

# State-of-the-art of Strangeness in Quark Matter Theory 2024

Jacquelyn Noronha-Hostler<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Illinois Center for Advanced Studies of the Universe, Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 1110 W. Green St., Urbana IL 61801-3080, USA

**Abstract.** I discuss the theoretical developments in related to Strangeness in Quark Matter (SQM) leading up to the SQM2024 conference. These advances include mapping out the Quantum Chromodynamics phase diagram; puzzles that exist in hadron physics from light to heavy particles; and advanced in relativistic hydrodynamics with the inclusion of spin and magnetic fields.

## 1 Introduction

I will summarize recent advances in high-energy nuclear theory, pressing questions within the field, and make connections to astrophysics, gravity, nuclear structure, and cold atoms.

## 2 QCD Phase Diagram

The QCD phase diagram cannot be systematically studies in a single experiment or astronomy observation, rather it requires input from heavy-ion collisions HIC (high temperatures  $T$ , low to intermediate  $n_B$ , range of charge fractions  $Y_Q = Z/A = n_Q/n_B = [0.38, 0.5]$ ), cold neutron stars NS ( $T = 0$ , large  $n_B$ ,  $Y_Q \lesssim 0.1$ ), binary NS mergers ( $T > 0$ , large  $n_B$ ,  $Y_Q \sim [0.01, 0.2]$ ), and low energy nuclear physics ( $T \sim 0$ , nuclear saturation density  $n_B = n_{sat}$ ,  $Y_Q \sim 0.5$ ). These leave gaps in the QCD phase diagram that may be difficult to interpret. Theoretical input is important e.g. causality and stability constraints  $0 \leq c_s^2 \leq 1$ , first principle lattice or perturbative QCD (pQCD) calculations, or well-defined effective field theories (EFT) such as chiral EFT. Constraints are summarized in [1]. *Key questions:* How to interpret new Beam Energy Scan II data? How does QCD matter move at  $n_B \neq 0$ ? How does HIC/QCD constrain the NS equation of state (EOS)? Can out-of-equilibrium effects shed light on the core of NS?

**HIC/critical point** The (potential) QCD critical point [2] separates the boundary between quarks/gluons and hadrons. While current first principle calculations cannot determine its existence/location [3], recent calculations in other theoretical frameworks [4–9] indicate a critical point at  $\mu_B/T \sim 4 - 8$ . Preliminary measurements exist for net-proton fluctuations  $\kappa_4/\kappa_1$  across  $\sqrt{s}$  [10] appearing flat. Factorial cumulants show qualitative features predicted from critical point models [11]. Viscous effects may smear out or alter critical fluctuations [12] and the critical region can either cause critical lensing or minimize the effect [12–15]. Theorists are developing frameworks (initial state, fluid dynamics, hadron interactions) that dynamically study  $n_B \neq 0$  (and strangeness,  $n_S \neq 0$ , and electric charge,  $n_Q \neq 0$ ). The Taylor

---

\*e-mail: jnorhos@illinois.edu

series EOS from lattice is only valid up to  $\mu_B/T \sim [2 - 3]$  [3], which does not cover the entire EOS needed for Beam Energy Scan II. An alternative expansion was developed that improves the reach in  $\mu_B/T$  [16] and is coupled to 3D Ising [17]. In hydro simulations, every fluid cell must have a valid EOS. In [18] a solution to a limited EOS was developed in 4-dimensions ( $T, \mu_B, \mu_S, \mu_Q$ ) to smoothly map to a conformal EOS for out-of-bound fluid cells. The MUSES collaboration provides open-source EOS across the phase diagram [19].

Initial conditions either include baryon stopping through strings [20], a 3-fluid model [21], color glass condensate [22, 23], or a hadron transport [24]. It is also possible to study BSQ (baryon, strangeness, electric charge) fluctuations where  $\text{net-}n_B = 0$  through gluon splittings into  $q\bar{q}$  pairs [25]. These initial states may be far-from-equilibrium and require a pre-equilibrium state incorporating BSQ charges [26, 27]. They are fed into relativistic viscous BSQ hydrodynamic codes, existing open-source codes are CCAKE [18], MUSIC [28], and vHLL [29], each have different equations of motion, numerical algorithms, and EOS solvers. Stability constraints [30] provide further constraints on BSQ hydro. Phase transitions [31] or critical fluctuations [32, 33] incorporation in relativistic hydrodynamics is underway but not yet implemented in dynamical frameworks. Hadronization also requires criticality [34]. For low  $\sqrt{s}$  the influence of the QGP may short lived such that it is negligible [35]. An alternative is to incorporate potentials within a hadron transport to simulation phase transitions [36].

NS Scientists can now extract posteriors of the NS EOS ( $T = 0$ , large  $n_B$ , at  $\beta$ -equilibrium). Different approaches are used: functional forms, physics based EOS (often multiple stitched together in different ranges of  $n_B$ ), or a mixture. There is strong evidence that the speed of sound squared surpasses the conformal limit i.e.  $c_s^2 > 1/3$  to support heavy NS [37] and may near the causal limit if ultra heavy NS exist [38, 39]. A bump in  $c_s^2$  could indicate a cross-over into quarks [40] or a phase transition into hyperons [41]. Functional forms should capture features in  $c_s^2$  that indicate phase transitions/new degrees of freedom, which is not possible with polytropes/spectral EOS [38, 42]. Non-parametric approaches can reproduce these features like Gaussian processes [43], these features can also be built in [44]. Strong phase transitions could be measured via mass twins [45] or the binary love relation [46]. We cannot calculate the EOS directly at large  $n_B$ . However, lattice QCD calculations are possible at  $T = 0$  with isospin asymmetry, leading to  $c_s^2 > 1/3$  [47]. pQCD calculations are relevant at  $n_B \gtrsim 40 n_{sat}$ . Using causality and stability, one can obtain bounds that reach to smaller  $n_B$  to further constrain the EOS [48]. Bulk viscosity within NS mergers arises from weak interactions, affecting different stages of the merger [49], and is influenced by the degrees of freedom [50] and  $Y_Q$  [51]. In [52] the first constraints on bulk viscous in the inspiral of NS mergers was extracted, making tests possible with further data.

Groups use low-energy HIC flow data to extract the low  $T \geq 0$  EOS for  $Y_Q \sim 0.5$ . It is important to consider structure in  $c_s^2$ , as was done first in [53], indicating a large bump in  $c_s^2$  around  $[2, 3]n_{sat}$ . It is possible to convert a given NS EOS into HIC using the symmetry energy expansion (and applying saturation and causality/stability constraints) [54], which indicated ultraheavy NS are consistent with HIC. Next is to expand cold EOS into finite  $T$ , which is possible using a  $T/\mu_B$  expansion [55] or an effective mass (npe matter) [56].

### 3 Bulk Dynamics

The QGP is well described by a relativistic viscous fluid with small viscosity [57], leading to significant developments of relativistic *viscous* fluids. At  $n_B = 0$  and large systems, the properties can be exacted from a Bayesian analysis [58–60]. However, the QGP medium may begin extremely far-from-equilibrium such that even causality and stability break down [61], which affects the extraction of bulk viscosity [62]. Collisions of light nuclei pushes the boundaries of fluid dynamics even further [63], spin hydrodynamics is relevant for  $\Lambda$

polarization results [64, 65], and magnetohydrodynamics is needed to explore large magnetic fields [66]. *Key Questions:* Does HIC knowledge of rel. viscous fluids affect other fields? Could hydrodynamics be relevant for the EIC? What role does spin and magnetic fields play?

**Advances in fluid dynamics** Predictions between  $\sqrt{s}$  [67, 68] were confirmed [69], so one could extract nuclear structure information from collisions of deformed ions [70]. One can extract the neutron skin from  $^{208}\text{Pb}$  [71] from HIC, which was more consistent with ab initio [72] than the PREXII data [73]. Collaborations with nuclear structure physicists have led to suggestions of new run to shed light on  $\alpha$  clustering [74] or interesting deformations [75, 76]. Fundamental questions still remain for small systems and peripheral collisions. Correlations between  $v_2$  and  $\langle p_T \rangle$  are hard to reproduce [77]. In extremely small systems i.e. a vector meson-ion collisions (ultra peripheral collisions) there are hints of collective behavior [78], which has interesting implications for the Electron Ion Collider. These advanced have led to cold atoms connections [79].

**Spin and Magnetic Fields** HIC have extremely large, short lived magnetic fields [80]. Groups are working on developing relativistic magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) codes for HIC. Since ideal MHD is standard in astrophysics, at least two astro codes have been converted to HIC [81, 82]. HIC physicists and astrophysicists incorporated Israel-Stewart equations of motion into astro MHD codes [83]. Non-linear stability and causality techniques from HIC [84], have been extended to MHD coupled to general relativity for accretion disks around black holes [85]. Similar constraints are also available for spin/chiral rel. hydrodynamics [86, 87]. A polarization can further constrain hydrodynamic parameters [88].

## 4 Hadron Physics

HIC cannot directly probe quarks and gluons, rather detectors measure charged hadrons. Understanding their properties provides insight into freeze-out, hadronization, and Brownian motion. *Key Questions:* How is strangeness produced? What causes isospin breaking? Can we understand heavy flavor across system size? Could charm quarks be thermalized?

**Puzzles from hadrons** The hadron resonance gas (HRG) model helped understand hadronization. HRG is used to extract freeze-out using thermal fits [89] and fluctuations [90, 91] (see also in lattice QCD [92]). A tension remains between light and strange hadrons (see [93]). Possibly increasing the number of resonances would solve the puzzle [94], but the tension remained even with new states [91, 95]. Either there are separate freeze-out temperatures [96] or an S-matrix approach is required [97]. Extensions to the HRG include magnetic fields [98] or surface tension [99]. Experiments found isospin symmetry breaking of kaons across  $\sqrt{s}$  [100]. One explanation is a disorientated isospin condensate [101].

**Puzzles from heavy flavor** Heavy flavor provides an interesting probe of the QGP that is normally modeled through a Langevin equation at low  $p_T$ , or an energy loss model at high  $p_T$ . Most dynamics are described using more weakly coupled approaches [102], but others have used charm as a conserved charge within the fluid [103, 104]. Coalescence is important at low  $p_T$  to correctly capture the hadronization process (see [105] for hadronic rescattering). While it was thought heavy flavor models lead to disparate results for the nuclear modification factors  $R_{AA}$ , much could be attributed to different medium effects since the same medium led to very similar results [106]. After the first event-by-event studies with heavy flavor [107] and jets [108], soft-heavy [109, 110] and soft-hard [111, 112] correlations advanced. These correlations can constrain the  $T$  dependence of diffusion [113]. ALICE experimental data showed that the heavy flavor elliptical flow,  $v_2$ , scales with the soft  $v_2$  [110]. Charmonium flow may be more complicated due to its internal structure [114]. Jets' sensitivity to event-by-event fluctuations can be seen by experimental measurements of jet  $v_3 \neq 0$  [115]. Groups are trying to unify the soft-heavy [116] and soft-hard [117] frameworks.

Small systems have perplexing behavior for heavy flavor.  $R_{AA} \sim 1$ , while pPb D meson  $v_2$  is large [118] but charmonium [119] and bottomonium  $v_2 \sim 0$  [120] and in pp D mesons  $v_2 > 0$  and B mesons  $v_2 < 0$  [121]. A heavy flavor system size scan was proposed [122] with multicharm hadrons predictions in [123]. Corrections to the short path length may be required [124]. Heavy flavor requires longer formation times [125] due to their masses. The interplay between the early far-from-equilibrium stage and heavy flavor is intriguing, such as how  $c\bar{c}$  and  $b\bar{b}$  pairs disassociate in the glasma [126].

**Acknowledgments** J.N.H thanks SQM24 for providing child care and acknowledges support from DOE Grant No. DE-SC0023861, Saturated Glue (SURGE) Topical Theory Collaboration, and NSF Grant No. OAC-2103680 within the framework of MUSES collaboration.

## References

- [1] R. Kumar et al. (MUSES), Living Rev. Rel. **27**, 3 (2024), 2303.17021.
- [2] M.A. Stephanov, K. Rajagopal, E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4816 (1998).
- [3] D. Bollweg, et al. (HotQCD), Phys. Rev. D **105**, 074511 (2022).
- [4] W.j. Fu, et al., Phys. Rev. D **101**, 054032 (2020).
- [5] P.J. Gunkel, C.S. Fischer, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 054022 (2021), 2106.08356.
- [6] G. Basar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 171603 (2021), 2105.08080.
- [7] P. Dimopoulos, et al., Phys. Rev. D **105**, 034513 (2022).
- [8] M. Hippert, et al (2023), 2309.00579.
- [9] A. Sorensen, P. Sorensen (2024), 2405.10278.
- [10] Y. ZHANG, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [11] A. Bzdak, et al, Phys. Rept. **853**, 1 (2020).
- [12] T. Dore, et al, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 094024 (2022).
- [13] C. Nonaka, M. Asakawa, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 044904 (2005), nucl-th/0410078.
- [14] M.S. Pradeep, N. Sogabe, M. Stephanov, H.U. Yee, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 064905 (2024).
- [15] J.M. Kartheim, V. Koch, C. Ratti (2024), 2409.13961.
- [16] S. Borsányi, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 232001 (2021).
- [17] M. Kahangirwe, et al, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 094046 (2024).
- [18] C. Plumberg et al. (2024), 2405.09648.
- [19] MUSES calculation engine (2024), <https://musesframework.io/>
- [20] C. Shen, B. Schenke, Phys. Rev. C **97**, 024907 (2018), 1710.00881.
- [21] J. Cimerman, et al, Phys. Rev. C **107**, 044902 (2023).
- [22] O. Garcia-Montero, et al, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 044916 (2024).
- [23] O. Garcia-Montero, S. Schlichting (2024), 2409.06788.
- [24] A. Schäfer, et al (SMASH), Eur. Phys. J. A **58**, 230 (2022).
- [25] P. Carzon, et al, Phys. Rev. C **105**, 034908 (2022).
- [26] P. Carzon, et al, Phys. Rev. C **108**, 064905 (2023).
- [27] T. Dore, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [28] A. Monnai, G. Pihan, B. Schenke, C. Shen (2024), 2406.11610.
- [29] I. Karpenko, et al, Comput. Phys. Commun. **185**, 3016 (2014).
- [30] D. Almaalol, T. Dore, J. Noronha-Hostler (2022), 2209.11210.
- [31] J. Kapusta, M. Singh, T. Welle (2024), 2409.16525
- [32] J.M. Kartheim, et al (2024), 2409.16249
- [33] X. An, G. Basar, M. Stephanov, H.U. Yee, Phys. Rev. C **108**, 034910 (2023).
- [34] M.S. Pradeep, M. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 162301 (2023), 2211.09142.

- [35] G. Inghirami, H. Elfner, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 796 (2022), 2201.05934.
- [36] A. Sorensen, V. Koch, *Phys. Rev. C* **104**, 034904 (2021), 2011.06635.
- [37] P. Bedaque, A.W. Steiner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 031103 (2015), 1408.5116.
- [38] H. Tan, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 261104 (2020), 2006.16296.
- [39] T. Kojo, *AAPPS Bull.* **31**, 11 (2021), 2011.10940.
- [40] L. McLerran, S. Reddy, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 122701 (2019), 1811.12503.
- [41] N. Cruz-Camacho, et al (2024).
- [42] H. Tan, et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 023018 (2022).
- [43] R. Essick, et al, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 043013 (2023).
- [44] D. Mroczek, M.C. Miller, J. Noronha-Hostler, N. Yunes (2023), 2309.02345.
- [45] M.G. Alford, S. Han, M. Prakash, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 083013 (2013), 1302.4732.
- [46] H. Tan, et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 161101 (2022).
- [47] B.B. Brandt, F. Cuteri, G. Endrodi, *JHEP* **07**, 055 (2023), 2212.14016.
- [48] O. Komoltsev, A. Kurkela, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 202701 (2022), 2111.05350.
- [49] E.R. Most, et al, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **509**, 1096 (2021).
- [50] M.G. Alford, A. Haber, *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 045810 (2021), 2009.05181.
- [51] Y. Yang, M. Hippert, E. Speranza, J. Noronha, *Phys. Rev. C* **109**, 015805 (2024).
- [52] J.L. Ripley, A. Hegade K. R., R.S. Chandramouli, N. Yunes (2023), 2312.11659.
- [53] D. Oliinychenko, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **108**, 034908 (2023).
- [54] N. Yao, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **109**, 065803 (2024).
- [55] D. Mroczek, et al (2024), 2404.01658.
- [56] C.A. Raithel, F. Ozel, D. Psaltis, *Astrophys. J.* **875**, 12 (2019), 1902.10735.
- [57] U. Heinz, R. Snellings, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **63**, 123 (2013), 1301.2826.
- [58] J.E. Bernhard, J.S. Moreland, S.A. Bass, *Nature Phys.* **15**, 1113 (2019).
- [59] D. Everett et al. (JETSCAPE), *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 054904 (2021), 2011.01430.
- [60] G. Nijs, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **103**, 054909 (2021).
- [61] C. Plumberg, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **105**, L061901 (2022).
- [62] T.S. Domingues, et al (2024).
- [63] C. Aidala et al. (PHENIX), *Nature Phys.* **15**, 214 (2019), 1805.02973.
- [64] L. Adamczyk et al. (STAR), *Nature* **548**, 62 (2017), 1701.06657.
- [65] W. Florkowski, B. Friman, A. Jaiswal, E. Speranza, *Phys. Rev. C* **97**, 041901 (2018).
- [66] U. Gursoy, D. Kharzeev, K. Rajagopal, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 054905 (2014), 1401.3805.
- [67] H. Niemi, K.J. Eskola, R. Paatelainen, K. Tuominen, *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, 014912 (2016).
- [68] J. Noronha-Hostler, M. Luzum, J.Y. Ollitrault, *Phys. Rev. C* **93**, 034912 (2016).
- [69] J. Adam et al. (ALICE), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 132302 (2016), 1602.01119.
- [70] B. Bally et al. (2022), 2209.11042.
- [71] G. Giacalone, G. Nijs, W. van der Schee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 202302 (2023).
- [72] B. Hu et al., *Nature Phys.* **18**, 1196 (2022), 2112.01125.
- [73] D. Adhikari et al. (PREX), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 172502 (2021), 2102.10767.
- [74] N. Summerfield, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **104**, L041901 (2021).
- [75] G. Giacalone et al. (2024), 2402.05995.
- [76] G. Giacalone et al. (2024), 2405.20210.
- [77] ALICE, Ali-prel-491854
- [78] W. Zhao, C. Shen, B. Schenke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 252302 (2022), 2203.06094.
- [79] S. Floerchinger, et al, *Phys. Rev. C* **105**, 044908 (2022).
- [80] G. Inghirami, et al, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 293 (2020).

- [81] G. Inghirami, et al, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 659 (2016).
- [82] M. Mayer, L. Rezzolla, H. Elfner, G. Inghirami, D.H. Rischke (2024), 2403.08669.
- [83] E.R. Most, J. Noronha, Phys. Rev. D **104**, 103028 (2021), 2109.02796.
- [84] F.S. Bemfica, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 222301 (2021).
- [85] I. Cordeiro, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **133**, 091401 (2024).
- [86] N. Abboud, E. Speranza, J. Noronha, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 094007 (2024), 2308.02928.
- [87] A. Daher, et al, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 114001 (2024).
- [88] A. Palermo, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [89] A. Andronic, et al, Nature **561**, 321 (2018).
- [90] R. Bellwied, et al, Phys. Rev. C **99**, 034912 (2019).
- [91] P. Alba, et al, Phys. Rev. C **101**, 054905 (2020).
- [92] S. Borsanyi, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 052301 (2014).
- [93] M. Floris, Nucl. Phys. A **931**, 103 (2014), 1408.6403.
- [94] A. Bazavov et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 072001 (2014), 1404.6511.
- [95] J.S. San Martin, et al (2023), 2309.01737.
- [96] R. Bellwied, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 202302 (2013).
- [97] A. Andronic, et al, Phys. Lett. B **792**, 304 (2019).
- [98] V. Vovchenko, Phys. Rev. C **110**, 034914 (2024), 2405.16306.
- [99] E. Zherebtsova, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [100] W. Brylinski, et al (2023).
- [101] J.I. Kapusta, S. Pratt, M. Singh, Phys. Rev. C **109**, L031902 (2024), 2306.13280.
- [102] S. Bass, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [103] F. Capellino, et al, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 034021 (2022).
- [104] F. Capellino, et al, Phys. Rev. D **108**, 116011 (2023).
- [105] R. Hirayama, This SQM2024 proceedings (2024)
- [106] S. Cao et al., Phys. Rev. C **99**, 054907 (2019), 1809.07894.
- [107] M. Nahrgang, et al, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 014904 (2015).
- [108] J. Noronha-Hostler, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 252301 (2016).
- [109] C.A.G. Prado, et al, Phys. Rev. C **96**, 064903 (2017).
- [110] M.L. Sambaturo, et al, Eur. Phys. J. C **82**, 833 (2022).
- [111] L. Barreto, et al (2022), 2208.02061.
- [112] A. Holtermann, et al, Phys. Rev. C **108**, 064901 (2023).
- [113] M.L. Sambaturo, V. Minissale, S. Plumari, V. Greco, Phys. Lett. B **849**, 138480 (2024).
- [114] S. Cho, Phys. Rev. C **109**, 054904 (2024), 2307.14765.
- [115] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Phys. Rev. C **105**, 064903 (2022), 2111.06606.
- [116] J. Zhao, et al, Phys. Rev. C **110**, 024909 (2024).
- [117] B. Karmakar, et al (2024), 2403.17817.
- [118] A.M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 082301 (2018), 1804.09767.
- [119] A.M. Sirunyan et al. (CMS), Phys. Lett. B **791**, 172 (2019), 1810.01473.
- [120] A. Tumasyan et al. (CMS), Phys. Lett. B **850**, 138518 (2024), 2310.03233.
- [121] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Phys. Rev. Lett. **132**, 202301 (2024), 2308.16652.
- [122] R. Katz, et al, Phys. Rev. C **102**, 024906 (2020).
- [123] V. Minissale, et al, Eur. Phys. J. C **84**, 228 (2024).
- [124] C. Faraday, A. Grindrod, W.A. Horowitz, Eur. Phys. J. C **83**, 1060 (2023).
- [125] G.D. Moore, D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 064904 (2005), hep-ph/0412346.
- [126] Pooja, M.Y. Jamal, P.P. Bhaduri, M. Ruggieri, S.K. Das (2024), 2404.05315.