WEIGHTED ORLICZ-POINCARÉ INEQUALITIES IN PRODUCT SPACES

LUCAS YONG

ABSTRACT. This article is a follow-up to [KMY24]. We establish necessary and sufficient conditions for weighted Orlicz-Poincaré inequalities in product spaces. These results follow the work of Chua and Wheeden [CW00], who established similar results for weighted Poincaré inequalities in product spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

Interest in Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities arises, in particular, from their applications in regularity theory for partial differential equations. In [FKS82], weighted Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities are used to perform the Moser iteration scheme to show Hölder continuity of weak solutions to certain classes of degenerate elliptic equations. There have also been promising results in Orlicz-Sobolev settings, where the underlying Lebesgue L^q spaces are replaced by the more general Orlicz L^{Φ} spaces, where Φ is a Young function. An example of such a result is found in [KRSS21], where it is shown that a version of an Orlicz-Sobolev inequality can be used in a modification of the DeGiorgi iteration scheme to show continuity of weak solutions to infinitely-degenerate elliptic equations. The usefulness of these kinds of inequalities makes it natural to seek sufficient conditions for them to hold for a set of test functions (e.g. Lipschitz continuous functions).

However, there are few such conditions that are easy to verify. In [CW00], neccessary and sufficient conditions are given for a weighted 1-dimensional Poincaré inequality to hold for Lipschitz continuous functions, and these are also adapted to product spaces. More recently, it was shown in [KMY24] that a more general version of these results holds in the 1-dimensional setting. That is, the authors instead considered an Orlicz-Poincaré inequality replacing the Lebesgue L^p spaces by more general Orlicz spaces, L^{Φ} , where Φ was a Young function satisfying certain properties. The main results in [KMY24] are necessary and sufficient conditions on the weights which are easy to verify, analogous to those in [CW00].

The main goal of the present paper is to extend the results obtained in [KMY24] to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for weighted Orlicz-Poincaré inequalities in product spaces, as was done in [CW00] in the classical case. The significance of these conditions lies in the fact that *n*-dimensional Orlicz-Sobolev inequalities can potentially be used to develop regularity theory for degenerate partial differential equations.

We now describe our main results. While what follows is valid in n dimensions, we restrict our attention to the case when n = 2, to simplify notation.

Throughout, let $I = [a, b], J = [c, d] \subset \mathbb{R}$, and let $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2, \nu = \nu_1 \times \nu_2, w = w_1 \times w_2$ be product weights on $I \times J$ such that $\mu, \nu \in L^1(I \times J)$.

Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2, s_1 < \infty$. Let Φ be a submultiplicative Young function that is invertible on $[0, \infty)$, with the property that $\Gamma(t) := \Phi(t^{1/p_i})$ is convex for i = 1, 2.

We introduce some notation from [KMY24]. Let

$$K_{1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1) = \widetilde{K}_{1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1) = \frac{1}{\nu_1(I)} \sup_{a < x < b} \left\{ \frac{1}{w_1(x)} \left\| \nu_1[a,x]\chi_{[x,b]} - \nu_1[x,b]\chi_{[a,x]} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(I)} \right\}$$

For
$$p_1 > 1$$
, let

$$K_{p_{1},\Phi}(\mu_{1},\nu_{1},w_{1}) = \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \left(\sup_{a < x < b} \left\{ \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}[x,b]^{1/2}} \right) \right]^{-2} \left(\int_{a}^{x} \nu_{1}[a,t]^{p_{1}'} w_{1}(t)^{1-p_{1}'} dt \right)^{1/p_{1}'} \right\} + \sup_{a < x < b} \left\{ \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}[a,x]^{1/2}} \right) \right]^{-2} \left(\int_{x}^{b} \nu_{1}[t,b]^{p_{1}'} w_{1}(t)^{1-p_{1}'} dt \right)^{1/p_{1}'} \right\} \right),$$

and

$$\tilde{K}_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1) := \frac{1}{\nu[a,b]} \left(\sup_{a < x < b} \left\{ \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu[x,b]} \right) \right]^{-1} \left(\int_a^x \nu[a,t]^{p_1'} w(t)^{1-p_1'} \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/p_1'} \right\} + \sup_{a < x < b} \left\{ \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu[a,x]} \right) \right]^{-1} \left(\int_x^b \nu[t,b]^{p_1'} w(t)^{1-p_1'} \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/p_1'} \right\} \right).$$

Here, p_1' is the Hölder conjugate to p_1 .

Remark 1.1. The constants $K_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1)$ and $\tilde{K}_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1)$ are similar; for example, they are equal when $\Phi(t) = |t|^q$ (where $p \leq q$). Also, it is always the case that

$$K_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1) \ge K_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1)$$

Closing the gap between these constants would strengthen the results of [KMY24] and the present paper, but we suspect that different methods from those employed in [KMY24] must be used to achieve this.

Finally, we will also write

$$C_0(\Phi) = 2 \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

We now state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. Let $1 \leq p_1, p_2, s_1 < \infty$, and assume that $K_{p_i,\Phi}(\mu_i, \nu_i, w_i) < \infty$ for i = 1, 2. Then,

$$\left\| f(x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\nu(I \times J)} \int_{I \times J} f(y_1, y_2) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_1(y_1) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_2(y_2) \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

$$\leq C_1 \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{L^{(p_1, \Phi)}_{w_1 \times \mu_2}(I \times J)} + C_2 \cdot \frac{2 \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1(I)} \right) \right]^{-1}}{\nu_1(I)^{s_1}} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_{L^{(\widehat{s_1, p_2})}_{\nu_1 \times w_2}(I \times J)}$$

for all Lipschitz continuous functions $f: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, if C_1 and C_2 are the best possible constants, then

$$C_{i} = K_{1,\Phi}(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}, w_{i}), \quad \text{if } p_{i} = 1;$$

$$C_{i} \leq C_{0}(\Phi) \cdot K_{p_{i},\Phi}(\mu_{i}, \nu_{i}, w_{i}), \quad \text{if } p_{i} > 1.$$

Theorem 1.3. Assume that there exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

(1.4)
$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f(x_1, x_2) - \frac{1}{\nu(I \times J)} \int_{I \times J} f(y_1, y_2) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_1(y_1) \, \mathrm{d}\nu_2(y_2) \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \\ & \leq C_1 \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{L^{(p_1, \Phi)}_{w_1 \times \mu_2}(I \times J)} + C_2 \cdot \frac{2 \left[\Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\mu_1(I)} \right) \right]^{-1}}{\nu_1(I)^{s_1}} \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \right\|_{L^{(\widehat{s_1, p_2})}_{\nu_1 \times w_2}(I \times J)} \end{aligned}$$

for all Lipschitz continuous functions $f: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$. Then, $\tilde{K}_{p_i,\Phi}(\mu_i,\nu_i,w_i) < \infty$ for i = 1, 2.

The norms utilized in the inequalities in both the main theorems will be defined in the next section.

The proofs closely follow the arguments in [CW00, Section 3], however, the adaptation from Lebesgue spaces to Orlicz spaces is not straightforward, and there are obstacles to overcome. For example, the gauge norm on the Orlicz space $L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)$ is not identical to the iteration of the one-dimensional gauge norms on each product space, unlike the case of the Lebesgue norm.

Acknowledgements. The author is extremely grateful to Luda Korobenko for her unwavering support and helpful comments throughout the duration of this project.

2. Preliminaries

We first review some important aspects of the theory of Orlicz spaces. We refer the reader to [RR90] for a comprehensive introduction.

Definition 2.1. A Young function is a convex function $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty]$ such that

(i) Φ is even, i.e. $\Phi(-t) = \Phi(t)$,

(ii)
$$\Phi(0) = 0$$
,

(iii) $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t) = +\infty.$

Definition 2.2. Let $f: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Define

$$\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I\times J)} := \inf\left\{k > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \Phi\left(\frac{f}{k}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le 1\right\}$$

 $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\alpha}(I\times J)}$ is called the **gauge norm** (or *Luxemburg* norm).

Definition 2.3. Let

$$L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J) := \left\{ f \colon I \times J \to \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is measurable and } \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}} < \infty \right\}.$$

The reader may verify that the gauge norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I\times J)}$ is indeed a norm which makes $L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I\times J)$ a Banach space.

The following Lemma from [KMY24] is a generalization of Minkowski's inequality for integrals, which will be important in the proofs contained in the next section.

Lemma 2.4. Let $F \colon \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Then,

$$\left\|\int F(\bullet,t) \, \mathrm{d}t\right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2 \int \|F(\bullet,t)\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(\mathbb{R})} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

The reader may refer to [KMY24, Lemma 2.8] for a proof of this result.

Finally, we conclude this section by introducing our adaptions of some notation from [CW00].

Definition 2.5. Let $F: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. Let

$$\|F\|_{L^{(p_1,p_2)}_{\mu_1\times\mu_2}(I\times J)} := \left\|\|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)}\right\|_{L^{p_2}_{\mu_2}(J)}$$

Remark 2.6. The use of repeated norms in the definition above requires some care. For $t \in J$, define $F_t: I \to \mathbb{R}$ by $F_t(x_1) = F(x_1, t)$. Now define

$$\|F_{\bullet}\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)} : J \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x_2 \longmapsto \|F_{x_2}\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)}$$

Now with the 1-dimensional gauge norm with respect to the second dimension, we obtain

$$\left\| \|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)} \right\|_{L^{p_2}_{\mu_2}(J)} = \left\| \|F_{\bullet}\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)} \right\|_{L^{p_2}_{\mu_2}(J)}$$

so that Definition 2.5 makes sense.

Definition 2.7. Similar to Definition 2.5, we define

$$\|F\|_{L^{(\widehat{p_1,p_2})}_{\mu_1\times\mu_2}(I\times J)} := \left\|\|F\|_{L^{p_2}_{\mu_2}(J)}\right\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)},$$

and

$$\|F\|_{L^{(p_1,\Phi)}_{\mu_1\times\mu_2}(I\times J)} := \left\|\|F\|_{L^{p_1}_{\mu_1}(I)}\right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(J)}$$

3. Proofs of the main theorems

We begin with a Lemma relating the 2-dimensional gauge norm on $I \times J$ and the repeated gauge norm from Definition 2.5.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $F \in L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)$. Then, $\|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \leq \|F\|_{L^{(p_1, p_2)}_{\mu_1 \times \mu_2}(I \times J)}$

Proof. Define $k: J \to [0, \infty)$ by $k(t) = ||F_t||_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(I)}$. Here, F_t is the function described in Remark 2.6. To prove the stated inequality, it suffices to show that

$$\int_{J} \int_{I} \Phi\left(\frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{\|k\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(J)}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_1(x_1) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_2(x_2) \le 1.$$

We have

 ≤ 1

(by definition of k and the gauge norm)

(by definition of the gauge norm) $\hfill \Box$

This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose that $F \in L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)$ depends only on the second variable, i.e. $F(x_1, x_2) = g(x_2)$ for some $g \in L^{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(J)$. Then

$$\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right) \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)} \leq \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \leq \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)}$$

Remark 3.3. In the case that $\Phi(t) = |t|^q$ for some $q \ge 1$, the above inequalities are equalities.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The second inequality follows from Lemma 3.1. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} &\leq \left\| \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{1}}(I)} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)} \quad \text{(Lemma 3.1)} \\ &= \|1\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{1}}(I)} \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)} \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\begin{split} \|1\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{1}}(I)} &:= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \int_{I} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{1} \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \mu_{1}(I) \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \Phi\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)} \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : \frac{1}{k} \leq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right) \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ k > 0 : k \geq \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1} \right\} \\ &= \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}, \end{split}$$

which yields

$$\|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I\times J)} \leq \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)},$$

as desired. Now we turn to the first inequality. We will show that

$$\int_{J} \Phi\left(\frac{g(x_{2})}{\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) \, \mathrm{d}\mu_{2}(x_{2}) \leq 1.$$

Observe,

$$\int_{J} \Phi\left(\frac{g(x_{2})}{\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) d\mu_{2}(x_{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} \int_{J} \Phi\left(\frac{F(x_{1}, x_{2})}{\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) d\mu_{2}(x_{2}) d\mu_{1}(x_{1})$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} \int_{J} \Phi\left(\frac{1}{\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1}}\right) \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{F(x_{1}, x_{2})}{\|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) d\mu_{2}(x_{2}) d\mu_{1}(x_{1})$$
(submultiplicativity)

(submultiplicativity of Φ)

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_1(I)} \int_I \int_J \mu_1(I) \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{\|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) d\mu_2(x_2) d\mu_1(x_1)$$
$$= \int_I \int_J \Phi\left(\frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{\|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}}\right) d\mu_2(x_2) d\mu_1(x_1)$$
$$\leq 1.$$

(by definition of the gauge norm)

We have shown that

$$\|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)} \leq \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)},$$

which implies

$$\Phi^{-1}(\mu_1(I)) \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(J)} \le \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)},$$

proving the first inequality. This completes the proof.

LUCAS YONG

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $F \in L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)$ depends only on the first variable, i.e. $F(x_1, x_2) = g(x_1)$ for some $g \in L^{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(I)$. Then,

$$\Phi^{-1}(\mu_2(J)) \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(I)} \le \|F\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \le \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_2(J)}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \|g\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_1}(I)}.$$

Proof. The result follows from an argument symmetrical to that of Lemma 3.2 We are now ready to prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let

$$f_{\nu,\mathsf{av}} = \frac{1}{\nu(I \times J)} \int_{I \times J} f(y_1, y_2) \ \nu_1(y_1) \nu_2(y_2) \ \mathrm{d}y_1 \ \mathrm{d}y_2.$$

Using the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{split} \|f - f_{\nu, \mathsf{av}}\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \\ \leq \left\| f - \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} + \left\| \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} - f_{\nu, \mathsf{av}} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \\ &= : S + T \end{split}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and the 1-dimensional result [KMY24, Theorem 1.4], we have

$$S = \left\| f - \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

$$\leq \left\| \left\| f - \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{1}}(I)} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)}$$
(Lemma 3.1)
$$\leq \left\| C_{0}(\Phi) \cdot K_{p_{1}, \Phi}(\mu_{1}, \nu_{1}, w_{1}) \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \right\|_{L^{p_{1}}_{w_{1}}(I)} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)}$$

([KMY24, Theorem 1.4])

 $= C_1 \cdot \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \right\|_{L^{(p_1, \Phi)}_{w_1 \times \mu_2}(I \times J)}.$

Also,

$$T = \left\| \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} - \frac{1}{\nu(I \times J)} \int_{I \times J} f(y_{1}, y_{2}) \, \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \nu_{2}(y_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \, \mathrm{d}y_{2} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \left\| \int_{I} f(y_{1}, \bullet) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} - \frac{1}{\nu_{2}(J)} \int_{I} \int_{J} f(y_{1}, y_{2}) \, \nu_{2}(y_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{2} \, \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\nu_{1}(I)} \left\| \int_{I} \left(f(y_{1}, \bullet) - \frac{1}{\nu_{2}(J)} \int_{J} f(y_{1}, y_{2}) \, \nu_{2}(y_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{2} \right) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

$$\leq \frac{\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right) \right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)} \left\| \int_{I} \left(f(y_{1}, \bullet) - \frac{1}{\nu_{2}(J)} \int_{J} f(y_{1}, y_{2}) \, \nu_{2}(y_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{2} \right) \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)}$$

$$(\text{Lemma 3.2})$$

$$\leq \frac{2\left\lfloor \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right\rfloor}{\nu_{1}(I)} \int_{I} \left\| f(y_{1}, \bullet) - \frac{1}{\nu_{2}(J)} \int_{J} f(y_{1}, y_{2}) \nu_{2}(y_{2}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{2} \right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_{2}}(J)} \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \, \mathrm{d}y_{1} \tag{Lemma 2.4}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)} \cdot C_{0}(\Phi) \cdot K_{p_{2},\Phi}(\mu_{2},\nu_{2},w_{2}) \int_{I} \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}_{w_{2}}(J)} \nu_{1}(y_{1}) \,\mathrm{d}y_{1} \tag{[KMY24, Theorem 1.4]}$$

$$= \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L_{\nu_{1}\times\nu_{2}}^{(\widehat{1},\widehat{p}_{2})}(I\times J)}$$
$$\leq \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)^{1/s_{1}}} \cdot C_{2} \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L_{\nu_{1}\times\nu_{2}}^{(\widehat{s_{1},\widehat{p}_{2}})}(I\times J)}.$$

(Hölder's inequality)

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Assume that Equation 1.4 holds for all Lipschitz continuous functions $f: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$. Fix such an f, and fix $x_1 \in I$. Define

$$g\colon J\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x_2\longmapsto f(x_1,x_2)$$

Note that we may also view g as a (Lipschitz continuous) function $I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$ that depends only on the second input variable. From this point of view, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$. Therefore,

$$\left\|g - \frac{1}{\nu_2(J)} \int_J g(y_2) \,\nu_2(y_2) \,\mathrm{d}y_2\right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)} \le C_2 \cdot \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_1(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_1(I)^{s_1}} \cdot \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right\|_{L^{(\widehat{s_1, p_2})}_{\nu_1 \times w_2}(I \times J)}$$

Now observe that

$$\left\|g - \frac{1}{\nu_2(J)} \int_J g(y_2) \ \nu_2(y_2) \ \mathrm{d}y_2\right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu_2}(J)} \le \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_1(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \left\|g - \frac{1}{\nu_2(J)} \int_J g(y_2) \ \nu_2(y_2) \ \mathrm{d}y_2\right\|_{L^{\Phi}_{\mu}(I \times J)}$$

(Lemma 3.2)

$$\leq \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)^{s_{1}}} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L_{\nu_{1}\times\nu_{2}}^{(\widehat{s_{1},p_{2}})}(I\times J)}$$

(Equation 1.4)

$$= \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot \frac{2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1}}{\nu_{1}(I)^{s_{1}}} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \left(\int_{I} \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}_{w_{2}}(J)}^{s_{1}} d\nu_{1}(x_{1})\right)^{1/s_{1}}$$
$$= \left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}(I)\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot 2\left[\Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{1}(I)}\right)\right]^{-1} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \left\|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}}\right\|_{L^{p_{2}}_{w_{2}}(J)}^{s_{2}}.$$

Thus we may apply [KMY24, Theorem 1.6] to say that $\tilde{K}_{p_2,\Phi}(\mu_2,\nu_2,w_2) < \infty$. To show that $\tilde{K}_{p_1,\Phi}(\mu_1,\nu_1,w_1) < \infty$, instead fix $x_2 \in J$, and define g to be

$$g\colon I \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
$$x_1 \longmapsto f(x_1, x_2)$$

Then, a similar argument as above can be made to complete the proof.

References

- [CW00] Seng Kee Chua and Richard L. Wheeden. Sharp Conditions for Weighted 1-dimensional Poincaré Inequalities. Indiana University Mathematics Journal, 49(1):143–175, 2000.
- [FKS82] E. Fabes, C. Kenig, and R. Serapioni. The local regularity of solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Comm. Part. Diff. Eq.*, 7(1):77–116, 1982.
- [KMY24] Lyudmila Korobenko, Olly Milshstein, and Lucas Yong. Weighted 1-dimensional Orlicz-Poincaré inequalities. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 530(1), 2024.
- [KRSS21] L. Korobenko, C. Rios, E. Sawyer, and R. Shen. Local boundedness, maximum principles, and continuity of solutions to infinitely degenerate elliptic equations with rough coefficients. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 269(1311), 2021.
- [RR90] M.M. Rao and Z.D. Ren. *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*. Marcel Dekker Inc., 1990.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY CHICAGO, CHICAGO, IL 60660 Email address: lyong@luc.edu