

Growth of finitely generated subgroups of the topological full groups of inverse semigroups of bounded type

Zheng Kuang*

Abstract

Given an inverse semigroup G_0 of bounded type, we show, along with some other assumptions, that if the set of incompressible elements of G_0 is finite, then any finitely generated subgroup G of the topological full group $F(G_0)$ that is orbit equivalent to G_0 has subexponential growth with a bounded power in the exponent.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Tile inflations and automata	3
2.2	Bounded type	7
2.3	Incompressible elements and traverses	8
3	Expanding tile inflations	8
3.1	Exponential growth of diameters	9
3.2	Polynomial growth of orbital graphs	10
3.3	Linearly repetitive actions	11
4	Proof of the theorem	12
5	Dihedral groups D_∞	17
5.1	The construction	17
5.2	Actions $\Omega(B) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ in terms of tile inflations	23
5.3	Subexponential growth estimate	26

*Texas A&M University, College Station, USA; Email: kzkzkkz@tamu.edu

1 Introduction

In the previous work [Kua24], we explored a class of groups with intermediate growth, namely, groups with finitely many incompressible elements, extending the methods from [BNZ22] to groups whose orbital graphs are not quasi-isometric to a line. The main result established that for a group G of bounded type, acting minimally on the space of infinite paths of a simple and stationary Bratteli diagram and defined by a stationary and finite-state automaton, if the set of incompressible elements of G is finite, then the growth function of G , denoted $\gamma_G(R)$, is bounded above by functions of the form $\exp(CR^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

In this paper, we continue the study of the growth of the class of groups with finitely many incompressible elements. We generalize the main result from [Kua24] in the following aspects.

First, we drop the assumptions that the Bratteli diagram \mathbf{B} is stationary and the automaton is stationary and finite-state. We consider tile inflation processes on simple Bratteli diagrams \mathbf{B} that define inverse semigroups G_0 of bounded type. Polynomial growth of orbital graphs of G_0 is essential to obtain subexponential growth estimate. In the case when G_0 is defined by a stationary finite-state automaton (which implies that \mathbf{B} is stationary), polynomial growth follows from contractions. See [Kua24, Subsections 3.4,3.5]. In this paper, since we do not assume that \mathbf{B} is stationary, we cannot use the argument of contractions. We will study *expanding* tile inflations, which imply polynomial growth of orbital graphs. Tile inflations being expanding is also one of the key conditions to obtain linear repetitivity of finite tiles. We will show that if the tile inflation process is expanding, then one of the necessary conditions for finite tiles to be linearly repetitive is that the number of edges on each level of \mathbf{B} is uniformly bounded. This exhibits a strong connection with *continued fractions of bounded type*, as we will see in Section 5.

Second, we present a systematic method to find groups of bounded type from an inverse semigroup G_0 of bounded type. In [Kua24], examples of groups of bounded type were only obtained when the tile inflation processes directly define a group or the inverse semigroup G_0 can be directly turned into a group G . See [Kua24, Example 2.3; Subsection 5.3]. In this paper, we show that if G_0 is an inverse semigroup of bounded type, then any finitely generated subgroup G of the topological full group $F(G_0)$ that is orbit equivalent to G_0 is a group of bounded type. If G_0 has finitely many incompressible elements, then so is G , which implies that G is periodic (torsion). Periodicity and the main theorem together imply that the group G has intermediate growth.

The main theorem of this paper is as follows (Theorem 4.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathbf{B} be a simple Bratteli diagram. Suppose the inverse semigroup $G_0 = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ is defined by an expanding tile inflation process of bounded type and acts minimally on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$. Let $G < F(G_0)$ be a finitely generated subgroup that is orbit equivalent to G_0 . If the set of incompressible elements of G_0 is finite, then there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that the growth function γ_G of G satisfies $\gamma_G(R) \preceq \exp(R^\alpha)$.*

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews basic notions that will be

used in this paper. Section 3 discusses an important property for orbital graphs to have polynomial growth and for finite tiles to be linearly repetitive, namely, expanding tile inflations. We show that the condition that a tile inflation process is expanding implies that the diameters of finite tiles have exponential growth and thus infinite tiles have polynomial growth and finite tiles on the same levels of \mathbf{B} have asymptotically equivalent diameters. The last property is essential to show linear repetitivity. Section 4 is the proof of the main theorem. The method of counting “traverses” developed by L. Bartholdi, V. Nekrashevych and T. Zheng [BNZ22] is the main tool in the proof. In Section 5, we describe a family of infinite dihedral groups D_∞ acting on the spaces of paths of non-stationary Bratteli diagrams and fragment some of them to produce the first explicit examples of groups of intermediate growth acting on non-stationary Bratteli diagrams.

2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we use right action notations. The notation G_0 is saved for the inverse semigroup. Let $g = F_1 F_2 \dots F_m \in G_0$. The product is read from left to right. Paths in the Bratteli diagram \mathbf{B} , e.g. $\gamma = \dots e_2 e_1$, are read from right to left. Action of a group or semigroup element on a path is denoted $\gamma \cdot g$. The germ of g at γ is denoted γg . All the tiles are assumed to be connected.

2.1 Tile inflations and automata.

Definition 2.1. A *graph with boundary* Γ consists of a set of *vertices* $V(\Gamma)$, a set of *edges* $E(\Gamma)$, two partially defined maps

$$s : E(\Gamma) \dashrightarrow V(\Gamma),$$

$$r : E(\Gamma) \dashrightarrow V(\Gamma),$$

and a map

$$E(\Gamma) \rightarrow E(\Gamma), e \mapsto e^{-1},$$

which satisfy the conditions: (1) each $e \in E(\Gamma)$ belongs to at least one of the domains of s and r ; (2) for each $e \in E(\Gamma)$ we have $(e^{-1})^{-1} = e$, $e^{-1} \neq e$ and $s(e) = r(e^{-1})$ or $r(e) = s(e^{-1})$. The maps s, r are called respectively *source* and *range* maps, which are defined on subsets of $V(\Gamma)$. For each $e \in E(\Gamma)$, the edge e^{-1} is called the *inverse* edge of e .

Informally, we allow some of the edges to be “hanging” with source or range to be not in the set of vertices.

Let Γ be a graph with boundary. Let us define a class of edge-labeling.

Let \mathcal{S} be a finite set of labels. We assume that \mathcal{S} is symmetric, i.e., there is an involution map

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, F \mapsto F^{-1}$$

mapping each $F \in \mathcal{S}$ to a unique $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ with $(F^{-1})^{-1} = F$.

Each element in the set of edges $E(\Gamma)$ is labeled by an element of \mathcal{S} , where if $e \in E(\Gamma)$ is labeled by $F \in \mathcal{S}$, then e^{-1} is labeled by the unique $F^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ such that one or both of the following cases will happen.

1. There exist $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in V(\Gamma)$ connected by an arrow (edge) $\gamma_1 \rightarrow \gamma_2$ labeled by F , and by an arrow $\gamma_1 \leftarrow \gamma_2$ labeled by F^{-1} while no other edges connecting γ_1, γ_2 (if exist) are labeled by F or F^{-1} .
2. There exists $\gamma \in V(\Gamma)$ and $e \in E(\Gamma)$ such that $s(e) = \gamma$ but e is not in the domain of r and e is labeled by F . Correspondingly, e^{-1} , labeled by F^{-1} , is such that $r(e^{-1}) = \gamma$ and it is not in the domain of s . In other words, there exists a $\gamma \in V(\Gamma)$ to which an outgoing edge labeled by F and an incoming edge labeled by F^{-1} are attached, but γ is not connected to other vertices by these two edges.

If both 1 and 2 happen for the same F , then $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma$.

Definition 2.2. The point γ in Case 2 above is called a *boundary point* of a graph. The edges labeled by F and F^{-1} at γ are called *boundary edges*.

Definition 2.3. Let Γ be a graph whose edges are labeled by elements of the set \mathcal{S} . A vertex v of Γ is called *well-labeled* if, for every $F \in \mathcal{S}$, there is at most one edge starting in v labeled by F and at most one edge ending in v labeled by F . The graph Γ is said to be *well-labeled* if each of its vertices is well-labeled. It is said to be *perfectly-labeled* if for every vertex v and every $F \in \mathcal{S}$ there is exactly one edge starting in v labeled by F and exactly one edge ending in v labeled by F .

Definition 2.4. A *Bratteli diagram* \mathbf{B} consists of sequences (V_1, V_2, \dots) and (E_1, E_2, \dots) of finite sets and sequences of maps $\mathbf{s}_n : E_n \rightarrow V_n$ and $\mathbf{r}_n : E_n \rightarrow V_{n+1}$. The sets $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} V_n$ and $\bigsqcup_{n \geq 1} E_n$ are called, respectively, *vertices* and *edges* of the diagram. The maps \mathbf{s}_n and \mathbf{r}_n are called *source map* and *range map*, respectively, and they are assumed to be surjective. We will write \mathbf{s} and \mathbf{r} if no ambiguity would be caused. Denote $\mathbf{B} = ((V_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (E_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})$. Also denote by $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ the space of all infinite paths of \mathbf{B} starting in V_1 , by $\Omega_n(\mathbf{B})$ the space of all paths starting in V_1 ending in V_{n+1} , and by $\Omega^*(\mathbf{B}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n(\mathbf{B})$ the space of all finite paths.

Since we are using right action notations, elements in $\Omega_n(\mathbf{B})$, $\Omega^*(\mathbf{B})$ and $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ are read from right to left. The space $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ is a closed subset with the subset topology of the direct product $\prod_{n=1}^\infty E_n$. It is compact, totally disconnected, and metrizable.

A *tile* is a graph with boundary defined by a **tile inflation** process. Let us describe this process.

Suppose all tiles up to the n -th level of \mathbf{B} are constructed. We need the following definition.

Definition 2.5. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ be tiles (possibly $\mathcal{T}_1 = \mathcal{T}_2$) on the n -th level of \mathbf{B} . A pair of boundary points $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2$ are said to be *admissible* if either there is a boundary

edge labeled by F going out of γ_1 and going into γ_2 or vice versa. The corresponding edges labeled by F are called *compatible*.

Example 2.1. If each $F = F^{-1}$, then each boundary point on a finite tile is admissible to itself.

Let $v \in V_{n+2}$ and \mathcal{T}_v be an $(n+1)$ -st level tile. It is obtained as follows.

We choose a set P_{n+1} (called the set of *connectors* of level $n+1$) of triples $(\gamma_1 e_1, \gamma_2 e_2, F)$ where vertices γ_1, γ_2 of n th level tile are such that are admissible boundary points with corresponding compatible edges labeled by F (the edges are unique, by our condition that the graphs are well labeled). The points $e_1 \gamma_1$ and $e_2 \gamma_2$ are called *connecting points*. For each edge $e \in \mathbf{r}_{n+1}^{-1}(v)$, take a copy, denoted \mathcal{T}_e , of the tile $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}_{n+1}(e)}$. For every pair of edges $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbf{r}_{n+1}^{-1}(v)$, suppose γ_1 is a boundary point of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}_{n+1}(e_1)}$ and γ_2 is a boundary point of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{s}_{n+1}(e_2)}$ such that γ_1 and γ_2 are admissible and $(e_1 \gamma_1, e_2 \gamma_2, F) \in P_{n+1}$.

Connect the vertex $e_1 \gamma_1$ of \mathcal{T}_{e_1} to the vertex $e_2 \gamma_2$ of \mathcal{T}_{e_2} by a pair of arrows $e_1 \gamma_1 \rightarrow e + 2\gamma_2$ and $e_1 \gamma_1 \leftarrow e_2 \gamma_2$ labeled by F and F^{-1} , respectively, or labeled by F^{-1} and F , respectively. The tile \mathcal{T}_v is obtained by applying the above process to all admissible boundary points γ_1, γ_2 that can be continued to end on v with $(e_1 \gamma_1, e_2 \gamma_2, F) \in P_{n+1}$ for $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbf{r}_{n+1}^{-1}(v)$. The boundary points on level $n+1$ are of the form $e\gamma$ where γ is a boundary point on level n and $e\gamma$ is not a connecting point.

Remark 2.2. A boundary point γ on level n must be continued to either a boundary point $e\gamma$ or a connecting point $e'\gamma$ on level $n+1$, or both happen. Meanwhile, non-boundary points cannot be continued to boundary points or connecting points.

Let $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$. Write $\gamma = \dots e_n \dots e_2 e_1$. Denote by $\gamma_n = e_n \dots e_1$ its n -th *truncation* ending in a vertex $v_{n+1} \in V_{n+1}$.

Definition 2.6. The *infinite tile* of γ , denoted \mathcal{T}_γ , is the inductive limit of the embeddings of graphs

$$\mathcal{T}_{v_2} \xrightarrow{\phi_{v_2, e_2}} \mathcal{T}_{v_3} \xrightarrow{\phi_{v_3, e_3}} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_{v_{n-1}, e_{n-1}}} \mathcal{T}_{v_n} \xrightarrow{\phi_{v_n, e_n}} \dots,$$

where $\phi_{v_i, e_i} : \eta \mapsto e_i \eta$, for $\eta \in \Omega_i(\mathbf{B})$ ending in v_i , is an isomorphism of \mathcal{T}_{v_i} with a subgraph of $\mathcal{T}_{v_{i+1}}$.

Definition 2.7. An infinite path $\xi \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ is said to be a *boundary point of an infinite tile* (infinite boundary point) if all its n -th truncations are boundary points on finite tiles, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.8. Let X be a topological space. An *inverse semigroup* acting on X by partially defined homeomorphisms, denoted $X \curvearrowright G_0$, is a semigroup action in which each $g \in G_0$, with $g : \text{Dom}(g) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(g)$, has an inverse $g^{-1} : \text{Ran}(g) \rightarrow \text{Dom}(g)$ such that $gg^{-1} =_{\text{Dom}(g)} Id$ and $g^{-1}g =_{\text{Ran}(g)} Id$. Here $\text{Dom}(g)$ and $\text{Ran}(g)$ are open subsets of X .

Note that gg^{-1} and $g^{-1}g$ are *idempotents*, i.e., $(gg^{-1})^2 = gg^{-1}$ and $(g^{-1}g)^2 = g^{-1}g$.

Well-labeled graphs define continuous semigroup actions on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$, while perfectly labeled graphs define continuous group actions on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ (see Definition 2.3). By the construction, all vertices but the boundary points (if they exist) of an infinite tile are well-labeled. Each $F \in \mathcal{S}$ defines a transformation of $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ except at some boundary points (if these boundary points have a boundary edge labeled by F attached). Hence the tile inflation processes “almost” define semigroup actions on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$, except infinite boundary points.

Suppose the set of infinite boundary points, denoted $\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$, is **finite** in $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$, and each ξ_i is a limit point of $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$. Suppose F is a boundary edge at some ξ_i . Then it might be possible to extend F continuously at ξ_i (see [Kua24, Example...] where such an extension does not exist). If such an extension exists, then it will be unique. In these cases, we connect the corresponding infinite tiles at the boundary point ξ_i by the arrows labeled by F and F^{-1} to form a new graph. Apply this process to all infinite tiles with boundary points. Then all infinite graphs constructed in this way are well-labeled. Call these new graphs *orbital graphs*. We will discard the cases where continuous extensions do not exist. It follows that each $F \in \mathcal{S}$ defines a transformation (partial homeomorphism) of $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$, denoted $F : \text{Dom}(F) \rightarrow \text{Ran}(F)$. Since each $F \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to a unique F^{-1} , the set \mathcal{S} actually generates an inverse semigroup, denoted $G_0 = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$.

To prove the main theorem, We will also need the family of inverse semigroups (or groups) defined by the same tile inflation process, denoted $\{G_0\}_{\mathbf{B}}$ (or $\{G\}_{\mathbf{B}}$). To define this family, we need the notion of *automata*.

Definition 2.9. ([Nek22, Subsections 2.3.3-2.3.5]) Let X_1, X_2, \dots and X'_1, X'_2, \dots be two sequences of *alphabets* and Q_1, Q_2, \dots be a sequence of *sets of states*. A *non-deterministic time-varying automaton* \mathcal{A} consists of a sequence of transitions T_1, T_2, \dots where $T_n \subset Q_n \times Q_{n+1} \times X_n \times X'_n$. The automaton is *ω -deterministic* if for each sequence $x_1 x_2 \dots$ where $x_i \in X_i$, there exists at most one sequence of transitions of the form $(q_1, q_2, x_1, x'_1), (q_2, q_3, x_2, x'_2), \dots$ where $q_i \in Q_i$ and $x'_i = \lambda_i(q_i, x_i)$. Each initial state $q \in Q_1$ defines a transformation $X_1 \times X_2 \times X_3 \times \dots \rightarrow X'_1 \times X'_2 \times X'_3 \times \dots$

Time-varying automata can be presented by Moore diagrams.

Definition 2.10. Let \mathcal{A} be a non-deterministic time-varying automaton. Its *Moore diagram* consists of a set of vertices $Q = \bigcup_n Q_n$ and a set of edges $T = \bigcup_n T_n$, where $(q_i, q_{i+1}, x, y) \in T_i$ is an arrow from $q_i \in Q_i$ to $q_{i+1} \in Q_{i+1}$ labeled by $x|y$. The state q_{i+1} is an element in the *section* of q_i at x . The section (set of states) is denoted $x|q_i$.

Definition 2.11. Let \mathcal{T}_v be an n -th level tile. An *n -th level boundary connection* is a triple (F, γ_1, γ_2) where $F \in \mathcal{S}$, and γ_1, γ_2 are paths of length n such that F is an outgoing boundary edge at γ_1 and an incoming boundary edge at γ_2 . If (F, γ_1, γ_2) is a boundary connection, then $(F^{-1}, \gamma_2, \gamma_1)$ is also a boundary connection.

The following was proved in [Nek22, Proposition 5.2.19]

Proposition 2.3. Consider the following ω -deterministic time-varying automaton \mathcal{A} . The sequence of input-output alphabets is equal to the set of the edges E_1, E_2, \dots of \mathbf{B} . The set of states Q_n is the union of the set of trivial states 1_v labeled by the vertices $v \in V_n$ and the set of n -th level boundary connections (F, γ_1, γ_2) .

For every $e \in E_n$, define a transition from the state $1_{\mathbf{s}(e)}$ to $1_{\mathbf{r}(e)}$ labeled by $e|e$. If e_1 and e_2 are edges such that F is an outgoing boundary edge at $e_1\gamma_1$ and incoming boundary edge at $e_2\gamma_2$, then for every boundary connection $(F, e_1\gamma_1, e_2\gamma_2)$, define a transition from (F, γ_1, γ_2) to $(F, e_1\gamma_1, e_2\gamma_2)$ labeled by $e_1|e_2$. Otherwise, define a transition from (F, γ_1, γ_2) to $1_{\mathbf{r}(e_1)}$ labeled by $e_1|e_2$.

Then the set of initial states of the form (F, v_1, v_2) , for $v_1, v_2 \in V_1$, defines the local homeomorphism F . Each non-initial non-trivial state has exactly 1 incoming edge.

It follows that $(F, e_1\gamma_1, e_2\gamma_2)$ is an element of the section of (F, γ_1, γ_2) at e_1 . Let $F \in \mathcal{S}$ (i.e., it is defined by a set of initial states of the form $(F, v_1, v_2) \in \mathcal{A}$). Let $\gamma \in \Omega^*(\mathbf{B})$. Then the section of F on γ , denoted $\gamma|F$, is defined to be the set of states of the form $(F, \gamma, -)$.

The family $\{G_0\}_{\mathbf{B}}$ is defined as follows. Each G_i is generated by transformations in $\gamma|F$ for all $F \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\gamma \in \Omega_i(\mathbf{B})$ on which F is defined.

2.2 Bounded type.

Definition 2.12. Let \mathcal{T} be an infinite tile, and \mathcal{T}_n be a finite tile. The tile \mathcal{T}_n is *linearly repetitive* on \mathcal{T} if there are infinitely many isomorphic copies of \mathcal{T}_n on \mathcal{T} , and given an isomorphic copy \mathcal{T}'_n of \mathcal{T}_n , there exists an isomorphic copy \mathcal{T}''_n such that the distance (counting edges modulo multiple edges and loops in the graph \mathcal{T}) $\min\{d(u, v) : u \in \mathcal{T}'_n, v \in \mathcal{T}''_n\}$ is bounded above by Kr , where r is the diameter of \mathcal{T}_n and $K > 0$ is a constant. The action $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright G_0$ is said to be *linearly repetitive* if all finite tiles are linearly repetitive on all infinite tiles.

Definition 2.13. A tile inflation process is of *bounded type* if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. All finite tiles have uniformly bounded cardinalities of the set of boundary points and there exist only finitely many boundary points of infinite tiles.
2. All finite tiles are linearly repetitive in all infinite tiles.

Definition 2.14. The inverse semigroup generated by \mathcal{S} , denoted $G_0 = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$, is of *bounded type* if it is defined by a tile inflation process of bounded type.

Definition 2.15. Let S be a finite labeling set of a tile inflation process of bounded type. The inverse semigroup G generated by S , denoted $G = \langle S \rangle$, is a *group of bounded type* if the tile inflation process determines perfectly labeled orbital graphs labeled by elements in S .

2.3 Incompressible elements and traverses.

Definition 2.16. Let v be a vertex in an orbital/tile graph. Let $g = F_1 \dots F_m$ for composable $F_i \in \mathcal{S}$. The *trajectory* or *walk* of g starting at v is the path

$$v \cdot F_1, v \cdot F_1 F_2, \dots, v \cdot F_1 F_2 \dots F_m.$$

The points v and $v \cdot F_1 F_2 \dots F_m$ are called *initial* and *final* vertices of the trajectory of g .

Definition 2.17. Let $G_0 = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ be an inverse semigroup of bounded type. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ be two (possibly $\xi_1 = \xi_2$) boundary points on the same infinite tile \mathcal{T}_{ξ_1} (as a subgraph of the orbital graph Γ_{ξ_1}). Let $g = F_1 \dots F_n \in G_0$, for composable $F_1, \dots, F_n \in \mathcal{S}$, be an element in G_0 . We say that g is a *return word* if the final vertex of the trajectory of g starting at $\xi_1 \cdot F_1^{-1}$ is $\xi_2 \cdot F_m$, F_1 and F_m are respectively labels of boundary edges at ξ_1 and ξ_2 on the tile \mathcal{T}_{ξ_1} ($= \mathcal{T}_{\xi_2}$), while all edges in between labeled by F_2, \dots, F_{m-1} on the trajectory of g belong to \mathcal{T}_{ξ_1} and are not boundary edges of \mathcal{T}_{ξ_1} .

Definition 2.18. An element $g = F_1 \dots F_n$ is said to be *incompressible* if it does not contain any return subword.

Definition 2.19. Let $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ be a finite tile. Let $w = F_1 F_2 \dots F_m \in \mathcal{S}^*$ be a word as above. A *traverse* of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ is the trajectory of a subword of w , denoted $w' = F_i \dots F_j$ for $1 \leq k < j \leq l$, such that the initial and final vertices of the trajectory of w' are boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ and all other vertices of the trajectory of w' are inside $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ different from the boundary points.

3 Expanding tile inflations

Expanding tile inflations were first studied for groups generated by bounded automata (acting on regular rooted trees). See [Bon07, Chapter V]. In this section, we discuss more general expanding tile inflations on Bratteli diagrams.

Definition 3.1. ([Nek22, Definition 1.3.6]) Let $\mathbf{B} = ((V_n)_{n=1}^\infty), (E_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}$ be a Bratteli diagram. Let $1 = k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ be an increasing sequence of integers. The *telescoping* of \mathbf{B} defined by the sequence is the diagram $((V'_n)_{n=1}^\infty), (E'_n)_{n=1}^\infty, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}$ where $V'_n = V_{k_n}$, E'_n is the set of paths in \mathbf{B} from V_{k_n} to $V_{k_{n+1}}$, and \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r} are the beginning and the end of the paths, respectively.

Two Bratteli diagrams $\mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_2$ are *equivalent*, denoted $\mathbf{B}_1 \sim \mathbf{B}_2$, if and only if one can be obtained from the other by a sequence of telescopings and inverses of telescopings. If $\mathbf{B}_1 \sim \mathbf{B}_2$, then $\Omega(\mathbf{B}_1)$ is naturally homeomorphic to $\Omega(\mathbf{B}_2)$.

Definition 3.2. A telescoping of \mathbf{B} is said to have *bounded steps* if there exists $K \geq 0$ such that the sequence $\{k_n\}$ in Definition 3.1 satisfies $k_{n+1} - k_n \leq K$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 3.3. A *block* of boundary/connecting points on a finite tile $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ with $n \geq M$, for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$, is a subset of the union of the set of boundary points and the set of connecting points of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ in which the distances between any pairs of elements (in the metric for $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$) are uniformly bounded.

Example 3.1. Let $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$ be two boundary points on the same infinite tile. Then there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $n \geq M$, their n -th truncations belong to the same block.

Definition 3.4. A tile inflation process on \mathbf{B} is *expanding* if, after transforming \mathbf{B} to \mathbf{B}' by telescoping of bounded steps, for all $n \geq M$ and for each $\mathcal{T}_{i,k_{n+1}}$, every pair of blocks of boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{i,k_{n+1}}$ come from different embeddings of tile(s) on level k_n .

3.1 Exponential growth of diameters.

Lemma 3.2. Let \mathbf{B} be a Bratteli diagram whose sets of edges E_n satisfy $2 \leq |E_n| \leq E$ for some $E > 2$. Let $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}$ be any sequence of finite tiles on levels n of \mathbf{B} obtained by the maps ϕ_{v_n, e_n} in Definition 2.6. If the tile inflation process is expanding, then there exists $a > 1$ such that $\max\{d(\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n})\} \sim a^n$, where $\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n}$ are boundary points on \mathcal{T}_n .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that each block is a singleton and no telescoping is performed so that the argument starts from level 1 of \mathbf{B} and does not skip levels of \mathbf{B} . Let $\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}$ be the boundary points on \mathcal{T}_n representing $\max\{d(\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n})\}$. Then a geodesic l connecting $\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}$ is of the form $l_1 F_1 l_2 F_2 \dots l_m F_m l_{m+1}$ where each l_k lies in some isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n-1}$, for various $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, |V_n|\}$, and $F_k \in \mathcal{S}$ is the label of a boundary edge of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n-1}$. Let p_k, q_k be respectively the beginning and ending vertices of l_k . Note that $p_1 = \xi_{1,n}$ and $q_{m+1} = \xi_{2,n}$ and other p_k, q_k are connecting points of \mathcal{T}_{n+1} . It follows that

$$d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) = d(\xi_{1,n}, p_1) + \sum_{k=2}^m d(p_k, q_k) + d(p_{m+1}, \xi_{2,n}) + m.$$

Hence

$$d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) \geq d(\xi_{1,n}, p_1) + d(p_{m+1}, \xi_{2,n}). \quad (1)$$

Relabel $p_{1,n} = p_1$ and $p_{2,n} = p_{m+1}$. The inequality above can be rewritten as

$$d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) \geq d(\xi_{1,n}, p_{1,n}) + d(p_{2,n}, \xi_{2,n}). \quad (2)$$

Since the inflation process is expanding, we can write $e_{1,n} \xi_{1,n} = \xi_{1,n-1}$, $e_{1,n} p_{1,n} = p_{1,n-1}$, $p_{2,n} = e_{2,n} p_{2,n-1}$, and $\xi_{2,n} = e_{2,n} \xi_{2,n-1}$, for $e_{1,n} \neq e_{2,n}$. Then the vertices $\xi_{1,n-1}, p_{1,n-1}, p_{2,n-1}$ and $\xi_{2,n-1}$ are boundary points of tiles on level $n-1$, where $\xi_{1,n-1}, p_{1,n-1}$ belong to the same tile and $p_{2,n-1}, \xi_{2,n-1}$ belong to the same tile. Since the inflation process is expanding, $\xi_{1,n-1}, p_{1,n-1}$ come from different embeddings of tile(s) on level $n-2$, and $p_{2,n-1}, \xi_{2,n-1}$ come from different embeddings of tile(s) on level $n-2$. By the same reasoning we have

$$d(\xi_{1,n-1}, p_{1,n-1}) \geq d(\xi_{1,n-1}, p_{3,n-1}) + d(p_{4,n-1}, p_{1,n-1}) \quad (3)$$

and

$$d(p_{2,n-1}, \xi_{2,n-1}) \geq d(p_{2,n-1}, p_{5,n-1}) + d(p_{6,n-1}, \xi_{2,n-1}). \quad (4)$$

The above inequalities hold for all $n > 1$. It follows that $d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) \geq 2^n$. On the other hand, since each $|E_n| \leq E$, $d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) \leq E^n$. It follows that there exists a with $2 \leq a \leq E$ such that $d(\xi_{1,n}, \xi_{2,n}) \sim a^n$. \square

The following was proved in [Kua24, Proposition 3.9].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\{\mathcal{T}_n\}$ a the sequence of tiles indexed by the levels n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\text{Diam}(\mathcal{T}_{i,n})$ is asymptotically equivalent to $d(\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n})$ where $\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n}$ are boundary points on $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ with $\{d(\gamma_{1,n}, \gamma_{2,n})\}$ growing exponentially. Consequently, the sequence of diameters of a tile inflation has exponential growth.*

By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3, we have the following.

Corollary 3.4. *Let \mathbf{B} be a Bratteli diagram whose sets of edges E_n satisfy $2 \leq |E_n| \leq E$ for some $E > 2$. Then each tile on level n of \mathbf{B} obtained by an expanding tile inflation process has diameter asymptotically equivalent to an exponential function a^n , for some $a > 1$.*

3.2 Polynomial growth of orbital graphs. The following shows that infinite tiles have polynomial growth.

Proposition 3.5. *Let \mathbf{B} be a Bratteli diagram whose sets of edges E_n satisfy $2 \leq |E_n| \leq E$ for some $E > 2$. Let G_0 be defined by an expanding tile inflation process. Let $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ be any point and let \mathcal{T}_γ be the infinite tile containing γ . Let $B_\gamma(R) \subset \mathcal{T}_\gamma$ be a ball of radius R centered at γ . Then the cardinality $\#B_\gamma(R)$ satisfies*

$$\#B_\gamma(R) \leq CR^d$$

for some $C > 1$.

Proof. The ball $B_\gamma(R)$ is covered by a uniformly bounded number M of isomorphic copies of finite tiles on level n whose diameters are asymptotic equivalent to a^n for some $a > 1$ (see Corollary 3.4). In order for a^n to be asymptotic equivalent to R , denoted $a^n \sim R$, we must have $n \sim \frac{\log R}{\log a}$. Write $n = k \log_a R + b$ for $k > 0$. The number of all vertices on all tiles on $\Omega_n(\mathbf{B})$ is bounded above by $E^n = E^{k \log_a R + b}$, while $E^{k \log_a R + b}$ is asymptotically equivalent to R^d for some $d > 0$. Since $B_\gamma(R)$ is covered by M tiles with cardinality bounded above by $C'R^d$ for some $C' > 0$, the statement is proved. \square

Since orbital graphs and tile graphs differ for a bounded cardinality of boundary edges, we have the following.

Corollary 3.6. *The orbital graphs of an inverse semigroup of bounded type have polynomial growth.*

3.3 Linearly repetitive actions.

Proposition 3.7. *Let G_0 be an inverse semigroup of bounded type acting minimally on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \dots, \mathcal{T}_n \dots$ be any sequence of tiles where \mathcal{T}_n is a tile on level n of \mathbf{B} . Suppose their diameters grow exponentially, i.e., $\text{Diam}(\mathcal{T}_n) \sim a^n$ for some $a > 1$. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *Finite tiles are linearly repetitive on infinite tiles.*
- (2) *The set of vertices and edges on each level of \mathbf{B} have uniformly bounded cardinalities, and for each n , the smallest $l \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on n) such that all tiles on level $n+l$ contain all tiles on level n is uniformly bounded.*

Notice that since \mathbf{B} is simple, the assumption that the sets of edges of \mathbf{B} have uniformly bounded cardinality implies the assumption that the sets of vertices of \mathbf{B} have uniformly bounded cardinality.

Proof. ((2) \implies (1)): Suppose, for each level of \mathbf{B} , the numbers of edges and vertices are bounded by $M > 2$ and $N > 1$, respectively, and l is bounded by L . Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be any number. By minimality, there exists the smallest $l(n) > 0$ such that all tiles on level n of \mathbf{B} are contained as isomorphic copies in each tile on level $m = n + l(n)$. For the same reason, all tiles on level m are contained as isomorphic copies in each tile on level $m + l(m)$ for the smallest $l(m)$. Fix $\mathcal{T}_{j, m+l(m)}$. It contains at most $M^{l(m)}$ copies of tiles on level m and at most $M^{l(m)+l(n)} \leq M^{2L}$ copies of tiles on level n . Since the diameters of all tiles on level n are asymptotically equivalent to a^n for some $a > 1$, fixing i , the gap between consecutive appearance of $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{j, m+l(m)}$ has diameter not more than $(2M^L - 2)a^n \sim (2M^L - 2) \cdot \text{Diam}(\mathcal{T}_{i, n})$. This is because each $\mathcal{T}_{j, m}$ contains at least one copy of $\mathcal{T}_{j, n}$, and if $\mathcal{T}_{j_1, m}, \mathcal{T}_{j_2, m}$ are adjacent to each other on $\mathcal{T}_{j, m+l(m)}$, then the gap between $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{j_1, m}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{j_2, m}$ has diameter not more than the sum of the diameters of all tiles on level n contained in them. Since infinite tiles are obtained from finite tile inflations, the statement is true for any $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ in any infinite tiles.

((1) \implies (2)): Let $M(n), N(n)$ be the total numbers of edges and vertices on each level of \mathbf{B} , respectively, and let $l(n)$ be as above. Suppose first that $N(n)$ is not uniformly bounded. This implies that $M(n)$ is not uniformly bounded. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $N(n)$ is monotonously increasing. For each n , suppose $l(n)$ is bounded by L . We may assume each $l(n) = 1$, which means that each tile on level $n+1$ is covered by all tiles on level n , for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ be a tile on level n . Then the largest gap between consecutive appearances of $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$ on level $n+2$ will have diameter bounded below by $(N(n) - k(n)) \cdot \text{Diam}(\mathcal{T}_{i, n})$, where $k(n)$ is a bounded number. Since the function $N(n)$ is increasing, we conclude that finite tiles cannot be linearly repetitive.

Now suppose $l(n)$ is not bounded but $N(n)$ is bounded. We may also assume without loss of generality that $l(n)$ is monotonously increasing and $N(n) \geq 2$ is a constant. Then for each $\mathcal{T}_{i, n}$, the largest gap between consecutive appearances of it on a tile on level $n+l(n)+l(n+l(n))$ is bounded below by $E^{l(n)} \cdot \text{Diam}(\mathcal{T}_{i, n})$ where E is a constant greater than 1. Since $l(n)$ is increasing, we can also conclude that finite tiles are not linearly repetitive. \square

4 Proof of the theorem

Definition 4.1. Let G_1, G_2 be inverse semigroups acting on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$. The action of G_1 is *orbit equivalent* to the action of G_2 if there exist a pair of homeomorphisms $\varphi, \psi : \Omega(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ such that for any $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ and any $g_1 \in G_1$ defined at γ , there exists $g_2 \in G_2$ defined at $\varphi(\gamma)$ such that $\varphi(\gamma \cdot g_1) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot g_2$, and for any $g'_2 \in G_2$ defined at γ , there exists $g'_1 \in G_1$ defined at $\psi(\gamma)$ such that $\psi(\gamma \cdot g'_2) = \psi(\gamma) \cdot g'_1$.

Definition 4.2. The actions of G_1, G_2 on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ above are said to be *strongly orbit equivalent* if the relations $\varphi(\gamma \cdot g_1) = \varphi(\gamma) \cdot g_2$ and $\psi(\gamma \cdot g'_2) = \psi(\gamma) \cdot g'_1$ in Definition 4.1 hold in a neighborhood of γ for any $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$.

Recall that the *topological full group* of G_0 , denoted $F(G_0)$, is the set of all automorphisms $f : \Omega(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ defined by the rule $\gamma \cdot f = \gamma \cdot g$ for each $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ and for some $g \in G_0$ defined in a neighborhood of γ , i.e., every element of $F(G_0)$ acts “piecewise” as an element of G . The following is the main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let \mathbf{B} be a simple Bratteli diagram. Suppose the inverse semigroup $G_0 = \langle S \rangle$ is defined by an expanding tile inflation process of bounded type and acts minimally on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$. Let $G < F(G_0)$ be a finitely generated subgroup that is orbit equivalent to G_0 . If the set of incompressible elements of G_0 is finite, then there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that the growth function γ_G of G satisfies $\gamma_G(R) \preceq \exp(R^\alpha)$.*

The proof will follow from several propositions.

Proposition 4.2. *If $G < F(G_0)$ is orbit equivalent to G_0 , then it is strongly orbit equivalent to G_0 .*

Proof. This follows directly from the definition of topological full groups. Indeed, for any $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$, any $g \in F(G_0)$ acts as an element in G_0 in a neighborhood of γ . \square

Recall two infinite paths $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$ are called *cofinal* if they differ for finitely many edges.

Corollary 4.3. *If $G < F(G_0)$ is finitely generated and orbit equivalent to G_0 , then G is a group of bounded type.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, G is strongly orbit equivalent to G_0 . Hence the infinite boundary points of G are cofinal to those of G_0 . Since G is finitely generated, it follows that the number of infinite boundary points of G is finite. Now let \mathcal{T} be a finite tile of G_0 and $B_{\mathcal{T}}$ be its set of boundary points. Then there exists $B > 0$ such that $|B_{\mathcal{T}}| \leq B$. Hence the number of boundary points of any finite tile of G is bounded above by $B + |S|$, where S is the (finite) generating set of G . Hence G is of bounded type. \square

The following was proved in [Kua24, Proposition 4.3], which is a modification of [BNZ22, Proposition 2.7].

Proposition 4.4. *Suppose that there are $t_0 > 1$ and a function $\Psi(t)$ such that $F_w(t) \leq |w| \cdot \Psi(t)$ for all $w \in S^*$, all $t < t_0$. Set $\beta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_i |\mathcal{T}_{i,n}|)^{1/n}$. Then the growth function $\gamma_G(R)$ of G satisfies*

$$\gamma_G(R) \preceq \exp(R^\alpha)$$

for all $\alpha > \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta + \log t_0}$.

We also need the following technical definition.

Definition 4.3. Let $w = s_1 \dots s_m \in S^*$ be a word. We say that w is *reduced* if all $s_i \neq Id$ and either all consecutive s_i, s_{i+1} are labels of boundary edges at different infinite boundary points or at least one of them is finitary. Otherwise, the word w is said to contain *non-reduced subwords*.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let n be any level of \mathbf{B} . Then all the tiles on level $n+l(n)$ contain (isomorphic copies of) all the tiles on level n , for uniformly bounded $l(n)$. Hence, by applying a telescoping of bounded steps of \mathbf{B} , we may assume, without loss of generality, that all the tiles on level $n+1$ contain all the tiles on level n and blocks of boundary points on level $n+1$ come from different embeddings of tile(s) on level n . Let $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ be a tile on level n . Then one of the following cases must happen.

1. For any embedding of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ into level $n+1$, any traverse of isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ induced by traverses of tiles on level $n+1$ contains a return. In this case $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ is called *stranded*.
2. There exists an embedding of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ into $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$ such that one of the blocks of boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ becomes a block of boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$.
3. For all embeddings of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$, at least 2 blocks of boundary points of the isomorphic copies of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ are connected to other embeddings of tile(s) while no isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ contains any block of boundary points of level $n+1$.

The rest of the proof is similar to that of [Kua24, Theorem 4.1]. Fix $w = s_1 \dots s_m \in S^*$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$ be a tile on level $n+1$. Let τ be a traverse of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$ (viewed as a subword of w). Then τ induces a finite sequence of traverses of tiles on the n -th level. Denote this sequence by $\Phi(\tau) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k)$. Let $\mathbf{w} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_k$ be the sequence of labels of the incoming edges of each induced traverse at each boundary point. Each x_i is a product of elements in S , and x_1 can be any edge going into the tile $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$. Let Θ_n be the set of all traverses of tiles on level n , for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that there are no stranded tiles.

We distinguish 2 cases of \mathbf{w} : 1. \mathbf{w} is a reduced word; 2. \mathbf{w} contains non-reduced subwords.

Suppose first the word \mathbf{w} is reduced.

Definition 4.4. Define a map $\phi : \Theta_{n+1} \rightarrow \Theta_n$ by letting $\phi(\tau)$ be the **last** traverse induced by τ of an isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ that satisfies Case 2 above, where τ is a traverse starting at the block of boundary point of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ coinciding with that of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$. Call the map ϕ the *last-moment map*, and $\phi(\tau)$ the *last induced traverse*.

Note that the traverse τ of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$ is mapped to a union of sets of traverses of different tiles on level n . Whenever the sequence $\mathbf{w} = x_1 \dots x_k$ contains a return subword, denoted $\mathbf{w}' = x_{l_1} \dots x_{l_2}$, the trajectory of \mathbf{w}' must traverse isomorphic copies of the same tile, denoted $\mathcal{T}_{l,n}$, on level n at the beginning x_{l_1} and the end x_{l_2} . Hence this trajectory can be moved to the infinite tile that defines this return, which will traverse the same isomorphic copy of $\mathcal{T}_{l,n}$ at the beginning and the end. It follows that there exists an embedding of $\mathcal{T}_{l,n}$ to level $n+1$ that satisfies Case 2 above. Hence the set of traverses of $\mathcal{T}_{l,n}$ has a nontrivial intersection with the range of ϕ .

The map ϕ is injective since the trajectory of the induced traverse on $\mathcal{T}_{i,n}$ uniquely determines the traverse of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+1}$ that induces it. We would like to show ϕ is non-surjective.

Now let τ be a traverse of any tile on the $(n+1)$ -st level. Write

$$\Phi(\tau) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_j, \tau_{j+1}, \dots, \tau_k)$$

where $\tau_j = \phi(\tau)$. Consider the sub-label $\mathbf{w}' = x_j x_{j+1} \dots x_l$ of \mathbf{w} , for $j < l \leq k$. If \mathbf{w}' itself is a return word, then τ_j cannot be in the image of ϕ since τ_l is the last one. Now suppose \mathbf{w} is long enough (with length greater than M). Then by the assumption, \mathbf{w} contains a return subword. Let $x_{l_1} \dots x_{l_2}$ represent this subword, and denote the corresponding sequence of traverse by $(\tau_{l_1}, \dots, \tau_{l_2})$. Note that the trajectory of the induced traverses might not return to the same vertex, but if we move this subword to the corresponding tile that defines this return, its trajectory will return to the same vertex on that tile. Then the traverse τ_{l_1} cannot be in the image of ϕ for the same reason. It follows that for each M induced traverses, there is at least 1 that is not in the image of ϕ . This implies that

$$T_{n+1} \leq \frac{M-1}{M} T_n.$$

Then the generating function $F_w(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n t^n$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) - T_0 &\leq \frac{M-1}{M} t F(t), \\ \implies F(t) &\leq \frac{T_0}{1 - \frac{M-1}{M} t}. \end{aligned}$$

When $t < \frac{M}{M-1}$, the right hand side is positive. Note that $T_0 = |w|$. Hence we can take $\Psi(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{M-1}{M} t}$. By Proposition 4.4, the growth function

$$\gamma_G(R) \preceq \exp(R^\alpha)$$

for every $\alpha > \frac{\log(\beta)}{\log(\beta) + \log(\frac{M}{M-1})}$, where $\beta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_i |\mathcal{T}_{i,n}|)^{1/n}$.

Now suppose \mathbf{w} contains non-reduced subwords. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be big enough. Let $r < n$ be an integer satisfying the following properties. For any traverse τ of $\mathcal{T}_{i,n+1}$ as

above, denote by $\Phi(\tau) = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$ the sequence of traverse of tiles on level r of \mathbb{B} . Let $\mathbf{w}_r = x_1 \dots x_k$ be the sequence of labels of incoming edges at each boundary point, where each x_i is the section of some $s \in S$ on a boundary point or connecting point $\gamma \in \Omega_{r-1}(\mathbb{B})$, and \mathbf{w}_r is a reduced word. In other words, the word \mathbf{w}_r is an element in the group $G_r \in \{G\}_{\mathbb{B}}$. We can choose r such that no consecutive x_i, x_{i+1} are labels of boundary edges (of G_r). For example, if x_i is the label of a boundary edge, then x_{i+1} is the label of an edge at a connecting point, which is finitary. Define the last moment map $\phi : \Theta_{i,n+1} \rightarrow \Theta_{i,r}$ in the same way as Definition 4.4. By the assumption, every subword of \mathbf{w}_r of length M . By a similar argument as above, for each M induced traverses, there is at least 1 that is not in the image of ϕ . This implies that

$$T_{n+1} \leq \frac{M-1}{M} T_r.$$

The generating function $F_w(t)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} Mt^{-(n+1-r)}(F_w(t) - (T_0 + T_1 t + \dots + T_{n-r} t^{n-r})) &\leq (M-1)F_w(t) \\ \implies F_w(t) &\leq |w| \frac{g(t)}{t^{-(n+1-r)} - \frac{M-1}{M}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g(t)$ is positive. Notice that $n-r$ is constant. Taking $\Psi(t) = \frac{g(t)}{t^{-(n+1-r)} - \frac{M-1}{M}}$, then $F_w(t) \leq |w| \cdot \Psi(t)$ whenever $t < \sqrt[n+1-r]{\frac{M}{M-1}}$. The growth function

$$\gamma_G(R) \preceq \exp(R^\alpha)$$

for every $\alpha > \frac{\log(\beta)}{\log(\beta) + \log\left(\sqrt[n+1-r]{\frac{M}{M-1}}\right)}$, where $\beta = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_i |\mathcal{T}_{i,n}|)^{1/n}$. The proof is complete. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a finitely generated group with finitely many incompressible elements acting minimally on $\Omega(\mathbb{B})$. Then G is periodic.*

Proof. The idea of the proof is similar to that of [Nek18, Theorem 4.1]. Since the set of incompressible elements of G is finite, there exists $M > 0$ such that any $g \in G$ with $|g| \geq M$ contains a return. Fix a non-identity $g \in G$. Let us first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 4.6. *Fix any finite tile \mathcal{T}_g whose diameter is greater than or equal to $|g|$. Then for each vertex $v \in \mathcal{T}_g$ and every embedding ϕ of \mathcal{T}_g into an infinite orbital graph there exists $k > 0$ such that $\phi(v) \cdot g^k \in \phi(\mathcal{T}_g)$.*

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{T}_g is on level n of \mathbb{B} for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\gamma \in \Omega(\mathbb{B})$ be a point having v as its prefix. Consider the trajectory

$$\{\gamma \cdot g, \gamma \cdot g^2, \dots, \gamma \cdot g^k\}$$

for some k big enough. If the trajectory stays inside the isomorphic copy of \mathcal{T}_g containing γ , for all k , then we can choose ϕ to be any embedding. If the trajectory returns to \mathcal{T}_g , then we can also choose ϕ to be any embedding.

Suppose the conclusion is not true for some \mathcal{T}_g , i.e., for some orbital graph Γ there exists an embedding $\phi : \mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that the trajectory

$$\{\phi(v) \cdot g, \phi(v) \cdot g^2, \dots, \phi(v) \cdot g^k\}$$

does not come back to $\phi(\mathcal{T}_g)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the length of this trajectory must approach infinity as $k \rightarrow \infty$ since otherwise g will not be invertible. Hence this trajectory induces traverses of (isomorphic copies of) tiles on level n of \mathbf{B} . Let $\mathbf{w} = x_1 x_2 \dots x_j$ be the sequence of labels of the incoming edges of each induced traverse at each boundary point. Note that the word \mathbf{w} is an element of G . By assumption, each subword of \mathbf{w} with length at least M contains a return subword. We may assume, without loss of generality, that each subword of length M contains a single return subword. Write $\mathbf{w} = x_1 \dots x_M x_{M+1} \dots x_{2M} \dots x_j$. We will also use subwords of \mathbf{w} to represent trajectories. Then there is an embedding ϕ_1 of \mathcal{T}_g such that the trajectory represented by $x_1 \dots x_M$ returns to a tile. Let $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ be an (isomorphic of) finite tile containing $\phi_1(\mathcal{T}_g)$ and the trajectory $x_1 \dots x_M$. Then there exists an embedding ϕ_2 of $\mathcal{T}^{(1)}$ such that the trajectory $x_{M+1} \dots x_{2M}$ returns to a tile. Let $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}$ be an (isomorphic of) finite tile containing $\phi_2(\mathcal{T}^{(1)})$ and the trajectory $x_1 \dots x_M x_{M+1} \dots x_{2M}$. Continue this process. Since there are only finitely many non-isomorphic returns of length not more than M , and g^k is periodic, it follows that after successive embeddings of $\mathcal{T}^{(i)}$, the trajectory \mathbf{w} contains an eventually periodic sequence of returns, for k big enough. Suppose, without loss of generality, that the sequence of returns is periodic. Let $x_{l_1} \dots x_{l_2}$, for $1 \leq l_1 < l_2 \leq M$ be the return contained in $x_1 \dots x_M$. Let $x_{l_3} \dots x_{l_4}$, for $iM + 1 \leq l_3 < l_4 \leq 2iM$ be the first isomorphic copy of $x_{l_1} \dots x_{l_2}$, contained in $x_{iM+1} \dots x_{2iM}$. Then the word $x_{l_2} \dots x_{l_3}$ is a return word. It follows that there is an embedding ψ of $\mathcal{T}^{(i-1)}$ into an orbital graph Γ such that the trajectory $x_{l_1} \dots x_M \dots x_{iM+1} \dots x_{l_3}$ is a cycle. However, this is impossible since if we apply g^{-k} on $x_{l_1} \dots x_M \dots x_{iM+1} \dots x_{l_4}$ on Γ , the trajectory will not touch $\psi(\mathcal{T}_g)$, contradicting the fact that g is invertible. \square

Let Γ be an orbital graph. Then Γ is a disjoint union of (isomorphic copies) of all tiles on level n connected at their boundary points. By the lemma above, the iteration of g on any vertex $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has bounded orbits. Since Γ is linearly repetitive, all g -orbits all vertices of Γ are uniformly bounded. Hence there exists m such that g^m acts trivially on the vertices of Γ . Since the set of vertices of Γ is dense in $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$, it follows that $g^n = Id$. This completes the proof. \square

By Theorem 4.1, Proposition 4.5 and Gromov's theorem on polynomial growth [Gro81], we have the following.

Corollary 4.7. *Let G_0 be an inverse semigroup of bounded type with finitely many incompressible elements. Then any finitely generated subgroup $G < F(G_0)$ that is orbit equivalent to G_0 has intermediate growth.*

5 Dihedral groups D_∞

In this section, we describe a family of infinite dihedral groups D_∞ acting on Cantor sets and give fragmentations of some of them to produce groups whose growth functions are bounded above by $\exp(CR^\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. The constructions are based on the prototypical example of the Golden Mean dihedral group described by V. Nekrashevych in [Nek18, Section 8] and [Nek22, Subsection 5.3.5].

5.1 The construction. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be an irrational number. Consider the reflections of the circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with respect to the diameters $\{0, 1/2\}$ and $\{\theta/2, (\theta + 1)/2\}$:

$$x \cdot a = 1 - x, \quad x \cdot b = \theta - x.$$

The composition ab (note we are using right actions) is the rotation $x \mapsto x + \theta$. The orbit of 0 under the action of $\langle a, b \rangle \cong D_\infty$ coincides with that under the action of $\langle ab \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let \mathcal{X}_θ be the space obtained from \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} by replacing each point x with two points $x - 0$ and $x + 0$. Note that $1 = 0 - 0$ and $0 = 0 + 0$. The set \mathcal{X}_θ is a Cantor set (see [Nek22, Subsection 1.3.1.2]). The action of $\langle a, b \rangle$ naturally lifts to an action of \mathcal{X}_θ by the rules

$$(x - 0) \cdot g = x \cdot g + 0, \quad (x + 0) \cdot g = x \cdot g - 0,$$

for $g \in \{a, b\}$. We will drop the notations “+0” and “−0” since this will not cause ambiguity.

Now we encode the points of \mathcal{X}_θ by paths in a Bratteli diagram \mathbf{B} .

Let \mathcal{A}_0 be the RK-set¹ with towers $\mathcal{F}_{0,0} = \{[0, \theta]\}$, $\mathcal{F}_{1,0} = \{[\theta, 1]\}$. Then the level 0 vertices $V_0 := \{0, 1\}$ of \mathbf{B} is identified with $\mathcal{F}_{0,0}, \mathcal{F}_{1,0}$, respectively. Let \mathcal{A}_1 be the RK-set with towers $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}, \mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ constructed as follows. Let $r = \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta} \rfloor$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $\theta < 1/2$, since otherwise we can replace θ with $1 - \theta$. Then $r > 1$. The base of $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}$ is $I_0 = [0, 1 - r\theta]$ and the base of $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ is $J_0 = [1 - r\theta, \theta]$. To describe these two towers, let us first recall the construction of the towers $T_{[0, 1 - r\theta]}$ and $T_{[1 - r\theta, \theta]}$ with bases I_0 and J_0 of the rotation $R_\theta : x \mapsto x + \theta$ (see [Nek22, Subsection 1.3.9]).

The first return map maps I_0 to $[(r + 1)\theta - 1, \theta]$ and J_0 to $[0, (r + 1)\theta - 1]$, and the first return times are $r + 1$ and r , respectively. Then the towers

$$\begin{aligned} T_{[0, 1 - r\theta]} &= \{I_0, I_0 \cdot R_\theta, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^2, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^3, \dots, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^i, \dots, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^{r-2}, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^{r-1}, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^r\} \\ &= \{[0, 1 - r\theta], [\theta, 1 - (r - 1)\theta], [2\theta, 1 - (r - 2)\theta], [3\theta, 1 - (r - 3)\theta], \dots, \\ &\quad [i\theta, 1 - (r - i)\theta], \dots, [(r - 2)\theta, 1 - 2\theta], [(r - 1)\theta, 1 - \theta], [r\theta, 1]\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{[1 - r\theta, \theta]} = \{J_0, J_0 \cdot R_\theta, J_0 \cdot R_\theta^2, I_0 \cdot R_\theta^3, \dots, J_0 \cdot R_\theta^i, \dots, J_0 \cdot R_\theta^{r-2}, J_0 \cdot R_\theta^{r-1}\}$$

¹RK is short for Rokhlin-Kakutani partition of an étale groupoid. We will not present a formal definition here. In this section, an RK-set is a collection of towers and a tower is a collection of disjoint clopen intervals moved by generators of D_∞ . The union of elements in the towers in the same RK-set form a partition of \mathcal{X}_θ . For more detail, see [Nek22, Subsection 5.2.2].

$$= \{[1 - r\theta, \theta], [1 - (r - 1)\theta, 2\theta], [1 - (r - 2)\theta, 3\theta], [1 - (r - 3)\theta, 4\theta], \dots, \\ [1 - (r - i)\theta, (i + 1)\theta], \dots, [1 - 2\theta, (r - 1)\theta], [1 - \theta, r\theta]\}.$$

The sets in $T_{[0,1-r\theta]}$ and $T_{[1-r\theta,\theta]}$ form a partition of \mathcal{X}_θ . In the tower $T_{[0,1-r\theta]}$, the transformation a interchanges the intervals $[i\theta, 1 - (r - i)\theta]$ and $[(r - i)\theta, 1 - i\theta]$, for $i = 0, \dots, r$, while b interchanges $[i\theta, 1 - (r - i)\theta]$ and $[(r + 1 - i)\theta, 1 - (i - 1)\theta]$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. In the tower $T_{[1-r\theta,\theta]}$, the transformation a interchanges the intervals $[1 - (r - i)\theta, (i + 1)\theta]$ and $[1 - (i + 1)\theta, (r - i)\theta]$, for $i = 0, \dots, r - 1$, while b interchanges $[1 - (r - i)\theta, (i + 1)\theta]$ and $[1 - i\theta, (r - i + 1)\theta]$, for $i = 1, \dots, r - 1$. It follows that the towers $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$ consist of the same elements as those of $T_{[0,1-r\theta]}$ and $T_{[1-r\theta,\theta]}$, respectively. The arrows between the intervals are shown in Figure 1. Note that the intervals are lined up in the order of iterations of R_θ before the first return.

The level 1 vertices V_1 of \mathbf{B} consists of 2 elements $0, 1$ corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$, respectively. The base $[0, \theta] \in \mathcal{F}_{0,0}$ is partitioned by I_0, J_0 while the base $[\theta, 1] \in \mathcal{F}_{1,0}$ is partitioned by the rest of elements in $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$. Hence the finite (unordered) diagram of \mathbf{B} associated with the sequence $\mathcal{A}_0 \prec \mathcal{A}_1$ consists of vertices V_0, V_1 where $0 \in V_0$ is connected to $0, 1 \in V_1$ each by a single edge, and $1 \in V_0$ is connected to $0 \in V_1$ by r edges and to $1 \in V_1$ by $r - 1$ edges. See Figure 2 for an example when $r = 5$.

Now consider the similarity map $S : [0, \theta] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ given by $x \mapsto x/\theta$. Then $S([0, 1 - r\theta]) = [0, 1/\theta - r]$ and $S([1 - r\theta, \theta]) = [1/\theta - r, 1]$, and they form a partition of $[0, 1]$. Denote $\theta' = 1/\theta - r$. The actions of a, b on $[0, \theta]$ are conjugated by S to be the actions $x \mapsto \theta' - x$ and $x \mapsto 1 - x$, respectively, for $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\theta'}$. Indeed, this follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *We have the following:*


1. *The actions of a on $[0, 1 - r\theta]$ and $[1 - r\theta, \theta]$ are conjugated by S to be $1/\theta - r - x$ for $x \in [0, 1/\theta - r]$ and $[1/\theta - r, 1]$, respectively.*
2. *The actions of b on $[0, 1 - r\theta]$ and $[1 - r\theta, \theta]$ are conjugated by S to be $1 - x$ for $x \in [0, 1/\theta - r]$ and $[1/\theta - r, 1]$, respectively.*

Proof. The above statements follow from the following 4 commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [0, 1 - r\theta] & \xrightarrow{a} & [r\theta, 1] \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ [0, 1/\theta - r] & \xrightarrow{a} & [r, 1/\theta] = [0, 1/\theta - r] \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [1 - r\theta, \theta] & \xrightarrow{a} & [1 - \theta, r\theta] \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\ [1/\theta - r, 1] & \xrightarrow{a} & [1/\theta - 1, r] = [1/\theta - r, 1] \end{array}$$

(a) Tower $\mathcal{F}_{0,1}$.



(b) Tower $\mathcal{F}_{1,1}$.




Figure 1: RK-sets of dihedral groups
19




Figure 2: Finite diagram for $\mathcal{A}_0 \prec \mathcal{A}_1$ when $r = 5$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 [0, 1 - r\theta] & \xrightarrow{b} & [(1+r)\theta - 1, \theta] \\
 s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
 [0, 1/\theta - r] & \xrightarrow{b} & [1 - (1/\theta - r), 1] \\
 \\
 [1 - r\theta, \theta] & \xrightarrow{b} & [0, (1+r)\theta - 1] \\
 s \downarrow & & \downarrow s \\
 [1/\theta - r, 1] & \xrightarrow{b} & [0, 1 - (1/\theta - r)]
 \end{array}$$

Since a, b have order 2, a flips the intervals on the bottom row of the first 2 diagrams, and b interchanges the intervals on the bottom row of the last 2 diagrams. Hence a acts as $1/\theta - r - x = \theta' - x$ and b acts as $1 - x$ for $x \in S([0, \theta]) = [0, 1]$. \square

Let $r' = \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta'} \rfloor$. Let $I'_0 = [0, 1 - r'\theta']$ and $J'_0 = [1 - r'\theta', \theta']$. Let \mathcal{A}_2 be the RK-set associated with the new a, b with 2 towers $\mathcal{F}_{0,2}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2}$ whose bases are I'_0 and J'_0 , respectively. Then the finite diagram of \mathbf{B} associated with $\mathcal{A}_1 \prec \mathcal{A}_2$ is determined by the same procedure as that of $\mathcal{A}_0 \prec \mathcal{A}_1$, with the roles of a and b interchanged in Figure 1.

Let $\theta_0 = \theta$, $\theta_1 = \theta'$, $c_0 = r$, and $c_1 = r'$. Then we have

$$\theta_0 = \frac{1}{c_0 + \theta_1}.$$

It follows that the diagram associated with $\mathcal{A}_0 \prec \mathcal{A}_1$ is determined by the procedure above for the maps $x \mapsto 1 - x$ and $x \mapsto \theta_0 - x$. In general, the finite diagram associated with $\mathcal{A}_{n-1} \prec \mathcal{A}_n$ is determined by the same procedure for the maps $x \mapsto 1 - x$ and

$x \mapsto \theta_{n-1} - x$ where θ_{n-1} is defined by the rule

$$\theta_{n-1} = \frac{1}{c_{n-1} + \theta_n}, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $c_{n-1} = \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta_{n-1}} \rfloor$. For the RK-set \mathcal{A}_n ($n \geq 1$), its bases of towers are denoted $I_0^{(n)} = [0, 1 - c_{n-1}\theta_{n-1}]$ and $J_0^{(n)} = [1 - c_{n-1}\theta_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}]$. Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$ be an irrational number, and let (c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots) be the sequence of positive integers such that*

$$\theta = \frac{1}{c_0 + \frac{1}{c_1 + \frac{1}{c_2 + \frac{1}{\dots}}}}.$$

The (unordered) Bratteli diagram \mathbf{B} associated with the sequence of RK-sets $\mathcal{A}_0 \prec \mathcal{A}_1 \prec \mathcal{A}_2 \prec \dots$ is described as follows. The n -th level vertices $V_n = \{0, 1\}$ for $n \geq 0$. If $\theta_{n-1} < 1/2$ (equivalently $c_{n-1} \geq 2$), then $0 \in V_{n-1}$ is connected to $0, 1 \in V_n$ each by a single edge, and $1 \in V_{n-1}$ is connected to $0 \in V_n$ by c_{n-1} edges and to $1 \in V_n$ by $c_{n-1} - 1$ edges. If $\theta_{n-1} > 1/2$ (equivalently $c_{n-1} = 1$), then $0 \in V_{n-1}$ is connected to $0, 1 \in V_n$ each by a single edge, and $1 \in V_{n-1}$ is connected to $0 \in V_n$ by a single edge. The set of edges on each level of \mathbf{B} is denoted E_n , for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying $\mathbf{s}(E_n) = V_{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{r}(E_n) = V_n$.

The natural action $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ is topologically conjugate to the action $\mathcal{X}_\theta \curvearrowright D_\infty$.

Proof. The description of \mathbf{B} follows from the construction above and the definition of Bratteli diagrams associated with a sequence of RK-sets. Let us prove that $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ is topologically conjugate to the action $\mathcal{X}_\theta \curvearrowright D_\infty$. The elements of each E_n will be denoted $I_k^{(n)}$ and $J_l^{(n)}$, using the same notations as elements in $\mathcal{F}_{0,n}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,n}$, respectively, for $c_{n-1} > 2$, $0 \leq k \leq c_{n-1}$ and $0 \leq l \leq c_{n-1} - 1$. If $c_{n-1} = 1$, then $E_n = \{I_0^{(n)}, J_0^{(n)}, I_1^{(n)}\}$. The lower indices k, l appear in order of successive applications of $abab \dots$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathbf{s} : \Omega(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow \mathbf{s}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}))$ be the shift map, i.e., $\mathbf{s}(\dots e_2 e_1) = \dots e_3 e_2$. Let $\{\lambda_i : \mathbf{s}^{i-1}(\Omega(\mathbf{B})) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of maps given by the condition $\lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i) \in e_i$ together with the recurrent rule*

$$\lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta_{i-1}} \lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i), & \text{if } e_i \in \{I_0^{(i)}, J_0^{(i)}\}, \\ \frac{1}{\theta_{i-1}} (1 - \lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i) \cdot g_{e_i}), & \text{if } e_i \in \mathcal{F}_{0,i} \setminus \{I_0^{(i)}\}, \\ \frac{1}{\theta_{i-1}} (1 - \lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i) \cdot h_{e_i}), & \text{if } e_i \in \mathcal{F}_{1,i} \setminus \{J_0^{(i)}\}, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $g_{e_i} \in D_\infty$ is such that $e_i \cdot g_{e_i} = I_1^{(i)}$ with $|g_{e_i}| < c_{i-1}$, and $h_{e_i} \in D_\infty$ is such that $e_i \cdot h_{e_i} = J_1^{(i)}$ with $|h_{e_i}| < c_{i-1} - 1$, if $c_{i-1} \geq 2$. If $c_{i-1} = 1$, then $g_{e_i} = \text{Id}$ and the third row of the recursion must be deleted since in this case $\mathcal{F}_{1,i} = \{J_0^{(i)}\}$. Then each λ_i is a homeomorphism.

Proof. Let us first check that each λ_i is well-defined, i.e., for each $\dots e_2 e_1 \in \Omega(\mathbf{B})$, the value $\lambda_1(\dots e_2 e_1)$ is unique. Note that each e_i also represents a component (an interval) of a tower in $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}$, and thus e_i is also treated as a set. By the definition, $\lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i) \in e_i$, $\lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1}) \in e_{i+1}$, and

$$\lambda_i(\dots e_{i+1} e_i) = \begin{cases} \theta_{i-1} \lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1}), & \text{if } e_i \in \{I_0^{(i)}, J_0^{(i)}\}, \\ (1 - \theta_{i-1} \lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1})) \cdot g_{e_i}^{-1}, & \text{if } e_i \in \mathcal{F}_{0,i} \setminus \{I_0^{(i)}\}, \\ (1 - \theta_{i-1} \lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1})) \cdot h_{e_i}^{-1}, & \text{if } e_i \in \mathcal{F}_{1,i} \setminus \{J_0^{(i)}\}. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

It follows that e_{i+1} is mapped by the rule (*) to be a subset of e_i where (*) is obtained by replacing $\lambda_{i+1}(\dots e_{i+2} e_{i+1})$ with e_{i+1} on the right hand side of (6). Let $C_1 = e_1$, C_2 be obtained from applying (*) on e_2 once, and in general C_i be obtained from applying (*) on e_i for $i - 1$ times. Then we constructed a sequence of clopen sets $C_1 \supset C_2 \supset \dots$ whose diameters converge to 0. Since each C_i is compact, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} C_i$ consists of a single point. It follows that the map λ_1 (as well as λ_i for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$) is well defined. Since the elements in the RK-set \mathcal{A}_{i-1} forms a partition of $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, each point $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}$ is uniquely determined by its address (C_i, C_{i+1}, \dots) . It follows that the maps λ_i are both injective and surjective. The map λ_1 being continuous follows from the fact that $x_1, x_2 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i$ if and only if their preimages under λ_1 , denoted γ_1, γ_2 , share common prefixes up to at least level n of \mathbf{B} . The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.4. *Suppose all $c_i \geq 2$. For each $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}$, denote by $a^{(i)} = 1 - x$ and $b^{(i)} = \theta_{i-1} - x$, for $x \in \mathcal{X}_{\theta_{i-1}}$ and $i \geq 1$. The natural action $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_{\infty}$ is given by the following rules.*

1. *Let $e \in E_i$. If $e \in \{I_0^{(i)}, J_0^{(i)}\}$, then ${}_e|a^{(i)} = b^{(i+1)}$ and ${}_e|b^{(i)} = a^{(i+1)}$. If c_{i-1} is odd, then ${}_{I_{c_{i-1}}^{(i)}}|b^{(i)} = b^{(i+1)}$ and ${}_{J_{c_{i-1}-1}^{(i)}}|a^{(i)} = b^{(i+1)}$. If c_{i-1} is even, then ${}_{I_{c_{i-1}}^{(i)}}|a^{(i)} = b^{(i+1)}$ and ${}_{J_{c_{i-1}-1}^{(i)}}|b^{(i)} = b^{(i+1)}$.*
2. *Suppose c_0 is odd. For $w \in \mathfrak{s}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}))$, a_1 interchanges the elements $wI_{2k}^{(1)}$ with $wI_{2k+1}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, (c_0 - 1)/2$, and interchanges $wJ_{2k}^{(1)}$ with $wJ_{2k+1}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, (c_0 - 3)/2$; b_1 interchanges the elements $wI_{2k+1}^{(1)}$ with $wI_{2k+2}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, (c_0 - 3)/2$, and interchanges $wJ_{2k+1}^{(1)}$ with $wJ_{2k+2}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, (c_0 - 3)/2$. Their sections on the first edges are identity.*
3. *Suppose c_0 is even. For $w \in \mathfrak{s}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}))$, a_1 interchanges the elements $wI_{2k}^{(1)}$ with $wI_{2k+1}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, c_0/2$, and interchanges $wJ_{2k}^{(1)}$ with $wJ_{2k+1}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, c_0/2$; b_1 interchanges the elements $wI_{2k+1}^{(1)}$ with $wI_{2k+2}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, c_0/2$, and interchanges $wJ_{2k+1}^{(1)}$ with $wJ_{2k+2}^{(1)}$, for $k = 0, \dots, (c_0 - 2)/2$. Their sections on the first edges are identity.*

4. For $w \in \mathfrak{s}^{i+2}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}))$,

$$\begin{aligned} wI_0^{(i+1)}I_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} &= wI_0^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} = wI_1^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)}, \\ wI_1^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} &= wI_1^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}I_0^i = wI_0^{(i+1)}I_0^{(i)}, \\ wJ_0^{(i+1)}I_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} &= wJ_0^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}J_0^i = wJ_1^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)}, \\ wJ_1^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} &= wJ_1^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}I_0^{(i)} = wJ_0^{(i+1)}I_0^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

5. For $w \in \mathfrak{s}^{i+2}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}))$, if c_i is odd, then

$$wI_{c_i}^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} = wI_{c_i}^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} = w \cdot b^{(i+2)}I_{c_i}^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)}.$$

If c_i is even, then

$$wJ_{c_i-1}^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} = wJ_{c_i-1}^{(i+1)} \cdot a^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)} = w \cdot b^{(i+2)}J_{c_i-1}^{(i+1)}J_0^{(i)}.$$

Rules 4 and 5 show that each $b^{(i)}$ is nondeterministic at each $J_0^{(i)}$.

Proof. Notice that $a_1 = a$ and $b_1 = b$. The first sentence in Rule 1 follows directly from the fact that the similarity map S interchanges the roles of $a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}$ on $I_0^{(i)} \cup J_0^{(i)}$, i.e., $S \circ a^{(i)} = \theta_i - x = b^{(i+1)}$ and $S \circ b^{(i)} = 1 - x = a^{(i+1)}$. Moreover, if c_0 is odd the interval $I_{c_0}^{(i)}$ is flipped by $b^{(i)}$, and the interval $J_{c_0-1}^{(i)}$ is flipped by $a^{(i)}$, while they are mapped by λ_i onto $[0, \theta_i]$ and $[\theta_i, 1]$, respectively. Hence both $b^{(i)}$ and $a^{(i)}$ are conjugated to be $b^{(i+1)}$ on $[0, \theta_i]$ and $[\theta_i, 1]$, respectively. If c_0 is even, the argument is similar by noting that the interval $I_{c_0}^{(i)}$ is flipped by $a^{(i)}$, and the interval $J_{c_0-1}^{(i)}$ is flipped by $b^{(i)}$. Rules 2 and 3 follow directly from the description of the RK-sets shown in Figure 1.

For Rules 4 and 5, notice that we assume that all $c_i \geq 2$, which is equivalent to all $\theta_i < 1/2$. Hence $I_0^{(i)} \cdot b^{(i)} = [0, 1 - c_{i-1}\theta_{i-1}] \cdot b^{(i)} = [(c_{i-1} + 1)\theta_{i-1} - 1, \theta_{i-1}] \subset J_0^{(i)}$. It follows that $b^{(i)}$ interchanges the intervals $I_0^{(i)}$ with $[(c_{i-1} + 1)\theta_{i-1} - 1, \theta_{i-1}]$ and flips the interval $[1 - c_{i-1}\theta_{i-1}, (c_{i-1} + 1)\theta_{i-1} - 1] \subset J_0^{(i)}$. It follows that on the corresponding part of the Bratteli diagram, $b^{(i)}$ has 2 possibilities on the edge $J_0^{(i)}$: (1) mapping it to $I_0^{(i)}$; (2) fixing it. The sections of $b^{(i)}$ on the corresponding edges follow from Rule 1. \square

By the above lemmas, the natural action $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ is conjugated to $\mathcal{X}_{\theta_0} \curvearrowright D_\infty$ by the map λ_1 . The case when some $c_i = 1$ was shown in [Nek22, Subsection 5.3.4]. We omit it here. The proof is complete. \square

5.2 Actions $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ in terms of tile inflations. Let (c_0, c_1, \dots) be a sequence of positive integers as in Theorem 5.2. As before, we assume that each $c_i \geq 2$. In this subsection, we convert the action described in Lemma 5.4 to tile inflations. Let \mathcal{A} be the automaton whose initial states generate D_∞ as an inverse semigroup. In other

words, the initial states of \mathcal{A} are a, b restricted at each edge in E_1 . We will not split the generators a, b (as well as each $a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}$), as it is clear that when restricting them at a single edge, the resulting partial transformation is a state in \mathcal{A} .

Since each $V_n = \{0, 1\}$, there are 2 tiles on each level of \mathbf{B} , denoted $\mathcal{T}_{0,n}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1,n}$. The tile $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ is linear whose vertices and edges are shown in Figure 1a in the order of successive applications of $abab\dots$ starting from $I_0^{(1)} = [0, 1 - r\theta]$. The tile $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ is also linear whose vertices and edges are shown in Figure 1b in the order of successive applications of $abab\dots$ starting from $J_0^{(1)} = [1 - r\theta, \theta]$. The generator a has 1 fixed point and b has 2 fixed points. To describe the fixed points (infinite boundary points), finite boundary points and connecting points, we need to distinguish 4 cases according to the parities of adjacent c_i, c_{i+1} . For convenience, we only describe in detail Case 1 below for c_0, c_1 . The other 3 cases (together with Case 1) are summarized in Table 1. The general descriptions for c_i, c_{i+1} will follow from the same patterns. Especially, the continuations of boundary points and locations of connecting points are obtained by successively reading off the tables.

Case 1: c_0 is odd and c_1 is even. On E_1 , a fixes $J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ and b fixes $I_{c_0}^{(1)}$, each has nontrivial sections. Moreover, a has nontrivial section at $I_0^{(1)}$ and b has nontrivial section at $J_0^{(1)}$ (recall that b is nondeterministic at $J_0^{(1)}$). Therefore, the boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ are $I_0^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(1)}$, and the boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ are $J_0^{(1)}, J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$. The tile $\mathcal{T}_{0,2}$ is obtained as follows. Take 1 copy $I_0^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ and c_1 copies of $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ appending respectively $I_k^{(1)}$, for $k = 1, \dots, c_1$, denoted $I_k^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$. Connect $I_0^{(2)}I_0^{(1)}$ on $I_0^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ to $I_1^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $I_1^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by b . Connect $I_{2k+1}^{(2)}J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ on $I_{2k+1}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ to $I_{2k+2}^{(2)}J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ on $I_{2k+2}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by a , for $k = 0, \dots, c_1/2$. Connect $I_{2k}^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $I_{2k}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ to $I_{2k+1}^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $I_{2k+1}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by b , for $k = 1, \dots, c_1/2$. The boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{0,2}$ are $I_0^{(2)}I_{c_0}^{(1)}$ and $I_{c_1}^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$. Similarly, the tile $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$ is obtained as follows. Take 1 copy $J_0^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ and $c_1 - 1$ copies of $\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$, appending respectively $J_k^{(2)}$, for $k = 1, \dots, c_1 - 1$, denoted $J_k^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$. Connect $J_0^{(2)}I_0^{(1)}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{0,1}$ to $J_1^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $J_1^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by b . Connect $J_{2k+1}^{(2)}I_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ on $J_{2k+1}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ to $J_{2k+2}^{(2)}I_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ on $J_{2k+2}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by a . Connect $J_{2k}^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $J_{2k}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ to $J_{2k+1}^{(2)}J_0^{(1)}$ on $J_{2k+1}^{(2)}\mathcal{T}_{1,1}$ by a two-sided arrow labeled by b , for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$. The boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{1,2}$ are $J_0^{(2)}I_{c_1}^{(1)}$ and $J_{c_1-1}^{(2)}J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$. See Table 1a.

Case 2: c_0 is even and c_1 is odd. See Table 1b.

Case 3: both c_0 and c_1 are odd. See Table 1c.

Case 4: both c_0 and c_1 are even. See Table 1d.

Notice that if c_0 is even, then there are no a -labels on the connecting points of the second-level tiles. If we observe the patterns for c_{i-1}, c_i , then the labels of connecting edges should be $a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}$. However, each $a^{(i)}, b^{(i)}$ are obtained from taking sections of a, b . Hence the edges of all finite tiles are labeled by a, b . It is easy to check that on each finite tile, the labels a, b are alternating. The description of the tile inflation process is complete.

(a) Case 1: c_0 is odd and c_1 is even

	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,2}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,2}$
Boundary points	$I_0^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(1)}, J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$	$I_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, J_{c_1-1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$
Connecting points interchanging $0, 1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$
Connecting points fixing $1 \in V_1$ with a -labels	-	-	$I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{a} I_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, c_1/2$	$J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{a} J_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$
Connecting points fixing $1 \in V_1$ with b -labels	-	-	$I_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, c_1/2$	$J_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$

(b) Case 2: c_0 is even and c_1 is odd

	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,2}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,2}$
Boundary points	$I_0^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(1)}, J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$	$I_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, J_{c_1-1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$
Connecting points interchanging $0, 1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$
Connecting points starting with $J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ fixing $1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 1)/2$	$J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 3)/2$
Connecting points starting with $J_0^{(1)}$ fixing $1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 1)/2$	$J_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 3)/2$

(c) Case 3: both c_0 and c_1 are odd

	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,2}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,2}$
Boundary points	$I_0^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(1)}, J_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$I_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, J_{c_1-1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$
Connecting points interchanging $0, 1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$
Connecting points fixing $1 \in V_1$ with a -labels	-	-	$I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{a} I_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, c_1/2$	$J_{2k+1}^{(2)} I_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{a} J_{2k+2}^{(2)} I_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$
Connecting points fixing $1 \in V_1$ with b -labels	-	-	$I_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, c_1/2$	$J_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 2)/2$

(d) Case 4: both c_0 and c_1 are even

	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,1}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{0,2}$	$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{1,2}$
Boundary points	$I_0^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(1)}, J_{c_0}^{(1)}$	$I_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, I_{c_0}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_{c_0}^{(1)}, J_{c_1-1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$
Connecting points interchanging $0, 1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_0^{(2)} I_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$	$J_0^{(2)} I_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_1^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$
Connecting points starting with $J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ fixing $1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 1)/2$	$J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+2}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$ for $k = 0, \dots, (c_1 - 3)/2$
Connecting points starting with $J_0^{(1)}$ fixing $1 \in V_1$	-	-	$I_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} I_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 1)/2$	$J_{2k}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)} \xrightarrow{b} J_{2k+1}^{(2)} J_0^{(1)}$ for $k = 1, \dots, (c_1 - 3)/2$

Table 1: All cases of parities of c_0, c_1

By Proposition 3.7 we have the following.

Proposition 5.5. *The action $\Omega(\mathbf{B}) \curvearrowright D_\infty$ is linearly repetitive if and only if the sequence (c_0, c_1, \dots) in Theorem 5.2 is bounded.*

Corollary 5.6. *The dihedral group D_∞ defined by its action on $\Omega(\mathbf{B})$ is of bounded type if and only if the sequence (c_0, c_1, \dots) is bounded.*

Proof. By the construction, there are 2 boundary points on each finite tile. This also follows from the fact that each tile is a line segment, and thus there can be at most 2 boundary points on each of them. There are 3 infinite boundary points: one is fixed by a and two are fixed by b .

By the last proposition, finite tiles are linearly repetitive. The statement is proved. \square

The continued fraction determined by a bounded sequence (c_0, c_1, \dots) is called a *continued fraction of bounded type*. See, for instance, the discussion below Corollary 11.9 in [Mil06].

5.3 Subexponential growth estimate. Let (c_0, c_1, \dots) be a **bounded** sequence of positive integers with each $c_i > 1$. In this subsection, we describe the Grigorchuk-type fragmentation G of D_∞ determined by this sequence (with more conditions imposed) and give a subexponential growth estimate of G .

By the results from the last subsection, the generator a has 1 fixed point, denoted μ , and the generator b has 2 fixed points, denoted σ, λ . Denote by $\mu_n, \sigma_n, \lambda_n$, respectively, the n -th truncations of μ, σ, λ . Let $n \geq 2$. Then on $\mathcal{T}_{0,n+1}$, the connector between (an isomorphic copy of) $\mathcal{T}_{0,n}$ and (an isomorphic copy of) $\mathcal{T}_{1,n}$ is of the form

$$I_0^{(n+1)} I_0^{(n)} \eta_{n-1} \xrightarrow{x, x^{-1}} I_1^{(n+1)} J_0^{(n)} \eta_{n-1}, \quad (7)$$

for $\eta_{n-1} \in \{\mu_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-1}, \lambda_{n-1}\}$ and $x \in \{a, b\}$. The edge is a two-sided arrow with labels x from left to right and x^{-1} backward. Similarly, in $\mathcal{T}_{1,n+1}$, the connector between (an isomorphic copy of) $\mathcal{T}_{0,n}$ and (an isomorphic copy of) $\mathcal{T}_{1,n}$ are of the form

$$J_0^{(n+1)} I_0^{(n)} \eta_{n-1} \xrightarrow{x, x^{-1}} J_1^{(n+1)} J_0^{(n)} \eta_{n-1}, \quad (8)$$

for the same η_{n-1} and x as in (7). In other words, the connectors between $\mathcal{T}_{0,n}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{1,n}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{i,n+1}$ are labeled by the same generator, for $i = 0, 1$.

Proposition 5.7. *Suppose c_0 is odd. Let $(c_{i_0}, c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \dots)$, where $i_0 = 0$, be the subsequence of (c_0, c_1, \dots) in which all the terms are odd. Suppose on level n of \mathbf{B} the connectors (7),(8) are labeled by a, a^{-1} , and the next appearance of connectors (7),(8) labeled by a, a^{-1} is on level $n + l_{a,n,\mu}$. Then $l_{a,n,\mu}$ is uniformly bounded if and only if $|i_k - i_{k-1}|$ is uniformly bounded, for $k \geq 0$.*

Proof. On level 1, these 2 connectors are always labeled by a . From level 1 to i_1 , the generator a is directed along and fixes the path $\mu_{i_1} = J_{c_{i_1-1}-1}^{(i_1)} \cdots J_{c_1-1}^{(2)} J_{c_0-1}^{(1)}$. The section of a on γ is $b^{(i_1+1)}$ which has nontrivial section on $I_{c_{i_1}}^{(i_1+1)}$. It follows that on level c_{i_1+2} the connectors (7),(8) are labeled by a, a^{-1} . The argument for the levels from i_1 to i_2 follows similarly. The proof is complete. \square

By the proposition above, we add the following assumption to the sequence (c_0, c_1, \dots) .

Assumption: The consecutive appearances of odd terms of (c_0, c_1, \dots) have uniformly bounded gaps.

It is also observed directly from the construction that the consecutive appearances of the connectors (7),(8) labeled by b, b^{-1} with $\eta_{n-1} = \sigma_{n-1}$ have uniformly bounded gaps. Denote this gap by $l_{b,n,\sigma}$. The same holds for labels b, b^{-1} with $\eta_{n-1} = \lambda_{n-1}$. Denote this gap by $l_{b,n,\lambda}$.

The support of a denoted $\text{Supp}(a) = \Omega(\mathbf{B}) \setminus \{\mu\}$, and $\text{Supp}(b) = \Omega(\mathbf{B}) \setminus \{\sigma, \lambda\}$. Let us partition subsets of $\text{Supp}(a)$ and $\text{Supp}(b)$ such that they accumulate respectively on μ, σ, λ . For convenience, let $\mathbf{B}_{a,\mu}$ be the Bratteli diagram obtained from a telescoping of bounded steps of \mathbf{B} such that the consecutive connectors of the forms (7),(8) are labeled by a, a^{-1} . Similarly define $\mathbf{B}_{b,\sigma}, \mathbf{B}_{b,\lambda}$ to be, respectively, telescopings of bounded steps of \mathbf{B} such that the consecutive appearances of connectors (7),(8) have labels b, b^{-1} and η_{n-1} are respectively σ_{n-1} and λ_{n-1} . The telescoped μ, σ, λ , their truncations, and the connecting points in the connectors (7),(8) are denoted the same as above. Let s be the shift map as before. Define

$$\begin{aligned} W_{x,\eta,n} = & (s^{n+1}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}_{x,\eta})))I_0^{(n+1)}I_0^{(n)}\eta_{n-1} \cup (s^{n+1}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}_{x,\eta})))I_0^{(n+1)}J_0^{(n)}\eta_{n-1} \\ & \cup (s^{n+1}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}_{x,\eta})))J_0^{(n+1)}I_0^{(n)}\eta_{n-1} \cup (s^{n+1}(\Omega(\mathbf{B}_{x,\eta})))J_1^{(n+1)}J_0^{(n)}\eta_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x \in \{a, b\}$ and $\eta \in \{\mu, \sigma, \lambda\}$. Then $W_{a,\mu}$ is a -invariant and $W_{b,\sigma}, W_{b,\lambda}$ are b -invariant. Let $P_{\mu,i} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{\mu,3k+i}$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Each $P_{\mu,i}$ accumulates on μ . Then the collection $\mathcal{P}_{\mu} = \{P_{\mu,0}, P_{\mu,1}, P_{\mu,2}\}$ forms an open partition of the subset containing only the connectors (7),(8) of $\text{Supp}(a)$. Denote this subset by A_{μ} . Let b_0, c_0, d_0 be the homeomorphisms acting trivially on $P_{\mu,2}, P_{\mu,1}, P_{\mu,0}$, respectively, and as a on their complements in A_{μ} . Similarly, we can define, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, $P_{\sigma,i} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_{\sigma,3k+i}$ and $P_{\lambda,i} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} W_{\lambda,3k+i}$, and the subsets of $\text{Supp}(b)$, denoted B_{σ}, B_{λ} , such that the collection \mathcal{P}_{σ} is an open partition of B_{σ} accumulating on σ and \mathcal{P}_{λ} is an open partition of B_{λ} accumulating on λ . Let b_1, c_1, d_1 act as identity respectively on $P_{\sigma,2}, P_{\sigma,1}, P_{\sigma,0}$ and as a on their complements in B_{σ} ; let b_2, c_2, d_2 act as identity respectively on $P_{\lambda,2}, P_{\lambda,1}, P_{\lambda,0}$ and as a on their complements in B_{λ} . Let a', b' act as a, b on the complement of $A_{\mu} \cup B_{\sigma} \cup B_{\lambda}$. The fragmented group G is then generated by a', b', b_i, c_i, d_i , for $i = 0, 1, 2$. It follows that the points μ, σ, λ are purely non-Hausdorff singularities of G . Relabel them respectively by ξ_0, ξ_1, ξ_2 . Their respective orbital graphs Γ_{ξ_i} are, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, the inductive limits of embeddings of $\mathcal{T}_{1,3k+i}$ to the end of $\mathcal{T}_{1,3(k+1)+i}$ that contains $\xi_{i,3(k+1)+i}$ (the $(3(k+1)+i)$ -th truncation of ξ_i), and eventually adding loop at the boundary point of \mathcal{T}_{ξ_i} . The graph

of germs $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\xi_i}$ is obtained by connecting four copies of Γ_{ξ_i} by the Cayley graph of the four-group $H_i = \{Id, b_i, c_i, d_i\}$ at ξ_i .

Let $g \in G$. A *rank n traverse of type h* is a walk of g starting and ending at a boundary points of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n}$, not touching the boundary points in between, and this walk can be lifted to a central part of a $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\xi_i}$ starting in the branch (Id, Γ_{ξ_i}) and ending in the branch (h, Γ_{ξ_i}) . Denote by $\Theta_{n,h}$ the set of rank n traverse of type h and let $\Theta_n = \bigcup_{h \in H_i} \Theta_{n,h}$.

Let $T_{n,h} = \#\Theta_{n,h}$, and $T_n = \sum_{h \in H_i \setminus \{Id\}} T_{n,h}$. Let $F_h(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n,h} t^n$ and $F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_n t^n$.

Since the gaps $l_{a,n,\mu}, l_{b,n,\sigma}, l_{b,n,\lambda}$ are uniformly bounded, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that they are bounded above by N . It follows that the tiles on level at least $n + (3N + 3)$ contains all types of connectors of tiles on levels n . Let $L = 3N + 3$. Then a traverse of $\mathcal{T}_{j,n+L}$ must induce all types of traverses of all $\mathcal{T}_{j,n}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+L} &\leq \sum_{h \neq Id} T_{n,h}, \\ \implies t^{-L}(F(t) - (T_0 + T_1 t + T_2 t^2 + \dots + T_{L-1} t^{L-1})) &\leq \sum_{h \neq Id} F_h(t) \\ \implies F(t) &\leq |w| \frac{g(t)}{Ct^{-L} - D} \end{aligned}$$

where $g(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $0 < D < C$. Hence if $t \in (0, \eta^{-1})$, where $\eta = \sqrt[L]{\frac{D}{C}}$ is the real positive root of the polynomial equation $Cx^L - D = 0$, the right-hand side of the above inequality is positive.

Now let us estimate the cardinalities $|\mathcal{T}_{j,n}|$. Since the sequence (c_0, c_1, \dots) is bounded, there exists $M > 1$ such that each $c_i \leq M$. Then we have

$$|\mathcal{T}_{0,n+1}| \leq |\mathcal{T}_{0,n}| + M|\mathcal{T}_{1,n}|$$

and

$$|\mathcal{T}_{1,n+1}| \leq |\mathcal{T}_{0,n}| + (M - 1)|\mathcal{T}_{1,n}| < |\mathcal{T}_{0,n}| + M|\mathcal{T}_{1,n}|.$$

Hence $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sum_{j=0}^1 |\mathcal{T}_{j,n}|)^{1/n}$ exists and is denoted β . Note that $\beta > 1$. It follows that the growth function of G , denoted $\gamma_G(R)$ is dominated by $\exp(R^\alpha)$ for every $\alpha > \frac{\log \beta}{\log \beta - \log \eta}$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Volodymyr Nekrashevych for his patient guidance through the completion of this work.

References

[BNZ22] Laurent Bartholdi, Volodymyr Nekrashevych, and Tianyi Zheng. Growth of groups with linear Schreier graphs, 2022. arXiv:2205.01792.

- [Bon07] Ievgen Bondarenko. Groups generated by bounded automata and their Schreier graphs. 2007. Ph.D. Dissertation. Texas A&M University.
- [Gro81] Michael Gromov. Groups of polynomial growth and expanding maps (with an appendix by Jacques Tits). *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 53:53–78, 1981.
- [Kua24] Zheng Kuang. Growth of groups with incompressible elements, I, 2024. arXiv:2402.16238.
- [Mil06] John Milnor. *Dynamics in One Complex Variable. Third Edition*. Princeton University Press, 2006.
- [Nek18] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. Palindromic subshifts and simple periodic groups of intermediate growth. *Annals of Mathematics*, 187(3):667—719, 2018.
- [Nek22] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. *Groups and Topological Dynamics*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2022.