates a crepant contraction $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$. Understanding this analogy was one of the initial motivations for this work.

The following example shows that the rank 2 locally free sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' in our construction need not be split.

Example 9.14. Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^2$ and a generic section θ of \mathcal{F} its tangent sheaf. Then by a Chern class calculation θ has 3 zeroes, so that Z is 3 points. The singular 3-fold X is then the zeroes of a function on the total space S of \mathcal{F}' , namely the cotangent sheaf of \mathbb{P}^2 .

To describe $\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{G}}$ in these cases, we have the following.

Proposition 9.15. *Take $\dim X = 3$ so that $\dim Z = 0$ and require that Z is given by points $\{z_1, \dots, z_p\}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{T}_{\mathbf{G}} \cong \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{E}_1} \dots \mathrm{T}_{\mathcal{E}_p}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(-1)$ for $E_i = f^{-1}(z_i)$.

Proof. We have that $\mathbf{G}: \mathcal{O}_{z_i} \mapsto \mathcal{E}_i$ and that the \mathcal{E}_i are orthogonal in $\mathrm{D}(\tilde{X})$ because their supports are disjoint. The claim then follows by standard methods, compare Remark 5.6. \square

I now give examples with positive-dimensional Z as follows.

²²For further study of the derived category of this 4-fold S , see [Don, Kit].

Example 9.16. We may obtain singular Calabi–Yau hypersurfaces X in

$$S = \text{Tot } \mathcal{F}' \cong \text{Tot } \mathcal{F}^\vee$$

by taking spaces as follows.

- Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^3$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(2)^{\oplus 2}$. Then Z is an elliptic normal curve of degree 4.
- Take $Y = \mathbb{P}^4$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(3)$. This gives Z a K3 surface of genus 4.
- More generally, take $Y = \mathbb{P}^{2k+1}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}(k+1)^{\oplus 2}$. Then Z is a Calabi–Yau $(2k-1)$ -fold.

Generalizing some of the examples above, we may take Y with a spin structure, in the sense that ω_Y has a square root, as follows.

Example 9.17. Take Y with an invertible sheaf Θ such that

$$\Theta \otimes \Theta \cong \omega_Y$$

and let $\mathcal{F} = \Theta^{\vee \oplus 2}$. Then $S = \text{Tot } \mathcal{F}'$ with $\mathcal{F}' \cong \Theta \otimes \mathbb{k}^2$, so S carries an action of $\text{GL}(2)$. Observe that $\det \mathcal{F}' \cong \omega_Y$ so that S is Calabi–Yau by Proposition 9.8.

Remark 9.18. In the above examples we had that $\det \mathcal{F}^\vee \cong \det \mathcal{F}' \cong \omega_Y$, so that S and X are Calabi–Yau by Proposition 9.8, recalling that we took \mathcal{L} trivial. The same then holds for Z by Proposition 4.17. Therefore in these cases, Theorem C relates Calabi–Yau spaces Z , X , S , with different dimensions $d-2$, d , $d+1$, respectively.

Remark 9.19. Spaces X which are *not* Calabi–Yau may be obtained by keeping the same section θ of \mathcal{F} as in the above examples, but allowing the invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} to be non-trivial, as can be seen from Proposition 9.8.

More generally, we may take an arbitrary regularly embedded Z of codimension 2 in arbitrary smooth equidimensional Y , where Z is cut out by a section of some rank 2 locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} . The invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} may then be chosen freely. Putting $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$, this situation satisfies the assumptions of Setting 9.1 using Lemma 4.10.

REFERENCES

- [Add] N. Addington, New derived symmetries of some hyperkähler varieties, *Alg. Geom.* **3** (2) (2016) 223–260.
- [AA] N. Addington and P. S. Aspinwall, Categories of massless D-branes and del Pezzo surfaces, *Jour. High Energy Phys.* (2013) 176.
- [Ann] R. Anno, Spherical functors, arXiv:0711.4409.
- [AL1] R. Anno and T. Logvinenko, On adjunctions for Fourier–Mukai transforms, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012) 2069–2115.
- [AL2] ———, Orthogonally spherical objects and spherical fibrations, *Adv. Math.* **286** (2016) 338–386.

- [AL3] ———, Spherical DG functors, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **19** (9) (2017) 2577–2656.
- [BFK] M. Ballard, D. Favero, and L. Katzarkov, Variation of geometric invariant theory quotients and derived categories. *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* **746** (2019) 235–303.
- [Bar] F. Barbacovi, Spherical functors and the flop-flop autoequivalence, [arXiv:2007.14415](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.14415).
- [BS] D. Bergh and O. M. Schnürer, Conservative descent for semi-orthogonal decompositions, *Adv. Math.* **360** (2020) 106882.
- [BB] A. Bodzenta and A. Bondal, Flops and spherical functors, *Compos. Math.* **158** (5) (2022), 1125–1187.
- [BD] A. Bodzenta and W. Donovan, Root stacks and periodic decompositions, *Manuscripta Math.* (2024), DOI:10.1007/s00229-024-01574-y.
- [BK] A. Bondal and M. Kapranov, Representable functors, Serre functors, and mutations, *Math. USSR Izvestiya* **35** (1990) 519–541.
- [BKS] A. Bondal, M. Kapranov, and V. Schechtman, Perverse schobers and birational geometry, *Selecta Math.* **24** (1) (2018) 85–143.
- [BM1] ———, MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, Lagrangian fibrations, *Invent. math.* **198** (2014) 505–590.
- [BM2] T.-H. Buelles and M. Moreira, Weyl symmetry for curve counting invariants via spherical twists, *J. Alg. Geom.* (2024), DOI:10.1090/jag/829.
- [BO] A. Bondal and D. Orlov, Reconstruction of variety from the derived category and groups of autoequivalences, *Compos. Math.* **125** (2001) 327–344.
- [Can] A. Canonaco, Exceptional sequences and derived autoequivalences, [arXiv:0801.0173](https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.0173).
- [CK] A. Canonaco and R. L. Karp, Derived autoequivalences and a weighted Beilinson resolution, *J. Geom. Phys.* **58** (2008) 743–760.
- [CKL] S. Cautis, J. Kamnitzer, and A. Licata, Coherent sheaves and categorical \mathfrak{sl}_2 actions, *Duke Math. J.* **154** (2010) 135–179.
- [Dao] H. Dao, Remarks on non-commutative crepant resolutions of complete intersections, *Adv. Math.* **224** (3) (2010) 1021–1030.
- [Don] W. Donovan, Relating derived equivalences for simplices of higher-dimensional flops, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **88** (2023) 305–330.
- [DS1] W. Donovan and E. Segal, Window shifts, flop equivalences and Grassmannian twists, *Compos. Math.* **150** (6) (2014), 942–978.
- [DW1] W. Donovan and M. Wemyss, Noncommutative deformations and flops, *Duke Math. J.* **165** (8) (2016) 1397–1474.
- [DW3] ———, Twists and braids for general 3-fold flops, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **21** (6) (2019) 1641–1701.
- [DW4] ———, Noncommutative enhancements of contractions, *Adv. Math.* **344** (2019) 99–136.
- [Ful] D. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer New York, 1998.
- [God] M. Godinho, A twist on ring morphisms and crepant contractions, [arXiv:2410.24030](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.24030).
- [HL1] D. Halpern-Leistner, The derived category of a GIT quotient, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **28** (3) (2015) 871–912.
- [HL2] ———, Derived Θ -stratifications and the D -equivalence conjecture, [arXiv:2010.01127](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.01127).
- [HLS] D. Halpern-Leistner and I. Shipman, Autoequivalences of derived categories via geometric invariant theory, *Adv. Math.* **303** (2016) 1264–1299.

- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math. 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Huy] D. Huybrechts, *Fourier–Mukai transforms in algebraic geometry*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [HT] D. Huybrechts and R. P. Thomas, \mathbb{P} -objects and autoequivalences of derived categories, *Math. Res. Lett.* **13** (1) (2006) 87–98.
- [IW] O. Iyama and M. Wemyss, *Tits cones intersections and applications*, preprint.
- [KPS] L. Katzarkov, P. Pandit, and T. Spaide, Calabi–Yau structures, spherical functors, and shifted symplectic structures, *Adv. Math.* **392** (2021) 108037.
- [KS1] M. Kapranov and V. Schechtman, Perverse schobers, arXiv:1411.2772.
- [Kaw] Y. Kawamata, D-equivalence and K-equivalence, *J. Diff. Geom.* **61** (1) (2002) 147–171.
- [KS2] A. Keating and I. Smith, Symplectomorphisms and spherical objects in the conifold smoothing, arXiv:2301.10525.
- [Kit] A. F. Kite, *Fundamental Group Actions on Derived Categories*, thesis, King’s College London, 2018, available at <http://www.homepages.ucl.ac.uk/~ucaheps>.
- [Kov] S. Kovács, *Rational singularities*, arXiv:1703.02269v13.
- [Kuz1] A. Kuznetsov, Semiorthogonal decompositions in algebraic geometry, *Proc. ICM 2014*.
- [Kuz2] ———, Calabi–Yau and fractional Calabi–Yau categories, *J. Reine Angew. Math. (Crelle)* **753** (2019) 239–267.
- [KP] A. Kuznetsov and A. Perry, Serre functors and dimensions of residual categories, arXiv:math/2109.02026.
- [KS3] A. Kuznetsov and E. Shinder, Categorical absorptions of singularities and degenerations, *Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique* (2024), Special volume in honour of Claire Voisin.
- [Lip] J. Lipman, Notes on derived functors and Grothendieck duality, in *Foundations of Grothendieck duality for diagrams of schemes*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1960, Springer, Berlin, 2009, available at <http://www.math.purdue.edu/~jlipman/Duality.pdf>.
- [Orl] D. O. Orlov, Projective bundles, monoidal transformations, and derived categories of coherent sheaves, *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **56** (4) (1992) 852–862.
- [PT] R. Pandharipande and R. P. Thomas, Curve counting via stable pairs in the derived category, *Invent. math.* **178** (2009) 407–447.
- [Rei] M. Reid, Minimal models of canonical 3-folds, Algebraic varieties and analytic varieties (Tokyo, 1981), *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* (1983) 131–180.
- [Seg] E. Segal, All autoequivalences are spherical twists, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **10** (2018) 3137–3154.
- [SS1] N. Sheridan and I. Smith, Homological mirror symmetry for generalized Greene–Plesser mirrors, *Invent. math.* **224** (2021) 627–682.
- [SS2] ———, Symplectic topology of K3 surfaces via mirror symmetry, *Jour. AMS* **33** (3) (2017).
- [ST] P. Seidel and R. P. Thomas, Braid group actions on derived categories of sheaves, *Duke Math. J.* **108** (2001) 37–108.
- [Sta] The stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, stacks.math.columbia.edu.
- [Tod1] Y. Toda, On a certain generalization of spherical twists, *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France* **135** (1) (2007) 119–134.
- [Tod2] ———, Curve counting theories via stable objects I. DT/PT correspondence, *Jour. AMS* **23** (4) (2010) 1119–1157.

- [Tod3] ———, Non-commutative width and Gopakumar–Vafa invariants, *Manuscripta Math.* **148** (2015), 521–533.
- [Tod4] ———, Stable pair invariants on Calabi–Yau threefolds containing \mathbb{P}^2 , *Geometry & Topology* **20** (2016) 555–611.
- [Zha1] Y. Zhao, Derived blow-ups and birational geometry of nested quiver varieties, [arXiv:math/2303.01063](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/2303.01063).
- [Zha2] ———, A note on the strict transformation of an effective Cartier divisor, [arXiv:math/2304.09475](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/2304.09475).

W. DONOVAN: YAU MSC, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAIJIAN, BEIJING, CHINA;
BIMSA, YANQI LAKE, HUAIROU, BEIJING, CHINA; KAVLI IPMU (WPI), TODIAS,
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KASHIWANOHA, KASHIWA, CHIBA, JAPAN

Email address: `donovan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn`