# HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF INVARIANT DENSITY AND ENTROPY FOR PIECEWISE EXPANDING MAPS

### JOSE F. ALVES AND ODAUDU R. ETUBI ´

Abstract. Using an abstract perturbation result established by Keller and Liverani, we obtain the Hölder continuity of the invariant density and entropy of the physical measures for some families of piecewise expanding maps. We apply these results to a family of two-dimensional tent maps.

### **CONTENTS**



## 1. INTRODUCTION

<span id="page-0-0"></span>In the theory of Dynamical Systems, it is common to encounter examples of systems with simple governing laws that exhibit highly complex and unpredictable behaviour. Prominent examples include one-dimensional quadratic maps, two-dimensional Hénon quadratic diffeomorphisms, and the Lorenz system of quadratic differential equations in threedimensional Euclidean space. Despite their straightforward formulation, these systems display intricate dynamical properties that have inspired significant mathematical developments over the past few decades.

One of the central areas of investigation in the theory of Dynamical Systems has been the study of invariant measures that describe the statistical behavior of these systems over time. Among these, *Sinai-Ruelle-Bowen (SRB) measures*, also known as *physical measures*, play a pivotal role. SRB measures are particularly important because they provide a way to understand the asymptotic distribution of orbits for a wide range of initial conditions,

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 37A05, 37A10, 37A35, 37C40, 37C75.

Key words and phrases. Piecewise expanding maps, Metric entropy, Hölder continuity.

JFA and ORE are partially supported by CMUP (UIDB/00144/2020) and PTDC/MAT-PUR/4048/2021, which are funded by FCT (Portugal) with national (MEC) and European structural funds through the programs COMPTE and FEDER, under the partnership agreement PT2020.

typically those that are of full measure with respect to the Lebesgue (volume) measure on the phase space. In other words, they allow us to describe the long-term statistical behavior of almost all initial points in a given region, making them essential tools for analysing chaotic systems where individual trajectories may be unpredictable, but the statistical distribution of orbits remains stable.

A key area of research in this field involves understanding the conditions under which SRB measures exist, as well as their robustness to perturbations in the system. The continuous dependence of these measures on the underlying dynamics is particularly significant, as it reflects the stability of the system's statistical properties in response to small changes. This is not only important from a theoretical standpoint but also has practical implications, as small perturbations often arise in real-world systems due to noise or external influences. In particular, the continuity of the *metric entropy –* a quantity that measures the complexity and unpredictability of the system – associated with SRB measures is a subject of extensive study. Metric entropy quantifies the rate of information production in the system and is directly related to the degree of chaos present.

Many results in the literature have been devoted to the study of SRB measures and their associated metric entropies in various dynamical settings as [\[1,](#page-13-1) [2,](#page-13-2) [5,](#page-13-3) [12,](#page-13-4) [17,](#page-14-0) [18,](#page-14-1) [19,](#page-14-2) [20,](#page-14-3) [21,](#page-14-4) [22,](#page-14-5) [26,](#page-14-6) [28,](#page-14-7) [31,](#page-14-8) [32,](#page-14-9) [33,](#page-14-10) [34,](#page-14-11) [38,](#page-15-0) [40\]](#page-15-1). These results range from classical systems like hyperbolic maps and diffeomorphisms to more complex systems involving non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamics or systems with piecewise smooth structures. Understanding how SRB measures and their entropies behave under perturbations provides valuable insights into the stability and predictability of chaotic systems, a topic that has been extensively explored in works such as [\[3,](#page-13-5) [4,](#page-13-6) [7,](#page-13-7) [8,](#page-13-8) [9,](#page-13-9) [10,](#page-13-10) [11,](#page-13-11) [14,](#page-14-12) [23,](#page-14-13) [24,](#page-14-14) [29\]](#page-14-15). This ongoing research continues to shed light on the delicate interplay between deterministic chaos and statistical regularity, offering a deeper comprehension of the fundamental nature of chaotic dynamical systems.

In this work, we focus on *absolutely continuous invariant probability measures*  $(ACIPs)$ , a class of measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, and often coincide with SRB measures in the context of non-uniformly hyperbolic systems. Specifically, we present general results concerning the Hölder continuity of the density and metric entropy of ergodic ACIPs for certain classes of piecewise expanding maps in any finite dimension. These results extend the conclusions of previous works [\[8,](#page-13-8) [9\]](#page-13-9) and provide a deeper understanding of how the statistical properties of such systems behave under perturbations. To achieve this, we employ the abstract result of Keller and Liverani in [\[30\]](#page-14-16), which provides a powerful framework for establishing continuity properties of dynamical quantities in systems with expanding behavior.

Our primary application of these theoretical results is focused on a particular family of two-dimensional tent maps introduced in [\[35\]](#page-14-17). This family is especially interesting because it is related to limit return maps that arise when a homoclinic tangency is unfolded by a family of three-dimensional diffeomorphisms, as discussed in [\[35,](#page-14-17) [41\]](#page-15-2). In previous works, the existence of ergodic ACIPs for these tent maps was established in [\[36\]](#page-14-18), and the continuity of the densities of these measures, along with their entropies, was demonstrated in [\[8,](#page-13-8) [9\]](#page-13-9). Building on these foundational results, we now strengthen the previous conclusions by showing that the densities and metric entropies associated with these ACIPs vary Hölder continuously with the dynamics.

The continuity of physical measures and their metric entropies plays a fundamental role in understanding the stability of dynamical systems, particularly those exhibiting complex or chaotic behavior. By addressing the Hölder continuity of both physical measures and their metric entropies, our work aims to provide deeper insights into the intricate relationship between the dynamics of a system and the statistical properties of its invariant measures. Understanding this relationship is key to predicting the robustness of complex dynamical systems under perturbations, a question that has far-reaching implications in various fields, from mathematical theory to applied sciences. This research sheds light on the subtle and quantitative ways in which physical measures and their metric entropy responds to changes in the underlying system, offering a more nuanced understanding of the stability and variability of chaotic systems. Through this investigation, we contribute to the broader goal of characterizing the resilience of dynamical systems to fluctuations, and thus advancing the overall theory of dynamical stability.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>1.1. **Hölder continuity.** Here we present the general setting under which our main results will be obtained. Let  $\Omega$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , for some  $d \geq 1$ . Consider m the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega$  and, for each  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the respective space  $L^p(\Omega)$  endowed with its usual norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Absolute continuity will be always meant with respect to m. Let  $(\phi_t)_{t\in I}$  be a family of transformations  $\phi_t : \Omega \to \Omega$ , where I is a metric space. We assume that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  and, for each  $t \in I$ , there exists an m mod 0 partition  ${R_{t,i}}_{i=1}^N$  of  $\Omega$  such that each  $R_{t,i}$  is a closed domain with piecewise  $C^2$  boundary of finite  $(d-1)$ -dimensional measure. We also assume that

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\phi_{t,i} = \phi_t|_{R_{t,i}} \tag{1.1}
$$

is a  $C^2$  bijection from  $\text{int}(R_{t,i})$ , the interior of  $R_{t,i}$ , onto its image, with a  $C^2$  extension to the boundary of  $R_{t,i}$ . Consider the *Jacobian* function

$$
J_t = |\det(D\phi_t)|,
$$

defined on the (full Lebesgue measure) subset of points in  $\Omega$  where  $\phi_t$  is differentiable. Next we state some conditions for our family of maps.

(P1) there exists  $\sigma_t > 0$  such that for all  $1 \leq i < N$  and all  $x \in \text{int}(\phi_t(R_{t,i}))$ 

$$
||D\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(x)|| \le \sigma_t.
$$

(P2) there exists  $\Delta_t \geq 0$  such that for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and all  $x, y \in \text{int}(R_{t,i})$ 

$$
\log \frac{J_t(x)}{J_t(y)} \le \Delta_t \| \phi_t(x) - \phi_t(y) \|.
$$

- (P3) there exist  $\alpha_t, \beta_t > 0$  and, for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ , there exists a  $C^1$  unitary vector field  $X_{t,i}$  on  $\partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})^1$  $\partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})^1$  such that:
	- (a) the line segments joining each  $x \in \partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  to  $x+\alpha X_{t,i}(x)$  are pairwise disjoint, contained in  $\phi_t(R_{t,i})$  and their union is a neighborhood of  $\partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  in  $\phi_t(R_{t,i})$ ;
	- (b) for each  $x \in \partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  and  $v \in T_x \partial \phi_t(R_{t,i}) \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $|\sin \angle(v, X_{t,i}(x))| \geq \beta_t$ , where  $\angle(v, X_{t,i}(x))$  denotes the angle between v and  $X_{t,i}(x)$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>At the points  $x \in \partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  where  $\partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  is not smooth the vector  $X_{t,i}(x)$  is a common  $C^1$  extension of  $X_{t,i}$  restricted to each  $(d-1)$ -dimensional smooth component of  $\partial \phi_t(R_{t,i})$  having x in its boundary. The tangent space at any such point is the union of the tangent spaces to the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional smooth components that point belongs to.

### 4 J. F. ALVES AND O. R. ETUBI

Under these conditions, it was established in  $|1|$  (see also  $|26|$  for the case of a finite number of smoothness domains) that each  $\phi_t$  has some ergodic absolutely continuous invariant probability measure. Assuming the uniqueness of this measure for each  $t$ , the continuity of the measure in relation to the parameter t was also proven in [\[9\]](#page-13-9), under the following uniformity condition:

(U) there exists  $\ell \geq 1$  such that  $\phi_t^j$ <sup>j</sup> satisfies (P1)-(P3) for each  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ; moreover, there exist  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $M > 0$  such that, for all  $t \in I$  and  $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ ,

$$
\sigma_{t,\ell}\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta_{t,\ell}}\right)\leq\theta,\quad\sigma_{t,j}\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta_{t,j}}\right)\leq M\quad\text{and}\quad\Delta_{t,j}+\frac{1}{\alpha_{t,j}\beta_{t,j}}+\frac{\Delta_{t,j}}{\beta_{t,j}}\leq M,
$$

where  $\sigma_{t,j}, \Delta_{t,j}, \alpha_{t,j}, \beta_{t,j}$  are the constants in (P1)-(P3) for the map  $\phi_t^j$  $\tfrac{j}{t}$  .

To establish the Hölder continuous variation of these measures and their entropies, some additional conditions are required. Set for each  $s, t \in I$  and  $1 \leq i \leq N$ 

$$
K_{t,s,i} = \phi_{s,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i}) \cap \phi_s(R_{s,i})) \text{ and } \psi_{t,s,i} = \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}|_{K_{t,s,i}}.
$$

Naturally, we consider  $\psi_{t,s,i}$  only when  $K_{t,s,i} \neq \emptyset$ . In fact, conditions (1)-(3) of Theorem [A](#page-3-0) below essentially mean that the sets  $\phi_t(R_{t,i})$  and  $\phi_s(R_{s,i})$  are close to each other and the maps  $\phi_{t,i}$  and  $\phi_{s,i}$  are also close to each other. Let id represent the identity map on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , possibly restricted to some subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Define the difference set

$$
A = \{s - t : s, t \in I\}.
$$

Given a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a function  $\psi: K \to \mathbb{R}^d$ , let

$$
\|\psi\|_0 = \sup_{x \in K} \|\psi(x)\|,
$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem A.** Let  $(\phi_t)_{t\in I}$  be a family of maps for which (U) holds and each  $\phi_t$  has a unique ergodic absolutely continuous invariant probability measure  $\mu_t$ . Assume that there exists a function  $\mathscr{E}: A \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, for all  $s, t \in I$ ,

$$
(1) \sum_{i=1}^{N} m \left( \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \left( \phi_t(R_{t,i}) \setminus \phi_s(R_{s,i}) \right) \right)^{1/d} \le \mathcal{E}(t-s);
$$
\n
$$
(2) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\psi_{t,s,i} - \mathrm{id}\|_{0} \le \mathcal{E}(t-s);
$$
\n
$$
(3) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1 \right| \le \mathcal{E}(t-s).
$$

Then, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$  such that, for all  $s, t \in I$ ,

$$
\left\|\frac{d\mu_t}{dm} - \frac{d\mu_s}{dm}\right\|_1 \le C[\mathscr{E}(t-s)]^{\eta}.
$$

The factor  $1/d$  in assumption (1) is related to an application of Sobolev and Hölder inequalities in the proof of Proposition [3.2.](#page-8-0) Notice that, in the case that  $N$  is finite, we just need the bounds for the summands. For each  $t \in I$ , let  $h_{\mu t}(\phi_t)$  denote the *entropy* of the transformation  $\phi_t$  with respect to the  $\phi_t$ -invariant measure  $\mu_t$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Theorem B.** Let  $(\phi_t)_{t\in I}$  be a family of maps for which (U) holds and each  $\phi_t$  has a unique absolutely continuous invariant probability measure  $\mu_t$ . Assume that

(1) there exists a function  $\mathscr{E}: A \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that, for all  $s, t \in I$ ,

$$
\|\log J_s - \log J_t\|_d \le \mathcal{E}(t-s)
$$
 and  $\left\|\frac{d\mu_t}{dm} - \frac{d\mu_s}{dm}\right\|_1 \le \mathcal{E}(t-s);$ 

(2)  $h_{\mu_t}(\phi_t) = \int_{\Omega} \log J_t dm$ , and there is  $M > 0$  such that  $\|\log J_t\|_{\infty} \leq M$ , for all  $t \in I$ . Then, there exists some constant  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $s, t \in I$ ,

$$
|h_{\mu_t}(\phi_t) - h_{\mu_s}(\phi_s)| \le C\mathscr{E}(t-s).
$$

Conditions for the validity of an entropy formula as in assumption (2) of Theorem [B](#page-4-1) were obtained in [\[6,](#page-13-12) [7,](#page-13-7) [8\]](#page-13-8).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>1.2. Two-dimensional tent maps. We apply the previous theorems to a family of two-dimensional piecewise expanding maps introduced in [\[35\]](#page-14-17). Consider the triangle  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , which is the union of the two triangles

$$
R_1 = \{(x_1, x_2) : 0 \le x_1 \le 1, \ 0 \le x_2 \le x_1\}
$$

and

$$
R_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) : 1 \le x_1 \le 2, \ 0 \le x_2 \le 2 - x_1\}.
$$

Consider the map  $\phi_1 : \Omega \to \Omega$ , given by

$$
\phi_1(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} (x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2), & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \in R_1; \\ (2 - x_1 + x_2, 2 - x_1 - x_2), & \text{if } (x_1, x_2) \in R_2. \end{cases}
$$

The tent maps  $\phi_t : \Omega \to \Omega$  are defined for  $0 < t \leq 1$  by

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
\phi_t = t\phi_1. \tag{1.2}
$$

Note that  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the smoothness domains of  $\phi_t$ , separated by the common straight line segment  $\mathscr{C} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega : x_1 = 1\}$ . These tent maps can be described geometrically as follows: first the triangle  $\Omega$  is folded through  $\mathscr{C}$ , making  $R_2$  overlap  $R_1$ ; then a flip of this domain is made and expanded to  $\Omega$ , thus obtaining  $\phi_1(\Omega)$ ; for the other maps  $\phi_t$ , we apply a final contraction by the factor t.



FIGURE 1. The tent maps

It was proved in [\[36\]](#page-14-18) that, for each  $t \in [\tau, 1]$ , with

<span id="page-4-3"></span>
$$
\tau = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sqrt{2} + 1)^{1/4}, \tag{1.3}
$$

the map  $\phi_t$  exhibits a *strange attractor* in  $\Omega$ , thereby extending the results obtained in [\[37\]](#page-14-19) only for  $t = 1$ . The existence and uniqueness of an ergodic absolutely continuous  $\phi_t$ invariant probability measure  $\mu_t$  was obtained in [\[36\]](#page-14-18), for each  $t \in [\tau, 1]$ . In the next result we improve the conclusions of [\[8,](#page-13-8) [9\]](#page-13-9), on the continuity of the measures and their respective entropies for this family of maps, by showing that they vary Hölder continuously.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem C.** There exists  $C > 0$  and  $0 < \eta < 1$  such that, for all  $s, t \in [\tau, 1]$ ,

$$
\left\|\frac{d\mu_t}{dm} - \frac{d\mu_s}{dm}\right\|_1 \le C|t-s|^\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |h_{\mu_t}(\phi_t) - h_{\mu_s}(\phi_s)| \le C|t-s|^\eta.
$$

Results by Baladi and Smania give that linear response fails for one-dimensional tent maps under some transversality condition of the topological class; see [\[15,](#page-14-20) [16\]](#page-14-21). However, a recent result by Bahsoun and Galatolo shows that linear response holds if one replaces the critical point in the one-dimensional map by a singularity; see [\[13\]](#page-14-22). It would be interesting to check whether changing the dimension of the system has an effect on linear response or not. In particular, it would be interesting to check if linear response holds, or not, within the higher dimensional family of tent maps that we consider in this article.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Wael Bahsoun for several insightful discussions and careful reading of an early draft of this work.

## 2. Functions of bounded variation

<span id="page-5-0"></span>The main ingredient for the proof of the above theorems is the notion of variation for functions in multidimensional spaces. We adopt the definition presented in [\[25\]](#page-14-23). Given  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with compact support, we define the variation of f as

$$
V(f) = \sup \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f \mathrm{div}(g) dm \, : \, g \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \|g\| \le 1 \right\},\
$$

where  $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  is the set of  $C^1$  functions from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support,  $\text{div}(g)$ is the divergence of g and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the sup norm in  $C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Integration by parts gives that if f is a  $C<sup>1</sup>$  function with compact support, then

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
V(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||Df|| dm.
$$
\n(2.1)

We shall use the following properties of bounded variation functions whose proofs may be found in [\[25\]](#page-14-23), respectively in Remark 2.14, Theorem 1.17 and Theorem 1.28.

(B1) If  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is zero outside a compact domain K whose boundary is Lipschitz continuous,  $f|_K$  is continuous and  $f|_{\text{int}(K)}$  is  $C^1$ , then

$$
V(f) = \int_{\text{int}(K)} ||Df|| dm + \int_{\partial K} |f| d\bar{m},
$$

where  $\bar{m}$  denotes the  $(d-1)$ -dimensional measure on  $\partial K$ .

(B2) Given  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , there is a sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of  $C^{\infty}$  maps such that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f - f_n| dm = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \int \|Df_n\| dm = V(f).
$$

(B3) There is some constant  $C > 0$  such that, for any  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$
\left(\int |f|^p dm\right)^{1/p} \le CV(f), \quad \text{with} \quad p = \frac{d}{d-1}.\tag{2.2}
$$

This last property is known as *Sobolev Inequality*. Notice that  $p = d/(d-1)$  is the conjugate of  $d > 1$ , meaning that

$$
\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{d} = 1.
$$
\n(2.3)

In the next lemma we obtain a general fact about bounded variation functions that plays a key role in this work.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Lemma 2.1.** If K is a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\psi: K \to \mathbb{R}^d$  is a diffeomorphism onto its image, then there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$
\int_{K} |f \circ \psi - f| dm \leq C ||\psi - id||_{0} V(f).
$$

*Proof.* We start by proving the result for a continuous piecewise affine function  $f$ . More precisely, suppose that the support  $\Delta$  of f can be decomposed into a finite number of domains  $\Delta_1, \ldots, \Delta_N$  such that the gradient  $\nabla f$  of f is a constant vector  $\nabla_i f$  on each  $\Delta_i$ . Using (B1), we obtain

$$
\int_K |f \circ \psi - f| dm \le \int_K \|\psi - id\|_0 \cdot \|\nabla f\| dm \le \|\psi - id\|_0 V(f).
$$

The next step is to deduce the result for any  $C<sup>1</sup>$  function f. For this, we take a sequence  $(f_n)_n$  of continuous piecewise affine functions such that

 $||f - f_n||_0 \to 0$  and  $||Df - Df_n||_0 \to 0$ , when  $n \to \infty$ 

(the derivatives  $Df_n$  are defined only in the interior of the smoothness domains). Then, using [\(2.1\)](#page-5-1) and dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
V(f) = \int ||Df|| dm = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int ||Df_n|| dm = \lim_{n \to \infty} V(f_n)
$$

and

$$
\int_{K} |f \circ \psi - f| dm = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{K} |f_{n} \circ \psi - f_{n}| dm.
$$
\n<sup>t</sup> seen, we get the conclusion also for  $f$ .

Using the case already seen, we get the conclusion also for  $f$ .

For the general case, we know by (B2) that given  $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there is a sequence  $(f_n)_n$ of  $C^1$  maps for which

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \int |f - f_n| dm = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} V(f_n) = V(f). \tag{2.4}
$$

We have

$$
\int_{K} |f \circ \psi - f| dm \le \int_{K} |f \circ \psi - f_{n} \circ \psi| dm + \int_{K} |f_{n} \circ \psi - f_{n}| dm + \int_{K} |f_{n} - f| dm.
$$
  
since  $g = 1/|\det D_{2}^{(1)}| \circ \psi^{-1}$  we may write

Taking  $\rho = 1/|\det D\psi| \circ \psi^{-1}$ , we may write

$$
\int_{K} |f_{n} \circ \psi - f \circ \psi| dm = \int_{\psi(K)} |f_{n} - f| \cdot \rho dm \le ||\rho||_{0} \int |f_{n} - f| dm.
$$

The conclusion in this case follows from  $(2.4)$  and the previous case.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Transfer operators

<span id="page-7-0"></span>Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be the common domain of the maps in the family  $\{\phi_t\}_{t\in I}$ . For each  $t \in I$ , consider the transfer operator

$$
\mathscr{L}_t: L^1(\Omega) \longrightarrow L^1(\Omega),
$$

defined for each  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  by

$$
\mathscr{L}_t f = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_t \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}} \chi_{\phi_t(R_{t,i})},
$$

where  ${R_{t,i}}_{i=1}^N$  are the domains of smoothness of  $\phi_t : \Omega \to \Omega$  and  $\phi_{t,i}$  are the maps introduced in [\(1.1\)](#page-2-2). It is well known that the following properties hold for each  $\mathscr{L}_t$ :

 $(C1)$  for all  $f, g$  for which the integrals make sense, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} f \mathcal{L}_t g \, dm = \int_{\Omega} f \circ \phi_t g \, dm;
$$

- (C2)  $|\mathcal{L}_t f| \leq \mathcal{L}_t(|f|)$  and  $||\mathcal{L}_t f||_1 \leq ||f||_1$ , for all  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ ;
- (C3)  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$  is the density of an absolutely continuous  $\phi_t$ -invariant measure if and only if  $f \geq 0$  and  $\mathscr{L}_t f = f$ .

Next, we study the action of the transfer operators on the space of bounded variation functions in Ω,

$$
BV(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in L^1(\Omega) : V(f) < +\infty \right\}.
$$

Property (B3) gives in particular  $BV(\Omega) \subset L^p(\Omega)$ , for some  $p > 1$ . Set for each  $f \in BV(\Omega)$ 

$$
||f||_{BV} = ||f||_1 + V(f).
$$

It is well known that this defines a norm, and  $BV(\Omega)$  endowed with this norm becomes a Banach space; see e.g. [\[25,](#page-14-23) Remark 1.12].

<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Proposition 3.1.** Under assumption (U), there exist  $0 < \lambda < 1$  and  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $t \in I$ ,  $f \in BV(\Omega)$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_t^n f\|_{BV} \le C\lambda^n \|f\|_{BV} + C\|f\|_1.
$$

*Proof.* Take  $\ell \geq 1$  as in (U). It is a standard fact that  $\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell}$  is the transfer operator for  $\phi_t^{\ell}$ . By [\[1,](#page-13-1) Lemma 5.4], we have for any  $f \in BV(\Omega)$ 

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
V(\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell} f) \le \sigma_{t,\ell} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{t,\ell}} \right) V(f) + M \|f\|_1 \le \theta V(f) + M \|f\|_1, \tag{3.1}
$$

and so

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell}f\|_{BV} \le \theta V(f) + (M+1)\|f\|_1. \tag{3.2}
$$

Given  $n \geq 1$ , consider  $q \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq r < \ell$  such that  $n = \ell q + r$ . It follows from (C2) and [\(3.1\)](#page-7-1) that

$$
V(\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell q} f) \leq \theta V(\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell(q-1)} f) + M||f||_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta^2 V(\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell(q-2)} f) + (\theta + 1)M||f||_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta^q V(f) + (\theta^{q-1} + \theta^{q-2} + \dots + 1)M||f||_1.
$$

It follows that

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell q} f\|_{BV} = V(\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell q} f) + \|\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell q} f\|_1 \le \theta^q V(f) + \left(1 + M \sum_{j \ge 0} \theta^j\right) \|f\|_1. \tag{3.3}
$$

On the other hand,

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
V(\mathcal{L}_t^r f) \le \sigma_{t,r} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{t,r}}\right) V(f) + M||f||_1 \le MV(f) + M||f||_1. \tag{3.4}
$$

Finally, using  $(3.3)$  and  $(3.4)$ , we get

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\|\mathcal{L}_t^n f\|_{BV} &= \|\mathcal{L}_t^{\ell q} \mathcal{L}_t^r f\|_{BV} \\
&= \theta^q V(\mathcal{L}_t^r f) + \left(1 + M \sum_{j \ge 0} \theta^j\right) \|f\|_1 \\
&\le \theta^q MV(f) + \left(M + 1 + M \sum_{j \ge 0} \theta^j\right) \|f\|_1.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Now, observe that

$$
\theta^q = \theta^{(n-r)/\ell} = \left(\theta^{1/\ell}\right)^n \theta^{-r/\ell} \le \left(\theta^{1/\ell}\right)^n \theta^{-1}.
$$

Take

$$
\lambda = \theta^{1/\ell}
$$
 and  $C = \max \left\{ \frac{M}{\theta}, M + 1 + M \sum_{j \ge 0} \theta^j \right\}$ 

and recall that  $V(f) \leq ||f||_{BV}$ .

It follows from the previous result that  $\mathscr{L}_t(BV(\Omega)) \subset BV(\Omega)$ . From here on, we assume  $\mathscr{L}_t$  as an operator from the space  $BV(\Omega)$  into itself. Given a bounded linear operator  $T: BV(\Omega) \to BV(\Omega)$ , consider

$$
|||T||| = \sup_{\{f \in BV(\Omega): ||f||_{BV} \le 1\}} ||Tf||_1.
$$

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Proposition 3.2.** Under the assumptions of Theorem [A,](#page-3-0) there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $s, t \in I$ ,

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_s\| \le C\mathscr{E}(t - s).
$$

*Proof.* We need to show that there exists some constant  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $f \in BV(\Omega)$ , we have

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_t f - \mathcal{L}_s f\|_1 \le C\mathscr{E}(t-s) \|f\|_{BV}.
$$

Indeed,

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{t}f - \mathcal{L}_{s}f\|_{1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_{t} \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}} \chi_{\phi_{t}(R_{t,i})} - \frac{f \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}}{J_{s} \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}} \chi_{\phi_{s}(R_{s,i})} \right| dm
$$
  
\n
$$
= \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{t}(R_{t,i}) \cap \phi_{s}(R_{s,i})} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_{t} \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}} - \frac{f \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}}{J_{s} \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}} \right|}_{(I)} dm + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{t}(R_{t,i}) \setminus \phi_{s}(R_{s,i})} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_{t} \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}} \right| dm + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\phi_{s}(R_{s,i}) \setminus \phi_{t}(R_{t,i})} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}}{J_{s} \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}} \right| dm
$$
  
\n(II)

We just need to obtain the appropriate bounds for  $(I)$ ,  $(II)$  and  $(III)$ . To estimate  $(I)$ , note that by the change of variables  $y = \phi_{s,i}(x)$ , we have

$$
\int_{\phi_t(R_{t,i}) \cap \phi_s(R_{s,i})} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_t \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}} - \frac{f \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}}{J_s \circ \phi_{s,i}^{-1}} \right| dm = \int_{\phi_{s,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i}) \cap \phi_s(R_{s,i}))} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}}{J_t \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}} - \frac{f}{J_s} \right| J_s dm.
$$

Set  $\psi_{t,s,i} = \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}|_{\phi_{s,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i}) \cap \phi_s(R_{s,i}))}$ . Therefore,

$$
(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{K_{t,s,i}} \left| \frac{f \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}}{J_t \circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}} - \frac{f}{J_s} \right| J_s dm
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{K_{t,s,i}} |f \circ \psi_{t,s,i} - f| \left| \frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} \right| dm + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{K_{t,s,i}} \left| \frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1 \right| |f| dm.
$$

By assumption (3) of Theorem [A,](#page-3-0) there exists some  $C_0 > 0$  such that, for each  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ,

$$
\left|\frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}}\right| \le 1 + \sup_{1 \le i < N} \left|\frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1\right| \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \left|\frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1\right| \le C_0.
$$

By Lemma [2.1](#page-6-1) and the assumptions of Theorem [A,](#page-3-0) we may write

$$
(I) \leq C_0 \sum_{i=1}^N \|\psi_{t,s,i} - id\|_0 V(f) + \sum_{i=1}^N \left| \frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1 \right| \|f\|_1
$$
  

$$
\leq C\mathscr{E}(t-s) \|f\|_{BV},
$$

for some uniform constant  $C > 0$ . To estimate (II), note that, by change of variables,

$$
\int_{\phi_t(R_{t,i})\backslash \phi_s(R_{s,i})}\left|\frac{f\circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}{J_t\circ \phi_{t,i}^{-1}}\right|dm=\int_{\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i})\backslash \phi_s(R_{s,i}))}|f|dm=\int_{\Omega}\chi_{\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i})\backslash \phi_s(R_{s,i}))}|f|dm.
$$

Observe that, by the Sobolev Inequality (B3), we have  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ , with  $p = d/(d-1)$ and  $d$  being conjugate. It follows from Hölder and Sobolev inequalities and assumption  $(1)$ 

of Theorem [A](#page-3-0) that there exists some  $C > 0$  such that

$$
(II) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i})\setminus\phi_s(R_{s,i}))} |f| dm
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\chi_{\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i})\setminus\phi_s(R_{s,i}))} \|_d \|f\|_p
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} m \left(\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_{t,i}) \setminus \phi_s(R_{s,i}))\right)^{1/d} \|f\|_{BV}
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq C \mathcal{E}(t-s) \|f\|_{BV}.
$$

We are done for (II). The calculations follow similarly for (III).  $\Box$ 

### 4. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE DENSITY

<span id="page-10-0"></span>In this section we prove Theorem [A.](#page-3-0) We will use Keller-Liverani stability result in [\[30\]](#page-14-16). Recall that there exists  $C > 0$  such that, for all  $t, s \in I$ ,

 $(KL1)$   $\|\mathscr{L}_t - \mathscr{L}_s\| \leq \mathscr{E}(t-s);$ (KL2)  $\|\mathcal{L}_t^n\|_1 \leq C$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ ; (KL3)  $\|\mathcal{L}_t^n f\|_{BV} \leq C\lambda^n \|f\|_{BV} + C\|f\|_1$ , for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $f \in BV(\Omega)$ ; (KL4) 1 is an isolated eigenvalue of  $\mathscr{L}_t$  and has multiplicity one.

In fact, (KL1) is given by Proposition [3.2,](#page-8-0) (KL2) follows from  $(C2)$ , and  $(KL3)$  is given by Proposition [3.1.](#page-7-2) Regarding (KL4), notice that 1 is an isolated eigenvalue by the theorem of Ionescu Tulcea and Marinescu [\[27\]](#page-14-24), since  $\mathscr{L}_t$  is quasicompact; the multiplicity one holds because we assume that each  $\phi_t$  has a unique ergodic absolutely continuous invariant probability measure; recall (C3).

Since (KL1)-(KL4) hold, [\[30,](#page-14-16) Corollary 1] can be used to conclude that there exist  $C > 0$ and  $0 < \eta < 1$  such that

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
\|\Pi_s - \Pi_t\| \le C[\mathcal{E}(t-s)]^{\eta},\tag{4.1}
$$

where  $\Pi_t$ ,  $\Pi_s$  are the projections onto the eigenspaces of the eigenvalue 1 with respect to the operators  $\mathcal{L}_t, \mathcal{L}_s$ , respectively. By virtue of property (KL4), it follows from [\[39,](#page-15-3) Theorem 3 that, for all  $s \in I$ , there exists  $\rho_s \in BV(\Omega)$  with  $\rho_s \geq 0$  and  $\int \rho_s dm = 1$  such that, for every  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ ,

$$
\Pi_s f = \rho_s \int f \, dm.
$$

This allows us to conclude that

$$
\|\rho_s - \rho_t\|_1 = \left\|\rho_s - \rho_t \int \rho_s dm\right\|_1 = \|\Pi_s \rho_s - \Pi_t \rho_s\|_1 \le \|\Pi_s - \Pi_t\|.
$$

The conclusion of Theorem [A](#page-3-0) then follows from [\(4.1\)](#page-10-1).

### <span id="page-11-0"></span>12 J. F. ALVES AND O. R. ETUBI

## 5. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE ENTROPY

Here we prove Theorem [B.](#page-4-1) For each  $t \in I$ , let  $\rho_t$  denote the density of  $\mu_t$  with respect to m. Since the entropy formula in assumption (2) holds, we have for all  $s, t \in I$ 

$$
|h_{\mu_s}(\phi_s) - h_{\mu_t}(\phi_t)| = \left| \int \log J_s d\mu_s - \int \log J_t d\mu_t \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \int (\log J_s - \log J_t) d\mu_s \right| + \left| \int \log J_t d\mu_s - \int \log J_t d\mu_t \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \left| \int (\log J_s - \log J_t) \rho_s dm \right| + \left| \int \log J_t (\rho_s - \rho_t) dm \right|.
$$

Using Hölder inequality and the bound in assumption  $(2)$ , we get

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\left| \int \log J_t(\rho_s - \rho_t) dm \right| \le M \|\rho_s - \rho_t\|_1. \tag{5.1}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition [3.1](#page-7-2) that, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_s^n f\|_{BV} \le C\lambda^n \|f\|_{BV} + C\|f\|_1,
$$

for all  $f \in BV(\Omega)$  and  $s \in I$ . Since  $\rho_s$  is a fixed point for  $\mathscr{L}_s$  with  $\|\rho_s\|_1 = 1$  and the last inequality holds for all  $n \geq 1$ , we get

$$
\|\rho_s\|_{BV} \leq C.
$$

Taking  $p = d/(d-1)$ , it follows from Sobolev Inequality that there exists a constant  $C' > 0$ such that

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\|\rho_s\|_p \le C'.\tag{5.2}
$$

Hence, using Hölder Inequality and  $(5.2)$  we get

<span id="page-11-4"></span>
$$
\left| \int \left( \log J_s - \log J_t \right) \rho_s dm \right| \leq \| \rho_s \|_p \left\| \log J_s - \log J_t \right\|_d \leq C' \left\| \log J_s - \log J_t \right\|_d. \tag{5.3}
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>The conclusion follows from [\(5.1\)](#page-11-3), [\(5.3\)](#page-11-4) and assumption (1) of Theorem [B.](#page-4-1)

## 6. Application to tent maps

Here we prove Theorem [C.](#page-5-2) Our strategy is to apply Theorem [A](#page-3-0) and Theorem [B](#page-4-1) to the family of tent maps  $(\phi_t)_{t\in[\tau,1]}$  presented in Subsection [1.2.](#page-4-0) We know that  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are the only domains of smoothness of every  $\phi_t$ . Therefore, for each  $t \in [\tau, 1]$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$
\phi_{t,i} = \phi_t|_{R_i}, \quad K_{t,s,i} = \phi_{s,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_i) \cap \phi_s(R_i)) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{t,s,i} = \phi_{t,i}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,i}|_{K_{t,s,i}}.
$$

According to [\[9,](#page-13-9) Section 4], the uniformity condition (U) is satisfied with  $\ell = 6$ . Existence and uniqueness of an ergodic absolutely continuous  $\phi_t$ -invariant probability measure  $\mu_t$ was obtained in [\[36\]](#page-14-18), for all  $t \in [\tau, 1]$ . Moreover, the entropy formula holds for this family of maps, by [\[8,](#page-13-8) Theorem G]. We are left to verify the assumptions of Theorem [A](#page-3-0) and Theorem [B](#page-4-1) with adequate estimates to deduce Theorem [C.](#page-5-2) It is enough to show that there exists some constant  $M > 0$  such that, for all  $s, t \in [\tau, 1]$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

- (a)  $m(\phi_{t,i}^{-1}(\phi_t(R_i) \setminus \phi_s(R_i))) \leq M|t-s|;$
- (b)  $\|\psi_{t,s,i} id\|_0 \leq M|t-s|;$

(c)  $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$  $J_{s}$  $J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}$ − 1  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\leq M|t-s|;$ (d)  $\|\log J_s - \log J_t\|_d \le M|t - s|;$ (e)  $\|\log J_t\|_{\infty} \leq M$ .

Indeed, from [\(1.2\)](#page-4-2), we easily deduce that, for all  $(y_1, y_2) \in \phi_{t,1}(R_1)$ , we have

$$
\phi_{t,1}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2t}(y_1 + y_2), \frac{1}{2t}(y_1 - y_2)\right)
$$

and, for all  $(y_1, y_2) \in \phi_{t,2}(R_2)$ , we have

$$
\phi_{t,2}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = \left(\frac{1}{2t}(4t - y_1 - y_2), \frac{1}{2t}(y_1 - y_2)\right).
$$

Moreover, each map  $\phi_t$  is piecewise linear with

$$
D\phi_t(x_1,x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} t & t \\ t & -t \end{pmatrix}
$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in R_1 \setminus \mathscr{C}$ , and

$$
D\phi_t(x_1, x_2) = \begin{pmatrix} -t & t \\ -t & -t \end{pmatrix}
$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in R_2 \setminus \mathscr{C}$ . Therefore, we have

$$
J_t = 2t^2,
$$

for all  $(x_1, x_2) \in \Omega \setminus \mathscr{C}$  and  $\tau \leq t \leq 1$ .

*Proof of (a)*. Observe from the dynamics of  $\phi_t$ , that  $\phi_{t,1}(R_1) = \phi_{t,2}(R_2)$  and, moreover the Jacobian of  $\phi_{t,1}$  is constant and equal to the Jacobian of  $\phi_{t,2}$ . Therefore, it is enough to show the conclusion for  $i = 1$ . In fact, for  $t > s$  (and for  $t < s$  there is nothing to be proved, since in that case  $\phi_t(R_1) \subset \phi_s(R_1)$ , we have

$$
m(\phi_t(R_1) \setminus \phi_s(R_1)) \le \text{length}(\phi_t(\mathscr{C})) ||\phi_t(1,0) - \phi_s(1,0)||
$$
  
=  $\sqrt{2}t||(t,t) - (s,s)|| = 2t(t-s).$  (6.1)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>.

Since the Jacobian of  $\phi_{t,1}$  is constant and equal to  $2t^2$ , we deduce that the Jacobian of  $\phi_{t,1}^{-1}$ is  $1/(2t^2)$ , which together with  $(6.1)$  yields

$$
m\left(\phi_{t,i}^{-1}\left(\phi_t(R_i)\setminus\phi_s(R_i)\right)\right) \le \frac{(t-s)}{t} \le \frac{(t-s)}{\tau}
$$

*Proof of (b)*. For each  $(x_1, x_2) \in R_1$  and  $\tau \le t \le 1$ , we have

$$
\|\psi_{t,s,i} - \mathrm{id}\|_{0} = \sup_{(x_1,x_2)\in R_1} \|\phi_{t,1}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,1}(x_1, x_2) - (x_1, x_2)\|
$$
  
= 
$$
\sup_{(x_1,x_2)\in R_1} \left\| \left( \frac{s}{t} - 1 \right) (x_1, x_2) \right\|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tau} |t - s|,
$$

and for each  $(x_1, x_2) \in R_2$ , we have

$$
\|\psi_{t,s,i} - \mathrm{id}\|_{0} = \sup_{(x_1,x_2)\in R_2} \|\phi_{t,2}^{-1} \circ \phi_{s,2}(x_1, x_2) - (x_1, x_2)\|
$$
  

$$
= \sup_{(x_1,x_2)\in R_2} \left\| \left(\frac{s}{t} - 1\right) (x_1 - 2, x_2) \right\|
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\tau} |t - s|.
$$

*Proof of (c)*. For all  $\tau \leq s, t \leq 1$ , we have

$$
\left| \frac{J_s}{J_t \circ \psi_{t,s,i}} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{s^2}{t^2} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{(s-t)(s+t)}{t^2} \right| \le \frac{2}{\tau^2} |t-s|.
$$

*Proof of (d)*. By the mean value theorem, we have that for all  $\tau \leq s, t \leq 1$ 

$$
\|\log J_s - \log J_t\|_2 = \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{2}{\tau}(s-t)\right)^2 dm\right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{2}{\tau}m(\Omega)|t-s|.
$$

*Proof of (e)*. For all  $\tau \leq t \leq 1$ , we have

$$
\|\log J_t\|_{\infty} = |\log(2t^2)| \le \log 2.
$$

Recall that the expression for  $\tau$  in [\(1.3\)](#page-4-3) gives  $1 < 2\tau^2 \le 2t^2 \le 2$ .

### <span id="page-13-0"></span>**REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-13-1"></span>[1] Alves, J. F. SRB measures for non-hyperbolic systems with multidimensional expansion. In Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure 33  $(2000)$ , 1–32.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>[2] Alves, J. F., Bonatti, C. and Viana, M. SRB measures for partially hyperbolic systems whose central direction is mostly expanding. In Inventiones Mathematicae 140, 2 (2000), 351–398.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>[3] Alves, J. F., Carvalho, M., and Freitas, J. M. Statistical stability and continuity of SRB entropy for systems with Gibbs-Markov structures. Communications in Mathematical Physics 296 (2010), 739–767.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>[4] ALVES, J. F., CARVALHO, M., AND FREITAS, J. M. Statistical stability for Hénon maps of the Benedicks-Carleson type. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire 27, 2 (2010), 595–637.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>[5] Alves, J. F., Dias, C., Luzzatto, S., Pinheiro, V. SRB measures for partially hyperbolic systems whose central direction is weakly expanding. In Journal of the European Mathematical Society (JEMS) 19, 10 (2017), 2911–2946.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>[6] Alves, J., and Mesquita, D. Entropy formula for systems with inducing schemes. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 376, 02 (2023), 1263–1298.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>[7] Alves, J. F., Oliveira, K., and Tahzibi, A. On the continuity of the SRB entropy for endomorphisms. Journal of statistical physics 123, 4 (2006), 763–785.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>[8] ALVES, J. F., AND PUMARIÑO, A. Entropy formula and continuity of entropy for piecewise expanding maps. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire 38, 1 (2021), 91–108.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>[9] ALVES, J., PUMARIÑO, A., AND VIGIL, E. Statistical stability for multidimensional piecewise expanding maps. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 145, 7 (2017), 3057–3068.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>[10] ALVES, J., AND SOUFI, M. Statistical stability of geometric Lorenz attractors. Fundamenta Mathematicae 3, 224 (2014), 219–231.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>[11] Alves, J. F. and Viana, M. Statistical stability for robust classes of maps with non-uniform expansion. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 22 , 1 (2002), 1–32.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>[12] Araujo, V., Pacifico, M., Pujals, E., and Viana, M. Singular-hyperbolic attractors are chaotic. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 361, 5 (2009), 2431–2485.
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>[13] BAHSOUN, W., AND GALATOLO, S. Linear response due to singularities. Nonlinearity 37, 7 (2024), 075010.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>[14] BAHSOUN, W., AND RUZIBOEV, M. On the statistical stability of Lorenz attractors with a  $C^{1+\alpha}$ stable foliation. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 39, 12 (2019), 3169–3184.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>[15] Baladi, V. On the susceptibility function of piecewise expanding interval maps. Communications in Mathematical Physics 275, 3 (2007), 839–859.
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>[16] Baladi, V., and Smania, D. Linear response formula for piecewise expanding unimodal maps. Nonlinearity 21, 4 (2008), 677–711.
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>[17] BENEDICKS, M., AND CARLESON, L. On iterations of  $1 - ax^2$  on  $(-1, 1)$ . Annals of Mathematics 122, 1 (1985), 1–25.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>[18] BENEDICKS, M., AND CARLESON, L. The dynamics of the Hénon map. Annals of Mathematics 133, 1 (1991), 73–169.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>[19] BENEDICKS, M., AND YOUNG, L.-S. Absolutely continuous invariant measures and random perturbations for certain one-dimensional maps. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems 12, 1 (1992), 13–37.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>[20] BENEDICKS, M., AND YOUNG, L.-S. Sinai-Bowen-Ruelle measures for certain Hénon maps. Inventiones Mathematicae 112, 3 (1993), 541–576.
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>[21] Bowen, R. Equilibrium States and Ergodic Theory of Anosov Diffeomorphisms, Lectures Notes in Mathematics, volume 470, Springer-Verlag (1975).
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>[22] BOWEN, R. AND RUELLE, D. The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows. Inventiones Mathematicae 29, (1975) 181–202.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>[23] Freitas, J. M. Continuity of SRB measure and entropy for Benedicks–Carleson quadratic maps. Nonlinearity 18, 2 (2005), 831–854.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>[24] GALATOLO, S. AND LUCENA, R. Spectral gap and quantitative statistical stability for systems with contracting fibers and Lorenz-like maps. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 40, 3 (2020), 1309–1360.
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>[25] Giusti, E. Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation, vol. 80 of Monographs in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>[26] GÓRA, P. AND BOYARSKY, A. Absolutely continuous invariant measures for piecewise expanding  $C^2$  transformation in  $R^N$ . Israel Journal of Mathematics 67, 3 (1989), 272–286.
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>[27] IONESCU TULCEA, C. T., AND MARINESCU, G. I. Théorie ergodique pour des classes d'opérations non complètement continues. Annals of Mathematics 52 (1950), 140–147.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>[28] Jakobson, M. V. Absolutely continuous invariant measures for one-parameter families of onedimensional maps. Communications in Mathematical Physics 81 1 (1981), 39–88.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>[29] KELLER, G. Stability of the spectrum for transfer operators. Monatshefte für Mathematik  $94, 4$  (1982), 313–333.
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>[30] Keller, G., and Liverani, C. Stochastic stability in some chaotic dynamical systems. Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze 28, 1 (1999), 141–152.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>[31] Lasota, A. and Yorke, James A. On the existence of invariant measures for piecewise monotonic transformations. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 186, (1973), 481–488.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>[32] LEDRAPPIER, F. AND YOUNG, L.-S. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. I. Characterization of measures satisfying Pesin's entropy formula. Annals of Mathematics 122, 3 (1985), 509–539.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>[33] LEDRAPPIER, F. AND YOUNG, L.-S. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. II. Relations between entropy, exponents and dimension. Annals of Mathematics 122, 3 (1985), 509–539.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>[34] PESIN, Y. B. Characteristic Ljapunov exponents, and smooth ergodic theory. Uspehi Matematičeskih Nauk 32, 4 (1977), 55-112.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>[35] PUMARIÑO, A., RODRÍGUEZ, J. Á., TATJER, J. C., AND VIGIL, E. Expanding Baker maps as models for the dynamics emerging from 3D-homoclinic bifurcations. Discrete  $\mathcal{B}'$  Continuous Dynamical Systems-B 19, 2 (2014), 523–541.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>[36] PUMARIÑO, A., RODRÍGUEZ, J. Á., TATJER, J. C., AND VIGIL, E. Chaotic dynamics for twodimensional tent maps. Nonlinearity 28, 2 (2015), 407-434.
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>[37] PUMARIÑO, A., AND TATJER, J. C. Dynamics near homoclinic bifurcations of three-dimensional dissipative diffeomorphisms. Nonlinearity 19, 12 (2006), 2833–2852.
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>[38] RUELLE, D. A measure associated with Axiom A attractors, American Journal of Mathemathics 98 (1976) 619–654.
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>[39] Rychlik, M. Bounded variation and invariant measures. Studia Mathematica 76, 1 (1983), 69–80.
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>[40] SINAI, YA. G. Gibbs measure in ergodic theory, Russian Mathematical Surveys 27, 2 (1972) 21–69.
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>[41] TATJER, J. C. Three-dimensional dissipative diffeomorphisms with homoclinic tangencies. Ergodic theory and Dynamical Systems 21, 1 (2001), 249–302.

JOSÉ F. ALVES, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA DA UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal.

Email address: jfalves@fc.up.pt URL: http://www.fc.up.pt/cmup/jfalves

Odaudu R. Etubi, Centro de Matematica da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo ´ ALEGRE 687, 4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL.

Email address: etubiodaudu@gmail.com